Self-dual fields on self-dual backgrounds and the double copy

Graham R. Brown[®],^{*} Joshua Gowdy[®],[†] and Bill Spence[‡]

Centre for Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London El 4NS, United Kingdom

(Received 27 November 2023; accepted 20 December 2023; published 19 January 2024)

We explore the double copy for self-dual gauge and gravitational fields on self-dual background spacetimes. We consider backgrounds associated with solutions of the second Plebanski equation and describe results with different gauge-fixing conditions. Finally we discuss the kinematic and *w*-algebras and the double copy, identifying modified Poisson structures and kinematic structure constants in the presence of the self-dual background. The self-dual plane wave and Eguchi-Hanson spacetimes are studied as examples and their respective *w*-algebras derived.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.109.026009

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of self-dual gauge and gravitational fields has provided a fertile source of ideas and results in physics and mathematics. Work some time ago showed that selfdual theories in a light-cone gauge could be described by equations for scalar fields [1–9] corresponding to the positive helicity sectors of Yang-Mills Theory and gravity. The self-dual sector allows for a simplified study of many features of the full theories. An area of recent interest is the investigation of self-dual fields in order to learn more about the structure of the double $copy^{1}$ and kinematic algebras (see Refs. [12-33] for recent more general work on the double copy and Color-Kinematics Duality). In [34] it was shown that self-dual Yang-Mills Theory and gravity have manifest color-kinematics duality, and the kinematic algebra was identified as that of area-preserving diffeomorphisms of the plane. In the context of celestial holography [35-41] this algebra was shown to appear through the soft and collinear limit of positive helicity gravitons as (the wedge subalgebra of) $w_{1+\infty}$ [42]. This link between kinematic algebras and operator product expansions (OPEs) in celestial holography [43-56] was recently discussed in detail in [57].

A natural generalization of this is to study self-duality conditions on nonflat spacetimes. Some progress has been made in understanding how the double copy can be applied to curved backgrounds [58–66], with the case of AdS receiving particular attention [67–82].

In this paper we study self-duality for the case of *self*dual spacetime backgrounds (see Refs. [65,66,83-85] for some recent work on this topic). We work with the spacetime metrics defined by solutions of the second Plebanski equation, and study the conditions for the existence of self-dual Yang-Mills Theory fields, and self-dual metric variations, on these backgrounds. In the flat space case, dealt with in Sec. II, there are two formulations of the self-duality conditions which are related by a simple relabeling of coordinates. A general self-dual background (Yang-Mills Theory backgrounds are dealt with in Sec. III and gravity backgrounds in Sec. IV) does not have this symmetry, and we find that these two formulations generalize quite differently. The first class of solutions in gravity backgrounds, which we call a "matched" gauge, can be seen as generalizing the flat space solution to curved self-dual backgrounds by linearly perturbing the Plebanski scalar. We also find a second class of solutions, which we call a "flipped" gauge, which requires a Kerr-Schild condition on the background and leads to a modified Poisson structure coming from the Plebanski equation in this gauge. We then describe aspects of the double copy, and kinematic and w-algebras revealed by these results.

This general formulation is discussed in detail in two examples: the self-dual plane wave spacetime in Sec. V and the Eguchi-Hanson (EH) metric in Sec. VI. These case studies connect with some of the results developed recently in twistor space in [84] and [85]. For the self-dual plane wave background we find that a natural definition of a "plane wavelike" solution to the wave/Plebanski equation

graham.brown@qmul.ac.uk

[†]j.k.gowdy@qmul.ac.uk

^{*}w.j.spence@qmul.ac.uk

¹The classical double copy for solutions of the equations of motion was first explored in [10,11].

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

in that spacetime leads to a kinematic algebra with modified structure constants when compared to the flat background. Nevertheless these structure constants match the flat-space case in the holomorphic collinear limit of the two plane wave solutions and so generate the standard flat-space *w*-algebra. The soft generators generating the algebra are, however, altered and correspond to the expansion of the particular plane wave solutions adapted to the self-dual plane wave background. The double copy in our formulation replaces the Lie algebra commutators with Poisson brackets and leads to a so-called double bracket in the Plebanski equation. When acting on plane wave solutions, in flipped gauge, we show this procedure replaces color structure constants with those from the kinematic algebra $X_{PW}(k_1, k_2)$ and so gives the expected squaring relation of the single copy, i.e. $X_{PW}(k_1, k_2)^2$.

In the Eguchi-Hanson background, we express the more complicated solutions of the wave equation discussed in [85] in spacetime coordinates. We then show that the Poisson bracket of two of these plane waves gives an expression for the deformed kinematic structure constants $X_{\rm EH}(k_1,k_2)$, which we define in the holomorphic collinear limit. The double bracket of two plane waves is then shown to give the square of this expression, but with additional terms, demonstrating that in the Eguchi-Hanson background the kinematic algebra squaring relations are modified by curvature terms. Since even in the holomorphic collinear limit $X_{\rm EH}(k_1, k_2)$ differs from the flat-space and self-dual plane wave cases, we then expect a completely different "w-algebra" of soft generators. We derive this algebra of soft generators following the same method as in the previous cases, by expanding the plane wave solutions, giving a spacetime realization of the results of [85] coming from twistor space.

II. FLAT BACKGROUND

We start by setting notation and briefly recalling the standard results for self-dual Yang-Mills Theory (YM) and self-dual gravity in a flat background. In this section, we will generally follow the discussion in [34]. The spacetime coordinates are taken to be (u, v, X, Y), with the metric

$$ds^2 = 2du\,dv - 2dXdY.\tag{2.1}$$

For real coordinates, this implies we are using (2, 2) signature. The coordinates (u, v, X, Y) are related to the usual (t, x, y, z) as follows:

$$u = \frac{t+z}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad v = \frac{t-z}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad X = \frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad Y = \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}}$$
 (2.2)

and in terms of the coordinates (t, x, y, z) the metric signature is (+, -, +, -).

A. Self-dual Yang-Mills theory

A gauge field $A_{\mu} = (A_u, A_v, A_X, A_Y)$ on flat space with metric (2.1) is self-dual if its field strength² satisfies

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\sqrt{g}}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma}, \qquad (2.3)$$

where g is the determinant of the metric (2.1). Imposing the gauge-fixing condition $A_v = 0$, the self-duality condition above can be satisfied by setting $A_X = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} A_u &= \phi_X, \\ A_Y &= \phi_v, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

for a (Lie algebra valued) function $\phi(u, v, X, Y)$ satisfying the self-dual Yang-Mills Theory equation

$$\Box \phi - 2[\phi_v, \phi_X] = 0, \qquad (2.5)$$

where the scalar Laplacian is $\Box = 2(\partial_u \partial_v - \partial_X \partial_Y)$. In what follows, we will be using a notation where subscripts on scalar fields such as ϕ signify partial derivatives. For example, $\phi_v = \partial_v \phi, \phi_{Xv} = \partial_X \partial_v \phi$; this should not be confused with the use of subscripts to denote components of covectors, for example $k_\mu = (k_u, k_v, k_X, k_Y)$. If we introduce the Poisson bracket

$$\{f,g\} = \partial_v f \partial_X g - \partial_X f \partial_v g, \qquad (2.6)$$

then the self-dual Yang-Mills Theory equation becomes

$$\Box \phi - [\{\phi, \phi\}] = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

where we have used a notation suggestive of colorkinematics duality, as used in [80],

$$[\{f,g\}] := [f_v,g_X] - [f_X,g_v].$$
(2.8)

For the covector $k_{\mu} = (k_u, k_v, k_X, k_Y)$ and coordinate vector $x^{\mu} = (u, v, X, Y)$, with $k \cdot x \coloneqq k_{\mu} x^{\mu}$, the plane wave $e^{ik \cdot x}$ satisfies

$$\Box e^{ik \cdot x} = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

if k_{μ} is a null vector. In momentum space, the cubic coupling arising from the self-dual YM equation (2.7) involves the kinematic structure constants

$$X(k_1, k_2) = k_{1X}k_{2v} - k_{1v}k_{2X}, \qquad (2.10)$$

along with the Lie algebra constants f^{abc} . Explicitly, the bracket (2.8) of two plane waves and Lie algebra generators satisfies [34]

²In our conventions $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} + [A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}].$

$$[\{T^{a}e^{ik_{1}\cdot x}, T^{b}e^{ik_{2}\cdot x}\}] = X(k_{1}, k_{2})f^{abc}T^{c}e^{i(k_{1}+k_{2})\cdot x}.$$
 (2.11)

There is also an alternative gauge-fixing condition $A_u = 0$, for which the self-duality condition can be satisfied by setting $A_Y = 0$ and

$$A_v = \phi_Y,$$

$$A_X = \phi_u, \qquad (2.12)$$

for a function $\phi(u, v, X, Y)$ satisfying the self-dual YM equation (2.5) but with the coordinates $u \leftrightarrow v$ and $Y \leftrightarrow X$ exchanged, that is

$$\Box \phi - 2[\phi_u, \phi_Y] = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

The flat metric is invariant under this exchange and so results obtained in this new gauge are trivially related to the previous gauge by a simple interchange of coordinates. This is not the case when we consider self-dual backgrounds in the sections below, since these backgrounds have no such symmetry, and we will describe the two different gauges separately. We note that the choosing of a gauge is a natural step to highlight the kinematic algebra in our formalism; however, there are other methodologies which avoid explicitly fixing to a particular gauge for example in [86].

B. Self-dual gravity

Now we recall the analogous construction for self-dual gravity, where the metric is taken to be the following variation from the flat metric:

$$ds^{2} = g_{\mu\nu}(\Psi)dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu}$$

= 2dudv - 2dXdY + $\Psi_{XX}du^{2}$
+ $\Psi_{vv}dY^{2}$ + 2 $\Psi_{Xv}dudY$, (2.14)

with some function $\Psi(u, v, X, Y)$. Define the expression

$$Pleb_{0}(\Psi) \coloneqq 2(\Psi_{uv} - \Psi_{XY}) - \Psi_{XX}\Psi_{vv} + (\Psi_{vX})^{2}, \quad (2.15)$$

where the subscript 0 indicates the flat background, and define the operator $\Delta_0(\Psi)$ by the variation of this expression as

$$\Delta_0(\Psi)(\delta\Psi) \coloneqq \delta(\operatorname{Pleb}_0(\Psi)). \tag{2.16}$$

Explicitly

$$\Delta_0(\Psi) = 2(\partial_{uv} - \partial_{XY}) - \Psi_{XX}\partial_{vv} + 2\Psi_{vX}\partial_{vX} - \Psi_{vv}\partial_{XX}.$$
(2.17)

Then the anti-self-dual part of the Weyl tensor is zero except for the component C_{uYuY} (and components related to this by the symmetries of the tensor), and we find

$$C^-_{uYuY} = -\frac{1}{4}\Delta_0(\Psi)\operatorname{Pleb}_0(\Psi).$$
(2.18)

The nonvanishing components of the Ricci tensor are given by

$$R_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\bar{a}} \partial_{\bar{b}} \text{Pleb}_0(\Psi), \qquad (2.19)$$

where a, b = (u, Y) and $\bar{u} = X, \bar{Y} = v$. Thus the metric $g_{\mu\nu}(\Psi)$ given by (2.14) is Ricci flat and has self-dual Weyl tensor if the scalar field Ψ satisfies the gravitational Plebanski equation

$$Pleb_0(\Psi) = 2(\Psi_{uv} - \Psi_{XY}) - \Psi_{XX}\Psi_{vv} + (\Psi_{vX})^2 = 0.$$
(2.20)

Defining the following gravitational bracket $\{\{\cdot, \cdot\}\}$ using (2.6)

$$\{\{f,g\}\} = \frac{1}{2}(\{\partial_v f, \partial_X g\} - \{\partial_X f, \partial_v g\}), \qquad (2.21)$$

the Plebanski equation (2.20) can be written

$$\Box \Psi - \{\{\Psi, \Psi\}\} = 0, \qquad (2.22)$$

revealing the double copy relation [34] $\phi \rightarrow \Psi$, [{ \cdot, \cdot }] \rightarrow {{ \cdot, \cdot }} compared with (2.7). Furthermore, we can consider the gravitational bracket acting on a pair of plane wave solutions in flat space and we find the following double copy structure:

$$\{\{e^{ik_1 \cdot x}, e^{ik_2 \cdot x}\}\} = \frac{1}{2}e^{i(k_1 + k_2) \cdot x}X(k_1, k_2)^2, \qquad (2.23)$$

where the color structure constants in (2.11) have been replaced by additional kinematic ones. Alternatively, from (2.11) one may strip off the color structure and isolate the kinematic algebra as the Poisson bracket of two plane waves

$$\{e^{ik_1 \cdot x}, e^{ik_2 \cdot x}\} = e^{i(k_1 + k_2) \cdot x} X(k_1, k_2).$$
(2.24)

As explained in [57], in the context of celestial holography the appearance of the "left structure constants" $X(k_1, k_2)$ in both YM and gravity implies the chirality of the operator product expansion in both cases. The second "right structure constants," f^{abc} in the YM case and the second copy of $X(k_1, k_2)$ in the gravity case, correspond to the structure constants of the OPEs. The soft expansion of the latter may be explored by noting that the null vector condition $k^2 = 2(k_u k_v - k_X k_Y) = 0$ implies that we may set

$$\frac{k_u}{k_x} = \frac{k_Y}{k_v} = \rho \tag{2.25}$$

for some ρ . Thus we can write

$$k \cdot x = (\rho Y + v)k_v + (\rho u + X)k_X.$$
 (2.26)

The soft limit of the momentum k then corresponds to $(k_v, k_X) \rightarrow 0$ at fixed ρ . Expanding $e^{ik \cdot x}$ in this limit gives

$$e^{ik \cdot x} = \sum_{a,b=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ik_v)^a (ik_X)^b}{a!b!} e_{ab},$$
 (2.27)

where the "soft mode generators" are given by $e_{ab} = (\rho Y + v)^a (\rho u + X)^b$. To make contact with the algebras appearing in celestial holography, we now need to take the collinear limit of the two momentum k_1 , k_2 appearing in the algebra (2.24). To do this we use the holomorphic collinear limit where $(\rho_1 - \rho_2) \rightarrow 0$. This makes k_1 and k_2 collinear since

$$k_1 \cdot k_2 = (\rho_1 - \rho_2) X(k_1, k_2), \qquad (2.28)$$

and we are able to take such a holomorphic collinear limit $(\rho_1 - \rho_2) \rightarrow 0$ without the structure constant $X(k_1, k_2)$ vanishing due to the fact we are in (2, 2) signature. At leading order in the holomorphic collinear limit (corresponding to the first term in the OPE expansion in the celestial holography context) we may set $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho$ and substitute the expansion (2.27) into the kinematic algebra (2.24) to obtain

$$\{e_{a,b}, e_{c,d}\} = (ad - bc)e_{a+c-1,b+d-1}.$$
 (2.29)

Defining the conventional generators $w_m^p = \frac{1}{2}e_{p-1+m,p-1-m}$ we then find the wedge subalgebra of the $w_{1+\infty}$ algebra

$$\{w_m^p, w_n^q\} = (m(q-1) - n(p-1))w_{m+n}^{p+q-2}.$$
 (2.30)

The condition that *a* and *b* are integers greater than or equal to zero translates to the conditions that *p*, *m* are half-integers and satisfy $1 - p \le m \le p - 1$ and $p \ge 1$ (similarly for *q*, *n*). This algebra has been studied in the celestial holography context [42,50,87] where it is generated by the commutation relations of operators inserting soft gravitons.

As in the YM case, there is another gauge-fixing condition related to the above by interchanging $u \leftrightarrow v$ and $Y \leftrightarrow X$, with (trivially equivalent) consequent equations. We reiterate that these different types of gauges will

not be as trivially related once we consider self-dual backgrounds in the next section.

III. GENERAL SELF-DUAL YM BACKGROUNDS

Our first exploration of self-dual perturbations of selfdual backgrounds starts with YM backgrounds in flat space. To begin with we consider a background self-dual gauge field $A(\chi)$ in the gauge (2.4)

$$A(\chi) = (\chi_X, 0, 0, \chi_v), \qquad \Box \chi - [\{\chi, \chi\}] = 0.$$
 (3.1)

Since the gauge field is linear in the scalar χ we can write a self-dual perturbation on this background as

$$A(\chi + \psi) = (\chi_X + \psi_X, 0, 0, \chi_v + \psi_v) = A(\chi) + A(\psi),$$
(3.2)

where $A(\psi)$ is the perturbation. The total gauge field $A(\chi + \psi)$ must then satisfy the self-dual YM equation (2.5)

$$\Box(\chi+\psi) - [\{\chi+\psi,\chi+\psi\}] = \widetilde{\Box}_{\chi}(\psi) - [\{\psi,\psi\}] = 0,$$
(3.3)

where we have used (3.1) and defined a "deformed" scalar Laplacian

$$\widetilde{\Box}_{\chi} = \Box - 2[\{\chi, \cdot\}] = D^{\mu}_{\chi} D_{\chi\mu}, \qquad (3.4)$$

which is simply the scalar Laplacian in the background gauge field $A(\chi)$. The covariant derivative is given by³

$$D^{\mu}_{\chi} \coloneqq \partial^{\mu} + [A^{\mu}(\chi), \cdot], \qquad (3.5)$$

when acting on an adjoint valued field. Equation (3.3) is the analog of (2.5) in a self-dual background YM field.

We will see shortly that the discussion above can be double copied in two ways. First, we can just double copy the background gauge field to obtain equations of motion for self-dual YM on a self-dual gravitational background (Sec. IVA). Second, we can double copy both the background and the perturbation, to obtain self-dual gravity perturbations on a self-dual background (Sec. IVB). In either case, the scalar Laplacian (3.4) will double copy to a familiar object.

IV. GENERAL SELF-DUAL BACKGROUND SPACETIMES

We now turn to generalizing the above results in Sec. II valid for flat backgrounds to the case of self-dual background metrics. This leads us to the two possible double

³This is consistent with our conventions for the field strength since $[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}]\psi = [F_{\mu\nu}, \psi]$ for adjoint valued fields ψ .

copies of the case considered in Sec. III of self-dual YM fields on self-dual YM backgrounds; these are summarized in the diagram in (4.27).

We consider self-dual metrics of the form

$$ds^{2} = g_{\mu\nu}(\Phi)dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu}$$

= 2dudv - 2dXdY + $\Phi_{XX}du^{2}$ + $\Phi_{vv}dY^{2}$ + $2\Phi_{Xv}dudY$
(4.1)

for a scalar function $\Phi(u, v, X, Y)$ satisfying the Plebanksi equation $\text{Pleb}_0(\Phi) = 0$.

Given a covector $k_{\mu} = (k_u, k_v, k_X, k_Y)$ we have

$$k^{\mu}k_{\mu} = 2k_{u}k_{v} - 2k_{X}k_{Y} - k_{v}^{2}\Phi_{XX} - k_{X}^{2}\Phi_{vv} + 2k_{v}k_{X}\Phi_{Xv}$$

= $2\hat{k}_{u}\hat{k}_{v} - 2\hat{k}_{X}\hat{k}_{Y},$ (4.2)

where it proves useful to define

$$\hat{k}_{u} \coloneqq k_{u} - \frac{1}{2} (k_{v} \Phi_{XX} - k_{X} \Phi_{Xv}), \qquad \hat{k}_{v} \coloneqq k_{v},$$
$$\hat{k}_{X} \coloneqq k_{X}, \qquad \hat{k}_{Y} \coloneqq k_{Y} - \frac{1}{2} (k_{v} \Phi_{Xv} - k_{X} \Phi_{vv}). \qquad (4.3)$$

The hatted momenta are those in the tangent space; if we write the vierbein

$$e_{u}^{a} = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}\Phi_{XX}, -\frac{1}{2}\Phi_{Xv}, 0\right), \qquad e_{v}^{a} = (0, 1, 0, 0),$$
$$e_{X}^{a} = (0, 0, 1, 0), \qquad e_{Y}^{a} = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\Phi_{Xv}, -\frac{1}{2}\Phi_{vv}, 1\right), \quad (4.4)$$

satisfying $e^a_{\mu}e_{\nu a} = g_{\mu\nu}(\Phi)$, with $g_{\mu\nu}(\Phi)$ the metric in (4.1), then $\hat{k}_a = e^{\mu}_a k_{\mu}$.

A. Self-dual Yang-Mills theory

With a gauge field $A_{\mu} = (A_u, A_v, A_X, A_Y)$ on this spacetime we can choose the gauge-fixing condition $n^{\mu}A_{\mu} = 0$, with null vector $n^{\mu} = (0, 1, 0, 0)$, thus setting

$$A_v = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Now we require that the field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ is self-dual, i.e. that the anti-self-dual components $F^-_{\mu\nu}$ vanish. This imposes three independent conditions. Two of these are satisfied if we set

$$A_X = 0,$$

$$A_u = \phi_X,$$

$$A_Y = \phi_v,$$

(4.6)

for a scalar field $\phi(u, v, X, Y)$, and the final self-duality condition imposes the equation

$$\Box_{\Phi}\phi - 2[\phi_v, \phi_X] = 0, \qquad (4.7)$$

where \Box_{Φ} is the Laplacian in the metric (4.1). This gauge matches the choice made for the self-dual background metric, wherein the components of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ satisfy $g_{vv} = g_{vX} = g_{XX} = 0$; we call this gauge the *matched gauge*. Equation (4.7) is thus the generalization of the self-dual Yang-Mills Theory equation (2.5) to the background (4.1). If we define the Poisson bracket as in the flat space case

$$\{f,g\} = \partial_v f \partial_X g - \partial_v g \partial_X f, \qquad (4.8)$$

then the Plebanski equation (4.7) can be written as

$$\Box_{\Phi}\phi - [\{\phi, \phi\}] = 0, \tag{4.9}$$

with $[\{\phi, \phi\}]$ defined in (2.8). The equation above can be viewed as the double copy of (3.3) where we only double copy the background gauge field. Explicitly, performing the double copy on only the background χ using $[\{\chi, \cdot\}] \rightarrow \{\{\Phi, \cdot\}\}$, the scalar Laplacian in gauge theory $\widetilde{\Box}_{\chi}$ (3.4) becomes

$$\widetilde{\Box}_{\chi} = \Box - 2[\{\chi, \cdot\}] \to \Box - 2\{\{\Phi, \cdot\}\} = \Box_{\Phi} \qquad (4.10)$$

where in the last equality we combined $-2\{\{\Phi, \cdot\}\}\)$ with the flat scalar Laplacian to give us the curved Laplacian on the background Φ .

As we have noted earlier, there is also a different gauge choice which reduces to the gauge-fixing condition $A_u = 0$ in the flat space case, and which has a quite different structure. We can find self-dual Yang-Mills Theory fields in this gauge which satisfy a generalized Plebanski equation, for background metrics which are of course self-dual themselves, namely

$$Pleb_0(\Phi) = 0, \qquad (4.11)$$

but also are of the Kerr-Schild form and so satisfy

$$\Phi_{Xv}^2 - \Phi_{XX}\Phi_{vv} = 0. \tag{4.12}$$

The above conditions imply that the flat Laplacian acting on Φ vanishes i.e. $\Phi_{uv} = \Phi_{XY}$. The self-dual gauge field in this case is given by

$$A_{u} = \frac{1}{2} (\phi_{Y} \Phi_{XX} - \phi_{u} \Phi_{Xv}),$$

$$A_{v} = \hat{k}_{Y}(\Phi)(\phi) \coloneqq \phi_{Y} - \frac{1}{2} (\phi_{v} \Phi_{Xv} - \phi_{X} \Phi_{vv}),$$

$$A_{X} = \hat{k}_{u}(\Phi)(\phi) \coloneqq \phi_{u} - \frac{1}{2} (\phi_{v} \Phi_{XX} - \phi_{X} \Phi_{vX}),$$

$$A_{Y} = \frac{1}{2} (\phi_{Y} \Phi_{Xv} - \phi_{u} \Phi_{vv}),$$
(4.13)

where the previously defined \hat{k}_u and \hat{k}_Y are now regarded as differential operators defined by replacing the unhatted *k*'s in their expression by the corresponding derivatives. The perturbation field ϕ then satisfies a generalized Plebanski equation in the background Φ given by

$$\Box_{\Phi}\phi - 2[\hat{k}_{u}(\Phi)(\phi), \hat{k}_{Y}(\Phi)(\phi)] = 0.$$
 (4.14)

The gauge field above is not adapted to the background metric in the same fashion as the previous gauge; instead it features the nontrivial components of the background metric. Since in the flat space limit it is related to the previous gauge by the coordinate exchange $u \leftrightarrow v$ and $Y \leftrightarrow X$ we call it the *flipped gauge*.

The commutator term in (4.14) in this flipped gauge reveals a different algebraic structure connected with the fact that one can define a curved space Poisson bracket for this spacetime [88,89]. We can define this by considering the expression $\hat{k}_{1u}\hat{k}_{2Y} - \hat{k}_{2u}\hat{k}_{1Y}$ and as before replacing the unhatted *k*'s in this expression by coordinate derivatives with respect to the two functions in the Poisson bracket, i.e.

$$\{f, g\}_{\Phi} = \hat{k}_{u}(\Phi)(f)\hat{k}_{Y}(\Phi)(g) - \hat{k}_{Y}(\Phi)(f)\hat{k}_{u}(\Phi)(g),$$
(4.15)

or

$$\{u, v\}_{\Phi} = -\frac{1}{2}\Phi_{Xv}, \qquad \{u, X\}_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{2}\Phi_{vv}, \qquad \{u, Y\}_{\Phi} = 1, \{v, X\}_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{4}(\Phi_{Xv}^2 - \Phi_{XX}\Phi_{vv}), \qquad \{v, Y\}_{\Phi} = -\frac{1}{2}\Phi_{XX}, \qquad \{X, Y\}_{\Phi} = \frac{1}{2}\Phi_{Xv}.$$
(4.16)

The Jacobi identity for the Poisson bracket $\{,\}_{\Phi}$ is satisfied since the self-dual background Φ satisfies the Plebanski equation $\text{Pleb}_0(\Phi) = 0$. This Poisson bracket automatically satisfies the Leibniz rule from the action of the partial derivatives from which it is constructed (4.13). Furthermore, since the Kerr-Schild condition $\Phi_{Xv}^2 - \Phi_{XX}\Phi_{vv} = 0$ is satisfied the bracket $\{v, X\}$ vanishes. The symplectic form connected with the Poisson bracket (4.15) is

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{Xv} (du \wedge dv - dX \wedge dY) - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{vv} dv \wedge dY + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{XX} du \wedge dX + du \wedge dY.$$
(4.17)

We observe that $\omega^2 = 0$ and is closed, $d\omega = 0$ (cf. [89]) when the Kerr-Schild condition and background Plebanski equation are satisfied.

Using the notation

$$[\{f,g\}]_{\Phi} \coloneqq [\hat{k}_u(\Phi)(f), \hat{k}_Y(\Phi)(g)] - [\hat{k}_Y(\Phi)(f), \hat{k}_u(\Phi)(g)],$$
(4.18)

the condition (4.14) on the field ϕ may then be written

$$\Box_{\Phi}\phi - [\{\phi, \phi\}]_{\Phi} = 0.$$
 (4.19)

B. Self-dual gravity

We now consider self-dual gravity perturbations on the background metric in (4.1). That is we simply consider the shifted metric $g_{\mu\nu}(\Phi + \Psi)$ given by (4.1) with Φ replaced by $\Phi + \Psi$. We take the metric $g_{\mu\nu}(\Phi)$ to be the background self-

dual spacetime, with $\text{Pleb}_0(\Phi) = 0$. This setup corresponds to the so called "matched gauge" for the gravity perturbation.

We can then define the gravitational Plebanski function in the background metric $g_{\mu\nu}(\Phi)$ by

$$\operatorname{Pleb}_{\Phi}(\Psi) \coloneqq \Box_{\Phi} \Psi + \Psi_{Xv}^2 - \Psi_{XX} \Psi_{vv}, \qquad (4.20)$$

with \Box_{Φ} the scalar Laplacian in the background metric. Once again this can be written in terms of the double bracket notation (2.21)

$$\operatorname{Pleb}_{\Phi}(\Psi) = \Box_{\Phi}\Psi - \{\{\Psi, \Psi\}\}, \quad (4.21)$$

illustrating the double copy structure compared with Eq. (4.9). Alternatively, (4.21) can be viewed as the double copy of (3.3), where we double copy both the YM background and the perturbation. Now one can check that the Plebanski equation satisfies the following identity:

$$\operatorname{Pleb}_0(\Phi + \Psi) = \operatorname{Pleb}_0(\Phi) + \operatorname{Pleb}_{\Phi}(\Psi).$$
 (4.22)

This immediately gives the gravitational Plebanski equation in the background metric as simply

$$Pleb_{\Phi}(\Psi) = 0. \tag{4.23}$$

This follows since the identity (4.22) shows that if Φ leads to a self-dual metric then $\Phi + \Psi$ does as well if the Plebanski equation for Ψ in a Φ metric background (4.23) is satisfied. The above conclusions can be confirmed explicitly. The relevant nontrivial component of the antiself-dual part of the Weyl tensor for the metric $g_{\mu\nu}(\Phi + \Psi)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{uYuY}^{-}(g_{\mu\nu}(\Phi+\Psi)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}\Delta_0(\Phi+\Psi)\text{Pleb}_0(\Phi+\Psi)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}\Delta_0(\Phi+\Psi)(\text{Pleb}_0(\Phi)+\text{Pleb}_{\Phi}(\Psi)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}\Delta_0(\Phi+\Psi)(\text{Pleb}_{\Phi}(\Psi)) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$
(4.24)

where we have used the self-duality of the background metric, with $\text{Pleb}_0(\Phi) = 0$, and imposed the condition (4.23). It is also immediate that the variations of the Plebanski function (4.20) are related to variations of the flat Plebanski function; if we define the variation

$$\Delta_{\Phi}(\Psi)(\delta\Psi) \coloneqq \delta_{\Psi}(\operatorname{Pleb}_{\Phi}(\Psi)), \qquad (4.25)$$

then as differential operators

$$\Delta_{\Phi}(\Psi) = \Delta_0(\Phi + \Psi), \qquad (4.26)$$

as expected. A similar argument, based on (2.19), shows Ricci flatness of the shifted metric.

In summary, we have shown the following commuting triangle of double copy relations for equations of motion in the matched gauge:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{SDYM on SDYM} & \xrightarrow{\text{Double copy}} & \text{SDYM on SDG} \\ (3.4) & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & &$$

The diagonal arrow above is just the usual self-dual flat space double copy applied to the sum of the background and perturbation fields $\chi + \psi$ in (3.2). The double copy properties of backgrounds and perturbations have been studied beyond the self dual context in [60].

We can also consider the flipped gauge for which the natural double copy of the bracket in (4.18) replaces the YM commutator with the Poisson brackets $\{,\}_{\Phi}$ of (4.15)⁴

$$\begin{split} \{\{f,g\}\}_{\Phi} &\coloneqq \frac{1}{2} (\{\hat{k}_u(\Phi)(f), \hat{k}_Y(\Phi)(g)\}_{\Phi} \\ &- \{\hat{k}_Y(\Phi)(f), \hat{k}_u(\Phi)(g)\}_{\Phi}). \end{split} \tag{4.28}$$

These double brackets have a related curved space Plebanski equation of the form

$$\Box_{\Phi}\Psi - \{\{\Psi,\Psi\}\}_{\Phi} = 0, \qquad (4.29)$$

which may be regarded as the double copy of (4.19). We discuss these brackets further in the examples below. It would be interesting to know if these equations are related to the conditions required for the self-duality of the curvature of metrics on self-dual backgrounds. One might also study self-dual backgrounds satisfying the Kerr-Schild condition $\Phi_{Xv}^2 = \Phi_{XX}\Phi_{vv}$ more generally. While we have not found answers to these questions in the general case, the study of interesting examples reveals more structure, as we will see in the following.

V. THE SELF-DUAL PLANE WAVE SPACETIME

Plane wave backgrounds have been the object of some interest recently in the area of amplitudes, kinematic algebras and the double copy (see, for example, [58,59,83,84,90–92] and references therein). Here we study the self-dual plane wave metric

$$ds_{PW}^{2} = 2dudv - 2dXdY + 2F(v)dY^{2}, \qquad (5.1)$$

where F(v) is a function related to the wave profile.

This metric is an example of the general form (4.1) considered earlier and is also Kerr-Schild; we simply set $\Phi = \Phi(v)$ with $\Phi_{vv} = 2F(v)$. The self-dual plane wave metric is Ricci flat and has self-dual Weyl tensor; the only nonvanishing components of the self-dual part of the Weyl tensor being $C_{vYvY} = -2F''[v]$ and those related to this by the symmetries of this tensor.

A. Self-dual Yang-Mills Theory

A self-dual gauge field in the matched gauge on this spacetime is given by

$$A_{\mu} = (A_{u}, A_{v}, A_{X}, A_{Y}) = (\phi_{X}, 0, 0, \phi_{v}), \qquad (5.2)$$

where, in order to solve the self-duality conditions, the scalar field $\phi(u, v, X, Y)$ must satisfy the plane wave background Plebanski equation

$$\Box_{PW}\phi - 2[\phi_v, \phi_X] = 0, \qquad (5.3)$$

with \Box_{PW} the Laplacian in the metric (5.1). Using the Poisson bracket $\{f, g\} = f_v g_X - f_X g_v$ which is the same as the flat space case, we can write (5.3) as

$$\Box_{PW}\phi - [\{\phi, \phi\}] = 0, \tag{5.4}$$

where the double bracket notation (2.8) is defined as usual.

There is also the flipped self-dual gauge field solution in this background, from (4.13) which can be used to elucidate the algebraic structure of self-dual perturbations on the self-dual plane wave background. We find

⁴Other double brackets may be defined by dropping the Φ terms inside the brackets in (4.28) and/or using the flat space Poisson bracket.

$$A_{\mu} = (0, \phi_Y + F(v)\phi_X, \phi_u, -F(v)\phi_u), \qquad (5.5)$$

where the field ϕ satisfies

$$\Box_{PW}\phi - 2[\phi_u, \phi_Y + F(v)\phi_X] = 0.$$
 (5.6)

This leads us to the modified Poisson bracket in the plane wave background

$$\{f,g\}_{PW} = f_u(g_Y + F(v)g_X) - (f_Y + F(v)f_X)g_u, \quad (5.7)$$

and the rewriting of (5.6) as

$$\Box_{PW}\phi - [\{\phi, \phi\}]_{PW} = 0.$$
 (5.8)

We are now tasked with finding the analog of plane wave solutions to the wave equation in flat space, but for solutions to the wave equation in the background (5.1). Such solutions then act as generators of our kinematic Poisson algebra. We begin by constructing a null vector in flat space k_{μ} satisfying $k_{\mu}k_{\nu} - k_{X}k_{Y} = 0$ so that (as before) $k_{\mu} = \rho k_{X}, k_{Y} = \rho k_{\nu}$ for some ρ . Then for the function $G(\nu)$ given by the indefinite integral of $F(\nu)$, i.e. G' = F, we may define the quantity

$$Q_{k}(u, v, X, Y) \coloneqq (\rho Y + v)k_{v} + (\rho u + X)k_{X} + \frac{1}{\rho}G(v)k_{X}$$

= $k \cdot x + \frac{1}{\rho}G(v)k_{X}.$ (5.9)

Then one can show that the vector $K_{\mu} = \nabla_{\mu}Q_k$ is null, $K^{\mu}K_{\mu} = 0$, divergence free, $\nabla^{\mu}K_{\mu} = 0$ (which is just the wave equation on Q_k), and geodesic, $K^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}K_{\mu} = 0$, where ∇_{μ} is the covariant derivative in the plane wave metric. One consequence is that any function of Q_k is annihilated by the Laplacian, in particular

$$\Box_{PW} e^{iQ_k(u,v,X,Y)} = 0.$$
 (5.10)

Whence the function $e^{iQ_k(u,v,X,Y)}$ satisfies the wave equation in the plane wave background and furthermore reduces to the usual plane wave $e^{ik \cdot x}$ in the flat space limit. The Poisson bracket of two of these solutions is

$$\{e^{iQ_1}, e^{iQ_2}\}$$

$$= e^{i(Q_1 + Q_2)} \left(k_{1X} k_{2v} - k_{1v} k_{2X} + k_{1X} k_{2X} \frac{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)}{\rho_1 \rho_2} F(v) \right)$$

$$=: e^{i(Q_1 + Q_2)} X_{PW}(k_1, k_2),$$
(5.11)

leading to a modification of the structure constants defining the kinematic algebra compared to the flat space case. This modification is however subleading in the holomorphic collinear limit so we expect it to not alter the *w*-algebra, which we confirm in the next section.

This result, and hence also the *w*-algebra in (5.20), also holds if one uses the flipped gauge Poisson bracket (5.7), although in that case it is more natural to write the function (5.9) in terms of k_u and k_Y as follows:

$$Q_k(u, v, X, Y) = (Y + \tilde{\rho}v)k_Y + (u + \tilde{\rho}X)k_u + \tilde{\rho}^2 G(v)k_u$$
(5.12)

where $\tilde{\rho} \coloneqq 1/\rho$. The flipped Poisson bracket of two plane waves is then

$$\{e^{iQ_1}, e^{iQ_2}\}_{PW} = e^{i(Q_1 + Q_2)} (k_{1Y}k_{2u} - k_{1u}k_{2Y} + k_{1u}k_{2u}(\tilde{\rho}_1 - \tilde{\rho}_2)F(v))$$

= $e^{i(Q_1 + Q_2)} (-\rho_1\rho_2)X_{PW}(k_1, k_2).$ (5.13)

B. Self-dual gravity

The gravitational analog of the discussion above in the matched gauge is based on the metric

$$ds_{PWG}^{2} = 2dudv - 2dXdY + 2F(v)dY^{2} + \Psi_{vv}dY^{2} + \Psi_{XX}du^{2} + 2\Psi_{vX}dudY.$$
(5.14)

This metric has vanishing Ricci tensor and self-dual Weyl tensor if the following Plebanski equation is satisfied:

$$\Box_{PW}\Psi + \Psi_{vX}^2 - \Psi_{vv}\Psi_{XX} = 0, \qquad (5.15)$$

where \Box_{PW} is the Laplacian in the self-dual background. Employing the double bracket notation (2.21) as usual we have

$$\Box_{PW}\Psi - \{\{\Psi, \Psi\}\} = 0, \tag{5.16}$$

revealing the double copy structure compared with (5.3). If we apply the double bracket to two of the plane waves (5.9) we find

$$\{\{e^{iQ_1}, e^{iQ_2}\}\} = e^{i(Q_1 + Q_2)} \frac{1}{2} \left(X_{PW}(k_1, k_2)^2 - \frac{ik_{1X}k_{2X}(k_{1X}\rho_1 + k_{2X}\rho_2)F'(v)}{\rho_1\rho_2} \right)$$
(5.17)

which is not just the simple square of the relation (5.11). Despite this, we can still derive a *w*-algebra as follows. Similarly to the flat space case, we may expand the above solutions to the wave equation in powers of soft momenta variables k_v , k_X to find

$$e^{iQ_k(u,v,X,Y)} = \sum_{a,b=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ik_v)^a(ik_X)^b}{a!b!} e_{ab}, \qquad (5.18)$$

where we have defined $e_{ab} = (\rho Y + v)^a (\rho u + X + \frac{1}{\rho}G(v))^b$ in the self-dual plane wave background. Defining the modified *w* generators

$$w_m^p \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} e_{p-1+m,p-1-m} = \frac{1}{2} (\rho Y + v)^{p-1+m} \left(\rho u + X + \frac{1}{\rho} G(v) \right)^{p-1-m}, \quad (5.19)$$

in analogy with the flat space case. We recover the standard $w_{1+\infty}$ -algebra for these modified generators, working to leading order in the holomorphic collinear limit

$$\{w_m^p, w_n^q\} = \left(m(q-1) - n(p-1)\right) w_{m+n}^{p+q-2}.$$
 (5.20)

We may also consider the flipped gauge with its modified Poisson bracket (5.7) which satisfies a double copy relation analogous to (2.23) acting on two solutions $e^{iQ_k(u,v,X,Y)}$. First we define a modified double bracket

$$\{\{f,g\}\}_{PW} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\{f_u, g_Y + F(v)g_X\}_{PW} - \{f_Y + F(v)f_X, g_u\}_{PW}\right),$$
(5.21)

then we find the expected double copy of (5.13), that is

$$\{\{e^{iQ_1}, e^{iQ_2}\}\}_{PW} = \frac{1}{2}e^{i(Q_1 + Q_2)}(-\rho_1\rho_2 X_{PW}(k_1, k_2))^2.$$
(5.22)

Interestingly, in contrast to the matched double bracket (5.17) of plane waves, the above does exhibit a simple squaring relation when compared to the single bracket (5.13). As mentioned before, we can also define analogous soft generators \tilde{w}_m^p in the flipped gauge, now as coefficients of k_u^a and k_Y^b . One can then show that these generators also satisfy the $w_{1+\infty}$ algebra (5.20), but now with the bracket (5.7).

VI. THE EGUCHI-HANSON SPACETIME

We now move on to consider a more complicated example, the Eguchi-Hanson spacetime. This is self-dual, and in the form (4.11) has the scalar function

$$\Phi_{\rm EH} = \frac{mv^2}{2Y^2(uv - XY)},$$
 (6.1)

with m a constant, satisfying the Plebanski equation in flat space

$$Pleb_0(\Phi_{\rm EH}) = 0. \tag{6.2}$$

The full metric is then

$$ds_{\rm EH}^{2} = g_{\mu\nu}(\Phi_{\rm EH})dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu}$$

= $2dudv - 2dXdY + \frac{mv^{2}}{(uv - XY)^{3}}du^{2}$
 $+ \frac{mX^{2}}{(uv - XY)^{3}}dY^{2} - \frac{2mvX}{(uv - XY)^{3}}dudY$ (6.3)

and satisfies the Kerr-Schild condition.

We now repeat the methods laid out for the general case and the plane wave example but now with the function Φ_{EH} . We will encounter a much richer algebraic structure than was found in the self-dual plane wave background, reproducing in spacetime some of the results recently described via twistor space in [85].

A. Self-dual Yang-Mills Theory

Consider firstly self-dual Yang-Mills Theory in an Eguchi-Hanson background. From the results earlier, a gauge field A_{μ} in the matched gauge $A_{v} = 0$ has self-dual field strength if in addition $A_{X} = 0$, $A_{u} = \phi_{X}$ and $A_{Y} = \phi_{v}$, with ϕ satisfying the Plebanski equation in the EH background

$$\Box_{\rm EH}\phi - 2[\phi_v, \phi_X] = 0, \tag{6.4}$$

where \Box_{EH} is the Laplacian in the metric (6.3). In the case at hand, the EH Plebanski equation can be written in terms of the flat space Poisson bracket (2.6) as

$$\Box_{\rm EH}\phi - [\{\phi, \phi\}] = 0. \tag{6.5}$$

The alternative flipped gauge (4.13) in the Eguchi-Hanson case comes from the null vector $m^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, v/X)$ and gauge-fixing condition $m^{\mu}A_{\mu} = 0$ and sets

$$A_{u} = \frac{mv}{2(uv - XY)^{3}} (v\phi_{Y} + X\phi_{u}),$$

$$A_{v} = \hat{k}_{Y}(\Phi_{\rm EH})(\phi) = \phi_{Y} + \frac{mX}{2(uv - XY)^{3}} (X\phi_{X} + v\phi_{v}),$$

$$A_{X} = \hat{k}_{u}(\Phi_{\rm EH})(\phi) = \phi_{u} - \frac{mv}{2(uv - XY)^{3}} (X\phi_{X} + v\phi_{v}),$$

$$A_{Y} = -\frac{mX}{2(uv - XY)^{3}} (v\phi_{Y} + X\phi_{u}).$$
(6.6)

This gauge field has self-dual field strength if the scalar field ϕ satisfies

$$\Box_{\rm EH}\phi - 2[\hat{k}_u(\Phi_{\rm EH})(\phi), \hat{k}_Y(\Phi_{\rm EH})(\phi)] = 0. \quad (6.7)$$

Using the notation

$$[\{f,g\}]_{\rm EH} \coloneqq \left[f_u - \frac{mv}{2(uv - XY)^3} (Xf_X + vf_v), g_Y + \frac{mX}{2(uv - XY)^3} (Xg_X + vg_v)\right] + (f \leftrightarrow g),$$

$$(6.8)$$

equation (6.7) may be written [cf. (4.9)]

$$\Box_{\rm EH} \phi - [\{\phi, \phi\}]_{\rm EH} = 0. \tag{6.9}$$

The deformed Poisson bracket (4.15) in the Eguchi-Hanson metric is then

$$\{f, g\}_{\rm EH} = \hat{k}_u(\Phi_{\rm EH})(f)\hat{k}_Y(\Phi_{\rm EH})(g) - \hat{k}_Y(\Phi_{\rm EH})(f)\hat{k}_u(\Phi_{\rm EH})(g), \quad (6.10)$$

and we note that the terms quadratic in m in the above Poisson bracket in fact drop out.

To find the equivalent of plane wave solutions in the EH background we introduce a null covector $k_{\mu} = (k_u, k_v, k_X, k_Y)$ whose components satisfy $k_u k_v = k_X k_Y$ so that as before we may write

$$\frac{k_u}{k_X} = \frac{k_Y}{k_v} = \rho \tag{6.11}$$

for some parameter ρ . As was the case for the self-dual plane wave, we look for solutions to the EH wave equation which are of an exponential form and return the usual $e^{ik \cdot x}$ plane wave in

and dot products $k \cdot x$ here mean $(\rho Y + v)k_v +$

 $(\rho u + X)k_X$. The final factor may be compared to the

right-hand side of (6.12). Equation (6.14) may be viewed as

the Eguchi-Hanson background version of the expression

in Eq. (2.11). We note that the kinematic algebra has

modified kinematic structure "constants" compared to the flat-space case and the modification survives in the holomorphic collinear limit so we expect the *w*-algebra to also be modified. As in the plane wave case, the Poisson bracket relation (6.14) also holds if we use the Eguchi-Hanson

flipped bracket (6.10), up to an overall factor which also

appeared in (5.13).

the flat space limit $m \to 0$. Following [85], we define the function

$$R_{k}(u, v, X, Y) \coloneqq (k \cdot x)^{2} - \frac{m(vk_{v} + Xk_{X})^{2}}{2(uv - XY)^{2}}$$
$$= ((\rho Y + v)k_{v} + (\rho u + X)k_{X})^{2}$$
$$- \frac{m(vk_{v} + Xk_{X})^{2}}{2(uv - XY)^{2}}.$$
(6.12)

Then the vector $K_{\mu} = \nabla_{\mu}R_k$ is null, $K^{\mu}K_{\mu} = 0$, divergence free, $\nabla^{\mu}K_{\mu} = 0$ (which is just the wave equation on R_k), and geodesic, $K^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}K_{\mu} = 0$, where ∇_{μ} is the covariant derivative in the EH metric. One consequence is that any function of R_k is annihilated by the Laplacian, in particular

$$\Box_{\Phi} e^{i\sqrt{R_k(u,v,X,Y)}} = 0, \qquad (6.13)$$

where $e^{i\sqrt{R_k(u,v,X,Y)}}$ gives the standard plane wave $e^{ik \cdot x}$ in the flat space limit $m \to 0$. Note the qualitative difference between the Eguchi-Hanson function R_k , which is quadratic in the null momenta k_{μ} , versus Q_k in the self-dual plane wave background which is linear in k_{μ} .

We can now perform the Poisson bracket of two of the solutions $e^{i\sqrt{R_k}}$ to the wave equation with momenta k_1, k_2 , using the form of R_k on the second line of (6.12). We work in the holomorphic collinear limit $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho$ which is all that is needed to recover a *w*-algebra. This gives

$$\{e^{i\sqrt{R_1}}, e^{i\sqrt{R_2}}\} = e^{i(\sqrt{R_1} + \sqrt{R_2})} X_{\rm EH}(k_1, k_2)$$
(6.14)

where here

$$X_{\rm EH}(k_1, k_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_1 R_2}} (k_{1X} k_{2v} - k_{1v} k_{2X}) \left((k_1 \cdot x) (k_2 \cdot x) - \frac{m(v k_{1v} + X k_{1X}) (v k_{2v} + X k_{2X})}{2(uv - XY)^2} \right), \tag{6.15}$$

B. Self-dual gravity

For the case of self-dual gravity, a perturbation of the EH metric in the matched gauge is given by $g_{\mu\nu}(\Phi_{\rm EH} + \Psi) = g_{\mu\nu}(\Phi_{\rm EH}) + g_{\mu\nu}(\Psi)$ and has vanishing anti-self-dual components of the Weyl tensor except for

$$C_{uYuY}^{EH-} = -\frac{1}{4} \Delta_{\Phi_{\rm EH}}(\Psi) (\text{Pleb}_{\Phi_{\rm EH}}(\Psi)). \tag{6.16}$$

Thus, the perturbed EH metric has self-dual Weyl tensor if the EH Plebanski equation is satisfied. The EH Plebanski equation for self-dual gravity in this case is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Pleb}_{\Phi_{\mathrm{EH}}}(\Psi) &= \Box_{\mathrm{EH}} \Psi - \Psi_{XX} \Psi_{vv} + (\Psi_{vX})^2 \\ &= \Box_{\mathrm{EH}} \Psi - \{\{\Psi, \Psi\}\} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

using the double bracket (2.21). Similarly for the Ricci tensor one finds that its components vanish except for R_{ab}^{EH} with $a, b \in (u, Y)$ and for these components

$$R_{ab}^{\rm EH} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\bar{a}} \partial_{\bar{b}} \text{Pleb}_{\Phi_{\rm EH}}(\Psi), \qquad (6.18)$$

where $\bar{u} = X$, $\bar{Y} = v$.

The nontrivial form of the single bracket (6.14) suggests that the double copy, realized by using a double bracket, may involve more than just the square of $X(k_1, k_2)$. This proves to be the case; the double brackets of two plane wave solutions $e^{i\sqrt{R_k}}$ in the EH background in the holomorphic collinear limit give a double copy-type formula

$$\{\{e^{i\sqrt{R_1}}, e^{i\sqrt{R_2}}\}\} = \frac{1}{2}e^{i(\sqrt{R_1} + \sqrt{R_2})}X_{\rm EH}(k_1, k_2)^2 + \dots \quad (6.19)$$

[cf. (2.23) in the flat space case] where $X_{\rm EH}(k_1, k_2)$ is given in (6.15) and the terms indicated by dots are more complicated expressions which multiply $(R_1)^{-1/2}$, $(R_2)^{-1/2}$ and $(R_1R_2)^{-1/2}$ and are of order m, m^2 or m^3 and hence vanish in the flat space limit $m \to 0$. These results suggest that in general the double copy and related kinematic algebra on curved space backgrounds are not just given by a simple squaring operation of the relevant curved space term, as seen in the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (6.19), but can involve other curvature corrections.

We now consider the soft expansion of the solution $e^{i\sqrt{R_k(u,v,X,Y)}}$ in powers of the soft momentum variables k_Y ,

 k_u and once again work in the holomorphic collinear limit where $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho$. We define functions X_g , Y_g , Z_g which give the coefficients of k_v^2 , k_X^2 and $k_v k_X$ in the function R_k

$$\begin{split} X_g &= (\rho Y + v)^2 - \frac{mv^2}{2(uv - XY)^2}, \\ Y_g &= (\rho u + X)^2 - \frac{mX^2}{2(uv - XY)^2}, \\ Z_g &= (\rho Y + v)(\rho u + X) - \frac{mXv}{2(uv - XY)^2}, \end{split} \tag{6.20}$$

which satisfy

$$X_g k_v^2 + Y_g k_X^2 + 2Z_g k_v k_X = (k \cdot x)^2 - \frac{m(vk_v + Xk_X)^2}{2(uv - XY)^2} = R_k,$$
(6.21)

and the discriminant constraint

$$X_g Y_g - Z_g^2 = -\frac{m\rho^2}{2}.$$
 (6.22)

The quantities X_g , Y_g , Z_g correspond to the X, Y, Z of [85]. The parameter $c^2(\lambda)$ in that reference is related to ours by $c^2(\lambda) = \frac{m^2 \rho^2}{2}$. One can then expand the plane wave $e^{i\sqrt{R_k}}$ in powers of

One can then expand the plane wave $e^{i\sqrt{R_k}}$ in powers of the variables k_v , k_X^5 and the Poisson bracket of the coefficients in this expansion generates a *w*-type algebra. Due to the constraint (6.22) one can define a new basis of generators $V_{2p,2q} \coloneqq X_g^p Y_g^q, V_{2p+1,2q+1} \coloneqq X_g^p Y_g^q Z_g$, and the Poisson brackets of these generate the underlying algebra

$$\{V_{2p,2q}, V_{2r,2s}\} = 4(ps - qr)V_{2p+2r-1,2q+2s-1},$$

$$\{V_{2p,2q}, V_{2r+1,2s+1}\} = 2(p(2s + 1) - q(2r + 1))V_{2p+2r,2q+2s} + 2m\rho^2(ps - qr)V_{2p+2r-2,2q+2s-2},$$

$$\{V_{2p+1,2q+1}, V_{2r+1,2s+1}\} = ((2p + 1)(2s + 1) - (2q + 1)(2r + 1))V_{2p+2r+1,2q+2s+1} + 2m\rho^2(ps - qr)V_{2p+2r-1,2q+2s-1}.$$

(6.23)

The full celestial chiral algebra of self-dual gravity on an Eguchi-Hanson background can then be written in terms of sums of these generators (see Ref. [85]).

We can also consider the double brackets of the flipped gauge (4.28) in the EH background which are given by

$$\{\{f,g\}\}_{\rm EH} \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ f_u - \frac{mv}{2(uv - XY)^3} \left(vf_v + Xf_X \right), g_Y + \frac{mX}{2(uv - XY)^3} \left(vg_v + Xg_X \right) \right\}_{\rm EH} + (f \leftrightarrow g), \qquad (6.24)$$

⁵The authors of [85] consider the quantity $\cos(\sqrt{R_k})$ since they also impose the Z_2 symmetry required by global considerations. This involves the same basic generators.

and using these in the holomorphic collinear limit we find a double copy-type formula like (6.19) with the same leading term, but with different subleading terms. As in the plane wave case, we could expand the solution (6.12) in terms of k_u^2 , k_y^2 and $k_u k_y$ instead to define analogous soft generators \tilde{X}_g , \tilde{Y}_g and \tilde{Z}_g . These then satisfy the same algebra as (6.23) but with the flipped Poisson bracket (6.10).

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the self-duality of gauge and gravitational fields on the self-dual background spacetimes defined by solutions of Plebanski's second equation. In light-cone gauges we showed that the conditions for selfduality could be reduced to second order scalar equations generalizing the flat space equations. We found two classes of general solutions. One, which we called a "matched" gauge, was a direct generalization of the flat space solutions to the curved self-dual backgrounds under consideration. The other involves a Kerr-Schild condition on the gravitational background, which we called the "flipped" gauge, and can be seen as the curved space versions of flipped flat space solutions. We discussed the double copy and kinematic algebra in these two cases. Finally, we studied two examples in more detail-the self-dual plane wave spacetime and the Eguchi-Hanson metric-connecting with some recent results from [84,85], and noting that in the EH background the kinematic algebra squaring relations are modified by curvature terms.

There are a number of avenues of research which follow from this. It would be interesting to explore more examples in detail, and investigate perturbative solutions to the equations where direct solutions prove difficult. In order to gain further insight into possible double copy and kinematic algebra structures more generally, gravitational analogs of the flipped gauge self-dual YM solution, Eq. (4.13), could be studied further, in general and in particular examples. Specifically, it would be interesting to try and prove the conjectured double copy relation in (4.29), which we explored through explicit examples in Secs. V and VI. Plebanski-type conditions of the generic form $\Box \phi - \{\{\phi, \phi\}\} = 0$ for the different double brackets given above would be expected to feature. In radiative spacetimes this should connect with the very recent analysis of self-dual deformations in [84], which relates these to twistor sigma models and maximal helicity violating generating functionals. It would also be interesting to explore applications to known deformations of the Plebanski equations such as those involving Moyal brackets (cf. [12,85] and references therein). The application of the formalism used recently for self-dual YM in [93] could also be explored in self-dual backgrounds.

No new data were generated or analyzed during this study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Ricardo Monteiro, Chris White and Sam Wikeley for helpful comments. This work was supported by the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) Consolidated Grants ST/P000754/1 "String theory, gauge theory and duality" and ST/ T000686/1 "Amplitudes, strings and duality." The work of G. R. B. and J. G. is supported by STFC quota studentships.

- J. F. Plebanski, Some solutions of complex Einstein equations, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) 16, 2395 (1975).
- [2] W. A. Bardeen, Self-dual Yang-Mills theory, integrability and multiparton amplitudes, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 123, 1 (1996).
- [3] G. Chalmers and W. Siegel, The self-dual sector of QCD amplitudes, Phys. Rev. D 54, 7628 (1996).
- [4] M. K. Prasad, A. Sinha, and L.-L. Wang, Nonlocal continuity equations for self-dual SU(N) Yang-Mills fields, Phys. Lett. 87B, 237 (1979).
- [5] L. Dolan, Kac-Moody algebras and exact solvability in hadronic physics, Phys. Rep. 109, 1 (1984).
- [6] A. Parkes, A cubic action for self-dual Yang-Mills, Phys. Lett. B 286, 265 (1992).
- [7] D. Cangemi, Self-duality and maximally helicity violating QCD amplitudes, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 12, 1215 (1997).

- [8] A. D. Popov, M. Bordemann, and H. Romer, Symmetries, currents and conservation laws of self-dual gravity, Phys. Lett. B 385, 63 (1996).
- [9] A. D. Popov, Self-dual Yang-Mills: Symmetries and moduli space, Rev. Math. Phys. 11, 1091 (1999).
- [10] R. Monteiro, D. O'Connell, and C. D. White, Black holes and the double copy, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2014) 056.
- [11] A. Luna, R. Monteiro, D. O'Connell, and C. D. White, The classical double copy for Taub–NUT spacetime, Phys. Lett. B 750, 272 (2015).
- [12] E. Chacón, H. García-Compeán, A. Luna, R. Monteiro, and C. D. White, New heavenly double copies, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2021) 247.
- [13] M. Campiglia and S. Nagy, A double copy for asymptotic symmetries in the self-dual sector, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2021) 262.

- [14] R. Monteiro, R. Stark-Muchão, and S. Wikeley, Anomaly and double copy in quantum self-dual Yang-Mills and gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2023) 030.
- [15] R. Monteiro and D. O'Connell, The kinematic algebras from the scattering equations, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2014) 110.
- [16] C. Cheung and C.-H. Shen, Symmetry for flavor-kinematics duality from an action, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 121601 (2017).
- [17] G. Chen, H. Johansson, F. Teng, and T. Wang, On the kinematic algebra for BCJ numerators beyond the MHV sector, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2019) 055.
- [18] A. Brandhuber, G. Chen, H. Johansson, G. Travaglini, and C. Wen, Kinematic Hopf algebra for Bern-Carrasco-Johansson numerators in heavy-mass effective field theory and Yang-Mills theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 121601 (2022).
- [19] A. Brandhuber, G. R. Brown, G. Chen, J. Gowdy, G. Travaglini, and C. Wen, Amplitudes, Hopf algebras and the colour-kinematics duality, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2022) 101.
- [20] G. Elor, K. Farnsworth, M. L. Graesser, and G. Herczeg, The Newman-Penrose map and the classical double copy, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2020) 121.
- [21] K. Armstrong-Williams, C. D. White, and S. Wikeley, Nonperturbative aspects of the self-dual double copy, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2022) 160.
- [22] K. Farnsworth, M. L. Graesser, and G. Herczeg, Twistor space origins of the Newman-Penrose map, SciPost Phys. 13, 099 (2022).
- [23] E. Skvortsov and R. Van Dongen, Minimal models of field theories: SDYM and SDGR, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2022) 083.
- [24] S. He, R. Monteiro, and O. Schlotterer, String-inspired BCJ numerators for one-loop MHV amplitudes, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2016) 171.
- [25] D. S. Berman, E. Chacón, A. Luna, and C. D. White, The self-dual classical double copy, and the Eguchi-Hanson instanton, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2019) 107.
- [26] S. Nagy and J. Peraza, Radiative phase space extensions at all orders in *r* for self-dual Yang-Mills and gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2023) 202.
- [27] K. Farnsworth, M. L. Graesser, and G. Herczeg, Double Kerr-Schild spacetimes and the Newman-Penrose map, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2023) 010.
- [28] D. A. Easson, G. Herczeg, T. Manton, and M. Pezzelle, Isometries and the double copy, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2023) 162.
- [29] L. Borsten, H. Kim, B. Jurčo, T. Macrelli, C. Saemann, and M. Wolf, Double copy from homotopy algebras, Fortschr. Phys. 69, 2100075 (2021).
- [30] L. Borsten, H. Kim, B. Jurčo, T. Macrelli, C. Saemann, and M. Wolf, Tree-level color-kinematics duality implies looplevel color-kinematics duality up to counterterms, Nucl. Phys. **B989**, 116144 (2023).
- [31] L. Borsten, B. Jurco, H. Kim, T. Macrelli, C. Saemann, and M. Wolf, Kinematic lie algebras from twistor spaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**, 041603 (2023).
- [32] L. Borsten, B. Jurco, H. Kim, T. Macrelli, C. Saemann, and M. Wolf, Double copy from tensor products of metric BV[•]-algebras, arXiv:2307.02563.

- [33] L. Borsten, B. Jurco, H. Kim, T. Macrelli, C. Saemann, and M. Wolf, Double-copying self-dual Yang-Mills theory to self-dual gravity on twistor space, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2023) 172.
- [34] R. Monteiro and D. O'Connell, The kinematic algebra from the self-dual sector, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2011) 007.
- [35] S. Pasterski, S.-H. Shao, and A. Strominger, Flat space amplitudes and conformal symmetry of the celestial sphere, Phys. Rev. D 96, 065026 (2017).
- [36] S. Pasterski and S.-H. Shao, Conformal basis for flat space amplitudes, Phys. Rev. D 96, 065022 (2017).
- [37] S. Pasterski, S.-H. Shao, and A. Strominger, Gluon amplitudes as 2d conformal correlators, Phys. Rev. D 96, 085006 (2017).
- [38] A.-M. Raclariu, Lectures on celestial holography, arXiv: 2107.02075.
- [39] S. Pasterski, Lectures on celestial amplitudes, Eur. Phys. J. C 81, 1062 (2021).
- [40] S. Pasterski, M. Pate, and A.-M. Raclariu, Celestial holography, in 2022 Snowmass Summer Study (2021), arXiv:2111.11392.
- [41] T. McLoughlin, A. Puhm, and A.-M. Raclariu, The SAGEX review on scattering amplitudes, Chapter 11: Soft theorems and celestial amplitudes, J. Phys. A 55, 443012 (2022).
- [42] A. Strominger, w(1 + infinity) and the celestial sphere, arXiv:2105.14346.
- [43] W. Fan, A. Fotopoulos, and T. R. Taylor, Soft limits of Yang-Mills amplitudes and conformal correlators, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2019) 121.
- [44] M. Pate, A.-M. Raclariu, A. Strominger, and E. Y. Yuan, Celestial operator products of gluons and gravitons, Rev. Math. Phys. 33, 2140003 (2021).
- [45] R. Bhardwaj, L. Lippstreu, L. Ren, M. Spradlin, A. Yelleshpur Srikant, and A. Volovich, Loop-level gluon OPEs in celestial holography, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2022) 171.
- [46] A. Guevara, Celestial OPE blocks, arXiv:2108.12706.
- [47] S. Banerjee, S. Ghosh, and R. Gonzo, BMS symmetry of celestial OPE, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2020) 130.
- [48] T. Adamo, W. Bu, E. Casali, and A. Sharma, All-order celestial OPE in the MHV sector, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2023) 252.
- [49] L. Ren, A. Schreiber, A. Sharma, and D. Wang, All-order celestial OPE from on-shell recursion, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2023) 080.
- [50] A. Guevara, E. Himwich, M. Pate, and A. Strominger, Holographic symmetry algebras for gauge theory and gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2021) 152.
- [51] J. Mago, L. Ren, A. Y. Srikant, and A. Volovich, Deformed $w_{1+\infty}$ algebras in the celestial CFT, SIGMA **19**, 044 (2023).
- [52] L. Ren, M. Spradlin, A. Yelleshpur Srikant, and A. Volovich, On effective field theories with celestial duals, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2022) 251.
- [53] A. Ball, Celestial locality and the Jacobi identity, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2023) 146.
- [54] S. Banerjee, S. Ghosh, and P. Paul, MHV graviton scattering amplitudes and current algebra on the celestial sphere, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2021) 176.
- [55] S. Ebert, A. Sharma, and D. Wang, Descendants in celestial CFT and emergent multi-collinear factorization, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2021) 030.

- [56] S. Banerjee and S. Ghosh, MHV gluon scattering amplitudes from celestial current algebras, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2021) 111.
- [57] R. Monteiro, Celestial chiral algebras, colour-kinematics duality and integrability, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2023) 092.
- [58] T. Adamo, E. Casali, L. Mason, and S. Nekovar, Scattering on plane waves and the double copy, Classical Quantum Gravity 35, 015004 (2018).
- [59] T. Adamo, E. Casali, L. Mason, and S. Nekovar, Plane wave backgrounds and colour-kinematics duality, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2019) 198.
- [60] N. Bahjat-Abbas, A. Luna, and C. D. White, The Kerr-Schild double copy in curved spacetime, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2017) 004.
- [61] M. Carrillo-González, R. Penco, and M. Trodden, The classical double copy in maximally symmetric spacetimes, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2018) 028.
- [62] L. Borsten, I. Jubb, V. Makwana, and S. Nagy, Gauge x gauge on spheres, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2020) 096.
- [63] L. Borsten, I. Jubb, V. Makwana, and S. Nagy, Gauge x gauge = gravity on homogeneous spaces using tensor convolutions, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2021) 117.
- [64] S. Chawla and C. Keeler, Aligned fields double copy to Kerr-NUT-(A)dS, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2023) 005.
- [65] K. Costello, N. M. Paquette, and A. Sharma, Top-down holography in an asymptotically flat spacetime, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 061602 (2023).
- [66] K. Costello, N. M. Paquette, and A. Sharma, Burns space and holography, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2023) 174.
- [67] C. Armstrong, A. E. Lipstein, and J. Mei, Color/kinematics duality in AdS₄, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2021) 194.
- [68] S. Albayrak, S. Kharel, and D. Meltzer, On duality of color and kinematics in (A)dS momentum space, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2021) 249.
- [69] L. F. Alday, C. Behan, P. Ferrero, and X. Zhou, Gluon scattering in AdS from CFT, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2021) 020.
- [70] P. Diwakar, A. Herderschee, R. Roiban, and F. Teng, BCJ amplitude relations for anti-de Sitter boundary correlators in embedding space, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2021) 141.
- [71] A. Sivaramakrishnan, Towards color-kinematics duality in generic spacetimes, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2022) 036.
- [72] C. Cheung, J. Parra-Martinez, and A. Sivaramakrishnan, On-shell correlators and color-kinematics duality in curved symmetric spacetimes, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2022) 027.
- [73] A. Herderschee, R. Roiban, and F. Teng, On the differential representation and color-kinematics duality of AdS boundary correlators, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2022) 026.
- [74] J. M. Drummond, R. Glew, and M. Santagata, Bern-Carrasco-Johansson relations in $AdS_5 \times S^3$ and the double-trace spectrum of super gluons, Phys. Rev. D **107**, L081901 (2023).

- [75] J. A. Farrow, A. E. Lipstein, and P. McFadden, Double copy structure of CFT correlators, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2019) 130.
- [76] A. E. Lipstein and P. McFadden, Double copy structure and the flat space limit of conformal correlators in even dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 101, 125006 (2020).
- [77] S. Jain, R. R. John, A. Mehta, A. A. Nizami, and A. Suresh, Double copy structure of parity-violating CFT correlators, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2021) 033.
- [78] X. Zhou, Double copy relation in AdS space, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 141601 (2021).
- [79] C. Armstrong, H. Gomez, R. Lipinski Jusinskas, A. Lipstein, and J. Mei, Effective field theories and cosmological scattering equations, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2022) 054.
- [80] A. Lipstein and S. Nagy, Self-dual gravity and color/ kinematics duality in AdS₄, Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**, 081501 (2023).
- [81] C. Armstrong, H. Goodhew, A. Lipstein, and J. Mei, Graviton trispectrum from gluons, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2023) 206.
- [82] J. Mei, Amplitude bootstrap in (anti) de Sitter Space and the four-point graviton from double copy, arXiv:2305.13894.
- [83] T. Adamo, L. Mason, and A. Sharma, Gluon scattering on self-dual radiative gauge fields, Commun. Math. Phys. 399, 1731 (2023).
- [84] T. Adamo, L. Mason, and A. Sharma, Graviton scattering in self-dual radiative space-times, Classical Quantum Gravity 40, 095002 (2023).
- [85] R. Bittleston, S. Heuveline, and D. Skinner, The celestial chiral algebra of self-dual gravity on Eguchi-Hanson space, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2023) 008.
- [86] R. Bonezzi, C. Chiaffrino, F. Diaz-Jaramillo, and O. Hohm, Gauge invariant double copy of Yang-Mills theory: The quartic theory, Phys. Rev. D 107, 126015 (2023).
- [87] A. Ball, S. A. Narayanan, J. Salzer, and A. Strominger, Perturbatively exact $w_{1+\infty}$ asymptotic symmetry of quantum self-dual gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2022) 114.
- [88] S. Gindikin, On one construction of hyper-Kahler metrics, Funct. Anal. Appl. 20, 82 (1986).
- [89] M. Dunajski and L. J. Mason, Hyper-Kahler hierarchies and their twistor theory, Commun. Math. Phys. 213, 641 (2000).
- [90] T. Adamo and A. Ilderton, Gluon helicity flip in a plane wave background, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2019) 015.
- [91] T. Adamo, E. Casali, L. Mason, and S. Nekovar, Amplitudes on plane waves from ambitwistor strings, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2017) 160.
- [92] T. Adamo, A. Ilderton, and A. J. MacLeod, One-loop multicollinear limits from 2-point amplitudes on self-dual backgrounds, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2021) 207.
- [93] R. Bonezzi, F. Diaz-Jaramillo, and S. Nagy, Gauge independent kinematic algebra of self-dual Yang-Mills, Phys. Rev. D 108, 065007 (2023).