Inverse magnetic catalysis effect and current quark mass effect on mass spectra and Mott transitions of pions under external magnetic field

Luyang Li¹ and Shijun Mao $\mathbf{Q}^{2,*}$ $\mathbf{Q}^{2,*}$ $\mathbf{Q}^{2,*}$ $\mathbf{Q}^{2,*}$

¹Xi'an University of Posts and Telecommunications, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710121, China ²Institute of Theoratical Physics, School of Physics, *Xi'an, Jiantana University* ²Institute of Theoretical Physics, School of Physics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710049, China

(Received 4 July 2023; accepted 21 August 2023; published 5 September 2023)

Mass spectra and Mott transitions of pions (π^0, π^{\pm}) at finite temperature and magnetic field are investigated in a two-flavor Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model, and we focus on the inverse magnetic catalysis (IMC) effect and current quark mass (CQM) effect. Due to the dimension reduction of the constituent quarks, the pion masses jump at their Mott transitions, which is independent of the IMC effect and CQM effect. We consider the IMC effect by using a magnetic-field-dependent coupling constant, which is a monotonic decreasing function of magnetic field. With the IMC effect, the Mott transition temperature of π^0 mesons, T_m^0 , is a monotonic decreasing function of magnetic field. For charged pions, π^{\pm} , the Mott transition temperature T_m^+ increases quickly in the weak magnetic field region and then decreases with increasing magnetic field, which is accompanied with some oscillations. Comparing with the case without IMC, T_m^0 and T_m^+ are lower when including the IMC effect. The CQM effect is considered by varying parameter m_0 in the nonchiral limit. For π^0 mesons, T_m^0 is not a monotonic function of magnetic field with low m_0 , but it is a monotonic decreasing function with larger m_0 . In the weak magnetic field region, T_m^0 is higher for larger m_0 , but in the strong magnetic field region, it is lower for larger m_0 . For π^+ mesons, T_m^+ is only quantitatively modified by the current quark mass effect, and it becomes higher with larger m_0 .

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.108.054001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.054001)

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of hadron properties in a QCD medium is important for our understanding of strong interaction matter, due to its close relation to QCD phase structure and relativistic heavy ion collision. For instance, the chiral symmetry breaking leads to the rich meson spectra, and the mass shift of hadrons will enhance or reduce their thermal production in relativistic heavy ion collisions [[1](#page-8-0)–[3](#page-8-1)].

It is widely believed that the strongest magnetic field in nature may be generated in the initial stage of relativistic heavy ion collisions. The initial magnitude of the field can reach $eB \sim (1-100)m_{\pi}^2$ in collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider and the Large Hadron Collider [[4](#page-8-2)–[8](#page-8-3)], where *e* is the electron charge and m_π the pion mass in vacuum. In recent years, the study of magnetic field effects on the hadrons has attracted much attention. As the Goldstone bosons of the chiral (isospin) symmetry breaking, the properties of neutral (charged) pions at finite magnetic field, temperature, and density are widely investigated [[9](#page-8-4)–[59\]](#page-8-5).

The LQCD simulations performed with physical pion mass observe the inverse magnetic catalysis (IMC) phenomenon [\[60](#page-8-6)–[67\]](#page-9-0). Namely, the pseudocritical temperature T_{pc} of chiral symmetry restoration and the quark mass near T_{pc} drop down with increasing magnetic field. On the analytical side, many scenarios are proposed to understand this IMC phenomenon, but the physical mechanism is not clear [[12](#page-8-7)[,53,](#page-8-8)[68](#page-9-1)–[96](#page-9-2)]. Besides this, how does the IMC effect influence the pion properties under an external magnetic field? Previous studies focus on the case with vanishing temperature and density, and report that the IMC effect leads to a lower mass for pions under an external magnetic field [[36](#page-8-9),[54](#page-8-10)[,55\]](#page-8-11).

The current quark mass determines the explicit breaking of chiral symmetry. In LQCD simulations, it is considered through different pion masses [\[24,](#page-8-12)[65](#page-9-3),[66](#page-9-4)]. At vanishing temperature and finite magnetic field, the normalized neutral pion mass $m_{\pi^0}(eB)/m_{\pi^0}(eB = 0)$ increases with the current quark mass, but the normalized charged pion mass $m_{\pi^{\pm}}(eB)/m_{\pi^{\pm}}(eB=0)$ decreases with the current quark mass [\[24\]](#page-8-12). In effective models, the current quark mass (CQM) effect on pion properties has not yet been studied.

[^{*}](#page-0-1) maoshijun@mail.xjtu.edu.cn

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

In this paper, we will investigate the IMC effect and the CQM effect on mass spectra and the Mott transition of pions at finite temperature and magnetic field. Here, we make use of a Pauli-Villars regularized Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model, which describes remarkably well the static properties of light mesons [\[27,](#page-8-13)[97](#page-9-5)–[101](#page-9-6)]. In our calculations, the IMC effect is introduced by a magneticfield-dependent coupling, and the CQM effect is considered by tuning the quark mass parameter.

The rest of paper is organized as follows: Sec. [II](#page-1-0) introduces our theoretical framework for meson spectra and the Mott transition in a Pauli-Villars regularized NJL model. The numerical results and discussions are presented in Sec. [III](#page-2-0), which focuses on the inverse magnetic catalysis effect in Sec. [III A](#page-2-1) and the current quark mass effect in Sec. [III B](#page-4-0). Finally, we give the summary in Sec. [IV.](#page-7-0)

II. FRAMEWORK

The two-flavor NJL model is defined through the Lagrangian density in terms of quark fields ψ [\[27](#page-8-13)[,97](#page-9-5)–[101\]](#page-9-6):

$$
\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma_{\nu}D^{\nu} - m_0)\psi + G[(\bar{\psi}\psi)^2 + (\bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\vec{\tau}\psi)^2].
$$
 (1)

Here, the covariant derivative $D_{\nu} = \partial_{\nu} + i Q A_{\nu}$ couples quarks with electric charge $Q = diag(Q_u, Q_d) = diag$ $(2e/3, -e/3)$ to the external magnetic field **B** = $(0, 0, B)$ in the z direction through the potential $A_{\nu} = (0, 0, Bx_1, 0)$. $m₀$ is the current quark mass, which determines the explicit breaking of chiral symmetry. G is the coupling constant in scalar and pseudoscalar channels, which determines the spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry and isospin symmetry.

In the NJL model, mesons are constructed through quark bubble summations in the frame of random phase approxi-mation [[27](#page-8-13)[,98](#page-9-7)-[101](#page-9-6)]:

$$
\mathcal{D}_M(x,z) = 2G\delta(x-z) + \int d^4y 2G\Pi_M(x,y)\mathcal{D}_M(y,z), \quad (2)
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_M(x, y)$ represents the meson propagator from x to y in coordinate space, and the corresponding meson polarization function is the quark bubble,

$$
\Pi_M(x, y) = i \text{Tr}[\Gamma_M^* S(x, y) \Gamma_M S(y, x)], \tag{3}
$$

with the meson vertex

$$
\Gamma_M = \begin{cases}\n1 & M = \sigma \\
i\tau_{+}\gamma_{5} & M = \pi_{+} \\
i\tau_{-}\gamma_{5} & M = \pi_{-} \\
i\tau_{3}\gamma_{5} & M = \pi_{0},\n\end{cases}\n\qquad\n\Gamma_M^* = \begin{cases}\n1 & M = \sigma \\
i\tau_{-}\gamma_{5} & M = \pi_{+} \\
i\tau_{+}\gamma_{5} & M = \pi_{-} \\
i\tau_{3}\gamma_{5} & M = \pi_{0},\n\end{cases}\n\qquad (4)
$$

the quark propagator matrix in flavor space $S =$ $diag(S_u, S_d)$, and the trace in spin, color, and flavor spaces.

According to the Goldstone's theorem, the pseudo-Goldstone mode of chiral (isospin) symmetry breaking under an external magnetic field is the neutral pion π^0 (charged pions π^{\pm}) [[102](#page-9-8)[,103\]](#page-9-9). The charged pions are no longer the pseudo-Goldstone modes because of their direct interaction with the magnetic field.

A. Neutral pion π^0

The neutral pion π^0 is affected by the external magnetic field only through the pair of charged constituent quarks, and its propagator in momentum space can be derived as

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\pi^0}(k) = \frac{2G}{1 - 2G\Pi_{\pi^0}(k)},
$$
\n(5)

with the polarization function $\Pi_{\pi^0}(k)$ and conserved momentum $k = (k_0, \mathbf{k})$ of the π^0 meson under the external magnetic field.

The meson pole mass m_{π^0} is defined as the pole of the propagator at zero momentum, $k = 0$:

$$
1 - 2G\Pi_{\pi^0}(\omega^2 = m_{\pi^0}^2, \mathbf{k}^2 = 0) = 0.
$$
 (6)

At nonzero magnetic field, the three-dimensional quark momentum integration in the polarization function Π_{π^0} becomes a one-dimensional momentum integration and a summation over the discrete Landau levels. The polarization function can be simplified as

$$
\Pi_{\pi^0}(\omega^2, 0) = J_1(m_q) + \omega^2 J_2(\omega^2),\tag{7}
$$

and

$$
J_1(m_q) = 3 \sum_{f,n} \alpha_n \frac{|Q_f B|}{2\pi} \int \frac{dp_3}{2\pi} \frac{1 - 2F(E_f)}{E_f},
$$

$$
J_2(\omega^2) = 3 \sum_{f,n} \alpha_n \frac{|Q_f B|}{2\pi} \int \frac{dp_3}{2\pi} \frac{1 - 2F(E_f)}{E_f(4E_f^2 - w^2)},
$$

with the summation over all flavors and Landau energy levels, spin factor $\alpha_n = 2 - \delta_{n0}$, quark energy $E_f =$ $\sqrt{p_3^2 + 2n|Q_fB| + m_q^2}$, and Fermi-Dirac distribution function $F(x) = (e^{x/T} + 1)^{-1}$.

The (dynamical) quark mass m_q is determined by the gap equation,

$$
1 - 2GJ_1(m_q) = \frac{m_0}{m_q}.
$$
 (8)

During the chiral symmetry restoration, the quark mass decreases, and the π^0 mass increases, as guaranteed by the Goldstone's theorem [\[102](#page-9-8),[103](#page-9-9)]. When the π^0 mass is beyond the threshold

$$
m_{\pi^0} = 2m_q, \tag{9}
$$

the decay channel $\pi^0 \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ opens, which defines the π^0 Mott transition [\[101](#page-9-6)[,104](#page-9-10)–[106](#page-9-11)].

From the explicit expression of Π_{π^0} in Eq. [\(7\)](#page-1-1), the factor $1/(4E_f^2 - \omega^2)$ in the integrated function of $J_2(\omega^2)$ becomes $\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)$ $\left(\frac{p_3^2 + 2n|Q_fB|}{\sigma}\right)$ at $\omega = 2m_q$. When we perform the integration over p_3 , the p_3^2 in the denominator leads to the infrared divergence at the lowest Landau level $n = 0$. Therefore, $m_{\pi^0} = 2m_q$ is not a solution of the pole equation, and there must be a mass jump for the π^0 meson at the Mott transition. This mass jump is a direct result of the quark dimension reduction [\[37](#page-8-14)[,44](#page-8-15)[,45,](#page-8-16)[48](#page-8-17),[51](#page-8-18)], and it is independent of the parameters and regularization schemes of the NJL model. When the magnetic field disappears, there is no more quark dimension reduction, the integration $\int d^3 \mathbf{p}/(4E_f^2 - \omega^2) \sim \int dp$ becomes finite at $\omega = 2m_q$, and there is no longer such a mass jump. It should be mentioned that in the chiral limit, no such mass jump happens for the π^0 meson even under an external magnetic field, which has been analytically proved in our previous work [[52](#page-8-19)].

B. Charged pions π^{\pm}

When constructing charged mesons through quark bubble summations, we should take into account the interaction between charged mesons and magnetic fields. The charged pions π^{\pm} with zero spin are Hermite-conjugated to each other; they have the same mass at finite temperature and magnetic field.

The π^+ meson propagator D_{π^+} can be expressed in terms of the polarization function Π_{π^+} [[48](#page-8-17),[55](#page-8-11),[56](#page-8-20)],

$$
D_{\pi^+}(\bar{k}) = \frac{2G}{1 - 2G\Pi_{\pi^+}(\bar{k})},\tag{10}
$$

where $\bar{k} = (k_0, 0, -\sqrt{(2l+1)eB}, k_3)$ is the conserved Ritus momentum of the π^+ meson under magnetic fields.

The meson pole mass m_{π^+} is defined through the pole of the propagator at zero momentum $(l = 0, k_3 = 0)$,

$$
1 - 2G\Pi_{\pi^+}(k_0 = m_{\pi^+}) = 0, \tag{11}
$$

and

$$
\Pi_{\pi^+}(k_0) = J_1(m_q) + J_3(k_0),\tag{12}
$$

$$
J_3(k_0) = \sum_{n,n'} \int \frac{dp_3}{2\pi} \frac{j_{n,n'}(k_0)}{4E_nE_{n'}}
$$

$$
\times \left[\frac{F(-E_{n'}) - F(E_n)}{k_0 + E_{n'} + E_n} + \frac{F(E_{n'}) - F(-E_n)}{k_0 - E_{n'} - E_n} \right], \quad (13)
$$

$$
j_{n,n'}(k_0) = [(k_0)^2/2 - n' |Q_u B| - n |Q_d B|] j_{n,n'}^+ - 2\sqrt{n' |Q_u B| n |Q_d B|} j_{n,n'}^-,
$$
\n(14)

with u-quark energy $E_{n'} = \sqrt{p_3^2 + 2n' |Q_u B| + m_q^2}$, d-quark energy $E_n = \sqrt{p_3^2 + 2n|Q_dB| + m_q^2}$, and summations over Landau levels of u and d quarks in $J_3(k_0)$.

The quark dimension reduction also leads to infrared $(p_3 \rightarrow 0)$ singularity of the quark bubble $\Pi_{\pi^+}(k_0)$ at some Landau level and some temperature [\[37,](#page-8-14)[52](#page-8-19)], and thus there is no solution of the corresponding pole equation for the π^+ meson mass m_{π^+} in this case. Because the spins of u and \overline{d} quarks at the lowest Landau level are always aligned parallel to the external magnetic field, and the π^+ meson has spin zero, the two constituents at the lowest Landau level $(n = n' = 0)$ do not satisfy the pole equa-tion [\(11\)](#page-2-2). Namely, they cannot form a charged π^+ meson. Considering $|Q_d|$ < $|Q_u|$, the threshold for the singularity of Π_{π^+} is located at Landau levels $n' = 0$ and $n = 1$:

$$
m_{\pi^+} = m_q + \sqrt{2|Q_dB| + m_q^2}.
$$
 (15)

This defines the Mott transition of the π^+ meson, and a mass jump will happen. There exist other mass jumps located at $n' \geq 1, n \geq 0$; see examples in Figs. [6](#page-4-1) and [12](#page-6-0). All these mass jumps are caused by the quark dimension reduction under the external magnetic field, and they do not depend on the parameters and regularization schemes of the NJL model.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Because of the four-fermion interaction, the NJL model is not a renormalizable theory and needs regularization. In this work, we make use of the gauge-invariant Pauli-Villars regularization scheme [[27,](#page-8-13)[37](#page-8-14),[48](#page-8-17),[93](#page-9-12),[97](#page-9-5)–[100](#page-9-13)], where the quark momentum runs formally from zero to infinity. The three parameters in the Pauli-Villars regularized NJL model—namely, the current quark mass $m_0 = 5$ MeV, the coupling constant $G = 3.44 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$, and the Pauli-Villars mass parameter $\Lambda = 1127$ MeV—are fixed by fitting the chiral condensate $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle = -(250 \text{ MeV})^3$, pion mass $m_{\pi} = 134$ MeV, and pion decay constant $f_{\pi} = 93$ MeV in vacuum with $T = \mu = 0$ and $eB = 0$. In our current calculations, we consider the situation with finite temperature and magnetic field and vanishing quark chemical potential $\mu = 0$.

A. Inverse magnetic catalysis effect

From LQCD simulations, the inverse magnetic catalysis phenomenon can be characterized either by the chiral condensates or by the pseudocritical temperature of chiral symmetry restoration [[60](#page-8-6)–[67](#page-9-0)]. Therefore, to include the

FIG. 1. Magnetic-field-dependent coupling $G(eB)$ fitted from LQCD-reported decreasing pseudocritical temperature of chiral symmetry restoration $T_{pc}(eB)/T_{pc}(eB = 0)$.

inverse magnetic catalysis effect in the NJL model, one approach is to fit the LQCD results of chiral condensates [\[36](#page-8-9)[,107](#page-9-14)–[109](#page-9-15)], and another approach is to fit the LOCD result of pseudocritical temperature [\[54,](#page-8-10)[55,](#page-8-11)[83](#page-9-16)[,84](#page-9-17)[,107](#page-9-14)].

In our calculations, following Refs. [[54](#page-8-10)[,55,](#page-8-11)[83,](#page-9-16)[84](#page-9-17)[,107](#page-9-14)], we use a two-flavor NJL model with a magnetic-fielddependent coupling $G(eB)$, which is determined by fitting the LQCD-reported decreasing pseudocritical temperature of chiral symmetry restoration $T_{pc}(eB)/T_{pc}(eB = 0)$ [[60](#page-8-6)]. As plotted in Fig. [1,](#page-3-0) the magnetic-field-dependent coupling $G(eB)/G(eB=0)$ is a monotonic decreasing function of magnetic field, and it reduces 16% at $eB/m_{\pi}^2 = 30$. In this paper, we fix $m_{\pi} = 134$ MeV as the scale of the magnetic field. As we have checked, with our fitted coupling constant $G(eB)$, the magnetic catalysis phenomena of chiral condensates at low temperature and the inverse magnetic catalysis phenomena at high temperature can be reproduced.

1. Neutral pion π^0

With our fitted $G(eB)$ in Fig. [1](#page-3-0), we solve the gap equation [\(8\)](#page-1-2) and pole equation [\(6\)](#page-1-3) to obtain the π^0 meson mass at finite temperature and the magnetic field with the IMC effect. The results with and without the IMC effect are plotted in red and blue, respectively.

Figure [2](#page-3-1) depicts the π^0 meson mass m_{π^0} as a function of magnetic field at vanishing temperature with (red solid line) and without (blue dashed line) the inverse magnetic catalysis effect, because in both cases the magnetic field enhances the breaking of chiral symmetry in vacuum. As the pseudo-Goldstone boson, the π^0 meson masses are decreasing functions of the magnetic field with and without the IMC effect. We observe a lower value for m_{π^0} when including the IMC effect. A similar conclusion is obtained in Refs. [[36](#page-8-9),[54](#page-8-10)], where the inverse magnetic catalysis effect is introduced into the two-flavor and three-flavor NJL models.

In Fig. [3,](#page-3-2) we plot the π^0 meson mass m_{π^0} at finite temperature and fixed magnetic field $eB = 20m_{\pi}^2$. With

FIG. 2. π^0 meson mass m_{π^0} as a function of magnetic field at vanishing temperature, with (red solid line) and without (blue dashed line) the inverse magnetic catalysis effect.

(red lines) and without (blue lines) the inverse magnetic catalysis effect, the π^0 mass spectra have similar structure. m_{π^0} increases in the low-temperature region and jumps at the Mott transition $T = T_m^0$. After that, it first decreases and then increases with temperature. The inverse magnetic catalysis effect leads to some quantitative modifications. For instance, the Mott transition temperature T_m^0 is shifted to a lower value, and m_{π^0} becomes lower (higher) at $T < T_m^0$ ($T > T_m^0$).

Figure [4](#page-4-2) shows the Mott transition temperature of the π^0 meson T_m^0 as a function of magnetic field, with (red solid line) and without (blue dashed line) the inverse magnetic catalysis effect. Without the IMC effect, the T_m^0 decreases with the magnetic field in the weak magnetic field region $(eB \le 5m_{\pi}^2)$, becomes flat in the medium magnetic field region $(5m_\pi^2 \le eB \le 16m_\pi^2)$, and decreases in the strong magnetic field region ($eB \ge 16m_{\pi}^2$). With the IMC effect, the flat structure of the Mott transition temperature disappears, and T_m^0 monotonically decreases with magnetic field. Furthermore, at fixed magnetic field, T_m^0 has a lower value when including the IMC effect.

FIG. 3. π^0 meson mass m_{π^0} as a function of temperature under fixed magnetic field $eB = 20m_{\pi}^2$, with (red lines) and without (blue lines) the inverse magnetic catalysis effect.

FIG. 4. Mott transition temperature of π^0 meson T_m^0 as a function of magnetic field, with (red solid line) and without (blue dashed line) the inverse magnetic catalysis effect.

2. Charged pion π^+

With our fitted $G(eB)$ in Fig. [1](#page-3-0), we solve the gap equation [\(8\)](#page-1-2) and pole equation [\(11\)](#page-2-2) to obtain the π^+ meson mass at finite temperature and magnetic field with the IMC effect. The results with and without the IMC effect are plotted in red and blue, respectively.

As shown in Fig. [5](#page-4-3), with and without the IMC effect, m_{π^+} are increasing functions of magnetic field, and no decreasing behavior is observed at vanishing temperature. With the IMC effect, m_{π^+} has a lower value than without the IMC effect, and the deviation becomes larger at stronger magnetic field. Similar results are obtained in a three-flavor NJL model including the IMC effect [\[54\]](#page-8-10).

In Fig. [6](#page-4-1), we make a comparison of m_{π^+} as a function of temperature at fixed magnetic field $eB = 20m_{\pi}^2$ with (red lines) and without (blue lines) the inverse magnetic catalysis effect. They show similar structure. m_{π^+} decreases in the low-temperature region. At the Mott transition T_m^+ , m_{π^+} shows the first jump, and two other mass jumps happen at T_1^+ and T_2^+ . With $T_m^+ < T < T_1^+$, m_{π^+} first decreases and then increases with temperature. With $T_1^+ < T < T_2^+$ and $T > T_2^+$, m_{π^+} decreases with temperature. At high enough temperature, m_{π^+} becomes independent of temperature and

FIG. 5. π^+ meson mass m_{π^+} as a function of magnetic field at vanishing temperature, with (red solid line) and without (blue dashed line) the inverse magnetic catalysis effect.

FIG. 6. π^+ meson mass m_{π^+} as a function of temperature at fixed magnetic field $eB = 20m_{\pi}^2$, with (red lines) and without (blue lines) the inverse magnetic catalysis effect.

the IMC effect. In the low- and high-temperature regions, m_{π^+} with the IMC effect is smaller than without the IMC effect. The values of $T_m^+ = 124$, 160 MeV, $T_1^+ = 136$, 172 MeV, and $T_2^+ = 157$, 187 MeV are different for the cases with and without the IMC effect, which indicates that the IMC effect lowers the temperatures of π^+ meson mass jumps.

Figure [7](#page-4-4) is the Mott transition temperature T_m^+ of the π^+ meson as a function of magnetic field, with (red solid line) and without (blue dashed line) the inverse magnetic catalysis effect. A fast increase of T_m^+ occurs when turning on the external magnetic field, where a peak structure around $eB \simeq 1 m_{\pi}^2$ shows up. Without the IMC effect, the T_m^+ decreases with magnetic field and then increases, which is associated with some oscillations. With the IMC effect, the T_m^+ decreases as the magnetic field goes up, which is also accompanied with some oscillations. At fixed magnetic field, T_m^+ has a lower value when including the IMC effect.

B. Current quark mass effect

In this part, we consider the effect of the current quark mass m_0 on the mass spectra and Mott transition of charged pions. The results are plotted with black, blue, red, cyan,

FIG. 7. Mott transition temperature of π^+ meson T_m^+ as a function of magnetic field, with (red solid line) and without (blue dashed line) the inverse magnetic catalysis effect.

and magenta lines, corresponding to $m_0 = 2, 5, 10, 20,$ 50 MeV, respectively. In numerical calculations, we only change the parameter m_0 and keep other parameters intact in our NJL model.

1. Neutral pion π^0

Figure [8](#page-5-0) plots the π^0 meson mass m_{π^0} and normalized π^0 meson mass $m_{\pi^0}(eB)/m_{\pi^0}(eB = 0)$ as a function of magnetic field at vanishing temperature with different current quark mass values $m_0 = 2, 5, 10, 20, 50$ MeV, because the current quark mass determines the explicit breaking of chiral symmetry. As the pseudo-Goldstone boson, the mass of the π^0 meson will increase with the current quark mass when fixing the magnetic field and vanishing temperature. On the other side, the magnetic field plays the role of catalysis for spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry when fixing the current quark mass and vanishing temperature, and this will lead to a decreasing m_{π^0} . As shown in Fig. [8,](#page-5-0) with fixed magnetic field, m_{π^0} becomes larger with larger m_0 . Moreover, the deviation between m_{π^0} with different m_0 values looks independent of the magnetic field. With fixed current quark mass, m_{π^0} is a decreasing function of magnetic field. Similarly to m_{π^0} , the normalized π^0 meson mass $m_{\pi^0}(eB)/m_{\pi^0}(eB = 0)$ increases with the current quark mass when fixing the magnetic field, and it decreases with the magnetic field when fixing m_0 , which is consistent with LQCD results [\[24\]](#page-8-12).

In Fig. [9](#page-5-1), we depict the π^0 meson mass m_{π^0} and normalized π^0 meson mass $m_{\pi^0}(T)/m_{\pi^0}(T=0)$ as a function of temperature with fixed magnetic field $eB =$ $20m_{\pi}^2$ and different current quark mass $m_0 = 2, 5, 10, 20,$ 50 MeV. They show similar structure. m_{π^0} slightly increases with temperature, and a mass jump happens at the Mott transition $T = T_m^0$. After Mott transition, m_{π^0} first decreases and then increases with temperature. However, there exists some quantitative difference. With a larger current quark mass, the m_{π^0} is larger in the whole temperature region, and the Mott transition temperature is lower. At high enough temperature, m_{π^0} will become degenerate due to the strong thermal motion of constituent quarks. Differently from the meson mass m_{π^0} , the normalized π^0 meson mass $m_{\pi^0}(T)/m_{\pi^0}(T=0)$ is larger with smaller current quark mass, and the deviation between different m_0 values is larger with higher temperature.

The Mott transition temperature of the π^0 meson, T_m^0 , is plotted as a function of magnetic field in Fig. [10](#page-6-1) with different current quark mass $m_0 = 2, 5, 10, 20, 50$ MeV. For a lower value of current quark mass $m_0 = 2$ MeV, the Mott transition temperature decreases with a weak magnetic field $(eB \leq 2m_{\pi}^2)$, slightly increases in the medium magnetic field region $(2m_{\pi}^2 \le eB \le 15m_{\pi}^2)$, and decreases again in

 1.0 $eB=20m^2$ 0.8 m_{π^0} (GeV) 06 0.4 0.2 0.0 0.05 0.10 0.15 0.20 0.00 0.25 T (GeV) eB=20 m_{π}^2 11 $n_{\pi^0}(\mathbb{T})/m_{\pi^0}(\mathbb{T}=0)$ 9 7. 5 0.05 0.1 0.15 3. 1 $\overline{0.05}$ 0.10 0.15 0.20 0.00 0.25 $T(GeV)$

FIG. 8. π^0 meson mass m_{π^0} (upper panel) and normalized π^0 meson mass $m_{\pi^0}(eB)/m_{\pi^0}(eB = 0)$ (lower panel) as a function of magnetic field at vanishing temperature with different current quark mass m_0 . The results are plotted with black, blue, red, cyan, and magenta lines, corresponding to $m_0 = 2, 5, 10, 20, 50$ MeV, respectively.

FIG. 9. π^0 meson mass m_{π^0} (upper panel) and normalized π^0 meson mass $m_{\pi^0}(T)/m_{\pi^0}(T=0)$ (lower panel) as a function of temperature with fixed magnetic field $eB = 20m_{\pi}^2$ and different current quark mass m_0 . The results are plotted with black, blue, red, cyan, and magenta lines, corresponding to $m_0 = 2, 5, 10, 20$, 50 MeV, respectively.

FIG. 10. Mott transition temperature of π^0 meson T_m^0 as a function of magnetic field with different current quark mass m_0 . The results are plotted with black, blue, red, cyan, and magenta lines, corresponding to $m_0 = 2, 5, 10, 20, 50$ MeV, respectively.

the strong magnetic field region $(eB \ge 15m_\pi^2)$. For $m_0 = 5$ MeV, we obtain a flat curve for the Mott transition temperature in the medium magnetic field region $(5m_\pi^2 \leq$ $eB \le 16m_{\pi}^2$, and in the weak and strong magnetic field regions, the Mott transition temperature decreases. With a larger value of current quark mass $m_0 = 10$, 20, 50 MeV, the Mott transition temperatures are monotonic decreasing functions of magnetic field. In the weak magnetic field region, T_m^0 is higher for larger m_0 , but in the strong magnetic field region, T_m^0 is lower for larger m_0 .

In the end of this section, we make some comments on the chiral limit with $m_0 = 0$. As discussed in our previous paper [\[52\]](#page-8-19), in the chiral limit, the Goldstone boson π^0 is massless in the chiral breaking phase, and its mass continuously increases with temperature in the chiral restored phase. The Mott transition temperature is the same as the critical temperature of chiral restoration phase transition, and it increases with magnetic field. Moreover, no mass jump occurs for a π^0 meson at the Mott transition, with or without an external magnetic field.

2. Charged pion π^+

Figure [11](#page-6-2) shows the π^+ meson mass m_{π^+} and normalized mass $m_{\pi^+}(eB)/m_{\pi^+}(eB = 0)$ as a function of magnetic field with vanishing temperature and different current quark mass $m_0 = 2, 5, 10, 20, 50$ MeV. m_{π^+} is an increasing function of magnetic field when fixing m_0 . With a fixed magnetic field, larger values of m_0 lead to larger m_{π^+} . In the weak magnetic field region, the m_0 effect is more obvious than in the strong magnetic field region, which is indicated by the larger difference of m_{π^+} between different m_0 cases. However, with a fixed magnetic field, the normalized π^+ meson mass $m_{\pi^+}(eB)/m_{\pi^+}(eB = 0)$ decreases as m_0 goes up, which is consistent with LQCD results [[24](#page-8-12)].

The π^+ meson mass m_{π^+} is plotted as a function of temperature with a fixed magnetic field $eB = 20m_{\pi}^2$ and different current quark mass $m_0 = 2, 5, 10, 20, 50$ MeV in Fig. [12.](#page-6-0) m_{π^+} has several mass jumps for all considered

FIG. 11. π^+ meson mass m_{π^+} (upper panel) and normalized π^+ meson mass $m_{\pi^+}(eB)/m_{\pi^+}(eB = 0)$ (lower panel) as a function of magnetic field with vanishing temperature and different current quark mass m_0 . The results are plotted with black, blue, red, cyan, and magenta lines, corresponding to $m_0 = 2, 5, 10, 20, 50$ MeV, respectively.

current quark mass values m_0 , which happen at temperatures T_m^+ , T_1^+ , T_2^+ , T_3^+ successively. With low temperature $T < T_m^+$, m_{π^+} becomes larger with larger m_0 . With T_m^+ < $T < T_1^+$ and $T_1^+ < T < T_2^+, m_{\pi^+}$ values are also larger with larger m_0 , but the value of m_{π^+} is very close for different m_0 . With $T > T_2^+$, m_{π^+} changes nonmonotonically with $m₀$. It is clearly shown that the temperatures with mass jump depend on current quark mass. Within the considered

FIG. 12. π^+ meson mass m_{π^+} as a function of temperature with fixed magnetic field $eB = 20m_{\pi}^2$ and different current quark mass $m₀$. The results are plotted with black, blue, red, cyan, and magenta lines, corresponding to $m_0 = 2$, 5, 10, 20, 50 MeV, respectively.

FIG. 13. Mott transition temperature of the π^+ meson T_m^+ as a function of magnetic field with different current quark mass m_0 . The results are plotted with black, blue, red, cyan, and magenta lines, corresponding to $m_0 = 2, 5, 10, 20, 50$ MeV, respectively.

temperature region $0 < T < 0.25$ GeV, we observe three jumps in the cases of current quark mass $m_0 = 2, 5,$ 20 MeV, and four jumps in the case of $m_0 = 10$ MeV. For $m_0 = 50$ MeV, the first mass jump occurs at $T = 0.262$ GeV, which is beyond the scope of Fig. [12](#page-6-0).

Figure [13](#page-7-1) plots the Mott transition temperature of the π^+ meson T_m^+ as a function of magnetic field with different current quark mass $m_0 = 2, 5, 10, 20, 50 \text{ MeV. } T_m^+$ shows similar behavior when varying current quark mass. Accompanied with some oscillations, T_m^+ increases quickly in the weak magnetic field region, decreases in the medium magnetic field region, and slightly increases in the strong magnetic field region. With larger current quark mass, the Mott transition temperature T_m^+ becomes higher. Note that in the case of $m_0 = 50$ MeV, the increasing behavior of T_m^+ appears in a very strong magnetic field, which is beyond the scope of Fig. [13](#page-7-1).

IV. SUMMARY

The mass spectra and Mott transition of pions (π^0, π^{\pm}) at finite temperature and magnetic field are investigated in a two-flavor NJL model, and we focus on the inverse magnetic catalysis effect and current quark mass effect.

We consider the inverse magnetic catalysis effect by introducing a magnetic-field-dependent coupling constant into the NJL model, which is a monotonic decreasing function of magnetic field. The mass spectra of pions (π^0, π^{\pm}) at finite temperature and/or magnetic field are not changed qualitatively by the IMC effect. At the Mott transition, the mass jumps of pions happen. Without the IMC effect, the Mott transition temperature of π^0 mesons, T_m^0 , decreases with magnetic field, but it shows a flat structure in the medium magnetic field region. With the IMC effect, the flat structure of T_m^0 disappears, and T_m^0 is a monotonic decreasing function of magnetic field. For charged pions π^{\pm} , the Mott transition temperature T_m^+ is not a monotonic function of magnetic field. Without the IMC effect, it increases quickly in the weak magnetic field region, decreases in the medium magnetic field region, and slightly increases in the strong magnetic field region, which is accompanied with some oscillations. When including the IMC effect, the increasing behavior of T_m^+ in a strong magnetic field is changed into a decreasing behavior.

The current quark mass effect is studied in the nonchiral limit. The masses of pions (π^0, π^{\pm}) at vanishing temperature increase as the current quark mass m_0 goes up. However, the normalized masses $m_\pi(eB)/m_\pi(eB=0)$ change differently. For π^0 mesons, $m_{\pi^0}(eB)/m_{\pi^0}(eB = 0)$ increases with m_0 . For π^+ mesons, $m_{\pi^+}(eB)/m_{\pi^+}(eB = 0)$ decreases with $m₀$. These properties are consistent with LQCD simulations. At the Mott transition, the mass jumps of pions happen. The Mott transition temperature of π^0 meson T_m^0 is qualitatively modified by the current quark mass effect. With a low value of current quark mass $m_0 = 2$ MeV, T_m^0 decreases with weak magnetic field, slightly increases in the medium magnetic field region, and decreases again in the strong magnetic field region. With $m_0 = 5$ MeV, we obtain a flat curve for T_m^0 in the medium magnetic field region, and in the weak and strong magnetic field regions, T_m^0 decreases. With a larger value of current quark mass, such as $m_0 = 10, 20$, 50 MeV, T_m^0 is a monotonic decreasing function of magnetic field. In the weak magnetic field region, the Mott transition temperature is higher for larger m_0 , but in the strong magnetic field region, the Mott transition temperature is lower for larger m_0 . For π^+ mesons, the Mott transition temperature T_m^+ is only quantitatively modified by the current quark mass effect. Associated with some oscillations, T_m^+ increases quickly in the weak magnetic field region, decreases in the medium magnetic field region, and slightly increases in the strong magnetic field region. With larger m_0 , T_m^+ becomes higher.

Due to interaction with the magnetic field, the charged pions π^{\pm} show different behavior from the neutral pion π^{0} . One common character of pions (π^0, π^{\pm}) is the mass jump at their Mott transitions, which is induced by the dimension reduction of the constituent quarks and is independent of the IMC effect and CQM effect. As a consequence of such jumps, there may result some interesting phenomena in relativistic heavy ion collisions where a strong magnetic field can be created. For instance, when the formed fireball cools down, there might be sudden enhancement/suppression of pions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Dr. L. Li is supported by a Natural Science Basic Research Plan in the Shaanxi Province of China (Program No. 2023-JC-YB-570). Professor S. Mao is supported by NSFC Grant No. 12275204 and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

- [1] C. M. Ko, Z. G. Wu, L. H. Xia, and G. E. Brown, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.66.2577) Rev. Lett. 66[, 2577 \(1991\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.66.2577); 67[, 1811\(E\) \(1991\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.1811)
- [2] G. Q. Li and G. E. Brown, Phys. Rev. C 58[, 1698 \(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.58.1698)
- [3] K. Paech, A. Dumitru, J. Schaffner-Bielich, H. Stoecker, G. Zeeb, D. Zschiesche, and S. Schramm, [Acta Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1556/APH.21.2004.2-4.9) Hung. A 21[, 151 \(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1556/APH.21.2004.2-4.9).
- [4] J. Rafelski and B. Muller, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.36.517)* **36**, 517 (1976).
- [5] D. E. Kharzeev, L. D. McLerran, and H. J. Warringa, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2008.02.298) Phys. A803[, 227 \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2008.02.298).
- [6] V. Skokov, A. Y. Illarionov, and T. Toneev, [Int. J. Mod.](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X09047570) Phys. A 24[, 5925 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X09047570).
- [7] W. T. Deng and X. G. Huang, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.044907) 85, 044907 [\(2012\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.044907) [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.01.050) 742, 296 (2015).
- [8] K. Tuchin, [Adv. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/490495) 2013, 490495 (2013).
- [9] N. Agasian and I. Shushpanov, [J. High Energy Phys. 10](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2001/10/006) [\(2001\) 006.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2001/10/006)
- [10] G. Colucci, E. Fraga, and A. Sedrakian, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.11.028) 728, [19 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.11.028).
- [11] J. Anderson, [J. High Energy Phys. 10 \(2012\) 005;](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)005) [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.025020) Rev. D 86[, 025020 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.025020).
- [12] K. Kamikado and T. Kanazawa, [J. High Energy Phys. 03](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2014)009) [\(2014\) 009.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2014)009)
- [13] G. Krein and C. Miller, Symmetry 13[, 551 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13040551).
- [14] A. Ayala, J. L. Hernández, L. A. Hernández, R. L. S. Farias, and R. Zamora, Phys. Rev. D 103[, 054038 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.054038)
- [15] R. M. Aguirre, Phys. Rev. D **96**[, 096013 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.096013)
- [16] A. Ayala, R. L. S. Farias, S. Hernández-Ortiz, L. A. Hernández, D. M. Paret, and R. Zamora, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.114008) 98[, 114008 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.114008)
- [17] A. N. Tawfik, A. M. Diab, and T. M. Hussein, [Chin. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/43/3/034103) C 43[, 034103 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/43/3/034103).
- [18] A. Das and N. Haque, Phys. Rev. D **101**[, 074033 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.074033)
- [19] G. S. Bali, F. Bruckmann, G. Endrődi, Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz, S. Krieg, A. Schäfer, and K. K. Szabó, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2012)044) [Energy Phys. 02 \(2012\) 044.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2012)044)
- [20] Y. Hidaka and A. Yamatomo, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.094502) 87, 094502 [\(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.094502)
- [21] E. Luschevskaya, O. Solovjeva, O. Kochetkov, and O. Teryaev, Nucl. Phys. B898[, 627 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2015.07.023)
- [22] G. S. Bali, B. Brandt, G. Endrődi, and B. Gläßle, Proc. Sci. LATTICE2015 (2016) 265.
- [23] E. Luschevskaya, O. Solovjeva, and O. Teryaev, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.08.054) Lett. B 761[, 393 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.08.054)
- [24] G. S. Bali, B. Brandt, G. Endrődi, and B. Gläßle, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.034505) Rev. D 97[, 034505 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.034505).
- [25] H. T. Ding, S. T. Li, A. Tomiya, X. D. Wang, and Y. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 104[, 014505 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.014505)
- [26] H. T. Ding, S. T. Li, J. H. Liu, and X. D. Wang, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.034514) D 105[, 034514 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.034514)
- [27] S. Klevansky, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.64.649) **64**, 649 (1992).
- [28] S. Fayazbakhsh, S. Sadeghian, and N. Sadooghi, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.085042) Rev. D 86[, 085042 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.085042).
- [29] S. Fayazbakhsh and N. Sadooghi, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.065030) 88, 065030 [\(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.065030)
- [30] V.D. Orlovsky and Y.A. Simonov, [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2013)136) [09 \(2013\) 136.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2013)136)
- [31] R. Zhang, W. J. Fu, and Y. X. Liu, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4123-8) 76, 307 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4123-8)
- [32] S. Avancini, W. Travres, and M. Pinto, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.014010) 93, [014010 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.014010)
- [33] K. Hattori, T. Kojo, and N. Su, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2016.03.016) **A951**, 1 (2016).
- [34] Y. A. Simonov, Yad. Fiz. **79**[, 277 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.7868/S0044002716030181) [[Phys. At. Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063778816030170) 79[, 455 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063778816030170)].
- [35] M. A. Andreichikov, B. O. Kerbikov, E. V. Luschevskaya, Y. A. Simonov, and O. E. Solovjeva, [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2017)007) [05 \(2017\) 007.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2017)007)
- [36] S. Avancini, R. Farias, M. Pinto, W. Travres, and V. Timóteo, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.02.002) 767, 247 (2017).
- [37] S. J. Mao and Y. X. Wang, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.034004) 96, 034004 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.034004)
- [38] M. A. Andreichikov and Y. A. Simonov, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6384-x) 78, [902 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6384-x).
- [39] C. A. Dominguez, M. Loewe, and C. Villavicencio, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.034015) Rev. D 98[, 034015 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.034015).
- [40] Z. Y. Wang and P. F. Zhuang, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.034026) **97**, 034026 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.034026)
- [41] M. Coppola, D. Dumm, and N. Scoccola, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.04.043) 782[, 155 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.04.043).
- [42] H. Liu, X. Wang, L. Yu, and M. Huang, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.076008) 97, [076008 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.076008)
- [43] D. G. Dumm, M. I. Villafañe, and N. N. Scoccola, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.034025) Rev. D 97[, 034025 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.034025).
- [44] S.S. Avancini, R.L.S. Farias, and W.R. Tavares, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.056009) Rev. D 99[, 056009 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.056009).
- [45] N. Chaudhuri, S. Ghosh, S. Sarkar, and P. Roy, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.116025) D 99[, 116025 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.116025)
- [46] M. Coppola, D. G. Dumm, S. Noguera, and N. N. Scoccola, Phys. Rev. D 100[, 054014 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.054014)
- [47] J. Y. Chao, Y. X. Liu, and L. Chang, [arXiv:2007.14258.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2007.14258)
- [48] S.J. Mao, Phys. Rev. D 99[, 056005 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.056005).
- [49] J. Moreira, P. Costa, and T. E. Restrepo, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.014032) 102, [014032 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.014032)
- [50] D. N. Li, G. Q. Cao, and L. Y. He, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.074026) 104, [074026 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.074026)
- [51] B. K. Sheng, Y. Y. Wang, X. Y. Wang, and L. Yu, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.094001) Rev. D 103[, 094001 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.094001)
- [52] S.J. Mao, Chin. Phys. C 45[, 021004 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/abcfad)
- [53] K. Xu, J. Y. Chao, and M. Huang, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.076015) 103, [076015 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.076015)
- [54] S. S. Avancini, M. Coppola, N. N. Scoccola, and J. C. Sodré, Phys. Rev. D 104[, 094040 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.094040).
- [55] S.J. Mao and Y.M. Tian, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.094017) 106, 094017 [\(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.094017)
- [56] L. Y. Li and S. J. Mao, Chin. Phys. C 46[, 094105 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/ac7201)
- [57] J. P. Carlomagno, D. G. Dumm, M. F. I. Villafañe, S. Noguera, and N. N. Scoccola, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.094035) 106, 094035 [\(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.094035).
- [58] J. P. Carlomagno, D. G. Dumm, S. Noguera, and N. N. Scoccola, Phys. Rev. D 106[, 074002 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.074002)
- [59] J. Mei and S. J. Mao, Phys. Rev. D 107[, 074018 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.074018)
- [60] G. S. Bali, F. Bruckmann, G. Endrödi, Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz, S. Krieg, A. Schäfer, and K. K. Szabó, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.071502) 86[, 071502 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.071502)
- [61] G. S. Bali, F. Bruckmann, G. Endrödi, F. Gruber, and A. Schäfer, [J. High Energy Phys. 04 \(2013\) 130.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2013)130)
- [62] V. Bornyakov, P. V. Buividovich, N. Cundy, O. A. Kochetkov, and A. Schäfer, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.034501) 90, 034501 [\(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.034501)
- [63] E. M. Ilgenfritz, M. Muller-Preussker, B. Petersson, and A. Schreiber, Phys. Rev. D 89[, 054512 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.054512)
- [64] G. Endrödi, [J. High Energy Phys. 07 \(2015\) 173.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2015)173)
- [65] M. D'Elia, F. Manigrasso, F. Negro, and F. Sanfilippo, Phys. Rev. D 98[, 054509 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.054509).
- [66] G. Endrödi, M. Giordano, S. D. Katz, T. G. Kovacs, and F. Pittler, [J. High Energy Phys. 07 \(2019\) 009.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2019)009)
- [67] A. Tomiya, H. T. Ding, X. D. Wang, Y. Zhang, S. Mukherjee, and C. Schmidt, Proc. Sci. LATTICE2018 (2019) 163.
- [68] E. S. Fraga and A. J. Mizher, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.025016) 78, 025016 [\(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.025016)
- [69] C. V. Johnson and A. Kundu, [J. High Energy Phys. 12](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/12/053) [\(2008\) 053.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/12/053)
- [70] A. J. Mizher, M. N. Chernodub, and E. S. Fraga, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.105016) Rev. D 82[, 105016 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.105016).
- [71] K. Fukushima, M. Ruggieri, and R. Gatto, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.114031) 81[, 114031 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.114031)
- [72] R. Gatto and M. Ruggieri, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.054027) 82, 054027 [\(2010\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.054027) 83[, 034016 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.034016)
- [73] F. Preis, A. Rebhan, and A. Schmitt, [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2011)033) [03 \(2011\) 033.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2011)033)
- [74] V. Skokov, Phys. Rev. D **85**[, 034026 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.034026)
- [75] E. S. Fraga, J. Noronha, and L. F. Palhares, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.114014) 87[, 114014 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.114014)
- [76] K. Fukushima and Y. Hidaka, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.031601) 110, 031601 [\(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.031601)
- [77] J. Y. Chao, P. C. Chu, and M. Huang, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.054009) 88, [054009 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.054009)
- [78] F. Bruckmann, G. Endrödi, and T. G. Kovacs, [arXiv:1311](https://arXiv.org/abs/1311.3178) [.3178.](https://arXiv.org/abs/1311.3178)
- [79] T. Kojo and N. Su, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.02.024) 720, 192 (2013).
- [80] F. Bruckmann, G. Endrodi, and T. G. Kovacs, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2013)112) [Energy Phys. 04 \(2013\) 112.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2013)112)
- [81] A. Ayala, M. Loewe, A. Júlia Mizher, and R. Zamora, Phys. Rev. D 90[, 036001 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.036001).
- [82] A. Ayala, L. Alberto Hernández, A. Júlia Mizher, J. Cristóbal Rojas, and C. Villavicencio, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.116017) 89, [116017 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.116017).
- [83] R. L. S. Farias, K. P. Gomes, G. Krein, and M. B. Pinto, Phys. Rev. C 90[, 025203 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.025203)
- [84] M. Ferreira, P. Costa, O. Lourenco, T. Frederico, and C. Providência, Phys. Rev. D 89[, 116011 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.116011)
- [85] M. Ferreira, P. Costa, and C. Providência, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.036006) 89, [036006 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.036006)
- [86] M. Ferreira, P. Costa, D. P. Menezes, C. Providência, and N. N. Scoccola, Phys. Rev. D 89[, 016002 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.016002)
- [87] P. Costa, M. Ferreira, H. Hansen, D. P. Menezes, and C. Providência, Phys. Rev. D 89[, 056013 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.056013)
- [88] E. J. Ferrer, V. de la Incera, I. Portillo, and M. Quiroz, Phys. Rev. D 89[, 085034 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.085034).
- [89] A. Ayala, C. A. Dominguez, L. A. Hernández, M. Loewe, and R. Zamora, Phys. Rev. D 92[, 096011 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.096011).
- [90] N. Mueller and J. M. Pawlowski, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.116010) 91, 116010 [\(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.116010)
- [91] E. J. Ferrer, V. de la Incera, and X. J. Wen, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.054006) 91, [054006 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.054006)
- [92] J. Braun, W. A. Mian, and S. Rechenberger, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.02.017) 755[, 265 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.02.017).
- [93] S.J. Mao, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.05.018) 758, 195 (2016); [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.036007) 94, [036007 \(2016\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.036007) **97**[, 011501\(R\) \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.011501).
- [94] J. Mei and S. J. Mao, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.114035) 102, 114035 [\(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.114035)
- [95] A. Ayala, L. A. Hernández, M. Loewe, and C. Villavicencio, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00534-4) 57, 234 (2021).
- [96] S.J. Mao, Phys. Rev. D 106[, 034018 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.034018)
- [97] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.122.345) 122, 345 [\(1961\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.122.345) 124[, 246 \(1961\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.124.246).
- [98] M. K. Volkov, Phys. Part. Nucl. 24, 35 (1993).
- [99] T. Hatsuda and T. Kunihiro, Phys. Rep. 247[, 221 \(1994\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(94)90022-1)
- [100] M. Buballa, Phys. Rep. 407[, 205 \(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2004.11.004).
- [101] P. Zhuang, J. Hüfner, and S.P. Klevansky, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(94)90743-9) A576[, 525 \(1994\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(94)90743-9).
- [102] J. Goldstone, [Nuovo Cimento](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02812722) 19, 154 (1961).
- [103] J. Goldstone, A. Salam, and S. Weinberg, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.127.965) 127, [965 \(1962\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.127.965).
- [104] N. F. Mott, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.40.677) 40, 677 (1968).
- [105] J. Huefner, S. Klevansky, and P. Rehberg, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(96)00206-0) A606[, 260 \(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(96)00206-0).
- [106] P. Costa, M. Ruivo, and Y. Kalinovsky, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00395-2) 560, [171 \(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00395-2).
- [107] H. Liu, L. Yu, M. Chernodub, and M. Huang, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.113006) 94[, 113006 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.113006)
- [108] A. Ayala, C. A. Dominguez, L. A. Hernández, M. Loewe, A. Raya, J. C. Rojas, and C. Villavicenico, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.054019) 94[, 054019 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.054019)
- [109] R. L. S. Farias, V. S. Timoteo, S. S. Avancini, M. B. Pinto, and G. Klein, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2017-12320-8) 53, 101 (2017).