π^+ and π^- momentum distribution normal to the production plane in the reaction $pp \rightarrow pp + \text{mesons}^*$

C. Ezell, A. T. Laasanen, and L. J. Gutay Physics Department, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

W. N. Schreiner and P. Schübelin,[†] Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973

F. Turkot

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510 (Received 9 October 1975)

The π^+ and π^- momentum distribution normal to the production plane is studied. The dispersion of the momentum distribution normal to the production plane is independent of the transverse momenta of the trigger protons.

Seeking direct experimental evidence for the constituent structure of the proton is of current interest in high-energy physics. One possible approach to this problem is to study high-transversemomentum (P_1) reactions. This is based on the commonly accepted assumption that high P_1 is a signature of close collision. This hypothesis needs further scrutiny since production of a largemass fireball with isotropic decay distribution can also contribute to large $-P_1$ events. Although we cannot prove correct or rule out with certainty all constituent or fireball models, there are certain features of the data which can rule out that class of fireball or nova models for which the temperature increases with P_1 or the multiplicity grows less than linearly with the fireball mass while the fireball mass grows at least linearly with P_{\perp} .

The experiment was carried out at the Brookhaven National Laboratory AGS with the Multiparticle ARGO Spectrometer System (MASS).¹ Using all three spectrometers, namely the high-momentum spectrometer (HMS), the low-momentum spectrometer (LMS), and the vertex spectrometer (VS).² we studied the reaction

$$p_1 + p_2 \rightarrow p_3 + p_4 + n\pi \tag{1}$$

at 28.5 GeV/c. The HMS was used to trigger upon, identify, and momentum-analyze a forward proton (p_3) . Proton (p_4) was also identified and momentum-analyzed (by the LMS). The data reported here are 8726 events which cover 1.3 < M < 6 GeV and $0 \le P_1 < 1.5$ GeV/c, where M denotes the missing mass to p_3 (M_3) or p_4 (M_4) and P_1 is the transverse momentum of p_3 (P_{13}) or of p_4 (P_{14}) . The VS, which consisted of nine cylindrical wire spark chambers surrounding the liquid hydrogen target in a 10-kG magnetic field, detected the remaining charged particles in all reactions. Spark association into tracks was performed by the trackrecognition code PITRACK.³ The events were fitted to a common vertex and were classified according to prong number (2-14 prongs).

Since in reaction (1) both protons are identified, one can define two production planes. For con-



FIG. 1. Width of the negative-pion momentum distribution normal to the production plane for two $X_{\parallel}^{\pi-}$ intervals as a function of (a) $P_{\perp 3}$, (b) $P_{\perp 4}$, (c) $P_{\perp \max}$, and (d) $P_{\perp 3} + P_{\perp 4}$. The symbols \bigcirc and \square denote the intervals $-0.025 < X_{\parallel}^{\pi-} \le 0.025$ and $0.025 < X_{\parallel}^{\pi-} \le 0.100$. In (d) the least-square fits of $D(P_y^{\pi-}) = a + b(P_{\perp 3} + P_{\perp 4})$ to the data are shown.

1150

13

venience we choose $\vec{p}_3 \times \vec{p}_1 / |\vec{p}_3 \times \vec{p}_1|$ as our production normal. (The small vertical aperture of the vertex magnet imposes approximate coplanarity of \vec{p}_3 and \vec{p}_{4*}) In what follows we choose our Y and Z axes along the production normal and the incident beam direction, respectively. The choice of a right-handed coordinate system defines the X axis. The mean square deviation, $D^2(P_y)$, of P_y for the outgoing charged particle (other than p_3 and p_4) observed in VS is defined as

$$D^{2}(P_{y}^{\pi^{\pm}}) = \langle (P_{y}^{\pi^{\pm}} - \langle P_{y}^{\pi^{\pm}} \rangle)^{2} \rangle.$$

1.00

0.80

0.60

0.15

D (P**) (GeV/c)

We studied the functional dependence of $D(P_y^{\pi^{\pm}}) = [D^2(P_y^{\pi^{\pm}})]^{1/2}$ on P_{\perp} in the $-0.025 < X_{\parallel}^{\pi^{\pm}} \le 0.025$, $0.025 < X_{\parallel}^{\pi^{\pm}} \le 0.100$, $0.100 < X_{\parallel}^{\pi^{\pm}} \le 0.200$, $0.200 < X_{\parallel}^{\pi^{\pm}} \le 0.400$, and $0.400 < X_{\parallel}^{\pi^{\pm}}$ intervals, where $X_{\parallel} = 2P_z^{c.m.}/\sqrt{s}$. The symbols $P_z^{c.m.}$ and s denote the Z component of the pion momentum and \sqrt{s} the total center -of-mass energy. The P_{\perp} dependence of $D(P_y)$ for a fixed X value can be determined as a function of $P_{\perp 3}$, $P_{\perp 4}$, $P_{\perp \max}$, or $P_{\perp 3} + P_{\perp 4}$ for both π^{+} and π^{-} , or for the combined distribution. In Figs. 1(a)-1(d) we present the $P_y^{\pi^{-}}$ variation as a function of all four possible cases for the two smallest $X_{\parallel}^{\pi^{-}}$ bins where we have the highest sta-

FIG. 2. Width of the positive-pion momentum distribution normal to the production plane for all $X_{\parallel}^{\pi^+}$ intervals as a function of $P_{\perp 4}$. The symbols \bigcirc , \Box , \triangle , \diamond , and ∇ denote the intervals $_0.025 < X_{\parallel}^{\pi^+} \le 0.025$, $0.025 < X_{\parallel}^{\pi^+} \le 0.100$, $0.100 < X_{\parallel}^{\pi^+} \le 0.200$, $0.200 < X_{\parallel}^{\pi^+} \le 0.400$, and $0.400 < X_{\parallel}^{\pi^+} \le 1.0$. The least-squares fit of $D(P_y^{\pi^+}) = a + bP_{\perp 4}$ to the data are shown for the two lowest $X_{\parallel}^{\pi^+}$ intervals.

P41 (GeV/c)

1.0

1.5

tistics. Inspection of the figure reveals no P_{\perp} dependence. The same is true for the other X_{\parallel}^{\pm} bins though the statistical errors are large. The data of Fig. 1(d) were fitted with straight lines giving slopes of 0.000 ± 0.010 and -0.003 ± 0.015 , thus showing no P_{\perp} dependence of $D(P_{y}^{\pi\pm})$. In Fig. 2 $D(P_{y}^{\pi\pm})$ is plotted as a function of $P_{\perp 4}$ for all $X_{\parallel}^{\pi\pm}$ intervals. The fitted lines are shown for the two smallest $X_{\parallel}^{\pi\pm}$ intervals; their slopes are 0.021 ± 0.017 and 0.012 ± 0.017 , which is again consistent with no P_{\perp} dependence. To improve our statistics in Fig. 3 we combined the π^{\pm} and π^{\pm} data and plotted $D(P_{y}^{\pi\pm})$ as a function of $P_{3\perp} + P_{4\perp}$. The fitted lines are shown for the lowest two $X_{\parallel}^{\pi\pm}$ intervals. Their slopes are 0.012 ± 0.007 and 0.013 ± 0.010 .

Although the data for the three higher $X_{\parallel}^{\pi^{\pm}}$ lines fluctuate over a wide range of the dispersions $D(P_y^{\pi^{\pm}})$ and $D(P_y^{\pi^{\pm}})$ in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, there is an indication of a slight P_{\perp} dependence at higher $X_{\parallel}^{\pi^{\pm}}$. To examine this further we show in Table I the results of the least-squares fits to the linear form, $D(P_y) = a + bP_{\perp}$, for each $X_{\parallel}^{\pi^{\pm}}$ interval. We find an increase of the numerical values of the fitted slopes with increasing X_{\parallel} . However, their values are consistent with zero within errors thus indi-

1.0



FIG. 3. Width of the positive- and negative-pion momentum distribution normal to the production plane for all X_{\parallel}^{π} intervals as a function of $P_{\perp3} + P_{\perp4}$. The symbols \bigcirc , \Box , Δ , \diamondsuit , and ∇ denote the intervals -0.025 $< X_{\parallel}^{\pi^{\pm}} \le 0.025$, $0.025 < X_{\parallel}^{\pi^{\pm}} \le 0.100$, $0.100 < X_{\parallel}^{\pi^{\pm}} \le 0.200$, $0.200 < X_{\parallel}^{\pi^{\pm}} \le 0.400$, and $0.400 < X_{\parallel}^{\pi^{\pm}} \le 1.0$. The leastsquares fits of $D(P_{y}^{\pi^{\pm}}) = a + b(P_{\perp3} + P_{\perp4})$ to the data are shown for the two lowest $X_{\parallel}^{\pi^{\pm}}$ intervals.

Figure	P_{\perp}	$D(P_y)$	$X_{\parallel}^{\pi^{\pm}}$	a (GeV/c)	Ь	χ^2/N
1(a)	$P_{\perp 3}$	$D(P_y^{\pi})$	0	0.218 ± 0.007 0.245 ± 0.015	-0.026 ± 0.011	4.17/3
1(b)	$P_{\perp 4}$	$D(P_y^{\pi})$	0	0.240 ± 0.006 0.203 ± 0.006	0.003 ± 0.012	7.67/4
1(c)	P⊥ max	$D(P_y^{\pi})$	0	0.270 ± 0.010 0.207 ± 0.010	-0.032 ± 0.018 -0.005 ± 0.015	3.26/4 4.59/4
1(d)	$P_{12}+P_{14}$	$D(P_{\pi}^{\pi})$		0.260 ± 0.015 0.205 ± 0.010	-0.008 ± 0.023 0.000 ± 0.010	5.73/4 0.20/4
•(~)	- 13 - 14	-(-y)		0.259 ± 0.015	-0.003 ± 0.015	1.42/4
2	$P_{\perp 4}$	$D(P_y^{++})$		0.194 ± 0.006 0.216 ± 0.009	0.021 ± 0.012 0.012 ± 0.017	
			\diamond	0.234 ± 0.018 0.345 ± 0.031 0.463 ± 0.077	0.086 ± 0.038 0.005 ± 0.069 0.269 ± 0.200	18.0/4 6.68/4
3	$P_{\perp 3} + P_{\perp 4}$	$D(P_y^{\pi^{\pm}})$	0	0.192 ± 0.007	0.203 ± 0.200 0.012 ± 0.007	8.63/4
				0.226 ± 0.010 0.288 ± 0.021	0.013 ± 0.010 0.033 ± 0.021	4.61/4 31.6/3
			∇	0.374 ± 0.045 0.577 ± 0.106	0.052 ± 0.048 0.051 ± 0.117	3.97/4 8.59/4

TABLE I. Results of least-square fits to the data of Figs. 1-3 of the form $D(P_y) = a + bP_{\perp}$. For the meaning of the symbols in the fourth column, see the figure captions.

cating no significant dependence of the momenta distribution on P_1 of the trigger protons.

This observation of nearly constant width of the distribution of pion momenta normal to the production plane with increasing P_1 of a trigger proton contradicts two classes of single-fireball models: those for which the temperature increases with the P_1 of the trigger protons and those for which the multiplicity grows less than linearly with the fireball mass⁴ while the fireball mass increases at least linearly with P_1 . Each of these classes of models requires an increase of the momenta of the secondary particles normal to the production plane. However, our results are in agreement with either the hard-scattering models or small-fireball models⁵ and support earlier results obtained from the momentum distribution of the negative pions in the reaction $pp \rightarrow p + X$.⁶

We would like to thank our collaborators at Brookhaven National Laboratory, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University and the University of Wisconsin for their efforts in data-taking and reduction.

*Work supported in part by the U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration.

- †Present address: Centre de Recherches Nucléaires, Strasbourg, France.
- ¹J. R. Ficenec *et al.*, *Experimental Meson Spectroscopy*, edited by C. Baltay and A. Rosenfeld (Columbia Univ. Press, New York, 1970), p. 581.
- ²J. R. Ficenec *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. <u>113</u>, 535 (1973).
- ³D. R. Gilbert et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. <u>116</u>, 501

(1974).

- ⁴J. D. Bjorken, in Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Elementary Particles, Aix-en-Provence, 1973 [J. Phys. (Paris) Suppl. <u>34</u>, C1-385 (1973)].
- ⁵S. D. Ellis and R. Thun, in *Proceedings of the IXth Recontre de Moriond*, edited by J. Tran Thann Van (Université de Paris-Sud, Orsay, 1974), Vol. I, p. 37.
- ⁶T. S. Clifford et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>34</u>, 978 (1975).