

Erratum: Interaction of symmetric higher-spin gauge fields [Phys. Rev. D **108**, 086031 (2023)]

V. E. Didenko  and A. V. Korybut

 (Received 27 February 2024; published 14 March 2024)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.109.069901](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.069901)

The following items detail the corrections for the paper:
In (3.30) the sign of $i\tau B_n t$ contribution should be positive:

$$W^{(n)} = (zt)^n \int_0^1 d\tau \tau^{n-1} (1-\tau) \int_{\mathcal{D}} e^{-i(1-\tau)r_n y t + i\tau z(y+B_n) + i\tau r_n B_n t + i c_n}, \quad (3.30)$$

The text that starts with the paragraph after (3.33) and ends at (3.34) should be replaced with “with the integration domain \mathcal{D} being the product of two constrained hypersimplices”.

After (3.35) the following line—and the formula should be added: “and the constraint has a shoelace form”

$$\lambda_{i+1} \nu_i - \lambda_i \nu_{i+1} \geq 0, \quad i = 1 \dots n-1. \quad (3.36)$$

Equation (A9) should be corrected as follows:

$$e^{i\tau z(y+B) + i(1-\tau)yA - i\tau BA} = e^{iyA} \otimes e^{i\tau z(y+B)}. \quad (A9)$$

By the end of Appendix B after the last sentence the following text—and equation—should be added: “Now, let us show that the shoelace constraint (3.36) holds at the next order. To see this we first note, that (3.36) is equivalent to”

$$\lambda_i \nu_j - \lambda_j \nu_i \geq 0, \quad i > j. \quad (B25)$$

From (B15), (B16), (B17), and (B18) it follows that if (B25) is true, then

$$\lambda'_i \nu'_j - \nu'_i \lambda'_j \geq 0, \quad i' > j' \quad (B26)$$

and, therefore, (3.36) remains valid at order $n+1$.