Sum rule for the pseudo-Rényi entropy

Wu-zhong Guo^{1,*} and Jiaju Zhang^{2,†}

¹School of Physics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Luoyu Road 1037, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China
²Center for Joint Quantum Studies and Department of Physics, School of Science, Tianjin University, 135 Yaguan Road, Tianjin 300350, China

(Received 1 September 2023; revised 26 January 2024; accepted 12 April 2024; published 6 May 2024)

By generalizing the density matrix to a transition matrix between two states, represented as $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$, one can define the pseudoentropy analogous to the entanglement entropy. In this paper, we establish an operator sum rule that pertains to the reduced transition matrix and reduced density matrices corresponding to the superposition states of $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$. It is demonstrated that the off-diagonal elements of operators can be correlated with the expectation value in the superposition state. Furthermore, we illustrate the connection between the pseudo-Rényi entropy and the Rényi entropy of the superposition states. We provide proof of the operator sum rule and verify its validity in both finite-dimensional systems and quantum field theory. We additionally demonstrate the significance of these sum rules in gaining insights into the physical implications of transition matrices, pseudoentropy, and their gravity dual.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.109.106008

I. INTRODUCTION

In many research fields, we encounter the handling of off-diagonal elements in certain states. To understand the quantum decoherence the off-diagonal elements of observables in the pointer states play an important role [1,2]. In the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis [3,4] one concerns about the matrix elements of local observables between energy eigenstates. In the field of quantum weak value the key quantity is the expectation value of operator between the so-called preselection and postselection states [5,6].

To express the off-diagonal matrix elements between two states, say $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$, one can define the unnormalized transition matrix as $\tilde{T}^{\psi|\phi} := |\psi\rangle\langle\phi|$. The off-diagonal elements of local observables \mathcal{A} can be expressed as

$$\langle \phi | \mathcal{A} | \psi \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{\psi | \phi} \mathcal{A}). \tag{1}$$

Here, the transition matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{\psi|\phi}$ plays a role similar to that of the density matrix. In fact, in the special case where $|\phi\rangle = |\psi\rangle$, it becomes the density matrix. Thus, $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{\psi|\phi}$ can be considered a generalization of the density matrix. Many quantities can be rephrased in terms of the transition

^{*}wuzhong@hust.edu.cn [†]jiajuzhang@tju.edu.cn matrices. For instance, the expectation value of an operator \mathcal{O} in the state $\rho = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$ is given by tr($\rho\mathcal{O}$). It can also be understood as tr($\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{\psi|\phi}$) with $|\psi\rangle \coloneqq \mathcal{O}|\phi\rangle$.

If a system can be partitioned into a subsystem *A* and its complementary counterpart \bar{A} , similar to the reduced density matrix, one can establish the reduced transition matrix of *A* as $\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi} = \text{tr}_{\bar{A}}\mathcal{T}^{\psi|\phi}$, where we have defined the normalized transition matrix

$$\mathcal{T}^{\psi|\phi} \coloneqq \frac{|\psi\rangle\langle\phi|}{\langle\phi|\psi\rangle},\tag{2}$$

assuming $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ are nonorthogonal. The above idea is explored in quantum field theory in a recent paper [7], see also [8]. By definition, one can see that $\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi}$ is generally a non-Hermitian matrix. It was shown that the reduced transition matrices contain additional theoretical information and lead to intriguing results.

In this paper, we present a sum rule that connects the reduced transition matrix $\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi}$ and the reduced density matrix of the superposition state composed of $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$. To accomplish this, we introduce a series of states denoted by $|\xi(c)\rangle$, defined as

$$|\xi(c)\rangle = \mathcal{N}(c)(|\phi\rangle + c|\psi\rangle),$$
 (3)

where $\mathcal{N}(c) = 1/\sqrt{1+|c|^2 + c\langle \phi | \psi \rangle + c^* \langle \psi | \phi \rangle}$ ensures the normalization of $\langle \xi(c) | \xi(c) \rangle = 1$. We use $\rho_A(c) \coloneqq$ $\operatorname{tr}_{\bar{A}} | \xi(c) \rangle \langle \xi(c) |$ to represent the reduced density matrix. The key finding of this paper is the sum rule that establishes a connection between $(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi | \phi})^n$ and $[\rho_A(c)]^n$ as

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

$$(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi})^{n} = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{S}} a(c) [\rho_{A}(c)]^{n}, \tag{4}$$

where a(c) are some specific *n*-dependent constants, and the index *c* belongs to a given set denoted by S.

In the next section, we will demonstrate that one of the choices is $S = \{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k} | k = 0, 1, 2, ..., 2n\}$. For this particular set, we define

$$a_k \coloneqq a(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{2n+1}k}) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{2n+1}kn}}{(2n+1)\mathcal{N}_k^n},\tag{5}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_k \coloneqq \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{2n+1}k})^2 \langle \phi | \psi \rangle. \tag{6}$$

As a result, the operator sum rule takes the form

$$(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi})^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} a_{k} [\rho_{A}(k)]^{n},$$
 (7)

where $\rho_A(k) \coloneqq \rho_A(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k})$.

Using the operator sum rule (7), we can establish a sum rule for off-diagonal matrix elements. The off-diagonal matrix elements of a set of operators $\{A_j\}$ (j = 1, ..., m) in subsystem *A* would have the following interesting relations. If $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ are nonorthogonal, for $m \le n$ we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\langle \phi | \mathcal{A}_{j} | \psi \rangle}{\langle \phi | \psi \rangle} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} a_{k} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \langle \xi_{k} | \mathcal{A}_{j} | \xi_{k} \rangle, \tag{8}$$

where $|\xi_k\rangle := |\xi(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k})\rangle$. If $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ are orthogonal, we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} \langle \phi | \mathcal{A}_j | \psi \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} a'_k \prod_{j=1}^{n} \langle \xi_k | \mathcal{A}_j | \xi_k \rangle, \tag{9}$$

where $a'_{k} = \frac{2^{n}}{2n+1} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}kn}$.

Based on the similarity between the transition matrix and the density matrix, the authors in [7] introduce a concept called pseudoentropy. For the reduced transition matrix $\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi}$ the definition is

$$S(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi}) = -\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi}\log\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi}).$$
(10)

To evaluate the pseudoentropy, the concept of pseudo-Rényi entropy is introduced

$$S^{(n)}(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi}) = \frac{\log \operatorname{tr}_A(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi})^n}{1-n},\tag{11}$$

where *n* is an integer greater than or equal to 2. By analytically continuing *n*, the pseudoentropy can be expressed as $S(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi}) = \lim_{n \to 1} S^{(n)}(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi})$. In practice, the replica trick is commonly used to evaluate pseudo-Rényi entropy in QFTs. Although the calculation method for pseudo-Rényi entropy is similar to that of Rényi entropy, there are relatively few analytical results available for pseudo-Rényi entropy. This scarcity is attributed to the transition matrix involving two distinct states and being typically non-Hermitian, which makes the calculations more intricate.

After taking the trace on both sides of Eq. (7), we obtain an interesting relation between the Rényi entropy and pseudo-Rényi entropy

$$e^{(1-n)S^{(n)}(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi})} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} a_{k} e^{(1-n)S^{(n)}(\rho_{A}(k))}.$$
 (12)

The discrete Fourier transform of a sequence of *N* complex numbers $\{x_k\}$ with $k \in \{0, ..., N-1\}$ could be denoted by $F[x_k](s) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}ks}x_k$. The results (8), (9), and (12) show the off-diagonal elements and the pseudo-Rényi entropy can be associated with the discrete Fourier transform with N = 2n + 1 and s = n. Therefore, the calculation of these quantities can be transformed into evaluating diagonal elements and the Rényi entropy for the superposition states and performing a discrete Fourier transformation. It is worth noting that the above results are trivially satisfied for the special case where $|\psi\rangle = |\phi\rangle$.

The subsequent sections of the paper are structured in the following manner. Section II explores the importance of the sum rule. In Sec. III, we give a proof for the sum rule. We discuss the sum rule in quantum field theory (QFT) and conformal field theory (CFT) in Secs. IV and V, respectively. We present examples of the sum rule in Sec. VI. Moreover, Sec. VII highlights several applications of the sum rule. In Sec. VIII, we study a tentative sum rule of the pseudoentropy. Section IX exhibits alternate forms of the sum rule. Analogous to the sum rule, Sec. X demonstrates the generalized Rényi entropy expressed as derivatives of Rényi entropy. We conclude with discussion in Sec. XI.

II. THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE SUM RULES

Before delving into the details of proving the sum rule, let us first explore its significance. It is well known that any non-Hermitian operator can be expressed as a linear combination of two Hermitian operators. Our sum rule (7) illustrates a distinct and generalized version of a similar statement. The sum rules involving the off-diagonal elements (8), (9) and pseudo-Rényi entropy (12) represent two different aspects of the operator relation.

As mentioned in the Introduction, in many situations one needs to deal with the off-diagonal elements in some Hilbert space, denoted by the \mathcal{H} with the orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B} := \{|\mathbf{m}_i\rangle\}$ (i = 0, 1, ...). Consider the off-diagonal elements $\langle \mathbf{m}_0 | \mathcal{A}_j | \mathbf{m}_i \rangle$ $(i \ge 1)$ for operator \mathcal{A} . One can

construct a new set of nonorthogonal basis $\mathcal{B}^k \coloneqq \{|\mathfrak{m}'_i(k)\rangle\}$ with $|\mathfrak{m}'_i(k)\rangle \coloneqq \mathcal{N}(k)(|\mathfrak{m}_0\rangle + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k}|\mathfrak{m}_i\rangle)$ (i = 0, 1, ...),where $\mathcal{N}(k)$ is the normalization constant. Note that \mathcal{B}^k is constructed by a rotation of the basis $|\mathfrak{m}_i\rangle$ $(i \ge 1)$ with respect to $|\mathfrak{m}_0\rangle$. Equation (9) suggests the product of the off-diagonal elements $\prod_{j=1}^n \langle \mathfrak{m}_0 | \mathcal{A}_j | \mathfrak{m}_i \rangle$ in the basis \mathcal{B} can be decomposed as a linear combination of the product of the diagonal elements $\prod_{j=1}^n \langle \mathfrak{m}'_i(k) | \mathcal{A}_j | \mathfrak{m}'_i(k) \rangle$ in the basis \mathcal{B}^k .

The sum rule (12) gives the relation between two different concepts of entropy. Entanglement entropy as well as Rényi entropy are important measures widely employed to characterize quantum entanglement between two given subsystems, and its significance has been extensively discussed from various perspectives, ranging from quantum manybody systems to QFTs and quantum gravity [9–12]. In the context of AdS/CFT correspondence [13–15], entanglement entropy is found to be associated with the minimal surface in the bulk [16,17], known as the Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) formula and its generalization Hubeny-Rangamani-Takayanagi (HRT) formula. This discovery opens up a new pathway for understanding the quantum nature of black holes and general spacetime [18–21].

The concept of pseudoentropy is quite new. The main drive behind exploring pseudoentropy lies in its capacity to satisfy the RT formula in a new class of non-Hermitian state, providing valuable insights into geometry and entanglement [7]. Additionally, it serves as a novel order parameter in quantum many-body systems, extending beyond the entanglement entropy [22-24]. Unlike conventional entropy, pseudoentropy is generally not a real number. It has been revealed in [25] that the reality condition of pseudoentropy is closely associated with non-Hermitian physics [26–28]. Moreover, the imaginary part of pseudoentropy has been proposed in [29] to be linked to timelike entanglement and the emergence of time in the dS/CFT correspondence. Overall, pseudoentropy exhibits significant potential for applications across various aspects of physics. For more recent developments, one can refer to [30-44].

Even though many interesting results have been obtained, the physical significance and potential applications of transition matrix as well as pseudoentropy are still far from clear. The sum rules we have obtained imply that they are not merely a simple generalization, but are closely related to the concept of entanglement. These researches also indicate that certain classes of transition matrices may possess a dual representation in terms of bulk geometry. However, our current understanding of this correspondence is still limited. The sum rule (12) establishes a link between the geometry associated with the transition matrix and the density matrix, shedding light on this intriguing relationship.

The sum rules are crucial for our understanding of the transition matrix, pseudoentropy, and their holographic

dual. In the following sections, we will briefly discuss possible applications of the sum rules in these aspects.

III. PROOF OF THE OPERATOR SUM RULE

According to the definition, we have

$$\rho_A(c) = \mathcal{N}(c)^2 (\rho_A^{\phi} + c \langle \phi | \psi \rangle \mathcal{T}_A^{\psi | \phi} + c^* \langle \psi | \phi \rangle \mathcal{T}_A^{\phi | \psi} + c c^* \rho_A^{\psi}), \qquad (13)$$

where $\rho_A^{\phi} := \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{A}} |\phi\rangle \langle \phi|$, and $\rho_A^{\psi} := \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{A}} |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$. The *n*th power of $\rho_A(c)$ can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} [\rho_A(c)]^n &= \sum_{\{r,s,t\}} c^{t+r} (c^*)^{s+r} \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^t \langle \psi | \phi \rangle^s \mathcal{N}(c)^{2n} \\ &\times \left\{ (\rho_A^{\phi})^{n-r-s-t} (\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi | \phi})^t (\mathcal{T}_A^{\phi | \psi})^s (\rho_A^{\psi})^r + \cdots \right\}, \end{aligned}$$
(14)

where "+…" denotes the sum of all possible permutation terms for each fixed r, s, t. For example, when n = 5, r = 4, t = 0 and s = 1, we have the expression $\{(\rho_A^{\phi})^4 T_A^{\psi|\phi} + \cdots\} = (\rho_A^{\phi})^4 T_A^{\psi|\phi} + (\rho_A^{\phi})^3 T_A^{\psi|\phi} \rho_A^{\phi} + (\rho_A^{\phi})^2 T_A^{\psi|\phi} (\rho_A^{\phi})^2 + \rho_A^{\phi} T_A^{\psi|\phi} (\rho_A^{\phi})^3 + T_A^{\psi|\phi} (\rho_A^{\phi})^4$. For a fixed set $\{r, s, t\}$, there are $\frac{n!}{r!s!!(n-r-s-t)!}$ terms. Now, consider a linear combination of $[\rho_A(c)]^n$

$$\sum_{c \in S} a(c) [\rho_A(c)]^n = \sum_{\{r,s,t\}} \sum_{c \in A} a(c) c^{t+r} (c^*)^{s+r} \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^t \langle \psi | \phi \rangle^s$$
$$\times \mathcal{N}(c)^{2n} \{ (\rho_A^{\phi})^{n-r-s-t} (\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi})^{\phi})^t$$
$$\times (\mathcal{T}_A^{\phi | \psi})^s (\rho_A^{\psi})^r + \cdots \}.$$
(15)

If there is the condition

$$\sum_{c \in S} a(c)c^{t+r}(c^*)^{s+r} \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^t \langle \psi | \phi \rangle^s \mathcal{N}(c)^n = \delta_{s,0} \delta_{t,n}, \quad (16)$$

the operator sum rule (4) is obtained.

To find a solution to (16), we consider the set $S = \{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k} | k = 0, 1, 2, ..., 2n\}$. Equation (16) can be solved as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n} f_k e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k(n+s-t)} = \delta_{s,0} \delta_{t,n},$$
(17)

where

$$f_k \coloneqq a(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{2n+1}k})[\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{2n+1}k}\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{2n+1}k})^2\langle\phi|\psi\rangle]^n.$$
(18)

The value of f_k can be found using an inverse discrete Fourier transform, resulting in $f_k = \frac{1}{2n+1}$. We obtain $a_k := a(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k})$ (5) using the relation (18). By replacing the right-hand side of (16) as well as (17) with $\delta_{s,n}\delta_{t,0}$, we arrive at the sum rule involving $\mathcal{T}_A^{\phi|\psi}$. Similarly, we can find a relation for the transition matrix $\mathcal{T}_A^{\phi|\psi}$

$$e^{(1-n)S^{(n)}(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\phi|\psi})} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}kn} \frac{e^{(1-n)S^{(n)}(\rho_{A}(k))}}{(2n+1)\mathcal{N}_{k}^{n}}.$$
 (19)

This is consistent with the fact that $[S^{(n)}(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi})]^* = S^{(n)}(\mathcal{T}_A^{\phi|\psi})$. The transition matrix can also be defined for a pair of orthogonal states. If the two states $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ are orthogonal, the transition matrix is modified to $\mathcal{T}^{\psi|\phi} = |\psi\rangle\langle\phi|$. The reduced transition matrix is defined as $\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi} = \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{A}}|\psi\rangle\langle\phi|$. The sum rule is slightly different in this case. The normalization constant becomes $\mathcal{N}(c) = 1/\sqrt{1+|c|^2}$. To obtain the sum rule we require the similar relation as

$$\sum_{c \in \mathcal{S}} a'(c) [\mathcal{N}(c)]^n (c^*)^s c^t = \delta_{s,0} \delta_{t,n}.$$
 (20)

We still take $S = \{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k} | k = 0, 1, 2, ..., 2n\}$. It is not hard to get

$$a'_{k} \coloneqq a'(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{2n+1}k}) = \frac{2^{n}}{2n+1}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{2n+1}kn}.$$
 (21)

The sum rule for orthogonal states are given by

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi})^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} a'_{k} \operatorname{tr}_{A}[\rho_{A}(k)]^{n}, \qquad (22)$$

where $\rho_A(k) \coloneqq \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{A}} |\xi(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k})\rangle \langle \xi(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k})|.$

IV. SUM RULE FOR PSEUDO-RÉNYI ENTROPY FROM REPLICA METHOD IN QFTs

In quantum field theory (QFT), the computation of (pseudo-) Rényi entropy can be achieved using the replica method [7,45]. Additionally, one can establish the formula presented in (12) using the same replica method. The state $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$, as well as their superposition $|\xi(c)\rangle = \mathcal{N}(c)(|\phi\rangle + c|\psi\rangle)$ can be prepared using Euclidean pathintegral with operator insertions. The replica partition function in the transition matrix $\mathcal{T}^{\psi|\phi}$ can be computed by path integral on an *n*-sheet manifold \mathcal{R}_n gluing along subsystem A,

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}[(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi})^{n}] = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{n}}{\langle \phi|\psi\rangle^{n}} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} \phi(i)^{\dagger} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \psi(j) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}, \quad (23)$$

where \mathcal{Z}_n is the vacuum partition function on $\mathcal{R}_n, \psi(j)$ and $\phi(i)$ denote the operators on the *j*th and the *i*th sheets,

respectively. Similarly, for the reduced density matrix $\rho_A(c)$ we have

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}\{[\rho_{A}(c)]^{n}\} = \mathcal{Z}_{n}\mathcal{N}(c)^{n}\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{n}[\phi(i)^{\dagger} + c^{*}\psi(i)^{\dagger}]\right.$$
$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{n}[\phi(j) + c\psi(j)]\right\rangle_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}.$$
(24)

The correlation function in the above equation can be expanded as

$$\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} [\phi(i)^{\dagger} + c^{*}\psi(i)^{\dagger}] \prod_{j=1}^{n} [\phi(j) + c\psi(j)] \right\rangle_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}$$

= $\sum_{\{s,t\}} (c^{*})^{s} c^{t} \langle \psi(i_{1})^{\dagger} \cdots \psi(i_{s})^{\dagger} \phi(i_{1}')^{\dagger} \cdots \phi(i_{n-s}')^{\dagger}$
 $\times \psi(j_{1}) \cdots \psi(j_{t}) \phi(j_{1}') \cdots \phi(j_{n-t}') \right\rangle_{\mathcal{R}_{n}},$ (25)

where $s, t \in (0, 1, ..., n)$. For fixed s, t there are $\frac{(n!)^2}{s!t!(n-s)!(n-t)!}$ terms, thus the total number of terms in the sum is $\sum_{s,t=0}^{n} \frac{(n!)^2}{s!t!(n-s)!(n-t)!} = 2^{2n}$. One of the special term is the one with s = 0, t = n, i.e., $\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} \phi(i)^{\dagger} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \psi(j) \rangle_{\mathcal{R}_n}$, which is the correlation function in (23).

Then we consider linear combinations of $\operatorname{tr}_A[\rho_A(c)]^n$ (24). By choosing a series of functions a(c) with the index $c \in S$, we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{c \in \mathcal{S}} a(c) \operatorname{tr}_{A}[\rho_{A}(c)]^{n} \\ &= \mathcal{Z}_{n} \sum_{\{s,t\}} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{A}} a(c) [\mathcal{N}(c)]^{n} (c^{*})^{s} c^{t} \langle \psi(i_{1})^{\dagger} ... \psi(i_{s})^{\dagger} \\ &\times \phi(i_{1}')^{\dagger} ... \phi(i_{n-s}')^{\dagger} \psi(j_{1}) ... \psi(j_{t}) \phi(j_{1}') ... \phi(j_{n-t}') \rangle_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}. \end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$

$$(26)$$

If we have

$$\sum_{c \in S} a(c) [\mathcal{N}(c)]^n (c^*)^s c^t = \frac{1}{\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^n} \delta_{s,0} \delta_{t,n}, \qquad (27)$$

the above summation would reduce to $\operatorname{tr}_A(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi})^n$, which is just the sum rule (4). To find a solution, one could take $\mathcal{S} = \{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k} | k = 0, 1, 2, ..., 2n\}$, we would have the same Eqs. (17), (18). Thus we have proved the sum rule (7) by the replica method.

V. SHORT INTERVAL EXPANSION OF PSEUDO-RÉNYI ENTROPY IN CFTs

Another approach to evaluate the (pseudo-) Rényi entropy involves utilizing the twist operator formalism.

In certain special cases, like a short interval in 2-dimensional conformal field theories (CFTs), the operator product expansion (OPE) of the twist operator can be employed [46–50]. By doing so, the sum rule (12) is expressed as a series of relationships between correlation functions of local operators, thus we can derive the relations (8) and (9).

The sum rule shows the $\operatorname{tr}_A(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi})^n$ can be written as linear combination of $\operatorname{tr}_A(\rho_A(c))^n$. The Rényi entropy can be evaluated by using twist operator formalism in certain cases [45]. An obvious conclusion from the sum rule is that pseudo-Rényi entropy can also be evaluated by twist operator formalism in same case. In this section we focus on two-dimensional CFTs. Consider the CFT is defined on a cylinder with spatial period *L*. For an interval $A = [0, \ell]$ with $\ell \ll L$, one could use operator product expansion of twist operator [46–50]. Generally for a given reduced density matrix ρ_A , there is

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\rho_{A}^{n}) = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\ell}\right)^{4h_{\sigma}} \left[1 + \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{\mathcal{X}_{1},\dots,\mathcal{X}_{m}} \ell^{\Delta_{\mathcal{X}_{1}}+\dots+\Delta_{\mathcal{X}_{m}}} \times d_{\mathcal{X}_{1}\dots,\mathcal{X}_{m}} \operatorname{tr}(\rho \mathcal{X}_{1}) \cdots \operatorname{tr}(\rho \mathcal{X}_{m})\right],$$
(28)

where the summation $\{\mathcal{X}_1, ..., \mathcal{X}_m\}$ is over all the quasiprimary operators, $\Delta_{\mathcal{X}_i}$ are their scaling dimension, h_{σ} is the conformal weight of twist operator, $d_{\mathcal{X}_1...\mathcal{X}_m}$ are the coefficients independent with the state ρ_A . For the transition matrix $\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi}$, the formula is almost the same

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi})^{n} = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\ell}\right)^{4h_{\sigma}} \left[1 + \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{\mathcal{X}_{1},\dots,\mathcal{X}_{m}} \ell^{\Delta_{\mathcal{X}_{1}}+\dots+\Delta_{\mathcal{X}_{m}}} \times d_{\mathcal{X}_{1}\cdots\mathcal{X}_{m}}\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{T}^{\psi|\phi}\mathcal{X}_{1})\cdots\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{T}^{\psi|\phi}\mathcal{X}_{m})\right].$$
(29)

Defining $F_m(c) \coloneqq \operatorname{tr}(\rho(c)\mathcal{X}_1)\cdots\operatorname{tr}(\rho(c)\mathcal{X}_m)$, we have

$$F_{m}(\mathbf{e}^{\frac{2\pi \mathbf{i}}{2n+1}k}) = [\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{e}^{\frac{2\pi \mathbf{i}}{2n+1}k})]^{2m} \prod_{j=1}^{m} (\langle \boldsymbol{\phi} | \mathcal{X}_{j} | \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle$$
$$+ \mathbf{e}^{\frac{2\pi \mathbf{i}}{2n+1}k} \langle \boldsymbol{\phi} | \mathcal{X}_{j} | \boldsymbol{\psi} \rangle + \mathbf{e}^{-\frac{2\pi \mathbf{i}}{2n+1}k} \langle \boldsymbol{\psi} | \mathcal{X}_{j} | \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle$$
$$+ \langle \boldsymbol{\psi} | \mathcal{X}_{j} | \boldsymbol{\psi} \rangle). \tag{30}$$

The sum rule is given by using the following equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{2n+1}kn} \frac{F_m(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{2n+1}k})}{(2n+1)\mathcal{N}_k^n} = \frac{1}{\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^m} \prod_{j=1}^m \langle \phi | \mathcal{X}_j | \psi \rangle, \quad (31)$$

which can be shown directly by using (30). Note that

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{e}^{\frac{2\pi\mathbf{i}}{2n+1}k}) = (2 + \mathbf{e}^{\frac{2\pi\mathbf{i}}{2n+1}k} \langle \boldsymbol{\phi} | \boldsymbol{\psi} \rangle + \mathbf{e}^{-\frac{2\pi\mathbf{i}}{2n+1}k} \langle \boldsymbol{\psi} | \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle)^{-1/2}.$$
 (32)

The summation over k is discrete Fourier transform of the terms in the square brackets. These terms are series in $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k}$. The coefficient corresponding to the highest power $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}kn}$ is

$$\langle \psi | \phi \rangle^{n-m} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \langle \phi | \mathcal{X}_j | \psi \rangle.$$
 (33)

In fact this is the only term survived in the discrete Fourier transform. Obviously, (31) holds.

In fact, Eq. (31) represents the sum rule for the offdiagonal matrix elements (8). The relation (9) can be derived by applying the operator sum rule to the orthogonal states (22).

VI. EXAMPLES FOR THE SUM RULE

A. Two qubits system

The sum rule can be examined in a system of two qubits represented in the basis states $|00\rangle$, $|01\rangle$, $|10\rangle$, $|11\rangle$. We assume two given states

$$\begin{split} |\phi\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\alpha_{\phi}|^2 + |\beta_{\phi}|^2}} (\alpha_{\phi}|00\rangle + \beta_{\phi}|11\rangle), \\ |\psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\alpha_{\psi}|^2 + |\beta_{\psi}|^2}} (\alpha_{\psi}|00\rangle + \beta_{\psi}|11\rangle), \end{split}$$
(34)

where $\alpha_{\phi(\psi)}$ and $\beta_{\phi(\psi)}$ represent arbitrary constants. The superposition state is $|\xi(k)\rangle := \mathcal{N}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k})(|\phi\rangle + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k})|\psi\rangle$.

The reduced density matrix $\rho_A(k)$ is given by

$$\rho_A(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0\\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{35}$$

with

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{1} &= \left[\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{e}^{\frac{2\pi i k}{2n+1}})\right]^{2} \left| \frac{\alpha_{\phi}}{\sqrt{|\alpha_{\phi}|^{2} + |\beta_{\phi}|^{2}}} + \frac{\alpha_{\psi} \mathbf{e}^{\frac{2i\pi k}{2n+1}}}{\sqrt{|\alpha_{\psi}|^{2} + |\beta_{\psi}|^{2}}} \right|^{2}, \\ \lambda_{2} &= \left[\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{e}^{\frac{2\pi i k}{2n+1}})\right]^{2} \left| \frac{\beta_{\phi}}{\sqrt{|\alpha_{\phi}|^{2} + |\beta_{\phi}|^{2}}} + \frac{\beta_{\psi} \mathbf{e}^{\frac{2i\pi k}{2n+1}}}{\sqrt{|\alpha_{\psi}|^{2} + |\beta_{\psi}|^{2}}} \right|^{2}, \end{split}$$

where

$$[\mathcal{N}(e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{2n+1}})]^{-2} = \left| \frac{\alpha_{\phi}}{\sqrt{|\alpha_{\phi}|^{2} + |\beta_{\phi}|^{2}}} + \frac{\alpha_{\psi}e^{\frac{2i\pi k}{2n+1}}}{\sqrt{|\alpha_{\psi}|^{2} + |\beta_{\psi}|^{2}}} \right|^{2} + \left| \frac{\beta_{\phi}}{\sqrt{|\alpha_{\phi}|^{2} + |\beta_{\phi}|^{2}}} + \frac{\beta_{\psi}e^{\frac{2i\pi k}{2n+1}}}{\sqrt{|\alpha_{\psi}|^{2} + |\beta_{\psi}|^{2}}} \right|^{2}.$$
 (36)

The reduced transition matrix $\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi} = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0\\ 0 & t_2 \end{pmatrix},\tag{37}$$

with

$$t_1 = \frac{\alpha_{\phi}^* \alpha_{\psi}}{\alpha_{\phi}^* \alpha_{\psi} + \beta_{\phi}^* \beta_{\psi}}, \qquad t_2 = \frac{\beta_{\phi}^* \beta_{\psi}}{\alpha_{\phi}^* \alpha_{\psi} + \beta_{\phi}^* \beta_{\psi}}.$$

To check the sum rule (7) one just needs

$$\frac{1}{(2n+1)\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}kn} \frac{\lambda_i^n}{\mathcal{N}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}})^{2n}} = t_i^n, \quad (38)$$

with i = 1, 2, where

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle = \frac{\alpha_{\psi} \alpha_{\phi}^* + \beta_{\psi} \beta_{\phi}^*}{\sqrt{\alpha_{\psi} \alpha_{\psi}^* + \beta_{\psi} \beta_{\psi}^*} \sqrt{\alpha_{\phi} \alpha_{\phi}^* + \beta_{\phi} \beta_{\phi}^*}}.$$
 (39)

B. Perturbation states

We examine the states $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle = \mathcal{N}_{\phi}(|\psi\rangle + \epsilon |\psi'\rangle)$ with $\mathcal{N}_{\phi} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\epsilon \langle \psi | \psi' \rangle + \epsilon^* \langle \psi' | \psi \rangle \right) + O(\epsilon^2)$, considering only to the leading order of ϵ . The reduced transition matrix is then expressed as

$$\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi} = \mathcal{N}(\epsilon)(\rho_{A}^{\psi} + \epsilon^{*}\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\psi'}), \qquad (40)$$

where $\mathcal{N}(\epsilon) = 1 - \epsilon^* \langle \psi' | \psi \rangle$, $\rho_A^{\psi} = \text{tr}_{\bar{A}} | \psi \rangle \langle \psi |$, and $\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi | \psi'} = \text{tr}_{\bar{A}} | \psi \rangle \langle \psi' |$. We can then write the expression for $\text{tr}_A (\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi | \phi})^n$ as

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi})^{n} = \operatorname{tr}_{A}(\rho_{A}^{\psi})^{n} \left(1 - n\epsilon^{*} \langle \psi'|\psi \rangle + n\epsilon^{*} \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{A}[(\rho_{A}^{\psi})^{n-1}\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\psi'}]}{\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\rho_{A}^{\psi})^{n}}\right).$$
(41)

The superposition state is given by

$$|\xi(k)\rangle = \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{2n+1}k})[(\mathcal{N}_{\phi} + \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{2n+1}k})|\psi\rangle + \epsilon|\psi'\rangle],\qquad(42)$$

where $\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k})$ is the normalization constant. The expression for $\mathrm{tr}_A[\rho_A(k)]^n$ is then

 $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \langle \psi | \psi' \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^* \langle \psi' | \psi \rangle.$

(46)

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}[\rho_{A}(k)]^{n} = (\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{k})^{-n} \operatorname{tr}_{A}(\rho_{A}^{\psi})^{n} \left[1 + \frac{n\epsilon^{*}}{1 + \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{2n+1}k}} \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{A}[(\rho_{A}^{\psi})^{n-1}\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\psi'}]}{\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\rho_{A}^{\psi})^{n}} + \frac{n\epsilon}{1 + \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{2n+1}k}} \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{A}[(\rho_{A}^{\psi})^{n-1}\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi'|\psi'}]}{\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\rho_{A}^{\psi})^{n}} \right], \tag{43}$$

where
$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{k} = 1 + \frac{\epsilon \langle \psi | \psi' \rangle}{1 + e^{2\pi i - k}} + \frac{\epsilon^{*} \langle \psi' | \psi \rangle}{1 + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{2n + 1}k}}$$
.
For the superposition state

$$|\xi(k)\rangle = \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{2n+1}k})[(\mathcal{N}_{\phi} + \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{2n+1}k})|\psi\rangle + \epsilon|\psi'\rangle], \quad (44)$$

the normalization constant is

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{e}^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k}) = |1 + \mathbf{e}^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k}|^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\epsilon \langle \psi | \psi' \rangle \frac{\mathbf{e}^{-\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k} - 1}{\mathbf{e}^{-\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k} + 1} - \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^* \langle \psi | \psi' \rangle \frac{\mathbf{e}^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k} - 1}{\mathbf{e}^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k} + 1}\right).$$
(45)

We also have

It is straightforward to obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}kn} \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{A} \rho_{A}(k)^{n}}{(2n+1)\mathcal{N}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k})^{2n} \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^{n}} = \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}kn} p_{k},$$
(47)

where

$$p_{k} = |1 + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k}|^{2n} \operatorname{tr}_{A}(\rho_{A}^{\psi})^{n} \left(1 - n\epsilon^{*} \langle \psi' | \psi \rangle + \frac{n\epsilon}{1 + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k}} \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{A}[(\rho_{A}^{\psi})^{n-1}\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi'}]^{\psi}]}{\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\rho_{A}^{\psi'})^{n}} + \frac{n\epsilon^{*}}{1 + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k}} \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{A}[(\rho_{A}^{\psi})^{n-1}\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi'}]^{\psi}]}{\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\rho_{A}^{\psi'})^{n}}\right).$$
(48)

The nonvanishing terms in the summation (47) are the ones associated with $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}kn}$, which is equal to Eq. (41). Therefore, the sum rule is proved in this example.

C. Locally excited states in two-dimensional massless boson theory

For a general transition matrix

$$\mathcal{T}^{\psi|\phi} = \frac{\mathcal{O}(w_1, \bar{w}_1)|0\rangle\langle 0|\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}(w_2, \bar{w}_2)}{\langle 0|\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\dagger}(w_2, \bar{w}_2)\mathcal{O}(w_1, \bar{w}_1)|0\rangle},\tag{49}$$

one could use replica method to evaluate the (pseudo-) Rényi entropy. The two states are $|\phi\rangle = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(w_2, \bar{w}_2)|0\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle = \mathcal{O}(w_1, \bar{w}_1)|0\rangle$. We focus on the pseudo-Rényi entropy with n = 2. It can be shown that the pseudo-Rényi entropy is associated with the four point functions on the 2-sheet Riemann surface Σ_2

$$\Delta S^{(2)}(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi}) = -\log \frac{\langle \mathcal{O}(w_{1},\bar{w}_{1})\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\dagger}(w_{2},\bar{w}_{2})\langle \mathcal{O}(w_{3},\bar{w}_{3})\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\dagger}(w_{4},\bar{w}_{4})\rangle_{\Sigma_{2}}}{\langle \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\dagger}(w_{2},\bar{w}_{2})\mathcal{O}(w_{1},\bar{w}_{1})\rangle_{\Sigma_{1}}},$$
(50)

with $\Delta S^{(2)}(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi}) \coloneqq S^{(2)}(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi}) - S^{(2)}(\rho_A^{(0)})$, where $\rho_A^{(0)}$ is the reduced density matrix for ground state, $w_i \in \Sigma_2$ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) are coordinates of the corresponding local operators.

To calculate the four point correlation function on Σ_2 , one could use the conformal mapping

$$z = \sqrt{\frac{w - x_1}{w - x_2}},\tag{51}$$

where x_1 , x_2 are endpoints of the interval A. The corresponding coordinates of $w_i \in \Sigma_2$ under the conformal mapping are given by

$$z_1 = -z_3 = \sqrt{\frac{w_1 - x_1}{w_1 - x_2}}, \qquad z_2 = -z_4 = \sqrt{\frac{w_2 - x_1}{w_2 - x_2}}.$$
 (52)

We take $w = x + i\tau$, $\bar{w} = x - i\tau$. We mainly focus on the operators

$$\mathcal{O}(w_1, \bar{w}_1) =: e^{\frac{i}{2}\phi(0, -a)} : + e^{i\theta} : e^{-\frac{i}{2}\phi(0, -a)} :,$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\dagger}(w_2, \bar{w}_2) =: e^{\frac{i}{2}\phi(0, a')} : + : e^{-\frac{i}{2}\phi(0, a')} :,$$
(53)

with $w_1 = -ia$ and $w_2 = ia'$. The two states under consideration are

$$\begin{split} |\phi\rangle &= (e^{\frac{i}{2}\phi(0,-a)} + e^{-\frac{i}{2}\phi(0,-a)})|0\rangle, \\ |\psi\rangle &= (e^{\frac{i}{2}\phi(0,a')} + e^{i\theta - \frac{i}{2}\phi(0,a')})|0\rangle. \end{split}$$
(54)

 $\Delta S^{(2)}(\mathcal{T}^{\psi|\phi}_{A})$ has been evaluated in [7], and the result is

$$\Delta S^{(2)}(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi}) = \log \frac{1 + \cos\theta}{\cos\theta + |\eta|^2 + |1 - \eta|^2}, \quad (55)$$

where the cross ratio $\eta = \frac{(z_1-z_2)(z_3-z_4)}{(z_1-z_3)(z_2-z_4)}$. For the case $\theta = 0$, the pseudo-Rényi entropy reduces to Rényi entropy. In the limit $a, a' \to 0, \ \eta, \bar{\eta} \to 0, \ \Delta S^{(2)}(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi}) \to 0$. For the case $\theta \neq 0$, we can also consider the Lorentzian time evolution by analytic continuation $a \to \delta + it$ and $a' \to \delta - it$ where δ is the UV cutoff. It can be shown that in the region $x_1 < t < x_2$ we will have

$$\Delta S^{(2)}(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi}) = \log \frac{1 + \cos \theta}{\cos \theta}.$$
 (56)

We take a = a'. The superposition state $|\xi(k)\rangle$ is given by

$$|\xi(k)\rangle = \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{2n+1}k})(\alpha_k: \,\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\phi(0,-a)}:+\beta_k:\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\phi(0,-a)}:)|0\rangle,$$
(57)

where

$$\alpha_k = 1 + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k}, \qquad \beta_k = 1 + e^{i\theta + \frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k}.$$
(58)

The Rényi entropy $\Delta S^{(2)}(\rho_A(k)) := S^{(2)}(\rho_A(k)) - S^{(2)}(\rho_A^{(0)})$ can also be evaluated by the replica method. With some calculations we get

$$\Delta S^{(2)}(\rho_A(k)) = \log \frac{(|\alpha_k|^2 + |\beta_k|^2)^2}{|\alpha_k|^4 + 2|\alpha_k|^2|\beta_k|^2|\eta| + 2|\alpha_k|^2|\beta_k|^2|1 - \eta| + |\beta_k|^4}.$$
(59)

For the case $\theta = 0$ and $a \to 0$ we have $\Delta S^{(2)}(\rho_A(k)) \to 0$, which is consistent with [51]. For the case $\theta \neq 0$, let us consider the Lorentzian time evolution by analytic continuation $a \to \delta + it$. In the region $x_1 < t < x_2$ we have $\eta = 1, \bar{\eta} \to 0$, thus

$$\Delta S^{(2)}(\rho_A(k)) = \log\left(\frac{(|\alpha_k|^2 + |\beta_k|^2)^2}{|\alpha_k|^4 + |\beta_k|^4}\right).$$
(60)

One could check $\Delta S^{(2)}(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi})$ and $\Delta S^{(2)}(\rho_A(k))$ satisfy the sum rule (12) with n = 2. Further, the *n*-th (pseudo) Rényi entropy can be evaluated by replica method. In Sec. VII C, we will elucidate the aforementioned simple formula using the quasiparticle framework, thereby providing an explanation of quasiparticles for the pseudo-Rényi entropy.

D. Quasiparticle excited states in spin chain

We consider a chain of L free bosons or fermions with Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{L} a_j^{\dagger} a_j. \tag{61}$$

The global modes are

$$b_{k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{j=1}^{L} e^{-\frac{2\pi i j k}{L}} a_{j}, \qquad b_{k}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{j=1}^{L} e^{-\frac{2\pi i j k}{L}} a_{j}.$$
(62)

The ground state $|G\rangle$ is defined as

$$a_j|G\rangle = 0, \quad \forall \ j.$$
 (63)

For the subsystem $A = [1, \ell]$ and its complement $B = [\ell + 1, L]$, the ground state is a direct product state

$$|G\rangle = |G_A\rangle \otimes |G_B\rangle, \tag{64}$$

where the subsystem ground states are defined as

$$a_j |G_A\rangle = 0, \quad \forall \ j \in A,$$

 $a_j |G_B\rangle = 0, \quad \forall \ j \in B.$ (65)

We will also use the single-quasiparticle excited state

$$|k\rangle = b_k^{\dagger}|G\rangle. \tag{66}$$

It is convenient to define the subsystem modes

$$b_{A,k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} e^{-\frac{2\pi i j k}{L}} a_j, \qquad b_{A,k}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} e^{\frac{2\pi i j k}{L}} a_j^{\dagger},$$
$$b_{B,k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{L} e^{-\frac{2\pi i j k}{L}} a_j, \qquad b_{B,k}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{L} e^{\frac{2\pi i j k}{L}} a_j^{\dagger}.$$

For two different single-quasiparticle excited states $|k_1\rangle$ and $|k_2\rangle$, which are orthogonal, we may define the reduced transition matrix

$$\mathcal{T}_{A}^{k_{2}|k_{1}} = \operatorname{tr}_{B}|k_{2}\rangle\langle k_{1}|, \qquad (67)$$

which could not be normalized. Then we get the reduced transition matrix

$$\mathcal{T}_{A}^{k_{2}|k_{1}} = -\alpha_{12}|G_{A}\rangle\langle G_{A}| + b_{A,k_{2}}^{\dagger}|G_{A}\rangle\langle G_{A}|b_{A,k_{1}}, \quad (68)$$

with

$$\alpha_{12} = e^{-\frac{\pi i k_{12}(\ell+1)}{L}} \frac{\sin \frac{\pi k_{12}\ell}{L}}{L \sin \frac{\pi k_{12}\ell}{L}}, \qquad k_{12} = k_1 - k_2.$$
(69)

In the following, we will also use $\alpha_{21} = \alpha_{12}^*$. We get the pseudo-Rényi entropy

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{k_{2}|k_{1}})^{n} = [1 + (-)^{n}]\alpha_{12}^{n}.$$
(70)

For the state

$$|\xi(\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}\phi})\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|k_1\rangle + \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}\phi}|k_2\rangle),\tag{71}$$

we get the reduced density matrix

$$\rho_{A,\xi(e^{i\phi})} = \frac{1}{2} \{ [2(1-x) - \alpha_{21}e^{-i\phi} - \alpha_{12}e^{i\phi}] |G_A\rangle \langle G_A|
+ e^{-i\theta} b^{\dagger}_{A,k_1} |G_A\rangle \langle G_A| b_{A,k_2} + e^{i\theta} b^{\dagger}_{A,k_2} |G_A\rangle \langle G_A| b_{A,k_1}
+ b^{\dagger}_{A,k_1} |G_A\rangle \langle G_A| b_{A,k_1} + b^{\dagger}_{A,k_2} |G_A\rangle \langle G_A| b_{A,k_2} \},$$
(72)

where $x = \frac{\ell}{L}$. The Rényi entropy is

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}\rho_{A,\xi(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi})}^{n} = \frac{1}{2^{n}} \{ [2x + \alpha_{21}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\phi} + \alpha_{12}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}]^{n} + [2(1-x) - \alpha_{21}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\phi} - \alpha_{12}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi}]^{n} \}.$$
(73)

Using (70) and (73), we check the sum rule

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{k_{2}|k_{1}})^{n} = \frac{2^{n}}{2n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{-\frac{2\pi i k}{2n+1}} \operatorname{tr}_{A} \rho_{A,\xi(e^{2\pi i k})}^{n}.$$
 (74)

VII. APPLICATIONS

A. Relation between static quantity and dynamical one

In quantum mechanics, a sum rule typically represents a connection between a static quantity and the summation over a dynamic one. In this context, we aim to demonstrate that our sum rules exhibit a similar behavior. Let $|\mathbf{e}_i\rangle$ (i = 0, 1, ...) denote an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian H with energy E_i . Given an operator \mathcal{A} we would like to consider the off-diagonal elements $\langle \mathbf{e}_i | \mathcal{A} | \mathbf{e}_j \rangle$. According to (9) we have

$$\langle \mathbf{e}_i | \mathcal{A} | \mathbf{e}_j \rangle^n = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} a'_k \langle \mathbf{s}_{ij} | \mathcal{A}(t_k) | \mathbf{s}_{ij} \rangle^n,$$
 (75)

where $|\mathbf{s}_{ij}\rangle \coloneqq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\mathbf{e}_i\rangle + |\mathbf{e}_j\rangle)$, the operator $\mathcal{A}(t) \coloneqq e^{iHt}\mathcal{A}e^{-iHt}$, and $t_k = \frac{2\pi k}{(2n+1)(E_i - E_j)}$.

The physical meaning of the above result is clear. The off-diagonal elements of an operator in energy eigenstates can be associated with the expectation value of this operator at time t_k in the superposition states. In the special case that the operator \mathcal{A} is independent with time, that is $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(t)$, as a check of (75) we can see the off-diagonal element

 $\langle \mathbf{e}_i | \mathcal{A} | \mathbf{e}_j \rangle$ is vanishing, which can also be derived by using the fact $\langle \mathbf{e}_i | \mathcal{A} | \mathbf{e}_j \rangle = e^{i(E_i - E_j)t} \langle \mathbf{e}_i | \mathcal{A} | \mathbf{e}_j \rangle$.

The formula may be more useful when the asymptotic behavior of $\mathcal{A}(t)$ is understood in the limit as $t \to \infty$. In the special case where $E_i - E_j$ is significantly smaller than the typical energy of the system, the off-diagonal element $\langle \mathbf{e}_i | \mathcal{A} | \mathbf{e}_j \rangle$ can be determined by examining the asymptotic behavior of $\mathcal{A}(t)$.

B. Transition matrix with gravity dual

The transition matrix extends the potential dual geometry of boundary states to non-Hermitian ones. It is anticipated that only a limited class of transition matrices could be dual to bulk geometry. The fundamental constraint is that the pseudoentropy must be positive. However, we still do not have a comprehensive understanding of the properties of such a transition matrix.

It is generally expected that the theory dual to gravity should be a gapped large-N CFT [52,53]. The bulk Newton constant G is proportional to $1/N^2$. If a state $|\Psi\rangle$ in the CFT can be effectively described by a geometry, the connected two point correlation function of single trace operator \mathcal{O}_i should follow the scaling,

$$\langle \Psi | \mathcal{O}_i \mathcal{O}_j | \Psi \rangle - \langle \Psi | \mathcal{O}_i | \Psi \rangle \langle \Psi | \mathcal{O}_j | \Psi \rangle \sim O(N^2).$$
 (76)

More generally, a connected *k*-point function of the rescaled operator $O_i := O_i/N$ should be of order N^{2-k} . Consequently, in the limit as $N \to \infty$, the correlation functions of O_i exhibit behavior akin to generalized free theory [54].

Utilizing (8) allows one to demonstrate that if the states $|\xi(k)\rangle$ meet the necessary conditions for state with geometry dual, the transition matrix $\mathcal{T}^{\psi|\phi}$ would also satisfy these conditions. This implies that the sum rule could be employed to construct the geometry dual to the transition matrix, given the geometry dual to $|\xi(k)\rangle$. Furthermore, in holography, the (pseudo) Rényi entropy can be linked to the gravitational on-shell action with cosmic brane insertion [7,55]. The sum rule (12) signifies a connection between the bulk solutions.

C. Quasiparticle pictures for pseudo-Rényi entropy

In this subsection, we will demonstrate that in certain specific setups with the help of the sum rule (12), the pseudo-(Rényi) entropy can be evaluated within the quasiparticle framework, akin to the traditional treatment of entanglement entropy. This would provide more insight into its relationship with entanglement.

1. Local excitation in 2-dimensional CFTs

In Sec. VI C we consider the massless boson CFT in two dimensions with two states defined by (54). The subsystem A is defined as $[x_1, x_2]$ with $x_2 > x_1 > 0$. In QFTs, the

replica method is utilized to evaluate the pseudo-Rényi entropy. We will take a = a' in the following. The superposition state $|\xi(k)\rangle$ is given by (57). The state (57) can be effectively taken as entangled left-moving and right-moving quasiparticles. When the right-moving particles enter

and \overline{A} will increase by the amount of the entangled pairs. We explore the real-time evolution by employing analytic continuation, specifically $a \rightarrow \delta + it$. If we consider δ as the UV cutoff, the time evolution of the Rényi entropy for the superposition state $|\xi(k)\rangle$ can be understood using a quasiparticle picture. In the region $x_1 < t < x_2$, we find that $\eta \rightarrow 1$ and $\overline{\eta} \rightarrow 0$, where η and $\overline{\eta}$ are functions dependent on *a* and the endpoints x_1, x_2 of *A*. By the quasiparticle picture of local excitation [51] the Rényi entropy for the superposition state $|\xi(k)\rangle$ takes the form

the subsystem $A(x_1 < t < x_2)$ the entanglement between A

$$\Delta S^{(n)}(\rho_A(k)) = \frac{1}{1-n} \log \left[\frac{|\alpha_k|^{2n} + |\beta_k|^{2n}}{(|\alpha_k|^2 + |\beta_k|^2)^n} \right].$$
(77)

By using the sum rule, we obtain the pseudo-Rényi entropy under the same condition

$$e^{(1-n)\Delta S^{(n)}(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi})} = \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}kn} \frac{e^{(1-n)\Delta S^{(n)}(\rho_{A}(k))}}{[\mathcal{N}(e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k})]^{2n} \langle \phi | \psi \rangle^{n}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2n+1)(1+e^{i\theta})^{n}}$$
$$\times \sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}kn} (|\alpha_{k}|^{2n} + |\beta_{k}|^{2n})$$
$$= \frac{1+e^{in\theta}}{(1+e^{i\theta})^{n}}.$$
(78)

where in the second step we use the relation

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{2n+1}k})^{2n}\langle\phi|\psi\rangle^n = (|a_k|^2 + |\beta_k|^2)^{-n}(1+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta})^n.$$

We can predict that the pseudo-Rényi entropy is given by

$$\Delta S^{(n)}(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi}) = \frac{1}{1-n} \log \frac{1 + e^{in\theta}}{(1 + e^{i\theta})^{n}}.$$
 (79)

Remarkably, this prediction is consistent with the results obtained directly from calculations using the replica method. Furthermore, the aforementioned outcomes also align with the quasiparticle picture's explanation of the time evolution of the transition matrix.

Note that in [35] the authors consider the time evolution of a superposition state and find the pseudo-Rényi entropy is associated with Rényi entropy in the later time limit. One could show the results in [35] can be derived by using the sum rule (12) and quasiparticle picture [51,56].

2. Quasiparticle excited states in spin chain

Consider two distinct single-quasiparticle excited states denoted as $|k_1\rangle$ and $|k_2\rangle$ in spin chain. These states are orthogonal and pertain to a chain comprising *L* free bosons or fermions. The system is divided into subsystem $A = [1, \ell]$ and its complementary $B = [\ell + 1, L]$. The two states are defined by acting the mode operator $b_k = b_{A,k} + b_{B,k}$ on ground state

$$|k\rangle = b_{A,k}^{\dagger}|G\rangle + b_{B,k}^{\dagger}|G\rangle, \qquad (80)$$

where $b_{A,k}$, $b_{B,k}$ are operators located in A and B. It is shown in [57,58] the Rényi entropy has a universal picture, which can be explained as the probability of the quasiparticle located in A is given by $\langle G|b_{A,k}b_{A,k}^{\dagger}|G\rangle = x := \frac{\ell}{L}$. For the superposition state

$$\begin{split} |\xi(\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}\phi})\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|k_1\rangle + \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}\phi}|k_2\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [(b_{A,k_1}^{\dagger} + e^{\mathbf{i}\phi}b_{A,k_2}^{\dagger})|G\rangle + (b_{B,k_1}^{\dagger} + e^{\mathbf{i}\phi}b_{B,k_2}^{\dagger})|G\rangle]. \end{split}$$

By similar argument the probability of the quasiparticle is located in *A* is given by

$$p_{A,\xi} \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \langle G | (b_{A,k_1} + e^{-i\phi} b_{A,k_2}) (b_{A,k_1}^{\dagger} + e^{i\phi} b_{A,k_2}^{\dagger}) | G \rangle$$

= $x + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_{21} e^{-i\phi} + \alpha_{12} e^{i\phi}),$ (81)

where

$$\alpha_{12} = e^{-\frac{\pi i k_{12}(\ell+1)}{L}} \frac{\sin \frac{\pi k_{12}\ell}{L}}{L \sin \frac{\pi k_{12}\ell}{L}}, \qquad k_{12} = k_1 - k_2.$$
(82)

By this picture, the Rényi entropy takes the form

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}\rho_{A,\xi(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi})}^{n} = p_{A,\xi}^{n} + (1 - p_{A,\xi})^{n}.$$
(83)

Using the sum rule (12) we can derive

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{k_{2}|k_{1}})^{n} = [1 + (-)^{n}]\alpha_{12}^{n}.$$
(84)

This is consistent with the direct calculation.

VIII. TENTATIVE SUM RULE FOR PSEUDOENTROPY

For two nonorthogonal states $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$, the pseudoentropy can be obtained by using

$$\lim_{n \to 1} S^{(n)}(\mathcal{T}^{\psi|\phi}_A) = -\partial_n \operatorname{tr}_A(\mathcal{T}^{\psi|\phi}_A)^n|_{n \to 1}.$$
 (85)

We want to expand the $S^{(n)}(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi})$ and $S^{(n)}(\rho_A(k))$ in the sum rule near n = 1.

The sum rule can be written as

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi})^{n} = \frac{1}{(2n+1)\langle\phi|\psi\rangle^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{\frac{-2\pi i}{2n+1}kn} \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{A}\rho_{A}(k)^{n}}{\mathcal{N}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k})^{2n}}.$$

We expand $\operatorname{tr}_A(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi})^n$ near $n \sim 1$ as

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi})^{n} \simeq 1 + (n-1)\partial_{n}\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi})^{n}|_{n=1} + O(n-1)^{2}.$$
(86)

Similarly, for $tr_A \rho_A(k)^n$ we have

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}\rho_{A}(k)^{n} = 1 + (n-1)\partial_{n}\operatorname{tr}_{A}\rho_{A}(k)^{n}|_{n=1} + O(n-1)^{2}.$$
(87)

Using

$$\frac{1}{(2n+1)\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}kn} \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k})^{2n}} = 1, \quad (88)$$

we have

$$-\partial_{n} \mathrm{tr}_{A} \rho_{A}(k)^{n}|_{n=1} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{2n+1}kn}}{(2n+1)\langle \phi | \psi \rangle^{n}} \frac{-\partial_{n} \mathrm{tr}_{A} \rho_{A}(k)^{n}|_{n=1}}{\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{2n+1}k})^{2n}}.$$
(89)

Taking the limit $n \to 1$ on both side of the above equation, we get

$$S(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi}) = \lim_{n \to 1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}kn}}{3\langle \phi|\psi\rangle} \frac{S(\rho_{A}(k))|_{n=1}}{\mathcal{N}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k})^{2n}}.$$
 (90)

The reduced density matrix $\rho_A(k)$ depends on *n*. Generally, we have

$$\partial_{n} \operatorname{tr}_{A} \rho_{A}(k)^{n} = \partial_{n} \sum_{i} [\lambda_{i}(n)]^{n}$$

=
$$\sum_{i} \{ [\lambda_{i}(n)]^{n} \log \lambda_{i}(n) + n \lambda_{i}^{n-1} \partial_{n} \lambda_{i}(n) \}, (91)$$

where $\lambda_i(n)$ denote the eigenvalues of $\rho_A(k)$. Taking n = 1and using the fact that $\sum_i \lambda_i(n) = 1$, we get the second term $\sum_i \lambda_i^{n-1} \partial_n \lambda_i(n)|_{n=1} = 0$.

If one could take the limit first, we would have

$$S(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi}) = \sum_{k=0}^{2} \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi i k}{3}k}}{3\langle \phi|\psi\rangle} \frac{S(\rho_{A}(k))|_{n=1}}{[\mathcal{N}(e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{3}})]^{2}}.$$
 (92)

But the sum rule (92) seems to be not true for the general case.

We consider the perturbation states. The pseudoentropy in this example can be evaluated by $S(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi}) = -\partial_n \operatorname{tr}_A(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi})^n|_{n=1}$ and obtain

$$S(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi}) = S(\rho_{A}^{\psi}) + \epsilon^{*} \langle \psi' | [K_{A}^{\psi} - S(\rho_{A}^{\psi})] | \psi \rangle, \quad (93)$$

which is the first law like relation for the pseudoentropy. The entanglement entropy of the superposition state is

$$S(\rho_A(k)) = S(\rho_A^{\psi}) + \frac{\epsilon^*}{1 + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}} \langle \psi' | [K_A^{\psi} - S(\rho_A^{\psi})] | \psi \rangle$$

+
$$\frac{\epsilon}{1 + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}} \langle \psi | [K_A^{\psi} - S(\rho_A^{\psi})] | \psi' \rangle.$$
(94)

Taking the above expression into the right-hand side (rhs) of (92) we have

$$\frac{1}{3}\sum_{k=0}^{2} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}} |1 + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}|^2 \left[S(\rho_A^{\psi}) + \frac{\epsilon}{1 + e^{\frac{2i\pi k}{3}}} \langle \psi | K_A^{\psi} | \psi' \rangle + \frac{\epsilon^*}{1 + e^{-\frac{2i\pi k}{3}}} \langle \psi' | [K_A^{\psi} - S(\rho_A^{\psi})] | \psi \rangle + \frac{\epsilon^* \langle \psi' | \psi \rangle S(\rho_A^{\psi})}{1 + e^{\frac{2i\pi k}{3}}} \right]$$
$$= S(\rho_A^{\psi}) + \epsilon^* \langle \psi' | [K_A^{\psi} - S(\rho_A^{\psi})] | \psi \rangle.$$
(95)

Therefore, the sum rule (92) is available for the perturbation states to the leading order of ϵ . However, we do not expect it is still true to the next order.

We consider the two qubits system as an example to show the sum rule for pseudoentropy (92) is not correct beyond the leading order of perturbation. For simplicity, we consider the state

$$\begin{split} |\phi\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle), \\ |\psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle + e^{i\theta} |11\rangle), \end{split} \tag{96}$$

where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. The pseudoentropy is given by

$$S(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi}) = \frac{1}{2}\theta\tan\frac{\theta}{2} + \log\left(2\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right).$$
(97)

For the superposition state $|\xi(k)\rangle$ the entanglement entropy is

$$S(\rho_A(k)) = -\lambda_1 \log \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \log \lambda_2, \qquad (98)$$

where

$$\lambda_{1} = 2[\mathcal{N}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k})]^{2}\cos^{2}\left(\frac{\pi k}{3}\right),$$

$$\lambda_{2} = 2[\mathcal{N}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k})]^{2}\cos^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{\pi k}{3}\right),$$

$$\mathcal{N}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2n+1}k})]^{2} = \frac{1}{2[\cos^{2}(\frac{\pi k}{3}) + \cos^{2}(\frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{\pi k}{3})]}.$$
(99)

We define the function

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\theta) = \frac{1}{3\langle \phi | \psi \rangle} \sum_{k=0}^{2} e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{3}} \frac{S(\rho_A(k))|_{n=1}}{[\mathcal{N}(e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{3}})]^2}.$$
 (100)

One could check $\tilde{S}(\theta)$ is different from the pseudoentropy (97) for arbitrary θ . In fact for small $\theta \ll 1$ we have the expansion

$$S(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi}) = \log(2) + \frac{\theta^{2}}{8} + \frac{\theta^{4}}{64} + O(\theta^{6}),$$

$$\tilde{S}(\theta) = \log(2) + \frac{\theta^{2}}{8} + \frac{\theta^{4}}{192} - \frac{\mathrm{i}\theta^{5}}{64} + O(\theta^{6}).$$
(101)

We show $\tilde{S}(\theta)$ and $S(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi})$ as function of θ in Fig. 1.

In summary, the sum rule formula for pseudoentropy (92) is true to the leading order of the perturbation



FIG. 1. The real and imaginary parts of the function $\tilde{S}(\theta)$ and pseudoentropy $S(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi})$ as a function of θ . In the region $\theta \ll 1$, $S(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi}) \approx \tilde{S}(\theta)$, which is consistent with the result that the pseudoentropy sum rule (92) is available in the leading order of the perturbation.

parameter ϵ . However, it cannot be used beyond the leading order of ϵ . One possible explanation for the above conclusion is that the pseudoentropy and entanglement entropy both satisfy first-law like relation at the leading order of ϵ , which are associated with the expectation value of the modular Hamiltonian. Therefore, we conclude that (92) can only be used if the distance between the two states $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ is small. It would be interesting to find the sum rule for pseudoentropy for general cases.

IX. ALTERNATIVE FORMS OF THE SUM RULE

For the one-point function $\langle \phi | \mathcal{A} | \psi \rangle$, we can also construct the sum rule by the following way. Let us write down the formula

$$\langle \phi | \mathcal{A} | \psi \rangle = \sum_{c \in \{1,-1,\mathbf{i},-\mathbf{i}\}} a_{(c)} \mathcal{A}_{(c)},$$

where $a_{(c)} = 2c + \langle \phi | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \phi \rangle$ and

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{(c)} &\coloneqq \langle \xi_c | \mathcal{A} | \xi_c \rangle \\ | \xi_c \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + c \langle \phi | \psi \rangle + c^* \langle \psi | \phi \rangle + |c|^2}} (|\phi\rangle + c |\psi\rangle). \end{split}$$

Similar formula has been used in [59] to calculate the offdiagonal elements of the modular Hamiltonian.

Now consider the other form of sum rule for pseudo-Rényi entropy. Consider $\operatorname{tr}_A(\mathcal{T}_A^{\psi|\phi})^2$ as an example. For simplicity, let $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle = 0$. One could choose $\tilde{S} = \{1, i, -1, -i, e^{i\theta}\}$, where θ is some constant. Define the superposition state $|\xi_c\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|c|^2}}(|\phi\rangle + c|\psi\rangle)$. The sum rule can be expressed as

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi})^{2} = \sum_{c \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}} a_{(c)} \operatorname{tr}_{A}(\rho_{A,c})^{2}, \qquad (102)$$

where $\rho_{A,c} \coloneqq \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{A}} |\xi_c\rangle \langle \xi_c |, a_c$ are given by

$$a_{(1)} = \frac{1}{1 - e^{i\theta}}, \quad a_{(i)} = -\frac{1}{1 + ie^{i\theta}}, \quad a_{(-1)} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{i\theta}},$$
$$a_{(-i)} = -\frac{1}{1 - ie^{i\theta}}, \quad a_{(e^{i\theta})} = -\frac{4e^{2i\theta}}{1 - e^{4i\theta}}.$$
(103)

Another form is by taking the set $\tilde{S}' = \{1, e^{i\theta}, e^{2i\theta}, e^{3i\theta}, e^{4i\theta}\}$. The superposition state is $|\xi_c\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|c|^2}}(|\phi\rangle + c|\psi\rangle)$. We have the relation

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\mathcal{T}_{A}^{\psi|\phi})^{2} = \sum_{c \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}'} a_{(c)} \operatorname{tr}_{A}(\rho_{A,c})^{2}, \qquad (104)$$

where

$$\begin{split} a_{(1)} &= \frac{4}{(1 - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta})^4 (1 + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta})^2 (1 + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta} + 2\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\theta} + \mathrm{e}^{3\mathrm{i}\theta} + \mathrm{e}^{4\mathrm{i}\theta})},\\ a_{(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta})} &= -\frac{4\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\theta}}{(1 - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta})^4 (1 + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta}) (1 + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta} + \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\theta})},\\ a_{(\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\theta})} &= \frac{4\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\theta}}{(1 - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta})^4 (1 + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta})^2},\\ a_{(\mathrm{e}^{3\mathrm{i}\theta})} &= -\frac{4}{(1 - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta})^4 (1 + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta}) (1 + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta} + \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\theta})},\\ a_{(\mathrm{e}^{4\mathrm{i}\theta})} &= \frac{4\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\theta}}{(1 - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta})^4 (1 + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta})^2 (1 + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta} + 2\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\theta} + \mathrm{e}^{3\mathrm{i}\theta} + \mathrm{e}^{4\mathrm{i}\theta})}. \end{split}$$

One could construct other forms of sum rule by choosing different set S. But these solutions are only for some special cases.

X. GENERALIZED RÉNYI ENTROPY AS DERIVATIVES OF RÉNYI ENTROPY

In [8] the authors introduce the so-called the generalized Rényi entropy, akin to the pseudo-Rényi entropy. In this section, we aim to explore the potential to derive the generalized Rényi entropy through derivatives of the Rényi entropy. In a *d*-dimensional Hilbert space, we define the mixed state reduced density matrix

$$\rho_A(\{c_i\}) = \sum_{i=1}^d c_i \rho_{A,i},$$
(105)

with the eigenstate reduced density matrices

$$\rho_{A,i} = \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{A}}|i\rangle\langle i|. \tag{106}$$

Here the reduced density matrices $\rho_A(\{c_i\})$ and all $\rho_{A,i}$ are not necessarily well normalized. For $\rho_A(\{c_i\})$ to be a welldefined (unnormalized) reduced density matrix, we require $c_i \ge 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{d} c_i > 0$. From

$$[\rho_{A}(\{c_{i}\})]^{n} = \sum_{\{0 \le r_{i} \le n\}}^{d} \left\{ \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} c_{i}^{r_{i}}\right) \times \left[\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_{A,i}^{r_{i}}\right) + \cdots \right]_{\frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{d} (r_{i}!)}} \right\}, \quad (107)$$

for a set $\{r_i\}$ with $0 \le r_i \le n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^d r_i = n$, we get

$$\left[\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_{A,i}^{r_{i}}\right) + \cdots\right]_{\frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{d} (r_{i}!)}} = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial_{c_{i}}^{r_{i}}}{r_{i}!}\right] [\rho_{A}(\{c_{i}\})]^{n}.$$
 (108)

In the above two equations we have used "…" to denote the permutation terms, and subscript $\frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{d} (r_i!)}$ denotes the total number of terms. In this way, we the sum of proper products of the reduced density matrices as the derivatives of the power of one reduced density matrix.

More generally, we define the mixed state reduced density matrix

$$\rho_A(\{c_{i,i'}\}) = \sum_{i,i'} c_{i,i'} \rho_{A,i,i'}, \qquad (109)$$

with the reduced transition matrices

$$\rho_{A,i,i'} = \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{A}}|i\rangle\langle i'|. \tag{110}$$

For $\rho_A(\{c_{i,i'}\})$ to be a well-defined (unnormalized) reduced density matrix, we require that it is Hermitian and positive definite. Especially, we require $c_{i,i'}^* = c_{i',i}, c_{i,i} \ge 0$ and $\sum_i c_{i,i} > 0$. From

$$[\rho_{A}(\{c_{i,i'}\})]^{n} = \sum_{\{0 \le r_{i,i'} \le n\}}^{\sum_{i,i'} = n} \left\{ \left(\prod_{i,i'} c_{i,i'}^{r_{i,i'}}\right) \times \left[\left(\prod_{i,i'} \rho_{A,i,i'}^{r_{i,i'}}\right) + \cdots \right]_{\frac{n!}{\prod_{i,i'} (r_{i,i'}!)}} \right\}, \quad (111)$$

we get the sum of proper products of the reduced transition matrices written as the derivatives of the power of one reduced density matrix

$$\left[\left(\prod_{i,i'}\rho_{A,i,i'}^{r_{i,i'}}\right) + \cdots\right]_{\frac{n!}{\prod_{i,i'}(r_{i,i'}!)}} = \prod_{i,i'}\frac{\partial_{c_{i,i'}}^{r_{i,i'}}}{r_{i,i'}!}[\rho_A(\{c_{i,i'}\})]^n.$$
(112)

XI. DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have introduced a novel operator sum rule that involves the reduced transition matrix $\mathcal{T}^{\psi|\phi}$ and the density matrix of the superposition state $|\xi(c)\rangle$. Utilizing this sum rule, we find the off-diagonal matrix elements can be associated with the diagonal matrix elements (8)(9). We also establish a connection between pseudo-Rényi entropy and Rényi entropy (12), both of which have significant physical implications.

Currently, the physical interpretation of the non-Hermitian transition matrix and pseudo-Rényi entropy remains unclear, despite some intriguing findings in recent research. Our sum rule can be viewed as a bridge linking these new concepts to established ones. We demonstrate the significance of the sum rules and their potential applications across various physics domains, including understanding the gravity dual of the non-Hermitian transition matrix and the quasi-particle interpretation of pseudo-Rényi entropy. It is worthwhile to further explore the physics applications of the sum rule.

Constructing a sum rule for pseudoentropy may be particularly intriguing, as the pseudoentropy is also expected to satisfy the HRT formula within the framework of AdS/CFT. The corresponding sum rule could potentially offer insightful geometric interpretations. The sum rule for the pseudo-Rényi entropy presented in this paper does not exhibit a smooth limit to the pseudoentropy. Thus, a sum rule for the pseudoentropy is still lacking. However, in principle, there should be various forms of the sum rule achievable by selecting the set S. It is possible to construct one that could have a smooth limit for the pseudoentropy.

Moreover, we anticipate that our findings can be extended to the transition matrix for mixed states [7,25] and the generalized Rényi entropy [8]. Notably, a recent work [60] introduced a new quantity called SVD entanglement entropy, utilizing the transition matrix, which exhibits distinct properties compared to pseudoentropy. It would be fascinating to investigate whether the SVD entanglement entropy also possesses a sum rule similar to the pseudo-Rényi entropy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Song He and Yu-Xuan Zhang for helpful discussions. W.Z.G. is supposed by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12005070 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under Grants No. 2020kfyXJJS041. J. Z. acknowledges the support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) through Grant No. 12205217.

- W. H. Zurek, Pointer basis of quantum apparatus: Into what mixture does the wave packet collapse?, Phys. Rev. D 24, 1516 (1981).
- [2] W. H. Zurek, Environment induced superselection rules, Phys. Rev. D 26, 1862 (1982).
- [3] J. M. Deutsch, Quantum statistical mechanics in a closed system, Phys. Rev. A 43, 2046 (1991).
- [4] M. Srednicki, Chaos and quantum thermalization, Phys. Rev. E 50, 888 (1994).
- [5] Y. Aharonov, D. Z. Albert, and L. Vaidman, How the result of a measurement of a component of the spin of a spin-1/2 particle can turn out to be 100, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 1351 (1988).
- [6] J. Dressel, M. Malik, F. M. Miatto, A. N. Jordan, and R. W. Boyd, Colloquium: Understanding quantum weak values: Basics and applications, Rev. Mod. Phys. 86, 307 (2014).
- [7] Y. Nakata, T. Takayanagi, Y. Taki, K. Tamaoka, and Z. Wei, New holographic generalization of entanglement entropy, Phys. Rev. D 103, 026005 (2021).
- [8] S. Murciano, P. Calabrese, and R. M. Konik, Generalized entanglement entropies in two-dimensional conformal field theory, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2022) 152.
- [9] L. Amico, R. Fazio, A. Osterloh, and V. Vedral, Entanglement in many-body systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 517 (2008).
- [10] J. Eisert, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio, Area laws for the entanglement entropy—a review, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 277 (2010).
- [11] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, Entanglement entropy and conformal field theory, J. Phys. A 42, 504005 (2009).
- [12] M. Rangamani and T. Takayanagi, Holographic entanglement entropy, Lect. Notes Phys. 931, 1 (2017).
- [13] J. M. Maldacena, The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 231 (1998) Int. J. Theor. Phys. 38, 1113 (1999).
- [14] S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polyakov, Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory, Phys. Lett. B 428, 105 (1998).
- [15] E. Witten, Anti-de Sitter space and holography, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 253 (1998).
- [16] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from the anti-de Sitter space/conformal field theory correspondence, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 181602 (2006).
- [17] V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani, and T. Takayanagi, A covariant holographic entanglement entropy proposal, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2007) 062.
- [18] M. Van Raamsdonk, Building up spacetime with quantum entanglement, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 42, 2323 (2010) Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 19, 2429 (2010).
- [19] A. Almheiri, X. Dong, and D. Harlow, Bulk locality and quantum error correction in AdS/CFT, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2015) 163.
- [20] G. Penington, Entanglement wedge reconstruction and the information paradox, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2020) 002.
- [21] A. Almheiri, N. Engelhardt, D. Marolf, and H. Maxfield, The entropy of bulk quantum fields and the entanglement wedge of an evaporating black hole, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2019) 063.

- [22] A. Mollabashi, N. Shiba, T. Takayanagi, K. Tamaoka, and Z. Wei, Pseudo entropy in free quantum field theories, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 081601 (2021).
- [23] A. Kitaev and J. Preskill, Topological entanglement entropy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 110404 (2006).
- [24] M. Levin and X.-G. Wen, Detecting topological order in a ground state wave function, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 110405 (2006).
- [25] W.-z. Guo, S. He, and Y.-X. Zhang, Constructible reality condition of pseudo entropy via pseudo-Hermiticity, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2023) 021.
- [26] Y. Ashida, Z. Gong, and M. Ueda, Non-Hermitian physics, Adv. Phys. 69, 249 (2021).
- [27] C. M. Bender, Making sense of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, Rep. Prog. Phys. **70**, 947 (2007).
- [28] A. Mostafazadeh, Pseudo-Hermitian representation of quantum mechanics, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 07, 1191 (2010).
- [29] K. Doi, J. Harper, A. Mollabashi, T. Takayanagi, and Y. Taki, Pseudoentropy in dS/CFT and timelike entanglement entropy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 031601 (2023).
- [30] A. Mollabashi, N. Shiba, T. Takayanagi, K. Tamaoka, and Z. Wei, Aspects of pseudoentropy in field theories, Phys. Rev. Res. 3, 033254 (2021).
- [31] T. Nishioka, T. Takayanagi, and Y. Taki, Topological pseudo entropy, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2021) 015.
- [32] K. Goto, M. Nozaki, and K. Tamaoka, Subregion spectrum form factor via pseudoentropy, Phys. Rev. D 104, L121902 (2021).
- [33] M. Miyaji, Island for gravitationally prepared state and pseudo entanglement wedge, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2021) 013.
- [34] I. Akal, T. Kawamoto, S.-M. Ruan, T. Takayanagi, and Z. Wei, Page curve under final state projection, Phys. Rev. D 105, 126026 (2022).
- [35] W.-z. Guo, S. He, and Y.-X. Zhang, On the real-time evolution of pseudo-entropy in 2d CFTs, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2022) 094.
- [36] J. Mukherjee, Pseudo Entropy in U(1) gauge theory, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2022) 016.
- [37] Y. Ishiyama, R. Kojima, S. Matsui, and K. Tamaoka, Notes on pseudo entropy amplification, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2022, 093B10 (2022).
- [38] K. Narayan, de Sitter space, extremal surfaces, and time entanglement, Phys. Rev. D **107**, 126004 (2023).
- [39] Z. Li, Z.-Q. Xiao, and R.-Q. Yang, On holographic time-like entanglement entropy, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2023) 004.
- [40] S. He, J. Yang, Y.-X. Zhang, and Z.-X. Zhao, Pseudoentropy for descendant operators in two-dimensional conformal field theories, Phys. Rev. D 109, 025014 (2024).
- [41] K. Doi, J. Harper, A. Mollabashi, T. Takayanagi, and Y. Taki, Timelike entanglement entropy, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2023) 052.
- [42] X. Jiang, P. Wang, H. Wu, and H. Yang, Timelike entanglement entropy and $T\bar{T}$ deformation, Phys. Rev. D 108, 046004 (2023).
- [43] K. Narayan and H. K. Saini, Notes on time entanglement and pseudo-entropy, arXiv:2303.01307.

- [44] S. He, J. Yang, Y.-X. Zhang, and Z.-X. Zhao, Pseudo entropy of primary operators in $T\bar{T}/J\bar{T}$ -deformed CFTs, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2023) 025.
- [45] P. Calabrese and J. L. Cardy, Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory, J. Stat. Mech. (2004) P06002.
- [46] J. L. Cardy, O. A. Castro-Alvaredo, and B. Doyon, Form factors of branch-point twist fields in quantum integrable models and entanglement entropy, J. Stat. Phys. 130, 129 (2008).
- [47] M. Headrick, Entanglement Rényi entropies in holographic theories, Phys. Rev. D 82, 126010 (2010).
- [48] P. Calabrese, J. Cardy, and E. Tonni, Entanglement entropy of two disjoint intervals in conformal field theory II, J. Stat. Mech. (2011) P01021.
- [49] M. A. Rajabpour and F. Gliozzi, Entanglement entropy of two disjoint intervals from fusion algebra of twist fields, J. Stat. Mech. (2012) P02016.
- [50] B. Chen and J.-j. Zhang, On short interval expansion of Rényi entropy, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2013) 164.
- [51] S. He, T. Numasawa, T. Takayanagi, and K. Watanabe, Quantum dimension as entanglement entropy in two dimensional conformal field theories, Phys. Rev. D 90, 041701 (2014).

- [52] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri, and Y. Oz, Large N field theories, string theory and gravity, Phys. Rep. **323**, 183 (2000).
- [53] D. Harlow, TASI lectures on the emergence of bulk physics in AdS/CFT, Proc. Sci., TASI2017 (2018) 002 [arXiv:1802. 01040].
- [54] S. El-Showk and K. Papadodimas, Emergent spacetime and holographic CFTs, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2012) 106.
- [55] X. Dong, The gravity dual of Rényi entropy, Nat. Commun.7, 12472 (2016).
- [56] W.-Z. Guo, S. He, and Z.-X. Luo, Entanglement entropy in (1 + 1)D CFTs with multiple local excitations, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2018) 154.
- [57] O. A. Castro-Alvaredo, C. De Fazio, B. Doyon, and I. M. Szécsényi, Entanglement content of quasiparticle excitations, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 170602 (2018).
- [58] J. Zhang and M. A. Rajabpour, Universal Rényi entanglement entropy of quasiparticle excitations, Europhys. Lett. 135, 60001 (2021).
- [59] N. Lashkari, Modular Hamiltonian for excited states in conformal field theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 041601 (2016).
- [60] A. J. Parzygnat, T. Takayanagi, Y. Taki, and Z. Wei, SVD entanglement entropy, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2023) 123.