Anomalous interactions between mesons with nonzero spin and glueballs

 $Francesco Giacosa$

Institute of Physics, Jan Kochanowski University, ulica Uniwersytecka 7 Street, P-25-406 Kielce, Poland and Institute for Theoretical Physics, Goethe University Frankfurt, Max-von-Laue-Strasse 1, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Shahriyar Jafarzade^T

Institute of Physics, Jan Kochanowski University, ulica Uniwersytecka 7 Street, P-25-406 Kielce, Poland; Institute of Radiation Problems, Ministry of Science and Education, B. Vahabzade 9 Street, AZ1143 Baku, Azerbaijan; and Center for Theoretical Physics, Khazar University, Mehseti 41 Street, Baku AZ1096, Azerbaijan

Robert D. Pisarski \bullet^{\ddagger}

Department of Physics, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA

(Received 5 September 2023; accepted 1 March 2024; published 8 April 2024)

Topologically nontrivial fluctuations control the anomalous interactions for the η and η' pseudoscalar mesons. We consider the anomalous interactions for mesons with higher spin, the heterochiral nonets with $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$ and 2^{-+} . Under the approximation of a dilute gas of instantons, the mixing angle between nonstrange and strange mesons decreases strongly as J increases, and oscillates in sign. Anomalous interactions also open up new, rare decay channels. For glueballs, anomalous interactions indicate that the $X(2600)$ state is primarily gluonic.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.109.L071502](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.L071502)

Introduction. Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) is close to the chiral limit, where the up, down and strange quarks $(u,$ d, and s) are very light. Consequently, when the global chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken in the vacuum, from $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \times U_A(1) \rightarrow SU(3)_V$, nine pseudo-Goldstone bosons should appear. Instead, there are only eight: the usual octet of pions, kaons, and the η meson, while the η' is much heavier than expected.

This occurs because the axial $U_A(1)$ symmetry of the classical theory is broken by quantum effects, through the anomaly of Adler, Bell, and Jackiw [\[1](#page-4-0),[2\]](#page-4-1). This splits the singlet η' meson from the octet mesons, and gives it a mass through fluctuations which are topologically nontrivial[[3](#page-4-2)–[7](#page-4-3)]. The most familiar example are instantons: classical solutions of the gluon field equations in Euclidean spacetime [\[3](#page-4-2)], whose effects can be computed semiclassically [[4](#page-4-4)[,5](#page-4-5)]. While instantons dominate at high temperature, in vacuum truly quantum fluctuations also contribute [\[7](#page-4-3)].

While anomalous interactions are especially dramatic for the pseudoscalar multiplet, it is natural to ask how the axial anomaly affects other mesons, such as conventional mesons with higher spin, or unconventional ones, such as glueballs. As both mesons with nonzero spin and glueballs are massive, the effects of the axial anomaly are more subtle, affecting the mass splittings, mixing, and decays of some fields in these multiplets.

In Ref. [\[8\]](#page-4-6), mesons are divided into "heterochiral" and "homochiral." In the chirally symmetric phase, heterochiral mesons are a mixture of a left-handed anti-quark and a right-handed quark (or vice versa), as for the pseudo-Goldstone bosons. Homochiral mesons are formed just from a left (or right) handed anti-quark and a quark. These begin with the vector mesons, $J^{PC} = 1^{-}$: the $\rho_u(770)$, $\omega_u(782)$, and $\phi_u(1020)$ mesons.

The anomalous interactions between heterochiral and homochiral mesons are very different. Heterochiral mesons have anomalous interactions with no derivatives, which directly affect their mass spectrum, and with few derivatives, which affect their decays. In contrast, homochiral mesons only have anomalous interactions with many derivatives, through the Wess-Zumino-Witten term [\[9](#page-4-7)].

In this paper we construct the anomalous interactions for the underlying quark operators, and their counterparts for heterochiral mesons and for the pseudoscalar glueball, in a dilute gas of instantons (DGI). After reviewing the well

[^{*}](#page-0-3) francesco.giacosa@gmail.com

[[†]](#page-0-4) shahriyar.jzade@gmail.com

[[‡]](#page-0-5) pisarski@bnl.gov

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

known case of $J = 0$, the extension to heterochiral mesons with spin $J = 1$ and $J = 2$, and then with a glueball, is direct. Because of the axial anomaly, massless quarks have exact zero modes, so that instanton contributions to anomalous operators can be computed by saturating these operators with these zero modes [[4](#page-4-4)[,5\]](#page-4-5). The only change with nonzero spin is that the vertices which tie the zero modes differs.

At the outset we acknowledge that the topological structure of the vacuum is surely more complicated than a dilute gas of instantons [\[7](#page-4-3)]. Nevertheless, the anomalous operators which we compute in this work are novel, and we expect a DGI to give a first estimate of their magnitude. Indeed, a recent analysis of the chiral phase transition near the chiral limit suggests that a DGI may well underestimate the effects of topologically nontrivial fluctuations [[10](#page-4-8)].

The present analysis is meant to motivate further study from numerical simulations on the lattice, and especially from experiment. Thus we concentrate on phenomenology, notably the splitting and mixing between mesons in a given multiplet, and on new decay channels which open up for mesons and glueballs.

Heterochiral multiplets. Mesons are classified according to their quantum numbers under spin, parity, and charge conjugation, J^{PC} . The total spin $J = L + S$ is the sum of angular momentum L and the spin S, with $P = (-1)^{L+1}$ and $C = (-1)^{L+S}$. With massless quarks, classically left and right handed quarks are invariant the symmetry group of $\mathcal{G}_{\text{cl}} = SU_L(3) \times SU_R(3) \times U_A(1)$:

$$
q_{L,R} \longrightarrow e^{\mp i\alpha/2} U_{L,R} q_{L,R}.\tag{1}
$$

Here $q_{L,R} = \mathbb{P}_{L,R}q$, where $\mathbb{P}_{L,R} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \mp \gamma_5)$. U_L and U_R
are flavor retations in *SII* (3) and *SII* (3) respectively. are flavor rotations in $SU_L(3)$ and $SU_R(3)$, respectively, while $\exp(\mp i\alpha/2)$ is a rotation for axial $U_A(1)$. This transformation relates nonets with the same spin and opposite parity.

A heterochiral meson with spin zero is proportional to the quark operator \bar{q}_Lq_R ; those of higher spin are given just by inserting powers of the covariant color derivative, $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu}$, between the quark fields. For $J = 0, 1$, and 2, these are Φ , Φ_{μ} and $\Phi_{\mu\nu}$, as shown in Table [I](#page-1-0). Because \hat{D}_{μ} only acts upon color and not flavor, these mesons all transform identically under chiral rotations [\[8](#page-4-6)].

Typically bosonic fields in an effective Lagrangian have dimensions of mass. To ensure this it is necessary to introduce the dimensionful constants M_0 , M_1 , and M_2 for $J = 0$, 1, and 2 in Table [I](#page-1-0). Since the spin is increased by inserting more powers of $\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}$, the power of M increases with J , ~1/ M_J^{J+2} . A major concern in the phenomenological analysis below is the relative magnitude of these mass scales.

TABLE I. Heterochiral fields for multiplets with spin zero, one, and two.

Chiral nonet	\mathcal{G}_{c1}
$\Phi = \bar{q}_L q_R / M_0^2$	$e^{i\alpha}U_I^{\dagger}\Phi U_R$
$\Phi_\mu = \mathrm{i} \bar{q}_L \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_\mu q_R / M_1^3$	$e^{i\alpha}U_L^{\dagger}\Phi_{\mu}U_R$
$\Phi_{\mu\nu} = \bar{q}_L (g_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}^2/4 - \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\nu}) q_R / M_2^4$	$e^{i\alpha}U_L^{\dagger}\Phi_{\mu\nu}U_R$

The unbroken symmetry group of the quantum theory is not \mathcal{G}_{cl} , but $\mathcal{G}_{\text{qu}} = SU_L(3) \times SU_R(3)$ [\[8\]](#page-4-6). Each $SU(3)$ contains the element $U = \exp(2\pi i/3)$, which generates an abelian $Z(3)$ subgroup. Anomalous interactions violate $U_A(1)$, but are invariant under this $Z(3)$. For spin zero, this begins with the cubic invariant, $\sim det(\Phi)$, in Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-0). The anomalous interactions for fields with higher spin, Eqs. [\(9\),](#page-2-1) [\(13\)](#page-3-0), [\(16\),](#page-3-1) and [\(17\)](#page-3-2), generalize this term.

We begin by reviewing the experimental evidence for heterochiral multiplets.

(i) Heterochiral mesons with $J = 0$: Besides the usual pions and kaons, there are the flavor eigenstates, $\eta_N \equiv \sqrt{1/2}(\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d)$ and $\eta_S \equiv \bar{s}s$. Because of the axial anomaly Eq. (6) these mix to form the axial anomaly, Eq. [\(6\),](#page-2-0) these mix to form the physical η and η' states:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \eta(547) \\ \eta'(958) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \beta_0 & \sin \beta_0 \\ -\sin \beta_0 & \cos \beta_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_N \\ \eta_S \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)
$$

The mixing angle, $\beta_0 = -43.4^\circ$ [[11](#page-4-9)], is large and negative. This demonstrates that the axial anomaly ensures that the physical states are closer to the octet and singlet configurations, respectively [[12](#page-4-10),[13](#page-4-11)]. In all they form a pseudoscalar nonet, $P_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{q}_j i \gamma^5 q_i$.
The assignment for the scalar mesons with The assignment for the scalar mesons, with $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$, is still under debate [[14](#page-4-12)–[21\]](#page-4-13) [\[22\]](#page-5-0). In all, $\Phi = S + iP$, Table [I.](#page-1-0)

- (ii) Heterochiral mesons with $J = 1$: The pseudovector mesons with $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$ corresponds to $P_{\mu} = \{b_1(1235), \overline{K}_{1B} \equiv K_1(1270)/K_1(1400)$ $P_{\mu} = \{b_1(1235), \overline{K}_{1B} \equiv K_1(1270)/K_1(1400)$ $P_{\mu} = \{b_1(1235), \overline{K}_{1B} \equiv K_1(1270)/K_1(1400)$ [23], $h_1(1170), h_1(1415)$ [\[26\]](#page-5-2). The mixing angle between $h_1(1170)$ and $h_1(1415)$ takes the same expression as in Eq. [\(2\),](#page-1-1) β_1 . The value of β_1 is not yet known, and is discussed below. Their chiral partners with $J^{PC} = 1^{-}$ are the orbitally excited vector mesons $S_{\mu} = \{ \rho(1700), K^*(1680), \omega(1650), \phi(2170) \}.$ The full multiplet is $\Phi = S + iP$. Table I full multiplet is $\Phi_{\mu} = S_{\mu} + iP_{\mu}$, Table [I](#page-1-0).
- (iii) Heterochiral mesons with $J = 2$: The pseudotensor mesons $J^{PC} = 2^{-+}$ listed in the PDG [\[26\]](#page-5-2), denoted as $P_{\mu\nu} = {\pi_2(1670), K_{2P}} \equiv K_2(1770)/K_2(1820)$ [[28](#page-5-3)], $\eta_2(1645), \eta_2(1875)$, are members of the heterochiral nonet with spin 2. The isoscalar mixing analogous to Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-1) via the angle β_2 , is under debate, but

according to the phenomenological studies of Refs. [[30,](#page-5-4)[31](#page-5-5)], it might be large. The chiral partners of the pseudotensor mesons are expected to be the orbitally excited tensor mesons $S_{\mu\nu}$ with J^{PC} = 2^{++} [[32](#page-5-6)]. The full multiplet is $\Phi_{\mu\nu} = S_{\mu\nu} + iP_{\mu\nu}$, Table [I.](#page-1-0)

Instanton induced interactions. It is well known that instantons generate the interaction [\[4](#page-4-4),[5](#page-4-5),[13](#page-4-11)]

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{J=0} = -\frac{k_0}{3!} \left(\det(\bar{q}_L q_R) + \det(\bar{q}_R q_L) \right). \tag{3}
$$

Anticipating later results, we introduce the J-dependent coupling

$$
k_J = (8\pi^2)^3 \int_0^{\Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}^{-1}} d\rho n(\rho) \rho^{9+2J}.
$$
 (4)

This is a weighted average over the instanton density $n(\rho)$, which for three massless quarks and three colors is given by [[34](#page-5-7)–[36\]](#page-5-8):

$$
n(\rho) = \exp\left(-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2(\rho\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}})} - 7.07534\right) \frac{1}{\pi^2 \rho^5} \left(\frac{16\pi^2}{g^2(\rho\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}})}\right)^6.
$$
\n(5)

The expression for the running coupling constant $g(\rho\Lambda_{\overline{MS}})$ is given in the Supplemental Material [[37](#page-5-9)] to two loop order, while the instanton density $n(\rho \Lambda_{\overline{MS}})$ is illustrated in Fig. [1](#page-2-2). See the Supplemental Material (SM) [\[37\]](#page-5-9) for further details. Taking the renormalization mass scale $\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}$ = 300 MeV [\[26\]](#page-5-2), for $J = 0$ we obtain $k_0 \approx 2.57 \times$ 106 GeV[−]⁵ [[38\]](#page-5-10).

Assuming that the effective bosonic field Φ is proportional to the quark bilinear [\[13](#page-4-11)[,39,](#page-5-11)[40](#page-5-12)],

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{J=0} = -a_0 (\det \Phi + \det \Phi^{\dagger}). \tag{6}
$$

The bosonic coupling a_0 depends on k_0 and the constant M_0 in Table [I](#page-1-0), $a_0 = k_0 M_0^6 / 48 > 0$.

FIG. 2. Anomalous processes induced by instantons: to left, cubic couplings between the heterochiral-type, Φ's, and to the right, their coupling to a glueball field, \tilde{G} .

The mixing angle of Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-1) is then [\[41](#page-5-13)]

$$
\beta_0 = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-2.6\sqrt{2}a_0 \phi_N}{(m_{\eta'}^2 - m_{\eta}^2) \cos 2\beta_0} \right) < 0,\tag{7}
$$

where the chiral condensate of nonstrange quarks ϕ_N can be expressed in terms of the pion decay constant $\phi_N = \langle 0 | \eta_N | 0 \rangle \simeq 1.7 f_\pi \approx 160$ MeV. A dilute gas of instantons gives negative β_0 , in agreement with phenomenology. Imposing the phenomenological value $\beta_0 = -43.6^\circ$ and using the parameters of Refs. [[42](#page-5-14),[43](#page-5-15)],

$$
a_0 = 1.3 \text{ GeV}; \qquad M_0 = 170 \text{ MeV}, \tag{8}
$$

so that the value of M_0 is close to that for ϕ_N .

The generic anomalous interaction for three flavors is illustrated in the left part of Fig. [2](#page-2-3). The only change with higher spin is that as J increases, powers of $\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}$ are inserted between the zero modes. This is responsible for the factor of ρ^{2J} in the anomalous interactions, k_J in Eq. [\(4\)](#page-2-4).

For spin one, the simplest anomalous interaction is quadratic in Φ_u and linear in Φ :

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{J=1} = -\frac{k_1}{3!} \left(\epsilon \left[(\bar{q}_L q_R)(\bar{q}_L \widetilde{D}_\mu q_R)^2 \right] + R \leftrightarrow L \right)
$$

= $a_1 (\epsilon [\Phi \Phi_\mu \Phi^\mu] + \text{c.c.}),$ (9)

where we introduce the symbol [\[44\]](#page-5-16)

$$
\epsilon[ABC] = \epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon^{i'j'k'} A_{ii'} B_{jj'} C_{kk'}/3!,\tag{10}
$$

with *i*, *j*, *k* and *i'*, *j'*, *k'* are $SU_L(3)$ and $SU_R(3)$ indices.
Since $\epsilon[AAA] = \det A_{\epsilon}[ABC]$ represents a type of gener-Since ϵ [AAA] = det A, ϵ [ABC] represents a type of generalized determinant between dissimilar matrices [[45](#page-5-17)]. Given the transformation properties of Φ and Φ_{μ} in Table [I](#page-1-0), Eqs. [\(6\)](#page-2-0) and [\(9\)](#page-2-1) are manifestly invariant under $SU_L(3)$ × $SU_R(3)$. Similarly, as the product of three heterochiral fields, these terms are not invariant under $U_A(1)$, but $Z(3)$. FIG. 1. The density of instantons for $N_c = N_f = 3$. These anomalous interactions were first obtained in Ref. [\[8\]](#page-4-6) entirely from considerations of symmetry. In this paper we now compute their magnitude, as well as anomalous glueball interactions, in a DGI.

To relate the k_I to physical processes, we need the values for the constants of proportionality M_J between quark and mesonic operators. For spin one, we find $k_1 =$ 9.91×10^6 GeV⁻⁷, which for $M_1 = M_0$ gives

$$
a_1 = -\frac{k_1 M_1^6 M_0^2}{48} \approx -0.14 \text{ GeV} < 0. \tag{11}
$$

The corresponding mixing angle is approximately:

$$
\beta_1 \simeq \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-\sqrt{2}a_1 \phi_N / 3}{2(m_{K_{1B}}^2 - m_{b_1}^2) - \sqrt{2}a_1 \phi_S / 6} \right) > 0. \tag{12}
$$

For a DGI this mixing angle is positive. Using the value for a strange quark condensate $\phi_S = \langle 0 | \eta_S | 0 \rangle \approx 130$ MeV, and assuming $M_1 = M_0 = 170$ MeV, we obtain a small value of $\beta_1 \approx 0.75^{\circ}$; for a larger value of $M_1 = 270$ MeV, the mixing angle increases to $\beta_1 \simeq 10^\circ$. As illustrated in Fig. [3](#page-3-3) [[51](#page-5-18)], experimental results favor a positive value [[54](#page-5-19)–[57\]](#page-6-0), as do numerical simulations on the lattice [[58](#page-6-1)].

The anomalous interactions in Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-1) also open up new decay modes. For example, $\Gamma(\rho(1700) \rightarrow h_1(1415)\pi) =$ $0.027(M_1/M_0)^6$ MeV, which if $M_1 = M_0$ is rather small. Other anomalous decays are discussed in the Supplemental Material [\[37\]](#page-5-9). Measuring such processes can be used to fix the value of M_1 .

An interaction term with one $J = 0$ meson and two $J = 2$ heterochiral mesons is

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{J=2} = -\frac{k_2}{3!} \left(\epsilon \left[(\bar{q}_L q_R) \left(\bar{q}_L \left(\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}^2 / 4 \right) q_R \right)^2 \right] + R \leftrightarrow L \right) = -a_2 \left(\epsilon [\Phi \Phi_{\mu\nu} \Phi^{\mu\nu}] + \text{c.c.} \right). \tag{13}
$$

FIG. 3. β_1 in a DGI compared to the experiment [\[54](#page-5-19)–[57](#page-6-0)] and the lattice (LQCD) [[58](#page-6-1)], for $M_1 = M_0 = 170$ MeV and $M_1 = 270$ MeV.

We find $k_2 = 4.05 \times 10^7$ GeV⁻⁹, so when $M_2 = M_0$,

$$
a_2 = \frac{k_2 M_2^8 M_0^2}{48} \approx 0.017 \text{ GeV} > 0. \tag{14}
$$

The mixing angle for the pseudotensor multiplet is negative [[59](#page-6-2)],

$$
\beta_2 \simeq \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-\sqrt{2} a_2 \phi_N / 3}{2(m_{K_{2P}}^2 - m_{\pi_2}^2) - \sqrt{2} a_2 \phi_S / 6} \right) < 0. \tag{15}
$$

Assuming that $M_2 = M_0$, the DGI gives a small mixing angle, $\beta_2 \approx -0.05^\circ$. This agrees with lattice QCD [\[61\]](#page-6-3), but not with the large value of $\beta_2 \simeq -42^\circ$ extracted in Ref. [\[30\]](#page-5-4) from the decay rates. To fit such a large mixing angle requires $M_2 = 2.4 M_0$.

We see that anomalous terms generate mixings between the octet and singlet for all (pseudo-)heterochiral mesons. These mixing angles do decrease strongly with J, for two reasons. First, comparing the values in Eqs. [\(8\)](#page-2-5), [\(11\)](#page-3-4), and [\(14\)](#page-3-5), each a_J decreases by about \approx 1/10 as J increases by one (assuming that $M_0 = M_1 = M_2$). This is because anomalous coupling k_j in Eq. [\(4\)](#page-2-4) involves ρ^{2J} , and a DGI peaks at small $\rho \Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}} \sim 0.5$, Fig. [1.](#page-2-2) Second, tan β_J is proportional to the inverse of the mass squared of the mesons, Eqs. [\(7\)](#page-2-6), [\(12\)](#page-3-6) and [\(15\).](#page-3-7) For $J = 0$, the η and η' are pseudo-Goldstone bosons, and so much lighter than ordinary mesons, with $J = 1$ and 2. The former may be an artifact of a dilute gas of instantons; the latter is not. Further, that the *sign* of β_I flips as *J* changes is dynamical, and does not follow just from the chiral symmetry. This is a nontrivial test of our model, and appears to agree with experiment.

Besides mixing terms, there are also anomalous terms which involve derivatives of the spin zero field Φ , and so exclusively affect decays. For example, a term which couples heterochiral mesons with $J = 0$, 1, and 2 is

$$
\mathcal{L}_{b_2} = -b_2 \big(\epsilon \big[(\partial_\mu \Phi) \Phi_\nu \Phi^{\mu\nu} \big] + \text{c.c.} \big). \tag{16}
$$

In a DGI $|b_2| = k_2 M_0^2 M_1^3 M_2^4 / 48$; with $M_0 = M_1 = M_2$, $|b_2| \approx 0.099$.

An anomalous interaction coupling two heterochiral $J = 0$ mesons to a $J = 2$ meson is

$$
\mathcal{L}_{c_2} = -c_2 \big(\epsilon \big[(\partial_\mu \Phi)(\partial_\nu \Phi) \Phi^{\mu\nu} \big] + \text{c.c.} \big). \tag{17}
$$

For a DGI, $|c_2| = k_2 M_0^2 M_2^4 / 48$, with $|c_2| = 0.474 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$
when $M_2 - M_2$ when $M_2 = M_0$.

Again, numerous anomalous decay channels open up. For example, $\Gamma(\eta_2(1870) \to \rho(1700)\pi) = 1.5 \times 10^{-6} M_1^3 M_2^4/\rho^2$
M⁷ MeV. Measuring such processes will significantly M_0^7 MeV. Measuring such processes will significantly constrain the values of M_1 and M_2 , and test the consistency of our approach.

Besides anomalous mesonic interactions, those involving glueballs follow immediately, and are illustrated in the right part of Fig. [2.](#page-2-3) An anomalous interaction between a pseudoscalar glueball and heterochiral mesons is given by the term

$$
\mathcal{L}_{c_g} = -ic_g \tilde{G}_0(\det \Phi - \det \Phi^{\dagger}).
$$
 (18)

In a DGI $c_g \approx 11$. Then, by using Ref. [\[62\]](#page-6-4), we obtain $\Gamma(\tilde{G}_0 \to K\bar{K}\pi) \approx 0.24 \text{ GeV}$ and $\Gamma(\tilde{G}_0 \to \pi\pi\eta') \approx 0.05 \text{ GeV}$.
In contrast to the anomalous decays between beterochiral In contrast to the anomalous decays between heterochiral mesons, these are large values. Notably, the BESIII collaboration has recently seen a pseudoscalar resonance, denoted as $X(2600)$, in the $\pi \pi \eta'$ channel [[63](#page-6-5)]. Our results support the interpretation of this resonance as mostly gluonic, with a decay enhanced by the chiral anomaly [[64](#page-6-6)].

Further anomalous decays involving heterochiral mesons with higher spin follow directly, and include interactions such as $\tilde{G}_0(\epsilon[\Phi\Phi_\mu\Phi^\mu]-c.c.).$

We conclude by noting that there are *many* other anomalous interactions which can be computed with our techniques. These include baryon decays [[66](#page-6-7)], tetraquarks [\[67\]](#page-6-8), glueballs and hybrid states [[68](#page-6-9)[,69\]](#page-6-10), and the H dibaryon [[70](#page-6-11)[,71\]](#page-6-12). In summary, the effects of the axial anomaly merely begin with the η and the η' mesons, but most certainly do not end there.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Fabian Rennecke and Adrian Königstein for useful discussions. R. D. P. was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-SC0012704, and by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. F. G. acknowledges support from the Polish National Science Centre (NCN) through the OPUS Project 2019/33/B/ST2/00613. S. J. acknowledges financial support through the project Development Accelerator of the Jan Kochanowski University of Kielce, co-financed by the European Union under the European Social Fund, with No. POWR.03.05. 00-00- Z212 /18 and is thankful to the Nuclear Theory divison of the Brookhaven National Labaratory for warm hospitality during his visit in which the current project was initiated.

- [1] S. L. Adler, Axial vector vertex in spinor electrodynamics, Phys. Rev. 177[, 2426 \(1969\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.177.2426)
- [2] J. S. Bell and R. W. Jackiw, A PCAC puzzle: $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ in the σ -model, [Nuovo Cimento A](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02823296) 60, 47 (1969).
- [3] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, A. S. Schwartz, and Y. S. Tyupkin, Pseudoparticle solutions of the Yang-Mills equations, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(75)90163-X) 59, 85 (1975).
- [4] G. 't Hooft, Symmetry breaking through Bell-Jackiw anomalies, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.37.8) 37, 8 (1976).
- [5] G. 't Hooft, Computation of the quantum effects due to a four-dimensional pseudoparticle, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.14.3432) 14, 3432 [\(1976\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.14.3432)
- [6] D. J. Gross, S. B. Treiman, and F. Wilczek, Light quark masses and isospin violation, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.19.2188) 19, 2188 [\(1979\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.19.2188)
- [7] V. P. Nair and R. D. Pisarski, Fractional topological charge in SU(N) gauge theories without dynamical quarks, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.074007) Rev. D 108[, 074007 \(2023\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.074007).
- [8] F. Giacosa, A. Koenigstein, and R. D. Pisarski, How the axial anomaly controls flavor mixing among mesons, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.091901) Rev. D 97[, 091901 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.091901).
- [9] H. Gomm, O. Kaymakcalan, and J. Schechter, Anomalous spin 1 meson decays from the gauged Wess-Zumino term, Phys. Rev. D 30[, 2345 \(1984\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.30.2345)
- [10] R. D. Pisarski and F. Rennecke, The chiral phase transition and the axial anomaly, [arXiv:2401.06130.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2401.06130)
- [11] G. Amelino-Camelia et al., Physics with the KLOE-2 experiment at the upgraded DA ϕ NE, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1351-1) 68, [619 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1351-1).
- [12] T. Feldmann, P. Kroll, and B. Stech, Mixing and decay constants of pseudoscalar mesons, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.58.114006) 58, 114006 [\(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.58.114006)
- [13] G. 't Hooft, How instantons solve the $U(1)$ problem, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(86)90117-1) Rep. 142[, 357 \(1986\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(86)90117-1).
- [14] J.R. Pelaez, From controversy to precision on the sigma meson: A review on the status of the non-ordinary $f_0(500)$ resonance, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2016.09.001) 658, 1 (2016).
- [15] A. V. Sarantsev, I. Denisenko, U. Thoma, and E. Klempt, Scalar isoscalar mesons and the scalar glueball from radiative J/ψ decays, Phys. Lett. B 816[, 136227 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136227)
- [16] A. Rodas, A. Pilloni, M. Albaladejo, C. Fernandez-Ramirez, V. Mathieu, and A. P. Szczepaniak (Joint Physics Analysis Center), Scalar and tensor resonances in J/ψ radiative decays, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10014-8) 82, 80 (2022).
- [17] D. Binosi, A. Pilloni, and R.-A. Tripolt, Study for a modelindependent pole determination of overlapping resonances, Phys. Lett. B 839[, 137809 \(2023\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2023.137809).
- [18] E. Klempt, A. V. Sarantsev, I. Denisenko, and K. V. Nikonov, Search for the tensor glueball, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2022.137171) 830[, 137171 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2022.137171)
- [19] E. Klempt, Scalar mesons and the fragmented glueball, Phys. Lett. B 820[, 136512 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136512).
- [20] E. Klempt and A. V. Sarantsev, Singlet-octet-glueball mixing of scalar mesons, Phys. Lett. B 826[, 136906 \(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2022.136906).
- [21] D. Guo, W. Chen, H.-X. Chen, X. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, Newly observed $a_0(1817)$ as the scaling point of constructing the scalar meson spectroscopy, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.114014) 105, [114014 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.114014)
- [22] Evidence is mounting toward the identification with the Particle Data Group (PDG) resonances $S = \{a_0(1450), \ldots \}$ $K_0^*(1430)$, $f_0(1370)$, $f_0(1500)/f_0(1710)$, with elements $S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \overline{q_j} q_i.$
The kaonic
- [23] The kaonic pseudovector \overline{K}_{1B} is included in both physical states $K_1(1270)$ and $K_1(1400)$ [[24](#page-5-20),[25](#page-5-21)] which leads $m_{K_{1B}} =$ 1.31 GeV according to [\[24\]](#page-5-20). In the PDG [\[26\]](#page-5-2), the isoscalar strange-member of the orbitally excited vector meson has been recently identified with resonance $\phi(2170)$, see however also the discussion of Ref. [\[27\]](#page-5-22).
- [24] F. Divotgey, L. Olbrich, and F. Giacosa, Phenomenology of axial-vector and pseudovector mesons: Decays and mixing in the kaonic sector, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2013-13135-3) 49, 135 (2013).
- [25] H. Hatanaka and K.-C. Yang, $K_1(1270) - K_1(1400)$ mixing angle and new-physics effects in $B \to K_1 l^+ l^-$ decays, Phys. Rev. D 78[, 074007 \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.074007).
- [26] R. L. Workman et al. (Particle Data Group), Review of particle physics, [Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptac097) 2022, 083C01 [\(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptac097)
- [27] M. Piotrowska, C. Reisinger, and F. Giacosa, Strong and radiative decays of excited vector mesons and predictions for a new $\phi(1930)$ resonance, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.054033) 96, 054033 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.054033)
- [28] We identify K_{2P} with $K_2(1770)$ based on the phenomenological analyses performed in Ref. [\[29\]](#page-5-23).
- [29] A. Koenigstein, F. Giacosa, and D. H. Rischke, Classical and quantum theory of the massive spin-two field, [Ann.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2016.01.024) [Phys. \(Amsterdam\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2016.01.024) 368, 16 (2016).
- [30] A. Koenigstein and F. Giacosa, Phenomenology of pseudotensor mesons and the pseudotensor glueball, [Eur. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2016-16356-x) J. A 52[, 356 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2016-16356-x).
- [31] V. Shastry, E. Trotti, and F. Giacosa, Constraints imposed by the partial wave amplitudes on the decays of $J = 1, 2$ mesons, Phys. Rev. D 105[, 054022 \(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.054022).
- [32] These states are still unsettled. According to the relativistic quark model [[33](#page-5-24)], orbitally excited tensor mesons have to be larger than 2 GeV. Indeed, there are few isoscalar tensor mesons above 2 GeV, such as the e.g. $f_2(2010)$, $f_2(2150)$, $f_2(2300)$, and $f_2(2340)$ [[26](#page-5-2)] that could be part of this nonet.
- [33] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Mesons in a relativized quark model with chromodynamics, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.32.189) 32, 189 (1985).
- [34] R. D. Pisarski and L. G. Yaffe, The density of instantons at finite temperature, Phys. Lett. 97B[, 110 \(1980\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(80)90559-6).
- [35] D. J. Gross, R. D. Pisarski, and L. G. Yaffe, QCD and instantons at finite temperature, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.53.43) 53, 43 [\(1981\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.53.43)
- [36] A. Boccaletti and D. Nogradi, The semi-classical approximation at high temperature revisited, [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2020)045) [03 \(2020\) 045.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2020)045)
- [37] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.L071502) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.L071502](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.L071502) for details of the instanton solution, computing from instantons to effective Lagrangians, complete forms of the anomalous interactions in terms of mesonic fields, and computations of the associated decay widths.
- [38] As $\Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}$ is the only mass scale in our computation, for different values of $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$ the k_j change according to their mass dimension.
- [39] G. 't Hooft, The physics of instantons in the pseudoscalar and vector meson mixing, [arXiv:hep-th/9903189.](https://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/9903189)
- [40] C. Rosenzweig, A. Salomone, and J. Schechter, A pseudoscalar glueball, the axial anomaly and the mixing problem for pseudoscalar mesons, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.24.2545) 24, [2545 \(1981\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.24.2545)
- [41] The numerator of the mixing angle β_0 is proportional to the renormalization constants $Z_{\eta_N} Z_{\eta_S} \approx 2.6$, which is found from the fit of experimental data to the extended Linear Sigma Model in Ref. [\[42\]](#page-5-14).
- [42] D. Parganlija, P. Kovacs, G. Wolf, F. Giacosa, and D. H. Rischke, Meson vacuum phenomenology in a three-flavor linear sigma model with (axial-)vector mesons, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.014011) D **87**[, 014011 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.014011).
- [43] P. Kovács, Z. Szép, and G. Wolf, Existence of the critical endpoint in the vector meson extended linear sigma model, Phys. Rev. D 93[, 114014 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.114014).
- [44] In Ref. [\[8](#page-4-6)] instead of ϵ *ABC* we used the notation $tr(A \times B \cdot C)$. We prefer the former because as a product of two ϵ symbols, it is manifestly symmetric: $\epsilon[ABC] =$ ϵ [BAC], etc.
- [45] We note that the operators considered here are just those of the lowest mass dimension [[10](#page-4-8),[42](#page-5-14),[46](#page-5-25)–[50](#page-5-26)]. For spin zero, anomalous operators with higher mass dimensions include those from $Q = \pm 1$, such as ~tr $(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)$ det Φ , and those from $Q = \pm 2$, such as ∼(det Φ)². Obviously, there are also anomalous operators with higher mass dimensions for spin one and above.
- [46] P. Kovács and G. Wolf, Meson vacuum phenomenology in a three-flavor linear sigma model with (axial-)vector mesons: Investigation of the U(1)_A anomaly term, [Acta Phys. Pol. B](https://doi.org/10.5506/APhysPolBSupp.6.853) Proc. Suppl. 6[, 853 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.5506/APhysPolBSupp.6.853)
- [47] M. Grahl and D. H. Rischke, Functional renormalization group study of the two-flavor linear sigma model in the presence of the axial anomaly, Phys. Rev. D 88[, 056014 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.056014)
- [48] M. Grahl, $U(2)_A \times U(2)_V$ -symmetric fixed point from the functional renormalization group, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.117904) 90, 117904 [\(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.117904)
- [49] J. Eser, M. Grahl, and D. H. Rischke, Functional renormalization group study of the chiral phase transition including vector and axial-vector mesons, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.096008) 92, 096008 [\(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.096008)
- [50] R. D. Pisarski and F. Rennecke, Multi-instanton contributions to anomalous quark interactions, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.114019) 101, [114019 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.114019)
- [51] The x-axis in Fig. [3](#page-3-3) is the mass of $h_1(1415)$ meson, which represents experimental uncertainty in the mixing of P_μ states using the Gell-Mann Okubo relation [\[52](#page-5-27)[,53\]](#page-5-28).
- [52] M. Gell-Mann, Symmetries of baryons and mesons, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.125.1067) Rev. 125[, 1067 \(1962\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.125.1067).
- [53] S. Okubo, Note on unitary symmetry in strong interactions, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.27.949) 27, 949 (1962).
- [54] D. Aston et al., Evidence for two strangeonium resonances with $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ and 1^{+-} in K^-p interactions at 11-GeV/c, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(88)90620-X) 201, 573 (1988).
- [55] A. Abele et al. (Crystal Barrel Collaboration), Anti-proton proton annihilation at rest into $K_L K_S \pi^0 \pi^0$, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(97)01268-9) 415[, 280 \(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(97)01268-9).
- [56] M. Ablikim et al. (BESIII Collaboration), Study of χ_{cJ} decaying into $\phi K^*(892) \bar{K}$, Phys. Rev. D **91**[, 112008 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.112008)
- [57] M. Ablikim et al. (BESIII Collaboration), Observation of $h_1(1380)$ in the $J/\psi \to \eta' K \bar{K} \pi$ decay, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.072005) 98, 072005 (2018) [072005 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.072005)
- [58] J. J. Dudek, R. G. Edwards, B. Joo, M. J. Peardon, D. G. Richards, and C. E. Thomas, Isoscalar meson spectroscopy from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 83[, 111502 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.111502)
- [59] The result for β_2 is derived by considering similar mass relations for spin-2 mesons, Eqs. (3.1) – (3.4) of Ref. [\[60\]](#page-6-13), adding the anomalous coupling in Eq. [\(13\).](#page-3-0)
- [60] S. Jafarzade, A. Vereijken, M. Piotrowska, and F. Giacosa, From well-known tensor mesons to yet unknown axialtensor mesons, Phys. Rev. D 106[, 036008 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.036008)
- [61] J. J. Dudek, R. G. Edwards, P. Guo, and C. E. Thomas (Hadron Spectrum Collaboration), Toward the excited isoscalar meson spectrum from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 88[, 094505 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.094505)
- [62] W. I. Eshraim, S. Janowski, F. Giacosa, and D. H. Rischke, Decay of the pseudoscalar glueball into scalar and pseudoscalar mesons, Phys. Rev. D 87[, 054036 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.054036).
- [63] M. Ablikim et al. (BESIII Collaboration), Observation of a state $X(2600)$ in the $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\eta'$ system in the process $J/\psi \to \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**[, 042001 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.042001)
- [64] We note that the mass found in lattice QCD [\[65\]](#page-6-14) agrees with the identification of this state as a glueball.
- [65] A. Athenodorou and M. Teper, The glueball spectrum of SU (3) gauge theory in $3 + 1$ dimensions, [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2020)172) [11 \(2020\) 172.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2020)172)
- [66] L. Olbrich, M. Zétényi, F. Giacosa, and D. H. Rischke, Influence of the axial anomaly on the decay $N(1535) \rightarrow N\eta$, Phys. Rev. D 97[, 014007 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.014007).
- [67] A. H. Fariborz, R. Jora, and J. Schechter, Two chiral nonet model with massless quarks, Phys. Rev. D 77[, 034006 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.034006)
- [68] W. I. Eshraim, C. S. Fischer, F. Giacosa, and D. Parganlija, Hybrid phenomenology in a chiral approach, [Eur. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-020-00900-z) J. Plus 135[, 945 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-020-00900-z).
- [69] V. Shastry, C. S. Fischer, and F. Giacosa, The phenomenology of the exotic hybrid nonet with π 1(1600) and η 1(1855), Phys. Lett. B 834[, 137478 \(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2022.137478).
- [70] S. Gongyo et al., Most strange dibaryon from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120[, 212001 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.212001)
- [71] J. R. Green, A. D. Hanlon, P. M. Junnarkar, and H. Wittig, Weakly bound H dibaryon from SU(3)-flavor-symmetric QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127[, 242003 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.242003)