Spin-orbit contribution to radiative losses for spinning binaries with aligned spins

Donato Bini⁽¹⁾,^{1,2} Andrea Geralico⁽¹⁾,¹ and Piero Rettegno³

¹Istituto per le Applicazioni del Calcolo "M. Picone," CNR, I-00185 Rome, Italy ²INFN, Sezione di Roma Tre, I-00146 Rome, Italy ³INFN Sezione di Torino, Via P. Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy

(Received 24 July 2023; accepted 5 September 2023; published 25 September 2023)

We compute the leading order contribution to radiative losses in the case of spinning binaries with aligned spins due to their spin-orbit interaction. The orbital average along hyperboliclike orbits is taken through an appropriate spin-orbit modification to the quasi-Keplerian parametrization for nonspinning bodies, which maintains the same functional form, but with spin-dependent orbital elements. We perform consistency checks with existing post-Newtonian-based and post-Minkowskian (PM)-based results. In the former case, we compare our expressions for both radiated energy and angular momentum with those obtained in [G. Cho et al., From boundary data to bound states. Part III. Radiative effects, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2022) 154] by applying the boundary-to-bound correspondence to known results for ellipticlike orbits, finding agreement. The linear momentum loss is instead newly computed here. In the latter case, we also find agreement with the low-velocity limit of recent calculations of the total radiated energy, angular momentum and linear momentum in the framework of an extension of the worldline quantum field theory approach to the classical scattering of spinning bodies at the leading PM order [G. U. Jakobsen et al., Gravitational Bremsstrahlung and Hidden Supersymmetry of Spinning Bodies, Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 011101 (2022), M. M. Riva et al., Gravitational bremsstrahlung from spinning binaries in the post-Minkowskian expansion, Phys. Rev. D 106, 044013 (2022)]. We get exact expressions of the radiative losses in terms of the orbital elements, even if they are at the leading post-Newtonian order, so that their expansion for large values of the eccentricity parameter (or equivalently of the impact parameter) provides higher-order terms in the corresponding PM expansion, which can be useful for future crosschecks of other approaches.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.108.064049

I. INTRODUCTION

The importance of including spin effects in the description of the dynamics of a two-body system has been known for a long time. In the pioneering work of Kidder [1] it was shown that the effects of spin-orbit and spin-spin interactions on the inspiral of a coalescing binary system of spinning compact objects may be relevant for rapidly rotating bodies, since they induce post-Newtonian (PN) corrections which are comparable with those of the nonspinning counterparts.

The presence of non-negligible spins can strongly affect the binary dynamics. In particular, spin vectors not aligned with the orbital angular momentum cause the orbital plane to precess, leading to modulations in the observed shape of the waveform (see also Ref. [2]). In addition, the spins of the bodies modify the radiative multipole moments, so that they directly contribute to the amplitude and accumulated phase of the waveform as well as to the losses of energy, angular momentum and linear momentum from the system.

In the present paper we focus on spin-orbit effects, which are linear in the spins of the binary. The study of the

spin-orbit coupling was already carried out at the leading PN order in Ref. [1], later extended to the next-to-leading order in Refs. [3–8] by using different approaches (including effective-field-theory-based [9,10] and amplitude-based [11] methods), also taking into account radiation-reaction effects [12–15]. Higher-order extensions have also been obtained over the years [16–23].

Most of the efforts have been devoted so far to the case of quasicircular motion, since the emission of gravitational radiation tends to circularize the orbits of the binary. The spin-orbit contributions to the radiative losses have been computed first by Kidder at the leading PN order for general orbits, further specialized to circular motion. These contributions turn out to be 1.5PN order relative to the leading Newtonian order in the case of the energy and angular momentum, and 0.5PN order in the case of the linear momentum. Next-to-leading order corrections have been then obtained in Ref. [24] (see also Ref. [25]). The state of the art for ellipticlike orbits is the next-to-next-to-leading order spin-orbit and spin-spin corrections to the energy loss at 4PN level, while 3PN for both angular momentum and linear momentum losses [18,26–28].

We compute here the contributions to the orbital average of the energy, angular momentum and linear momentum fluxes along hyperboliclike orbits of a two-body system due to their leading-order spin-orbit interaction in the simplest case of spins with constant magnitude and orthogonal to the orbital plane. This special situation allows for using a minimal modification to the quasi-Keplerian parametrization of the orbit for nonspinning bodies [29] (see Refs. [30-32] for the case of ellipticlike motion). Our results are in agreement with the low-velocity limit of recent calculations of the total radiated energy, angular momentum and linear momentum obtained in Refs. [33,34] by using an extension of the worldline quantum field theory approach to the classical scattering of spinning bodies at the leading post-Minkowskian (PM) order. Although valid at the leading PN order our expressions for the radiative losses are exact functions of the orbital elements. Therefore, they can be expanded in PM sense as series expansions in the large-eccentricity parameter (or equivalently large-impact parameter), which give higher-order PM terms useful for crosschecking companion amplitude-based and PM-based computations.

We will use a mostly positive signature of the metric (-+++). The two bodies have masses m_1 and m_2 (with $m_1 > m_2$) and spin vectors \mathbf{S}_1 and \mathbf{S}_2 . Standard mass ratio notations will be used for the total mass $M = m_1 + m_2$, the reduced mass $\mu = m_1 m_2/M$, the symmetric mass-ratio $\nu = \mu/M$, mass difference $\delta m = m_1 - m_2$, as well as for the two symmetric combinations of spins

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2, \qquad \mathbf{S}_* = \frac{m_2}{m_1} \mathbf{S}_1 + \frac{m_1}{m_2} \mathbf{S}_2.$$
 (1)

We work in harmonic coordinates such that the orbital plane is the x-y plane, and the direction of the spins (as well as orbital angular momentum) is the *z*-axis

$$\mathbf{S} = Se_z, \qquad \mathbf{S}_* = S_*e_z. \tag{2}$$

The spin vectors also have constant magnitude. To simplify expressions we mostly work in units of G, M, and c, except for comparison with existing results.

II. SPIN-MODIFIED QUASI-KEPLERIAN PARAMETRIZATION OF THE ORBIT

In the case of a spinning binary system the finite size of the bodies introduces an ambiguity in the definition of the center of mass of each body, corresponding to different choices of spin supplementary conditions (see, e.g., Appendix A of Ref. [1]). It is customary to assume the so called covariant supplementary conditions $S_A^{\mu\nu}u_{A\nu} = 0$, where $u_{A\nu}$ (with $u_{A\mu}u_A^{\mu} = -1$) denotes the four velocity of the center-of-mass worldline of body *A*, and $S_A^{\mu\nu}$ the associated (anti-symmetric) spin tensor. To linear order in spin (which is the case we are interested in) the kinematical four momentum is

aligned with the four velocity, $p_A = m_A c u_A + O(s_A^2)$, and the spin magnitudes $s_A^2 = \frac{1}{2} S_A^{\mu\nu} S_{A\mu\nu}$ remain constant as a consequence of the spin evolution equations if higher-order multipole couplings are neglected. The spin vector S_A associated with the spin tensor is defined by spatial duality with respect to u_A , $S^{\mu}_A = \frac{1}{2} u_{A\nu} \eta^{\nu\mu\alpha\beta} S_{A\alpha\beta}$, so that it is orthogonal to u_A , and has only spatial components in a frame adapted to u_A . One can then define a constantmagnitude 3-dimensional spin vector \mathbf{S}_{A}^{c} which satisfies an ordinary precession equation $\frac{d\mathbf{S}_{A}^{c}}{dt} = \mathbf{\Omega}_{A} \times \mathbf{S}_{A}^{c}$ [5,17]. The angular velocity Ω_A vanishes at the Newtonian order, i.e., starts at 1PN order, and is directly computable from the spacetime metric. The transformation from the original spin variables to those with constant magnitude is also 1PN [4], so that at the leading PN order we are working with they coincide. We further assume that the spins are initially aligned with the orbital angular momentum, implying that $\frac{dS_A}{dt} = 0$ [see Eq. (2.4) of Ref. [1]], so that their direction does not change during the evolution.

Up to 2.5PN order the motion is conservative, and the energy and total angular momentum are conserved. The leading-order spin-orbit acceleration is 1.5PN beyond the Newtonian one. The equations of motion in harmonic coordinates in the center of mass frame are given by [see Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) of Ref. [1]]

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{N}} + \frac{1}{c^2} \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{1PN}} + \frac{1}{c^3} \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{SO}},\tag{3}$$

with

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{N}} = -\frac{M}{r^{2}}\mathbf{n},$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{1\mathrm{PN}} = -\frac{M}{r^{2}}(A^{1\mathrm{PN}}\mathbf{n} + B^{1\mathrm{PN}}\dot{r}\mathbf{v}),$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{SO}} = \frac{6}{r^{3}}[(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot (\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S}_{*})]\mathbf{n} - \frac{1}{r^{3}}\mathbf{v} \times (4\mathbf{S} + 3\mathbf{S}_{*})$$

$$+ \frac{3\dot{r}}{r^{3}}\mathbf{n} \times (2\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S}_{*}),$$
(4)

where $\mathbf{x} = r\mathbf{n}$ and $\mathbf{v} = \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt}$, with $r = |\mathbf{x}|$ and $\dot{r} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}$, and

$$A^{1\text{PN}} = (1+3\nu)v^2 - \frac{3}{2}\nu\dot{r}^2 - 2(2+\nu)\frac{M}{r},$$

$$B^{1\text{PN}} = -2(2-\nu).$$
(5)

The spin-orbit acceleration then reduces to $\mathbf{a}_{SO} = \mathbf{a}_{SO}^x \mathbf{e}_x + \mathbf{a}_{SO}^y \mathbf{e}_y$, with

$$\mathbf{a}_{SO}^{x} = \frac{2}{r^{3}} \left[r \cos \phi \dot{\phi} \left(S + \frac{3}{2} S_{*} \right) + \sin \phi \dot{r} S \right],$$
$$\mathbf{a}_{SO}^{y} = \frac{2}{r^{3}} \left[r \sin \phi \dot{\phi} \left(S + \frac{3}{2} S_{*} \right) - \cos \phi \dot{r} S \right], \tag{6}$$

for constant spin vectors aligned with the z-axis, Eq. (2).

It is easy to show that in the special case considered here one can still have a quasi-Keplerian parametrization of the hyperboliclike orbit (which is currently known up to the 3PN order [35,36]), i.e.,

$$\bar{n}t = e_t \sinh v - v,$$

$$r = \bar{a}_r (e_r \cosh v - 1),$$

$$\phi = 2K \arctan\left(\sqrt{\frac{e_{\phi} + 1}{e_{\phi} - 1}} \tanh \frac{v}{2}\right),$$
(7)

where the orbital elements \bar{n} , K, \bar{a}_r , e_t , e_r , and e_{ϕ} have 1.5PN spin corrections. Expressing the orbital elements in terms of e_r and \bar{a}_r we find

$$K = 1 + \frac{3}{\bar{a}_r(e_r^2 - 1)} \eta^2 - \frac{4S + 3S_*}{[\bar{a}_r(e_r^2 - 1)]^{3/2}} \eta^3,$$

$$\bar{n} = \frac{1}{\bar{a}_r^{3/2}} - \frac{\nu - 9}{2\bar{a}_r^{5/2}} \eta^2 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{2S + S_*}{\bar{a}_r^3(e_r^2 - 1)^{1/2}} \eta^3,$$

$$e_t = e_r - \frac{e_r(3\nu - 8)}{2\bar{a}_r} \eta^2 - \frac{e_r(2S + S_*)}{\bar{a}_r^{3/2}(e_r^2 - 1)^{1/2}} \eta^3,$$

$$e_\phi = e_r - \frac{\nu e_r}{2\bar{a}_r} \eta^2 + \frac{e_r S_*}{\bar{a}_r^{3/2}(e_r^2 - 1)^{1/2}} \eta^3,$$
(8)

with $\eta = 1/c$ a place-holder, and $S, S_* \sim \frac{S}{M^2}, \frac{S_*}{M^2}$ are adimensionalized by using the total mass of the system. The corresponding relations for ellipticlike motion have been obtained in Ref. [31] in Arnowitt, Deser, and Misner-type coordinates and for arbitrary spin orientations (see also Ref. [32]). In a sense, at this order, the spin structure of the bodies manifests itself as an additional structure of the orbit, i.e., modifies the eccentricities too, besides (as expected) the various gauge-invariant quantities as the periastron precession, the radial period, etc. This effect can be interpreted qualitatively in terms of the equivalence principle.

The conserved energy and total angular momentum are given by [see Eqs. (2.6)–(2.9) of Ref. [1]]

$$E = E_{\rm N} + \frac{1}{c^2} E_{\rm 1PN} + \frac{1}{c^3} E_{\rm SO}, \qquad (9)$$

with

$$E_{\rm N} = \mu \left(\frac{1}{2}v^2 - \frac{M}{r}\right),$$

$$E_{\rm 1PN} = \mu \left[\frac{3}{8}(1 - 3\nu)v^4 + \frac{1}{2}(3 + \nu)\frac{v^2}{r} + \frac{1}{2}\nu\frac{M}{r}\dot{r}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{M}{r}\right)^2\right],$$

$$E_{\rm SO} = \frac{L_{\rm N}}{r^3}S_*,$$
(10)

where

and

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S},\tag{11}$$

1 1

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{N}} + \frac{1}{c^2} \mathbf{L}_{1\mathrm{PN}} + \frac{1}{c^3} \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{SO}},\tag{12}$$

with $\mathbf{L}_{N} = \mu \mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{v}$, and

$$\mathbf{L}_{1\text{PN}} = L_{\text{N}} \left[\frac{1}{2} (1 - 3\nu) v^2 + (3 + \nu) \frac{M}{r} \right],$$
$$\mathbf{L}_{\text{SO}} = \frac{\mu}{M} \left[-\frac{M}{r} (2S + S_*) + \frac{1}{2} S_* v^2 \right], \tag{13}$$

respectively. Since the spins are nonprecessing, the orbital angular momentum does not precess too, and is conserved as well.

The orbital elements can then be expressed in terms of the conserved energy and orbital angular momentum by using the relations

$$\begin{split} \bar{a}_{r} &= \frac{1}{2\bar{E}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \eta^{2} (7 - \nu) \bar{E} - \eta^{3} \frac{2\bar{E}}{L} (2S + S_{*}) \right], \\ e_{r} &= \sqrt{1 + 2\bar{E}L^{2}} + \eta^{2} \frac{\bar{E}[-6 + \nu + \frac{5}{2}(-3 + \nu)\bar{E}L^{2}]}{\sqrt{1 + 2\bar{E}L^{2}}} \\ &+ \eta^{3} \frac{2\bar{E}[4S + 2S_{*} + (4S + S_{*})\bar{E}L^{2}]}{L\sqrt{1 + 2\bar{E}L^{2}}}, \end{split}$$
(14)

with $\overline{E} = (E - M)/\mu$ being the binding energy and L the dimensionless orbital angular momentum (rescaled by $M\mu$).

III. SPIN-ORBIT CORRECTIONS TO THE RADIATIVE LOSSES

According to the multipolar-post-Minkowskian (MPM) formalism the radiative fluxes of energy, linear momentum and angular momentum can be expressed in terms of the radiative multipole moments, which can be then determined in terms of the source multipole moments [37–42]. The leading-order spin-orbit corrections to the needed mass and current multipole moments have been computed by Kidder himself [1]. The resulting expressions for the fluxes $\frac{dX}{dt} = \mathcal{F}_X$ are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_E &= \mathcal{F}_E^{\mathrm{N}} + \eta^2 \mathcal{F}_E^{\mathrm{1PN}} + \eta^3 \mathcal{F}_E^{\mathrm{SO}}, \\ \mathcal{F}_J &= \mathcal{F}_J^{\mathrm{N}} + \eta^2 \mathcal{F}_J^{\mathrm{1PN}} + \eta^3 \mathcal{F}_J^{\mathrm{SO}}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{P_i} &= \mathcal{F}_{P_i}^{\mathrm{N}} + \eta \mathcal{F}_{P_i}^{\mathrm{SO}}. \end{aligned}$$
(15)

We list below only the spin-orbit corrections to the fluxes, which are of fractional 1.5PN order for energy and angular momentum, and 0.5PN order for linear momentum [see Eqs. (3.25), (3.28), and (3.31) of Ref. [1]]

$$\mathcal{F}_{E}^{SO} = -\frac{8}{15} \frac{M^{5}}{r^{4}} \nu^{2} \dot{\phi} \left[\nu^{2} (37S + 43S_{*}) - \dot{r}^{2} (27S + 51S_{*}) + \frac{4M}{r} (3S - S_{*}) \right],$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{J}^{SO} = -\frac{8}{15} \frac{M^{4}}{r^{3}} \nu^{2} \left[\nu^{4} (9S + 11S_{*}) - 3\dot{r}^{2} \nu^{2} (3S + 8S_{*}) + \frac{M}{r} (25S + 11S_{*}) \nu^{2} + 15S_{*} \dot{r}^{4} - \frac{M}{r} (25S + 13S_{*}) \dot{r}^{2} + \frac{M^{2}}{r^{2}} (3S - S_{*}) \right],$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{P_{i}}^{SO} = -\frac{8}{15} \frac{M^{3}}{r^{5}} \nu^{2} \epsilon_{ijz} [-2\nu^{2} n^{j} + 4\dot{r} \nu^{j}] \frac{M}{\delta m} (S - S_{*}),$$
(16)

with

$$\frac{S - S_*}{\delta m} = \frac{S_1}{m_1} - \frac{S_2}{m_2}.$$
 (17)

Integrating the fluxes over time along the hyperboliclike orbit (7) then gives the total energy, angular momentum and linear momentum radiated in gravitational waves during the scattering process

$$\Delta X = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \mathcal{F}_X(t).$$
 (18)

At the leading PN order the spin-orbit corrections to the orbital averages of the radiative losses turn out to be

$$(\Delta E)_{\rm SO} = -\frac{\nu^2}{[\bar{a}_r(e_r^2 - 1)]^5} \left[(A_S^E S + A_{S_*}^E S_*) \arccos\left(-\frac{1}{e_r}\right) + \sqrt{e_r^2 - 1} (B_S^E S + B_{S_*}^E S_*) \right],$$
(19)

with

$$A_{S}^{E} = \frac{269}{15}e_{r}^{6} + \frac{1388}{5}e_{r}^{4} + \frac{1552}{3}e_{r}^{2} + \frac{1744}{15},$$

$$A_{S_{*}}^{E} = \frac{244}{15}e_{r}^{6} + \frac{1517}{5}e_{r}^{4} + \frac{2612}{5}e_{r}^{2} + \frac{496}{5},$$

$$B_{S}^{E} = \frac{31901}{225}e_{r}^{4} + \frac{119938}{225}e_{r}^{2} + \frac{19072}{75},$$

$$B_{S_{*}}^{E} = \frac{11084}{75}e_{r}^{4} + \frac{127951}{225}e_{r}^{2} + \frac{50582}{225},$$
(20)

and

$$(\Delta J)_{\rm SO} = -\frac{\nu^2}{[\bar{a}_r(e_r^2 - 1)]^{7/2}} \left[(A_S^J S + A_{S_*}^J S_*) \arccos\left(-\frac{1}{e_r}\right) + \sqrt{e_r^2 - 1} (B_S^J S + B_{S_*}^J S_*) \right],$$
(21)

with

$$A_{S}^{J} = \frac{198}{5}e_{r}^{4} + \frac{928}{5}e_{r}^{2} + \frac{1552}{15},$$

$$A_{S_{*}}^{J} = \frac{554}{15}e_{r}^{4} + \frac{2696}{15}e_{r}^{2} + 80,$$

$$B_{S}^{J} = \frac{64}{5}e_{r}^{4} + \frac{5834}{45}e_{r}^{2} + \frac{1676}{9},$$

$$B_{S_{*}}^{J} = \frac{48}{5}e_{r}^{4} + \frac{6098}{45}e_{r}^{2} + \frac{1364}{9},$$
(22)

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta P_x)_{\rm SO} &= 0, \\ (\Delta P_y)_{\rm SO} &= -\frac{4}{15} \frac{\nu^2}{[\bar{a}_r(e_r^2 - 1)]^{9/2}} \left[e_r (3e_r^4 + 39e_r^2 + 28) \right. \\ &\quad \times \arccos\left(-\frac{1}{e_r}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{e_r^2 - 1}(71e_r^4 + 133e_r^2 + 6)}{3e_r} \right] \frac{S - S_*}{\delta m}. \end{aligned}$$
(23)

One can equivalently express the orbital elements \bar{a}_r and e_r in terms of gauge-invariant variables, i.e., the conserved energy and orbital angular momentum parameters \bar{E} (or even initial momentum at infinity, p_{∞}) and L through Eq. (14). For what concerns comparison with PN-based results, we have checked that the expressions for $(\Delta E)_{SO}(\bar{E}, L)$ and $(\Delta J)_{SO}(\bar{E}, L)$ agree with the linear-inspin terms (at the leading PN order) of the corresponding ones obtained in Ref. [27], Section 5.4, by applying the boundary-to-bound map to existing results for ellipticlike orbits (see also Refs. [43,44]). $(\Delta P_y)_{SO}$ instead is computed here for the first time.

In order to compare with available PM-based results the radiative losses should then be written as series expansion in the large angular momentum parameter or equivalently impact parameter by using the relation [45,46]

$$L = \frac{b\sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}}{Mh} + \frac{h - 1}{2} \left[S\left(1 - \frac{1}{h}\right) + \frac{S_*}{h} \right], \quad (24)$$

where $h = \sqrt{1 + 2\nu(\gamma - 1)} = E/M = 1 + \nu \bar{E}\eta^2$, and $\gamma = \sqrt{1 + p_{\infty}^2 \eta^2}$.

We finally obtain the following PM-like relations [either in terms of spin variables (S, S_*) or (S_1, S_2)]

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta E)_{\rm SO} &= -\nu^2 \left[\frac{\pi p_{\infty}^2}{b^4} \left(\frac{13}{2} S + \frac{69}{10} S_* \right) + \frac{1}{b^5} \left(\frac{4864}{25} S + \frac{40832}{225} S_* \right) + \frac{\pi}{p_{\infty}^2 b^6} \left(\frac{537}{2} S + \frac{455}{2} S_* \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{b^7}\right) \right] \\ &= -\nu^2 \left\{ \frac{\pi p_{\infty}^2}{b^4} \left[\left(\frac{13}{2} + \frac{69}{10} \frac{m_2}{m_1} \right) S_1 + \left(\frac{13}{2} + \frac{69}{10} \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right) S_2 \right] + \frac{1}{b^5} \left[\left(\frac{4864}{25} + \frac{40832}{225} \frac{m_2}{m_1} \right) S_1 + \left(\frac{4864}{25} + \frac{40832}{225} \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right) S_2 \right] \\ &+ \frac{\pi}{p_{\infty}^2 b^6} \left[\left(\frac{537}{2} + \frac{455}{2} \frac{m_2}{m_1} \right) S_1 + \left(\frac{537}{2} + \frac{455}{2} \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right) S_2 \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{b^7}\right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\tag{25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta J)_{\rm SO} &= -\nu^2 \left[\frac{32}{5} \left(S + S_* \right) \frac{p_{\infty}^3}{b^2} + \frac{99}{5} \left(S + \frac{277}{297} S_* \right) \frac{\pi p_{\infty}}{b^3} + \frac{12512}{45} \left(S + \frac{328}{391} S_* \right) \frac{1}{p_{\infty} b^4} + O\left(\frac{1}{b^4}\right) \right] \\ &= -\nu^2 \left\{ \frac{p_{\infty}^3}{b^2} \left[\frac{32}{5} \left(1 + \frac{m_2}{m_1} \right) S_1 + \frac{32}{5} \left(1 + \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right) S_2 \right] + \frac{\pi p_{\infty}}{b^3} \left[\left(\frac{99}{5} + \frac{277}{15} \frac{m_2}{m_1} \right) S_1 + \left(\frac{99}{5} + \frac{277}{15} \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right) S_2 \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{p_{\infty} b^4} \left[\left(\frac{12512}{45} + \frac{10496}{45} \frac{m_2}{m_1} \right) S_1 + \left(\frac{12512}{45} + \frac{10496}{45} \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right) S_2 \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{b^5}\right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$
(26)

and

$$(\Delta P_{y})_{SO} = -\frac{\nu^{2}(S-S_{*})}{(m_{1}-m_{2})} \left[\frac{2p_{\infty}\pi}{5b^{4}} + \frac{64}{9p_{\infty}b^{5}} + \frac{31\pi}{5p_{\infty}^{3}b^{6}} + O\left(\frac{1}{b^{7}}\right) \right]$$
$$= -\nu^{2} \left(\frac{S_{1}}{m_{1}} - \frac{S_{2}}{m_{2}} \right) \left[\frac{2p_{\infty}\pi}{5b^{4}} + \frac{64}{9p_{\infty}b^{5}} + \frac{31\pi}{5p_{\infty}^{3}b^{6}} + O\left(\frac{1}{b^{7}}\right) \right].$$
(27)

for the spin-orbit corrections to the radiated energy, angular momentum and linear momentum, respectively, at the corresponding leading PN order. Recalling the nonspinning results [47–51], one sees that at each PM level of accuracy (i.e., each power of *b*) when nonspinning terms contain a π the spinning ones do not and vice versa.

IV. CHECK WITH EXISTING PM RESULTS

The total radiated energy, angular momentum and linear momentum from spinning binaries have been recently computed in Refs. [33,34] in the framework of the world-line quantum field theory at the leading PM order. Therefore, these results are valid for arbitrary values of the velocity, but limited to the leading order in G. We can then compare our results with the leading order term of the low-velocity limit of those expressions. In addition, we can provide higher-order PM terms which can be used for future crosschecks.

The radiated energy was first computed in Ref. [33] Eq. (31), as a low-velocity expansion. Ref. [34] then generalized this result by obtaining for it an exact expression valid for all orders in the velocity (see also Ref. [52] for an independent computation, with results in complete agreement with [34]). Reference [34] also calculated the radiated four momentum at the leading PM level. However,

no explicit expressions were given there, even if the associated Supplemental Material contains all necessary information. Therefore, we include below a short derivation useful for the comparison.

In the spinless case the four momentum at the 3PM level has the following simple form

$$\Delta P^{\mu} = \pi \left(\frac{GM}{b}\right)^3 M \nu^2 \frac{\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\gamma)}{\gamma+1} (u_1^{\mu} + u_2^{\mu}), \qquad (28)$$

stating that ΔP^{μ} is aligned with the (timelike) center-ofvelocities vector of u_1 and u_2 , U_{cv} ($U_{cv} \cdot U_{cv} = -1$), namely

$$\Delta P^{\mu} = E(U_{\rm cv}) U^{\mu}_{\rm cv}, \tag{29}$$

where

$$U_{\rm cv}^{\mu} = \frac{u_1^{\mu} + u_2^{\mu}}{\sqrt{2(1+\gamma)}},\tag{30}$$

and

$$E(U_{\rm cv}) = \pi \left(\frac{GM}{b}\right)^3 M \nu^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\gamma)}{\sqrt{\gamma+1}}.$$
 (31)

The energy function $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\gamma)$ is exactly known as a function of $\gamma = (1 - v^2)^{-1/2}$ [see Eq. (7.39) of Ref. [53]], and has the following low-velocity expansion

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\gamma) = \frac{37}{15}v + \frac{2393}{840}v^3 + \frac{61703}{10080}v^5 + O(v^7).$$
 (32)

For the state of the art for the PM scattering of spinless bodies including radiation-reaction effects see, e.g., Refs. [36,54] and references therein.

The radiated four-momentum for spinning bodies at the lowest PM order up to quadratic order in the (arbitrarily oriented) spins has the following general form [see Eq. (18) of Ref. [34]]

$$\Delta P^{\mu} = -\pi \left(\frac{GM}{b}\right)^3 M \nu^2 (C_{u_1} \check{u}_1^{\mu} + C_{u_2} \check{u}_2^{\mu} + C_{\hat{b}} \hat{b}^{\mu} + C_{\hat{l}} \hat{l}^{\mu}),$$
(33)

where

$$\check{u}_{1} = \frac{u_{1} - \gamma u_{2}}{\gamma^{2} - 1} = \frac{P(u_{2})u_{1}}{\gamma^{2} - 1},$$

$$\check{u}_{2} = \frac{u_{2} - \gamma u_{1}}{\gamma^{2} - 1} = \frac{P(u_{1})u_{1}}{\gamma^{2} - 1},$$
(34)

with $P(u_A) = I + u_A \otimes u_A$ the projector orthogonal to the timelike direction u_A , and \hat{b} and \hat{l} denote the unit vectors aligned with the directions of the impact parameter and orbital angular momentum, respectively. In the case of spins aligned with the orbital angular momentum we are considering here the system radiates momentum only along the direction of the relative velocity of the two bodies, just as in the nonspinning case. The above expression (33) thus reduces to

$$\Delta P^{\mu} = -\pi \left(\frac{GM}{b}\right)^{3} M \nu^{2} (C_{u_{1}} \breve{u}_{1}^{\mu} + C_{u_{2}} \breve{u}_{2}^{\mu}),$$

$$= \pi \left(\frac{GM}{b}\right)^{3} M \nu^{2} (\hat{C}_{u_{1}} u_{1}^{\mu} + \hat{C}_{u_{2}} u_{2}^{\mu}), \qquad (35)$$

with (see Table I of Ref. [34])

L

$$C_{u_1} = f_{\rm I}(\gamma) + \frac{1}{b} [f_{\rm II}(\gamma)S_1 + f_{\rm III}(\gamma)S_2],$$

$$\hat{C}_{u_1} = -\frac{C_{u_1} - \gamma C_{u_2}}{\gamma^2 - 1}.$$
 (36)

These coefficients are dimensionless functions of $\gamma = -u_1 \cdot u_2$ and the spins S_1 and S_2 , and are symmetric under the

interchange $1 \leftrightarrow 2$, i.e., $C_{u_1} = C_{u_1}(\gamma, S_1, S_2)$ and $\hat{C}_{u_1} = \hat{C}_{u_1}(\gamma, S_1, S_2)$, with $C_{u_2} = C_{u_1}|_{1\leftrightarrow 2}$ and $\hat{C}_{u_2} = \hat{C}_{u_1}|_{1\leftrightarrow 2}$. In absence of spin $\hat{C}_{u_1} = \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\gamma)/(\gamma + 1)$, so that $f_{\mathrm{I}}(\gamma) = \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\gamma)$. In the PN expansion limit $\gamma = \sqrt{1 + p_{\infty}^2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}p_{\infty}^2 - \frac{1}{8}p_{\infty}^4 + O(p_{\infty}^6)$, and

$$f_{\rm II}(\gamma) = \frac{13}{2} p_{\infty}^2 + \frac{1951}{448} p_{\infty}^4 + \frac{9413}{2688} p_{\infty}^6 + O(p_{\infty}^8),$$

$$f_{\rm III}(\gamma) = \frac{69}{10} p_{\infty}^2 + \frac{8917}{2240} p_{\infty}^4 + \frac{8761}{2688} p_{\infty}^6 + O(p_{\infty}^8).$$
(37)

Let us reexpress u_1 and u_2 in the incoming c.m. frame defined by $U = (m_1u_1 + m_2u_2)/E$, $E = E_1 + E_2 = Mh = M\sqrt{1 + 2\nu(\gamma - 1)}$ and the spatial vectors $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$, $\mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{p_{\infty}} (\frac{E_2}{m_2}u_1 - \frac{E_1}{m_1}u_2)$ (in the orbital plane) and \mathbf{e}_z , with $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ and \mathbf{n} related to the harmonic-gauge *x* and *y* axes adapted to the quasi-Keplerian representation of the orbit by Eq. (3.49) of Ref. [47] as

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \cos\frac{\chi_{\text{cons}}}{2} \mathbf{e}_x - \sin\frac{\chi_{\text{cons}}}{2} \mathbf{e}_y,$$
$$\mathbf{n} = \sin\frac{\chi_{\text{cons}}}{2} \mathbf{e}_x + \cos\frac{\chi_{\text{cons}}}{2} \mathbf{e}_y,$$
(38)

such that

$$u_1 = \frac{E_1}{m_1}U + \frac{P_{\rm cm}}{m_1}\mathbf{n},$$

$$u_2 = \frac{E_2}{m_2}U - \frac{P_{\rm cm}}{m_2}\mathbf{n},$$
(39)

with $E_a = \sqrt{m_a^2 + P_{\rm cm}^2}$, $P_{\rm cm} = m_1 m_2 p_{\infty}/E$. The four momentum (35) thus becomes

$$\Delta P^{\mu} = \pi \left(\frac{GM}{b}\right)^{3} M \nu^{2} \left[\left(\hat{C}_{u_{1}} \frac{E_{1}}{m_{1}} + \hat{C}_{u_{2}} \frac{E_{2}}{m_{2}} \right) U^{\mu} + P_{\rm cm} \left(\frac{\hat{C}_{u_{1}}}{m_{1}} - \frac{\hat{C}_{u_{2}}}{m_{2}} \right) \mathbf{n}^{\mu} \right],$$
(40)

so that

$$\Delta P \cdot U = -\pi \frac{G^3}{b^3} m_1^2 m_2^2 \left(\hat{C}_{u_1} \frac{E_1}{m_1} + \hat{C}_{u_2} \frac{E_2}{m_2} \right)$$

$$= -\Delta E,$$

$$\Delta P \cdot \mathbf{n} = \pi \frac{G^3 m_1^3 m_2^3 p_{\infty}}{b^3 E} \left(\frac{\hat{C}_{u_1}}{m_1} - \frac{\hat{C}_{u_2}}{m_2} \right)$$

$$= \sin \frac{\chi_{\text{cons}}}{2} \Delta P_x + \cos \frac{\chi_{\text{cons}}}{2} \Delta P_y.$$
(41)

To linear order in spin and at the leading PM level the spindependent terms of the previous relations turn out to be

¹Our notation for \check{u}_A differs by a sign with respect to that of Ref. [34]. This implies an extra minus sign in front of C_{u_A} in Eq. (33).

$$(\Delta E)_{\rm SO} = \pi \frac{G^3}{b^3} m_1^2 m_2^2 \left(\hat{C}_{u_1}^{\rm SO} \frac{E_1}{m_1} + \hat{C}_{u_2}^{\rm SO} \frac{E_2}{m_2} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{b^5}\right),$$

$$(\Delta P_y)_{\rm SO} = \pi \frac{G^3 m_1^3 m_2^3 p_{\infty}}{b^3 E} \left(\frac{\hat{C}_{u_1}^{\rm SO}}{m_1} - \frac{\hat{C}_{u_2}^{\rm SO}}{m_2} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{b^5}\right),$$
(42)

where (using the coefficients $C_{u_A}^{s_1}$ and $C_{u_A}^{s_2}$ given in the Supplemental Material of Ref. [34])

$$\hat{C}_{u_{1}}^{\text{SO}} = \hat{C}_{u_{1}}^{s_{1}} \frac{S_{1}}{m_{1}} + \hat{C}_{u_{1}}^{s_{2}} \frac{S_{2}}{m_{2}} = \frac{1}{b} \left[\left(\frac{2}{5} + \frac{689}{224} p_{\infty}^{2} \right) \frac{S_{1}}{m_{1}} + \left(-\frac{2}{5} + \frac{4059}{1120} p_{\infty}^{2} \right) \frac{S_{2}}{m_{2}} + O(p_{\infty}^{4}) \right],$$

$$\hat{C}_{u_{2}}^{\text{SO}} = \hat{C}_{u_{2}}^{s_{1}} \frac{S_{1}}{m_{1}} + \hat{C}_{u_{2}}^{s_{2}} \frac{S_{2}}{m_{2}} = \frac{1}{b} \left[\left(-\frac{2}{5} + \frac{4059}{1120} p_{\infty}^{2} \right) \frac{S_{1}}{m_{1}} + \left(\frac{2}{5} + \frac{689}{224} p_{\infty}^{2} \right) \frac{S_{2}}{m_{2}} + O(p_{\infty}^{4}) \right].$$

$$(43)$$

The leading terms in the PN expansion of the energy and linear momentum losses are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta E)_{\rm SO} &= \nu^2 \frac{\pi}{b^4} \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{13}{2} + \frac{69}{10} \frac{m_2}{m_1} \right) S_1 + \left(\frac{13}{2} + \frac{69}{10} \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right) S_2 \right] p_{\infty}^2 \right. \\ &+ \left[\left(\frac{1951}{448} - \frac{13}{4} \nu + \left(\frac{8917}{2240} - \frac{69}{20} \nu \right) \frac{m_2}{m_1} \right) S_1 + \left(\frac{1951}{448} + \frac{13}{4} \nu + \left(\frac{8917}{2240} - \frac{69}{20} \nu \right) \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right) S_2 \right] p_{\infty}^4 \\ &+ \left[\left(\frac{39}{16} \nu^2 - \frac{1223}{896} \nu + \frac{9413}{2688} + \left(\frac{207}{80} \nu^2 - \frac{5053}{4480} \nu + \frac{8761}{2688} \right) \frac{m_2}{m_1} \right) S_1 \right. \\ &+ \left(\frac{39}{16} \nu^2 - \frac{1223}{896} \nu + \frac{9413}{2688} + \left(\frac{207}{80} \nu^2 - \frac{5053}{4480} \nu + \frac{8761}{2688} \right) \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right) S_2 \right] p_{\infty}^6 + O(p_{\infty}^8) \right\} + O\left(\frac{1}{b^5} \right), \tag{44} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta P_{y})_{SO} &= \nu^{2} \frac{\pi}{b^{4}} \left[\frac{2}{5} \left(\frac{S_{1}}{m_{1}} - \frac{S_{2}}{m_{2}} \right) p_{\infty} \right. \\ &+ \left[\left(-\frac{4059}{1120} - \frac{1}{5}\nu + \left(\frac{689}{224} - \frac{1}{5}\nu \right) \frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}} \right) S_{1} - \left(-\frac{4059}{1120} - \frac{1}{5}\nu + \left(\frac{689}{224} - \frac{1}{5}\nu \right) \frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}} \right) S_{2} \right] p_{\infty}^{3} \\ &+ \left[\left(\frac{3}{20}\nu^{2} + \frac{4171}{2240}\nu - \frac{4321}{2688} + \left(\frac{3}{20}\nu^{2} - \frac{3333}{2240}\nu + \frac{11899}{13440} \right) \frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}} \right) S_{1} \\ &- \left(\frac{3}{20}\nu^{2} + \frac{4171}{2240}\nu - \frac{4321}{2688} + \left(\frac{3}{20}\nu^{2} - \frac{3333}{2240}\nu + \frac{11899}{13440} \right) \frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}} \right) S_{2} \right] p_{\infty}^{5} + O(p_{\infty}^{7}) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{b^{5}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

respectively, which agree with our PN-based results, Eqs. (25) and (27), at the leading PM-PN level, provided taking into account different conventions for the spins.

Finally, the angular momentum has been computed in Ref. [33], Eq. (29). To linear order in spin the PN expansion of the spin-dependent part reads

$$(\Delta J)_{\rm SO} = \frac{\nu^2}{b^2} \left[\frac{32}{5} p_{\infty}^3 + \left(-\frac{16}{5} \nu + \frac{16}{35} \right) p_{\infty}^5 + \left(\frac{12}{5} \nu^2 + \frac{4}{7} \nu - \frac{172}{315} \right) p_{\infty}^7 + O(p_{\infty}^9) \right] \left(\frac{S_1}{m_1} + \frac{S_2}{m_2} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{b^3} \right), \quad (46)$$

in the aligned-spin case, and agrees with the leading order term of our result, Eq. (26).

V. BENCHMARKS FOR FUTURE PM COMPUTATIONS

Under the approximations done in the present work, namely leading spin-orbit interaction between spinning bodies with constant-in-magnitude spin vectors aligned with the orbital angular momentum, we find it convenient to summarize our results in order to provide ready-to-use expressions for consistency checks with future PM-based computations. The radiative losses can be written as a combined PM-PN expansion series, which at the leading PN order reads

TABLE I. Coefficients E_n^{SO} , J_n^{SO} , and P_{yn}^{SO} entering PM expansion (47) of the radiated energy, angular momentum, and *y*-component of the linear momentum, respectively, are listed below in functional form at the leading PN order. At the lowes PM level (i.e., E_4^{SO} , J_2^{SO} , and P_{y4}^{SO}) the coefficients are exactly known in PN sense.

1	$E_4^{SO}(x)$	$\pi(\frac{13}{2} + \frac{69}{10}x)$
1	$E_5^{SO}(x)$	$\frac{4864}{25} + \frac{40832}{225}x$
1	$E_6^{SO}(x)$	$\pi(\frac{537}{2} + \frac{455}{2}x)$
با	$I_2^{\rm SO}(x)$	$\frac{32}{5}(1+x)$
با	$I_3^{SO}(x)$	$\pi(\frac{99}{5} + \frac{277}{15}x)$
با	$I_4^{\rm SO}(x)$	$\frac{12512}{45} + \frac{10496}{45}x$
1	$P_{y4}^{SO}(x)$	$\frac{2}{5}\pi(1+x)$
1	$P_{y5}^{SO}(x)$	$\frac{64}{9}(1+x)$
1	$P_{y6}^{SO}(x)$	$\frac{31}{5}\pi(1+x)$

$$(\Delta E)_{\rm SO} = -\nu^2 \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^n} p_{\infty}^{-2n+10} \bigg[E_n^{\rm SO} \bigg(\frac{m_2}{m_1} \bigg) S_1 + E_n^{\rm SO} \bigg(\frac{m_1}{m_2} \bigg) S_2 \bigg], (\Delta J)_{\rm SO} = -\nu^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^n} p_{\infty}^{-2n+7} \bigg[J_n^{\rm SO} \bigg(\frac{m_2}{m_1} \bigg) S_1 + J_n^{\rm SO} \bigg(\frac{m_1}{m_2} \bigg) S_2 \bigg], (\Delta P_y)_{\rm SO} = -\nu^2 \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^n} p_{\infty}^{-2n+9} \bigg[P_{yn}^{\rm SO} \bigg(\frac{m_2}{m_1} \bigg) S_1 - P_{yn}^{\rm SO} \bigg(\frac{m_1}{m_2} \bigg) S_2 \bigg],$$

$$(47)$$

where E_n^{SO} , J_n^{SO} , and P_{yn}^{SO} are linear functions of the ratio m_1/m_2 (and m_2/m_1 when exchanging $1 \leftrightarrow 2$). The first few coefficients are listed in Table I.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have studied the gravitational radiation emitted from a spinning binary system undergoing a scattering process due to the leading-order spin-orbit interaction, in the special case of constant spins aligned with the orbital angular momentum, and at the leading PN approximation level. We have used a leading-PN order spin-modified quasi-Keplerian parametrization of the hyperboliclike orbit, which in the case of constant and aligned spins results in a modification of the orbital elements by linear-in-spin corrections. We have then computed the energy, angular momentum and linear momentum losses by the system at their LO in the spin-orbit coupling within the PN-MPM approach to gravitational radiation by assuming the balance between radiative losses in the near zone of the source and radiation fluxes in the far zone.

We found agreement with existing PN-based results [27], where the radiated energy and angular momentum were obtained by using the boundary-to-bound correspondence with ellipticlike orbits. The leading PN expression for the linear momentum loss is instead given here for the first time. For what concerns PM-based results, we have confirmed recent accomplishments obtained in Refs. [33,34] by using a worldline quantum field approach for the radiated energy, angular momentum and linear momentum a the lowest PM level. We have also provided higher-order PM corrections as possible benchmarks for future computations nowadays at hands. Finally, extensions of these results including, e.g., higher-order terms in the leading-order PN expansion, and next-to-leading order terms in the spin-orbit and higher-order spin-spin couplings are straightforward, and will be considered in forthcoming works.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank R. Porto for useful discussions. D. B. and P. R. are grateful to IHES for the hospitality and support (by the "2021 Balzan Prize for Gravitation: Physical and Astrophysical Aspects," awarded to T. Damour) in such a highly stimulating environment during the development of the present project. P. R. is supported by the Italian Minister of University and Research (MUR) via the PRIN 2020KB33TP, *Multimessenger astronomy in the Einstein Telescope Era* (*METE*). D. B. acknowledges sponsorship of the Italian Gruppo Nazionale per la Fisica Matematica (GNFM) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INDAM).

- L. E. Kidder, Coalescing binary systems of compact objects to post-Newtonian 5/2 order. 5. Spin effects, Phys. Rev. D 52, 821 (1995);
- [2] T. A. Apostolatos, C. Cutler, G. J. Sussman, and K. S. Thorne, Spin induced orbital precession and its modulation of the gravitational wave forms from merging binaries, Phys. Rev. D 49, 6274 (1994).
- [3] H. Tagoshi, A. Ohashi, and B. J. Owen, Gravitational field and equations of motion of spinning compact binaries to 2.5 post-Newtonian order, Phys. Rev. D 63, 044006 (2001).
- [4] G. Faye, L. Blanchet, and A. Buonanno, Higher-order spin effects in the dynamics of compact binaries. I. Equations of motion, Phys. Rev. D 74, 104033 (2006).

- [5] T. Damour, P. Jaranowski, and G. Schaefer, Hamiltonian of two spinning compact bodies with next-to-leading order gravitational spin-orbit coupling, Phys. Rev. D 77, 064032 (2008).
- [6] M. Tessmer, J. Hartung, and G. Schafer, Motion and gravitational wave forms of eccentric compact binaries with orbital-angular-momentum-aligned spins under next-toleading order in spin-orbit and leading order in spin(1)spin(2) and spin-squared couplings, Classical Quantum Gravity 27, 165005 (2010).
- [7] R. A. Porto, Next to leading order spin-orbit effects in the motion of inspiralling compact binaries, Classical Quantum Gravity 27, 205001 (2010).
- [8] M. Levi, Next to leading order gravitational spin-orbit coupling in an effective field theory approach, Phys. Rev. D 82, 104004 (2010).
- [9] W. D. Goldberger and I. Z. Rothstein, An effective field theory of gravity for extended objects, Phys. Rev. D 73, 104029 (2006).
- [10] R. A. Porto, Post-Newtonian corrections to the motion of spinning bodies in NRGR, Phys. Rev. D 73, 104031 (2006).
- [11] Z. Bern, A. Luna, R. Roiban, C. H. Shen, and M. Zeng, Spinning black hole binary dynamics, scattering amplitudes, and effective field theory, Phys. Rev. D 104, 065014 (2021).
- [12] C. M. Will, Post-Newtonian gravitational radiation and equations of motion via direct integration of the relaxed Einstein equations. III. Radiation reaction for binary systems with spinning bodies, Phys. Rev. D 71, 084027 (2005).
- [13] L. Blanchet, A. Buonanno, and G. Faye, Higher-order spin effects in the dynamics of compact binaries. II. Radiation field, Phys. Rev. D 74, 104034 (2006); 75, 049903(E) (2007); 81, 089901(E) (2010).
- [14] H. Wang, J. Steinhoff, J. Zeng, and G. Schafer, Leadingorder spin-orbit and spin(1)-spin(2) radiation-reaction Hamiltonians, Phys. Rev. D 84, 124005 (2011).
- [15] N. T. Maia, C. R. Galley, A. K. Leibovich, and R. A. Porto, Radiation reaction for spinning bodies in effective field theory I: Spin-orbit effects, Phys. Rev. D 96, 084064 (2017).
- [16] E. Barausse and A. Buonanno, Extending the effective-onebody Hamiltonian of black-hole binaries to include next-tonext-to-leading spin-orbit couplings, Phys. Rev. D 84, 104027 (2011).
- [17] A. Bohe, S. Marsat, G. Faye, and L. Blanchet, Next-to-nextto-leading order spin-orbit effects in the near-zone metric and precession equations of compact binaries, Classical Quantum Gravity 30, 075017 (2013).
- [18] A. Bohé, S. Marsat, and L. Blanchet, Next-to-next-toleading order spin–orbit effects in the gravitational wave flux and orbital phasing of compact binaries, Classical Quantum Gravity 30, 135009 (2013).
- [19] J. Hartung, J. Steinhoff, and G. Schafer, Next-to-next-toleading order post-Newtonian linear-in-spin binary Hamiltonians, Ann. Phys. (Berlin) 525, 359 (2013).
- [20] M. Levi and J. Steinhoff, Next-to-next-to-leading order gravitational spin-orbit coupling via the effective field theory for spinning objects in the post-Newtonian scheme, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 01 (2016) 011.
- [21] M. Levi, A. J. Mcleod, and M. Von Hippel, N³LO gravitational spin-orbit coupling at order G⁴, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2021) 115.

- [22] J. W. Kim, M. Levi, and Z. Yin, N³LO spin-orbit interaction via the EFT of spinning gravitating objects, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2023) 184.
- [23] M. K. Mandal, P. Mastrolia, R. Patil, and J. Steinhoff, Gravitational spin-orbit Hamiltonian at NNNLO in the post-Newtonian framework, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2023) 130.
- [24] E. Racine, A. Buonanno, and L. E. Kidder, Recoil velocity at 2PN order for spinning black hole binaries, Phys. Rev. D 80, 044010 (2009).
- [25] A. Gopakumar and G. Schafer, Gravitational wave phasing for spinning compact binaries in inspiraling eccentric orbits, Phys. Rev. D 84, 124007 (2011).
- [26] G. Cho, B. Pardo, and R. A. Porto, Gravitational radiation from inspiralling compact objects: Spin-spin effects completed at the next-to-leading post-Newtonian order, Phys. Rev. D 104, 024037 (2021).
- [27] G. Cho, G. Kälin, and R. A. Porto, From boundary data to bound states. Part III. Radiative effects, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2022) 154; 07 (2022) 2(E).
- [28] G. Cho, R. A. Porto, and Z. Yang, Gravitational radiation from inspiralling compact objects: Spin effects to the fourth post-Newtonian order, Phys. Rev. D 106, L101501 (2022).
- [29] T. Damour and N. Deruelle, General relativistic celestial mechanics of binary systems. I. The post-Newtonian motion, Ann. l'Inst. Henri Poincaré, Phys. Théor. 43, 107 (1985).
- [30] L. A. Gergely, Z. I. Perjes, and M. Vasuth, Spin effects in gravitational radiation back reaction. 3. Compact binaries with two spinning components, Phys. Rev. D 58, 124001 (1998).
- [31] C. Konigsdorffer and A. Gopakumar, Post-Newtonian accurate parametric solution to the dynamics of spinning compact binaries in eccentric orbits: The Leading order spin-orbit interaction, Phys. Rev. D 71, 024039 (2005).
- [32] G. Cho and H. M. Lee, Analytic Keplerian-type parametrization for general spinning compact binaries with leading order spin-orbit interactions, Phys. Rev. D 100, 044046 (2019).
- [33] G. U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka, and J. Steinhoff, Gravitational Bremsstrahlung and Hidden Supersymmetry of Spinning Bodies, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 011101 (2022).
- [34] M. M. Riva, F. Vernizzi, and L. K. Wong, Gravitational bremsstrahlung from spinning binaries in the post-Minkowskian expansion, Phys. Rev. D 106, 044013 (2022).
- [35] G. Cho, A. Gopakumar, M. Haney, and H. M. Lee, Gravitational waves from compact binaries in post-Newtonian accurate hyperbolic orbits, Phys. Rev. D 98, 024039 (2018).
- [36] D. Bini, T. Damour, and A. Geralico, Radiated momentum and radiation reaction in gravitational two-body scattering including time-asymmetric effects, Phys. Rev. D 107, 024012 (2023).
- [37] L. Blanchet and T. Damour, Radiative gravitational fields in general relativity I. General structure of the field outside the source, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 320, 379 (1986).

- [38] L. Blanchet and T. Damour, Tail transported temporal correlations in the dynamics of a gravitating system, Phys. Rev. D 37, 1410 (1988).
- [39] L. Blanchet and T. Damour, Post-Newtonian generation of gravitational waves, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Phys. Theor. 50, 377 (1989).
- [40] T. Damour and B. R. Iyer, Post-Newtonian generation of gravitational waves. 2. The spin moments, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Phys. Theor. 54, 115 (1991).
- [41] L. Blanchet, On the multipole expansion of the gravitational field, Classical Quantum Gravity **15**, 1971 (1998).
- [42] O. Poujade and L. Blanchet, Post-Newtonian approximation for isolated systems calculated by matched asymptotic expansions, Phys. Rev. D 65, 124020 (2002).
- [43] G. Kälin and R. A. Porto, From boundary data to bound states, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2020) 072.
- [44] G. Kälin and R. A. Porto, From boundary data to bound states. Part II. Scattering angle to dynamical invariants (with twist), J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2020) 120.
- [45] J. Vines, Scattering of two spinning black holes in post-Minkowskian gravity, to all orders in spin, and effectiveone-body mappings, Classical Quantum Gravity 35, 084002 (2018).
- [46] J. Vines, J. Steinhoff, and A. Buonanno, Spinningblack-hole scattering and the test-black-hole limit at second post-Minkowskian order, Phys. Rev. D 99, 064054 (2019).

- [47] D. Bini, T. Damour, and A. Geralico, Radiative contributions to gravitational scattering, Phys. Rev. D 104, 084031 (2021).
- [48] D. Bini and A. Geralico, Frequency domain analysis of the gravitational wave energy loss in hyperbolic encounters, Phys. Rev. D 104, 104019 (2021).
- [49] D. Bini and A. Geralico, Higher-order tail contributions to the energy and angular momentum fluxes in a two-body scattering process, Phys. Rev. D 104, 104020 (2021).
- [50] D. Bini and A. Geralico, Momentum recoil in the relativistic two-body problem: Higher-order tails, Phys. Rev. D 105, 084028 (2022).
- [51] D. Bini and A. Geralico, Multipolar invariants and the eccentricity enhancement function parametrization of gravitational radiation, Phys. Rev. D 105, 124001 (2022).
- [52] G. U. Jakobsen and G. Mogull, Linear response, Hamiltonian, and radiative spinning two-body dynamics, Phys. Rev. D 107, 044033 (2023).
- [53] E. Herrmann, J. Parra-Martinez, M. S. Ruf, and M. Zeng, Radiative classical gravitational observables at $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$ from scattering amplitudes, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2021) 148.
- [54] C. Dlapa, G. Kälin, Z. Liu, J. Neef, and R. A. Porto, Radiation Reaction and Gravitational Waves at Fourth Post-Minkowskian Order, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 101401 (2023).