

relation to fit the data. In other words, Eq. (9) might be useful if the measured amplitude did not satisfy the usual dispersion relation within experimental error. Then if Eq. (9) were satisfied only for l larger than some l_0 it would appear that causality was violated to distances of l_0 . However, as long as the usual dispersion relation fits the experiments, Eq. (9) is of no use in bounding a fundamental length.

In conclusion, the only believable limit on a fundamental length at this time is given by the dimensional argument that since dispersion relations work at energies up to 20 BeV, a fundamental noncausal length is unlikely to be much larger than $\hbar c/(20 \text{ BeV}) = 10^{-15} \text{ cm}$. It should be understood that this is a purely dimensional

argument and should be viewed with the appropriate caution.⁹

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Sidney Drell for suggesting that we study the role of causality in dispersion relations. We would also like to thank J. Finkelstein for discussions on the nonuniqueness of the solution to the noncausal dispersion relation.

⁹ An excellent example of the pitfalls of dimensional analysis occurs in calculation of the muon decay width [c.f. S. Gasiorowicz, *Elementary Particle Physics* (Wiley, New York, 1966)]. Dimensional analysis gives $\Gamma \sim G^2 m_\mu^5$, while a detailed calculation in the V-A theory gives $\Gamma = (1/196\pi^3) G^2 m_\mu^5$, a difference of nearly four orders of magnitude.

Structure of an Equal-Time Commutator Occurring in a Theory of Currents

M. A. AHMED*

International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy

AND

D. B. FAIRLIE

Mathematics Department, University of Durham, Durham, United Kingdom

(Received 29 June 1970)

The structure of an equal-time commutator involving the time component of the axial-vector current and its divergence is investigated. It is shown that the value of the equal-time commutator, formerly given by a power-series expansion, can be obtained in a closed form.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE model due to Sugawara¹ is a concrete realization of a theory of hadron dynamics envisaged by Dashen and Sharp² based on the idea that the vector currents V_μ^i and the axial-vector currents A_μ^i should be considered as local observables in terms of which one can formulate the theory. The dynamical content of Sugawara's model resides in an explicit representation of the energy-momentum tensor of the hadrons $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$ as a bilinear form

$$\Theta^{\mu\nu}(x) = (1/2C)[V_i^\mu(x)V_i^\nu(x) + V_i^\nu(x)V_i^\mu(x) - g^{\mu\nu}V_i^\rho(x)V_{i\rho}(x)] + (V \leftrightarrow A). \quad (1.1)$$

Dashen and Frishman³ then pointed out that $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$ as given by Eq. (1.1) can be put in the form

$$\Theta^{\mu\nu}(x) = \Theta_+^{\mu\nu}(x) + \Theta_-^{\mu\nu}(x), \quad (1.2)$$

where Θ_\pm are bilinear forms of the same structure as $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$ but constructed out of currents $J_{\pm i}^\mu = \frac{1}{2}(V_i^\mu \pm A_i^\mu)$. They then demonstrated that $\Theta_\pm^{\mu\nu}$ are separately conserved, commute with each other at equal times, and transform into one another under parity. This feature then leads to the invariance group $P_+ \otimes P_-$, with P_+ and P_- being two commuting Poincaré groups which transform into each other under the parity operation. These authors then argued that this aspect of the model, which they called too much symmetry, requires parity doubling of the spectrum of particles.

A way out of this difficulty was proposed by Cronin and Guralnik⁴ by insisting that Θ_+ should be identically equal to Θ_- instead of being related to it by parity. For this equality to hold one must abandon the algebra of fields⁵ which the currents V_i^μ and A_i^μ are supposed to satisfy in Sugawara's model. Instead, these authors proposed an algebra with q -number Schwinger terms. For $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$ they adopt the same form as (1.1), i.e., they write

$$\Theta^{\mu\nu} = \Theta_+^{\mu\nu} + \Theta_-^{\mu\nu},$$

* On leave of absence from the Department of Physics, University of Khartoum, Sudan.

¹ H. Sugawara, Phys. Rev. **170**, 1659 (1968); that the Sugawara $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$ should be interpreted as a limit was discussed recently by S. Coleman, D. Gross, and R. Jackiw, *ibid.* **180**, 1359 (1969).

² R. F. Dashen and D. H. Sharp, Phys. Rev. **165**, 1857 (1968); D. H. Sharp, *ibid.* **165**, 1867 (1968).

³ R. F. Dashen and Y. Frishman, Phys. Rev. Letters **22**, 572 (1969).

⁴ J. A. Cronin and G. S. Guralnik, Phys. Rev. **184**, 1803 (1969).

⁵ T. D. Lee, S. Weinberg, and B. Zumino, Phys. Rev. Letters **18**, 1029 (1967).

where

$$\Theta_{\pm}{}^{\mu\nu} = (1/f^2)[J_{\pm i}{}^{\mu}(x)J_{\pm i}{}^{\nu}(x) + J_{\pm i}{}^{\nu}(x)J_{\pm i}{}^{\mu}(x) - g^{\mu\nu}J_{\pm i}{}^{\rho}(x)J_{\pm i\rho}(x)], \quad (1.3)$$

and, of course

$$\Theta_{+}{}^{\mu\nu} = \Theta_{-}{}^{\mu\nu}. \quad (1.4)$$

Equation (1.4) leads to a rather strong condition on the bilinear product of currents⁴ and, furthermore, imposing the fundamental Schwinger condition⁶ as well as the Heisenberg equations of motion leads to eigenvalue-like equations for the Schwinger terms.

Both Sugawara's model and the Cronin-Guralnik model possess $SU(3) \otimes SU(3)$ or $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$ symmetry, depending on whether one considers the full octets of vector and axial-vector currents or only the isovector currents. Extensions of these models that break the conservation of the axial-vector currents, and hence reduce the symmetry to $SU(3)$ or $SU(2)$ only, have been proposed in Refs. 7 and 8. The basic idea is that one extends the set of independent dynamical variables in terms of which one expresses all quantities occurring in the theory to include, besides the vector and axial-vector currents, the divergences of the axial-vector currents D_i . The dynamical content of such models is specified by requiring $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$ to read in the Sugawara case

$$\Theta^{\mu\nu}(x) = \Theta_s{}^{\mu\nu}(x) + \lambda g^{\mu\nu} D_i(x) D_i(x), \quad (1.5)$$

where $\Theta_s{}^{\mu\nu}$ is given by Eq. (1.1), while in the Cronin-Guralnik case $\Theta_{\pm}{}^{\mu\nu}$ should read

$$\Theta_{\pm}{}^{\mu\nu}(x) = \Theta_{\pm s}{}^{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda g^{\mu\nu} D_i(x) D_i(x), \quad (1.6)$$

where $\Theta_{\pm s}{}^{\mu\nu}$ is given by Eq. (1.3) and λ is a non-vanishing constant, i.e., the new energy-momentum tensors differ from those of the symmetrical models by the addition of a term proportional to the bilinear product $D_i(x)D_i(x)$. Such models have the merit that the chiral symmetry is broken without appealing to canonical fields,⁹ namely, in terms of quantities pertaining to the original dynamical variables on which the symmetrical models are based. The generalized models, of course, still possess $SU(3)$ or $SU(2)$ symmetry. In the extended models the following extra set of equal-time commutation relations are assumed to hold:

$$[V_i^0(x), D_j(y)]_{x_0=y_0} = i c_{ijk} D_k(x) \delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \quad (1.7)$$

$$[A_i^0(x), D_j(y)]_{x_0=y_0} = -i f_{ij}(x) \delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \quad (1.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [V_i^a(x), D_j(y)]_{x_0=y_0} &= [A_i^a(x), D_j(y)]_{x_0=y_0} \\ &= [D_i(x), D_j(y)]_{x_0=y_0} \\ &= [f_{ij}(x), D_k(y)]_{x_0=y_0} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

where c_{ijk} are the structure constants of the $SU(3)$ or

⁴ J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **130**, 406 (1963); **130**, 800 (1963).

⁷ M. A. Ahmed and M. O. Taha, Phys. Rev. **188**, 2517 (1969).

⁸ M. A. Ahmed, Nuovo Cimento **69A**, 47 (1970).

⁹ K. Bardakci, Y. Frishman, and M. B. Halpern, Phys. Rev. **170**, 1353 (1968).

$SU(2)$ group and $a=1,2,3$. The operator function $f_{ij}(x)$ is a Lorentz scalar function that commutes with $D_k(y)$ at equal times and that is subject to the constraint

$$2\lambda f_{ij}(x) D_j(x) = D_i(x). \quad (1.10)$$

This equation states that in the space of internal quantum number the operator function f_{ij} when acting on the operator D_j leaves it essentially invariant. Stated differently, the column vector \mathbf{D} whose elements are the D_i 's is an eigenvector of the matrix \mathbf{f} whose elements are the f_{ij} 's with an eigenvalue $1/2\lambda$.

A power-series representation for f_{ij} that satisfies the constraint (1.10) was obtained in Refs. 7 and 8 in the case of $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$. One assumes that f_{ij} is a functional of the divergences D_i and writes

$$f_{ij} = f_{ij}(\mathbf{D}). \quad (1.11)$$

With such a structure, the last commutator in Eq. (1.9) is automatically satisfied if the one that immediately precedes it holds and it is not necessary to require it to hold separately. Now, since $f_{ij}(\mathbf{D})$ has even parity, its most general form is¹⁰

$$f_{ij}(\mathbf{D}) = f(\mathbf{D}^2) \delta_{ij} + g(D^2) D_i D_j, \quad (1.12)$$

with f and D being isotopic scalar functions of \mathbf{D}^2 . The Jacobi identity between $A_i^0(x')$, $A_j^0(x)$, and $D_k(y)$ then leads to the relation

$$2ff' + 2\mathbf{D}^2 f' g - fg + 1 = 0. \quad (1.13)$$

In terms of (1.12), Eq. (1.10) reads

$$f + \mathbf{D}^2 g = 1/2\lambda. \quad (1.14)$$

A power-series solution for f and g that satisfies Eqs. (1.13) and (1.14) was given as (writing $z = \mathbf{D}^2$)

$$f(z) = \eta - \frac{z}{3\eta} - \frac{z^2}{5\eta} \left(\frac{1}{3\eta}\right)^2 - \frac{2z^3}{35\eta^2} \left(\frac{1}{3\eta}\right)^3 - \dots, \quad (1.15)$$

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{3\eta} + \frac{z}{5\eta} \left(\frac{1}{3\eta}\right)^2 + \frac{2z^2}{35\eta^2} \left(\frac{1}{3\eta}\right)^3 + \dots, \quad (1.16)$$

with $\eta = 1/2\lambda$.

In this paper we wish to show that a solution to Eqs. (1.13) and (1.14) exists in a closed form. This is the subject of Sec. II. In Sec. III we state some conclusions.

II. CLOSED FORM FOR TRANSFORMATION FUNCTION f_{ij}

The equations we wish to solve are

$$2ff' + 2zf'g - fg + 1 = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$f + zg = \eta. \quad (2.2)$$

¹⁰ S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **166**, 1568 (1968).

Eliminating g from (2.1) by means of (2.2), we obtain

$$2\eta f' - \eta f/z + f^2/z + 1 = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

This equation is recognized to be of the form of a generalized Riccati differential equation.¹¹ The substitution

$$f = 2\eta(\omega'/\omega)z, \quad (2.4)$$

where ω is some function of z , transforms the differential equation (2.3) into a homogeneous linear second-order differential equation

$$4\eta^2(\omega''/\omega)z + 2\eta^2\omega'/\omega + 1 = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

It is easily verified that Eq. (2.5) can be cast into the form

$$z^{1/2} \frac{d}{dz} \omega' z^{1/2} + \frac{1}{4\eta^2} \omega = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

We now transform from the variable z to a new variable u simply related to z by

$$u^2 = z. \quad (2.7)$$

In terms of u the differential equation (2.6) becomes

$$\frac{d^2\omega}{du^2} + \frac{1}{\eta^2} \omega = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

which is nothing other than the standard equation for the simple harmonic oscillator. In terms of λ , (2.8) reads

$$\frac{d^2\omega}{du^2} + 4\lambda^2\omega = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Writing the solution of Eq. (2.9) as

$$\omega = A \cos 2\lambda u + B \sin 2\lambda u, \quad (2.10)$$

and going back to the original variables, we can now write

$$f(z) = \frac{z^{1/2}(-A + B \cot 2\lambda z^{1/2})}{A \cot 2\lambda z^{1/2} + B}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{2\lambda z} - \frac{z^{-1/2}(-A + B \cot 2\lambda z^{1/2})}{A \cot 2\lambda z^{1/2} + B}. \quad (2.12)$$

Note that whereas in Refs. 7 and 8 the parameter λ was required to be small to ensure convergence of the series (1.15) and (1.16), no such requirement on λ is needed in the present case.

¹¹ See, e.g., G. Birkhoff and G. C. Rota, *Ordinary Differential Equations* (Blaisdell, Waltham, Mass., 1962).

III. CONCLUSIONS

We now write the equal-time commutator (1.8) between A_i^0 and D_j in its final form:

$$\begin{aligned} & [A_i^0(x), D_j(y)]_{x_0=y_0} \\ &= -i \left[\frac{(\mathbf{D}^2)^{1/2} [-A + B \cot 2\lambda (\mathbf{D}^2)^{1/2}]}{A \cot 2\lambda (\mathbf{D}^2)^{1/2} + B} \delta_{ij} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda \mathbf{D}^2} - \frac{(\mathbf{D}^2)^{-1/2} [-A + B \cot 2\lambda (\mathbf{D}^2)^{1/2}]}{A \cot 2\lambda (\mathbf{D}^2)^{1/2} + B} \right) D_i D_j \right] \\ & \quad \times \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (3.1) \end{aligned}$$

We thus see that of the class of possible functions $f(\mathbf{D}^2)$ and $g(\mathbf{D}^2)$ entering the transformation law of the divergences under the time components of the axial-vector currents and satisfying Eq. (1.13), the constraint (1.14) helps to select the set of harmonic functions. Various simple choices of the constants A and B lead to simple expressions for the functions f and G . Thus, for example, the choice $A = 0, B \neq 0$ gives for the function f

$$f(\mathbf{D}^2) = (\mathbf{D}^2)^{1/2} \cot 2\lambda (\mathbf{D}^2)^{1/2}. \quad (3.2)$$

If we now make a tentative link with field theory by assuming PCAC in the operator form $D_i = C\pi_i$, with π_i being the pseudoscalar pion field and C a constant, then we see that a suitable choice of λ leads essentially to the function $f(\pi^2)$ occurring in the transformation law for the pion field in chiral Lagrangian theories as written down by Callan *et al.*,¹² by Isham,¹³ and by Charap.¹⁴

One may assume a general validity for (3.1), thus abstracting it from the model in which it was established. Extension of these considerations to the case of chiral $SU(3) \otimes SU(3)$ should be interesting.¹⁵

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

One of us (M.A.A.) would like to thank Professor Abdus Salam and Professor P. Budini as well as the International Atomic Energy Agency and UNESCO for the hospitality extended to him at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste. He is also grateful to the Swedish International Development Authority for a grant that made possible his visit to the Centre.

¹² S. Coleman, J. Wess, and B. Zumino, *Phys. Rev.* **177**, 2239 (1969); C. G. Callan, Jr., S. Coleman, J. Wess, and B. Zumino, *ibid.* **177**, 2247 (1969).

¹³ C. J. Isham, *Nuovo Cimento* **59A**, 356 (1969).

¹⁴ J. M. Charap, *Can. J. Phys.* (to be published).

¹⁵ In this connection, see remarks in Ref. 7.