Realistic calculations of solar-neutrino oscillations

V. Barger and K. Whisnant

Physics Department, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin 53706

R. J. N. Phillips

Rutherford Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon, England (Received 1 December 1980)

We reexamine the possible effects of oscillations on the apparent solar-neutrino flux, integrated over the theoretical solar spectrum weighted by the ³⁷Cl or ⁷¹Ga neutrino capture cross section. Spectral, thermal, and distance averaging do not reduce all oscillations to their mean values. The averaged $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e$ transition probability can fall as low as 0.1. Annual variations are evaluated.

The discrepancy between the observed¹ solarneutrino capture rate 2.1 ± 0.3 SNU (solar-neutrino units) and the calculated 2 rate 7.5 $\pm 1.5~\rm SNU$ has been widely attributed to neutrino oscillations.^{3,4} If all oscillation wavelengths are much shorter than the mean radius $\overline{R} = 1.5 \times 10^{11}$ m of the Earth's orbit, the formulas are simple and mixing of three neutrinos can suppress the averaged transition probability $\langle P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e) \rangle$ by factors down to $\frac{1}{3}$. If any oscillation wavelengths are comparable to \overline{R} , however, the picture becomes both more interesting and more complicated. The averaged transition probability can fall below $\frac{1}{3}$ (still with three neutrinos)⁴ and there can be annual variations related to the eccentricity of the Earth's orbit.³⁻⁵ In this circumstance calculations must include⁴ integration over the solar emission spectrum weighted by the detector capture cross section.

We present updated and rather complete calculations of this kind for existing ³⁷Cl and future ⁷¹Ga detectors. The spectrum-averaged value $\langle P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e) \rangle_s$ for the ³⁷Cl experiment can be as low as 0.1 for two neutrinos^{4,6} or 0.05 for three neutrinos, for certain mass differences and symmetrical mixing. Annual variations related to orbital eccentricity come mainly from a narrow ⁷Be line; we evaluate the maximal possible variations.

We suppose that the electron neutrino ν_e is a linear superposition of nondegenerate mass eigenstates ν_i with masses m_i (i = 1, 2, ..., n):

$$\left|\nu_{e}\right\rangle = \sum_{i} U_{ei} \left|\nu_{i}\right\rangle , \qquad (1)$$

where U is a unitary mixing matrix. Given an initial relativistic ν_e of energy E, the probability of finding ν_e after flight path L is

$$P(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e}) = 1 - \sum_{i < j} 4 \left| U_{ei} \right|^{2} \left| U_{ej} \right|^{2} \sin^{2}(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{ij}), \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \delta m_{ij}^2 L/E$ and $\delta m_{ij}^2 = m_i^2 - m_j^2$. If all wavelengths $4\pi E/\delta m_{ij}^2$ are much less than the

size of the emitting solar core $\Delta L \sim 10^8$ m or the annual variation of Earth-Sun distance $\Delta L \sim 5 \times 10^9$ m (assuming a long time average), the oscillations in Eq. (2) are not resolved and the averaged transition probability is then

$$\langle P(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e}) \rangle = 1 - \sum_{i < j} 2 |U_{ei}|^{2} |U_{ej}|^{2}.$$
 (3)

This simple formula has lower bound $\langle P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e) \rangle \ge 1/n$ for *n*-neutrino mixing. If the oscillation wavelengths are not all $\ll \Delta L$, we must use Eq. (2) to make an energy average over the source and detector.

The solar emission spectrum for the standard model² is shown in Fig. 1, with the thresholds and rates for the $\nu_e + {}^{37}\text{Cl} \rightarrow e^- + {}^{37}\text{Ar}$ and $\nu_e + {}^{71}\text{Ga} \rightarrow e^- + {}^{71}\text{Ge}$ neutrino capture reactions. We examine what happens to the factors $\sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{ij})$ in Eq. (2) for $L = \overline{R} = 1.5 \times 10^{11}$ m when averaged over the spectrum weighted by the capture cross section. Figure 2 shows the averaged⁷ value of $\sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\Delta) = \sin^2(\frac{1}{4}\delta m^2 L/E)$ at $L = \overline{R}$ vs δm^2 , for ${}^{37}\text{Cl}$ and ${}^{71}\text{Ga}$ detectors. Changing L would simply rescale δm^2 . For an ideal narrow line spectrum the result would be a sinusoid oscillating between 0 and 1; the figure shows results for the real solar spectrum. Consider for example the ${}^{37}\text{Cl}$ case.

(i) For small enough δm^2 there is no effect: $\sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\Delta)$ is small throughout the range of *E*.

(ii) As δm^2 increases above 10^{-13} eV^2 , the first contributions to $\sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\Delta)$ arise from the region near 1 MeV (dominated by the ⁷Be line at E = 0.862 MeV); they are approximately sinusoidal at first, bounded by 0.2.

(iii) As δm^2 increases above 10^{-11} eV^2 , the ⁸B continuum around 8 MeV gives an additional sinusoid that rises initially to about 0.8.

(iv) As δm^2 increases beyond 10^{-10} eV^2 , the broad spectral contributions damp toward constants, and the narrow lines from ⁷Be electron capture and pep fusion give superimposed oscillations that are finally damped by thermal broad-

538

24

© 1981 The American Physical Society



FIG. 1. Continuous bands and discrete lines of the solar emission spectrum in the standard model, based on Ref. 2. Thresholds for ³⁷Cl and ⁷¹Ga detectors are indicated.

ening ($\Delta E \sim 1 \text{ keV}$) for $\delta m^2 \gtrsim 10^{-8} \text{ eV}^2$. In the ⁷¹Ga results of Fig. 2(b), the dominant *pp* flux enters first and the persistent oscillation comes mainly from a narrow ⁷Be line.

The spectrum-averaged transition probability $\langle P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e) \rangle_s$ can be read directly from Eq. (2) and Fig. 2 for any neutrino mixing, at any fixed *L*. We use the subscript s to emphasize spectrum averaging as opposed to complete oscillation averaging for which $\langle \sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\Delta) \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$ and Eq. (3) applies. It is instructive to consider some particular examples.

Two-neutrino mixing. Assuming maximal mixing $|U_{g_i}|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$, the complete oscillation average of Eq. (3) achieves its lower bound $P = \frac{1}{2}$. With spectrum averaging alone, however, we have

$$\langle P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e) \rangle_s = 1 - \langle \sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{12}) \rangle_s$$
 (4)

that can fall to 0.1 for δm_{12}^2 near 0.8×10⁻¹⁰ eV², for a ³⁷Cl detector.

Three-neutrino mixing. With symmetrical mixing $|U_{ei}|^2 = \frac{1}{3}$ we have

$$P(\nu_{e} \rightarrow \nu_{e}) = 1 - \frac{4}{9} \left[\sin^{2}(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{12}) + \sin^{2}(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{23}) + \sin^{2}(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{31}) \right].$$
(5)

The complete oscillation average then reaches its



FIG. 2. Spectrum-averaged values of $\sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\Delta)$ vs δm^2 at $L = 1.5 \times 10^{11}$ m for (a) ³⁷Cl detectors and (b) ⁷¹Ga detectors.

lower bound $\langle P \rangle = \frac{1}{3}$. The spectrum average, however, depends on two independent δm_{ij} parameters, and we distinguish several cases (with the convention $m_1 \leq m_2 \leq m_3$).

(i) All $|\delta m_{ij}^2| \gg 4\pi E/L$; *L* averaging sets all $\sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{ij})$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ as discussed earlier, the complete oscillation average.

(ii) Only δm_{32}^2 , $\delta m_{31}^2 \gg 4\pi E/L$; the Δ_{23} and Δ_{31} oscillations average out completely, while Δ_{12} is subject only to spectral averaging, giving

$$\left\langle P(\nu_{a} \rightarrow \nu_{a})\right\rangle_{s} = \frac{5}{9} - \frac{4}{9} \left\langle \sin^{2}(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{12})\right\rangle_{s} . \tag{6}$$

Hence $\langle P \rangle_s$ can fall to 0.15 for a ³⁷Cl detector for $\delta m_{12}^2 = 0.8 \times 10^{-10} \text{ eV}^2$. In this mass regime symmetrical three-neutrino mixing does not allow $\langle P \rangle_s$ to fall quite as low as two-neutrino mixing does.

(iii) $\delta m_{31}^2 \approx \delta m_{32}^2 \approx 4\pi E/L \gg \delta m_{21}^2$. Here $\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{21}$ is essentially zero and $\langle P \rangle_s = 1$ $-\frac{8}{9} \langle \sin^2 (\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{31}) \rangle_s$ can fall to 0.12 for a ³⁷Cl detector. A similar result holds if $\delta m_{31}^2 \approx \delta m_{21}^2 \gg \delta m_{32}^2$.

(iv) All oscillation lengths $4\pi E/\delta m_{ij}^2 \gtrsim L$. The three oscillatory terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (5) are subject to spectrum averaging only, and we now have two independent mass scales δm_{21}^2 and δm_{31}^2 to consider. The results for $\langle P \rangle_s$ can in principle be deduced from Fig. 2, but it is

10-8

10-10

. a)

0.2-0.3

more transparent to make a contour plot in the δm_{21}^2 , δm_{31}^2 plane, as we show in Fig. 3.

In these contour plots $\delta m_{31}^2 > \delta m_{21}^2 > 0$ by convention (i.e., $m_3 > m_2 > m_1$). There is a symmetry about the diagonal $\delta m_{21}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \delta m_{31}^2$, in the sense that $\langle P \rangle_s$ is unchanged by the substitution $\delta m_{21}^2 \rightarrow \delta m_{31}^2$ $-\delta m_{21}^2$ in the symmetric mixing model. Figure 3 shows that long-wavelength oscillation effects can take $\langle P \rangle_s$ below 0.1 for suitable δm_{ij}^2 for the ³⁷Cl detector (in fact values as low as 0.05 are possible) and close to 0.1 for the 71 Ga detector.

We see that $\langle P \rangle_{s}$ values can differ quite widely between ³⁷Cl and ⁷¹Ga detectors for long-wavelength regimes. If such a difference were established experimentally (modulo uncertainties in the solar model) it would be evidence for a longwavelength oscillation, and contour plots such as in Fig. 3 could be used to restrict the allowed ranges of δm_{ii}^2 .

The Earth-Sun distance L varies annually between perihelion, $L = R_P$, and aphelion, $L = R_A$, $\Delta L = R_A - R_P = \overline{R}/30$. To use Fig. 2 that was calculated for the mean $L = \overline{R} = 1.5 \times 10^{11}$ m, we must multiply the scale of δm^2 by the varying factor \overline{R}/L . In principle we can then read the annual variation in $\langle \sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\Delta) \rangle_s$ directly from Fig. 2. The steeper the local slope $d\langle \sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\Delta) \rangle_s/d(\ln \delta m^2)$, the faster the time variation of the spectrum average of $\sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\Delta)$. The time variation is dominated by the ⁷Be spectral line at E = 0.862 MeV, through its contribution of $0.14 \sin^2(\frac{1}{4}\delta m^2 L/E)$.

The most dramatic annual variation results for $(\Delta L \delta m^2)/(2E) \gtrsim \pi$ corresponding to a half-cycle or more of the ⁷Be oscillation. The lowest δm^2 that achieves this is about 2×10^{-10} eV², in which case the annual variation could be 1 SNU for the ³⁷Cl detector and 20 SNU for the ⁷¹Ga detector. Only a few such cycles could be discriminated experimentally. A case for an annual variation in the solar-neutrino data has been presented by Ehrlich.⁵

The contribution of a narrow line to annual variations is simply expressed through Eq. (2), taking E as the line energy and multiplying by its overall weight factor. The contribution of the continuum is usually ignored, because the line widths $\Delta E/E$ here are much larger than the eccentricity $\Delta L/L$. In calculations of annual changes, we find the continuum variations are less than 5% of their total contribution, and can be neglected in a first approximation.

For the symmetric solar minimizing solution with three neutrinos, the capture rate s (in SNU) varies approximately with L as

$$s = \alpha / 3 + \beta - \beta V,$$

$$V = \frac{4}{9} \left[\sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{12}) + \sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{23}) + \sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{31}) \right].$$
(7)



 $\nu_{a} + {}^{37}\text{Cl} \rightarrow {}^{37}\text{Ar} + e^{-1}$

 $\langle P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e) \rangle_s$ vs δm_{21}^2 and δm_{31}^2 for symmetrical three-neutrino mixing at $L = 1.5 \times 10^{11}$ m for the (a) ³⁷Cl detector and (b) ⁷¹Ga detector. The sector $\delta m_{31}^2 > 2\delta m_{21}^2$ is shown; other sectors are defined by symmetry. In the $^{37}\mathrm{Cl}$ results, the rapid oscillations due to the $^7\mathrm{Be}$ and pep lines are included as oscillation averages.

Here α sums the continuum, ⁷Be (0.384 MeV) and pep contributions, while β is due to the ⁷Be (0.862) MeV) line. For the standard solar model,² α =6.5, β =1 SNU for ³⁷Cl and α =73, β =20 SNU for ⁷¹ Ga. The maximum variation of V is 1 (for an optimum choice of the δm_{ij}^2), and the corresponding variation is $\Delta s = \beta$. The effect $\Delta s/\overline{s} = 3\beta/3$ $(\alpha + \beta)$ could be as large as 40% for ³⁷Cl and 65% for ⁷¹Ga. The maximum variation $\Delta s = 1$ SNU for ³⁷Cl is difficult to test with present experimental uncertainties ± 0.5 SNU per quarter year.

The following δm^2 categories give large variations in V and hence in s:

(P):

(i)
$$\delta m_{21}^2 \simeq \delta m_{31}^2 \gtrsim 2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ eV}^2, \quad 0 \le V \le 1$$

(ii) $\delta m_{21}^2 \ll \delta m_{31}^2 \gtrsim 2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ eV}^2, \quad 0 \le V \le \frac{8}{9}$
(iii) $\delta m_{31}^2 \gg \delta m_{21}^2 \gtrsim 2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ eV}^2, \quad \frac{4}{9} \le V \le \frac{8}{9}.$

If all δm^2 are much larger or much smaller than $2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ eV}^2$, the variation of s with orbital distance is negligible.

We are indebted to John Bahcall for kindly providing details of solar spectra and neutrino capture cross-section calculations. We thank G. J. Stephenson for a stimulating discussion and R. Ehrlich for communication regarding his analysis of the solar-neutrino data. This research was supported in part by the University of Wisconsin Research Committee with funds granted by the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, and in part by the Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02 76ER00881-179.

¹R. Davis, Jr., in *Proceedings of the Telemark* Neutrino Mass Miniconference, edited by V. Barger

and D. Cline (University of Wisconsin, Madison, 1980). ²J. N. Bahcall *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>45</u>, 945 (1980);

- ³V. N. Gribov and B. Pontecorvo, Phys. Lett. <u>28B</u>, 493 (1969); S. M. Bilenky and B. Pontecorvo, Phys. Rep. <u>41C</u>, 225 (1978).
- ⁴J. N. Bahcall and S. C. Frautschi, Phys. Lett. 29B,

623 (1969).

- ⁵R. Ehrlich, Phys. Rev. D <u>18</u>, 2323 (1978); R. Ehrlich, private communication; R. Barbieri, J. Ellis, and M. K. Gaillard, Phys. Lett. 90B, 249 (1980).
- ⁶G. J. Stephenson (private communication) drew our attention to this result.
- ⁷For these averages, we used standard solar model spectra and capture cross sections given in Ref. 2.

J. N. Bahcall, Ref. 1.