

Carroll-invariant propagating fields

Florian Ecker^{1,*}, Daniel Grumiller^{1,†}, Marc Henneaux^{2,3,‡} and Patricio Salgado-Rebolledo^{1,§}

¹*Institute for Theoretical Physics, TU Wien, Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8–10/136, A-1040 Vienna, Austria*

²*Université Libre de Bruxelles and International Solvay Institutes,
ULB-Campus Plaine CP231, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium*

³*Collège de France, Université PSL, 11 place Marcelin Berthelot, 75005 Paris, France*



(Received 11 March 2024; accepted 3 July 2024; published 12 August 2024)

We construct Carroll-invariant theories with fields propagating outside the Carroll light cone, i.e., at a speed strictly greater than zero (“Carroll swiftons”). We first consider models in flat Carroll spacetime in general dimensions, where we present scalar and vector Carroll swifton field theories. We then turn to the coupling to gravity and achieve in particular in two dimensions a Carroll-invariant scalar swifton by coupling it suitably to Carroll dilaton gravity. Its backreaction on the geometry generates dynamical torsion.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.110.L041901](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.110.L041901)

Introduction. Once relegated as the overlooked younger sibling of Galilean physics, Carrollian physics has surged into prominence, becoming ubiquitous over the past decade. Carroll symmetries can be obtained formally as the vanishing speed of light limit from Poincaré symmetries [1,2]. As a consequence of this limit, space is absolute but time relative, and the light cone collapses. It is partly due to these counterintuitive features that Carroll symmetries were not appreciated by most of the physics community for quite a while.

A decade ago, it was realized that Minkowski spacetime secretly is endowed with a Carroll structure: the metric at null infinity, $0 \cdot du^2 + d\Omega^2$, has Carroll signature, where u is retarded (or advanced) time and $d\Omega^2$ the metric of the celestial sphere. Moreover, the asymptotic symmetries of asymptotically flat spacetimes discovered by Bondi *et al.* [3] and Sachs [4] match precisely with conformal Carroll symmetries [5–7]. See Refs. [8–12] for more on the Carroll structure at null infinity. It was soon realized that also generic null hypersurfaces have a Carroll structure [13–21].

The ubiquity of null hypersurfaces in physics explains the rapid increase of research that exploits Carrollian symmetries. Among the most prominent ones is the Carroll

approach to flat space holography in three [22–37] and four dimensions [8–12,38–49].

Other physics applications of Carroll symmetries include quantum gravity [50–54], tachyon condensates [55], the fluid/gravity correspondence [56–62], tensionless strings [63–68], cosmology [69–71], current-current deformations [72,73], Hall effects [74], fractons [75–79], flat bands [80], Bjorken flow [81], supersymmetry and supergravity [82–85], and black holes [14,15,17,19,86–88]. Moreover, it is natural to gauge the Carroll algebra [89] and establish Carroll gravity theories [53,71,90–100], which may exhibit Carroll black hole solutions [87]. See Refs. [101,102] for more details.

To better understand Carrollian field theories (the purported duals to asymptotically flat spacetimes), it is necessary to construct simple (but nontrivial) examples of such field theories. The Carrollian algebra in the context of scalar fields and particles is studied in Refs. [101,103–116].

Two versions of a Carrollian scalar field theory have particularly drawn attention in the past. In one version (dubbed “electric”), the variation of the action

$$I_e = \frac{1}{2} \int d^n x (\partial_t \phi)^2 \quad (1)$$

establishes ultralocal equation of motion $\partial_t^2 \phi = 0$: the scalar field may depend on time but becomes ultralocal (i.e., devoid of spatial derivatives). In the other version (dubbed “magnetic”), the variation of the action

$$I_m = \int d^n x \left(\pi \partial_t \phi - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ij} \partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi \right) \quad (2)$$

establishes the time-independence constraint $\partial_t \phi = 0$ together with an inhomogeneous Laplace equation of

*Contact author: fecker@hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at

†Contact author: grumil@hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at

‡Contact author: marc.henneaux@ulb.be

§Contact author: psalgadoreb@hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at

motion, $\delta^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j\phi = \partial_t\pi$. Neither of these cases leads to scalar fields propagating with a finite, nonvanishing velocity.

One might expect such behavior since the Carrollian collapse of the light cone and the absoluteness of space suggest fractonic behavior, i.e., “nothing can move.” Carroll causality forces information to stay within the light cone, i.e., to remain at the same spatial location. Propagation at a nonvanishing speed $v > 0 = c_{\text{Carroll}}$ would define a tachyoniclike behavior.

It has been argued recently (see, e.g., Ref. [101]) that Carroll tachyons have their physical place and interest. Furthermore, given the existence of Carroll black hole thermodynamics [87], one could hope that there are (scalar) modes as carriers of (some Carrollian analog of) Hawking quanta. Actions for Carrollian tachyonic particles have been considered in Refs. [71,101,112].

The main goal of this Letter is to construct and discuss examples of Carroll-invariant actions for (interacting) fields allowing propagation at a nonvanishing velocity in arbitrary dimensions, both with and without (Carroll) gravity. We restrict our attention to scalar and electromagnetic fields.

Contrary to Lorentz tachyons, which usually come with pathologies associated with the unboundedness of their energy, we explicitly show that the energy is bounded from below, at least for two of our models (we have not investigated the other similar models). This suggests that these models are free from the standard Lorentz tachyonic instabilities. Therefore, we refrain from referring to the excitations of our fields as “tachyons.” Instead, we use the translated expression “swiftons” to highlight simultaneously the propagation outside the light cone and the absence of tachyonic instabilities.

Carroll spacetimes. We first review, in this section and the next, selected elements of Carroll spacetimes, their symmetries, and Carroll gravity. This is done partly to make this Letter more accessible to people outside the field and partly to fix the notation. However, this is not a complete review nor a full introduction, for which we refer instead to Refs. [5,53,87,89,90,103,117].

Carroll spacetimes in $n = d + 1$ dimensions have a degenerate signature $(0, +, \dots, +)$ with d pluses. To characterize such spacetimes, in addition to a Carroll metric $h_{\mu\nu}$, we need a Carroll vector v^μ in the kernel of the metric, $v^\mu h_{\mu\nu} = 0$. This is equivalent to endowing the manifold with a nonvanishing volume element Ω [53]. The Carroll analog of the Minkowski metric is given by the Carroll metric $h_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{ij}\delta_\mu^i\delta_\nu^j$ and the vector field $v = v^\mu\partial_\mu = \partial_t$, implying the unit volume $\Omega = 1$. Below, we sometimes use the abbreviation $\dot{\phi} \equiv v^\mu\partial_\mu\phi$.

While the metric $h_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{ij}\delta_\mu^i\delta_\nu^j$ is invariant under all Carroll transformations, its “inverse metric” $h^{\mu\nu} \equiv \delta^{ij}\delta_i^\mu\delta_j^\nu$ is not invariant under Carroll boosts. This means that one cannot raise tensor indices in an invariant way. However, for

“transverse,” or “spacelike” covectors θ_μ , defined as being orthogonal to v^μ , $v^\mu\theta_\mu = 0$, the norm squared $\theta_\mu\theta^\mu$ is well defined and positive. The same is true for more general covariant tensors $\theta_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_k}$ transverse on all their indices.

In terms of the Carroll structure, the electric action (1) can be written in a manifestly invariant form as $I_e = \frac{1}{2}\int d^n x (v^\mu\partial_\mu\phi)^2$. The manifestly Carroll-invariant form of the magnetic action (2) is more involved and was worked out in Ref. [104]. The difficulty is that the term $\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi$ is well defined and invariant under all Carroll transformations, including boosts, only if $\partial_\mu\phi$ is transverse, i.e., $v^\mu\partial_\mu\phi = 0$. This is why the magnetic Carroll scalar action (2) implements the constraint $v^\mu\partial_\mu\phi = 0$, but this is only an on-shell relation. To write the action, one must go off shell where $v^\mu\partial_\mu\phi$ is not zero, which necessitates introducing an extra auxiliary field [71,104].

This observation also explains why it is challenging to construct Carroll-invariant actions involving simultaneously time derivatives and spatial gradients of a single scalar field.

Vielbein and connection. It is often more convenient to work with Cartan-like variables, the temporal einbein $\tau = \tau_\mu dx^\mu$ (alias “Ehresmann connection”), and the spatial vielbein, $e^a = e_\mu^a dx^\mu$, where a is a spatial tangent space index, lowered by δ_{ab} , with $a, b = 1\dots d$. The spacetime indices μ, ν have the range $0\dots d$, and the spatial indices i, j have the range $1\dots d$. The Carroll metric is the bilinear of the spatial vielbein, $h_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^a e_\nu^b \delta_{ab}$. The Carroll vector is the dual of the temporal einbein, $v^\mu\tau_\mu = -1$, and orthogonal to the spatial vielbein, $v^\mu e_\mu^a = 0$. It is convenient to also introduce the inverse spatial vielbein, e_a^μ , dual to the vielbein, $e_\mu^a e_b^\mu = \delta_b^a$, and orthogonal to the temporal einbein, $e_a^\mu\tau_\mu = 0$.

In addition to the vielbein variables, we need connections. The connection associated with spatial rotations behaves in the same way as for Lorentzian or Galilean theories, so we do not review its properties. The Carroll boost connection,¹ $\omega_a = \omega_{a\mu} dx^\mu$, is the gauge field associated with local Carroll boosts, which are Abelian: $\delta_\lambda\omega_a = d\lambda_a$. The vielbein fields transform under Carroll boosts,

$$\delta_\lambda\tau_\mu = -\lambda_a e_\mu^a \quad \delta_\lambda e_a^\mu = -\lambda_a v^\mu \quad \delta_\lambda e_\mu^a = 0 = \delta_\lambda v^\mu, \quad (3)$$

in agreement with the transformation properties of the metric variables, $\delta_\lambda v^\mu = 0 = \delta_\lambda h_{\mu\nu}$.

Biscalar model. Our first key result of this Letter is the construction of Carroll-invariant actions for scalar and

¹The Carroll boost connection transforms in the usual way under rotations. Since the corresponding formulas are not needed, we do not display them.

electromagnetic swiftons, i.e., allowing propagation outside the Carroll light cone.

We start with a model that couples two scalar fields ϕ, χ with canonically normalized kinetic terms and coupling constant g , thereby generalizing the model introduced in Ref. [118] [see their Eq. (2.1) as well as [111]] to any Carroll background. Its action in covariant form

$$I_M = \frac{1}{2} \int d^n x \Omega \left((v^\mu \partial_\mu \phi)^2 + (v^\mu \partial_\mu \chi)^2 + g B_\mu B^\mu \right) \quad (4)$$

contains the manifestly transverse covariant vector

$$B_\nu \equiv v^\mu (\partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \chi - \partial_\mu \chi \partial_\nu \phi) \equiv 2v^\mu \partial_{[\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu]} \chi. \quad (5)$$

Because B_ν involves simultaneously time and spatial derivatives, the model allows propagation off the Carroll light cone (see below). Antisymmetry of the coefficient of v^μ in B_ν is crucial for transversality, which would not hold if $\phi = \chi$ since then B_ν would be identically zero. By contrast, two distinct scalar fields can “mutualize” their derivatives in a nontrivial way through the identically transverse vector B_ν . Each scalar field is crucial for its mutualistic partner in our construction.

The Hamiltonian for this model can be derived straightforwardly, noting that the above Lagrangian density can be rewritten as $[\phi^A \equiv (\phi, \chi)]$,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\sqrt{h}}{2N} H_{AB} \dot{\phi}^A \dot{\phi}^B \quad H_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + g(\partial\chi)^2 & -g\partial\phi \cdot \partial\chi \\ -g\partial\phi \cdot \partial\chi & 1 + g(\partial\phi)^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Here, N is the Carroll lapse, h is the determinant of the spatial metric h_{mn} with inverse h^{mn} , $\partial\phi^A \cdot \partial\phi^B \equiv h^{mn} \partial_m \phi^A \partial_n \phi^B$, and $\dot{\phi}^A \equiv \dot{\phi}^A - N^k \partial_k \phi^A$ with N^k the Carroll shift (see Ref. [53]).

The inverse matrix H^{AB} is $H^{AB} = \frac{1}{D} (\delta^{AB} + g(\partial\phi^A \cdot \partial\phi^B))$, where D is the determinant $D = 1 + g(\partial\phi)^2 + g(\partial\chi)^2 + g^2((\partial\phi)^2(\partial\chi)^2 - (\partial\phi \cdot \partial\chi)^2)$, which obeys $D \geq 1$ for $g \geq 0$, implying that the field space metric H_{AB} has Euclidean signature since it depends continuously on g and reduces to the unit matrix for $g = 0$.

The Hamiltonian is $N\mathcal{H} + N^k \mathcal{H}_k$ where the momentum density is $\mathcal{H}_k = \pi_A \partial_k \phi^A$ and the energy density

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{h}} H^{AB} \pi_A \pi_B \quad (7)$$

is bilinear in the conjugate momenta π_A . Since the quadratic form $H^{AB} \pi_A \pi_B$ is positive definite, the energy density is bounded from below by zero.

An instructive and not completely trivial computation shows that the Poisson brackets of the energy densities at different spacelike points vanish, $\{\mathcal{H}(x), \mathcal{H}(x')\} = 0$, in

agreement with the general argument of Ref. [104]. This ensures that the constraints $\mathcal{H}^T \approx 0$, $\mathcal{H}_k^T \approx 0$ of the dynamical gravity + matter system

$$I = \int d^n x \left(\pi^{ij} \dot{h}_{ij} + \pi_A \dot{\phi}^A - N\mathcal{H}^T - N^k \mathcal{H}_k^T \right) \quad (8)$$

are first class as they should be. In the above action, π^{ij} are the conjugate momenta to the spatial metric, while $\mathcal{H}^T = \mathcal{H}^G + \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_k^T = \mathcal{H}_k^G + \mathcal{H}_k$ are the sums of the Carroll gravity (in either the electric or magnetic version) and matter contributions to the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints.

The equations of motion following from the mutualistic scalar action (4)

$$\partial_\mu \left(\Omega (v^\mu v^\nu \partial_\nu \chi - g h^{\alpha\nu} B_\nu v^\mu \partial_\alpha \phi + g h^{\mu\nu} B_\nu v^\alpha \partial_\alpha \phi) \right) = 0 \quad (9a)$$

$$\partial_\mu \left(\Omega (v^\mu v^\nu \partial_\nu \phi + g h^{\alpha\nu} B_\nu v^\mu \partial_\alpha \chi - g h^{\mu\nu} B_\nu v^\alpha \partial_\alpha \chi) \right) = 0 \quad (9b)$$

are coupled nonlinear partial differential equations, and we have not tried to devise a general method to solve them.

Taylor-expanded swiftons. To gain insight into the theory, we use perturbative methods. A soluble case arises when one of the scalar fields, say, $\chi = \chi_{\text{BG}} + \phi(\epsilon^2)$, is a background field in addition to the geometric background (which we assume to be static) and the other one, $\phi = \epsilon\varphi$, is a small fluctuation on top ($\epsilon \ll 1$). To leading order, we obtain from (9) the background solution

$$\chi_{\text{BG}} = \chi_0(x^i) + \chi_1(x^i)t, \quad (10)$$

where we used adapted coordinates such that $v = f(x^i)\partial_t$. For simplicity, we set $\chi_0 = 0$ and $\chi_1 = 1$.

Inserting this solution back into the mutualistic scalar action (4) yields a quadratic action,

$$I[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^n x \Omega \left(\epsilon^2 (v^\mu \partial_\mu \varphi)^2 + \epsilon^2 g h^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \varphi) (\partial_\nu \varphi) + 1 \right), \quad (11)$$

for the fluctuations φ on such a background.

To get hyperbolic equations of motion, we need a negative coupling constant g , which may seem at odds with positivity of energy density. However, even for negative g , the energy density remains positive as long as the coupling constant obeys the inequality $g > -1/[(\partial\phi)^2 + (\partial\chi)^2]$. In our perturbative context where both $(\partial\phi)^2$ and $(\partial\chi)^2$ are small, the bound on the coupling constant is very weak.

Up to a cosmological constant term and conventions, the action (11) coincides precisely with the one proposed in Ref. [102]; i.e., it combines electric (1) and magnetic (2)

Carroll scalar field actions to a single electromagnetic one. However, as opposed to Ref. [102], the full theory maintains Carroll boost invariance because Carroll boosts do not only act on the spatial derivative terms involving the fluctuating field ϕ but also the background field χ .

Multiscalar models. The biscalar model (4) can easily be generalized by the same “mutualization trick” to a multiscalar theory. For instance, with three scalars, we have the action $[\phi^A \equiv (\phi, \chi, \psi)]$

$$I_{M_3} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^n x \Omega \left(\sum_{A=1}^3 (v^\mu \partial_\mu \phi^A)^2 + g B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \right) \quad (12)$$

with the transverse tensor

$$B_{\mu\nu} \equiv v^\rho B_{\mu\nu\rho} \quad B_{\mu\nu\rho} \equiv \partial_{[\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \chi \partial_{\rho]} \psi. \quad (13)$$

The interaction term in (12) is of order 6 in the derivatives but only quadratic in the time derivatives.

Electromagnetic model. A nontrivially interacting electromagnetic model can also be constructed using the same idea. Consider

$$C_{\mu\nu\rho} \equiv v^\sigma C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \quad C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \equiv F_{[\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma]}, \quad (14)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the electromagnetic field. This is a transverse 3-form, $C_{\mu\nu\rho} v^\rho = 0$. Hence, again, its square $C_{\mu\nu\rho} C^{\mu\nu\rho}$ is well defined.

The electromagnetic Carroll swifton action

$$I_{\text{EM}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^n x \Omega \left((v^\mu F_{\mu\nu})^2 + g C_{\mu\nu\rho} C^{\mu\nu\rho} \right) \quad (15)$$

yields the equations of motion

$$\partial_\lambda \left(\Omega (v^\mu v^{[\sigma} h^{\lambda]\nu} + g v^\nu C^{\sigma\lambda\mu} + g v^{[\lambda} C^{\sigma]\mu\nu}) F_{\mu\nu} \right) = 0 \quad (16)$$

that are again coupled nonlinear partial differential equations.

The energy density is given by a similar expression as in the scalar case

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{h}} H_{ij} \pi^i \pi^j, \quad (17)$$

where H_{ij} is the inverse of the symmetric matrix

$$H^{ij} = h^{ij} + \frac{2g}{3} \left(h^{il} h^{mk} h^{nj} + \frac{1}{2} h^{ij} h^{lk} h^{mn} \right) F_{lm} F_{kn}. \quad (18)$$

In four dimensions, the determinant of the latter is given by $D = h^{-1}(1 + 2gB^2/3)$ with $B^2 = h^{ik} h^{jl} F_{kl} F_{ij}/2$, which makes \mathcal{H} positive definite if $g > -3/(2B^2)$, allowing in particular again negative values of g in a perturbative context.

For the special case of a four-dimensional flat Carroll background, we linearize the equations of motion (16) with a constant electric background field plus fluctuations on top,

$$F_{ij} \equiv e \mathcal{B}_{ij} \quad F_{ti} = -\delta_i^x E - e \mathcal{E}_i. \quad (19)$$

The linearized equations of motion are solved by plane waves

$$\mathcal{E}_i = A_i e^{i(k_y y + k_z z - \omega t)} \quad \mathcal{B}_{ij} = B(\delta_i^y \delta_j^z - \delta_i^z \delta_j^y) e^{i(k_y y + k_z z - \omega t)} \quad (20)$$

subject to the dispersion relation $\omega^2 = c_{\text{eff}}^2 (k_y^2 + k_z^2)$ with the effective speed of light $c_{\text{eff}}^2 = -\frac{2g}{3} E^2$, the transversality condition $k_y A_y + k_z A_z = 0 = A_x$, and the normalization $B^2 = A_y^2 + A_z^2$. As in the biscalar model, we need negative g to have hyperbolic equations of motion with a real effective propagation speed for the swiftons.

Denoting $J_1 = (v^\mu F_{\mu\nu})^2$ and $J_2 = C_{\mu\nu\rho} C^{\mu\nu\rho}$, this model can be generalized to Lagrange densities of the form $\mathcal{L} = \Omega f(J_1, J_2)$. It would be interesting to determine which choice of f leads to the duality-invariant theory constructed in Ref. [119].

The electromagnetic model can be directly coupled to the biscalar action (4). Indeed, in terms of the complex field $\Phi = \phi + i\chi$, the mutualistic Lagrangian density takes the simple form $\mathcal{L}_M = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\Phi} \dot{\Phi}^* + \frac{g}{8} h^{ij} |\dot{\Phi} \partial_i \Phi^* - \dot{\Phi}^* \partial_i \Phi|^2$. Thus, the global $U(1)$ symmetry of the model can be made local by introducing standard minimal coupling $\partial_\mu \rightarrow \partial_\mu - iA_\mu$.

Scalars propagating on Carroll black holes. We now focus on two spacetime dimensions and construct swiftons coupled to gravity. The reason for considering two dimensions (2D) is that all known Carroll black hole solutions are described by 2D models (intrinsically or by dimensional reduction). Below, all tangent space indices a, b are dropped since we have only one spatial dimension.

Carroll dilaton gravity in 2D was introduced in Ref. [93] (see also Ref. [94]). Its action

$$I_{\text{CDG}} \sim \int (X d\omega + X_H (d\tau + \omega \wedge e) + X_P de + \tau \wedge e \mathcal{V}(X, X_H)) \quad (21)$$

depends on the temporal einbein τ , the spatial einbein e , the Carroll boost connection ω , the dilaton X , the Lagrange multiplier X_H for the torsion constraint, and the Lagrange

multiplier X_p for the intrinsic torsion constraint. The potential function $\mathcal{V}(X, X_H)$ depends on Carroll boost-invariant scalar fields. The remaining scalar field X_p is paramount for our construction below, as it transforms nontrivially under local Carroll boosts,

$$\delta_\lambda X_p = \lambda X_H. \quad (22)$$

All classical solutions for all models (21) were constructed in Ref. [87]. Among them are Carroll black holes, which have thermal properties similar to Lorentzian black holes. To verify whether or not there is a Hawking-like effect [120], we need to couple matter to Carroll black holes since otherwise the theory has no local propagating degrees of freedom. This provides an additional motivation for considering Carroll swifton scalars propagating on Carroll black hole backgrounds.

The second key result of this Letter is the 2D Carroll swifton scalar field action,

$$I_{2d} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \Omega F \left(\dot{\phi}^2 + g(\hat{\partial}\phi)^2 + h\dot{\phi}\hat{\partial}\phi \right), \quad (23)$$

where the coupling functions F , g , h may depend on the dilaton X and the Carroll boost-invariant scalar X_H . The volume form is given by $d^2x\Omega = \tau \wedge e$. The most general Carroll-invariant second-order action (23) combines nontrivially time and space derivatives of ϕ and has the merit of not introducing any extra structure besides the Carroll background. Here, we have defined the Carroll boost-invariant derivative

$$\hat{\partial} \equiv e^\mu \partial_\mu + \frac{X_p}{X_H} v^\mu \partial_\mu. \quad (24)$$

The last term in (23) does not generalize to higher dimensions [105], whereas the first two terms do. We stress that we have added a term in $\hat{\partial}$ that transforms like a Stückelberg field [89], but using only fields that were there already in the gravity action (21).

The definition (24) introduces the important restriction $X_H \neq 0$; i.e., we are not allowed to sit on a Carroll extremal surface [87]. This requirement is the Carrollian pendant of considering the outside region of a black hole, so for most applications, $X_H \neq 0$ is obeyed. If one needs to extend the action (23) onto a Carroll extremal surface $X_H = 0$, one can do so, for instance, by choosing $g \propto X_H^2$.

Regge-Wheeler-type equation. We provide as a pertinent example a scalar field propagating on a Carroll-Schwarzschild background [see, e.g., Eqs. (213)–(219) in Ref. [87]], where we assume nonminimal coupling to the dilaton, $F = X \equiv r^2$, set $h = 0$, and leave g constant. Defining $\phi = \psi/r$ and the tortoise coordinate $r_* \equiv r + 2m \ln(\frac{r}{2m} - 1)$ yields the Regge-Wheeler-type equation

$$\partial_r^2 \psi + g \partial_{r_*}^2 \psi = \frac{2gm}{r^3} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right) \psi, \quad (25)$$

where $m > 0$ is the mass of the Carroll-Schwarzschild black hole, and we assume $r > 2m$ to be outside the Carroll extremal surface. For the value of the coupling constant $g = -1$, the Eq. (25) is identical to the s-wave sector of the Regge-Wheeler equation, see, e.g., Ref. [121], and thus standard results apply to this case. More generally, for negative (positive) g , the swifton equation (25) is hyperbolic (elliptic).

Dynamical torsion from scalar swiftons. Without back-reaction, our matter action (23) (with $F = 1$, $h = 0$, and $g = \text{const.}$) is indistinguishable from the one in Ref. [102]. This ceases to be the case when taking into account backreactions of the scalar field on the Carroll geometry.

To show this, we add it to the gravity action (21) and consider here two of the field equations, namely, the ones coming from variations with respect to X_p and X_H :

$$de = -\frac{g}{X_H} \dot{\phi} \hat{\partial}\phi \tau \wedge e \quad d\tau + \omega \wedge e = \frac{gX_p}{X_H^2} \dot{\phi} \hat{\partial}\phi \tau \wedge e. \quad (26)$$

The left-hand sides are, respectively, intrinsic torsion (so named because it cannot be altered by changing the connection) and standard torsion. The right-hand sides are zero without matter but are sourced by the same term $\dot{\phi} \hat{\partial}\phi$ in the presence of swifton matter. Therefore, if this expression is nonzero, then the backreactions of a scalar field that propagates on a Carroll background induce dynamical torsion (both intrinsic and standard). It would be of interest to explore further the dynamical properties of this theory.

Conclusions. Apart from the duality-invariant electromagnetic Lagrangian of Ref. [119], there was to our knowledge no field theoretical Carroll-invariant Lagrangian that was neither of electric or magnetic type and allowed propagation off the Carrollian light cone on arbitrary Carroll backgrounds.

We have constructed and discussed in this Letter new scalar and vector models with this property. We have also explicitly verified for two of these models that Carroll tachyonic behavior is compatible with the positivity of the energy: Carroll tachyons need not be plagued by the pathologies of their Lorentz-invariant analogs, which is why we refer to them as “Carroll swiftons.”

We considered our models intrinsically Carrollian rather than as induced at some null hypersurface in a Lorentzian spacetime. In such a context, swiftons would correspond to Lorentzian tachyons in the ambient spacetime with the associated difficulties.

It is straightforward to generalize our scalar models by adding interaction potentials. For instance, the biscalar

swifton Lagrangian in (4) can have an additional term $V(\phi, \chi)$ without breaking any of the Carroll symmetries, where V is an arbitrary function of both scalar fields.

We conclude by mentioning further generalizations and applications. It seems worthwhile to generalize our scalar and vector swifton models to higher spin, half-integer spin, and supersymmetric swiftons. For applications in flat space holography, it would be gratifying to construct conformal swifton models since such models can be candidates for the field theory dual of asymptotically flat gravity theories. For the swifton models considered in our Letter, it could be rewarding to go beyond Taylor expansions and find non-perturbative swifton solutions while obeying the positivity constraint on energy density. Finally, it should be fruitful to study backreactions in (semi)classical Carroll gravity with swiftons.

Acknowledgments. We thank Ankit Aggarwal, Arjun Bagchi, Rudranil Basu, Emil Have, Jelle Hartong, Niels Obers, and Dima Vassilevich for discussions and/or collaborations on related topics. We are grateful to Andreas Karch for pointing out their flat Carrollian version of the biscalar model (4) in the context of spacetime subsystem symmetries. The work of F.E., D.G., and P.S.-R. was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) [grant DOI: 10.55776/P35891], Projects No. P 32581, No. P 33789, and No. P 36619. The work of M.H. was partially supported by FNRS-Belgium (conventions FRFC PDRT.1025.14 and IISN 4.4503.15) as well as by funds from the Solvay Family. F.E., D.G., and P.S.-R. acknowledge support by the OeAD travel Grant No. IN 04/2022 and thank Rudranil Basu for hosting them at BITS Pilani in Goa in February 2024 through Grant No. DST/IC/Austria/P-9/202 (G).

-
- [1] J.-M. Lévy-Leblond, Une nouvelle limite non-relativiste du groupe de Poincaré, *Ann. l'I. H. P. Phys. Théor.* **3**, 1 (1965).
 - [2] N. D. S. Gupta, On an analogue of the Galilei group, *Il Nuovo Cimento A (1965-1970)* **44**, 512 (1966).
 - [3] H. Bondi, M. van der Burg, and A. Metzner, Gravitational waves in general relativity VII. Waves from axi-symmetric isolated systems, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **269**, 21 (1962).
 - [4] R. Sachs, Asymptotic symmetries in gravitational theory, *Phys. Rev.* **128**, 2851 (1962).
 - [5] C. Duval, G. W. Gibbons, P. A. Horvathy, and P. M. Zhang, Carroll versus Newton and Galilei: Two dual non-Einsteinian concepts of time, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **31**, 085016 (2014).
 - [6] C. Duval, G. W. Gibbons, and P. A. Horvathy, Conformal Carroll groups and BMS symmetry, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **31**, 092001 (2014).
 - [7] C. Duval, G. W. Gibbons, and P. A. Horvathy, Conformal Carroll groups, *J. Phys. A* **47**, 335204 (2014).
 - [8] L. Ciambelli, C. Marteau, A. C. Petkou, P. M. Petropoulos, and K. Siampos, Flat holography and Carrollian fluids, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2018) 165.
 - [9] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, E. Have, S. Prohazka, and J. Salzer, Carrollian and celestial spaces at infinity, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2022) 007.
 - [10] Y. Herfray, Carrollian manifolds and null infinity: A view from Cartan geometry, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **39**, 215005 (2022).
 - [11] N. Mittal, P. M. Petropoulos, D. Rivera-Betancour, and M. Vilatte, Ehlers, Carroll, charges and dual charges, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2023) 065.
 - [12] A. Campoleoni, A. Delfante, S. Pekar, P. M. Petropoulos, D. Rivera-Betancour, and M. Vilatte, Flat from anti de Sitter, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2023) 078.
 - [13] R. F. Penna, BMS invariance and the membrane paradigm, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2016) 023.
 - [14] R. F. Penna, Near-horizon Carroll symmetry and black hole Love numbers, [arXiv:1812.05643](https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.05643).
 - [15] L. Donnay and C. Marteau, Carrollian physics at the black hole horizon, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **36**, 165002 (2019).
 - [16] L. Ciambelli, R. G. Leigh, C. Marteau, and P. M. Petropoulos, Carroll structures, null geometry and conformal isometries, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 046010 (2019).
 - [17] J. Redondo-Yuste and L. Lehner, Non-linear black hole dynamics and Carrollian fluids, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2023) 240.
 - [18] L. Freidel and P. Jai-akson, Carrollian hydrodynamics and symplectic structure on stretched horizons, [arXiv:2211.06415](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.06415).
 - [19] F. Gray, D. Kubiznak, T. R. Perche, and J. Redondo-Yuste, Carrollian motion in magnetized black hole horizons, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 064009 (2023).
 - [20] L. Ciambelli, L. Freidel, and R. G. Leigh, Null Raychaudhuri: Canonical structure and the dressing time, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2024) 166.
 - [21] L. Ciambelli and L. Lehner, Fluid-gravity correspondence and causal first-order relativistic viscous hydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 126019 (2023).
 - [22] G. Barnich and G. Compère, Classical central extension for asymptotic symmetries at null infinity in three space-time dimensions, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **24**, F15 (2007).
 - [23] A. Bagchi, Correspondence between asymptotically flat spacetimes and nonrelativistic conformal field theories, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 171601 (2010).
 - [24] A. Bagchi, S. Detournay, and D. Grumiller, Flat-space chiral gravity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 151301 (2012).

- [25] G. Barnich, Entropy of three-dimensional asymptotically flat cosmological solutions, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2012) 095.
- [26] A. Bagchi, S. Detournay, R. Fareghbal, and J. Simon, Holography of 3D flat cosmological horizons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 141302 (2013).
- [27] G. Barnich, A. Gomberoff, and H. A. Gonzalez, BMS₃ invariant two dimensional field theories as flat limit of Liouville, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 124032 (2013).
- [28] A. Bagchi, S. Detournay, D. Grumiller, and J. Simon, Cosmic evolution from phase transition of three-dimensional flat space, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 181301 (2013).
- [29] A. Bagchi, R. Basu, D. Grumiller, and M. Riegler, Entanglement entropy in Galilean conformal field theories and flat holography, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 111602 (2015).
- [30] G. Barnich, H. A. Gonzalez, A. Maloney, and B. Oblak, One-loop partition function of three-dimensional flat gravity, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2015) 178.
- [31] A. Campoleoni, H. A. Gonzalez, B. Oblak, and M. Riegler, Rotating higher spin partition functions and extended BMS symmetries, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2016) 034.
- [32] A. Bagchi, D. Grumiller, and W. Merbis, Stress tensor correlators in three-dimensional gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 061502 (2016).
- [33] J. Hartong, Holographic reconstruction of 3D flat space-time, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2016) 104.
- [34] A. Bagchi, R. Basu, A. Kakkar, and A. Mehra, Flat holography: Aspects of the dual field theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2016) 147.
- [35] H. Jiang, W. Song, and Q. Wen, Entanglement entropy in flat holography, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2017) 142.
- [36] D. Grumiller, P. Parekh, and M. Riegler, Local quantum energy conditions in non-Lorentz-invariant quantum field theories, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 121602 (2019).
- [37] L. Apolo, H. Jiang, W. Song, and Y. Zhong, Swing surfaces and holographic entanglement beyond AdS/CFT, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2020) 064.
- [38] L. Donnay, A. Fiorucci, Y. Herfray, and R. Ruzziconi, Carrollian perspective on celestial holography, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 071602 (2022).
- [39] A. Bagchi, S. Banerjee, R. Basu, and S. Dutta, Scattering amplitudes: Celestial and Carrollian, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 241601 (2022).
- [40] A. Campoleoni, L. Ciambelli, A. Delfante, C. Marteau, P. M. Petropoulos, and R. Ruzziconi, Holographic Lorentz and Carroll frames, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2022) 007.
- [41] L. Donnay, A. Fiorucci, Y. Herfray, and R. Ruzziconi, Bridging Carrollian and celestial holography, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 126027 (2023).
- [42] A. Bagchi, P. Dhivakar, and S. Dutta, AdS Witten diagrams to Carrollian correlators, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2023) 135.
- [43] A. Saha, Carrollian approach to 1 + 3D flat holography, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2023) 051.
- [44] J. Salzer, An embedding space approach to Carrollian CFT correlators for flat space holography, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2023) 084.
- [45] K. Nguyen and P. West, Carrollian conformal fields and flat holography, *Universe* **9**, 385 (2023).
- [46] K. Nguyen, Carrollian conformal correlators and massless scattering amplitudes, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2024) 076.
- [47] A. Bagchi, P. Dhivakar, and S. Dutta, Holography in flat spacetimes: The case for Carroll, [arXiv:2311.11246](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.11246).
- [48] L. Mason, R. Ruzziconi, and A. Yellespur Srikant, Carrollian amplitudes and celestial symmetries, [arXiv:2312.10138](https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.10138).
- [49] E. Have, K. Nguyen, S. Prohazka, and J. Salzer, Massive Carrollian fields at timelike infinity, [arXiv:2402.05190](https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.05190).
- [50] C. J. Isham, Some quantum field theory aspects of the superspace quantization of general relativity, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **351**, 209 (1976).
- [51] C. Teitelboim, The Hamiltonian structure of space-time, in *General Relativity and Gravitation: One Hundred Years After the Birth of Albert Einstein*, edited by A. Held (Plenum Press, New York, 1978).
- [52] C. Teitelboim, Surface deformations, their square root and the signature of spacetime, in *Proceedings of the 7th International Group Theory Colloquium: The Integrative Conference on Group Theory and Mathematical Physics*, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 94 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1979).
- [53] M. Henneaux, Geometry of zero signature space-times, *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg., Ser. A* **31**, 47 (1979).
- [54] M. Henneaux, M. Pilati, and C. Teitelboim, Explicit solution for the zero signature (strong coupling) limit of the propagation amplitude in quantum gravity, *Phys. Lett.* **110B**, 123 (1982).
- [55] G. Gibbons, K. Hashimoto, and P. Yi, Tachyon condensates, Carrollian contraction of Lorentz group, and fundamental strings, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2002) 061.
- [56] J. de Boer, J. Hartong, N. A. Obers, W. Sybesma, and S. Vandoren, Perfect fluids, *SciPost Phys.* **5**, 003 (2018).
- [57] L. Ciambelli, C. Marteau, A. C. Petkou, P. M. Petropoulos, and K. Siampos, Covariant Galilean versus Carrollian hydrodynamics from relativistic fluids, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **35**, 165001 (2018).
- [58] A. Campoleoni, L. Ciambelli, C. Marteau, P. M. Petropoulos, and K. Siampos, Two-dimensional fluids and their holographic duals, *Nucl. Phys.* **B946**, 114692 (2019).
- [59] L. Ciambelli, C. Marteau, P. M. Petropoulos, and R. Ruzziconi, Gauges in three-dimensional gravity and holographic fluids, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2020) 092.
- [60] L. Ciambelli, C. Marteau, P. M. Petropoulos, and R. Ruzziconi, Fefferman-Graham and bondi gauges in the fluid/gravity correspondence, *Proc. Sci. CORFU2019* (2020) 154 [[arXiv:2006.10083](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.10083)].
- [61] L. Freidel and P. Jai-akson, Carrollian hydrodynamics from symmetries, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **40**, 055009 (2023).
- [62] A. C. Petkou, P. M. Petropoulos, D. R. Betancour, and K. Siampos, Relativistic fluids, hydrodynamic frames and their Galilean versus Carrollian avatars, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2022) 162.
- [63] A. Bagchi, S. Chakraborty, and P. Parekh, Tensionless strings from worldsheet symmetries, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2016) 158.
- [64] A. Bagchi, A. Banerjee, and P. Parekh, Tensionless path from closed to open strings, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 111601 (2019).

- [65] A. Bagchi, A. Banerjee, and S. Chakraborty, Rindler physics on the string worldsheet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 031601 (2021).
- [66] A. Bagchi, D. Grumiller, S. Sheikh-Jabbari, and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Horizon strings as 3D black hole microstates, *SciPost Phys.* **15**, 210 (2023).
- [67] D. V. Fursaev and I. G. Pirozhenko, Electromagnetic waves generated by null cosmic strings passing pulsars, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 025012 (2024).
- [68] D. V. Fursaev, E. A. Davydov, I. G. Pirozhenko, and V. A. Tainov, Gravitational waves generated by null cosmic strings, [arXiv:2311.01863](#).
- [69] M. Henneaux, Quantification Hamiltonienne du champ de gravitation: Une nouvelle approche, *Bull. Cl. Sci., Acad. R. Belg.* **68**, 940 (1982).
- [70] T. Damour, M. Henneaux, and H. Nicolai, Cosmological billiards, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **20**, R145 (2003).
- [71] J. de Boer, J. Hartong, N. A. Obers, W. Sybesma, and S. Vandoren, Carroll symmetry, dark energy and inflation, *Front. Phys.* **10**, 810405 (2022).
- [72] P. Rodríguez, D. Tempo, and R. Troncoso, Mapping relativistic to ultra/non-relativistic conformal symmetries in 2D and finite $\sqrt{T\bar{T}}$ deformations, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2021) 133.
- [73] P. Parekh, D. Tempo, and R. Troncoso, BMS₃ (Carrollian) field theories from a bound in the coupling of current-current deformations of CFT₂, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2023) 083.
- [74] L. Marsot, P. M. Zhang, M. Chernodub, and P. A. Horvathy, Hall effects in Carroll dynamics, *Phys. Rep.* **1028**, 1 (2023).
- [75] L. Bidussi, J. Hartong, E. Have, J. Musaeus, and S. Prohazka, Fractons, dipole symmetries and curved spacetime, *SciPost Phys.* **12**, 205 (2022).
- [76] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, A. Pérez, and S. Prohazka, Carroll/fracton particles and their correspondence, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2023) 207.
- [77] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, A. Pérez, and S. Prohazka, Quantum Carroll/fracton particles, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2023) 041.
- [78] F. Peña Benítez and P. Salgado-Rebolledo, Fracton gauge fields from higher dimensional gravity, [arXiv:2310.12610](#).
- [79] A. Pérez, S. Prohazka, and A. Seraj, Fracton infrared triangle, [arXiv:2310.16683](#).
- [80] A. Bagchi, A. Banerjee, R. Basu, M. Islam, and S. Mondal, Magic fermions: Carroll and flat bands, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2023) 227.
- [81] A. Bagchi, K. S. Kolekar, and A. Shukla, Carrollian origins of bjorken flow, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 241601 (2023).
- [82] L. Ravera, AdS Carroll Chern-Simons supergravity in $2+1$ dimensions and its flat limit, *Phys. Lett. B* **795**, 331 (2019).
- [83] F. Ali and L. Ravera, \mathcal{N} -extended Chern-Simons Carrollian supergravities in $2+1$ spacetime dimensions, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2020) 128.
- [84] L. Ravera and U. Zorba, Carrollian and non-relativistic Jackiw-Teitelboim supergravity, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, 107 (2023).
- [85] O. Kasikci, M. Ozkan, Y. Pang, and U. Zorba, Carrollian supersymmetry and SYK-like models, [arXiv:2311.00039](#).
- [86] J. Bičák, D. Kubizňák, and T. R. Perche, Migrating Carrollian particles on magnetized black hole horizons, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 104014 (2023).
- [87] F. Ecker, D. Grumiller, J. Hartong, A. Pérez, S. Prohazka, and R. Troncoso, Carroll black holes, *SciPost Phys.* **15**, 245 (2023).
- [88] A. Bagchi, A. Banerjee, J. Hartong, E. Have, K. S. Kolekar, and M. Mandlik, Strings near black holes are Carrollian, [arXiv:2312.14240](#).
- [89] J. Hartong, Gauging the Carroll algebra and ultra-relativistic gravity, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2015) 069.
- [90] E. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis, B. Rollier, J. Rosseel, and T. ter Veldhuis, Carroll versus Galilei gravity, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2017) 165.
- [91] L. Ciambelli and C. Marteau, Carrollian conservation laws and Ricci-flat gravity, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **36**, 085004 (2019).
- [92] J. Matulich, S. Prohazka, and J. Salzer, Limits of three-dimensional gravity and metric kinematical Lie algebras in any dimension, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2019) 118.
- [93] D. Grumiller, J. Hartong, S. Prohazka, and J. Salzer, Limits of JT gravity, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2021) 134.
- [94] J. Gomis, D. Hidalgo, and P. Salgado-Rebolledo, Non-relativistic and Carrollian limits of Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2021) 162.
- [95] A. Pérez, Asymptotic symmetries in Carrollian theories of gravity, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2021) 173.
- [96] D. Hansen, N. A. Obers, G. Oling, and B. T. Søgaaard, Carroll expansion of general relativity, *SciPost Phys.* **13**, 055 (2022).
- [97] P. Concha, D. Peñafiel, L. Ravera, and E. Rodríguez, Three-dimensional Maxwellian Carroll gravity theory and the cosmological constant, *Phys. Lett. B* **823**, 136735 (2021).
- [98] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, E. Have, S. Prohazka, and J. Salzer, The gauging procedure and Carrollian gravity, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2022) 243.
- [99] A. Campoleoni, M. Henneaux, S. Pekar, A. Pérez, and P. Salgado-Rebolledo, Magnetic Carrollian gravity from the Carroll algebra, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2022) 127.
- [100] O. Miskovic, R. Olea, P. M. Petropoulos, D. Rivera-Betancour, and K. Siampos, Chern-Simons action and the Carrollian Cotton tensors, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2023) 130.
- [101] J. de Boer, J. Hartong, N. A. Obers, W. Sybesma, and S. Vandoren, Carroll stories, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2023) 148.
- [102] L. Ciambelli, Dynamics of Carrollian scalar fields, [arXiv:2311.04113](#).
- [103] E. Bergshoeff, J. Gomis, and G. Longhi, Dynamics of Carroll particles, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **31**, 205009 (2014).
- [104] M. Henneaux and P. Salgado-Rebolledo, Carroll contractions of Lorentz-invariant theories, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2021) 180.

- [105] A. Bagchi, A. Banerjee, S. Dutta, K. S. Kolekar, and P. Sharma, Carroll covariant scalar fields in two dimensions, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2023) 072.
- [106] X. Bekaert, A. Campoleoni, and S. Pekar, Carrollian conformal scalar as flat-space singleton, *Phys. Lett. B* **838**, 137734 (2023).
- [107] E. Bergshoeff, J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, and J. Gomis, A non-Lorentzian primer, *SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes* **69** (2023), [10.21468/SciPostPhysLectNotes.69](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhysLectNotes.69).
- [108] D. Rivera-Betancour and M. Vilatte, Revisiting the Carrollian scalar field, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 085004 (2022).
- [109] E. Ekiz, O. Kasikci, M. Ozkan, C. B. Senisik, and U. Zorba, Non-relativistic and ultra-relativistic scaling limits of multimetric gravity, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2022) 151.
- [110] S. Baiguera, G. Oling, W. Sybesma, and B. T. Sogaard, Conformal Carroll scalars with boosts, *SciPost Phys.* **14**, 086 (2023).
- [111] O. Kasikci, M. Ozkan, and Y. Pang, Carrollian origin of spacetime subsystem symmetry, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 045020 (2023).
- [112] R. Casalbuoni, D. Dominici, and J. Gomis, Two interacting conformal Carroll particles, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 086005 (2023).
- [113] J. L. V. Cerdeira, J. Gomis, and A. Kleinschmidt, Non-Lorentzian expansions of the Lorentz force and kinematical algebras, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2024) 023.
- [114] A. Kamenshchik and F. Muscolino, Looking for Carroll particles in two time spacetime, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 025005 (2024).
- [115] P. M. Zhang, H.-X. Zeng, and P. A. Horvathy, Multi-Carroll dynamics, [arXiv:2306.07002](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.07002).
- [116] L. Ciambelli and D. Grumiller, Carroll geodesics, [arXiv:2311.04112](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.04112).
- [117] A. Bagchi, A. Mehra, and P. Nandi, Field theories with conformal Carrollian symmetry, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2019) 108.
- [118] S. A. Baig, J. Distler, A. Karch, A. Raz, and H.-Y. Sun, Spacetime subsystem symmetries, [arXiv:2303.15590](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.15590).
- [119] C. Bunster and M. Henneaux, Duality invariance implies Poincaré invariance, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 011603 (2013).
- [120] A. Aggarwal, F. Ecker, D. Grumiller, and D. Vassilevich, Carroll Hawking effect, [arXiv:2403.00073](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.00073).
- [121] D. Grumiller and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, *Black Hole Physics: From Collapse to Evaporation*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics (Springer, New York, 2022), [10.1007/978-3-031-10343-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-10343-8).