Systematic construction of Kastor-Traschen currents and their extensions to generic powers of curvature

Zeynep Tugce Ozkarsligil^{*} and Bayram Tekin[†]

Department of Physics, Middle East Technical University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey

(Received 12 August 2023; accepted 6 October 2023; published 25 October 2023)

Kastor and Traschen constructed totally antisymmetric conserved currents that are linear in the Riemann curvature in spacetimes admitting Killing-Yano tensors. The construction does not refer to any field equations and is built on the algebraic and differential symmetries of the Riemann tensor as well as on the Killing-Yano equation. Here we give a systematic generalization of their work and find divergence-free currents that are built from the powers of the curvature tensor. A rank-four divergence-free tensor that is constructed from the powers of the curvature tensor plays a major role here and it comes from the Lanczos-Lovelock theory.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.108.084050

I. INTRODUCTION

In [1] Kastor and Traschen (KT) introduced the following antisymmetric tensor

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{KT}}^{\mu\nu} \coloneqq -\frac{1}{4} \Big(f_{\sigma\rho} R^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} - 2f^{\mu}{}_{\sigma} R^{\sigma\nu} + 2f^{\nu}{}_{\sigma} R^{\sigma\mu} + f^{\mu\nu} R \Big), \qquad (1)$$

where $R^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho}$, $R^{\mu\nu}$, and R are the Riemann, Ricci tensors, and the scalar curvature, respectively. Here $f^{\mu\nu}$ is a Killing-Yano tensor, i.e. an antisymmetric tensor satisfying the Killing-Yano equation

$$\nabla_{\mu}f_{\nu\sigma} + \nabla_{\nu}f_{\mu\sigma} = 0. \tag{2}$$

The interesting fact about $\mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu}$ is that it is a theory-independent conserved "current," i.e.

$$\nabla_{\mu} \mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu}_{\mathrm{KT}} = 0 \tag{3}$$

for all smooth metrics satisfying the Bianchi identity for the Riemann tensor and its contractions. So Einstein equations or any other field equations have not been used to show the covariant conservation of the current, hence (1) is a geometric object on a generic manifold of dimension D larger than 3. In lower dimensions, for D = 2 and D = 3, $\mathcal{J}_{\text{KT}}^{\mu\nu}$ vanishes identically. Note that one can add a term to this current without destroying its properties; that term would be of the form $\alpha f^{\mu\nu}$, since $\nabla_{\mu} f^{\mu\nu} = 0$ with α an arbitrary constant or it can be chosen to be the cosmological constant Λ to comply with the linearity of the KT current in the curvature. We shall comment on this below.

Any conserved current on a manifold is both a curiosity and a valuable asset in constructing conserved quantities. In fact, in [1], generalizing the Arnowitt-Deser-Missner [2] and the Abbott-Deser [3] (Killing) charge constructions, (1) was used to define conserved mass density for asymptotically flat spacetimes only in certain spatial directions which is the case, for example, for D or p branes. The total mass of these infinitely extended objects would be infinite, but their mass density is preserved and positive under certain conditions as was shown in [4]. The Kastor-Traschen construction was extended to asymptotically transverse anti-de-Sitter spacetimes in [5] following the formalism of [6,7] and the first-order formulation of [8]. (Note that one could naively worry that a conserved mass density would not allow any type of motion, that is not the case here. The mass density is calculated at infinity in certain transverse directions to the extended object; it does allow the motion of the object. For an explicit example, see the long Weyl rod computation in [5].)

The usefulness of a KT-type conserved current, $\mathcal{J}_{\text{KT}}^{\mu\nu}$, ¹ is clear, but its construction and generalizations to higher powers in the curvature are not clear, because the way (1) appeared in the original paper seems more like serendipity, and to be able to go beyond the linearity in the curvature and include more powers of curvature would be rather difficult without a systematic approach. Here we shall remedy this and first show how (1) appears rather naturally and how it can be extended to generic powers of curvature. So our goal here is the following: without referring to any field equations of a particular gravity theory, construct

ozkarsligil.zeynep@metu.edu.tr

[†]btekin@metu.edu.tr

¹One should be happy any time one sees a covariantly conserved antisymmetric object as they lead to conserved quantities.

conserved antisymmetric currents that are linear in the Killing-Yano tensor but nonlinear in the Riemann tensor and its contractions for smooth manifolds of dimensions $D \ge 4$. For a recent nice summary of the uses of Killing-Yano tensors see Ref. [9].

In Sec. II, we give a concise form of the KT current that is amenable to generalization; in Sec. III we use the Lanczos-Lovelock theory to build a conserved rank-four tensor that has the same algebraic properties of the Riemann tensor but does not obey the differential Bianchi identity, yet it is divergence-free. In the Appendix, we give a differential form equivalent version of the discussion.

II. THE \mathcal{P} TENSOR AND THE KASTOR-TRASCHEN CURRENTS

In [10,11], for the intent of writing the conserved charges in asymptotically AdS spacetimes in terms of the Riemann tensor, the authors introduced a (1,3) rank tensor, the \mathcal{P} tensor which reads in generic $D \ge 4$ dimensions as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}^{\nu}{}_{\mu\beta\sigma} \coloneqq R^{\nu}{}_{\mu\beta\sigma} + \delta^{\nu}{}_{\sigma}\mathcal{G}_{\beta\mu} - \delta^{\nu}{}_{\beta}\mathcal{G}_{\sigma\mu} + \mathcal{G}^{\nu}{}_{\sigma}g_{\beta\mu} - \mathcal{G}^{\nu}{}_{\beta}g_{\sigma\mu} + \frac{R}{2} \left(\delta^{\nu}{}_{\sigma}g_{\beta\mu} - \delta^{\nu}{}_{\beta}g_{\sigma\mu} \right), \tag{4}$$

where the (cosmological) Einstein tensor is defined as $\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} \coloneqq R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$. In what follows we will set $\Lambda = 0$, but keeping it would not drastically alter the picture. The \mathcal{P} tensor has the following properties each of which can be easily checked from its definition and the symmetries of the Riemann tensor and the Bianchi identities:

- (1) It vanishes identically in two and three dimensions.
- (2) It has the algebraic symmetries of the Riemann tensor, and satisfies the algebraic Bianchi identity $\mathcal{P}_{\mu[\nu\beta\sigma]} = 0.$
- (3) Its trace yields not the Ricci tensor but the Einstein tensor

$$\mathcal{P}^{\nu}{}_{\mu\nu\sigma} = (3-D)\mathcal{G}_{\mu\sigma}.$$
 (5)

(4) It does not obey the differential Bianchi identity, namely $\nabla_{[\mu} \mathcal{P}_{\rho\nu]\beta\sigma} \neq 0$, but it obeys the following covariant divergence-free property (for all of its indices)

$$\nabla_{\nu} \mathcal{P}^{\nu}{}_{\mu\beta\sigma} = 0. \tag{6}$$

Needless to say, the Riemann tensor does not have this property for generic spacetimes.

(5) In four dimensions, it is equal to the *double dual* of the Riemann tensor:

$$\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \star R \star^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \coloneqq \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\delta\lambda} R_{\sigma\rho\delta\lambda}, \quad D = 4.$$
(7)

$$\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R^2. \quad (8)$$

In (4), one can add the constant term $-\frac{(D+1)\Lambda}{D-1}$ $(\delta^{\nu}_{\sigma}g_{\beta\mu} - \delta^{\nu}_{\beta}g_{\sigma\mu})$ without destroying any of the above properties and use the cosmological Einstein tensor. That would make $\mathcal{P}^{\nu}_{\ \mu\beta\sigma}$ vanish for maximally symmetric spacetimes, and make it reduce to the Weyl tensor for all Einstein spacetimes. Here, we have not added that term.

Let us note that, in a rather surprising way, this tensor also appeared in a new definition of the surface gravity and the associated Hawking temperature of black holes [12].

Let us now introduce another property of this tensor, which will help us prove the claims of this paper: the KT current (1) is given as

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{KT}}^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\sigma\rho} f^{\sigma\rho}.$$
 (9)

This equation is easy to prove as one just uses the definition of the \mathcal{P} tensor (4) and the antisymmetry of the Killing-Yano tensor. Moreover, the covariant divergence property follows immediately since

$$\nabla_{\mu}\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{KT}}^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{4}\nabla_{\mu}(\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\sigma\rho})f^{\sigma\rho} - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\sigma\rho}\nabla_{\mu}f^{\sigma\rho}.$$
 (10)

The first term on the right-hand side vanishes due to (6), and the second term on the right-hand side vanishes since it can be written as $\mathcal{P}^{\nu}{}_{[\mu\sigma\rho]}\nabla^{\mu}f^{\sigma\rho}$, due to the total antisymmetry of the Killing-Yano tensor. This term is identically zero as the first one since $\mathcal{P}^{\nu}{}_{[\mu\sigma\rho]} = 0$. Note that by adding a term proportional to $\Lambda(\delta^{\nu}_{\sigma}g_{\beta\mu} - \delta^{\nu}_{\beta}g_{\sigma\mu})$ in the \mathcal{P} tensor, one can also generate the linear term $\alpha f^{\mu\nu}$ in the KT current that we discussed at the end of the paragraph that includes (3).

Once we have an antisymmetric conserved current, it is easy to build total conserved charges on a manifold \mathcal{M} with a boundary as follows: one defines a two-form in local coordinates

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{KT}} \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{KT}} dx^{\mu} \wedge dx^{\nu}. \tag{11}$$

This two-form is not closed, but it yields a natural closed D-2 form, $\star \mathcal{J}_{\text{KT}}$, i.e. $d\star \mathcal{J}_{\text{KT}} = 0$. Then the existence of a closed D-2 form yields the number $Q \coloneqq \int_{\Sigma} \star \mathcal{J}_{\text{KT}}$ where Σ is a codimension two submanifold of the spacetime, and the number corresponds to the de Rham period or the homology class of the submanifold [1,13].

One generalization of the rank-two KT current was already done in the original work [1] to rank-*n* currents using a rank $n \leq D$ Yano tensor $f_{\mu_1...\mu_n} = f_{[\mu_1...\mu_n]}$ that satisfies

$$\nabla_{\alpha} f_{\beta\mu_2\dots\mu_n} + \nabla_{\beta} f_{\alpha\mu_2\dots\mu_n} = 0, \qquad (12)$$

and yields the covariantly conserved *n*-current

$$\mathcal{J}^{\mu_1...\mu_n} = (n-1)R^{[\mu_1\mu_2}{}_{\rho\sigma}f^{\mu_3...\mu_n]\rho\sigma} + 4(-1)^n R_{\sigma}^{[\mu_1}f^{\mu_2...\mu_n]\sigma} + \frac{2}{n}Rf^{\mu_1...\mu_n},$$
(13)

which can be written as [1]

$$\mathcal{J}^{\mu_1\dots\mu_n} = -N_n \delta^{\mu_1\dots\mu_n\alpha\beta}_{\nu_1\dots\nu_n\sigma\rho} f^{\nu_1\dots\nu_n} R^{\sigma\rho}_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{14}$$

with $R_{\alpha\beta}^{\sigma\rho} \equiv R^{\sigma\rho}{}_{\alpha\beta}$, N_n is a normalization constant, and the generalized Kronecker delta reads as

$$\delta_{\nu_1\dots\nu_m}^{\mu_1\dots\mu_m} = \det \begin{vmatrix} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_1} & \dots & \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \delta_{\nu_m}^{\mu_1} & \dots & \delta_{\nu_m}^{\mu_m} \end{vmatrix}.$$
 (15)

For the case of rank-two Yano tensor (13), one has

$$\mathcal{J}^{\mu_1\mu_2} = -\frac{1}{16} \delta^{\mu_1\mu_2\alpha\beta}_{\nu_1\nu_2\sigma\rho} f^{\nu_1\nu_2} R^{\sigma\rho}_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{16}$$

which is consistent with (9) since one can also write the \mathcal{P} tensor as

$$\mathcal{P}^{\alpha\beta}_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{4} \delta^{\alpha\beta\lambda\gamma}_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}_{\lambda\gamma}, \qquad (17)$$

as one can check by expanding the generalized Kronecker delta in terms of the determinant of Kronecker deltas. The above form of the current gave us a hint for generalizations that are nonlinear in the curvature tensor which we perform in the next section.

III. GENERALIZED KASTOR-TRASCHEN CURRENTS FROM LANCZOS-LOVELOCK THEORY

A. Construction of the generalized current

In *D* spacetime dimensions, the Lovelock gravity, or perhaps more properly Lanczos-Lovelock gravity [14–16], is defined by the Lagrangian²

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{LL}}(R_{mn}^{kl}) \coloneqq \sum_{n=0}^{\left[\frac{D}{2}\right]} a_n \mathcal{L}_n, \qquad (18)$$

where a_n 's are dimensionful constants, and $\left[\frac{D}{2}\right]$ corresponds to the integer part of its argument. Each part is given as

$$\mathcal{L}_{n} \coloneqq \frac{1}{2^{n}} \delta^{\mu_{1}\dots\mu_{2n}}_{\nu_{1}\dots\nu_{2n}} \prod_{p=1}^{n} R^{\mu_{2p-1}\mu_{2p}}_{\mu_{2p-1}\mu_{2p}},$$
(19)

where the generalized Kronecker delta is defined as (15). By definition, the lowest-order term is defined as the cosmological constant: $\mathcal{L}_0 \coloneqq -2\Lambda$. One can compute the next few terms: n = 1 gives the Einstein-Hilbert, and n = 2gives the Gauss-Bonnet Lagrangians. If the spacetime dimension D is even, then the highest-order term $\mathcal{L}_{D/2}$ is a pure divergence and does not contribute to the field equations; i.e. it is a topological invariant for compact manifolds. (See Refs. [18,19] for a somewhat detailed discussion of the nonexistence of the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory in D = 4 dimensions that gathered so much recent attention.) The virtues of the Lanczos-Lovelock theory are well known, and we shall not repeat them here; they are summarized in [17,20]. Our main intention here is to use this theory to first define a proper generalization of the \mathcal{P} tensor of the previous section that has the same divergence-free property and the algebraic symmetries, but it is nonlinear in the curvature tensor and its traces. If we can do that, it should be clear to the astute reader by now, we can generalize the KT currents.

Therefore, from (19), let us define the generalized, still rank four, \mathcal{P} tensor as³

$$\mathcal{P}_{(n)}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \coloneqq \frac{1}{2^n} \delta_{\nu_1\dots\nu_{2n}}^{\mu_1\dots\mu_{2n}} \prod_{p=1}^n R_{\mu_{2p-1}\mu_{2p}}^{\nu_{2p-1}\nu_{2p}}, \qquad (20)$$

or more explicitly,

$$\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{(n)\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2^n} \delta^{\mu\nu\mu_3\dots\mu_{2n}}_{\alpha\beta\nu_3\dots\nu_{2n}} \prod_{p=2}^n R^{\nu_{2p-1}\nu_{2p}}_{\mu_{2p-1}\mu_{2p}}.$$
 (21)

Observe that with the above normalization $\mathcal{P}_{(2)\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu}$ corresponds to our earlier definition (4) or (17), and $\mathcal{P}_{(0)\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu}$. It is clear from the definition (21) that $\mathcal{P}_{(n)\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the algebraic symmetries of the Riemann tensor and the first Bianchi identity $\mathcal{P}_{(n)\alpha[\beta\mu\nu]} = 0$. But we need to show that it is divergence-free. Let us work this out by direct computation:

$$\nabla_{\nu} \mathcal{P}_{(n)\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{n-1}{2^{n}} \delta_{\alpha\beta\nu_{1}...\nu_{2n}}^{\mu\nu\mu_{3}...\mu_{2n}} \nabla_{\nu} \left(R_{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}^{\nu_{3}\nu_{4}} \right) \prod_{p=3}^{n} R_{\mu_{2p-1}\mu_{2p}}^{\nu_{2p-1}\mu_{2p}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} \frac{n-1}{2^{n}} \delta_{\alpha\beta\nu_{1}...\nu_{2n}}^{\mu\nu\mu_{3}\mu_{4}...\mu_{2n}} \nabla_{[\nu} R_{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}]}^{\nu_{3}\mu_{4}} \prod_{p=3}^{n} R_{\mu_{2p-1}\mu_{2p}}^{\nu_{2p-1}\mu_{2p}} = 0,$$
(22)

²We follow the notation of [17] but multiply the Lagrangian by $\frac{1}{2^n}$.

³An equivalent tensor was constructed in [21] where it was called the Riemann-Lovelock curvature tensor.

where in the last line we used the differential Bianchi identity on the Riemann tensor. So this construction generalizes all the features of $\mathcal{P}_{(2)\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu}$ to $\mathcal{P}_{(n)\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu}$. Therefore, we can now define the conserved generalized KT rank-two current as

$$\mathcal{J}_{(n)}^{\mu\nu} \coloneqq -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{P}_{(n)\sigma\rho}^{\mu\nu} f^{\sigma\rho} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2^n} \delta^{\mu\nu\mu_3\dots\mu_{2n}}_{\sigma\rho\nu_3\dots\nu_{2n}} f^{\sigma\rho} \prod_{p=2}^n R^{\nu_{2p-1}\nu_{2p}}_{\mu_{2p-1}\mu_{2p}},$$
(23)

which is antisymmetric and conserved $\nabla_{\mu} \mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu}_{(n)} = 0$. Note that any linear combination of these currents in the form

$$\mathcal{J}_{(\text{Total})}^{\mu\nu} \coloneqq \sum_{n=0}^{\left[\frac{D}{2}\right]} c_n \mathcal{J}_{(n)}^{\mu\nu}, \qquad (24)$$

where c_n are dimensionful constants.

B. Wald's rank-four tensor versus the \mathcal{P} tensor

Let us comment on the connection between the \mathcal{P} tensor discussed above and a rank-four tensor that appears in the Wald entropy computations in higher derivative gravity models [22,23].⁴ Given a diffeomorphism invariant action built on the metric tensor and the Riemann tensor and its derivatives and contractions, $I = \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}(g_{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}, \nabla_{\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}, ...)$, the field equations suggest that one defines the following tensor that has the same *algebraic* symmetries as the Riemann tensor

$$P^{W}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \coloneqq \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}},\qquad(25)$$

where we put a *W* subscript that refers to Wald. Generically this tensor is *not* covariant divergence-free (i.e. $\nabla^{\mu} P^{W}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \neq 0$), nor does it obey the differential symmetries of the Riemann tensor. Recall that the covariant divergencefree property (6) of our \mathcal{P} was a necessary ingredient in the construction of the above currents. So generically $P^{W} \neq \mathcal{P}$ and one cannot use Wald's tensor to extend the KT currents straightforwardly. To demonstrate what we have just stated, let us consider a particular form of the action for which the Lagrangian is a polynomial in the Riemann tensor only, but does not depend on its derivatives. So we have $I = \int d^{D}x \sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}(g_{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta})$, of which the field equations are [24] (here we use a more compact notation)

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = \nabla^{\lambda} \nabla^{\sigma} P^{W}_{\mu\sigma\nu\lambda} + \nabla^{\lambda} \nabla^{\sigma} P^{W}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \left(P^{W}_{\rho\sigma\lambda\nu} R^{\rho\sigma\lambda}_{\ \mu} + P^{W}_{\rho\sigma\lambda\mu} R^{\rho\sigma\lambda}_{\ \nu} \right) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}, \quad (26)$$

which is generically a fourth-order theory in terms of the dynamical field (the metric tensor). At this stage, one could try to restrict the set of theories by demanding that one should have a second-order theory just like Einstein's gravity, then this can be achieved by setting

$$\nabla^{\sigma} P^{W}_{\mu\sigma\nu\lambda} = 0,$$
 we demand this, (27)

which reduces (26) to a second-order theory. Say we have no matter fields, then from the reduced form of (26), one obtains

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{2}{D} P^{W}_{\rho\sigma\lambda\mu} R^{\rho\sigma\lambda\mu}.$$
(28)

Finally, comparing with (20), only in this case (which is the case of Lanczos-Lovelock theories), the Wald tensor and the \mathcal{P} of this work are proportional to each other as

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \frac{2}{D} P^{W}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}.$$
 (29)

Let us remark that for this case, the Wald entropy of a black hole as a conserved charge of diffeomorphisms (computed in the spatial cross section of a null horizon) reads [25]

$$S_W = 2\pi \int_S P^W_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} d^{D-2} S, \qquad (30)$$

where $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$ are the binormal vectors to *S* that involve the timelike Killing vector (see Ref. [26] for further details). For a physical interpretation of the conserved charges built in this work, this connection between the Wald entropy would be very valuable; but one has to be careful, in the former we use Killing-Yano tensors, while in the latter the Killing vectors.

C. Linearized KT currents

As a separate note, to further gain insight into the physical meaning of the currents constructed here, we can consider *asymptotically* flat spacetimes that only have asymptotic (not exact) Killing-Yano tensors as was done in [1]. This vantage point as advocated in [27] in the case of Killing vectors leads to conserved charges (with respect to the background of which the charges are assumed to be zero), and it proceeds by the linearization of all relevant tensors about the background spacetime (with the metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$). The details of this construction can be found in the recent review [28]. Let $\overline{f}_{\mu\nu}$ be the background Killing-Yano tensor, then linearization of (24) around the background flat spacetime (with $\bar{R}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = 0$); and each term in (24) is given as in (23). Then one realizes that the only contribution comes from the original Kastor-Traschen current that is linear in the curvature tensor; all other terms are built with at least two powers of the Riemann tensor and hence vanish

⁴We thank a conscientious referee for reminding us of this tensor.

for asymptotically flat backgrounds. So the current construction here reduces to that of KT for all asymptotically flat spacetimes. As an antisymmetric rank-two current, the linearized version of the KT current in asymptotically flat spacetimes can be written in terms of potential as $(\mathcal{J}_{(2)}^{\mu\nu})_L = \overline{\nabla}_{\sigma} \ell^{\sigma\mu\nu}$, where the potential was given in [1], and $\overline{\nabla}_{\sigma}$ denotes the covariant derivative with respect to the flat background. On the other hand, if the background spacetime is not asymptotically flat, but say, asymptotically anti–de-Sitter, the terms in (24) contribute to the total current depending on the number of dimensions D, since now $\bar{R}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \neq 0$. Let us show this with an example in AdS spacetime. Linearizing (23) about an AdS background yields

$$\left(\mathcal{J}_{(n)}^{\mu\nu}\right)_{L} = -\frac{1}{4} \left(\mathcal{P}_{(n)\sigma\rho}^{\mu\nu}\right)_{L} \bar{f}^{\sigma\rho},\tag{31}$$

where, from (21), we have

$$\left(\mathcal{P}_{(n)\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu}\right)_{L} = \frac{(n-1)}{2^{n}} \delta_{\alpha\beta\nu_{3}...\nu_{2n}}^{\mu\nu\mu_{3}...\mu_{2n}} \left(R_{\mu_{2n-1}\mu_{2n}}^{\nu_{2n-1}\nu_{2n}}\right)_{L} \prod_{p=2}^{n-1} \bar{R}_{\mu_{2p-1}\mu_{2p}}^{\nu_{2p-1}\nu_{2p}}.$$
 (32)

For the background we have

$$\bar{R}^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2\Lambda}{(D-1)(D-2)} \left(\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha} \delta^{\nu}_{\beta} - \delta^{\mu}_{\beta} \delta^{\nu}_{\alpha} \right).$$
(33)

For the sake of simplicity, let us consider the n = 3 case (corresponding to the cubic Lanczos-Lovelock theory) for which we have to compute

$$\left(\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{(3)\alpha\beta}\right)_{L} = \frac{1}{2^{2}} \delta^{\mu\nu\mu_{3}...\mu_{6}}_{\alpha\beta\nu_{3}...\nu_{6}} \left(R^{\nu_{5}\nu_{6}}_{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}}\right)_{L} \bar{R}^{\nu_{3}\nu_{4}}_{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}, \qquad (34)$$

which reduces to

$$\left(\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{(3)\alpha\beta}\right)_{L} = \frac{\Lambda}{(D-1)(D-2)} \delta^{\mu\nu\mu_{3}\mu_{4}\mu_{5}\mu_{6}}_{\alpha\beta\mu_{3}\mu_{4}\nu_{5}\nu_{6}} \left(R^{\nu_{5}\nu_{6}}_{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}}\right)_{L}.$$
 (35)

We can reduce the contracted generalized Kronecker delta with six up and six down indices as follows (see Ref. [17]):

$$\delta^{\mu\nu\mu_{3}\mu_{4}\mu_{5}\mu_{6}}_{\alpha\beta\mu_{3}\mu_{4}\nu_{5}\nu_{6}} = \frac{(D-4)!}{(D-6)!} \delta^{\mu,\nu\mu_{5}\mu_{6}}_{\alpha\beta\nu_{5}\nu_{6}}, \qquad D \ge 6$$
(36)

which then yields

$$\left(\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}_{(3)\alpha\beta}\right)_{L} = \frac{\Lambda}{(D-1)(D-2)} \frac{(D-4)!}{(D-6)!} \delta^{\mu\nu\mu_{5}\mu_{6}}_{\alpha\beta\nu_{5}\nu_{6}} \left(R^{\nu_{5}\nu_{6}}_{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}}\right)_{L}.$$
 (37)

The resulting computation is still a little bit tedious; one can show that

$$\left(\mathcal{J}_{(3)}^{\mu\nu}\right)_{L} = \frac{4\Lambda}{(D-1)(D-2)} \frac{(D-4)!}{(D-6)!} \left(\mathcal{J}_{(2)}^{\mu\nu}\right)_{L}, \quad (38)$$

where $(\mathcal{J}_{(2)}^{\mu\nu})_L$ was shown in [5] to be written in terms of a rank-three potential as follows:

$$\left(\mathcal{J}_{(2)}^{\mu\nu} \right)_{L} = 3! \overline{\nabla}_{\sigma} \left(\bar{f}^{\rho[\mu} \overline{\nabla}^{\nu} h^{\sigma]}{}_{\rho} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{f}^{[\nu\mu} \overline{\nabla}^{\sigma]} h + \frac{1}{2} h_{\rho}{}^{[\nu} \overline{\nabla}^{\sigma} \bar{f}^{[\rho]\mu]} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{f}^{[\nu\mu} \overline{\nabla}_{[\rho]} h^{\sigma]\rho} + \frac{1}{6} h \overline{\nabla}{}^{[\nu} \bar{f}^{\sigma\mu]} \right),$$

$$(39)$$

where the brackets denote antisymmetrization, and $h_{\mu\nu} := g_{\mu\nu} - \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is the deviation from AdS which is assumed to be small asymptotically at spatial infinity. This form can easily be integrated to give conserved charges which we shall study more explicitly in a separate work. So the upshot is that each term in (24) contributes to the KT current for asymptotically nonflat geometries, while only the original KT current contributes for asymptotically flat geometries akin to the case for the Killing charges which is just the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner [2] energy for asymptotically flat geometries even in higher curvature theories, but higher curvature terms contribute for asymptotically nonflat ones [6,7].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, our goal was to generalize the Kastor-Traschen current [1]) that is linear in the Riemann curvature and the Killing-Yano tensor to currents nonlinear in the curvature. For this purpose, we reformulated the original KT current in terms of a rank-four divergence-free tensor and used that expression and the Lanczos-Lovelock theory to build the generalized current. In the construction of these currents, the only ingredients are the Bianchi identities of the Riemann tensor as well as the Killing-Yano tensor. The currents are valid for all spacetimes that admit Killing-Yano tensors. There are various possible extensions of our work: we used Killing-Yano tensors here, but one can extend the construction to the spacetimes admitting conformal Killing-Yano tensors [29].

APPENDIX: THE \mathcal{P} TENSOR IN THE DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

Here we briefly give the discussion of the \mathcal{P} tensor in terms of differential forms. For this purpose, we need to recast the Lanczos-Lovelock Lagrangians as *D*-forms first.

The Cartan structure equations for the curvature twoform and the torsion one-form read, respectively, as

$$R^a{}_b \coloneqq d\omega^a{}_b + \omega^a{}_c \wedge \omega^c{}_b, \tag{A1}$$

$$T^a \coloneqq de^a{}_b + \omega^a{}_b \wedge e^b = De^a. \tag{A2}$$

The Bianchi identities are

$$D \wedge R^a{}_b = 0, \qquad D \wedge T^a - R^a{}_b \wedge e^b = 0, \qquad (A3)$$

while the Lanczos-Lovelock Lagrangian as a function of the vierbein and the spin connection reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{LL}[e^a, \omega^{ab}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\left[\frac{D}{2}\right]} a_n \mathcal{L}_{(n)}, \qquad (A4)$$

where the *D*-form Lagrangian for each n is given as

$$\mathcal{L}_{(n)} \coloneqq \epsilon_{a_1 \dots a_D} R^{a_1 a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge R^{a_{2n-1} a_{2n}} \wedge e^{a_{2n+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{a_D}.$$
(A5)

Then we can define the tensor-valued $\mathcal{P}(D-2)$ form

$$\mathcal{P}_{a_1a_2} \coloneqq \epsilon_{a_1\dots a_D} R^{a_3a_4} \wedge \dots \wedge R^{a_{2n-1}a_{2n}} \wedge e^{a_{2n+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{a_D}.$$
(A6)

We did not need them, but for completeness, let us give the field equations for the full theory: The field equation coming from $\frac{\delta I_{LL}[e^a,\omega^{ab}]}{\delta e^b}$ reads

$$\mathcal{E}_{b} = \sum_{n=0}^{\left[\frac{D-1}{2}\right]} (D-2n)a_{n}\mathcal{E}_{b}^{(n)} = 0$$
 (A7)

where

$$\mathcal{E}_{b}^{(n)} := \epsilon_{ba_{2}..a_{D-1}} R^{a_{1}a_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge R^{a_{2n-1}a_{2n}} \wedge e^{a_{2n+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge e^{a_{D-1}}.$$
(A8)

The field equation coming from $\frac{\delta I_{LL}[e^a,\omega^{ab}]}{\delta \omega^{b^c}}$ reads

$$\mathcal{H}_{bc} = \sum_{n=1}^{\left[\frac{D-1}{2}\right]} n(D-2n) a_n \mathcal{H}_{bc}^{(n)}$$
(A9)

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{bc}^{(n)} \coloneqq \epsilon_{bca_3..a_D} R^{a_3a_4} \wedge \ldots \wedge R^{a_{2n-1}a_{2n}} \wedge T^{a_{2n+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge e^{a_{D-1}}$$
(A10)

and we observe that the torsion entered into the last equation which can be set to zero. See Ref. [30] for further details.

- D. Kastor and J. Traschen, Conserved gravitational charges from Yano tensors, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2004) 045.
- [2] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C. W. Misner, Canonical variables for general relativity, Phys. Rev. 117, 1595 (1960); , *Gravitation: An Introduction to Current Research*, edited by L. Witten (Wiley, New York, 1962).
- [3] L. F. Abbott and S. Deser, Stability of gravity with a cosmological constant, Nucl. Phys. **B195**, 76 (1982).
- [4] D. Kastor, T. Shiromizu, S. Tomizawa, and J. Traschen, Positivity bounds for the Y-ADM mass density, Phys. Rev. D 71, 104015 (2005).
- [5] H. Cebeci, O. Sarioglu, and B. Tekin, Gravitational charges of transverse asymptotically AdS spacetimes, Phys. Rev. D 74, 124021 (2006).
- [6] S. Deser and B. Tekin, Gravitational energy in quadratic curvature gravities, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 101101 (2002).
- [7] S. Deser and B. Tekin, Energy in generic higher curvature gravity theories, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 084009 (2003).
- [8] H. Cebeci, O. Sarioglu, and B. Tekin, Negative mass solitons in gravity, Phys. Rev. D 73, 064020 (2006).
- [9] U. Lindström and Ö. Sarıoğlu, Uses of Killing-Yano tensors, Proc. Sci. CORFU2021 (2022) 149.
- [10] E. Altas and B. Tekin, Conserved charges in AdS: A new formula, Phys. Rev. D 99, 044026 (2019).

- [11] E. Altas and B. Tekin, New approach to conserved charges of generic gravity in AdS spacetimes, Phys. Rev. D 99, 044016 (2019).
- [12] E. Altas and B. Tekin, Hawking temperature as the total Gauss–Bonnet invariant of the region outside a black hole, Eur. Phys. J. C 83, 414 (2023).
- [13] I. M. Benn, Conservation laws in arbitrary space-times, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare Phys. Theor. 37, 67 (1982).
- [14] D. Lovelock, The Einstein tensor and its generalizations, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) 12, 498 (1971).
- [15] D. Lovelock, The four-dimensionality of space and the Einstein tensor, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) 13, 874 (1972).
- [16] C. Lanczos, A remarkable property of the Riemann-Christoffel tensor in four dimensions, Ann. Math. (N. Y.) 39, 842 (1938).
- [17] T. C. Sisman, I. Gullu, and B. Tekin, Spectra, vacua, and the unitarity of Lovelock gravity in D-dimensional AdS spacetimes, Phys. Rev. D 86, 044041 (2012).
- [18] M. Gurses, T. C. Sisman, and B. Tekin, Is there a novel Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory in four dimensions?, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 647 (2020).
- [19] M. Gurses, T.C. Sisman, and B. Tekin, Comment on "Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity in 4-dimensional spacetime", Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 149001 (2020).
- [20] Q. Exirifard and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Lovelock gravity at the crossroads of Palatini and metric formulations, Phys. Lett. B 661, 158 (2008).

- [21] D. Kastor, The Riemann-Lovelock curvature tensor, Classical Quantum Gravity **29**, 155007 (2012).
- [22] R. M. Wald, Black hole entropy is the Noether charge, Phys. Rev. D 48, R3427 (1993).
- [23] V. Iyer and R. M. Wald, Some properties of Noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy, Phys. Rev. D 50, 846 (1994).
- [24] I. Güllü, T. C. Sisman, and B. Tekin, Born-Infeld gravity with a massless graviton in four dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 91, 044007 (2015).
- [25] G. Lopes Cardoso, B. de Wit, and T. Mohaupt, Deviations from the area law for supersymmetric black holes, Fortschr. Phys. 48, 49 (2000).

- [26] G. D. Ozen, S. Kurekci, and B. Tekin, Entropy in Born-Infeld gravity, Phys. Rev. D 96, 124038 (2017).
- [27] L. F. Abbott and S. Deser, Stability of gravity with a cosmological constant, Nucl. Phys. B195, 76 (1982).
- [28] H. Adami, M. R. Setare, T. C. Sisman, and B. Tekin, Conserved charges in extended theories of gravity, Phys. Rep. 834, 1 (2019).
- [29] U. Lindström and Ö. Sarıoğlu, Geometry, conformal Killing-Yano tensors and conserved "currents", J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2023) 176.
- [30] A. Mardones and J. Zanelli, Lovelock-Cartan theory of gravity, Classical Quantum Gravity 8, 1545 (1991).