Chiral-SU(3)-symmetry breaking for the yseudoscalar mesons*

Norman H. Fuchs

Department of Physics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 (Received 12 April 1976;revised manuscript received 25 August 1976)

In the framework of the quark-vector-gluon model, with chiral symmetry broken by a quark mass term, careful use of the algebraic properties of the Hamiltonian and of the divergences of axial-vector currents leads to a consistent picture of symmetry breaking in the pseudoscalar nonet. The $\eta'(960)$ emerges with a large gluon component.

Although quark-vector-gluon models have been remarkably successful in accounting for many properties of hadrons, the η meson has remained a puzzle in this context for several reasons. Such models always involve an (approximately) conserved axial-vector current, from which the usual current-algebra arguments lead to the existence of a unitary-singlet pseudoscalar Goldstone boson with a mass of the order of a pion mass.¹ Furthermore, in the conventional picture of η - η' mixing, there appears to be a conflict between the mixing angle determined from the quadratic mass formula for pseudoscalars and the η radiative-decay width and that determined from the ratio of η -to- η' production cross sections via $\pi^-\!p\to \eta(\eta')\!n$. We will show here that a consistent picture of the pseudoscalar mixing and spectrum is obtained if one includes a mixing of quark and gluon states as the quark-vector-gluon model naturally suggests. The admixture of a gluon component for vector mesons and light pseudoscalars is known to be small, while the situation for the η' is not so clear. In the present analysis, it is found that the η' should have a large (in fact dominant) contribution of the gluon state in its constituent-quarkbasis decomposition. We will first set out our arguments supporting these assertions, and at the end we will discuss some of the consequences of the results.

There have been two approaches taken to the problem of the pseudoscalar masses: attempting to diagonalize a mass (or mass-squared) matrix²⁻⁴ and analyzing the equations which arise from computing the axial-vector-current divergences. $5-7$ We will first use the mass-squared matrix-diagonalization technique and then show how the current-divergence equations are consistent with the results obtained.

In the quark-vector-gluon model, the nonstrange piece of the mass-squared matrix has the form

$$
M^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{m}^{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{m}^{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_{s}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & m_{c}^{2} \end{bmatrix} + \kappa \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},
$$
 (1)

where the matrix rows and columns correspond to quark states $\bar{u}u$, $\bar{d}d$, $\bar{s}s$, and the pseudoscalar twogluon state² G. The term proportional to the parameter κ arises from the annihilation of a quark pair into the gluon state G, while the term proportional to λ arises from quark-pair annihilation and reconstitution via G as an intermediate state. The parameter m_{ρ}^2 is presumably large compared to all pseudoscalar masses; it arises at least in part from transitions of G to a quark pair and back to G. We will take the parameters κ , λ , \hat{m}^2 , m_s^2 , and m_c^2 to be free and look for solutions that fit the known masses of the pseudoscalar nonet (π, K, η, η') . There is a tenth state in this scheme which will be fixed in terms of the fitted parameters. Note that if one ignores the state G as an "external" state, then one finds there is no solution for fixed λ . This is the approach taken by De Rújula et al.³ They conclude that λ is mass dependent; in fact, they require it to vary rapidly with mass.

It is easy to show that

$$
\hat{m}^2 = m_{\pi}^2, \quad m_s^2 = 2m_K^2 - m_{\pi}^2,\tag{2}
$$

leaving only the three isoscalar states to be determined. The matrix for the isoscalar states is

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 2\lambda + \hat{m}^2 & \sqrt{2}\lambda & \sqrt{2}\kappa \\ \sqrt{2}\lambda & \lambda + m_s^2 & \kappa \\ \sqrt{2}\kappa & \kappa & m_c^2 \end{bmatrix}.
$$
 (3)

Clearly, if the coupling of quark pairs to the gluon

 14

1912

state is ignored, the eigenstates are the "ideal" nonet states $\overline{u}u+\overline{d}d$ and $\overline{s}s$, with masses \hat{m} and m_s , and a pure gluon state⁸ G with mass m_G . This is far from the observed state of affairs, so we must solve the matrix-diagonalization problem in detail. Define the eigenvectors

$$
\eta = N \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha \\ \sin \alpha \\ \epsilon \end{bmatrix}, \quad \eta' = N' \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \alpha' \\ \cos \alpha' \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix}, \quad \eta'' = N'' \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \alpha'' \\ \cos \alpha'' \\ \epsilon'' \end{bmatrix}
$$
\n(4)

where N , N' , and N'' are normalization constants. Then, demanding that η and η' be the eigenvectors corresponding to the physical squared masses of the observed particles η and η' , one finds

$$
\alpha = -50.6^{\circ},
$$

\n
$$
\alpha' = -36.5^{\circ},
$$
\n(5)

independent of the parameters κ , λ , and m_c^2 . In terms of the singlet-octet mixing angles θ , θ' defined by

$$
\eta = N[\cos \theta | \eta_{\theta}\rangle + \sin \theta | \eta_1\rangle + \epsilon | G \rangle],
$$

$$
\eta' = N'[-\sin \theta' | \eta_{\theta}\rangle + \cos \theta' | \eta_1\rangle + \epsilon' | G \rangle].
$$
 (6)

This means

$$
\theta = 4.1^{\circ},\tag{7}
$$

$$
\theta'=18.2^{\circ}.
$$

Contrary to the usual treatment of η - η' mixing. the η and η' mesons are not related to each other by a common mixing angle. A similar conclusion by a common mixing angle. A similar conclusion of aL ⁹ for independent reasons. Since we have three parameters in the matrix and only two known masses, there will be one parameter undetermined, which we choose to be $m_{\mathfrak{G}}^2$. In Table I we display some solutions for various allowed values of m_c^2 . There are no solutions for $m_a^2 < m_{m^2}^2$.

If one arbitrarily sets $\kappa=0$ in the matrix of Eq. (3), one recovers the conventional eigenvalue problem. The physical masses of the η and the η' are not eigenvalues of this matrix. Since the approach is in terms of a mass-squared matrix, one should compare predicted and observed squared masses. Then m_n^2 is predicted to be 10% too low and $m_{\eta'}^2$ is predicted to be $15\,\%$ too high What is one to conclude? Isgur⁴ takes the attitude that the disagreement is not large, and so he is content in this result. De Rújula $et al.^3$ feel that the disagreement is significant, and that therefore λ (their β) must vary rapidly with mass. The viewpoint taken in this paper is that the disagreement is real, but that λ should not vary much and

TABLE I. Solutions of the matrix diagonalization for some values of the parameter m_c^2 .

m_G^2 (GeV ²)	$-\epsilon$	$-\epsilon'$	m_{η} n^{2} (GeV ²)	ϵ "
0.917	0	∞	3.275	0
0.95	0.0323	8.36	3.438	0.116
1	0.0494	5.47	3.685	0.178
2	0.114	2.36	8.63	0.406
3	0.126	2.15	13.6	0.445
$\overline{4}$	0.131	2.07	18.5	0.462
10	0.138	1.96	48.2	0.488
100	0.143	1.89	493	0.502
1000	0.143	1.89	4943	0.503

so another resolution of the problem should be sought.

The gluon interaction leads to an anomalous term in the divergence of the ninth axial-vector cur-
rent.¹⁰ Therefore we write¹¹ rent.¹⁰ Therefore we write¹

$$
\partial^{\mu}\hat{A}_{\mu} = \hat{m}\hat{v} + \mathbf{G}.
$$
 (8)

Unfortunately, since matrix elements involving the two-gluon state are not α priori related to those involving pure quark-antiquark states we cannot use this last equation to constrain the parameters describing the pseudoscalar mesons. It is worthwhile making this explicit. Define

$$
\langle 0 | \partial^{\mu} \hat{A}_{\mu} | G \rangle \equiv g, \tag{9}
$$

$$
\langle 0 | \hat{A}_{\mu} | G \rangle = F p_{\mu}.
$$
 (10)

Then

$$
\langle 0 | \partial^{\mu} \hat{A}_{\mu} | \eta \rangle = N[\epsilon F + f \cos \alpha] m_{\eta}^{2}
$$

= $N[\epsilon g + f m_{\eta}^{2} \cos \alpha],$ (11)

$$
\langle 0 | \partial^{\mu} \hat{A}_{\mu} | \eta' \rangle = N' [\epsilon' F - f \sin \alpha'] m_{\eta'}^2
$$

$$
=N'\left[\epsilon'g-f{m_r}^2\sin\alpha'\right],\qquad\qquad(12)
$$

so

$$
\frac{m_n^2 - m_{\pi}^2}{m_{\pi}^2 - m_{\pi}^2} \frac{\cos \alpha}{-\sin \alpha'} = \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon'} \frac{g - F m_n^2}{g - F m_{\pi'}}.
$$
 (13)

However, since g and F are unknown we can draw no conclusions.

Note that we have not assumed that v_0 and v_8 belong to the same nonet as v_3 —only that the linear
combination \hat{v} does.¹¹ This means that we have combination \hat{v} does.¹¹ This means that we have fewer constraints than do Caser and Testa,⁷ so we can fit the pseudoscalar masses and mixing angles consistently, while they do not.

It is worthwhile examining the behavior of the equations if one allows the quark masses \hat{m} and m_s to vanish. We will do this as follows: Set \hat{m} = 0, then let $m_s \rightarrow 0$. In this limit,

$$
\epsilon = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\alpha = \alpha' = \alpha'' = -\tan^{-1}\sqrt{2} \approx -54.7^{\circ},
$$

\n
$$
\theta = \theta' = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\epsilon \epsilon' = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\epsilon' \epsilon'' = -1,
$$

\n
$$
m_n^2 \to \frac{2}{3} m_s^2,
$$

\n
$$
g \to -\lim \frac{fm_n^2}{\sqrt{3}\epsilon},
$$

\n(14)

which implies an octet of pseudoscalar Goldstone bosons and two massive pseudoscalar unitarysinglet states. If κ , λ , and m_c^2 are kept fixed during this limiting process, then the η' , η'' masses and mixing angles do not appreciably change.

The conventional quark model for η and η' me-The conventional quark model for η and η' me-
sons gives predictions for their radiative decays.¹² In the present scheme, results for the η decays are essentially unchanged from the "small"-mixing-angle results (i.e., the ones obtained using quadratic mass mixing). However, the η' will have its decay amplitudes suppressed because of its reduced quark content. The success of the Zweig rule suggests that the decay of a pure gluon configuration proceeds either into other gluon states or into excited hadrons'; however, decays which require coupling of gluons to a $\bar{q}q$ pair are suppressed. Since at present one only has an upper bound established for the η' width, there is no disagreement with experiment here.

By comparing the reactions $\pi N \to \eta(\eta')N$, Δ at high energies, the quark-model relation

$$
\frac{\sigma(\eta)}{\sigma(\eta')} = \frac{\cos^2 \alpha}{\sin^2 \alpha'} \left(\frac{N}{N'}\right)^2 \tag{15}
$$

may be tested. A difficulty with this approach is that the energy must be high enough so that kinematic effects due to the η - η' mass difference are negligible.

At present, some data above 10 GeV exist $1^{3,14}$; however, they do not appear to be sufficient to make a definitive test of the relation. The experimental uncertainty arises both from the measurement of the production cross section for $\pi^*\! p - \eta' n$, which is roughly 30% , and from the branch ing ratio $\eta' \rightarrow 2\gamma/\eta' \rightarrow$ all, which is known to be about 20%. There is room for our gluon mixing
scheme to be valid.¹⁵ Furthermore, there may scheme to be valid.¹⁵ Furthermore, there may be some contribution to η' production via gluon emission, which would increase $\sigma(\eta')$ beyond that given by the above equation. Finally, both the conventional model and the present scheme would expect the energy variations of $\sigma(\eta)$ and $\sigma(\eta')$ to be the same; experiment suggests a somewhat different

behavior.

One can fix the mixing by an analysis of the reactions $\pi^-\!p\rightarrow\pi^0\!n$, $\eta\!\n$ n, $\eta'\!n$; $K^*\eta\rightarrow K^0\!p$; and $K^*\!p\rightarrow\overline{K}^0\!n$. Denote the differential cross sections for these processes by $\sigma(\pi)$, $\sigma(\eta)$, $\sigma(\eta')$, $\sigma(K)$, and $\sigma(\overline{K})$, respectively. These reactions should be dominated by ρ and A , Regge exchange at high energies, so that

$$
\sigma(\pi) + 3\sigma(\eta_8) = \sigma(K) + \sigma(\overline{K}),
$$

\n
$$
\sigma(\eta_1) + \sigma(\eta_8) = \sigma(\eta) + \sigma(\eta').
$$
\n(16)

If we use S_T to denote the ratio of the singlet to octet couplings of the η to πA_2 , then

$$
\sigma(\eta) = \sigma(\eta_s)(\cos\theta + S_T \sin\theta)^2 N^2,
$$

\n
$$
\sigma(\eta') = \sigma(\eta_s)(-\sin\theta' + S_T \cos\theta')^2 (N')^2.
$$
\n(17)

It has been traditional to assume that S_T is independent of t (and $\theta = \theta'$, $N' = N = 1$). The ratio

$$
\frac{\sigma(\eta)}{\sigma(\eta_s)} = \frac{3\sigma(\eta)}{\sigma(K) + \sigma(\overline{K}) - \sigma(\pi)}\tag{18}
$$

is consistent with unity, as expected, but S_T has been found¹⁶⁻¹⁸ to be roughly half the quark-model value of $\sqrt{2}$.

There are preliminary results in which a measurement of the shape of differential cross sections for η and η' production at 8.4 GeV/c has been for η and η' production at 8.4 GeV/c has been
made.¹⁹ It was found that $\sigma(\eta')$ and $\sigma(\eta)$ do not have the same shape. One is then faced with the problems of deciding how to use the data to extract the η - η' mixing angle. The principle we adopt is that Eqs. (15) and (16) apply only to the pure Reggepole part of the amplitude and not to cuts or other types of background. There is general agreement among the various phenomenological analyses of $\pi^-\bar{p} \to \pi^0 n$ and $\pi^-\bar{p} \to \eta^0 n$ that the spin-flip amplitudes are well described by a simple Hegge formula, while spin-nonflip amplitudes are complicated by cuts, absorption, etc. Therefore, we mill interpret Eqs. (15) and (16) to refer to spin-flip cross sections.

At low momentum transfers, me can use the parameterization

$$
\sigma = \sigma(t=0)(1-at)e^{bt},\qquad(19)
$$

where we have assumed for simplicity that the flip and nonflip amplitudes have the same exponential falloff. This form works well²⁰ for $\pi^-\bar{p} \to \pi^0\eta$ at 6 GeV/c. For η production at 8.4 GeV/c we find that

$$
\sigma(\eta) = 30(1 - 48t)e^{\tau t} \mu b/GeV^{2}
$$
 (20)

is a good fit to the data if $-t<0.9$ GeV². Clearly, there is a large spin-flip component. If we require that $\sigma(\eta')$ satisfy Eq. (19) with $b = 7$, then we find that

$$
\sigma(\eta') = 30(1 - 13t)e^{\tau t} \tag{21}
$$

is a good fit to the data if $-t < 0.6$ GeV². The ratio of the coefficients of t is just the ratio of the spin-flip cross sections:

$$
\frac{\sigma(\eta', \text{ flip})}{\sigma(\eta, \text{ flip})} = 0.27. \tag{22}
$$

With the values of α and α' we have deduced, the ratio Eq. (15) is just $(N/N')^2$, so that the experimental result Eq. (22) together with the expectation that $N \approx 1$ leads to

$$
N'\approx 3.7,
$$

$$
|\epsilon'| \approx 1.6.
$$

which is almost consistent with our scheme (see Table 1).

A somewhat speculative proposal for studying the question of the gluon content of the η' is the following. As has been shown by Einhorn and

Quigg, 21 the nonleptonic weak decays of pseudoscalar charmed mesons lead predominantly into states in which an η' occurs. For example, the relative ratios for D^0 decay into two pseudoscalars are $\overline{K}^0\eta'; K^*\pi^*:\overline{K}^0\pi^0;\overline{K}^0\eta=8:6:3:1$. Similar results obtain for other decay modes and for other charmed pseudoscalars. A reduction in the quark content of the η' should suppress decay modes involving η' relative to those involving η .

Again, on a speculative note, we would point out that if the asymptotic power of hadronic form factors at large spacelike momenta is indeed related to the number of "valence" constituents, 22 then one would find η' production at large transverse momentum to be qualitatively different from the production of other mesons such as the η . This may show up in e^+e^- annihilation, if hard-gluon bremsstrahlung is the dominant source of hadrons with large momenta transverse to the main jet axes.

I am extremely grateful to Professor N. R. Stanton for providing information on his experiment prior to publication.

- *Work supported in part by the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration.
- ¹S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D 11 , 3583 (1975).
- ²H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, Nuovo Cimento 30A, 393 (1975).
- ³A. De Rújula, H. Georgi, and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. D 12, 147 (1975).
- ⁴N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D 12, 3770 (1975); ibid. 13, 122 (1976).
- 5 H. Sazdjian and J. Stern, Nucl. Phys. B94, 163 (1975).
- ⁶H. Sazdjian, Orsay report, 1975 (unpublished).
- 7 S. Caser and M. Testa, Phys. Lett. 61B, 267 (1976).
- Such states were first proposed by H. Fritzsch and M. Qell-Mann, in Proceedings of the XVI International Conference on High Energy Physics, Chicago-Batavia, Ill., 1972, edited by J. D. Jackson and A. Roberts (NAL, Batavia, Ill., 1973), Vol. 2, p. 135.
- 9 T. Inami et al., University of Tokyo Report No. UT-Komaba 76-1 (unpublished).
- 10 The suggestion that the axial-vector anomaly might be related to the unusually high mass of the η' meson was made by H. Fritzsch, M. Gell-Mann, and H. Leutwyler, Phys. Lett. 47B, 365 (1973).
- 11 The caret indicates that the quantity corresponds to the nonstrange quark-containing linear combination. For a discussion of the algebraic properties of the v_i and related quantities, see N. Fuchs, Phys. Rev. D 14, 1709 (1976).
- ¹²See, for example, A. Bramon and M. Greco, Phys. Lett. 48B, 137 (1974).
- ^{13}V . N. Bolotov et al., Phys. Lett. 50B, 1 (1974); Yad. Fiz. 19, ⁶⁰⁸ (1974) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 19, ³⁰⁸ (1974)].
- 14 W. D. Apel et al., Serpukhov Report No. 74-118 (unpublished).
- ¹⁵At lower energies, $\sigma(\eta)/\sigma(\eta')$ does seem to be large compared to that expected from the standard quark model with quadratic mass mixing. See G. Benson et al., in Proceedings of the XIII International Conference on High Energy Physics, Berkeley, California, 1966 (University of California Press, Berkeley, 1967); K. Lai and T. Schumann, ibid; W. Butler et al., UCRL Report No. UCRL-19225 (unpublished); W. J.Miller, Thesis, Purdue University, 1971 (unpublished).
- ¹⁶A. D. Martin and C. Michael, Phys. Lett. 37B, 513 (1971).
- 17 F. D. Gault et al., Nucl. Phys. B51, 353 (1973).
- 18 H. Nagai and A. Nakamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. 53 , 523 (1975),
- 19 N. R. Stanton, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 21, 570 (1976) and private communication.
- 20 M. H. Shaevitz et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 36, 5 (1976); a similar parameterization fits pion charge-exchange scattering: V. N. Bolotov et al., Yad. Fiz. 18, 1046 (1973)[Sov.J. Nucl. Phys. 18, ⁵³⁸ (1974)].
- 2^{1} M. B. Einhorn and C. Quigg, Phys. Rev. D 12, 2015 (1975).
- $22V$. Matveev et al., Lett. Nuovo Cimento 7, 719 (1973); S.J. Brodsky and Q. R. Farrar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 31, 1152 (1973).
- 23 J. Ellis et al., CERN Report No. TH-2161 (unpublished).