

Path integrals for a particle in curved space

Leonard Parker

Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201

(Received 28 June 1978)

We consider a particle obeying the Schrödinger equation in a general curved n -dimensional space, with arbitrary linear coupling to the scalar curvature of the space. We give the Feynman path-integral expressions for the probability amplitude, $\langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle$, for the particle to go from x' to x in time s . This generalizes results of DeWitt, Cheng, and Hartle and Hawking. We show in particular, that there is a one-parameter family of covariant representations of the path integral corresponding to a given amplitude. These representations are different in that the covariant expressions for the incremental amplitudes, $\langle x_{i+1}, s_i + \epsilon | x_i, s_i \rangle$, appearing in the definition of the path integral, differ even to first order in ϵ (after dropping common factors). Finally, using the proper-time representation, we give the corresponding generally covariant expressions for the propagator of a scalar field with arbitrary linear coupling to the scalar curvature of the spacetime.

I. INTRODUCTION

The dynamical system under consideration obeys the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \langle x, s | \psi \rangle = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} g^{\alpha\beta}(x) \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta + \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \xi R \right] \langle x, s | \psi \rangle, \tag{1}$$

where $g_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ is the metric of the n -dimensional space, R is the scalar curvature, and ∇_α is the covariant derivative formed from that metric, with the wave function $\langle x, s | \psi \rangle$ regarded as a scalar at x (summation over α and β from 1 to n is understood). Here ξ is an arbitrary dimensionless coupling constant. This equation can be viewed as the Schrödinger equation of a particle

of mass μ moving in an n -dimensional curved space (or more generally of a dynamical system having an n -dimensional configuration space, with the metric determined by the expression for the kinetic energy). Equation (1) also appears in connection with the proper-time representation of the propagator of a scalar field $\phi(x)$ satisfying the field equation (in units with $\hbar = c = 1$ and $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$)

$$(-\nabla^\alpha \nabla_\alpha + \xi R + m^2)\phi(x) = 0, \tag{2}$$

as will be discussed in the final section.

We show that the probability amplitude $\langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle$ satisfying Eq. (1) and the boundary condition

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle = [g(x)]^{-1/2} \delta(x - x') \tag{3}$$

can be written in the path-integral form

$$\langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle = \int d[x(s')] [\Delta^p] \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^s ds' \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mu g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds'} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds'} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \left[\xi + \frac{1}{3}(p-1) \right] R(x) \right\} \right), \tag{4}$$

where p is a dimensionless parameter which can be chosen at will. The meaning of the notation $[\Delta^p]$ and the measure of the path integration will be defined in detail in Sec. II.

The case $p=0$, $\xi = \frac{1}{3}$, in which Eq. (4) reduces to

$$\langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle = \int d[x(s')] \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^s ds' \frac{1}{2} \mu g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds'} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds'} \right), \tag{5}$$

has been given before by DeWitt,¹ who was the first to notice that a scalar curvature term appears in Eq. (1), and by Cheng.² They used units with $\mu = 1$. The same case, in units with $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$, was also discussed by Hartle and Hawking,³ who made use of Riemann normal coordinates, a technique which we will use in Sec. II. The case $\xi = \frac{1}{6}$ was also considered in Ref. 1. The case with ξ general was briefly considered in Ref. 3, but the expression suggested there does not yield a result for $\langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle$ with the correct transformation properties.

II. PROOF THAT SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION IS SATISFIED

The path integral in Eq. (4) can be defined⁴ by breaking the time interval from 0 to s into $N+1$ equal increments of length ϵ , and writing

$$\langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\mu}{2\pi i \epsilon} \right)^{n(N+1)/2} \int \prod_{j=1}^N \{d^n x_j [g(x_j)]^{1/2}\} \\ \times \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^N \left[i \int_{i\epsilon}^{(i+1)\epsilon} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds'} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds'} - \frac{\lambda}{2\mu} R \right) ds' + p \ln \Delta(x_{i+1}, x_i) \right] \right\}, \quad (6)$$

where $d^n x_j = dx_j^1 dx_j^2 \cdots dx_j^n$, $g = \det(g_{\alpha\beta})$, $s = (N+1)\epsilon$, $x_0 = x'$, $x_{N+1} = x$, and

$$\lambda = \xi + \frac{1}{2}(p-1). \quad (7)$$

The integral from $s' = l\epsilon$ to $s' = (l+1)\epsilon$ is along the (shortest) geodesic path $x(s')$, from x_l to x_{l+1} . We are using units with $\hbar = c = 1$, and taking the spatial metric signature as $(+, +, \dots)$. If the signature of $g_{\alpha\beta}$ were $(-, +, +, \dots)$, then a further factor of $(1/i)^{N+1}$ would be present in Eq. (6), and $(g)^{1/2}$ would be replaced by $(-g)^{1/2}$. The quantity $\Delta(x_{i+1}, x_i)$ is defined as

$$\Delta(x_{i+1}, x_i) \equiv [g(x_{i+1})]^{-1/2} \det \left[- \frac{\partial^2 \sigma(x_{i+1}, x_i)}{\partial x_{i+1}^\alpha \partial x_i^\beta} \right] [g(x_i)]^{-1/2}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\sigma(x_{i+1}, x_i) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{i\epsilon}^{(i+1)\epsilon} ds' \left[g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds'} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds'} \right]^{1/2} \quad (9)$$

is $\frac{1}{2}$ of the proper arc length along the geodesic from x_i to x_{i+1} . The symbol $[\Delta^p]$ in Eq. (4) indicates that each term of the form $\exp[i \int_{i\epsilon}^{(i+1)\epsilon} ds' (\dots)]$ in Eq. (6) is multiplied by a factor of $[\Delta(x_{i+1}, x_i)]^p$. The quantity $\Delta(x_{i+1}, x_i)$ transforms as a scalar at x_{i+1} and at x_i . In flat space $\Delta(x_{i+1}, x_i) = 1$ and $R = 0$, so that Eq. (6) reduces to the correct flat-space limit. In the classical limit, $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ [see Eq. (4) for the placement of \hbar], the path integral is clearly dominated by the geodesic from x' to x for which the classical action $\int_0^s \frac{1}{2} \mu g_{\alpha\beta} (dx^\alpha/ds')(dx^\beta/ds') ds'$ is stationary.

From Eq. (6) it follows that

$$\langle x, s + \epsilon | x', 0 \rangle = \left(\frac{\mu}{2\pi i \epsilon} \right)^{n/2} \int d^n x_{N+1} [g(x_{N+1})]^{1/2} [\Delta(x, x_{N+1})]^p \\ \times \exp \left[i \int_{(N+1)\epsilon}^{(N+2)\epsilon} ds' \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds'} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds'} - \frac{\lambda}{2\mu} R \right) \right] \langle x_{N+1}, s | x', 0 \rangle, \quad (10)$$

where now $x_{N+2} = x$. To show that Eq. (1) is satisfied, introduce Riemann normal coordinates⁵ with origin at x . [We assume that these coordinates are good out to x_{N+1} . As the main contribution to the integral in Eq. (10) comes from x_{N+1} close to x as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, this appears to be justified if the geodesics near x are continuous and do not intersect.] Let y^μ be the Riemann normal coordinate of the point x_{N+1} . The geodesic joining x to x_{N+1} is linear in these coordinates, and it can be shown that

$$\int_{(N+1)\epsilon}^{(N+2)\epsilon} ds' g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds'} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds'} = \epsilon^{-1} \delta_{\alpha\beta} y^\alpha y^\beta, \quad (11)$$

where we have, without loss of generality, taken the metric tensor at $y = 0$ to be $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ in these coordinates. One also has

$$\sigma(x, x_{N+1}) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha\beta} y^\alpha y^\beta, \quad (12)$$

so that Eq. (8) yields

$$\Delta(x, x_{N+1}) = [g(y)]^{-1/2}. \quad (13)$$

From Eq. (11) it is clear that the contributions to the integral in Eq. (10) come mainly from the

region in which $\delta_{\alpha\beta} y^\alpha y^\beta \lesssim \mu \epsilon$. Thus, we expand the quantities appearing in Eq. (10) about $y = 0$ (only the terms up to order y^2 will contribute to order ϵ) and extend the range of integration from $-\infty$ to ∞ (if it is not already over that range). One has⁵

$$[g(y)]^{(1-p)/2} = 1 - \frac{1}{6}(1-p) R_{\mu\lambda} y^\mu y^\lambda + O(y^3), \quad (14)$$

where $R_{\mu\lambda}$ is evaluated at $y = 0$. Also

$$\langle x_{N+1}, s | x', 0 \rangle = \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle + y^\lambda \partial_\lambda \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle \\ + \frac{1}{2} y^\lambda y^\mu \partial_\lambda \partial_\mu \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle + \dots, \quad (15)$$

where

$$\partial_\lambda \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle \equiv [\partial \langle x_{N+1}, s | x', 0 \rangle / \partial y^\lambda]_{y=0}.$$

Furthermore, expanding $R(y)$ about $y = 0$ and integrating along the geodesic gives

$$\int_{(N+1)\epsilon}^{(N+2)\epsilon} ds' R(y) = \epsilon [R + \frac{1}{2} y^\mu R_{;\mu} + O(y^2)], \quad (16)$$

where R and $R_{;\mu}$ are evaluated at $y=0$. Therefore

$$\exp\left[-\frac{i\lambda}{2\mu}\int_{(N+1)\epsilon}^{(N+2)\epsilon} ds'R(y)\right] = 1 - \frac{i\lambda}{2\mu}\epsilon[R + \frac{1}{2}y^\mu R_{;\mu} + O(y^2)]. \quad (17)$$

Substituting Eqs. (14), (15), and (17) into Eq. (10), using y as the variable of integration, and retaining only terms which will give contributions up to order ϵ , one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, s + \epsilon | x', 0 \rangle &= \left(\frac{\mu}{2\pi i \epsilon}\right)^{n/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^4 y \exp\left[i\frac{1}{2}\mu\epsilon^{-1}\delta_{\alpha\beta}y^\alpha y^\beta\right] \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle - \left[\frac{1}{6}(1-p)R_{\mu\lambda}y^\mu y^\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda/2\mu)R\right] \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}y^\mu y^\lambda \partial_\mu \partial_\lambda \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle + \dots \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Terms odd in y have been dropped because their integrals vanish. The remaining Gaussian integrals are

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^n y \exp\left(i\frac{1}{2}\mu\epsilon^{-1}\delta_{\alpha\beta}y^\alpha y^\beta\right) = \left(\frac{2\pi i \epsilon}{\mu}\right)^{n/2} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^n y y^\mu y^\lambda \exp\left(i\frac{1}{2}\mu\epsilon^{-1}\delta_{\alpha\beta}y^\alpha y^\beta\right) = \left(\frac{2\pi i \epsilon}{\mu}\right)^{n/2} \delta^{\mu\lambda} \left(\frac{i\epsilon}{\mu}\right). \quad (20)$$

Hence

$$\langle x, s + \epsilon | x', 0 \rangle = \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle - \frac{i\epsilon\lambda R}{2\mu} \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle + \frac{i\epsilon}{\mu} \delta^{\mu\lambda} \left[\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \partial_\lambda \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle - \frac{1}{6} (1-p) R_{\mu\lambda} \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle \right] + O(\epsilon^2), \quad (21)$$

and in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\mu} \delta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta + \left[\frac{1}{6\mu} (1-p) + \frac{\lambda}{2\mu} \right] R \right\} \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle. \quad (22)$$

Using Eq. (7) for λ , and returning to general coordinates yields

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\mu} \nabla^\alpha \nabla_\alpha + \frac{1}{2\mu} \xi R \right\} \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle, \quad (23)$$

which shows that the path-integral expression for $\langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation [Eq. (1)].

To show that the boundary condition of Eq. (3) is satisfied, write Eq. (6) in the form

$$\langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \prod_{j=1}^N \{ d^n x_j [g(x_j)]^{\mu/2} \} \langle x, s | x_N, N\epsilon \rangle \langle x_N, N\epsilon | x_{N-1}, (N-1)\epsilon \rangle \cdots \langle x_1, \epsilon | x', 0 \rangle, \quad (24)$$

with

$$\langle x_{l+1}, (l+1)\epsilon | x_l, l\epsilon \rangle = \left(\frac{\mu}{2\pi i \epsilon}\right)^{n/2} [\Delta(x_{l+1}, x_l)]^p \exp\left[i \int_{t_l}^{(l+1)\epsilon} ds' \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{dx_\alpha}{ds'} \frac{dx_\beta}{ds'} - \frac{\lambda}{2\mu} R \right)\right], \quad (25)$$

where the integration is along the geodesic path $x(s')$ from x_l to x_{l+1} . Working in Riemann normal coordinates at x_{l+1} and using the previously given expansions about $y=0$, one finds for an arbitrary smooth function $f(x)$ that

$$\int d^n x_l [g(x_l)]^{\mu/2} \langle x_{l+1}, (l+1)\epsilon | x_l, l\epsilon \rangle f(x_l) = f(x_{l+1}) + O(\epsilon). \quad (26)$$

It then follows from Eq. (24) by repeated use of Eq. (26) that

$$\int d^n x' [g(x')]^{\mu/2} \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle f(x') = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [f(x) + O(N\epsilon)] = f(x) + O(s),$$

since $s = (N+1)\epsilon$ is held fixed as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Taking the limit $s \rightarrow 0$ now yields Eq. (3).

Thus, the path integral of Eq. (4), with p having any given value, satisfies Eqs. (1) and (3). Therefore that path integral, which gives $\langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle$, is independent of the value of p . By choosing $p = 0$ one can eliminate the $[\Delta^p]$ term in Eq. (4), or by choosing $p = 1 - 3\xi$ one can eliminate the scalar curvature term in Eq. (4). When the path integral is written as in Eq. (24) each factor $\langle x_{i+1}, (l+1)\epsilon | x_i, l\epsilon \rangle$ does depend on p . Each factor has the form of Eq. (25), and is thus a scalar at x_{i+1} and at x_i for all values of p . It is interesting that for different values of p , the corresponding expressions for

$$(2\pi i\epsilon/\mu)^{n/2} \exp\left(-i \int_0^\epsilon ds' \frac{1}{2} \mu g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta\right) \langle x, \epsilon | x', 0 \rangle$$

differ even to first order in ϵ . For example, one has from Eq. (24), using Riemann normal coordinates at x , that

$$\left(\frac{2\pi i\epsilon}{\mu}\right)^{n/2} \exp(-i \frac{1}{2} \mu \epsilon^{-1} \delta_{\alpha\beta} y^\alpha y^\beta) \langle x, \epsilon | x', 0 \rangle \\ = 1 + \frac{1}{6} p R_{\mu\nu} y^\mu y^\nu - i\epsilon \frac{\lambda}{2\mu} R + \dots, \quad (27)$$

with λ given by Eq. (7). It is only after integration over $d^n y [g(y)]^{1/2}$ in Eq. (10) that p drops out of $\langle x, s + \epsilon | x', 0 \rangle$ to first order in ϵ . We know of no other system in which expressions for $\langle x_{i+1}, (l+1)\epsilon | x_i, l\epsilon \rangle$ in a path integral have been shown to exist which differ (after common factors are dropped) to order ϵ , but nevertheless yield the same result for $\langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle$. That the additional requirement of covariance under general transformations of the coordinates x_i can also be met

$$G(x, x') = \int_0^\infty ids e^{-im^2s} \int d[x(s')] [\Delta^p] \exp\left[i \int_0^s ds' \left(\frac{1}{4} g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds'} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds'} - \left[\xi + \frac{1}{3}(p-1)\right] R(x)\right)\right]. \quad (31)$$

If we replace $\exp(-im^2s)$ by $\exp(-im^2s - s^{-1}\delta)$ where δ is a small positive quantity to be taken to zero at the end of the calculation, then Eq. (31) can be shown to yield the Feynman propagator in the flat-spacetime limit. One is always free to eliminate the scalar curvature term in Eq. (31) by choosing $p = 1 - 3\xi$, or to eliminate the Δ term by choosing $p = 0$. The propagator $G(x, x')$ is independent of the value of p . It is interesting

by the $\langle x_{i+1}, (l+1)\epsilon | x_i, \epsilon \rangle$ for all values of p is rather surprising.

III. PROPAGATOR FOR SCALAR FIELD

Consider the following curved spacetime generalization of the Minkowski space scalar field equation:

$$(-g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta + \xi R + m^2)\phi(x) = 0, \quad (28)$$

where m is the mass of the particles associated with the field, and the metric now has the signature $(-, +, +, \dots)$ in this n -dimensional spacetime. For $\xi = 0$ one has minimal coupling, and for $\xi = \frac{1}{6}$ one has conformal coupling. The Green's function satisfying

$$(-\nabla^\alpha \nabla_\alpha + \xi R + m^2)G(x, x') = [-g(x)]^{-1/2} \delta(x - x') \quad (29)$$

can be written in the proper-time representation^{6,7} as

$$G(x, x') = \int_0^\infty ids e^{-im^2s} \langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle, \quad (30)$$

where $\langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle$ satisfies Eq. (23) with $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$, and the boundary condition of Eq. (3) with $g^{-1/2}$ replaced by $(-g)^{-1/2}$. The parameter s is known as the "proper time" because it does not change under transformation of the spacetime coordinates x^μ . With the metric signature $(-, +, +, \dots)$, one can show that Eqs. (4) and (6) remain valid, except that $(g)^{\pm 1/2}$ is replaced by $(-g)^{\pm 1/2}$ in Eq. (6) and in the definition of Δ in Eq. (8), and a further normalization factor $(1/i)^{N+1}$ appears in Eq. (6), as well as a further factor of (-1) on the right-hand side of Eq. (8). Thus, one has the generally covariant expression

that if one uses the simplest path-integral expression, corresponding to Eq. (5), for $\langle x, s | x', 0 \rangle$ in Eq. (30), then one obtains the propagator for Eq. (28) with $\xi = \frac{1}{3}$, which is neither the minimal nor the conformal coupling.⁸

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant No. PHY 77-07111).

¹B. S. DeWitt, Rev. Mod. Phys. **29**, 377 (1957).

²K. S. Cheng, J. Math. Phys. **13**, 1723 (1972).

³J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 2188 (1976), Appendix.

⁴R. P. Feynman, Rev. Mod. Phys. **20**, 327 (1948).

⁵These coordinates are described in A. Z. Petrov, *Einstein Spaces* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1969).

⁶J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **82**, 664 (1951).

⁷B. S. DeWitt, Phys. Rep. **19C**, 295 (1975).

⁸For another context in which curvature enters into the path-integral formulation see M. M. Mizrahi, unpublished report, Center for Naval Research of the U. of Rochester. I thank Professor C. M. DeWitt for pointing out this reference.