Kinematical higher-twist corrections in $\gamma^* \to M_1 M_2 \gamma$: Neutral meson production

Bernard Pire $\mathbf{D}^{1,*}$ $\mathbf{D}^{1,*}$ $\mathbf{D}^{1,*}$ $\mathbf{D}^{1,*}$ and Qin-Tao Song^{2,[†](#page-0-1)}

¹CPHT, CNRS, École polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91128 Palaiseau, France
²Sebool of Physics and Migraelectronics, Zhangzhou, University, Zhangzhou, Hengy 450001, Chi 2 School of Physics and Microelectronics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, China

(Received 20 April 2023; accepted 10 May 2023; published 12 June 2023)

We carry out the calculation of kinematical higher-twist corrections to the cross section of $\gamma^* \to M_1 M_2 \gamma$ up to twist 4, where M_i is a scalar or pseudoscalar neutral meson. The three independent helicity amplitudes are presented in terms of the twist-2 generalized distribution amplitudes (GDAs), which are important nonperturbative quantities for understanding the 3D structure of hadrons. Since this process can be measured by BESIII in e^+e^- collisions, we perform the numerical estimate of the kinematical higher-twist corrections by using the kinematics of BESIII. We adopt the $\pi\pi$ GDA extracted from Belle measurements and the asymptotic $\pi \pi$ GDA to study the size of the kinematical corrections in the case of pion meson pair, and a model $\eta \eta$ GDA is used to see the impact of target mass corrections $\mathcal{O}(m^2/s)$ for $\gamma^* \to \eta \eta \gamma$. Our results show that the kinematical higher-twist corrections account for ∼20% of the cross sections at BESIII on the average, and it is necessary to include them if one tries to extract GDAs from experimental measurements precisely. We also comment the case of $\pi^0\eta$ production which is important for the search of hybrid mesons.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.107.114014](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.114014)

I. INTRODUCTION

Generalized distribution amplitudes (GDAs) [[1](#page-10-0)–[5](#page-10-1)] are important nonperturbative functions which reveal the 3D partonic structure of hadrons, and they correspond to the amplitudes of the soft processes $q\bar{q} \rightarrow h\bar{h}$ or $gg \rightarrow h\bar{h}$. GDAs were firstly investigated in $\gamma^* \gamma \to h \bar{h}$ with large photon virtuality and small invariant mass of hadron pair to satisfy the QCD factorization. This process is known as the $s - t$ crossed channel of deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS), from which generalized parton distributions (GPDs) [[6](#page-10-2)–[9](#page-10-3)] are probed. Recently, measurements of $\gamma^* \gamma \to h \bar{h}$ were released for neutral pion pair [[10](#page-10-4)] and neutral kaon pair [[11](#page-10-5)] production by the Belle Collaboration at KEK, and the $\pi\pi$ quark GDA was extracted from the cross section of $\gamma^* \gamma \to \pi^0 \pi^0$ [\[12\]](#page-10-6). In addition to $\gamma^* \gamma \to h \bar{h}$, GDAs can also be accessed in the crossed reaction [\[13\]](#page-10-7),

$$
\gamma^*(q_1) \to h(p_1)\bar{h}(p_2)\gamma(q_2), \qquad (1)
$$

[*](#page-0-4) bernard.pire@polytechnique.edu [†](#page-0-4) songqintao@zzu.edu.cn

which may be studied in the electron-positron annihilation process

$$
e^-(k_1)e^+(k_2) \to h(p_1)\bar{h}(p_2)\gamma(q_2), \qquad (2)
$$

where the large scale $Q^2 = q_1^2$ is now timelike; a first access
to this process was released by *BABAB* [14] in the charged to this process was released by BABAR [\[14\]](#page-10-8) in the charged meson channel case, and future results should be obtained at BESIII and Belle (Belle II).

There exists a basic difference between the neutral (say $\pi^{0}\pi^{0}$) production channel and the charged one (say $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$), due to the charge conjugation property of the $\pi\pi$ state. Since the $\pi^+\pi^-$ pair can be produced both with $C = +$ and $C = -$ charge conjugation, the QCD amplitude [\(1\)](#page-0-2) can interfere with the QED process, known as initial state radiation (ISR),

$$
e^-(k_1) + e^+(k_2) \to \gamma^*(q_1') + \gamma(q_2);
$$

$$
\gamma^*(q_1') \to h(p_1)\bar{h}(p_2),
$$
 (3)

where the $h(p_1)\bar{h}(p_2)$ pair is produced in a $C = -$ state. The amplitude of the ISR process [\(3\)](#page-0-3) does not depend on GDAs, and is readily calculated with the help of the measured π meson timelike electromagnetic form factor. The ISR process turns out to dominate¹ the QCD process in most kinematics, which renders inefficient the extraction of

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

¹The typical parameter which controls the magnitude of the relative contributions of both processes to the cross section is s/W^2 .

GDAs from integrated $\pi^+\pi^-$ cross sections, but allows us to extract the QCD contribution—and hence the GDAs—at the amplitude level through cleverly defined asymmetries, taking advantage of the different C parities of the meson pair selected by the two processes. This is quite reminiscent of the usual procedure in DVCS or TCS measurements where the interference of the QCD process with the Bethe-Heitler contribution populates interesting asymmetries. We shall address these questions in a forthcoming study and restrict here to the neutral pseudoscalar meson pair case, namely $\pi^0 \pi^0$, $\eta \eta$ and $\pi^0 \eta$ channels, where the process [\(3\)](#page-0-3) does not contribute.

On the one hand, GDAs are important inputs for the three-body decays of B mesons, which are used to study the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix [[15](#page-10-9)–[18](#page-10-10)]. On the other hand, GDAs and GPDs are key objects to investigate the matrix elements of the energy-momentum tensor (EMT) for hadrons, which are expressed in terms of the EMT form factors. In principle, one can not measure the EMT form factors of hadrons directly by experiment since the gravitational interactions between hadrons and gravitons are too tiny to probe. However, GDAs and GPDs can be accessed via electromagnetic interactions, as a consequence, the studies of GDAs and GPDs are quite valuable. Many important physical quantities of hadrons can be obtained through the study of the EMT form factors, e.g., mass, pressure and shear force distributions of hadrons [\[19](#page-10-11)–[27\]](#page-10-12). Let us also note that the production of two different mesons, for example $\gamma^* \to \gamma \pi \eta$ where the $\pi \eta$ GDA is accessed, can be also used to search for the hybrid meson $(J^{PC} = 1^{-+})$ [\[28\]](#page-10-13) and to investigate the shear viscosity of quarks in hadronic matter [\[29\]](#page-10-14).

GPDs and GDAs can currently be accessed at many experimental facilities, but in a quite limited range of the hard scale Q^2 which is for instance the virtuality of the incoming photon. Compared with the leading-twist cross sections, the higher-twist corrections are thus not negligible considering the energy scales of present and near future experimental measurements. In order to extract GPDs and GDAs precisely, one needs to include the highertwist contributions to the cross sections. However, highertwist GPDs and GDAs are required to describe the higher-twist corrections, and this will make the analysis difficult when one tries to extract GPDs and GDAs from the experimental measurements. Recently, a separation of kinematical and dynamical contributions in the operator product of two electromagnetic currents $T\{j_{\nu}^{\text{em}}(z_1x)j_{\nu}^{\text{em}}(z_2x)\}\$ was proven in Refs. [[30](#page-10-15)–[33\]](#page-10-16), and
these operator results can be applied to the off-forward hard these operator results can be applied to the off-forward hard reactions such as $\gamma^* h \to \gamma h$, $\gamma h \to \gamma^* h$, $\gamma^* \gamma \to h_1 h_2$ and $\gamma^* \rightarrow h_1 h_2 \gamma$, where GPDs and GDAs can be accessed. If one includes the kinematical higher-twist contributions to the leading-twist cross sections, then only the leading-twist GPDs and GDAs are involved. This does not prevent genuine higher-twist contributions from being potentially important; progress in their studies is indeed much needed. The kinematical higher-twist corrections can be considered as a generalization of the target mass corrections [[34](#page-10-17)], which are applied to the reaction of deep inelastic scattering (DIS), and such corrections were already included in Ref. [[35](#page-10-18)] where the parton distribution functions were extracted. However, the kinematical higher-twist corrections are more complicated in the off-forward hard reactions due to the higher-twist operators which are reduced to the total derivatives of the leading-twist ones, and these operators do not contribute in DIS since their forward matrix elements vanish.

The kinematical higher-twist corrections were given up to the twist-4 accuracy for the DVCS amplitude with a (pseudo)scalar target [\[36\]](#page-11-0) and a spin- $1/2$ target [\[37,](#page-11-1)[38](#page-11-2)]. The theoretical results were applied to the recent DVCS measurements by the JLAB Hall A Collaboration [[39](#page-11-3)]. The authors of Ref. [\[40,](#page-11-4)[41\]](#page-11-5) also estimated the kinematical higher-twist corrections for $\gamma^* \gamma \to M \bar{M}$ with a (pseudo)scalar meson pair. All these theoretical studies suggest that the kinematical higher-twist corrections are not negligible in realistic experiments; besides, experimental measurements of DVCS also indicate that the kinematical corrections are sizeable in the cross section and have to be taken into account [\[42](#page-11-6)[,43](#page-11-7)]. In this work, we intend to calculate the kinematical higher-twist corrections in $\gamma^* \to M_1 M_2 \gamma$, and this process can be measured at BESIII in future. The kinematics of BESIII measurements on this process will be similar to the Belle (Belle II) measurements on $\gamma^* \gamma \to M_1 M_2$, whose cross sections were released recently [\[10,](#page-10-4)[11\]](#page-10-5). In this case, the GDAs can be extracted by combining $\gamma^* \to M_1 M_2 \gamma$ and $\gamma^* \gamma \to M_1 M_2$. Moreover, one can study the universality of GDAs by comparing the two processes, taking into account of the fact that the virtual photon is timelike in the former and it is spacelike in the latter.

In Sec. [II](#page-1-0), we discuss the kinematics of $\gamma^* \to M\bar{M}\gamma$, and the cross section is presented in terms of helicity amplitudes. We carry out a complete calculation of kinematical higher-twist corrections to the helicity amplitudes up to twist 4 in Sec. [III,](#page-3-0) and the numerical estimate of the kinematical higher-twist corrections are also presented. Our results are summarized in Sec. [IV.](#page-8-0)

II. KINEMATICS AND HELICITY AMPLITUDES OF $\gamma^* \to MM\gamma$

If the center-of-mass energy \sqrt{s} is large enough to satisfy the QCD factorization in the process $e^-e^+ \to \gamma^* \to M\bar{M}\gamma$, then the amplitude can be factorized into a perturbative subprocess $\gamma^* \rightarrow q\bar{q}\gamma$ and a two-meson GDA which describes the amplitude of $q\bar{q} \rightarrow MM$ [[13](#page-10-7)]. In Fig. [1](#page-2-0), we show the polar angle θ and the azimuthal angle φ in the center-of-mass frame of the meson pair, for convenience we choose a coordinate system with the z axis along the direction of photons, so that the momenta of the mesons lie in the $x - z$ plane. The polar angle θ can be expressed as

FIG. 1. Kinematics of $e^-(k_1)e^+(k_2) \rightarrow \gamma^*(q_1) \rightarrow M(p_1) \bar{M}(p_2)\gamma(q_1)$ in the center-of-mass frame of the meson $M(p_1)\overline{M}(p_2)\gamma(q_2)$ in the center-of-mass frame of the meson
pair and the direction of the photons is chosen to the z axis pair, and the direction of the photons is chosen to the z axis.

$$
\cos \theta = \frac{q_1 \cdot (p_2 - p_1)}{\beta_0 (q_1 \cdot q_2)}, \qquad \beta_0 = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{\hat{s}}}, \quad (4)
$$

where *m* is the meson mass and $\hat{s} = W^2 = (q_1 - q_2)^2 =$ $(p_1 + p_2)^2$. Similarly, the azimuthal angle φ is also given in terms of Lorentz invariants,

$$
\sin \varphi = \frac{4\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}q_1^{\alpha}q_2^{\beta}p_1^{\gamma}k_1^{\delta}}{\beta_0 \sin \theta \sqrt{us\hat{s}(\hat{s} - u - s)}}
$$
(5)

with $\epsilon_{0123} = 1$, $s = (k_1 + k_2)^2$ and $u = (k_1 - q_2)^2$. Two lightlike vectors n and \tilde{n} are chosen with the help of the momenta of the timelike virtual photon q_1 and the real photon q_2 ,

$$
\tilde{n} = q_1 - (1 + \tau)q_2, \qquad n = q_2,
$$
\n(6)

where $\tau = \hat{s}/(s - \hat{s})$. The momentum $\Delta = p_2 - p_1$ can be written as $\Delta = \zeta_0(\tilde{n} - \tau n) + \Delta_T$, and Δ_T is the transverse component. ζ_0 is a parameter which is defined as

$$
\zeta_0 = \frac{(p_2 - p_1) \cdot n}{(p_2 + p_1) \cdot n},\tag{7}
$$

and it is related to the polar angle θ as $\zeta_0 = \beta_0 \cos \theta$. We can obtain $\Delta_T^2 = 4m^2 - (1 - \zeta_0^2)\hat{s}$ by the on-shell condition.
To describe the process $x^* \rightarrow M\bar{M}x$ one needs to define

To describe the process $\gamma^* \to M\bar{M}\gamma$, one needs to define the amplitude

$$
A_{\mu\nu} = i \int d^4x e^{-ir\cdot x} \langle \bar{M}(p_2)M(p_1)|T\{j^{\text{em}}_{\mu}(z_1x)j^{\text{em}}_{\nu}(z_2x)\}|0\rangle,
$$
\n(8)

where z_1 and z_2 are real constants with the constraint $z_1 - z_2 = 1$, and $r = z_1q_1 - z_2q_2$ is used. This amplitude can be further written in terms of helicity amplitudes by considering the electromagnetic gauge invariance [\[36\]](#page-11-0)

$$
A^{\mu\nu} = -A^{(0)}g^{\mu\nu}_{\perp} + A^{(1)}(\tilde{n}^{\mu} - (1+\tau)n^{\mu})\frac{\Delta_{\alpha}g^{\alpha\nu}_{\perp}}{\sqrt{s}} + \frac{1}{2}A^{(2)}\Delta_{\alpha}\Delta_{\beta}(g^{\alpha\mu}_{\perp}g^{\beta\nu}_{\perp} - \epsilon^{\alpha\mu}_{\perp}\epsilon^{\beta\nu}_{\perp}) + A^{(3)\mu}n^{\nu}, \quad (9)
$$

and the transverse tensors $g^{\mu\nu}_{\perp}$ and $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}_{\perp}$ are defined as

$$
g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{n^{\mu}\tilde{n}^{\nu} + n^{\nu}\tilde{n}^{\mu}}{n \cdot \tilde{n}}, \qquad \epsilon_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \frac{\tilde{n}_{\alpha}n_{\beta}}{n \cdot \tilde{n}}. \tag{10}
$$

The longitudinal polarization vector ϵ_0 exists in addition to the transverse ones ϵ_{\pm} for the virtual photon. In the centerof-mass frame of the meson pair as shown in Fig. [1,](#page-2-0) the polarization vectors read

$$
\epsilon_0^{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} (|q_1^3|, 0, 0, q_1^0), \qquad \epsilon_{\pm}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (0, \mp 1, -i, 0), \qquad (11)
$$

and the transverse polarization vectors $\tilde{\epsilon}_+$ of the real photon are the same as the ones of the virtual photon. Then, the three independent helicity amplitudes are given by

$$
A_{++} = A_{--} = A^{(0)}, \qquad A_{0\pm} = -A^{(1)}(\Delta \cdot \epsilon_{+}),
$$

$$
A_{\pm \mp} = -A^{(2)}(\Delta \cdot \epsilon_{\pm})^2,
$$
 (12)

where the notation $A_{ij} = \epsilon_i^{\mu} \tilde{\epsilon}^{*\nu} A_{\mu\nu}$ is used, and only A_{++}
can receive true 2 contribution at looding order of α . In can receive twist-2 contribution at leading order of α_s . In Ref. [\[13\]](#page-10-7), A_{++} was given in terms of the $\pi\pi$ GDA at twist-2 for the process of $e^-e^+ \to \gamma^* \to \pi \pi \gamma$, and the twist- $2\pi \pi$ GDA is defined as [[2](#page-10-19),[3\]](#page-10-20)

$$
\langle \bar{M}(p_2)M(p_1)|\bar{q}(z_1n)\bar{\psi}q(z_2n)|0\rangle
$$

= $2P \cdot n \int dz e^{2i[zz_1+(1-z)z_2]P\cdot n} \Phi_q(z,\zeta_0,\hat{s}),$ (13)

where z is the momentum fraction of the quark, P is the average momentum of the meson pair $P = (p_1 + p_2)/2$, and the real constants z_1 and z_2 do not have to satisfy $z_1 - z_2 = 1$. Note that the GDAs depend on a renormalization scale μ^2 which one usually takes as $\mu^2 = s$.

The differential cross section can be expressed in terms of the helicity amplitudes for $e^-e^+ \to \gamma^* \to M\bar{M}\gamma$,

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{d\hat{s}dud(\cos\theta)d\varphi} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}^3 \beta_0}{16\pi s^3} \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} [|A_{++}|^2 + |A_{-+}|^2 + 2\epsilon |A_{0+}|^2 - 2\text{sgn}(\tau)\sqrt{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \times \text{Re}(A_{++}^* A_{0+} - A_{-+}^* A_{0+})\cos\varphi + 2\epsilon \text{Re}(A_{++}^* A_{-+})\cos(2\varphi)], \tag{14}
$$

where $M\overline{M}$ is the pseudoscalar meson pair with even charge conjugation and the parameter ϵ is defined as

$$
\epsilon = \frac{y - 1}{1 - y + \frac{y^2}{2}}, \qquad y = \frac{q_1 \cdot q_2}{k_1 \cdot q_2}.
$$
 (15)

sgn(τ) = $|\tau|/\tau$ is the sign function with $\tau = \hat{s} - s - 2u$.

III. RESULTS

A. Theoretical amplitudes in terms of GDAs

Recently, Braun et al. have proved that the kinematical contributions can be separated from dynamical ones in the time-ordered product of two electromagnetic currents $iT\{j_\mu^{\text{em}}(z_1x)j_\nu^{\text{em}}(z_2x)\}\$ [\[30](#page-10-15)–[32](#page-10-21)]. If we introduce the kin-
ematical higher-twist contributions to the twist-2 cross ematical higher-twist contributions to the twist-2 cross sections, one can improve the description of reactions where two photons are involved without any knowledge of the genuine higher-twist distributions. This is very helpful when one intends to extract the twist-2 distributions from the measurements of the cross sections, since taking into account of genuine higher-twist distributions would imply more parameters to be used in the analysis. At twist-4 accuracy, the kinematical contributions in $iT{j^{\text{em}}_{\mu}(z_1x)j^{\text{em}}_{\nu}(z_2x)}$ can
be written as [30, 32] be written as [[30](#page-10-15)–[32\]](#page-10-21)

$$
T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{-1}{\pi^2 x^4 z_{12}^3} \left\{ x^{\alpha} [S_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \mathbb{W}^{\beta} - i \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \mathbb{W}^{\beta}] + x^2 [(x_{\mu}\partial_{\nu} + x_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}) \mathbb{X} + (x_{\mu}\partial_{\nu} - x_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}) \mathbb{Y}] \right\}, \quad (16)
$$

where the notation $z_{12} = z_1 - z_2$ is used, and the tensor $S^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}$ is defined by

$$
S^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} = g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\beta} - g^{\mu\nu}g^{\alpha\beta} + g^{\mu\beta}g^{\nu\alpha}.
$$
 (17)

 \mathbb{V}_u and \mathbb{W}_u contain all twists starting from twist 2 to twist 4, whereas X and Y are purely twist-4 operators, the detailed expressions of them can be found in the Appendix of our previous work [\[40](#page-11-4)].

In this work we shall use Eq. [\(16\)](#page-3-1) to calculate the kinematical higher-twist contributions in the reaction $\gamma^* \to M\bar{M}\gamma$, where $M\bar{M}$ is the scalar meson pair with even charge conjugation. The spinor formalism [\[44](#page-11-8)[,45\]](#page-11-9) is used for $T_{\mu\nu}$ in the calculation, and it will help us to figure out the twist of the matrix elements for the operators much easier. Even though the final amplitudes are presented in terms of GDAs, the double distributions [[46](#page-11-10)] are used to calculate the helicity amplitudes. Those techniques are explained in the Appendix, and the helicity amplitudes are written as

$$
A^{(0)} = \chi \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{\hat{s}}{2s} \right) \int_0^1 dz \frac{\Phi(z, \eta, \hat{s})}{1 - z} + \frac{\hat{s}}{s} \int_0^1 dz \frac{\Phi(z, \eta, \hat{s})}{z} \ln(1 - z) + \left(\frac{2\hat{s}}{s} \eta + \frac{\Delta_{\tau}^2}{\beta_0^2 s} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \int_0^1 dz \frac{\Phi(z, \eta, \hat{s})}{z} \left[\frac{\ln(1 - z)}{2} + \text{Li}_2(1 - z) - \text{Li}_2(1) \right] \right\},
$$

$$
A^{(1)} = \frac{2\chi}{\beta_0 \sqrt{s}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \int_0^1 dz \Phi(z, \eta, \hat{s}) \frac{\ln(1 - z)}{z},
$$

$$
A^{(2)} = \frac{2\chi}{\beta_0^2 s} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} \int_0^1 dz \Phi(z, \eta, \hat{s}) \frac{2z - 1}{z} \ln(1 - z),
$$
 (18)

where $\eta = \cos \theta$, $\chi = 5e^2/18$, and $\Phi = \Phi_u + \Phi_d$ for the isosinglet meson pair such as $\pi\pi$ and $\eta\eta$. In case of a charge conjugation-even K meson pair, we just need to replace $\chi \Phi$ with $e_u^2 \Phi_u + e_d^2 \Phi_d + e_s^2 \Phi_s$ in the above amplitudes since
the GDA for squark is also required. We note that although the GDA for s quark is also required. We note that although Eq. [\(16\)](#page-3-1) is dependent on z_1 and z_2 , this dependence is eliminated by the constraint $z_1 - z_2 = 1$ in physical amplitudes, which indicates that translation invariance is recovered. The existence of correction $\mathcal{O}(\hat{s}/s)$ is obvious in the amplitudes, while the correction $\mathcal{O}(m^2/s)$ exists in the term Δ_T^2/s due to $\Delta_T^2 = 4m^2 - (1 - \zeta_0^2)\hat{s}$.
The general expressions of GDAs were fix

The general expressions of GDAs were firstly derived in [[3](#page-10-20)], in this work we only need the charge conjugationeven ones, and they are given by

$$
\Phi(z, \cos \theta, \hat{s})
$$

= $6z(1-z) \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \text{ odd}}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{l=0 \ n \text{ odd}}}^{n+1} \tilde{B}_{nl}(\hat{s}) C_n^{(3/2)}(2z-1) P_l(\cos \theta), (19)$

where $C_n^{(3/2)}(x)$ are Gegenbauer polynomials, and $P_1(x)$ are Legendre polynomials with l indicates the angular momentum of the scalar meson pair. The nonvanishing helicity-flip amplitudes $A_{-+}(A^{(2)})$ and $A_{0+}(A^{(1)})$ indicate the existence
of the D-wave or higher-wave GDA. In Eq. (18) it seems of the D-wave or higher-wave GDA. In Eq. [\(18\),](#page-3-2) it seems that the amplitudes are divergent if $z \to 0$ or $z \to 1$. However, the singularities will be compensated by the general expression of GDAs, since one can see

 $\Phi(z, \cos \theta, \hat{s}) \rightarrow 0$ if $z \rightarrow 0$ or $z \rightarrow 1$. The dependence on energy scale s is abbreviated in Eq. (19) , in the limit of $s \to \infty$, only the terms with $n = 1$ survive in the GDAs [[3](#page-10-20)],

$$
\Phi(z, \cos \theta, \hat{s})
$$

= 18z(1 - z)(2z - 1)[$\tilde{B}_{10}(\hat{s}) + \tilde{B}_{12}(\hat{s})P_2(\cos \theta)$], (20)

which is the so-called asymptotic form of GDAs. The first and second terms correspond to the S-wave and D-wave production of a meson pair, respectively.

B. The $\gamma^* \to \pi \eta \gamma$ reaction: Search for exotic hybrid mesons and shear viscosity

The production of two different mesons, namely, $\gamma^* \to \pi \eta \gamma$, where the $\pi \eta$ GDAs are involved, is very interesting from the point of view of exotic meson searches. Indeed, in Ref. [[28](#page-10-13)], the authors proposed that $\gamma^* \gamma \to \pi \eta$ can be used to search for the resonances with $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ by analyzing the P-wave of $\pi \eta$, and such resonances are candidates of the hybrid mesons since the quantum numbers cannot exist for an ordinary meson in the quark model. Therefore, it is important to include the kinematical higher-twist corrections in the helicity amplitudes of those reactions. The theoretical results of Eqs. [\(14\)](#page-2-1) and [\(18\)](#page-3-2) can be used to describe $\gamma^* \to M_1 M_2 \gamma$ except for a few replacements,

$$
\beta_0 \to \beta_0 = \sqrt{1 - \frac{2(m_1^2 + m_2^2)}{\hat{s}} + \frac{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2}{\hat{s}^2}},
$$

\n
$$
\Delta_T^2 \to \Delta_T^2 = 2(m_1^2 + m_2^2) - (1 - \zeta_0^2)\hat{s},
$$

\n
$$
\zeta_0 \to \zeta_0 = \beta_0 \cos \theta + \frac{(m_2^2 - m_1^2)}{\hat{s}},
$$
\n(21)

where m_1 and m_2 denote the masses of M_1 and M_2 , respectively. 2

There are a few candidates for the isovector hybrid mesons, for example $\pi_1(1400)$ [[47](#page-11-11),[48](#page-11-12)], $\pi_1(1600)$ [\[49](#page-11-13)–[52\]](#page-11-14) and $\pi_1(2015)$ [\[53\]](#page-11-15), however, their existence is still controversial (see [\[54\]](#page-11-16) and [[55](#page-11-17)] for a recent review), and further confirmation is necessary. In the near future, these candidates can be investigated in $\gamma^* \to \pi \eta^{(l)} \gamma$ and $\gamma^* \gamma \to \pi \eta^{(l)}$, which are accessible at BESIII and Belle (Belle II). Meanwhile, BESIII observed a resonance called $\eta_1(1855)$ from the *P*-wave analysis of $\eta\eta'$ in the decay of $J/\Psi \rightarrow \eta \eta' \gamma$ very recently, which is a candidate of isoscalar hybrid mesons $(I^G(J^{PC}) = (0^+1)^{-+}$ [\[56,](#page-11-18)[57\]](#page-11-19). It will be promising to search for this resonance in $\gamma^* \to \eta \eta' \gamma$, since one just needs to replace J/Ψ with a timelike photon.

The asymptotic GDAs are slightly modified by the additional P-wave term in the production of two different scalar mesons M_1 and M_2 [\[28\]](#page-10-13),

$$
\Phi_q(z, \cos \theta, \hat{s})
$$

= 30z(1 - z)(2z - 1)

$$
\times [\tilde{B}_{10}(\hat{s}) + \tilde{B}_{11}(\hat{s})P_1(\cos \theta) + \tilde{B}_{12}(\hat{s})P_2(\cos \theta)], (22)
$$

and the second term denotes the P-wave GDA, which is related to the production of exotic hybrid mesons. We have $\Phi_u(z, \cos \theta, \hat{s}) = \Phi_d(z, \cos \theta, \hat{s})$ and $\Phi_u(z, \cos \theta, \hat{s}) = \Phi_d(z, \cos \theta, \hat{s})$ $-\Phi_d(z,\cos\theta,\hat{s})$ for the total isospin $I=0$ and $I=1$ of the meson pairs, respectively. The M_1M_2 GDAs can be also used to study the matrix element of the EMT,

$$
\langle M_2(p_2)M_1(p_1)|T_q^{\mu\nu}|0\rangle \sim E_q(\hat{s})P^{\mu}\Delta^{\nu},\qquad(23)
$$

where $E_q(\hat{s})$ is a new EMT form factor related to the shear viscosity; its sum over quarks and gluons should be zero as a consequence of the conserved EMT, but however, $E_a(\hat{s})$ will exist for a single flavor q on condition that there is P-wave GDA [\[29\]](#page-10-14). Thus, if one observes the candidates of the hybrid mesons in $\gamma^* \to \pi \eta^{(\prime)} \gamma$ and $\gamma^* \gamma \to \pi \eta^{(\prime)}$, the existence of $E_a(\hat{s})$ will be proved by experiment.

C. Numerical estimates of the kinematical higher-twist contributions

In principle, the process $\gamma^* \to M_1 M_2 \gamma$ can be measured by BESIII and Belle (Belle II) in e^+e^- collisions. The center-of-mass energy is $\sqrt{s} = 3-5$ GeV at BESIII, while it is $\sqrt{s} = 8-10$ GeV at Belle (Belle II). It should be much is $\sqrt{s} = 8{\text -}10 \text{ GeV}$ at Belle (Belle II). It should be much easier to measure this process at RESIII due to the larger easier to measure this process at BESIII due to the larger cross section which can be seen from Eq. [\(14\)](#page-2-1). As a consequence, we shall use the kinematics of BESIII in the numerical estimate of the kinematical higher-twist contributions, and the differential cross section of Eq. [\(14\)](#page-2-1) is used by integrating over φ ,

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{du dW^2 d(\cos\theta)} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}^3 \beta_0}{8s^3} \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} [|A_{++}|^2 + |A_{-+}|^2 + 2\epsilon |A_{0+}|^2],\tag{24}
$$

where the helicity amplitudes are given by Eq. [\(18\)](#page-3-2) including the kinematical higher-twist contributions up to twist 4.

We firstly calculate the cross section of $e^-e^+ \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow$ $\pi^{0}\pi^{0}\gamma$ with the $\pi\pi$ GDA extracted from Belle measurements [\[12\]](#page-10-6). In Fig. [2](#page-5-0), the twist-2 cross sections are depicted as dashed lines, and the solid lines include the kinematical higher-twist contributions. The kinematics is set according to the BESIII experiment as $s = 12 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $W \in$ $(0.5, 2)$ GeV. The colors of the lines (black, orange, red, blue) represent different values of $\cos \theta$ (0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8),

²As for $\gamma^* \gamma \to \pi \eta$, one can also use the theoretical expressions of Ref. [\[40\]](#page-11-4) together with the first two replacements in Eq. [\(21\),](#page-4-0) and the third one is slightly modified as $\zeta_0 \rightarrow \zeta_0 = -\beta_0 \cos \theta + \zeta_0$ $\frac{(m_2^2 - m_1^2)}{\hat{s}}$.

FIG. 2. Differential cross section of $e^-e^+ \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ is dependent on the invariant mass of pion pair $W = \sqrt{\hat{s}}$, using the $\pi\pi$ GDA extracted from Belle measurements [12]. The dashed lines are the twist-2 cross sec extracted from Belle measurements [[12](#page-10-6)]. The dashed lines are the twist-2 cross sections, and the solid lines include the kinematical higher-twist contributions.

and u is chosen as $u = -3 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $u = -6 \text{ GeV}^2$. We can clearly see that the kinematical higher-twist corrections are always positive in the cross section, and this is different from the case of $e^-\gamma \to e^-\pi^0\pi^0$ where the corrections can be positive or negative [[40](#page-11-4)]. In the region $W > 1$ GeV, the kinematical higher-twist corrections turn out to be important and it is thus crucial to include them to extract in a valuable way GDAs from the cross section of $e^-e^+ \to \gamma^* \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$, and then access both the timelike pion EMT form factors, and the spacelike ones, obtained from the timelike ones by using dispersion relations

requiring reliable information at $W > 1$ GeV so as to make the integrals convergent.

The ratio $d\sigma(2+3+4)/d\sigma(2)$ $d\sigma(2+3+4)/d\sigma(2)$ $d\sigma(2+3+4)/d\sigma(2)$ is also shown in Fig. 3, where $d\sigma(i)$ (i = 2, 3, 4) denotes the twist-i contribution to the cross section. The colors of the lines indicate different values of $\cos \theta$ as in Fig. [2.](#page-5-0) We can see that the kinematical higher-twist contributions have a significant impact on the cross section when $W > 1$ GeV. The ratios just slightly change from $u = -3 \text{ GeV}^2$ to $u = -6 \text{ GeV}^2$, and this is because the ratios are dependent on u through the parameter ϵ , namely, only the contribution from the amplitude

FIG. 3. Ratio $d\sigma(2+3+4)/d\sigma(2)$ $d\sigma(2+3+4)/d\sigma(2)$ $d\sigma(2+3+4)/d\sigma(2)$ with the $\pi\pi$ GDA extracted from Belle measurements, same conventions as in Fig. 2.

FIG. 4. Differential cross section for $e^-e^+ \to \gamma \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ with the asymptotic $\pi\pi$ GDA described in the text, same conventions as in Fig. [2.](#page-5-0)

 A_{0+} is affected in the ratios as one changes u. The peaks around $W \sim 1.1$ GeV and $W \sim 1.5$ GeV with $\cos \theta = 0.8$ in Fig. [3](#page-5-1) are due to the fact that the twist-2 cross sections are quite tiny in this region as indicated by Fig. [2](#page-5-0); however, the extracted GDA used in this estimate may not be accurate enough due to the large uncertainties of Belle measurements, and these peaks in the ratio may thus not reflect real physics.

For comparison, we also present our results in Fig. [4](#page-6-0) when we employ the asymptotic pion GDA in the analysis of $e^-e^+ \to \gamma^* \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$. In Ref. [[2](#page-10-19)], the asymptotic GDA was given when the energy scale $s \to \infty$,

$$
\Phi(z, \cos \theta, \hat{s}) = 20z(1-z)(2z-1)R_{\pi}
$$

$$
\times \left[\frac{-3+\beta_0^2}{2} e^{i\delta_0} + \beta_0^2 e^{i\delta_2} P_2(\cos \theta) \right], \quad (25)
$$

where $R_\pi = 0.5$ is the momentum fraction carried by quarks in the pion meson. δ_0 is $\pi\pi$ the elastic-scattering phase shift for S wave, and δ_2 is the one for D wave [\[58](#page-11-20)–[60\]](#page-11-21). The asymptotic pion GDA is indeed very different with the one extracted from Belle measurements, for example there is no contribution of resonance f_2 in the asymptotic GDA. However, the main purpose of this work is not to estimate cross sections accurately, but to see whether the kinematical corrections are sizeable or not. In Fig. [4,](#page-6-0) u is chosen as $u = -3 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $u = -6 \text{ GeV}^2$ together with 0.5 GeV $\leq W \leq 2.1$ GeV and $s = 12$ GeV². The dashed lines represent the twist-2 cross sections, and the solid ones include kinematical higher-twist contributions. Black lines denote $\cos \theta = 0.2$ and orange lines correspond to $\cos \theta = 0.4$, while $\cos \theta = 0.6$ and $\cos \theta = 0.8$ are depicted as red and blue, respectively. We can clearly see that the kinematical higher-twist corrections become more and more important as one increases $W = \sqrt{\hat{s}}$ in this figure,
which is evident since the corrections are expected to be which is evident since the corrections are expected to be proportional to \hat{s}/s . As for the case of the extracted GDA from Belle measurements, it is thus necessary to include the kinematical higher-twist corrections to describe the cross section in the region of $W > 1$ GeV. Both GDAs indicate a similar magnitude of the cross section for $e^-e^+ \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$.

In Fig. [5,](#page-7-0) the ratio of $d\sigma(2+3+4)/d\sigma(2)$ is also presented so as to see the proportion of the kinematical higher-twist corrections in the cross section clearly. u is set as $u = -3 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $u = -6 \text{ GeV}^2$ for the left panel and right panel, respectively, and the colors of lines indicate the values of $\cos \theta$ as in Fig. [4](#page-6-0). The ratios increase rapidly as W goes up, and the kinematical higher-twist corrections account for more than 40% of the cross section around $W \sim 2$ GeV, which proves that they need to be included in any reliable GDA extraction from the cross section.

There are two types of corrections m^2/s and \hat{s}/s in the kinematical corrections, and only the latter contributes to the cross section of $e^-e^+ \to \gamma^* \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ due to the small mass of pion meson in comparison with the value of s. In order to see the impact of the target mass correction m^2/s ,

FIG. 5. Ratio $d\sigma(2+3+4)/d\sigma(2)$ with the asymptotic $\pi\pi$ GDA described in the text, same conventions as in Fig. [2.](#page-5-0)

we now consider the production of a pair of slightly heavier mesons, namely η . However, very little information is known about their GDAs at the current stage, and we thus estimate the kinematical higher-twist corrections for $e^-e^+ \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow \eta \eta \gamma$ by using a simple model GDA identical to the asymptotic $\pi \pi$ GDA except that the η mass is used. The center-of-mass energy of e^-e^+ is again chosen as $s = 12$ GeV². In Fig. [6,](#page-7-1) the cross sections are shown with the range of 1.2 GeV \leq W \leq 2.1 GeV. The colors of the lines denote different values of $\cos \theta$ as indicated on the different panels of the figure. The dashed lines represent the twist-2 cross sections, and the solid ones include kinematical higher-twist contributions. The gaps between the dashed lines and the solid ones increase along with W as expected, and one thus cannot neglect the kinematical higher-twist contributions in the cross section. The kinematical higher-twist contributions are always positive in the cross section. We present the ratios of $d\sigma(2+3+4)/d\sigma(2)$ in Fig. [7](#page-8-1). The kinematical higher-twist contributions account for less than 40% of the cross section around $W \sim 2$ GeV. Compared with the results in Fig. [5,](#page-7-0) the ratios decrease if one replace the mass of the π meson by the one of the η meson, keeping the asymptotic $\pi \pi$ GDA as a model for the $\eta\eta$ GDA; indeed, the target mass corrections are negative and thus diminish the positive corrections of order \hat{s}/s in the cross section.

We do not plot the kinematical higher-twist contributions for the $\pi\eta$ production case, since they depend much on the

FIG. 6. Differential cross section for $e^-e^+ \to \gamma^* \to \eta \eta \gamma$ with the model $\eta \eta$ GDA described in the text, same conventions as in Fig. [2.](#page-5-0)

FIG. 7. Ratio $d\sigma(2+3+4)/d\sigma(2)$ $d\sigma(2+3+4)/d\sigma(2)$ $d\sigma(2+3+4)/d\sigma(2)$ with the model $\eta\eta$ GDA described in the text, same conventions as in Fig. 2.

unknown $\pi \eta$ GDAs. One can estimate that they are somewhat in between the relative contributions in the $\pi\pi$ and $\eta\eta$ cases displayed in Figs. [5](#page-7-0) and [7.](#page-8-1)

IV. SUMMARY

GDAs can be studied in both $\gamma^* \gamma \to M_1 M_2$ and $\gamma^* \to M_1 M_2 \gamma$. The former process has been measured by Belle for $\pi \pi$ [\[10\]](#page-10-4) and KK [[11](#page-10-5)] with large uncertainties; in the near future we can expect more precise measurements from Belle II due to the much higher luminosity. It will be more advantageous to measure the latter process at BESIII, since its cross section will be suppressed by the larger center-of-mass energy of electron-positron pair at Belle (Belle II). In this case, the measurements of $\gamma^* \gamma \to M_1 M_2$ at Belle and Belle II can be cross checked by the ones of $\gamma^* \to M_1 M_2 \gamma$ at BESIII due to the similar kinematics, and the GDAs can be extracted by combining the measurements of the two processes. Besides, since GDAs are probed by a spacelike photon and a timelike photon in $\gamma^* \gamma \to M_1 M_2$ and $\gamma^* \to M_1 M_2 \gamma$, respectively, we can also check the university of GDAs.

In this work we calculate the kinematical higher-twist corrections for $\gamma^* \to M_1 M_2 \gamma$ up to twist 4, and three helicity amplitudes are expressed in term of the twist-2 GDA. We calculated the cross section with and without the kinematic higher-twist contributions in terms of the leading twist GDAs. We adopt two types of GDAs to estimate the kinematical higher-twist contributions for $\gamma^* \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$ numerically. In the calculation, the center-of-mass energy of the electron-positron pair is chosen as $s = 12 \text{ GeV}^2$, which is typical for BESIII. All the numerical results indicate that the kinematical higher-twist corrections have a significant impact on the cross section of $\gamma^* \to M_1 M_2 \gamma$ as in the case of $\gamma^* \gamma \to M_1 M_2$ [[40](#page-11-4),[41](#page-11-5)]. However, the corrections are always positive in the cross section of the former, and this is different from the latter process where kinematical higher-twist corrections can go both ways. A model $\eta\eta$ GDA is used to see the impact of the target mass corrections of $O(m^2/s)$, and the kinematical higher-twist corrections account for about 20% of the cross section in the region of 1.2 GeV $\lt W \lt 2.1$ GeV on the average, which are not negligible. As a consequence, it is important to use the accurate description of the cross section with the inclusion of kinematical contributions when one tries to extract GDAs from experimental measurements. The extracted GDAs can be used to study the EMT form factors of hadrons, which are important physical quantities to investigate mass, pressure and shear force distributions of hadrons.

The present study was performed at lowest order in the strong coupling, but it would be interesting to include higher order corrections which are known—at leading twist—to be very sensitive to the timelike vs spacelike nature of the probe [[61](#page-11-22)].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge useful discussions with Cédric Lorcé, Wen-Cheng Yan and Ya-Teng Zhang. Qin-Tao Song was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12005191.

APPENDIX: HELICITY AMPLITUDES IN TERMS OF DOUBLE DISTRIBUTIONS

The double distributions (DDs) of a scalar meson are defined by [\[46\]](#page-11-10)

$$
\langle \bar{M}(p_2)M(p_1)|\bar{q}(z_1n)\bar{q}(z_2n)|0\rangle
$$

=
$$
\int d\beta d\alpha [f_q(\beta,\alpha)\Delta \cdot n - g_q(\beta,\alpha)2P \cdot n]e^{-il_{z_1z_2} \cdot n}, \qquad (A1)
$$

where the support region of f_q and g_q is given by the rhombus $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 1$, and the momentum $l_{z_1z_2}$ is written as

$$
l_{z_1 z_2} = (z_2 - z_1) \left[\beta \frac{\Delta}{2} - (\alpha + 1) P \right] - 2z_1 P. \quad (A2)
$$

If one combines Eqs. [\(13\)](#page-2-2) with [\(A1\),](#page-8-2) the GDA can be expressed in terms of DDs,

$$
\Phi_q(z,\zeta_0,s) = 2 \int d\beta d\alpha \delta(y+\alpha-\beta\zeta_0) [f_q(\beta,\alpha)\zeta_0 - g_q(\beta,\alpha)], \tag{A3}
$$

where $y = 2z - 1$. Assuming that the DDs vanish at the boundaries, Eq. [\(A1\)](#page-8-2) can be expressed as [\[40](#page-11-4)]

$$
\langle \bar{M}(p_2)M(p_1)|\bar{q}(z_1n)\bar{q}(z_2n)|0\rangle = \frac{2i}{z_{12}}\int d\beta d\alpha \phi_q(\beta,\alpha)e^{-il_{z_1z_2}\cdot n},\tag{A4}
$$

where the notation $z_{12} = z_1 - z_2$ is used, and $\phi_q(\beta, \alpha)$ is defined by

$$
\phi_q(\beta, \alpha) = \partial_\beta f_q(\beta, \alpha) + \partial_\alpha g_q(\beta, \alpha). \tag{A5}
$$

Due to even charge conjugation of the meson pair, we can have the symmetry $\phi_q(\beta, \alpha) = \phi_q(\beta, -\alpha) = \phi_q(-\beta, -\alpha)$, which is used to simplify the calculation of the amplitudes.

The leading-twist operator $\mathcal{O}_{++}^{t=2}(z_1, z_2)$ appears in the kinematical contributions of Eq. (16), where the separation x is not $\frac{d=2}{f+2}(z_1, z_2)$ appears in the kinematical contributions of Eq. [\(16\)](#page-3-1), where the separation x is not GDAs and DDs are defined by the matrix element of $Q_{\alpha\beta}(z, n, z_2n)$ with the lightlike necessarily lightlike. However, GDAs and DDs are defined by the matrix element of $O_{++}(z_1n, z_2n)$ with the lightlike
separation *n* as shown in Eq. (A1) separation n as shown in Eq. [\(A1\),](#page-8-2)

$$
O_{++}(z_1n, z_2n) = \sum_{q} e_q^2 \bar{q}(z_1n)\psi q(z_2n).
$$
 (A6)

The matrix element of $\mathcal{O}_{++}^{t=2}(z_1, z_2)$ is related to the one of $O_{++}(z_1n, z_2n)$ by using the leading-twist projector $\Pi(x, n)$ [30–321] $\Pi(x, n)$ [\[30](#page-10-15)–[32](#page-10-21)],

$$
\langle \bar{M}(p_2)M(p_1)|\mathcal{O}_{++}^{t=2}(z_1,z_2)|0\rangle = \Pi(x,n)\langle \bar{M}(p_2)M(p_1)|O_{++}(z_1n,z_2n)|0\rangle. \tag{A7}
$$

If one combines Eqs. [\(A7\)](#page-9-0) and [\(A4\)](#page-9-1), the matrix element of $\mathcal{O}_{++}^{1=2}(z_1, z_2)$ can be obtained [[40](#page-11-4)],

$$
\langle \bar{M}(p_2)M(p_1)|\mathcal{O}_{++}^{t=2}(z_1,z_2)|0\rangle = \chi \frac{2i}{z_{12}} \int d\beta d\alpha \phi(\beta,\alpha) \bigg[e^{-il_{z_1z_2}\cdot x} + \frac{x^2 l_{z_1z_2}^2}{4} \int_0^1 dv v e^{-ivl_{z_1z_2}\cdot x} \bigg],\tag{A8}
$$

where $\phi = \phi_u + \phi_d$ and $\chi = 5e^2/18$ for an isosinglet meson pair. Furthermore, the matrix elements of \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 can be given by

$$
\langle \bar{M}(p_2)M(p_1)|\mathcal{O}_1(z_1, z_2)|0\rangle = -\chi \frac{2i}{z_{12}} \hat{s} \int d\beta d\alpha \phi(\beta, \alpha) e^{-il_{z_{1}z_{2}}x},
$$

$$
\langle \bar{M}(p_2)M(p_1)|\mathcal{O}_2(z_1, z_2)|0\rangle = \chi \frac{2i}{z_{12}} \int d\beta d\alpha \phi(\beta, \alpha) \left[2P \cdot l_{z_{1}z_{2}} e^{-il_{z_{1}z_{2}}x} + iP \cdot x l_{z_{1}z_{2}}^2 \int_0^1 dv v e^{-ivl_{z_{1}z_{2}}x} \right],
$$
 (A9)

where

$$
\mathcal{O}_1(z_1, z_2) = [i\mathbf{P}^{\mu}, [i\mathbf{P}_{\mu}, \mathcal{O}_{++}^{t=2}(z_1, z_2)]],
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{O}_2(z_1, z_2) = [i\mathbf{P}^{\mu}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \mathcal{O}_{++}^{t=2}(z_1, z_2)].
$$
\n(A10)

The helicity amplitudes are expressed in terms of matrix elements of operators, which are shown in Eqs. [\(A8\)](#page-9-2) and [\(A9\)](#page-9-3). One obtains after a lengthy calculation

$$
A_{0-} = -2\chi \frac{\Delta \cdot \epsilon_{+}}{\sqrt{s}} \int d\beta d\alpha \phi(\beta, \alpha) \beta \frac{\ln(F)}{F - 1},
$$

\n
$$
A_{+-} = \chi \frac{(\Delta \cdot \epsilon_{+})^{2}}{2n \cdot \tilde{n}} \int d\beta d\alpha \phi(\beta, \alpha) \beta^{2} \partial_{F} \left[\frac{1 - 2F}{F - 1} \ln(F) \right],
$$

\n
$$
A_{++} = \chi \int d\beta d\alpha \phi(\beta, \alpha) \left\{ 2\ln(F) - \left[\frac{\hat{s}}{n \cdot \tilde{n}} (F - \alpha) + \frac{\beta^{2} \Delta_{T}^{2}}{4n \cdot \tilde{n}} \partial_{F} \right] \frac{1}{F - 1} \left[\frac{\ln(F)}{2} - \text{Li}_{2}(1) + \text{Li}_{2}(F) \right] \right\}, \quad (A11)
$$

where F is defined as

$$
F(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\alpha - \beta \zeta_0 + 1}{2}.
$$
\n(A12)

The helicity amplitudes can be presented in terms of the GDA using [\[40\]](#page-11-4)

$$
\frac{\partial \Phi_q(z, \zeta_0, s)}{\partial z} = 4 \int d\beta d\alpha \delta((2z - 1) + \alpha - \beta \zeta_0) \phi_q(\beta, \alpha).
$$
 (A13)

- [1] D. Müller, D. Robaschik, B. Geyer, F. M. Dittes, and J. Hořejši, [Fortschr. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.2190420202) 42, 101 (1994).
- [2] M. Diehl, T. Gousset, B. Pire, and O. Teryaev, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.1782) Lett. 81[, 1782 \(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.1782).
- [3] M. Diehl, T. Gousset, and B. Pire, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.073014) 62, 073014 [\(2000\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.073014)
- [4] M. V. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. **B555**[, 231 \(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00314-4)
- [5] B. Pire and L. Szymanowski, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00134-5) **556**, 129 (2003).
- [6] M. Diehl, Phys. Rep. 388[, 41 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2003.08.002)
- [7] A. V. Belitsky and A. V. Radyushkin, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2005.06.002) 418, 1 [\(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2005.06.002)
- [8] S. Boffi and B. Pasquini, [Riv. Nuovo Cimento](https://doi.org/10.1393/ncr/i2007-10025-7) 30, No. 9, [387 \(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1393/ncr/i2007-10025-7).
- [9] K. Goeke, M. V. Polyakov, and M. Vanderhaeghen, [Prog.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6410(01)00158-2) [Part. Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6410(01)00158-2) 47, 401 (2001).
- [10] M. Masuda et al. (Belle Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.032003) 93, [032003 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.032003)
- [11] M. Masuda et al. (Belle Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.052003) 97, [052003 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.052003)
- [12] S. Kumano, Qin-Tao Song, and O. V. Teryaev, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.014020) 97[, 014020 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.014020)
- [13] Z. Lu and I. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. D 73[, 094021 \(2006\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.094021) [75](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.099902), [099902\(E\) \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.099902)
- [14] J.P. Lees et al. (BABAR Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.072015) 92, [072015 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.072015)
- [15] C. H. Chen and H. N. Li, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00486-6) **561**, 258 (2003).
- [16] W. F. Wang, H. N. Li, W. Wang, and C. D. Lü, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.094024) 91[, 094024 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.094024)
- [17] Y. Li, A. J. Ma, W. F. Wang, and Z. J. Xiao, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.056008) 95, [056008 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.056008)
- [18] M. K. Jia, C. Q. Zhang, J. M. Li, and Z. Rui, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.073001) 104[, 073001 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.073001)
- [19] M. V. Polyakov, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00036-4) 555, 57 (2003).
- [20] K. Goeke, J. Grabis, J. Ossmann, M. V. Polyakov, P. Schweitzer, A. Silva, and D. Urbano, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.094021) 75, [094021 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.094021)
- [21] M. Mai and P. Schweitzer, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.096002) 86, 096002 [\(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.096002)
- [22] M. V. Polyakov and P. Schweitzer, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X18300259) 33, [1830025 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X18300259)
- [23] C. Lorcé, H. Moutarde, and A. P. Trawiński, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6572-3) 79[, 89 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6572-3)
- [24] V. D. Burkert, L. Elouadrhiri, and F. X. Girod, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0060-z) (London) 557[, 396 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0060-z).
- [25] K. Kumerički, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1211-6) 570, E1 (2019).
- [26] H. Dutrieux, C. Lorcé, H. Moutarde, P. Sznajder, A. Trawiński, and J. Wagner, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09069-w) 81, 300 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09069-w)
- [27] V.D. Burkert, L. Elouadrhiri, F.X. Girod, C. Lorcé, P. Schweitzer, and P. E. Shanahan, [arXiv:2303.08347](https://arXiv.org/abs/2303.08347).
- [28] I. V. Anikin, B. Pire, L. Szymanowski, O. V. Teryaev, and S. Wallon, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2006-02533-7) 47, 71 (2006).
- [29] O. Teryaev, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. Conf. Proc.](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSCP.37.020406) 37, 020406 [\(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSCP.37.020406)
- [30] V.M. Braun and A.N. Manashov, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.202001) **107**, [202001 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.202001)
- [31] V. M. Braun and A. N. Manashov, [J. High Energy Phys. 01](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2012)085) [\(2012\) 085.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2012)085)
- [32] V. M. Braun and A. N. Manashov, [Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2011.12.011) 67[, 162 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2011.12.011)
- [33] V. M. Braun, Y. Ji, and A. N. Manashov, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2023)078) [Phys. 01 \(2023\) 078.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2023)078)
- [34] O. Nachtmann, Nucl. Phys. **B63**[, 237 \(1973\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(73)90144-2)
- [35] N. Sato, W. Melnitchouk, S. E. Kuhn, J. J. Ethier, and A. Accardi (Jefferson Lab Angular Momentum), [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.074005) 93[, 074005 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.074005)
- [36] V. M. Braun, A. N. Manashov, and B. Pirnay, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.014003) 86[, 014003 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.014003)
- [37] V. M. Braun, A. N. Manashov, and B. Pirnay, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.242001) Lett. 109[, 242001 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.242001).
- [38] V. M. Braun, A. N. Manashov, D. Müller, and B. M. Pirnay, Phys. Rev. D **89**[, 074022 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.074022).
- [39] F. Georges et al. (Jefferson Lab Hall A Collaboration), [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.252002) Rev. Lett. 128[, 252002 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.252002)
- [40] C. Lorcé, B. Pire, and Qin-Tao Song, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.094030) 106, [094030 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.094030)
- [41] C. Lorcé, B. Pire, and Qin-Tao Song, [arXiv:2208.12532.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2208.12532)
- [42] M. Defurne et al. (Jefferson Lab Hall A Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 92[, 055202 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.92.055202)
- [43] M. Defurne et al., [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01819-3) 8, 1408 (2017).
- [44] V. M. Braun, A. N. Manashov, and J. Rohrwild, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2008.08.012) B807[, 89 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2008.08.012).
- [45] V. M. Braun, A. N. Manashov, and J. Rohrwild, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2009.10.005) B826[, 235 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2009.10.005)
- [46] O. V. Teryaev, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00564-0) **510**, 125 (2001).
- [47] D. Alde et al. (IHEP-Brussels-Los Alamos-Annecy (LAPP) Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(88)91686-3) 205, 397 (1988).
- [48] G. S. Adams et al. (E862 Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.07.068) 657, [27 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.07.068)
- [49] G. S. Adams et al. (E852 Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.5760) 81[, 5760 \(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.5760).
- [50] M. Aghasyan et al. (COMPASS Collaboration), [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.092003) D 98[, 092003 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.092003).
- [51] A. Rodas et al. (JPAC Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.042002) 122, [042002 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.042002)
- [52] M. G. Alexeev et al. (COMPASS Collaboration), [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.012005) D 105[, 012005 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.012005)
- [53] J. Kuhn et al. (E852 Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.05.032) 595, 109 [\(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.05.032)
- [54] R. L. Workman et al. (Particle Data Group), [Prog. Theor.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptac097) Exp. Phys. 2022[, 083C01 \(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptac097).
- [55] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, Y. R. Liu, and S. L. Zhu, [Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/aca3b6) Prog. Phys. 86[, 026201 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/aca3b6)
- [56] M. Ablikim et al. (BESIII Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.192002) 129[, 192002 \(2022\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.192002) 130[, 159901\(E\) \(2023\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.159901).
- [57] M. Ablikim et al. (BESIII Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.072012) 106, [072012 \(2022\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.072012) 107[, 079901\(E\) \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.079901)
- [58] P. Bydźovský, R. Kamiński, and V. Nazari, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.116013) 94, [116013 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.116013)
- [59] P. Bydžovský, R. Kamiński, and V. Nazari, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.116005) 90, [116005 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.116005)
- [60] Y. S. Surovtsev, P. Bydzovsky, R. Kaminski, and M. Nagy, Phys. Rev. D 81[, 016001 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.016001).
- [61] D. Mueller, B. Pire, L. Szymanowski, and J. Wagner, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.031502) Rev. D 86[, 031502 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.031502).