

$\delta(980)$ as a four-quark state and the radiative decays of vector and scalar mesons

Gary K. Greenhut* and Gerald W. Intemann

Department of Physics, Seton Hall University, South Orange, New Jersey 07079

(Received 20 February 1979)

A large phenomenological value for the decay rate $\Gamma(\delta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ contradicts the very small values obtained in a model assuming the $\delta(980)$ is a two-quark state, implying that the δ should be treated as a four-quark state. The Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka rule then gives a large ratio of the δ - ρ - ϕ to δ - ρ - ω couplings which is opposite to previous estimates based on vector dominance. Previous calculations of δ radiative decays and three-body radiative decays of vector mesons are modified and new decay rates are obtained.

In a previous calculation of the decay rate for $\eta \rightarrow \pi\gamma\gamma$ in which the intermediate state is the $\delta(980)$,¹ we found that a good fit to the data is obtained if the decay rate $\Gamma(\delta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ is 550 ± 270 keV.² Eilam has pointed out³ that this large rate for $\delta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ contradicts the results of Babcock and Rosner,⁴ who obtain values ranging from 0 to ~ 370 eV depending on the choice of parameters in their two-quark model of the δ . This contradiction suggests that the two-quark description of the δ is incorrect and is evidence that the δ is the four-quark state $u\bar{d}s\bar{s}$ proposed by Jaffe.⁵ The large rate found for $\delta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ is consistent with the equally large rate needed for the two-photon decay of the charmed δ_c in order to explain⁶ the 2.88-GeV enhancement seen in $\pi^+p \rightarrow n\gamma\gamma$ at $p_{lab} = 40$ GeV/c.⁷

In our previous calculations,^{1,8} it has been necessary to evaluate the ratio $\beta = g_{\delta\rho\phi}/g_{\delta\rho\omega}$. Up until now, we have used a value $\beta \approx 0.07$ which is obtained by setting β equal to $g_{\pi\rho\phi}/g_{\pi\rho\omega}$, where this ratio is calculated using the vector-dominance model and the ratio of the decay widths for $\phi \rightarrow \pi\gamma$ and $\rho \rightarrow \pi\gamma$. Eilam³ has pointed out that if the δ is indeed a four-quark state, the Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka (OZI) rule⁹ implies that the ratio β could be as large as 10 or larger. This is because the four quarks of the δ connect without annihilation to $\rho\phi$, but not to $\rho\omega$. We reexamine our previous calculations of the radiative decays of the $\delta(980)$ (Ref. 2) and the three-body radiative decays of vector mesons⁸ in the light of these observations, by recalculating the decay rates for an arbitrary value of β and then letting $\beta \approx 10$, as implied by the OZI rule.

The following relationship is obtained by assuming that the decay $\delta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ is mediated by vector mesons:

$$g = 2\pi\alpha g_{\delta\rho\omega} \frac{1}{\gamma_\rho} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_\omega} + \beta \frac{1}{\gamma_\phi} \right), \quad (1)$$

where g is the δ - γ - γ coupling. The vector-

meson-photon couplings from photoproduction¹⁰

$$\frac{\gamma_\rho^2}{4\pi} = 0.61, \quad \frac{\gamma_\omega^2}{4\pi} = 7.6, \quad \frac{\gamma_\phi^2}{4\pi} = 5.9,$$

and $\Gamma(\delta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 550 \pm 270$ keV give

$$g_{\delta\rho\omega} = \frac{1}{\gamma_\phi/\gamma_\omega + \beta} (170 \pm 40) \text{ GeV}^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where $\gamma_\phi/\gamma_\omega = 0.88$. For radiative decays in which two diagrams contribute, one with an ω converting to a photon and the other with a ϕ converting to a photon, (1) and (2) imply that varying β will not change the calculated decay rate. This is because the overall amplitude is proportional to $g_{\delta\rho\omega}(1/\gamma_\omega + \beta/\gamma_\phi)$, which is constant. Our previous calculations of the three- and four-body radiative decays of η^1 are of this type.

We first consider the radiative decays of the $\delta(980)$. Since the decay $\delta \rightarrow \rho\gamma$ is mediated by both ω and ϕ , our previous result is unchanged,

$$\Gamma(\delta \rightarrow \rho\gamma) = 4.7 \pm 2.1 \text{ MeV}. \quad (3)$$

However, for $\delta \rightarrow \omega\gamma$, only the ρ mediates and we now have

$$\Gamma(\delta \rightarrow \omega\gamma) = \frac{1}{(\gamma_\phi/\gamma_\omega + \beta)^2} (38 \pm 16 \text{ MeV}). \quad (4)$$

The expressions for the decay rates in Ref. 2 are generalized to include an arbitrary value of β . For the three-body decays,

$$\Gamma(\delta^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm\gamma\gamma) = 1.1 \pm 0.8 \text{ keV}, \quad (5a)$$

$$\Gamma(\delta^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma\gamma) = 1.1 \pm 0.8 \text{ keV} + \frac{1}{(\gamma_\phi/\gamma_\omega + \beta)^2} \times (3.4 \pm 1.6 \text{ MeV}). \quad (5b)$$

For the decay $\delta \rightarrow \eta\gamma\gamma$, the narrow-width approximation is used to obtain the contributions mediated by the ρ and ω . Two sets of branching ratios, $B(\rho \rightarrow \eta\gamma)$ and $B(\omega \rightarrow \eta\gamma)$, exist, depending

on the choice of relative phase between the ρ and ω contributions.¹¹ For 0° relative phase

$$B(\rho \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (3.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4},$$

$$B(\omega \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (3.0 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-4},$$

and for 180° relative phase

$$B(\rho \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (5.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4},$$

$$B(\omega \rightarrow \eta\gamma) = (29 \pm 7) \times 10^{-4}.$$

The decay rates of Ref. 2 then become, for 0° relative phase,

$$\Gamma(\delta \rightarrow \eta\gamma\gamma) = (1.7 \pm 1.2 \text{ keV})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(\gamma_\phi/\gamma_\omega + \beta)^2}$$

$$\times [(11 \pm 11 \text{ keV}) + \beta^2(5.0 \pm 2.2 \text{ keV})], \quad (6)$$

and for the 180° relative phase,

$$\Gamma(\delta \rightarrow \eta\gamma\gamma) = (2.5 \pm 1.6 \text{ keV})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(\gamma_\phi/\gamma_\omega + \beta)^2}$$

$$\times [(110 \pm 70 \text{ keV}) + \beta^2(5.0 \pm 2.2 \text{ keV})]. \quad (7)$$

Modifications of the expression for the four-body radiative decays of the δ in Ref. 2 proceed in an analogous way. The results are

$$\Gamma(\delta^\pm \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma) = \frac{1}{(\gamma_\phi/\gamma_\omega + \beta)^2} (0.41 \pm 0.38 \text{ eV}), \quad (8a)$$

$$\Gamma(\delta^\pm \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma) = \frac{1}{(\gamma_\phi/\gamma_\omega + \beta)^2} (120 \pm 60 \text{ keV}), \quad (8b)$$

$$\Gamma(\delta^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma) = \frac{1}{(\gamma_\phi/\gamma_\omega + \beta)^2} (34 \pm 15 \text{ MeV}), \quad (8c)$$

$$\Gamma(\delta \rightarrow \pi\pi\gamma\gamma) = \frac{1}{(\gamma_\phi/\gamma_\omega + \beta)^2} (0.98 \pm 0.80 \text{ eV}). \quad (9)$$

In (9), the rate applies to both $\delta^\pm \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \gamma\gamma$ and $\delta^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma\gamma$. In the model used in Ref. 2, the decay rates for $\delta^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$ and $\delta^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma\gamma$ are both zero.

In Table I, the results (5)–(9) are evaluated for two values of β , one from the vector-dominance-model analogy used in Ref. 2 and the other based on assuming the δ is a four-quark state and invoking the OZI rule. The large value of β reduces many of the calculated decay rates by about two orders of magnitude. In Ref. 2 it was pointed out that a measurement of $\Gamma(\delta \rightarrow \eta\gamma\gamma)$ would help to resolve the uncertainty in the relative phase between the amplitudes for $\rho \rightarrow \eta\gamma$ and $\omega \rightarrow \eta\gamma$. However, if the δ is a four-quark state, the different values of the relative phase give nearly equal values for the decay rate for $\delta \rightarrow \eta\gamma\gamma$, and it appears that a measurement of this decay would not resolve the phase problem.

The expressions for the rates for the three-body radiative decays of vector mesons obtained in Ref. 8 are also modified to contain an arbitrary value of β . The results are

$$\Gamma(\rho \rightarrow \eta\pi\gamma) = 100 \pm 50 \text{ eV}, \quad (10)$$

$$\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow \eta\pi\gamma) = \frac{1}{(\gamma_\phi/\gamma_\omega + \beta)^2} (1.6 \pm 0.8 \text{ keV}), \quad (11)$$

$$\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \eta\pi\gamma) = \frac{\beta^2}{(\gamma_\phi/\gamma_\omega + \beta)^2} (140 \pm 70 \text{ keV}), \quad (12)$$

TABLE I. Vector-dominance estimates of the radiative decay rates of the δ for two values of $\beta = g_{\delta\rho\phi}/g_{\delta\rho\omega}$. The values for $\delta \rightarrow \eta\gamma\gamma$ depend on the choice of relative phase Φ between the amplitudes for $\rho \rightarrow \eta\gamma$ and $\omega \rightarrow \eta\gamma$.

Decay	Vector-dominance	$\delta = u\bar{d}s\bar{s}$
	analogy $\beta \approx 0.07$	OZI rule $\beta \approx 10$
$\delta^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$	$4.2 \pm 1.8 \text{ MeV}$	$4.2 \pm 1.8 \text{ MeV}$
$\delta^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$	$3.8 \pm 1.8 \text{ MeV}$	$29 \pm 14 \text{ keV}$
$\delta^0 \rightarrow \eta\gamma\gamma (\Phi=0^\circ)$	$14 \pm 14 \text{ keV}$	$6.0 \pm 6.0 \text{ keV}$
$\delta^0 \rightarrow \eta\gamma\gamma (\Phi=180^\circ)$	$120 \pm 80 \text{ keV}$	$7.6 \pm 5.3 \text{ keV}$
$\delta^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma$	$38 \pm 17 \text{ MeV}$	$280 \pm 120 \text{ keV}$
$\delta^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$	$1.1 \pm 0.9 \text{ eV}$	$(8.3 \pm 6.8) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$
$\delta^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^0 \gamma$	$4.2 \pm 1.8 \text{ MeV}$	$4.2 \pm 1.8 \text{ MeV}$
$\delta^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \gamma \gamma$	$1.1 \pm 0.8 \text{ keV}$	$1.1 \pm 0.8 \text{ keV}$
$\delta^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$	$130 \pm 60 \text{ keV}$	$1.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ keV}$
$\delta^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$	$0.45 \pm 0.42 \text{ eV}$	$(3.5 \pm 3.2) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$
$\delta^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$	$1.1 \pm 0.9 \text{ eV}$	$(8.3 \pm 6.8) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$

TABLE II. Scalar-meson-dominance values for the radiative decay rates of vector mesons for two values of $\beta = g_{\delta\rho\phi}/g_{\delta\rho\omega}$.

Decay	Vector-dominance analogy $\beta \approx 0.07$	$\delta = u\bar{d}s\bar{s}$ OZI rule $\beta \approx 10$
$\rho \rightarrow \eta\pi\gamma$	100 ± 50 eV	100 ± 50 eV
$\omega \rightarrow \eta\pi\gamma$	1.8 ± 0.9 keV	14 ± 7 eV ^a
$\phi \rightarrow \eta\pi\gamma$	760 ± 380 eV	120 ± 60 keV
$\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-\gamma$	20 ± 11 eV	3.1 ± 1.7 keV
$\phi \rightarrow K^0\bar{K}^0\gamma$	3.0 ± 1.6 eV	460 ± 250 eV

^a This value is comparable to the contribution to this decay from vector dominance; therefore, both must be included in the total rate. See text.

$$\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-\gamma) = \frac{\beta^2}{(\gamma_\phi/\gamma_\omega + \beta)^2} (3.7 \pm 2.0 \text{ keV}), \quad (13)$$

$$\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow K^0\bar{K}^0\gamma) = \frac{\beta^2}{(\gamma_\phi/\gamma_\omega + \beta)^2} (550 \pm 300 \text{ eV}). \quad (14)$$

In Table II, (10)–(14) are evaluated for the two

values of β . The value $\beta \approx 0.07$ reproduces the results of Ref. 8. The four-quark δ value decreases the ω decay rate by two orders of magnitude and increases the ϕ decays by two orders of magnitude. The $\omega \rightarrow \eta\pi\gamma$ rate is now comparable to the estimated contribution to this decay from vector dominance, where $\omega \rightarrow \eta\omega \rightarrow \eta\pi\gamma$. Therefore, if the δ is a four-quark state, our prediction for the total rate for the decay $\omega \rightarrow \eta\pi\gamma$ is in the range 0–56 eV. This is well within the experimental upper limit¹²

$$\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow \eta + \text{neutrals}) < 150 \text{ keV}.$$

The other results in the last column of Table II are many orders of magnitude larger than the vector-dominance estimates for these decays.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to Dr. Gad Eilam for pointing out the implications of a large $\delta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ rate and for proposing use of the OZI rule.

*Present address: National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado 80307.

¹G. K. Greenhut and G. W. Intemann, Phys. Rev. D **16**, 776 (1977).

²G. K. Greenhut and G. W. Intemann, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 231 (1978).

³G. Eilam (private communication).

⁴J. Babcock and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 1286 (1976).

⁵R. J. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 267 (1977).

⁶G. Eilam, G. Margolis, and S. Rudaz, McGill University report, 1978 (unpublished).

⁷W. D. Apel *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **72B**, 500 (1978).

⁸G. W. Intemann and G. K. Greenhut, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 398 (1979).

⁹S. Okubo, Phys. Lett. **5**, 164 (1964); G. Zweig, CERN Reports Nos. TH 401, 1964 (unpublished) and TH 412, 1964 (unpublished); J. Iizuka, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **37–38**, 21 (1966).

¹⁰A. Silverman, in *Proceedings of the 1975 International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energies, Stanford, California*, edited by W. T. Kirk (SLAC, Stanford, 1976), p. 335.

¹¹D. E. Andrews *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 198 (1977).

¹²S. Flatté *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **145**, 1050 (1966).