

Answer to "Comments on 'Duality and Nonleptonic Hyperon Decay'"

SHMUEL NUSSINOV*

Physics Department, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, Israel

AND

JONATHAN L. ROSNER†

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

(Received 10 June 1970)

A recent result on duality in nonleptonic hyperon decay is clarified.

THE point at issue¹ is to what extent small errors in estimates of pole terms affect S - and P -wave nonleptonic hyperon decays. One does not need current algebra or partial conservation of axial-vector current to compare the processes $KB \rightarrow \pi B$ and $\kappa B \rightarrow \pi B$, which are the respective hadronic analogs of these

processes. In the former, the pole terms *do* play a much smaller role than in the latter, and one can check the magnitude of such pole terms in the former by looking at a host of observed amplitudes. Our claim was that duality is much more likely to work well when local fluctuations are a small part of the total amplitude. It is *not* that pole terms can be neglected completely in S -wave decays.

* Work supported in part by the U. S. Air Force under Grant No. EOOAR-68-0010 through the European Office of Aerospace Research.

† Work supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission under Contract No. AT(11-1)-1764.

¹ See S. Pakvasa, preceding paper, Phys. Rev. D 2, 1353 (1970).

The mass differences for $\frac{1}{2}^+$ Born terms arise in our work *without* assuming derivative couplings. We know of no other accepted form than derivative couplings for the $\frac{3}{2}^+$ Born terms.

Errata

Production of Single W Mesons in Electron-Positron Colliding Beams and in Electron or Muon Scattering Experiments, F. A. BERENDS AND GEOFFREY B. WEST [Phys. Rev. D 1, 122 (1970)].
(1) Equation (20): The factor $G_W \alpha / p_1 m$ should read $(G_W \alpha / p_1 m)^2$; B should read $-B$ and C should be replaced by

$$C = M^2(S - m^2)^2 / E^2.$$

(2) In the Appendix the first term in β_2 should read

$$(2/a_1)K^2(m^2 - M_W^2).$$

We would like to thank Dr. A. Zepeda for bringing these misprints to our attention.

Finite-Energy Sum Rules and the Process $0^- + 0^- \rightarrow 0^- + 0^-$, CHRISTOPH SCHMID AND JOEL YELLIN [Phys. Rev. 182, 1449 (1969)]. There are three misprints in Sec. III which should be corrected as follows:

Equation (3.6) should read

$$D_{abs}D_{cds} + D_{ads}D_{bcs} - \lambda_1 D_{cas}D_{bds} + \xi_1 (F_{abs}F_{cds} - F_{ads}F_{bcs}) = 0.$$

Equation (3.10) should read

$$\lambda_1 = 2.$$

In Ref. 17 read "(d)" for "(c)".