Geometry of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Minkowski vacua of gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories in four dimensions

Hans Jockers \bullet and Sören Kotlewski \bullet ^{[†](#page-0-1)}

PRISMA+ Cluster of Excellence & Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics, [Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz](https://ror.org/023b0x485), 55099 Mainz, Germany

(Received 16 May 2024; accepted 10 July 2024; published 19 August 2024)

Gauging isometries of four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories yields an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric theory with a scalar potential. In this paper, we study the well-known constraints for four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Minkowski vacua of such theories. We propose that classically a projective special Kähler submanifold of the projective Kähler target space of the ungauged theory describes the moduli space of the complex scalar fields of massless vector multiplets for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Minkowski vacua configurations, which then receives quantum corrections from integrating out massive fields. Subloci of projective special Kähler manifolds appear as supersymmetric flux vacua in the context of type IIB Calabi–Yau threefold compactifications with background fluxes as well. While these flux vacua equations arise from the critical locus of an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superpotential, we show that these equations can also be obtained from the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Minkowski vacuum equations of gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories upon gauging suitable isometries in the semiclassical universal hypermultiplet sector of type IIB string Calabi– Yau threefold compactifications. Thus, we give an intrinsic $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric interpretation to the flux vacua equations.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.110.046019](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.110.046019)

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well established that the low-energy effective action of type IIB string theory compactified on a smooth Calabi– Yau threefold is an ungauged four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory with a moduli space of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super-symmetric Minkowski vacua [[1](#page-7-0)[,2\]](#page-7-1). Such ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories consist of a single gravity multiplet, n_v vector multiplets, and n_h hypermultiplets [[3](#page-7-2)[,4\]](#page-7-3). The scalar fields of the vector multiplets and the hypermultiplets parametrize a projective Kähler manifold \mathcal{M}_K of complex dimension n_v and a quaternionic Kähler manifold \mathcal{M}_O of real dimension $4n_h$, respectively. In the context of Calabi– Yau compactifications the projective Kähler target space manifold \mathcal{M}_K is identified with the complex structure moduli space of the Calabi–Yau threefold [\[5](#page-7-4)–[7](#page-7-5)], whereas a semiclassical approximation of the quaternion Kähler manifold \mathcal{M}_0 is obtained from the universal hypermultiplet and the complexified quantum Kähler moduli space of complex dimension $(n_h - 1)$ of the Calabi–Yau threefold via the c map [[8](#page-7-6)–[10\]](#page-8-0).

In this work, we want to study Calabi–Yau threefolds with higher-dimensional complex structure moduli spaces \mathcal{M}_K that possess lower-dimensional projective Kähler submoduli spaces $S_K \subset \mathcal{M}_K$. Such projective Kähler submoduli spaces S_K furnish again suitable target spaces of ungauged four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories with dim_C S_K vector multiplets. Instead of considering a $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory with the vector multiplet target space S_K directly, we want to realize the target space S_K as the space of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Minkowski vacua of a scalar potential in the vector multiplet sector arising from a gauged four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory [[3](#page-7-2),[4](#page-7-3)].

Examples of projective special Kähler submanifolds S_K are extremal transition loci in the complex structure moduli space of Calabi–Yau threefolds [[11](#page-8-1)–[13](#page-8-2)]. Other examples appear for families of Calabi–Yau threefolds with enhanced discrete symmetries, such as the complex structure moduli space S_K of the one-parameter Dwork family of quintic Calabi–Yau threefolds with the $(\mathbb{Z}_5)^3$ Greene–Plesser symmetry [\[14\]](#page-8-3), which is embedded in the complex structure moduli space \mathcal{M}_K of the 101-parameter family of generic quintic Calabi–Yau threefolds.

The motivation for studying projective special Kähler submanifolds from the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity perspective is twofold. Firstly, we suggest a physical mechanism that allows us to localize in the infrared to a projective Kähler

[^{*}](#page-0-2) Contact author: jockers@uni-mainz.de

[[†]](#page-0-2) Contact author: soeren-kotlewski@t-online.de

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

target submanifold $S_K \subset \mathcal{M}_K$ of the large vector multiplet target space manifold \mathcal{M}_K . Secondly, such a construction is motivated by string compactifications on Calabi–Yau threefolds with background fluxes. Flux vacua of string compactifications on Calabi–Yau threefolds—as for instance recently studied with modern arithmetic methods in Refs. [\[15](#page-8-4)–[18\]](#page-8-5)—are expected either to be truncated $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Calabi–Yau orientifold compactifications [\[19](#page-8-6)[,20\]](#page-8-7) or to correspond to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauged supergravity theories [[21](#page-8-8)–[25\]](#page-8-9). It is the latter scenario that we want to entertain here from the supergravity perspective.

The conditions for supersymmetric flux vacua in Calabi– Yau threefolds are typically formulated as the critical locus of a flux induced superpotential, which per se is a notion of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric theories [\[20,](#page-8-7)[21](#page-8-8)[,26\]](#page-8-10). These flux vacua are also closely related to the supersymmetric attractors in the context of supersymmetric black hole solutions [\[27](#page-8-11)[,28\]](#page-8-12), which can be given a Hodge-theoretic formulation in the context of complex structure moduli spaces of Calabi–Yau threefolds. The main result of this work is that the flux vacua equations—which arise from the critical locus of an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superpotential—can also be obtained from gauging a pair of isometries in the universal hypermultiplet sector of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories of type IIB Calabi–Yau threefold compactifications, which is a manifest $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric construction.

II. SUBLOCI OF PROJECTIVE SPECIAL KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

To describe the projective special Kähler target space manifold \mathcal{M}_K of an ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory with n_v vector multiplets, we consider the $2(n_v + 1)$ dimensional (complex) vector space V with the real symplectic basis (α_I, β^J) , $I, J = 0, ..., n_v$, and the symplectic skew-symmetric pairing $\langle \alpha_I, \beta^J \rangle = \delta_I^I$, $\langle \alpha_I, \alpha_J \rangle = 0$, $\langle \beta^I, \beta^J \rangle = 0$. The vector space V together with its the $\langle \beta^I, \beta^J \rangle = 0$. The vector space V together with its the canonical \mathbb{C}^* action preserving the symplectic structure canonical \mathbb{C}^* action preserving the symplectic structure admits a \mathbb{C}^* equivariant holomorphic Lagrangian immersion of a conical special Kähler manifold $\mathcal{M}_{cK} \subset V$ of complex codimension 1. Then the quotient space $\mathcal{M}_K =$ $\mathcal{M}_{\rm cK}/\mathbb{C}^*$ gives rise to a projective special Kähler manifold \mathcal{M}_K of complex dimension n_v . The details of this con-structions are developed in Refs. [[29](#page-8-13),[30](#page-8-14)].¹

Locally, the projective special Kähler manifold \mathcal{M}_K is characterized by the complex vector

$$
\Omega(X^0, \dots, X^{n_v}) = X^I \alpha_I - F_J \beta^J. \tag{1}
$$

Here X^I , $I = 0, ..., n_v$, are the complex projective coordinates and F , $I = 0$, are the derivatives of the dinates and F_J , $J = 0, ..., n_v$, are the derivatives of the holomorphic prepotential $F(X^0, ..., X^{n_v})$ given by

$$
F_I = \frac{\partial F}{\partial X^I}.
$$
 (2)

The holomorphic prepotential $F(X^0, ..., X^{n_v})$ is of homogeneous degree 2, i.e.,

$$
F(\lambda X^0, \dots, \lambda X^{n_v}) = \lambda^2 F(X^0, \dots, X^{n_v}). \tag{3}
$$

Geometrically, the zero locus of the degree 2 prepotential $F(X^0, ..., X^{n_v})$ describes locally the \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant
Lagrangian immersion of the conical special Kähler mani-Lagrangian immersion of the conical special Kähler manifold $\mathcal{M}_{cK} \hookrightarrow V$ [[30](#page-8-14)].

The imaginary part of the complex symmetric matrix $F_{IJ} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial X^I \partial X^J}$ has signature $(n_v, 1)$ [\[32\]](#page-8-15). Furthermore, the real and positive Kähler potential K of the projective special Kähler manifold \mathcal{M}_K reads as

$$
K = -\log i \langle \bar{\Omega}, \Omega \rangle, \tag{4}
$$

which gives rise to a positive definite Hermitian Kähler metric

$$
G_{i\bar{j}}(z,\bar{z}) = \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial z^i \partial \bar{z}^{\bar{j}}}, \quad i, j = 1, ..., n_v,
$$
 (5)

in terms of a choice of affine local coordinates

$$
z^{i} = \frac{X^{i}}{X^{0}}, \quad i = 1, ..., n_{v}.
$$
 (6)

We consider now a projective special Kähler submanifold S_K of complex dimension s, $1 \leq s < n_v$. Let $S \subset V$ be a $2(s + 1)$ -dimensional symplectic subvector space of the symplectic vector space V, which we assume without loss of generality to be spanned by the basis vectors (α_A, β^B) , $A, B = 0, \ldots, s$. Furthermore, the affine coordinates $z^{i} = (x^{a}, y^{m}), a = 1, ..., s, m = 1, ..., n_{v} - s$, are given by

$$
x^{a} = \frac{X^{a}}{X^{0}}, \quad y^{m} = \frac{X^{s+m}}{X^{0}}.
$$
 (7)

Then we define a projective special Kähler submanifold S_K as the sublocus $y^m = 0$, if for all x^a

$$
F_{AJ}|_{y^m=0} = 0, \quad A = 0, ..., s, \quad J = s+1, ..., n_v, \quad (8)
$$

and the imaginary parts of the $(s + 1) \times (s + 1)$ -matrix $F_{ab}|_{y^m=0}, a, b = 0, ..., s, \text{ and the } (n_v - s) \times (n_v - s)$ matrix $F_{s+m,s+n}|_{y=m=0}$, $m, n = 1, ..., n_v - s$, are nondegenerate with signatures $(s, 1)$ and $(n_v - s, 0)$, respectively. Then the complex vector Ω restricted to $y^m = 0$ yields the Kähler potential K^{S_K} .

$$
K^{\mathcal{S}_K}(x^1, \ldots, x^s) = -\log i \langle \bar{\Omega}, \Omega \rangle|_{y^m = 0}, \tag{9}
$$

¹See also Ref. [\[31\]](#page-8-16) for an equivalent construction of a projective special Kähler manifold.

which is the local Kähler potential of the projective special Kähler submanifold S_K . Note that the conditions [\(8\)](#page-1-0) are equivalent to

$$
X^{s+m} = 0, \quad F_{s+m}(X^0, \dots, X^s, 0, \dots, 0) = 0, \quad (10)
$$

for all $m = 1, ..., n_v - s$. This means that the symplectic pairs consisting of the homogeneous coordinates X^{s+m} and derivatives of the prepotential F_{s+m} have to vanish along the submanifold S_K .

In the context of compactifications of type IIB string theory on a Calabi–Yau threefold the projective special Kähler manifold \mathcal{M}_K is the complex structure moduli space of the Calabi–Yau threefold. The symplectic basis (α_I, β^J) is identified with a basis of three-form cohomology classes generating $H^3(X,\mathbb{R})$, and its symplectic structure arises from the skew-symmetric intersection pairing on $H^3(X,\mathbb{R})$. The complex vector Ω becomes the nowhere vanishing holomorphic (3,0) form generating the one-dimensional Dolbeault cohomology class $H^{(3,0)}(X)$, and—as a conse-
quence of Griffiths's transversality [33–35]—the derivaquence of Griffiths's transversality [[33](#page-8-17)–[35\]](#page-8-18)—the derivatives $\partial_{I_1} \cdots \partial_{I_k} \Omega(X^0, ..., X^{n_v})$ of $\Omega(X^0, ..., X^{n_v})$ with respect to the projective coordinates X^I , $I = 0, ..., n_v$,
for arbitrary but finitely many L, L, span the complex for arbitrary but finitely many $I_1, ..., I_k$ span the complex cohomology group $H^3(X,\mathbb{C})=H^3(X,\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathbb{C}$, i.e.,

$$
H^{3}(X,\mathbb{C}) = \langle \langle \partial_{I_{1}} \cdots \partial_{I_{k}} \Omega(X^{0},...,X^{n_{v}}) \rangle \rangle. \tag{11}
$$

A projective special Kähler subspace S_K of the entire complex structure moduli space \mathcal{M}_K is now characterized in terms of Griffiths's transversality by the property that the space of cohomology classes S is given by

$$
S = \langle \langle \partial_{A_1} \cdots \partial_{A_k} \Omega(X^0, \ldots, X^{n_v}) \rangle \rangle |_{y^m = 0} \subset H^3(X, \mathbb{C}). \tag{12}
$$

Here the derivatives ∂_{A_i} are taken with respect to the first $(s + 1)$ projective coordinates X^{A_i} , 0, ..., s, only. If $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S < \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^3(X, \mathbb{C}),$ S furnishes a sub-Hodge structure of $H^3(X,\mathbb{C})$. Note that this is a highly nongeneric condition, because taking successive derivatives of Ω along an arbitrary coordinate direction z^i at any random point in the complex structure moduli space generically generates the entire three-form cohomology. Namely, generically we have $H^3(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \langle \langle \Omega, \partial_{z_i} \Omega, \partial_{z_i}^2 \Omega, \partial_{z_i}^3 \Omega, \partial_{z_i}^4 \Omega, \dots \rangle$.
Note that the exit property attractor logi introduce

Note that the arithmetic attractor loci introduced in Refs. [[27](#page-8-11),[28](#page-8-12)] realize nontrivial zero-dimensional subloci in the vector multiplet target space, which in our setup can be viewed as zero-dimensional projective special Kähler submanifolds. These attractor loci enjoy a physical interpretation in the context of the attractor mechanism, which describes black hole solutions of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity [[36](#page-8-19)].

III. 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUPERGRAVITY THEORIES

Our aim is now to construct a four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory with the projective special Kähler manifold \mathcal{M}_K as its target space in the vector multiplet sector together with a scalar potential V that dynamically constrains the flat directions of the scalar fields in the vector multiplet sector to the projective special Kähler submanifold $S_K \subset \mathcal{M}_K$. In other words, we want to construct an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory with target space \mathcal{M}_K , whose moduli space of supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Minkowski vacua is parametrized in the vector multiplet sector by the submanifold S_K .

As opposed to four-dimensional ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity theories, which admit a holomorphic superpotential of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral multiplets resulting in a scalar potential, the four-dimensional ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories cannot have a scalar potential for any of their scalar fields. As a consequence, all scalar fields of ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories parametrize flat directions of a real $(2n_v + 4n_h)$ -dimensional moduli space of four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Minkowski vacua. This moduli space factors into $\mathcal{M}_K \times \mathcal{M}_Q$ [\[3](#page-7-2)[,4](#page-7-3)], where \mathcal{M}_K is the projective special Kähler moduli space of complex dimension n_v of the vector multiplet sector, and \mathcal{M}_O is the quaternionic Kähler moduli space of the hypermultiplet sector. As a consequence, it is not possible to lift the flat directions of the scalar degrees of freedom within the framework of ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories. In particular, it is impossible to constrain with effective ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories the projective special Kähler target space \mathcal{M}_K of the vector multiplet scalar fields to a submanifold S_K .

However, a scalar potential is generated in gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories [[3](#page-7-2),[4,](#page-7-3)[21](#page-8-8),[37](#page-8-20),[38](#page-8-21)]. Let z^i , $i = 1, ..., n_v$,
be the complex scalar fields of the vector multiplets a^u be the complex scalar fields of the vector multiplets, q^u , $u = 1, ..., 4n_H$, the real scalar fields of the hypermultiplets, and A_{μ}^{I} , $I = 0, ..., n_{\nu}$, the $(n_{\nu} + 1)$ -electric gauge fields of the gauge the graviphoton in the gravity multiplet and of the gauge fields in the n_v vector multiplet.

In order to describe gaugings of magnetic gauge fields as well, we follow Refs. [\[37](#page-8-20)[,38\]](#page-8-21) and consider in addition to the electric vector fields their dual magnetic gauge fields B_{μ} [[38](#page-8-21)], as proposed in Ref. [\[21\]](#page-8-8). For ease of notation, we combine the electric and the magnetic vector fields into the $2(n_v + 1)$ vector fields $(C_\mu^{\Lambda}) = (A_\mu^I, B_{\mu,J}),$
 $\Lambda = 1$ $2(n_v + 1)$ Similarly we pair the projective $\Lambda = 1, ..., 2(n_v + 1)$. Similarly, we pair the projective special Kähler coordinates X^I with their derivatives of the prepotential F_J into $(Z^{\Lambda}) = (X^I, F_J)$, $\Lambda =$
1 $2(n + 1)$ We call the quantities Z^{Λ} which are 1, …, $2(n_v + 1)$. We call the quantities Z^{Λ} —which are all of homogenous degree 1 with respect to the projective coordinates X^I —the periods of the projective special Kähler target space \mathcal{M}_K .

Let us now assume that the target spaces \mathcal{M}_K and \mathcal{M}_O of the scalar fields in the vector and hypermultiplet sectors

possess continuous symmetries, which in turn give rise to Killing vector fields $k_{\lambda}^{i}(z)\partial_{i}$ in \mathcal{M}_{K} and $\tilde{k}_{\lambda}^{u}(q)\partial_{u}$ in \mathcal{M}_{Q} . Here the indices λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ label the symmetries of \mathcal{M}_K and \mathcal{M}_0 , respectively. We arrive at an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauged supergravity theory upon gauging (some of) these isometries by introducing the gauge covariant derivatives for the vector multiplet scalar fields [[3](#page-7-2)[,4,](#page-7-3)[37](#page-8-20)[,38\]](#page-8-21)

$$
D_{\mu}z^{i} = \partial_{\mu}z^{i} - C_{\mu}^{\Lambda}k_{\Lambda}^{i}(z), \quad k_{\Lambda}^{i}(z) = \Theta_{\Lambda}^{\lambda}k_{\lambda}^{i}(z), \quad (13)
$$

and for the hypermultiplet scalar fields

$$
D_{\mu}q^{\mu} = \partial_{\mu}q^{\mu} - C_{\mu}^{\Lambda}\tilde{k}_{\Lambda}^{\mu}(q), \quad \tilde{k}_{\Lambda}^{\mu}(q)(q) = \tilde{\Theta}_{\Lambda}^{\tilde{\lambda}}\tilde{k}_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{\mu}(q). \tag{14}
$$

In these gauge covariant derivatives the constants $\Theta_{\Lambda}^{\lambda}$ and $\tilde{\Theta}_{\Lambda}^{\tilde{\lambda}}$ denote the embedding tensor to the gauge fields [[38](#page-8-21)], which represents the choice of representation for the gauge group of the vector multiplets and hypermultiplets, respectively. The vector fields $k_{\Lambda}^{i}(z)\partial_{i}$ and $\tilde{k}_{\Lambda}^{u}(q)\partial_{u}$ with index $\Lambda = 1$ and $2(n + 1)$ denote the Killing vectors that $\Lambda = 1, \ldots, 2(n_v + 1)$, denote the Killing vectors that appear in the covariant derivatives as governed by their embedding tensors.

Since the scalar fields z^i reside in the vector multiplet, they must transform in the adjoint representation with respect to the gauge symmetry [\[37,](#page-8-20)[38](#page-8-21)]. This imposes strong constraints on the vector multiplet embedding tensor $\Theta_{\Lambda}^{\lambda}$, which also implies that the scalar fields z^i can never be gauged for Abelian vector multiplets.

In order for the resulting theory to be $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric, additional terms appear in the gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity Lagrangian. In particular, the gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory possesses the scalar potential [[3](#page-7-2),[4](#page-7-3),[21](#page-8-8)[,37](#page-8-20),[38\]](#page-8-21)

$$
V(z,q) = e^{K(z)} \left[2\|\bar{Z}^{\Lambda}(z)k_{\Lambda}^{i}(z)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{K}}^{2} + 4\|\bar{Z}^{\Lambda}(z)\tilde{k}_{\Lambda}^{u}(q)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{Q}}^{2} + \text{tr}\|\nabla^{i}\bar{Z}^{\Lambda}(z)\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}(q)\|_{\mathcal{M}_{K}}^{2} - \frac{3}{2}\text{tr}|Z^{\Lambda}(z)\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}(q)|^{2} \right].
$$
\n(15)

Here $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}_K}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}_Q}$ are the norms of the special Kähler metric $g_{i\bar{i}}(z) = \partial_i \partial_{\bar{i}} K(z)$ of \mathcal{M}_K , and the quaternionic Kähler metric $g_{uv}(q)$ of \mathcal{M}_Q , $\nabla_i = \partial_i + K_i(z)$ is the Kähler covariant derivative of \mathcal{M}_K , and $\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}(q) = (\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}^{a}(q)), a = 1, 2, 3$, is the triplet of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$
Lie algebra valued Killing prepotentials and the trace Lie algebra valued Killing prepotentials and the trace $tr(\cdot)$ refers to the positive definite bilinear Killing form of the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ Lie algebra acting on the Lie-algebra valued Killing prepotentials \mathcal{P}_{Λ} . The Killing prepotentials $\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}(q)$ obey

$$
-2\tilde{k}_{\Lambda}^{u}(q)K_{uv}^{a}(q) = \nabla_{v}\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}^{a}(q), \quad a = 1, 2, 3. \quad (16)
$$

Here $\nabla_v \mathcal{P}^a_\Lambda = \partial_v \mathcal{P}^a_\Lambda + \epsilon^{abc} \omega^b_v \mathcal{P}^c_\Lambda$ is the $SU(2)$ -covariant derivative with respect to the subgroup $SU(2) \sim Sn(1)$ derivative with respect to the subgroup $SU(2) \simeq Sp(1)$ of the holonomy $Sp(n) \cdot Sp(1)$ of the quanternionic Kähler manifold \mathcal{M}_{Q} , and $K^{a} = d\omega^{a} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abc} \omega^{b} \wedge \omega^{c}$
is the curvature of the connection ω^{a} . For more details is the curvature of the connection ω_v^a . For more details on the scalar potential $V(z, q)$, see for instance Refs. [[3](#page-7-2),[4](#page-7-3),[21,](#page-8-8)[37](#page-8-20),[38](#page-8-21)].

As the cosmological constant vanishes in a Minkowski vacuum, it is necessary that the scalar potential vanishes as well. Except for the last term in the scalar potential (15) , all remaining contributions are non-negative. It is further shown in Refs. [[37](#page-8-20),[38](#page-8-21)] that for an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Minkowski vacuum, the nonpositive term in the scalar potential $V(z, q)$ must also vanish by itself. Therefore, altogether we have that for an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Minkowski vacua the conditions

$$
0 = Z^{\Lambda}(z)\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}(q), \qquad 0 = \nabla_{\bar{J}}\bar{Z}^{\Lambda}(z)\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}(q),
$$

\n
$$
0 = \bar{Z}^{\Lambda}(z)\tilde{k}_{\Lambda}^{\mu}(q), \qquad 0 = \bar{Z}^{\Lambda}(z)k_{\Lambda}^{i}(z)
$$
(17)

need to be obeyed. The constraint $0 = \bar{Z}^{\Lambda}(z)k_{\Lambda}^{i}(z)$ gives a relation only among the vector multiplet scalars, whereas relation only among the vector multiplet scalars, whereas the constraints from the gauging of the isometries on the quaternionic Kähler manifold realize interactions between the vector multiplets and the hypermultiplets. Upon inserting the differential equation [\(16\)](#page-3-1) for the Killing vectors $\tilde{k}_{\Lambda}^u(q)$, these three relations can be equivalently formulated as formulated as

$$
0 = Z^{\Lambda}(z)\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}(q), \qquad 0 = Z^{\Lambda}(z)\partial_v \mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}(q),
$$

$$
0 = \partial_i Z^{\Lambda}(z)\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}(q).
$$
 (18)

These conditions are indeed equivalent since the triplet of curvature two-form K_{uv}^a are invertible for any $a = 1, 2, 3$.
This can be seen by noting that K^a (a) can be expressed in This can be seen by noting that $K_{uv}^a(q)$ can be expressed in
terms of the quaternionic Kähler metric $a_a(q)$ and the terms of the quaternionic Kähler metric $g_{uw}(q)$ and the triplet of almost complex structures $J^a(q)$, $a = 1, 2, 3$, on \mathcal{M}_{Q} as $K^{a}_{uv}(q) = g_{uw}(q) (J^{a}(q))_{v}^{w}$ [[4\]](#page-7-3).

IV. SPACE OF $\mathcal{N}=2$ MINKOWSKI VACUA

Given a solution to the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Minkowski vacuum equations [\(17\)](#page-3-2) in terms of expectation values of the scalar fields z and q , the deformations to these expectation values preserving Eqs. [\(17\)](#page-3-2) correspond to flat directions of the scalar potential [\(15\)](#page-3-0) and give rise to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ massless multiplets. The remaining obstructed deformations of the scalar fields—not in accordance with the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Minkowski vacuum equations [\(17\)](#page-3-2)—generically assemble themselves into massive $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplets [\[38\]](#page-8-21). The obtained low-energy effective theory of the massless $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplets furnishes an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory of massless fields with a projective special Kähler and a quanternionic Kähler target space for the vector multiplets and the hypermultiplets, respectively. We propose that semiclassically the vector multiplet target space is a projective special Kähler submanifold S_K of the target space \mathcal{M}_K of the ungauged supergravity theory. At the quantum level the geometry S_K receives one-loop perturbative and nonperturbative quantum corrections from integrating out the massive $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplets [\[39](#page-8-22)[,40\]](#page-8-23).

More specifically, let us now discuss the possible different gaugings and their resulting $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Minkowski vacuum structure. We distinguish between gaugings of isometries in the projective special Kähler target space manifold \mathcal{M}_K and of isometries in the quanternionic Kähler target space manifold \mathcal{M}_0 .

As discussed in Refs. [[37](#page-8-20),[38](#page-8-21)], gauging isometries of the projective special Kähler manifold are constrained such that the scalar field z^i can only transform nontrivially in the adjoint representation of a non-Abelian compact gauge group. For generic loci, where the induced nonnegative term $\|\bar{Z}^{\Lambda}(z)k'_{\Lambda}(z)\|_{\mathcal{M}_K}^2$ in the scalar potential [\(15\)](#page-3-0) vanishes, the non-Abelian gauge group is broken to its maximal torus, and the moduli space of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Minkowski vacuum realizes the Coulomb branch of the non-Abelian $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory coupled to gravity. For nongeneric $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Minkowski vacua there can still be an unbroken non-Abelian gauge subgroup. For the various strata in the Coulomb branch the Higgs mechanism generates a mass for the broken gauge fields, which combine with the massive scalar fields into short massive Bogomol'nyi–Prasad–Sommerfield (BPS) vector multiplets [[38](#page-8-21)]. Assuming that integrating out these massive BPS vector multiplets yields again an effective $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric supergravity theory in terms of a Lagrangian description, the massless $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplets are again governed by a projective special Kähler target space manifold of smaller dimension than \mathcal{M}_K . The obtained effective prepotential F is not simply a classical reduction of the prepotential of the original target space \mathcal{M}_K , but in addition it receives a one-loop correction and further nonperturbative instanton corrections from integrating out the massive multiplets [[39](#page-8-22)].

The remaining terms in the scalar potential [\(15\)](#page-3-0) of gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories stem from gaugings of isometries of the quanternionic Kähler manifold \mathcal{M}_0 . For $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Minkowski vacua these gaugings impose the remaining three types of constraints [\(18\)](#page-3-3), which involve both the scalar fields from the vector and the hypermultiplet sector of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauged supergravity theory. As a consequence, constraining the projective special Kähler manifold \mathcal{M}_K to a submanifold S_K in this way requires a quaternionic special Kähler manifold with suitable isometries. We discuss these gaugings and their resulting $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Minkowski vacua in the context of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories arising form Calabi–Yau threefold compactifications in the next section.

V. GAUGED TYPE IIB CALABI–YAU THREEFOLD COMPACTIFICATIONS

The low-energy effective action of type IIB string theory compactified on a Calabi–Yau threefold yields an ungauged four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory [[1](#page-7-0),[2\]](#page-7-1). In such compactifications, the complex structure moduli of the Calabi–Yau threefold realize the n_V vector multiplets, and the Calabi–Yau Kähler moduli give rise to $n_H - 1$ hypermultiplets that combine with the universal hypermultiplet (containing the dilaton) to the n_H hypermultiplets of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory.

To discuss gaugings of such a hypermultiplet sector, we need to have a handle on the quaternionic Kähler manifold \mathcal{M}_0 for such compactifications. The structure of the quaternionic Kähler manifold from Calabi–Yau compactifications is of a very special type and can be constructed semiclassically via the c map from the complex structure moduli space of the mirror Calabi–Yau manifold [\[8](#page-7-6)–[10](#page-8-0)]. For any Calabi–Yau threefold compactification of type IIB string theory, the resulting quaternionic Kähler manifolds always contain the universal hypermultipet sector, whose scalar fields correspond to the complex axiodilaton and (the duals of) the complex two-dimensional two-form tensor field arising from the B field and the Ramond–Ramond two-form field. The remaining $n_H - 1$ hypermultiplets are comprised of the complexified Kähler moduli of the Calabi–Yau threefold and the internal B field and the Ramond–Ramond two-form fields of the compactification Calabi–Yau threefold. The semiclassical quaternionic target spaces \mathcal{M}_0 constructed via the c map exhibit a rich structure of isometries [\[9\]](#page-7-7), which can be gauged. On the quantum level, the semiclassical quaternionic target space geometry receives intricate corrections that are challenging to compute; see for instance the reviews [\[41,](#page-8-24)[42](#page-8-25)].

In this paper, we focus on gaugings of the semiclassical universal hypermultiplet, and leave the discussion for other gaugings to future work. 2 Our motivation for considering gaugings in the universal hypermultiplet sector is twofold: On the one hand, the universal hypermultiplet does not depend on the geometry of the specific Calabi–Yau threefold. Hence, the gaugings of the universal hypermultiplet sector are applicable to any Calabi–Yau threefold compactification of type IIB string theory. On the other hand and more importantly for us, gaugings of the universal hypermultiplet sector are closely related to the flux vacua equations of Calabi–Yau geometries recently analyzed in Refs. [\[15](#page-8-4)–[18\]](#page-8-5) by modern arithmetic techniques. Thus, the goal of the remainder of this section is to exhibit a

²More general gaugings of the hypermultiplet sector of the low-energy effective action of M theory compactified on a Calabi–Yau threefold are studied in Refs. [[43](#page-8-26)–[47\]](#page-8-27). These fivedimensional low-energy effective supergravity theories relate to the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauged supergravity theories discussed in this note via further dimensional reduction on a circle.

connection between such flux vacua and the universal hypermultiplet gaugings of four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauged supergravity theories.

The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ flux vacuum equations arise from the critical locus of the flux generated $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superpotential W of the form [\[26,](#page-8-10)[48\]](#page-8-28)

$$
W(z,\tau) = \int (F - \tau H) \wedge \Omega(z), \qquad (19)
$$

where H and F are the Neveu–Schwarz and Ramond– Ramond background three-form fluxes, τ is the complex axiodilaton, and Ω is the holomorphic (3,0) form of the Calabi–Yau threefold. This superpotential is given by a semiclassical analysis and receives perturbative and nonperturbative quantum corrections [[48](#page-8-28)–[52](#page-8-29)]. Spelled out in Refs. [[15](#page-8-4)–[18](#page-8-5)[,21\]](#page-8-8) the critical locus of flux vacua yield the constraints

$$
Z^{\Lambda}(z)f_{\Lambda} = 0, \qquad Z^{\Lambda}(z)h_{\Lambda} = 0,
$$

$$
(\partial_i Z^{\Lambda}(z))(f_{\Lambda} - \tau h_{\Lambda}) = 0.
$$
 (20)

Here the (in suitable units) rational coefficients f_Λ and h_Λ are the flux quanta of the three-form background fluxes F and H, respectively. The first two equations arise from the requirement that the superpotential and the derivative with respect to the axiodilaton vanish in a flux vacuum, whereas the last equation is obtained from the requirement that the gradient of the superpotential W with respect to the complex structure moduli z^i ought to vanish as well. In the context of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories, the fluxinduced superpotential [\(19\)](#page-5-0) relates to a complex linear combination of two real components of the triplet of the Killing prepotentials P_A [[21](#page-8-8)[,51](#page-8-30)]. Owing to the prominent appearance of the axiodilaton in the flux vacuum equations [\(20\)](#page-5-1), it is natural to consider the Killing prepotentials attributed to the universal hypermultiplet.

The quaternionic geometry of the universal hypermultiplet is well studied (see for instance Refs. [[53](#page-8-31)–[56](#page-8-32)]), and it can be described in terms of the coset space $SU(2, 1)/S(U(2) \times U(1))$. As opposed to a generic quaternionic Kähler manifold, which is not Kähler, the semiclassical universal quaternionic Kähler manifold is actually a Kähler manifold, whose complex local coordinates are the complex coordinate C associated to the two-form tensors of the universal hypermultiplet and the complex coordinate S, which reads as

$$
S = e^{-\phi} + i\sigma + C\bar{C}.
$$
 (21)

Here ϕ and σ are the real dilaton and the real axion of the universal hypermultiplet. In terms of the complex coordinates the Kähler potential K_Q of the universal hypermultiplet then takes the form

$$
K_Q = -\log\left[S + \bar{S} - 2C\bar{C}\right],\tag{22}
$$

which results in the Kähler metric

$$
ds^{2} = e^{K_{Q}}(dS d\bar{S} - 2C dS d\bar{C} - 2\bar{C}d\bar{S}dC
$$

$$
+ 2(S + \bar{S})dC d\bar{C}).
$$
(23)

The continuous isometries of the quaternionic Kähler manifold of the universal hypermultiplet constitute the real shift symmetry $\sigma \to \sigma + s$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the U(1) rotation of the complex variable C, and the symmetry $C \to C + \epsilon$, $S \rightarrow S + 2C\bar{\epsilon} + \epsilon^2$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$. Altogether, these four real isometries yield the respective four real Killing vectors,

$$
\tilde{k}_1 = i(\partial_S - \partial_{\bar{S}}), \qquad \tilde{k}_2 = \frac{i}{2}(C\partial_C - \bar{C}\partial_{\bar{C}}),
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{k}_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_C + \partial_{\bar{C}}) - \frac{i}{2}\text{Im}C(\partial_S - \partial_{\bar{S}}),
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{k}_4 = -\frac{i}{2}(\partial_C - \partial_{\bar{C}}) + \frac{i}{2}\text{Re}C(\partial_S - \partial_{\bar{S}}).
$$
\n(24)

Solving the Killing vector equation [\(16\),](#page-3-1) we arrive at the associated real $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -valued Killing prepotentials,

$$
\mathcal{P}_1 = \frac{1}{2} e^{\phi} i\sigma^3,
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{P}_2 = -e^{\phi/2} (\text{Re}C i\sigma^1 + \text{Im}C i\sigma^2) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - e^{\phi} C \bar{C}) i\sigma^3,
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{P}_3 = e^{\phi/2} i\sigma^2 + e^{\phi} \text{Im}C i\sigma^3,
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{P}_4 = e^{\phi/2} i\sigma^1 + e^{\phi} \text{Re}C i\sigma^3,
$$
\n(25)

where the generators of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ are given by $i\sigma^a$, $a = 1, 2, 3$, with the Pauli matrices σ^a .

Let us now construct a gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory that makes contact with the flux vacua equations [\(20\).](#page-5-1) We pick two independent isometries of the universal hypermultiplet sector that correspond to two Killing prepotentials $\mathcal{P}_{(1)}(S, C)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{(2)}(S, C)$, which are functions of the complex fields S and C. With respect to these two isometries we gauge the vector multiplets by choosing the embedding tensor $\tilde{\Theta}_{\Lambda}^{\tilde{\lambda}}$ such that the Killing prepotentials contracted with the embedding tensor become

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}(S, C) = f_{\Lambda} \mathcal{P}_{(1)}(S, C) - h_{\Lambda} \mathcal{P}_{(2)}(S, C), \qquad (26)
$$

in terms of the flux quanta f_Λ and h_Λ . Recall that the Killing prepotentials $\mathcal{P}_{(1)}(S, C)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{(2)}(S, C)$ take values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. As a result for independent isometries and for generic expectation values of the scalar fields S and C, these two Killing prepotentials realize linearly independent $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ Lie algebra elements. As a consequence, the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Minkowski vacuum constraints [\(18\)](#page-3-3) yield, for generic expectation values of S and C , the equations

$$
Z^{\Lambda}(z)f_{\Lambda} = 0, \t Z^{\Lambda}(z)h_{\Lambda} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\partial_i Z^{\Lambda}(z)f_{\Lambda} = 0, \t \partial_i Z^{\Lambda}(z)h_{\Lambda} = 0.
$$
\n(27)

These constraints are more restrictive than the flux vacuum equation [\(20\)](#page-5-1) because—owing to the linearly independent prepotentials $\mathcal{P}_{(1)}(S, C)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{(2)}(S, C)$ —the gradients of the periods $Z^{\Lambda}(z) f_{\Lambda}$ and $Z^{\Lambda}(z) h_{\Lambda}$ are forced to vanish separately. However, for the flux vacua equations [\(20\)](#page-5-1) only the linear combination of the gradients—as governed by the expectation value of the axiodilaton—must be zero.

However, the comparison of the generic vacua conditions [\(27\)](#page-6-0) with the flux vacua equations [\(20\)](#page-5-1) does not involve the same number of degrees of freedom because the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ universal hypermultiplet depends on the expectation value of four real scalar fields, whereas the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ complex axiodilaton τ consists only of two real scalar fields. Therefore, we impose the condition that the expectation values of the scalar fields S and C are restricted such that Lie algebra valued prepotentials $\mathcal{P}_{(1)}(S, C)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{(2)}(S, C)$ become linearly dependent. That is to say the
Lie algebra valued Killing prepatentials $\mathcal{P}_{(2)}(S, C)$ and Lie algebra valued Killing prepotentials $\mathcal{P}_{(1)}(S, C)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{(2)}(S, C)$ viewed as three-dimensional real vectors
become parallel in the Lie algebra $\mathcal{E}_{(2)}(2)$ i.e. become parallel in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, i.e.,

$$
(S, C) \in T, \quad T = \{ (S, C) | \mathcal{P}_{(1)} | \mathcal{P}_{(2)} \}. \tag{28}
$$

We expect that the space T of such expectation values is of real dimension 2 because the alignment of two threedimensional vectors requires two real degrees of freedom out of the four real degrees of freedom of the universal hypermultiplet. Thus this condition matches the two real degrees of freedom of the axiodilaton τ in the flux vacua equations [\(20\).](#page-5-1) Therefore, we call this condition the axiodilaton nongenericity constraint. It implies that Eq. [\(26\)](#page-5-2) restricted to the expectation values of $\mathcal T$ becomes

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}(S,C)|_{\mathcal{T}} = (f_{\Lambda} - \tau_{\mathcal{T}} h_{\Lambda}) \mathcal{P}_{(1)}(S,C)|_{\mathcal{T}},\qquad(29)
$$

where τ is a function of the nongeneric expectation values (S, C) in the set T of the axiodilaton nongenericity constraint. Note, however, the gradient of Eq. [\(26\)](#page-5-2) with respect to the universal hypermultiplet fields S and C restricted to T still remains a sum of two linearly independent Lie algebra valued quantities because the Killing prepotentials $P_{(1)}$ and $P_{(2)}$ are by assumption associated to two independent isometries. As a result, by imposing the axiodilaton nongenericity constraint, we arrive at the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Minkowski vacua equations,

$$
Z^{\Lambda}(z)f_{\Lambda} = 0, \t Z^{\Lambda}(z)h_{\Lambda} = 0,
$$

$$
\partial_i Z^{\Lambda}(z)(f_{\Lambda} - \tau_{\mathcal{T}} h_{\Lambda}) = 0 \quad \text{for } (S, C) \in \mathcal{T}.
$$
 (30)

These equations agree with the flux vacua equations [\(20\)](#page-5-1) upon identifying the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ axiodilaton field τ with the constrained hypermultiplet function τ for the nongeneric expectation values of $(S, C) \in T$.

Let us illustrate this class of gauging with an explicit choice of universal hypermultiplet isometries that is given in terms of the Killing prepotentials of Eq. [\(25\)](#page-5-3) by

$$
\mathcal{P}_{(1)} = \mathcal{P}_1, \qquad \mathcal{P}_{(2)} = \mathcal{P}_2.
$$
 (31)

Hence, we can read off directly that $\mathcal{P}_{(1)}||\mathcal{P}_{(2)}$ if and only if $Re(C) = Im(C) = 0$, and we conclude that

$$
\mathcal{T} = \{ (S, 0) | S \in \mathbb{C} \}. \tag{32}
$$

As proposed in the general discussion, this implies that only 2 real degrees of freedom of the universal hypermultiplet remain unconstrained along the axiodilaton nongenericity locus T . Moreover, on this space of nongeneric expectation values, we find

$$
\mathcal{P}_2|_{\mathcal{T}} = e^{-\phi} \mathcal{P}_1|_{\mathcal{T}},\tag{33}
$$

such that Eq. [\(29\)](#page-6-1) reduces to

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}(S,C)|_{\mathcal{T}} = (f_{\Lambda} + e^{-\phi}h_{\Lambda})\mathcal{P}_{(1)}(S,C)|_{\mathcal{T}}.\tag{34}
$$

Thus, for this choice of gauging and the nongeneric choice of expectation values $(S, C) \in \mathcal{T}$, the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Minkowski vacuum constraints [Eq. [\(18\)](#page-3-3)] are realized by

$$
Z^{\Lambda}(z)f_{\Lambda} = 0, \t Z^{\Lambda}(z)h_{\Lambda} = 0,
$$

$$
\partial_i Z^{\Lambda}(z)(f_{\Lambda} - e^{-\phi}h_{\Lambda}) = 0.
$$
 (35)

In contrast to the previous discussion we obtain that the constrained hypermultiplet function $\tau_{\tau} = e^{-\phi}$ does not encode both remaining degrees of freedom of the universal hypermultiplet, but only the real dilation ϕ . The axion σ is unconstrained by these vacuum conditions.

The property that the constrained hypermultiplet function $\tau_{\mathcal{T}}$ is independent of the real axion σ is not specific to the considered choices of Killing prepotentials $\mathcal{P}_{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{(2)}$ in this explicit example. Instead, it is a consequence of the shift symmetry of σ , which at the classical level prohibits a functional dependence of τ on the real axion σ —also for any other two choices of a pair of isometries. However, upon truncating to the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ setting, the field dependent function τ_T must always become a holomorphic function of 2 real scalar degrees of freedom because the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superpotential is a holomorphic function of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral fields. We expect that the nongeneric dependence of the function τ_T on a single real degree of freedom does not occur once quantum corrections are taken into account.

VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we consider the interplay between gauged isometries of the target spaces of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauged supergravities and the resulting semiclassical spaces of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Minkowski vacua, which are the critical loci of the gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories. We propose that—after integrating out all massive degrees of freedom in such $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Minkowski vacua and under the assumption that the remaining massless degrees of freedom enjoy a Lagrangian description—the scalar fields of the massless $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplets parametrize a projective special Kähler target space, which arises as a quantum deformation of a submanifold of the projective special Kähler manifold that is associated to the ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theory.

We focus on those four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories which are obtained as low-energy effective theories of type IIB string compactifications. Such supergravity theories possess a universal hypermultiplet sector, and we study explicitly gauging isometries of this sector. We show that the critical locus of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ flux-induced superpotential of Calabi–Yau threefolds arises also from the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vacuum equations of the supergravity theory by gauging two independent isometries in the universal hypermultiplet sector.

Our motivation for studying the gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories obtained from the isometries of the universal hypermultiplet sector is that such gaugings do not depend on the specific details of the chosen Calabi–Yau threefold compactification space. Nevertheless, we believe that gauging more general quaternionic isometries is an interesting research direction to pursue. In particular, we expect that extremal transitions between topologically distinct Calabi– Yau threefolds in the context of type IIB string compactifications are realized in terms of gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories, in which both projective special Kähler and quaternionic Kähler isometries beyond the universal hypermultiplet sector are gauged. For such gauged supergravity theories the space of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Minkowski vacua realizes in the Higgs branch the projective special Kähler submanifold, which is a submanifold of the projective special Kähler manifold of the Coulomb branch. In the field theory limit, the interesting works [\[11](#page-8-1)–[13\]](#page-8-2) discuss in detail the connection between the geometric Calabi–Yau extremal transitions and their realization in terms of type IIB string theory compactifications. Formulating these extremal transitions in the context of an effective gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity description promises an interesting interplay between the projective special Kähler and the quanternionic Kähler manifolds of the vector multiplet and hypermultiplet sectors beyond the field theory limit discussed in Refs. [\[11](#page-8-1)–[13](#page-8-2)]. 3

Finally, let us remark that stringy quantum corrections to the low-energy effective $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories of Calabi–Yau compactifications are expected to break the target space isometries of the ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories. We believe that there is an interplay between such quantum corrections and the gauging of isometries along the lines of Ref. [\[57](#page-8-33)], where the gauging of isometries modifies the zero mode structure of symmetry breaking instantons. A detailed understanding of the relationship between nonperturbatively broken target space isometries and gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity theories possibly reveals a nontrivial interplay between quantum effects in the vector multiplet and in the hypermultiplet sector, which may, for instance, have geometric implications in enumerative geometry for pairs of Calabi–Yau threefolds that are connected via extremal transitions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Andreas Morr for collaborating at early stages of this project. We are grateful to Pyry Kuusela for many illuminating discussions and for his detailed comments on a first version of this manuscript. We would like to thank Aravind Aikot, Sergey Ketov, and Peter Mayr for interesting discussions and useful correspondences. This work is supported by the Cluster of Excellence Precision Physics, Fundamental Interactions, and Structure of Matter (PRISMA+, EXC 2118/1) within the German Excellence Strategy (Project-ID No. 390831469).

- [1] R. Böhm, H. Gunther, C. Herrmann, and J. Louis, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00796-8) Phys. B569[, 229 \(2000\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00796-8)
- [2] M. Bodner and A. C. Cadavid, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/7/5/013) 7[, 829 \(1990\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/7/5/013)
- [3] B. de Wit, P. G. Lauwers, and A. Van Proeyen, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90154-3) B255[, 569 \(1985\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90154-3)
- [4] L. Andrianopoli, M. Bertolini, A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Fre, and T. Magri, [J. Geom. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0393-0440(97)00002-8) 23, 111 (1997).
- [5] S. Cecotti, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02098274) **131**, 517 (1990).
- [6] A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, W. Lerche, and J. Louis, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X93000047) 08, 79 (1993).
- [7] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri, and C. Vafa, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02099774) 165, 311 (1994).
- [8] S. Cecotti, S. Ferrara, and L. Girardello, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X89000972) 04[, 2475 \(1989\)](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X89000972).
- [9] S. Ferrara and S. Sabharwal, Nucl. Phys. B332[, 317 \(1990\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(90)90097-W)

³For transitions between Calabi–Yau threefolds in the context of M-theory compactifications from the five-dimensional supergravity perspective, see Refs. [\[43](#page-8-26)[,44](#page-8-34)[,46\]](#page-8-35).

- [10] L. Andrianopoli, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Fre, and M. Trigiante, Nucl. Phys. B496[, 617 \(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00220-4)
- [11] B. R. Greene, D. R. Morrison, and A. Strominger, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00371-X) Phys. B451[, 109 \(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00371-X)
- [12] S. H. Katz, D. R. Morrison, and M. R. Plesser, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(96)00331-8) B477[, 105 \(1996\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(96)00331-8)
- [13] A. Klemm and P. Mayr, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(96)00108-3) **B469**, 37 (1996).
- [14] B. R. Greene and M. R. Plesser, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(90)90622-K) **B338**, 15 [\(1990\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(90)90622-K)
- [15] S. Kachru, R. Nally, and W. Yang, [arXiv:2010.07285](https://arXiv.org/abs/2010.07285).
- [16] S. Kachru, R. Nally, and W. Yang, [arXiv:2001.06022](https://arXiv.org/abs/2001.06022).
- [17] R. Schimmrigk, [J. High Energy Phys. 09 \(2020\) 061.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2020)061)
- [18] P. Candelas, X. de la Ossa, P. Kuusela, and J. McGovern, [SciPost Phys.](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.15.4.146) 15, 146 (2023).
- [19] I. Brunner and K. Hori, [J. High Energy Phys. 11 \(2004\) 005.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/005)
- [20] T. W. Grimm and J. Louis, Nucl. Phys. **B699**[, 387 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.08.005)
- [21] P. Mayr, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00552-6) **B593**, 99 (2001).
- [22] J. Louis and A. Micu, Nucl. Phys. B635[, 395 \(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00338-3).
- [23] M. Graña, J. Louis, and D. Waldram, [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/04/101) [04 \(2007\) 101.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/04/101)
- [24] R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, and M. Trigiante, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2007.04.009) B780, [28 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2007.04.009)
- [25] H. Samtleben, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/25/21/214002) 25, 214002 (2008).
- [26] T. R. Taylor and C. Vafa, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00005-8) 474, 130 (2000).
- [27] G. W. Moore, [arXiv:hep-th/9807056](https://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/9807056).
- [28] G. W. Moore, [arXiv:hep-th/9807087](https://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/9807087).
- [29] D. V. Alekseevsky, V. Cortés, and C. Devchand, [J. Geom.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0393-0440(01)00078-X) Phys. 42[, 85 \(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0393-0440(01)00078-X).
- [30] V. Cortés, T. Mohaupt, and H. Xu, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-012-1443-x) 311[, 191 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-012-1443-x).
- [31] D. S. Freed, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200050604) 203, 31 (1999).
- [32] A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, and S. Ferrara, [Nucl. Phys. B,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0920-5632(96)00008-4) [Proc. Suppl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0920-5632(96)00008-4) 46, 67 (1996).
- [33] P. A. Griffiths, [Am. J. Math.](https://doi.org/10.2307/2373545) 90, 568 (1968).
- [34] P.A. Griffiths, [Am. J. Math.](https://doi.org/10.2307/2373485) 90, 805 (1968).
- [35] P. A. Griffiths, [Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02684654) 38, 125 [\(1970\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02684654)
- [36] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, and A. Strominger, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.52.R5412) 52, [R5412 \(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.52.R5412)
- [37] K. Hristov, H. Looyestijn, and S. Vandoren, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/11/115) [Phys. 11 \(2009\) 115.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/11/115)
- [38] J. Louis, P. Smyth, and H. Triendl, [J. High Energy Phys. 08](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2012)039) [\(2012\) 039.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2012)039)
- [39] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(94)90124-4) **B426**, 19 (1994); B430[, 485\(E\) \(1994\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(94)00449-8)
- [40] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B431[, 484 \(1994\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(94)90214-3)
- [41] S. Alexandrov, J. Manschot, D. Persson, and B. Pioline, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 90, 181 (2015).
- [42] S. Alexandrov, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.09.005) **522**, 1 (2013).
- [43] M. Brandle and A. Lukas, *Phys. Rev. D* 68[, 024030 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.024030)
- [44] L. Jarv, T. Mohaupt, and F. Saueressig, [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/12/047) [12 \(2003\) 047.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/12/047)
- [45] A. Lukas, E. Palti, and P.M. Saffin, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.066001) 71, [066001 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.066001)
- [46] T. Mohaupt and F. Saueressig, [J. High Energy Phys. 03](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/03/018) [\(2005\) 018.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/03/018)
- [47] T. Mohaupt and F. Saueressig, [Fortschr. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.200510213) 53, 522 [\(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.200510213)
- [48] S. Gukov, C. Vafa, and E. Witten, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00373-4) **B584**, 69 [\(2000\);](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00373-4) B608[, 477\(E\) \(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00289-9)
- [49] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B474[, 343 \(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(96)00283-0).
- [50] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde, and S. P. Trivedi, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.046005) Rev. D 68[, 046005 \(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.046005).
- [51] P. Berglund and P. Mayr, [J. High Energy Phys. 01 \(2013\) 114.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2013)114)
- [52] H. Jockers, P. Mayr, and J. Walcher, [Adv. Theor. Math.](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.2010.v14.n5.a3) Phys. 14[, 1433 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.2010.v14.n5.a3)
- [53] S. Ferrara and S. Sabharwal, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/6/4/002) 6, [L77 \(1989\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/6/4/002).
- [54] S. V. Ketov, Nucl. Phys. **B604**[, 256 \(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00184-5)
- [55] A. Strominger, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00015-X) 421, 139 (1998).
- [56] V. Cortés, A. Saha, and D. Thung, [Trans. London Math.](https://doi.org/10.1112/tlm3.12026) Soc. 8[, 95 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1112/tlm3.12026).
- [57] A.-K. Kashani-Poor and A. Tomasiello, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.08.040) **B728**, [135 \(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.08.040).