Gelfand-Levitan method for operator fields

Dennis B. Creamer, H. B. Thacker, and David Wilkinson

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, * P.O. Box 500, Batavia, Illinois 60510*

(Received 19 October 1979)

The quantum generalization of the Gelfand-Levitan method is presented for the nonlinear Schrödinger model. The basic dispersion relation for operator Jost functions is derived, and the Heisenberg field operator is expressed in terms of scattering-data operators. Construction of Green's functions in the zero-density vacuum is discussed. The four-point function is explicitly calculated from the expression for the field operator and compared with the result of a direct Feynman graph summation. In addition it is proved for any number of particles that the Hamiltonian eigenstates constructed from the quantized scattering data are identical with those previously obtained by means of Bethe's ansatz.

I. INTRODUCTION

The development of the inverse scattering transform as an operator method in quantum field theory has provided a clearer understanding of the structure of exactly soluble models. The method has been used to formulate operator solutions of the quantum nonlinear Schrödinger[1-3] and sine-Gordon equations.[4] The Bethe-ansatz solutions of certain two-dimensional fermion theories[5] may also be classified as indirect applications of the quantum inverse method. Comparing these methods with the classical inverse technique of Gardner, Greene, Kruskal, and Miura,[6] it is apparent that what has been developed in the quantum theory thus far represents only a part of the classical methodology. In the classical inverse method, one solves the initial-value problem by first mapping the initial conditions into a set of scattering data (the direct problem) and at a subsequent time, reconstructing the field configuration from the scattering data (the inverse problem). Until now, the analysis of the quantum formulation has provided the generalization only of the direct problem. This suffices for the construction of the eigenstates and eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian. In order to discuss the Heisenberg field operator and the calculation of Green's functions, we must consider the quantum analog of the inverse problem, which is solved classically by the Gelfand-Levitan integral equation. This quantum generalization is the content of the present paper. In Sec. II we derive an operator form of the Gelfand-Levitan equation for the quantum nonlinear Schrödinger equation and then, in Sec. III, discuss some of its consequences. Section IV contains a short discussion.

In the remainder of this section we collect some results from the direct-problem analysis, following essentially the notation of Ref. 2. The nonlinear Schrödinger model (δ-function gas) is defined by the normal-ordered Hamiltonian

$$H = \int dx \, (\partial_1 \phi^* \partial_1 \phi + c \phi^* \phi^* \phi \phi), \tag{1}$$

where the field ϕ obeys equal-time commutation relations

$$[\phi(x, t), \phi^*(y, t)] = \delta(x - y). \tag{2}$$

For simplicity, we will consider only the case of repulsive coupling ($c > 0$) for which there are no bound states in the spectrum. The model is solved by considering the Zakharov-Shabat linear eigenvalue problem[7]

$$\left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \xi \right) \Psi_1 = -\sqrt{c} \, \Psi_2 \phi, \tag{3a}$$

$$\left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2} \xi \right) \Psi_2 = \sqrt{c} \, \phi^* \Psi_1. \tag{3b}$$

The solutions Ψ of Eq. (3) are normal-ordered operator functionals of the Heisenberg fields ϕ and ϕ^*. Particular solutions are chosen by specifying the asymptotic behavior in one direction at spatial infinity. Of special interest are the Jost solutions $\psi(x, \xi)$ and $\chi(x, \xi)$, defined by

$$\psi(x, \xi) \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{i\xi x/2} \quad \text{as } x \to -\infty, \tag{4a}$$

$$\chi(x, \xi) \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\xi x/2} \quad \text{as } x \to +\infty. \tag{4b}$$

Both ψ and χ are analytic in the lower half ξ plane, where analyticity of an operator is taken to be equivalent to analyticity of all its matrix elements between physical states. We will also have occasion to use the conjugate functions

$$\tilde{\psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_2^* \\ \psi_1^* \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\chi} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_2^* \\ \chi_1^* \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5}$$

which for real ξ are also solutions of Eq. (3), and are analytic in the upper half plane. The scattering data $a(\xi)$ and $b(\xi)$ are defined by the asymptotic

behavior

$$\psi(x, \xi) \sim \begin{bmatrix} a(\xi) e^{i\xi x/2} \\ b(\xi) e^{-i\xi x/2} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{as} \quad x \to +\infty. \quad (6)$$

The fundamental commutators among the operators a and b may be obtained from the following properties (7)–(10) of the Jost solutions ψ and χ.

From the defining relations (3) and (4), one finds that the nonvanishing commutators of ψ and χ with the fundamental fields ϕ at the same point x are given by

$$[\psi_1, \phi^*] = \frac{i\sqrt{c}}{2} \psi_2,$$

$$[\psi_2, \phi] = \frac{i\sqrt{c}}{2} \psi_1,$$

$$[\chi_1, \phi^*] = -\frac{i\sqrt{c}}{2} \chi_2, \quad (7)$$

$$[\chi_2, \phi] = -\frac{i\sqrt{c}}{2} \chi_1.$$

In addition ψ and χ commute:

$$[\psi_i(x, \xi), \chi_j(x, \xi')] = 0. \quad (8)$$

The results (7) and (8) remain valid with ψ replaced by $\bar{\psi}$ and/or χ replaced by $\bar{\chi}$. Using (7) one may show that the Wronskian of ψ or $\bar{\psi}$ with χ or $\bar{\chi}$ is constant in x, and hence the scattering data may be expressed as

$$a = \psi_1 \chi_2 - \psi_2 \chi_1,$$
$$b = \psi_2 \bar{\chi}_1 - \psi_1 \bar{\chi}_2. \quad (9)$$

Thus $a(\xi)$ is analytic in the lower half plane. From (9) we may also deduce the asymptotic behavior

$$\chi(x, \xi) \sim \begin{bmatrix} -b^*(\xi) e^{i\xi x/2} \\ a(\xi) e^{-i\xi x/2} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{as} \quad x \to -\infty. \quad (10)$$

Using these results it is possible to derive all the commutation relations among the Hamiltonian H and the scattering data $a(\xi)$ and $b(\xi)$. Expressing the results of Ref. 2 in terms of the reflection coefficient

$$R^*(\xi) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{c}} b(\xi) a^{-1}(\xi), \quad (11)$$

we have

$$[H, a(\xi)] = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$[H, R^*(\xi)] = \xi^2 R^*(\xi), \quad (13)$$

$$[a(\xi), a(\xi')] = [a(\xi), a^*(\xi')] = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$a(\xi) R^*(\xi') = \left(1 - \frac{ic}{\xi - \xi' - i\epsilon}\right) R^*(\xi') a(\xi), \quad (15)$$

$$a^*(\xi) R^*(\xi') = \left(1 + \frac{ic}{\xi - \xi' + i\epsilon}\right) R^*(\xi') a^*(\xi), \quad (16)$$

$$R^*(\xi) R^*(\xi') = S(\xi', \xi) R^*(\xi') R^*(\xi), \quad (17)$$

where $S(\xi, \xi')$ is given by

$$S(\xi, \xi') = \frac{\xi - \xi' - ic}{\xi - \xi' + ic}. \quad (18)$$

For $\xi > \xi'$, this is the two-body S matrix. The commutation relations (12)–(17) have also been derived by Sklyanin and Faddeev,[1] who obtained the additional result

$$R(\xi) R^*(\xi') = S(\xi, \xi') R^*(\xi') R(\xi) + 2\pi\delta(\xi - \xi'). \quad (19)$$

The fact that (19) is correct has also been shown in Ref. 8. From (12)–(16) it is seen that if we define a state

$$|k_1 \cdots k_n\rangle \equiv R^*(k_1) \cdots R^*(k_n)|0\rangle, \quad (20)$$

where $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum state with $\phi(x)|0\rangle = 0$, then $|k_1 \cdots k_n\rangle$ is a simultaneous eigenstate of H, $a(\xi)$, and $a^*(\xi)$:

$$H|k_1 \cdots k_n\rangle = \left(\sum_i k_i^2\right)|k_1 \cdots k_n\rangle, \quad (21)$$

$$a(\xi)|k_1 \cdots k_n\rangle = \prod_i \left(1 - \frac{ic}{\xi - k_i - i\epsilon}\right)|k_1 \cdots k_n\rangle, \quad (22)$$

$$a^*(\xi)|k_1 \cdots k_n\rangle = \prod_i \left(1 + \frac{ic}{\xi - k_i + i\epsilon}\right)|k_1 \cdots k_n\rangle. \quad (23)$$

The particular significance of the operator $R^*(\xi)$ is that if $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n$, then $|k_1 \cdots k_n\rangle$ is a normalized in-state, while if $k_1 > k_2 \cdots > k_n$ it is normalized out-state. In Sec. III it is proved that these states are identical to those previously obtained by means of Bethe's ansatz,[9,10] a result until now checked only up to $n = 3$.

II. QUANTUM GELFAND-LEVITAN EQUATION

In this section we derive the quantum version of the Gelfand-Levitan integral equation which relates the field $\phi(x)$ to the reflection coefficient $R(\xi)$. To begin, let us review the derivation of Zakharov and Shabat[7] for the classical case. These authors consider the equation $\psi = a\bar{\chi} + b\chi$ (for ξ real) and use the definition (11) to write it in the form

$$\psi a^{-1} = \bar{\chi} - i\sqrt{c} R^* \chi. \quad (24)$$

This suggests a piecewise analytic function Φ defined by

$$\Phi(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} \bar{\chi} e^{-i\xi x/2}, & \text{Im}\,\xi > 0 \\ \psi a^{-1} e^{-i\xi x/2}, & \text{Im}\,\xi < 0. \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

From (24) the discontinuity of Φ across the real axis is $i\sqrt{c} R^* \chi e^{-i\xi x/2}$, while as $|\xi| \to \infty$, Φ has the behavior

$$\Phi \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + O\left(\frac{1}{\xi}\right). \tag{26}$$

Thus we may write a dispersion relation for Φ which when evaluated just above the real axis reads

$$\bar{\chi}e^{-i\,\xi x/2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi' \frac{R^*(\xi')\chi(x,\xi')e^{-i\,\xi'x/2}}{\xi' - \xi - i\epsilon}. \tag{27}$$

This equation enables the Jost function $\chi(x, \xi)$ to be determined in terms of the reflection coefficient $R(\xi)$; the field ϕ may then be obtained by means of the asymptotic behavior

$$\chi_1(x, \xi)e^{i\,\xi x/2} \sim -\frac{\sqrt{c}\,\phi(x)}{\xi}, \quad \text{as } |\xi| \to \infty, \tag{28}$$

which follows directly from the defining equations (3) and (4).

The main result of this paper is to demonstrate that (27) is maintained in the quantum theory and that the correct ordering is as shown.[8] This is not a trivial extension of the classical result since, as we shall see explicitly below, the motivating equation (24) *is no longer valid* in the quantum theory. Let us instead, for real ξ, *define* an operator function g by

$$g(x, \xi) = \bar{\chi}(x, \xi) - i\sqrt{c}\,R^*(\xi)\chi(x, \xi). \tag{29}$$

Since the functions $\bar{\chi}$ and χ on the right satisfy the Zakharov-Shabat equation (3), it follows that g satisfies the coupled equations

$$\left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}\xi\right)g_1 = -\sqrt{c}\,g_2\phi, \tag{30a}$$

$$\left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2}\xi\right)g_2 = \sqrt{c}\,\phi^*g_1 - ic\,[R^*(\xi), \phi^*(x)]\chi_1. \tag{30b}$$

The second term in (30b) arises from the quantum orderings. Using Eqs. (7), (8), (9), and (11), the commutator $[R^*(\xi), \phi^*(x)]$ may be evaluated, yielding

$$[R^*(\xi), \phi^*] = (\bar{\chi}_2 - i\sqrt{c}\,R^*\chi_2)\psi_2 a^{-1}, \tag{31}$$

so that Eqs. (30) become

$$\left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}\xi\right)g_1 = -\sqrt{c}\,g_2\phi, \tag{32a}$$

$$\left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2}\xi\right)g_2 = \sqrt{c}\,\phi^*g_1 - icg_2\psi_2 a^{-1}\chi_1. \tag{32b}$$

From its definition (29), the function g has the asymptotic behavior

$$g \sim e^{i\,\xi x/2} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{a} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{as } x \to -\infty, \tag{33}$$

where, for real ξ, the operator $\bar{a}$ is defined by

$$\bar{a}(\xi) = a^*(\xi) - cR^*(\xi)a^*(\xi)R(\xi). \tag{34}$$

Classically we could use the unitarity relation $|a|^2 - |b|^2 = 1$ to conclude that $\bar{a}(\xi) = a^{-1}(\xi)$, as indeed it would be if g were ψa^{-1}. To gain some feeling for the quantum case let us use the results (16)–(19) to evaluate $\bar{a}(\xi)$ on a one-particle state

$$\bar{a}(\xi)|k\rangle = \left(1 + \frac{ic}{\xi - k + i\epsilon} - 2\pi c\delta(\xi - k)\right)|k\rangle,$$

$$= \left(1 + \frac{ic}{\xi - k - i\epsilon}\right)|k\rangle. \tag{35}$$

We see that only to first order in c is this the same as $a^{-1}(\xi)|k\rangle$, since the latter is $[1 - ic/(\xi - k - i\epsilon)]^{-1}|k\rangle$. More generally we may show that

$$\bar{a}(\xi)|k_1 \cdots k_n\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1 + \frac{ic}{\xi - k_i - i\epsilon}\right)|k_1 \cdots k_n\rangle. \tag{36}$$

Since the states $|k_1 \cdots k_n\rangle$ are complete, we may regard (36) as an alternative definition of $\bar{a}(\xi)$ and conclude that it is analytic in the lower half ξ plane with the property

$$\bar{a}(\xi) = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\xi}\right) \quad \text{as } |\xi| \to \infty. \tag{37}$$

We now make the observation that both the differential equation (32) for g and its asymptotic behavior in (33) may be continued into the lower half ξ plane without singularities. Thus the operator function $g(x, \xi)$ may itself be continued into the lower half ξ plane, and is analytic there. We are now in a position to mimic the classical derivation, defining a function Φ by

$$\Phi(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} \bar{\chi}e^{-i\,\xi x/2}, & \text{Im}\,\xi > 0 \\ ge^{-i\,\xi x/2}, & \text{Im}\,\xi < 0. \end{cases} \tag{38}$$

By construction, Φ is piecewise analytic with discontinuity across the real axis given by $i\sqrt{c}\,R^*(\xi)\chi(x, \xi)e^{-i\,\xi x/2}$. In addition, as $|\xi| \to \infty$, Φ has the property

$$\Phi \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + O\left(\frac{1}{\xi}\right), \tag{39}$$

which holds in the lower half plane by virtue of (37). Thus we may write a dispersion relation for Φ which yields the desired result

$$\bar{\chi}e^{-i\,\xi x/2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi' \frac{R^*(\xi')\chi(x,\xi')e^{-i\,\xi'x/2}}{\xi' - \xi - i\epsilon}. \tag{40}$$

Iterating this equation and its Hermitian conjugate, and using the asymptotic behavior (28), which holds also in the quantum theory, we obtain

$$\phi(x) = \int \frac{d\xi_1}{2\pi} R(\xi_1) e^{i\xi_1 x}$$

$$+ c \int \frac{d\xi_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\xi_2}{2\pi} \frac{d\xi_3}{2\pi}$$

$$\times \frac{R^*(\xi_2) R(\xi_1) R(\xi_3) e^{i(\xi_1 - \xi_2 + \xi_3)x}}{(\xi_2 - \xi_1 - i\epsilon)(\xi_3 - \xi_2 + i\epsilon)} + \cdots .$$

$$(41)$$

All the above results refer to some fixed time, say $t = 0$. However, from (13) we see that the time dependence of $R(\xi)$ is simple, so that (40) and (41) may be generalized to arbitrary times by the replacement

$$R(\xi) \rightarrow R(\xi, t) = e^{-i\xi^2 t} R(\xi). \qquad (42)$$

Equations (40) and (41) are the fundamental results of this paper. However, since the above proof is somewhat formal it may be useful to provide a simple test of these results. Note that Eq. (40) holds for all values of the spatial variable x. In particular, it is valid in the asymptotic regime $x \rightarrow -\infty$, where it produces only one non-trivial result:

$$a(\xi) = 1 + ic \int \frac{d\xi'}{2\pi} \frac{R^*(\xi') a(\xi') R(\xi')}{\xi' - \xi + i\epsilon} . \qquad (43)$$

Since $a(\xi)$ is diagonal on the n-particle states (20), we can verify this equation directly. By acting on the state $|k_1 \cdots k_n\rangle$, it is easily seen using (17), (19), and (22) that (43) is equivalent to the identity

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{ic}{\xi - k_i}\right)$$

$$= 1 - ic \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[\frac{1}{\xi - k_j} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{ic}{k_j - k_i}\right)\right]. \qquad (44)$$

(Here, all denominators are understood to have a negative imaginary part.) For $n = 2$, the validity of (44) is seen by noting that

$$\frac{1}{\xi - k_1}\left(1 - \frac{ic}{k_1 - k_2}\right) + \frac{1}{\xi - k_2}\left(1 - \frac{ic}{k_2 - k_1}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\xi - k_1} + \frac{1}{\xi - k_2} - \frac{ic}{(\xi - k_1)(\xi - k_2)} , \qquad (45)$$

and thus the right-hand side of (44) reduces to $[1 - ic/(\xi - k_1)] \times [1 - ic/(\xi - k_2)]$. Using algebraic manipulations similar to the above, the identity

(44) may be proven for arbitrary n by induction, thus verifying (43).

III. APPLICATIONS

In this section we present two applications of Eqs. (41) and (42) for the Heisenberg field $\phi(x, t)$. First we observe that together with the commutator (19), these equations may be used to compute any $2n$-point Green's function

$$\langle 0 | T\{\phi(x_1' t_1') \cdots \phi(x_n' t_n') \phi^*(x_1 t_1) \cdots \phi^*(x_n t_n)\} | 0 \rangle ,$$

where T denotes the usual time-ordering operation. Since for each term in the expansion (41), the annihilation operators $R(\xi)$ appear to the right of the creation operators $R^*(\xi)$, it is evident that for the $2n$-point function above we need consider at most the first n terms in the expansion. Thus the evaluation of any Green's function is reduced to a finite calculation. The two-point function $\langle 0 | T[\phi(x, t) \phi^*(0, 0)] | 0 \rangle$ is easily seen to be just the free propagator, so that the first nontrivial application of (41) is for the four-point function. Denoting by $G^4(\omega_1' k_1' \omega_i k_i)$ the Fourier transform

$$\int \prod_{i=1}^{2} \{dx_i dt_i dx_i' dt_i'$$

$$\times \exp[i(\omega_i t_i - \omega_i' t_i' - k_i x_i + k_i' x_i')]\}$$

$$\times \langle 0 | T\{\phi(x_1' t_1') \phi(x_2' t_2') \phi^*(x_1 t_1) \phi^*(x_2 t_2)\} | 0 \rangle ,$$

$$(46)$$

we find, after some computation, that the connected part G_c^4 is given by

$$G_c^4 = \left[\frac{4\pi^2 \delta(\omega_1' + \omega_2' - \omega_1 - \omega_2) \delta(k_1' + k_2' - k_1 - k_2)}{\prod_{i=1}^{} (\omega_i - k_i^2 + i\epsilon)(\omega_i' - k_i'^2 + i\epsilon)}\right]$$

$$\times \left(\frac{-4ic}{1 + ic/\sigma}\right), \qquad (47)$$

with

$$\sigma \equiv [2(\omega_1 + \omega_2) - (k_1 + k_2)^2]^{1/2} . \qquad (48)$$

The result (47) may be compared with a direct Feynman graph calculation. Apart from external factors [the first set of brackets in (47)] the tree graph is just $-4ic$, while the one-loop term is given by

$$(-4ic)^2 \int \frac{d\omega \, dk}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{i^2}{(\omega - k^2 + i\epsilon)[\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega - (k_1 + k_2 - k)^2 + i\epsilon]} , \qquad (49)$$

which reduces to $-4ic(-ic/\sigma)$. Since this contribution depends only on the total energy and momentum, and not on any relative momentum, we see that the full connected four-point function, which is

just a sum of bubbles, is a geometric series which sums to give the result (47). As an aside, it is interesting to note how the four-point function (47) leads on the mass shell, $\omega_i = k_i^2$, $\omega_i' = k_i'^2$, to the

two-body S matrix (18). Writing the scattering operator as $S = 1 + T$, and noting that on-shell the quantity σ in (48) is just $k_{12} \equiv |k_1 - k_2|$, we conclude that the matrix element of T between asymptotic states is

$$\langle k_1' k_2' | T | k_1 k_2 \rangle = (2\pi)^2 \delta(k_1' + k_2' - k_1 - k_2) \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_1' - \omega_2') \left(\frac{-4ic}{1 + ic/k_{12}} \right)$$

$$= (2\pi)^2 \delta(k_1' + k_2' - k_1 - k_2)[\delta(k_1 - k_1') + \delta(k_1 - k_2')]\left(\frac{-2ic/k_{12}}{1 + ic/k_{12}} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{2ic}{k_{12} + ic} \langle k_1' k_2' | k_1 k_2 \rangle . \tag{50}$$

Thus

$$\langle k_1' k_2' | S | k_1 k_2 \rangle = \frac{k_{12} - ic}{k_{12} + ic} \langle k_1' k_2' | k_1 k_2 \rangle , \tag{51}$$

in agreement with (18).

As our second application of the Heisenberg field expression (41), we will prove for any number of particles that the states (20) are identical to those obtained previously by Bethe's ansatz.[9,10] We first introduce the Fourier transform

$$R(x) = \int \frac{d\xi}{2\pi} e^{i\xi x} R(\xi) , \tag{52}$$

and define states $|x_1 \cdots x_n\rangle$ by

$$|x_1 \cdots x_n\rangle = R^*(x_1) \cdots R^*(x_n)|0\rangle . \tag{53}$$

Then, if $x > x_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ we find, using (41), that

$$\phi^*(x)|x_1 \cdots x_n\rangle = R^*(x)|x_1 \cdots x_n\rangle , \tag{54}$$

i.e., only the first term in (41) survives; the contribution of the remaining terms may be shown to vanish by simple contour integration. Applying (54) repeatedly, we conclude that if $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_n$, then

$$\phi^*(x_1) \phi^*(x_2) \cdots \phi^*(x_n)|0\rangle$$

$$= R^*(x_1) R^*(x_2) \cdots R^*(x_n)|0\rangle . \tag{55}$$

The fact that this result follows from identifying the Bethe-ansatz states with those in (20) has already been noted in Ref. 8. Here we will show the converse—that (55) actually implies this identification. To see this, let us consider the coordinate-space wave function

$$f(k_i, x_i) = \langle 0 | \phi(x_1) \phi(x_2) \cdots \phi(x_n)|k_1 \cdots k_n\rangle . \tag{56}$$

Since this is symmetric in $x_1 \cdots x_n$ it is sufficient to consider it in the region $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$. In this region we have from (55)

$$f(k_i x_i) = \langle 0 | R(x_1) R(x_2) \cdots R(x_n)|k_1 \cdots k_n\rangle$$

$$= \int \prod_i \left(\frac{dp_i}{2\pi} e^{ip_i x_i} \right)$$

$$\times \langle 0 | R(p_1) \cdots R(p_n) R^*(k_1) \cdots R^*(k_n)|0\rangle . \tag{57}$$

Using the commutator (19) to evaluate the matrix element, we recover the usual Bethe-ansatz expression for the wave function.

IV. DISCUSSION

We have shown that in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation the operator transformation which expresses $a(\xi)$ and $b(\xi)$ in terms of the field operators $\phi(x)$ and $\phi^*(x)$ may be inverted by a generalization of the Gelfand-Levitan method. The derivation of the basic integral equation in Sec. II employed an analyticity argument similar to the classical treatment of Zakharov and Shabat, although the problem of operator ordering led to some essential differences in the quantum analysis. Using the Gelfand-Levitan equation (41), the field operators are expressed in terms of the reflection operator $R(\xi)$, which has a simple time dependence. This is a central result of the present analysis. To gain experience with this formalism we carried out a number of calculations, some of which are reported in Sec. III. By considering vacuum expectation values and few-body matrix elements of fields, exact calculations may be carried out by keeping only the first few terms of the series expansion (41). The detailed combinatorics of these calculations is very reminiscent of the graphical formalism which was developed for this model by studying Feynman graphs.[10] All of these results should be appended with the remark that in this model, the vacuum state is structureless from the point of view of Bethe's ansatz, i.e., it is the reference state upon which the Bethe eigenstates are built. A more meaningful application of the methods we have developed here is provided by considering correlation functions in a finite-density gas. This problem is more closely analogous to the calculation of Green's functions in a relativis-

tic theory, where the physical vacuum is a complicated many-body state. By such considerations, one might also hope to establish a connection with the work of Vaidya and Tracy,[11] and Jimbo, Miwa, Mōri, and Sato,[12] who have obtained exact results for the correlation functions in the case of infinite-

ly repulsive coupling $(c = \infty)$. These questions are currently being investigated and will be discussed in a subsequent paper.

*Operated by Universities Research Association Inc. under contract with the United States Department of Energy.

[1]E. Sklyanin and L. D. Faddeev, Dokl. Acad. Nauk. SSSR 243, 1430 (1978) [Sov. Phys. Dokl. 23, 902 (1978)]; E. Sklyanin, *ibid.* 244, 1337 (1979) [*ibid.* 24, 107 (1979)]. For a review of the quantum inverse method see L. D. Faddeev, Steklov Mathematical Institute Report No. P-2-79, Leningrad, 1979 (unpublished).

[2]H. B. Thacker and D. Wilkinson, Phys. Rev. D 19, 3660 (1979). With minor modifications we follow the notation of this reference; note that the quantity previously called $R(\xi)$ in now denoted by $R^*(\xi)$, in accordance with its interpretation as a creation operator.

[3]J. Honerkamp, P. Weber, and A. Wiesler, Nucl. Phys. B152, 266 (1979).

[4]L. D. Faddeev, E. K. Sklyanin, and L. A. Takhtajan, Steklov Mathematical Institute Report No. P-1-79, Leningrad, 1979 (unpublished).

[5]H. Bergknoff and H. B. Thacker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 135 (1979); Phys. Rev D 19, 3666 (1979). See also, N. Andrei and J. M. Lowenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 1698 (1979).

[6]C. S. Gardner, J. M Greene, M. D. Kruskal, and R. M. Miura, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1095 (1967); for a review,

see A. C. Scott, F. Y. F. Chu, and D. W. McLaughlin, Proc. IEEE 61, 1443 (1973).

[7]V. E. Zakharov and A. B. Shabat, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 61, 118 (1971) [Sov. Phys.—JETP 34, 62 (1972)]. See also, V. E. Zakharov and S. V. Manakov, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 19, 332 (1974) [Theor. Math. Phys. 19, 551 (1975)].

[8]H. Grosse, Universität Wien Report No. UWThPh-1979-19 (unpublished). In this paper it is conjectured but not proven that our Eq. (27) is the correct ordering for the quantized Gelfand-Levitan equation.

[9]E. H. Lieb and W. Liniger, Phys. Rev. 130, 1605 (1963); E. H. Lieb, *ibid.* 130, 1616 (1963); J. B. McGuire, J. Math. Phys. 5, 622 (1964); C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1312 (1967); Phys. Rev. 168, 1920 (1968); E. Brézin and J. Zinn-Justin, C. R. Acad. Sci. B 263, 670 (1966); C. N. Yang and C. P. Yang, J. Math. Phys. 10, 1115 (1969).

[10]H. B. Thacker, Phys. Rev. D 11, 838 (1975); 14, 3508 (1976); 16, 2515 (1977).

[11]H. G Vaidya and C. A. Tracy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 3 (1979); 43, 1540(E) (1979); H. G. Vaidya and C. A. Tracy, J. Math. Phys. 20, 2291 (1979).

[12]M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Y. Mōri, and M. Sato, Kyoto University Reports No. RIMS 297 and RIMS 303 (unpublished).