Soluble gauge theory of a noncompact group

Kevin Cahill*

Physics Department, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405 (Received 6 April 1979)

It is shown that the gauge theory of the multiplicative group of complex numbers is the theory of two free vector mesons only one of which is massless.

It was recently shown to be possible to construct a gauge theory of a noncompact internalsymmetry group.¹ In this paper, one of the simplest of these quantum field theories, the puregauge theory of the multiplicative group of complex numbers, is solved exactly.

The Lagrange density of the gauge theory of the general linear group of all complex, non-singular $n \times n$ matrices, GL(n, C), is

$$L = -(2e)^{-2} \operatorname{tr}(F^{\dagger}_{\mu\nu}gF^{\mu\nu}g^{-1}) + \frac{1}{2}(2f)^{-2} \operatorname{tr}(g_{;\mu}g^{-1}g^{;\mu}g^{-1}) + \varphi^{\dagger}_{;\mu}g\varphi^{;\mu} - V(\varphi^{\dagger}g\varphi),$$
(1)

where the curvature tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ is

$$F_{\mu\nu} = A_{\mu,\nu} - A_{\nu,\mu} + [A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}]$$
(2)

and the covariant derivatives of the matter field φ and of the internal metric tensor g are

$$\varphi_{;\mu} = \varphi_{,\mu} - A_{\mu}\varphi \tag{3}$$

and

$$g_{;\mu} = g_{;\mu} + gA_{;\mu} + A_{;\mu}^{\dagger}g .$$
 (4)

The potential $V \ge 0$ represents a self-interaction of φ , the subscript, μ means $\partial/\partial x^{\mu}$, and e and fare coupling constants.

Under the gauge transformation associated with the matrix a(x) in GL(n,C), the *n* complex scalar fields $\varphi_i(x)$ transform as

$$\varphi_i'(x) = a_{ij}(x)\varphi_j(x) . \tag{5}$$

The Lagrange density L is invariant under this transformation because the internal metric tensor g(x) and the connection $A_{\mu}(x)$ transform as

$$g'(x) = a^{-1}(x)^{\dagger}g(x)a^{-1}(x)$$
(6)

and as

$$A'_{\mu}(x) = a(x)A_{\mu}(x)a^{-1}(x) + a(x)_{,\mu}a^{-1}(x) .$$
(7)

The problems² usually associated with gauge theories of noncompact groups, negative probability and negative energy, are avoided here through the use of the internal metric tensor g. The tensor g is Hermitian and non-negative and may be regarded as the product of a more fundamental Hermitian matrix h with its adjoint, $g = h^{\dagger}h$. Although the matrix g(x) transforms (6) as a tensor in the internal space, it is composed of fields $g_{ij}(x)$ that are scalars under Lorentz transformations.

By linearizing the Lagrange density L, one may show that the n^2 complex vector mesons A_{μ} separate into n^2 real massless vector mesons C_{μ} , associated with the group $U(n) \subset GL(n, C)$, and n^2 real massive vector mesons W_{μ} of equal mass, $M_{W} = e/f$, associated with the noncompact part of GL(n, C). The longitudinal components of the massive vector mesons W_{μ} are supplied by the n^2 real scalar fields that make up the internal metric tensor g, with which no other physical particles are associated. These features of the linearized theory are explicitly exhibited by the exact solution for the group GL(1, C).

The simplest version of the theory described by the Lagrange density L occurs for n=1 when the matter fields φ are omitted. Then both the non-Abelian character and the matrix structure of the theory are absent, and L becomes

$$L = -(2e)^{-2}F^{\dagger}_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(2f)^{-2}[g_{;\mu}g^{-1}g^{;\mu}g^{-1}].$$
(8)

The square brackets in this equation represent an operator ordering³ that allows one to write

$$[g_{\mu}g^{-1}] = [(g_{,\mu} + gA_{\mu} + A_{\mu}^{\dagger}g)g^{-1}]$$
$$= A_{\mu} + A_{\mu}^{\dagger} + (\ln g)_{,\mu}.$$
(9)

This interpretation of the classical Lagrange density (1) makes the theory exactly soluble.

If the vector-meson fields W_{μ} and C_{μ} are defined as

 $W_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} (A_{\mu} + A_{\mu}^{\dagger} + (\ln g)_{\mu})$

and

2636

$$C_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} (A_{\mu} - A_{\mu}^{\dagger}) , \qquad (11)$$

then since the gradient of the logarithm of g does not contribute to a curl, the curl $F_{\mu\nu} = A_{\mu,\nu} - A_{\nu,\mu}$ may equally well be considered to be the sum

$$F_{\mu\nu} = W_{\mu,\nu} - W_{\nu,\mu} + C_{\mu,\nu} - C_{\nu,\mu} .$$
(12)

The Lagrange density (8) then becomes

© 1979 The American Physical Society

(10)

$$L = -(2e)^{-2} F^{\dagger}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} f^{-2} W_{\mu} W^{\mu} , \qquad (13)$$

which describes two free vector mesons, W_{μ} and C_{μ} . The meson W_{μ} has mass

$$M_w = e/f \tag{14}$$

and is associated with gauge invariance under the multiplicative group of real numbers. The meson C_{μ} is massless and is associated with the gauge group U(1).

When written in the form (8), this theory is apparently nonrenormalizable but manifestly symmetric under GL(1, C). When written in the form (13), it is soluble and finite but only the U(1) part of the symmetry is obvious.

For n > 1 the noncompact and non-Abelian aspects of the GL(n, C) gauge theory become intertwined and no exact solution is available. One may impose the ghost-free gauge condition, g=1, and fix the remaining compact gauge freedom, but it is not known whether the resulting perturbation theory would be renormalizable. However, if

gauge theories of noncompact, non-Abelian groups are renormalizable or otherwise implementable, then they might be used to form unified theories of the strong and electro-weak interactions, for they possess an intrinsic geometrical mechanism that gives a mass to some of the vector mesons (namely those associated with the generators of the noncompact part of the group). Existing unified gauge theories use the Higgs mechanism, which is somewhat arbitrary and unmotivated, for that purpose.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to Professor N. Fuchs, Professor D. Lichtenberg, and Professor D. R. Stump for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under contracts to Indiana University and to Harvard University (EY76-C-02-3064 000).

³If quantization is carried out in the temporal gauge, A_0 = 0, then A_i and g commute and the brackets refer only to the operator ordering of g and \dot{g} which can be arranged so that (9) follows.

^{*}Present address: Lyman Laboratory of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138. ¹K. Cahill, Phys. Rev. D 18, 2930 (1978).

²S. L. Glashow and M. Gell-Mann, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 15, 437 (1961).