## Parity of the antiproton

## Jerrold Franklin\*

Department of Physics, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel (Received 12 November 1976; revised manuscript received 14 February 1977)

It is shown, using an angular momentum argument, that the asymmetry observed in  $\bar{p}p \to \pi^+\pi^-$  with polarized targets confirms the expected negative intrinsic parity and negative exchange phase of the  $\bar{p}p$  state.

A recent letter by Kalogeropoulis, Chiu, and, Sudarshan (KCS)1 has pointed out that the observation of asymmetry<sup>2</sup> in  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  with polarized protons confirms the expected (from general fieldtheory arguments) negative intrinsic parity of the antiproton with respect to the proton. However, KCS assume the usual exchange property (as in local fermion field theory) for the  $\bar{p}p$  system. Since the motivation for questioning the intrinsic  $\bar{p}p$  parity  $(\eta_{\bar{p}p})$  is the possibility of other choices that might occur in imaginative models, it is also of interest to consider the possibility of unusual exchange properties of the  $\bar{p}p$  state.<sup>3</sup> In this note we use an angular momentum argument to show that the asymmetry observed in  $\bar{p}p \to \pi^+\pi^-$  requires both the usual intrinsic parity  $(\eta_{\overline{b},b} = -1)$  and the usual exchange phase  $(\tau_{\overline{p}p} = -1)$ .

The relative intrinsic parity  $(\eta_{\overline{p}p})$  of the  $\overline{p}p$  system is defined relative to the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  system by

$$(-1)^{L} \eta_{\overline{\mu} \, \rho} = (-1)^{J} \eta_{\, \pi^{+} \, \pi^{-}} \,, \tag{1}$$

while conservation of CP in strong interactions means that the  $\bar{p}p$  and  $\pi^+\pi^-$  CP eigenvalues are related by

$$-(-1)^{S}\eta_{\bar{\rho},\alpha}\zeta_{\bar{\rho},\alpha} = \eta_{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}}\zeta_{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}}.$$
 (2)

The phase ζ results from particle-antiparticle

interchange and, in usual field theory, has the value +1 for Bose fields and -1 for Fermi fields. We take  $\eta_{\pi^+\pi^-} = \zeta_{\pi^+\pi^-} = +1$  (corresponding to the Bose nature of the pion) as confirmed by other examples of  $\pi\pi$  final states. KCS assume that  $\zeta_{\overline{p}p} = -1$  as in fermion field theory, but we leave open the possibility of another choice.

The total (J) and orbital (L) angular momentum of the  $\bar{p}p$  system are related by the angular momentum addition  $\overline{J} = \overline{L} + \overline{S}$ , where the  $\overline{p}p$  spin S can equal 1 or 0. As KCS point out, the asymmetry in  $pp - \pi^+\pi^$ arises from interference between  $S_{\star} = \pm 1$  and  $S_{r} = 0 \, \bar{p} p$  states. The  $S_{r} \pm 1$  states are certainly S=1. From Eq. (2), we see that the  $\bar{p}p$  system must be in a pure spin state. Thus the  $S_{\mu} = 0 \ \overline{p}p$ states must also be S=1. We now make the observation that there is no  $S_z = L_z = 0$  state for the angular momentum addition  $\vec{L} + \vec{l} = \vec{L}$ . Therefore we must have  $J = L \pm 1$  or  $(-1)^J = -(-1)^L$  and, from Eq. (1), we see that  $\eta_{\overline{p}p} = -1$ , so that the  $\overline{p}p$  system has the expected negative intrinsic parity. From Eq. (2) it follows that the exchange phase  $\zeta_{\overline{\rho}}$ , is also negative.

Note added in proof. After this article was submitted for publication, M. I. Shirokov and E. O. Okonov [Phys. Lett. 68B, 88 (1977)] presented an argument equivalent to that in this note.

<sup>\*</sup>On leave from the Department of Physics, Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19122. <sup>1</sup>T. E. Kalogeropoulos, C. B. Chiu, and E. C. G. Sudar-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>T. E. Kalogeropoulos, C. B. Chiu, and E. C. G. Sudar shan, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>37</u>, 1037 (1976).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>R. D. Erlich *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>28</u>, 1147 (1972); P. Kalmus, private communication (to Ref. 1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The effect of unusual exchange properties on the

 $<sup>\</sup>bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$  process has been considered by M. I. Shirokov and É. O. Okonov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 39, 285 (1960) [Sov. Phys.—JETP 12, 204 (1961)], but they did not consider polarization experiments at that time.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This follows from a symmetry property of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.