Comments and'Addenda

The section Comments and Addenda is for short communications which are not appropriate for regular articles. It includes only the following types of communications: (1) Comments on papers previously published in The Physic (2) Addenda to papers previously published in The Physical Review or Physical Review Letters, in which the additional information can be presented without the need for writing a complete article. Manuscripts intended for t abstract for information-retrieval purposes. Accepted manuscripts follow the same publication schedule as articles in this journal, and page proofs are sent to authors.

Pontryagin density in Yang's R gauge

M, K. Prasad

Institute for Theoretical Physics, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, New York 11794 (Received 30 November 1977)

A simple and computationally useful expression for the Pontryagin density in Yang's R gauge for self-dual $SU(2)$ gauge fields is exhibited.

Yang' has shown how, with a suitable choice of gauge (the R gauge), the self-dual SU(2) gauge field equations reduce to Laplace-type equations for one real variable (φ) and one complex variable (ρ) . The familiar Corrigan-Fairlie-Wilczek-'t Hooftansatz turns out to be an especially simple solution to these equations. 'The purpose of this note is to exhibit a simple and computationally useful expression for the Pontryagin density in terms of the variables φ and ρ . As in Ref. 1

all considerations are local in character, and do not refer to global properties.

For self-dual gauge fields, the action density $S = \frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^a_{\mu\nu}$ and the Pontryagin density *S $=\frac{1}{4} * F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a = \frac{1}{8} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\lambda\rho}^a$ are equal to each other, with (using the notation of Ref. 1)

$$
S = F_{y\bar{z}}^a F_{yz}^a + \frac{1}{2} (F_{y\bar{y}}^a F_{y\bar{y}}^a + F_{zz}^a F_{zz}^a).
$$
 (1)

A direct substitution of the R -gauge potentials into (1) gives

$$
S = 2\varphi^{2}\left[\left(\frac{\rho_{y}}{\varphi^{2}}\right)_{\mathfrak{y}}\left(\frac{\overline{\rho}_{\overline{y}}}{\varphi^{2}}\right)_{\mathfrak{y}} + \left(\frac{\rho_{z}}{\varphi^{2}}\right)_{\mathfrak{z}}\left(\frac{\overline{\rho}_{z}}{\varphi^{2}}\right)_{\mathfrak{z}} + \left(\frac{\rho_{z}}{\varphi^{2}}\right)_{\mathfrak{y}}\left(\frac{\overline{\rho}_{z}}{\varphi^{2}}\right)_{\mathfrak{y}} + \left(\frac{\rho_{y}}{\varphi^{2}}\right)_{\mathfrak{z}}\left(\frac{\overline{\rho}_{\overline{y}}}{\varphi^{2}}\right)_{\mathfrak{z}}\right] + 2\left\{\left[\left(\frac{\varphi_{y}}{\varphi}\right)_{\mathfrak{y}} + \frac{\rho_{y}\overline{\rho}_{\overline{y}}}{\varphi^{2}}\right]^{2} + \left[\left(\frac{\varphi_{z}}{\varphi}\right)_{\overline{z}} + \frac{\rho_{z}\overline{\rho}_{z}}{\varphi^{2}}\right]^{2} + 2\left[\left(\frac{\varphi_{y}}{\varphi}\right)_{\overline{z}} + \frac{\rho_{y}\overline{\rho}_{z}}{\varphi^{2}}\right]\left[\left(\frac{\varphi_{\overline{y}}}{\varphi}\right)_{\mathfrak{z}} + \frac{\rho_{z}\overline{\rho}_{\overline{y}}}{\varphi^{2}}\right] \right\}.
$$
\n(2)

Equation (2), as it stands, does not make the topology of the gauge fields transparent. We attempt therefore to simplify (2) by repeated use of the self-duality equations [i.e., Eq. (27) of Ref. 1]. A slightly. tedious amount of algebra leads one to the following result:

$$
S = *S = -\frac{1}{2} \Box \Box \ln \varphi + 2 \left[\partial_y \partial_{\overline{y}} \left(\frac{\varphi_z \varphi_{\overline{z}} - \rho_y \overline{\rho}_{\overline{y}}}{\varphi^2} \right) - \partial_y \partial_{\overline{z}} \left(\frac{\varphi_z \varphi_{\overline{y}} + \rho_z \overline{\rho}_{\overline{y}}}{\varphi^2} \right) \right] + \partial_z \partial_{\overline{z}} \left(\frac{\varphi_y \varphi_{\overline{y}} - \rho_z \overline{\rho}_{\overline{z}}}{\varphi^2} \right) - \partial_z \partial_{\overline{y}} \left(\frac{\varphi_y \varphi_{\overline{z}} + \rho_y \overline{\rho}_{\overline{z}}}{\varphi^2} \right) \right].
$$
 (3)

We were unable to reduce the expression (3) any further except to note that for all published' selfdual gauge fields the quantity in the square brackets vanishes identically so that $S = *S = -\frac{1}{2} \Box \Box \ln \varphi$. It is tempting to conjecture, though we have no proof, that $S = *S = -\frac{1}{2} \Box \Box \ln \varphi$ for all self-dual gauge fields. The expression $\ast S = -\frac{1}{2} \Box \Box \ln \varphi$ can be trivially integrated over four-dimensional

Euclidean space to yield a topological characteristic of the gauge field, i.e., the Pontryagi index.

Note added. Recently E. Corrigan et al. [Phys.] Lett. 72B, 354 (1978) have constructed the sequence of Atiyah-Ward (AW) $Ans\ddot{a}tzeA$, $(l=1, 2, 3, ...)$ for self-dual SU(2) gauge fields. The Pontryagin density *S_i for A_i satisfies the following

$$
-2177
$$

 17

a sales et

 \sim 1

striking "superposition" principle:

king "superposition" principle:
*
$$
S_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{I} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \Box \text{ln}^{(k)} \varphi \right)
$$
,

where $^{(k)}\varphi$ is Yang's R-gauge φ function for the AW Ansatz A_{μ} . This result follows from the very simple transformation properties of Eq. (3) under the Bgcklund transformation used in generating

It is a pleasure to thank Professor C. N. Yang and Dr. D. Yevick for extremely useful discussions. I also thank Dr. D. Yevick for checking the calculations leading to Eq. (3). This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-76-15328.

