Toward precision measurements of nucleon energy correlators in lepton-nucleon collisions

Haotian Cao[®],^{1,*} Xiaohui Liu[®],^{1,2,3,†} and Hua Xing Zhu^{4,‡}

¹Center of Advanced Quantum Studies, Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University,

Beijing 100875, China

²Key Laboratory of Multi-scale Spin Physics, Ministry of Education, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

³Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

⁴Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics, Department of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

(Received 14 March 2023; accepted 17 May 2023; published 6 June 2023)

The nucleon energy-energy correlator (NEEC) was proposed recently [X. Liu and H. X. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 091901 (2023)] as a new way of studying nucleon intrinsic dynamics. In this work, we present a detailed derivation of the factorization theorem that enables the measurement of the unpolarized NEEC in lepton-nucleon collisions. As a first step toward a precise measurement of this quantity, we obtained the next-to-leading-logarithmic [NLL, $\sim O(\alpha_s^n L^{n-1})$] resummation in a concise analytic form, and predicted the analytic θ -angle distribution at $O(\alpha_s^2)$. Extending our analytic resummation formula to higher logarithmic accuracy and the factorization theorem to hadron-hadron collisions is straightforward.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.107.114008

I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the intricate internal structures of nucleons is at the central focus of nuclear physics for decades, and will continue to be the scientific frontier within the Standard Model at the next generation QCD facilities such as the upcoming electron-ion collider (EIC) [1-3]. In recent years, our approaches to nucleon/nucleus tomography have been substantially enriched, thanks to the introduction of innovative ideas into the field, such as the jet-based studies of the transverse momentum dependent (TMD) structure functions [4-20]. However the intricate jet clustering process usually presents challenges in achieving accurate predictions. Recent advances in this direction can be found in [7,21]. Alternative methods to jets, such as the energyenergy correlator (EEC) [22–30] have also been shown to be effective in uncovering the intrinsic transverse dynamics [31–33] or the scales of the quark-gluon plasma [34].

Recently, a novel quantity named the nucleon energyenergy correlator (NEEC) has been proposed as a new look

^{*}haotiancao@mail.bnu.edu.cn

into the nucleon partonic structures [35]. The NEEC probes the initial-final state correlation and takes the form in the momentum fraction z space as [35,36]

$$f_{q,\text{EEC}}(z,\theta) = \int \frac{dy^{-}}{4\pi} e^{-izP^{+}\frac{y^{-}}{2}} \langle P | \bar{\chi}_{n}(y^{-}) \frac{\gamma^{+}}{2} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta) \chi_{n}(0) | P \rangle, \quad (1)$$

for the quark NEEC. The gluon NEEC will be given later. Here χ_n represents the gauge invariant quark field in the soft collinear effective theory (SCET) [37-41]. The definition is equivalent to that of QCD by noting that $\bar{\chi}_n(y^-)\chi_n(0) = \bar{\psi}(y^-)\mathcal{L}[y,0]\psi(0)$, where $\mathcal{L}[y^-,0]$ denotes the gauge link between 0 and y^- . $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)$ is the asymptotic energy flow operator that measures the energies from the initial nucleon flowing into the calorimeters sitting far away at angles less than θ . It is the cumulant version of the seminal energy flow operator defined in [42-45] and is straightforwardly obtained by integrating the energy flow operator in [42–45] over the solid angles less than a given value θ . The differential NEEC can be obtained by taking the derivative of Eq. (1) with respect to the θ . The energy flow at nonzero angles is induced by the intrinsic transverse dynamics. In this sense, studying the θ distribution of the NEEC allows us to extract information on the intrinsic transverse dynamics of the nucleon/ nucleus. The Mellin moment of the NEEC is given by $\int dz z^{N-1} f_{\text{EEC}}(z, \theta)$. Extension of the NEEC to multiple

xiliu@bnu.edu.cn

^{*}zhuhx@zju.edu.cn

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.



FIG. 1. The measurement proposed in Ref. [35] as a probe of the NEEC, where the energy $E_i(\theta)$ in the forward detector is recorded. Bjorken- x_B and Q^2 are also measured.

angular correlators by inserting more $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ operators at different angles is also attainable.

In Ref. [35], the deep-inelastic scattering (DIS) process illustrated in Fig. 1 is suggested to extract the NEEC, in which the energy-weighted cumulant cross section is measured such that

$$\Sigma_N(Q^2,\theta) = \sum_i \int d\sigma(x_B, Q^2, p_i) x_B^{N-1} \frac{E_i}{E_P} \Theta(\theta - \theta_i). \quad (2)$$

Here N > 1 is a positive power and $d\sigma$ is the differential cross section. x_B is the Bjorken variable and Q^2 the photon virtuality. The polar angle θ_i of the calorimetry is measured with respect to the nucleon beam. p_i denotes the momentum flow into the calorimetry and E_P the energy of the incoming nucleon. In this work, we follow Ref. [35] to stick the measurement to the Breit frame. We note that experimentally Σ_N itself is hard to measure, but it is easy to get the measurable θ distribution by taking the derivative with respect to θ , $d\Sigma_N/d\theta$.

The authors of Ref. [35] argued without proof that when $\theta \ll 1$, $\Sigma_N(x_B, Q)$ can be factorized into the partonic DIS cross section $\hat{\sigma}$ and the NEEC to be probed

$$\Sigma_N(Q^2,\theta) = \int dx_B x_B^{N-1} \int_{x_B}^1 \frac{dz}{z} \hat{\sigma}_i \left(\frac{x_B}{z}\right) f_{i,\text{EEC}}(z,\theta). \quad (3)$$

Similar measurement without the x_B^{N-1} weight has also been suggested as a possible access to the gluon saturation phenomena [36] through the θ distribution of the NEEC.

However, to reliably extract the NEEC and apply it to the nucleon/nucleus structure studies, the factorization theorem for the $\Sigma_N(Q^2, \theta)$ needs to be reliably established. Meanwhile, sufficient theoretical precision is also required. These serve as the major goals of the current work. In this work, using the SCET framework, we derive the factorization for $\Sigma_N(Q^2, \theta)$. As a first step toward its precision, we carry out the analytic next-to-leading-logarithmic (NLL) resummation for the $\Sigma_N(Q^2, \theta)$ when θ is small and matched onto the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ fixed order θ distribution when θ becomes large.

The manuscript is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we show sufficient details on deriving the factorization theorem using SCET. The section will also present the operator definition of the NEEC f_{EEC} . In Sec. III, we showed that when $\theta Q \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$, the f_{EEC} can be further matched onto the collinear parton distribution functions (PDFs) with a perturbatively calculable matching coefficient. We discuss its evolution in Sec. IV. We calculate all ingredients required for the NLL resummation in Sec. V and predict the small θ distribution at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$. The numerical consequence of the resummation and the fixed order $\alpha_s^2 \theta$ distribution are studied in Sec. VI. We summarize in Sec. VII.

II. THE FACTORIZATION THEOREM

In this section, we derive the factorization theorem for $\Sigma_N(Q^2, \theta)$ when $\theta Q \ll Q$ using SCET [37–41]. Throughout the work, we stick to the Breit frame in which the virtual photon only acquires the momentum in its *z* component with q = (0, 0, 0, -Q) and the proton carries the momentum $P = \frac{Q}{2x_B}(1, 0, 0, 1)$. However, the factorization theorem to be derived is applicable to arbitrary frames.

The cumulant cross section $\Sigma_N(Q^2, \theta)$ can be calculated by

$$\Sigma_{N}(Q^{2},\theta) = \frac{\alpha^{2}}{Q^{4}} \int dx_{B} x_{B}^{N-1} \sum_{\lambda=T,L} e_{q}^{2} f_{\lambda} \epsilon_{\lambda,\mu}^{*} \epsilon_{\lambda,\nu}$$
$$\times \int d^{4} x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle P | j^{\mu\dagger}(x) \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta) j^{\nu}(0) | P \rangle, \quad (4)$$

where e_q is the electric charge fraction of the quark initiating the DIS process. Here, we have written the lepton phase space integral as $\frac{d^3l}{(2\pi)^3 2l^0} = \frac{Q^2}{16\pi^2 s} dx_B dQ^2$ and up to vanishing contribution due to the gauge symmetry, we have

$$\sum_{T=1,2} \epsilon_{T,\mu}^* \epsilon_{T,\nu} = -g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{4x_B^2}{Q^2} P_{\mu} P_{\nu},$$

$$\epsilon_{L,\mu}^* \epsilon_{L,\nu} = \frac{4x_B^2}{Q^2} P_{\mu} P_{\nu},$$
 (5)

with ϵ_T^{μ} and ϵ_L^{ν} the transverse and longitudinal polarized vector of the virtual photon, respectively. The corresponding flux is given by

$$f_T = 1 - y + \frac{y^2}{2}, \qquad f_L = 2 - 2y,$$
 (6)

where $y = \frac{Q^2}{sx_B}$. The inserted normalized asymptotic energy flow operator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)$ measures the energy deposited in the

detector less than a given angle θ [42–45] normalized to the energy E_P of the incoming proton,

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)|X\rangle = \sum_{i \in X} \frac{E_i}{E_P} \Theta(\theta - \theta_i)|X\rangle.$$
(7)

The normalized asymptotic energy flow operator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)$ defined here is related to the energy flow operator defined in [42–45]. The latter can be obtained by taking the derivative with respect to the polar angle θ and without integrating over the azimuthal angle ϕ . We note that if we replace $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)$ by the identity operator $1 = \sum_X |X\rangle \langle X|$, Eq. (4) reduces to the definition of the standard DIS cross section.

When $\theta Q \ll Q$, a possible leading contribution to the $\Sigma_N(Q^2, \theta)$ comes from the hard degrees of freedom (*H*) whose momentum scales as $p_H = (p_H^+, p_H^-, p_{H,l}) \sim Q(1, 1, 1)$, the collinear contributions (*C*) with momentum $p_C \sim Q(1, \theta^2, \theta)$, and the soft modes (*S*) with $p_S \sim Q(\theta^a, \theta^a, \theta^a)$ with $a \ge 1$. However, we note that the energy flow operator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)$ acts only on the collinear sector. To see this, we first decompose the final state as $|X\rangle = |X_H X_C X_S\rangle$, and apply the $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)$ to find

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)|X\rangle = \frac{1}{E_P} \sum_{i \in X} (E_{H,i}\Theta(\theta - \theta_{H,i}) + E_{C,i}\Theta(\theta - \theta_{C,i}) + E_{S,i}\Theta(\theta - \theta_{S,i}))|X_H, X_C, X_S\rangle.$$
(8)

Now for the hard radiations, by power counting, $\theta_{H,i} \sim \frac{p_{H,i}}{p_{H}^{*}} \sim 1 \gg \theta$ and the $\Theta(\theta - \theta_{H,i})$ will hardly be satisfied and therefore the first term in Eq. (8) vanishes in the small θ limit. On the other hand, in the last term, $E_{S,i} \sim \theta^a Q$ is also power suppressed as $\theta \to 0$ when compared with $E_{C,i} \sim Q$. In this way, up to power-suppressed corrections, we find

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)|X\rangle = \sum_{i \in X_C} E_{C,i} \Theta(\theta - \theta_{C,i}) |X_H\rangle |X_C\rangle |X_S\rangle$$

$$= (\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)|X_C\rangle) |X_H\rangle |X_S\rangle,$$
(9)

and we conclude that in the small- θ limit, the measurement $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)$ is an operator living solely in the collinear sector and acts inclusively on the hard and the soft radiations.

To proceed, we follow [41] to match $j^{\mu\dagger} \mathcal{E}(\theta) j^{\nu}$ to the SCET operators \mathcal{O}_q and \mathcal{O}_q , with

$$\langle P|j^{\mu\dagger}(x)\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)j^{\nu}(0)|P\rangle = C_{q}^{\mu\nu}\langle P|\mathcal{O}_{q}|P\rangle + C_{g}^{\mu\nu}\langle P|\mathcal{O}_{g}|P\rangle,$$
(10)

where $C_{q/g}^{\mu\nu}$ are the hard matching coefficients to be determined and $C_g^{\mu\nu}$ starts at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$. The SCET operators are defined as

$$\mathcal{O}_{q}(x,\theta) = \bar{\chi}_{n}(x)Y^{\dagger}(x)\frac{\gamma^{+}}{2}\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)Y(0)\chi_{n}(0),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{g}(x,\theta) = \mathcal{B}_{\perp}(x)\mathcal{Y}^{\dagger}(x)\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)\mathcal{Y}(0)\mathcal{B}_{\perp}(0), \qquad (11)$$

which contains only the gauge invariant collinear quark and gluon fields χ and \mathcal{B}_{\perp} , respectively [46], which are

$$\chi_n(x) = W_n^{\dagger}(x)\xi_n(x), \qquad \mathcal{B}_{\perp}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{g_s} [W_n^{\dagger}i\mathcal{D}_{\perp}^{\mu}W_n](x). \quad (12)$$

We note that both χ and \mathcal{B}_{\perp} scale as θ by power counting [37]. Here the collinear Wilson lines are

$$W_n(x) = \sum_{\text{perms}} \exp\left(-\frac{g_s}{\bar{n} \cdot P_n} \bar{n} \cdot A_n(x)\right), \quad (13)$$

to make χ and \mathcal{B}_{\perp} gauge invariant. We also have the soft Wilson lines *Y* and \mathcal{Y} in the fundamental and the adjoint representation, respectively. The soft Wilson lines decouple the interaction between the collinear and the soft sectors. Here we note that

$$[\hat{\mathcal{E}}, Y] = [\hat{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{Y}] = 0, \tag{14}$$

since $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)$ and $Y(\mathcal{Y})$ act on different sectors. Furthermore, the collinear fields have support in the region where $x^{\mu} \sim Q^{-1}(1, \theta^{-2}, \theta^{-1})$, while the soft field within the region $x^{\mu} \sim Q^{-1}(\theta^{-a}, \theta^{-a}, \theta^{-a})$.

The hadronic matrix element in Eq. (4) is then matched onto the SCET matrix as

$$\int d^{4}x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle P | j^{\dagger \mu}(x) \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta) j^{\nu}(0) | P \rangle$$

=
$$\int d^{4}x e^{iq \cdot x} \left(C_{q}^{\mu\nu}(x) \langle P | \bar{\chi}_{n}(x) Y^{\dagger}(x) \frac{\gamma^{+}}{2} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta) Y(0) \chi_{n}(0) | P \rangle + C_{g}^{\mu\nu}(x) \langle P | \mathcal{B}_{\perp}(x) \mathcal{Y}^{\dagger}(x) \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta) \mathcal{Y}(0) \mathcal{B}_{\perp}(0) | P \rangle \right), \quad (15)$$

where $q \sim Q(1, 1, 1)$, and hence the *x* in the hadronic tensor scales as $x \sim \frac{1}{Q}(1, 1, 1)$, determined by the Fourier transformation $\int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x}$ which receives its dominant support when $x \sim \frac{1}{Q}(1, 1, 1)$. Physically this means a large

¹Throughout this work, we use the Sudakov decomposition, in which $p^+ = p^0 + p^3 \equiv \overline{n} \cdot p$ and $p^- = p^0 - p^3 \equiv n \cdot p$. Here n = (1, 0, 0, 1) and $\overline{n} = (1, 0, 0, -1)$ while $p_t \cdot n = p_t \cdot \overline{n} = 0$.

momentum transfer *Q* to the nucleon probes the position region $x \sim 1/Q$ inside the nucleon.

We perform a multiple expansion in the collinear fields and the soft Wilson lines to find

$$\int d^{4}x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle P | j^{\dagger \mu}(x) \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta) j^{\nu}(0) | P \rangle$$

=
$$\int d^{4}x e^{iq \cdot x} \left(C_{q}^{\mu\nu}(x) \langle P | \bar{\chi}_{n}(x^{-}) Y^{\dagger}(0) \frac{\gamma^{+}}{2} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta) Y(0) \chi_{n}(0) | P \rangle + C_{g}^{\mu\nu}(x) \langle P | \mathcal{B}_{\perp}(x^{-}) \mathcal{Y}^{\dagger}(0) \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta) \mathcal{Y}(0) \mathcal{B}_{\perp}(0) | P \rangle \right).$$
(16)

To understand the expansion, we note that since the momentum for the collinear fields, $\phi_c = \chi$ or \mathcal{B}_{\perp} , scales as $p^{\mu} \sim Q(1, \theta^2, \theta)$, it follows that $\partial^{\mu}\phi_c \sim Q(1, \theta^2, \theta)$ with respect to ϕ_c . While since $x \sim \frac{1}{Q}(1, 1, 1)$, it is immediately realized that $\phi_c(x) \approx \phi_c(x^-, 0) + x^{\perp} \cdot \partial_{\perp}\phi_c + \frac{x^+}{2}\partial_-\phi_c = \phi_c(x^-) + \mathcal{O}(\theta) + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2)$. A similar analysis applies to the soft Wilson line *Y*, which leads to $Y^{\dagger}(x) \approx Y^{\dagger}(0) + x \cdot \partial Y^{\dagger} = Y^{\dagger}(0) + \mathcal{O}(\theta^{\alpha})$.

Now we use the commutation relation between $Y(\mathcal{Y})$ and \mathcal{E} in Eq. (14) and the identity $Y^{\dagger}Y = \mathcal{Y}^{\dagger}\mathcal{Y} = 1$ to reach

$$\int d^{4}x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle P|j^{\dagger \mu}(x)\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)j^{\nu}(0)|P\rangle$$

$$= \int d^{4}x e^{iq \cdot x} \left(C_{q}^{\mu\nu}(x) \langle P|\bar{\chi}_{n}(x^{-})\frac{\gamma^{+}}{2}\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)\chi_{n}(0)|P\rangle + C_{g}^{\mu\nu}(x) \langle P|\mathcal{B}_{\perp}(x^{-})\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)\mathcal{B}_{\perp}(0)|P\rangle \right).$$
(17)

From the derivation, we see clearly that in the small- θ limit, the measurement is fully inclusive of the soft radiations, and therefore the soft modes do not lead to any logarithmically enhanced contributions. This is different from the conventional TMD measurement, where the soft radiations contribute to the leading region which eventually gives rise to the perturbative Sudakov factor that suppresses the small transverse momentum region exponentially.

Now we plug the hadronic tensor into Eq. (4) to find the weighted cross section Σ_N takes the form

$$\Sigma_{N}(Q^{2},\theta) = \frac{\alpha^{2}}{Q^{4}} \int dx_{B} x_{B}^{N-1} \sum_{\lambda=T,L} e_{q}^{2} f_{\lambda} \epsilon_{\lambda,\mu}^{*} \epsilon_{\lambda,\nu}$$
$$\times \int d^{4} x e^{iq \cdot x} \left(C_{q}^{\mu\nu}(x) \langle P | \bar{\chi}_{n}(x^{-}) \frac{\gamma^{+}}{2} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta) \chi_{n}(0) | P \rangle \right.$$
$$+ C_{g}^{\mu\nu}(x) \langle P | \mathcal{B}_{\perp}(x^{-}) \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta) \mathcal{B}_{\perp}(0) | P \rangle \left. \right).$$
(18)

We further manipulate the $\Sigma_N(Q^2, \theta)$ by inserting the complete set $1 = |X_C\rangle \langle X_C|$ of the collinear sector into the hadronic tensor, and then perform the translation operation

in x^- , i.e. $\langle P|\bar{\chi}_n(x^-)|X_C\rangle = \langle P|T^{\dagger}T\bar{\chi}_n(x^-)T^{\dagger}T|X_C\rangle = \langle P|e^{iP+\frac{x^-}{2}}\bar{\chi}_n(0)e^{-iP_C^{+}x^-}|X_C\rangle$, where *T* is the translational operator in x^- and P_C^+ is the large component of the momentum for the collinear radiations, to find

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{N}(Q^{2},\theta) &= \frac{\alpha^{2}}{Q^{4}} \int dx_{B} x_{B}^{N-1} \sum_{\lambda=T,L} e_{q}^{2} f_{\lambda} \epsilon_{\lambda,\mu}^{*} \epsilon_{\lambda,\nu} \\ &\times P^{+} \int dz \delta((1-z)P^{+} - P_{C}^{+}) \int d^{4} x e^{iq \cdot x} e^{i(P^{+} - P_{C}^{+})\frac{x^{-}}{2}} \\ &\times \left(C_{q}^{\mu\nu}(x) \langle P | \bar{\chi}_{n}(0) \frac{\gamma^{+}}{2} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta) | X_{C} \rangle \langle X_{C} | \chi_{n}(0) | P \rangle \right. \\ &+ C_{g}^{\mu\nu}(x) \langle P | \mathcal{B}_{\perp}(0) \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta) | X_{C} \rangle \langle X_{C} | \mathcal{B}_{\perp}(0) | P \rangle \bigg), \quad (19) \end{split}$$

where we have inserted the identity $P^+ \int dz \delta((1-z)P^+ - P_C^+)$ to define the variable *z*. Here P_C^+ is the large component of the momentum for the collinear radiations. Now we replace $(P^+ - P_C^+)\frac{x^-}{2}$ in the exponent by $zP^+\frac{x^-}{2} = zP \cdot x$, where we have used $P \sim P^+\frac{n^{\mu}}{2}$ up to $\mathcal{O}(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{Q})$ corrections. With further noticing that $\delta((1-z)P^+ - P_C^+) = \int \frac{dy^-}{4\pi} e^{i[(1-z)P^+ - P_C^+]\frac{y^-}{2}}$, and by applying the translation operation on $\langle P| \dots |X_C \rangle$, we find the $\Sigma_N(Q^2, \theta)$ possesses the factorized form

$$\Sigma_N(Q^2,\theta) = \int dx_B x_B^{N-1} \int dz (H_q(z,x_B,Q^2) f_{q,\text{EEC}}(z,P^+\theta) + H_g(z,x_B,Q^2) f_{g,\text{EEC}}(z,P^+\theta)),$$
(20)

where the hard coefficients H_q and H_g are defined as

$$H_{q} = \frac{\alpha^{2}}{Q^{4}} \sum_{\lambda=T,L} e_{q}^{2} f_{\lambda} \epsilon_{\lambda,\mu}^{*} \epsilon_{\lambda,\nu} \int d^{4} x e^{i(q+zP) \cdot x} C_{q}^{\mu\nu}(x) P^{+},$$

$$H_{g} = \frac{\alpha^{2}}{Q^{4}} \sum_{\lambda=T,L} e_{q}^{2} f_{\lambda} \epsilon_{\lambda,\mu}^{*} \epsilon_{\lambda,\nu} \int d^{4} x e^{i(q+zP) \cdot x} C_{g}^{\mu\nu}(x).$$
(21)

And the collinear functions are

$$f_{q,\text{EEC}}(z, P^+\theta) = \int \frac{dy^-}{4\pi} e^{-izP^+\frac{y^-}{2}} \langle P|\bar{\chi}_n\left(\frac{y^-}{2}n^\mu\right)\frac{\gamma^+}{2}\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)\chi_n(0)|P\rangle \quad (22)$$

for the quarks, and

$$f_{g,\text{EEC}}(z, P^{+}\theta) = \int \frac{dy^{-}}{4\pi} e^{-izP^{+}\frac{y^{-}}{2}} P^{+} \langle P | \mathcal{B}_{\perp} \left(\frac{y^{-}}{2} n^{\mu}\right) \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta) \mathcal{B}_{\perp}(0) | P \rangle$$

$$(23)$$

for the gluon. These furnish the operator definition for the quark and gluon *nucleon energy-energy correlator* in the momentum space, respectively.

We can further derive the hard coefficients H_q and H_g by noting the following:

- (i) The above derivation follows closely the SCET derivation of the DIS cross section in [41], except for the existence of the collinear operator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)$.
- (ii) Once replacing $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)$ by the identity operator $1 = \sum_X |X\rangle\langle X|$ within the NEEC $f_{i,\text{EEC}}$, we recover the operator definition for the collinear PDF $f_i(z)$. Meanwhile, Eqs. (4) and (20) reduce to the standard inclusive DIS cross section.
- (iii) The hard coefficients are independent of the details of the collinear sector, and therefore unaffected whether we place the $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)$ or the identity operator in the collinear function.

Immediately, we reach the conclusion that the hard functions satisfy

$$H_q = \frac{1}{z}\hat{\sigma}_q\left(\frac{x_B}{z}, Q^2\right), \qquad H_g = \frac{1}{z}\hat{\sigma}_g\left(\frac{x_B}{z}, Q^2\right), \quad (24)$$

and are nothing but the DIS partonic cross sections. And therefore

$$\Sigma_{N}(Q^{2},\theta) = \sum_{i=q,g} \int dx_{B} x_{B}^{N-1} \int \frac{dz}{z} \hat{\sigma}_{i} \left(\frac{x_{B}}{z}, Q^{2}\right) f_{i,\text{EEC}}(z, P^{+}\theta).$$
(25)

One can observe from the factorization theorem that the θ dependence of $\Sigma_N(Q^2, \theta)$ is entirely through the f_{EEC} , and thus measuring $\Sigma_N(Q^2, \theta)$ directly probes the NEEC. The derivation also holds for the measurement without the x_B^{N-1} weighting, as proposed in Ref. [36], and the factorization is similar to what we have obtained by taking out the integral over x_B , which is nothing but the second line of the above equation.

When $\theta P^+ \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$, as shown in the following section, the NEEC can be matched onto the collinear PDFs, with all θ dependence occurring only in the perturbative matching coefficients. In this way, since f_{EEC} is dimensionless, the $P^+\theta$ will show up in the form of $\ln \frac{P^+\theta}{\mu}$. Therefore, Σ_N could also be written as²

$$\Sigma_{N}(Q^{2},\theta) = \sum_{i=q,g} \int du u^{N-1} \hat{\sigma}_{i}(u,Q^{2}) f_{i,\text{EEC}}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{u\mu}\right),$$
(26)

where $u = \frac{x_B}{z}$ and we have used the fact that $P^+ = \frac{Q}{x_B} = \frac{Q}{zu}$ in the Breit frame. The μ dependence in other forms through the strong coupling and the collinear PDFs are suppressed in the $f_{i,\text{EEC}}$, where $f_{i,\text{EEC}}(N, \ln \frac{Q\theta}{u\mu})$ is the NEEC in the Mellin space,

$$f_{i,\text{EEC}}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{u\mu}\right) = \int_0^1 dz z^{N-1} f_{i,\text{EEC}}\left(z,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{zu\mu}\right).$$
 (27)

To simplify the notation, we introduce the \odot product, defined as

$$h_1 \odot h_2 \dots \odot h_n \odot f(u)$$

= $\int \prod_i^n du_i u_i^{N-1} h_i(u_i) f(N, uu_1 u_2 \dots u_n);$ (28)

therefore, Eq. (26) is written as $\Sigma_N(Q^2, \theta) = \hat{\sigma}_i \odot f_{i,\text{EEC}}(1)$, and we will always drop the "(1)" to write

$$\Sigma_N(Q^2, \theta) = \hat{\sigma}_i \odot f_{i,\text{EEC}}.$$
(29)

III. MATCHING ONTO THE COLLINEAR PDF WHEN $\theta Q \gg \Lambda_{\rm OCD}$

When $\theta Q \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$, the collinear modes can be further split into the hard collinear fields (C_1) with momentum scaling $p_{C_1} \sim Q(1, \theta^2, \theta)$ and the C_2 modes in SECT_{II} with $p_{C_2} \sim Q(1, \lambda^2, \lambda)$ with $\lambda \equiv \frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{Q} \ll \theta$. The SCET operators in Eq. (11) can be further matched onto the SCET_{II} operators such that

$$\mathcal{O}_{i}(x^{-}) = \sum_{j=q,g} C_{j}(x^{-}) \mathcal{O}_{j,\mathrm{II}}(x^{-}), \qquad (30)$$

where the operators on the left-hand side of the equation are those that appeared in matrix elements of Eqs. (22) and (23), and the $\mathcal{O}_{i,II}$ is the SCET_{II} operators which have the exact same form as \mathcal{O}_i but without the energy operator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)$ and is made out of the C_2 fields.

We pause here to study first the effects when $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)$ is acting on $|X\rangle = |X_{C_1}, X_{C_2}\rangle$. From the definition, we have

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)|X_{C_1}, X_{C_2}\rangle = \sum_{i \in X_{C_1} \atop j \in X_{C_2}} \left(\frac{E_i}{E_P} \Theta(\theta - \theta_i) + \frac{E_j}{E_P} \Theta(\theta - \theta_j)\right)|X\rangle.$$
(31)

²Due to the flux term $f_{\lambda}(y)$ term from Eq. (6) in the partonic cross section $\hat{\sigma}_i$ with $y = \frac{Q^2}{x_B s} = \frac{Q^2}{s} \frac{1}{u^2}$, Eq. (26) should be written as a linear combination of different effective weights N - i, for each y^i . However, terms with one power higher in y will be suppressed by $\frac{Q^2}{s}$ for $Q^2 \ll s$.

We note that since by definition, for particles from the C_2 modes, the polar angle scales as $\theta_j \sim \lambda \ll \theta$, the second Θ function will be always satisfied and can be replaced by 1. Therefore we find

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\theta)|X_{C_1}, X_{C_2}\rangle = \left(\sum_{i \in X_{C_1}} -\frac{E_i}{E_P}\Theta(\theta_i - \theta) + \frac{E_X}{E_P}\right)|X_{C_1}, X_{C_2}\rangle \quad (32)$$

where $E_X \equiv \sum_{i \in X_{C_1}, j \in X_{C_2}} E_i + E_j$ and we have used $\Theta(\theta - \theta_i) = 1 - \Theta(\theta_i - \theta).$

The E_X term in Eq. (32) acts on both C_1 and C_2 modes simultaneously and contributes to the f_{EEC} in the way that

$$f_{i,\text{EEC}} \supset \sum_{X} \frac{E_X}{E_P} \langle P|\mathcal{O}_i|X\rangle \langle X|\mathcal{O}_i|P\rangle \delta((1-z)P^+ - P_X^+)$$

= $(1-z)\sum_{X} \langle P|\mathcal{O}_i|X\rangle \langle X|\mathcal{O}_i|P\rangle \delta((1-z)P^+ - P_X^+)$
= $f_i(z) - zf_i(z)$, with $i = q, g$, (33)

where $f_i(z)$ is the collinear PDF. In the first line we have inserted the complete set $\sum_X |X\rangle\langle X|$ into Eqs. (22) and (23) and applied Eq. (32) but only kept the E_X term. We performed the translation operation in the n^{μ} direction before we integrate over y^- . Here, we have also used the definition of the collinear PDF

$$f_{i}(z) = \sum_{X} \langle P|\mathcal{O}_{i}|X\rangle \langle X|\mathcal{O}_{i}|P\rangle \delta((1-z)P^{+} - P_{X}^{+})$$
$$= \int \frac{dy^{-}}{4\pi} e^{-izP^{+}\frac{y^{-}}{2}} \langle P|\mathcal{O}_{i}\left(y^{-}\frac{n^{\mu}}{2}\right)\mathcal{O}_{i}|P\rangle.$$
(34)

The $-E_i\Theta(\theta_i - \theta)$ term in Eq. (32) acts only on the C_1 modes. Therefore when matching onto SCET_{II}, together with the coefficient $C_j(x^-)$ in Eq. (30), it gives the matching coefficient. The matching procedure is similar to what we did in the previous section and we will not repeat it here. The final contribution from the $-E_i\Theta(\theta_i - \theta)$ term then reads

$$f_{i,\text{EEC}} \supset -\sum_{j} \int_{z}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} I'_{ij} \left(\frac{z}{\xi}, \ln \frac{zQ\theta}{x_{B}\mu}\right) [\xi f_{j}(\xi)], \quad (35)$$

where the additional ξ in front of $f(\xi)$ originates from E_i/E_P . Here I'_{ij} is the matching coefficient that can be calculated perturbatively and starts from $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$.

Gathering all pieces, the matching of the NEEC $f_{i,\text{EEC}}$ to the collinear PDFs when $\theta Q \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ is given by

$$f_{i,\text{EEC}}\left(z,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{u\mu}\right) = f_i(z) - \int_z^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} I_{ij}\left(\frac{z}{\xi},\ln\frac{Q\theta}{u\mu}\right) \xi f_j(\xi),$$
(36)

where $I_{ij}(z) = \delta(1-z) + I'_{ij}(z)$. It will be interesting to note that the θ dependence is solely within the $\xi f_j(\xi)$ term where I_{ij} , as we will show, is determined by the splitting function $P(z_i, ...)$, and involves the factor $z_i P(z_i, ...)$. Here, the z_i factor originated from the energy weight of parton *i*. Therefore, from Eq. (36), we can interpret $df_{\text{EEC}}/d\theta$ as the parton energy density at the angle θ for the given incoming parton energy density $\xi f(\xi)$.

Written in the Mellin space, we have

$$f_{i,\text{EEC}}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{u\mu}\right) = f_i(N) - I_{ij}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{u\mu}\right)f_j(N+1),$$
(37)

where $I_{ij}(N)$ is the Mellin moment of $I_{ij}(z)$.

For later use, we define the *-product by

$$I * f = I(N)f(N+1).$$
 (38)

With this notation, Eq. (37) is written as

$$f_{i,\text{EEC}} = f_i - \sum_j I_{ij} * f_j.$$
(39)

We note the difference between $f_i = f_i(N)$ and $1 * f_i = f_i(N+1)$.

IV. EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

From the factorization theorem in Eqs. (25), (26), and the consistency relation

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu^2}\Sigma_N(Q^2,\theta) = 0, \qquad (40)$$

we deduce that the NEEC satisfies the *modified* Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi (DGLAP) evolution equation

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu^2} f_{i,\text{EEC}}\left(z,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{zu\mu}\right) = \sum_j \int_z^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} P_{ij}\left(\frac{z}{\xi}\right) f_{j,\text{EEC}}\left(\xi,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{zu\mu}\right) \quad (41)$$

in the momentum space. The inclusion of z, as an argument of the function $f_{j,\text{EEC}}$ indicates that (41) cannot be considered a conventional convolution beyond LL accuracy. The presence of this extra dependency arises from the inherent angular nature of NEEC, which results in its reliance on the frame of reference in which the observation is made. An analogous situation is observed in the case of the final state EEC, as discussed in [29]. It is interesting to note that the form of the evolution that governs the θ distribution is similar to the modified leading logarithmic approximation [47]. The mechanism behind this similarity could be worth further investigation in the future. In the Mellin space, the evolution of the NEEC follows

$$\frac{d}{d \ln \mu^2} f_{i,\text{EEC}}\left(N, \ln \frac{Q\theta}{u\mu}\right)$$

$$= \sum_j \int d\xi \xi^{N-1} P_{ij}(\xi) f_{j,\text{EEC}}\left(N, \ln \frac{Q\theta}{\xi u\mu}\right)$$

$$= P_{ij} \odot f_{j,\text{EEC}}(u), \qquad (42)$$

where $P_{ij}(\xi)$ is the vacuum splitting function and the \odot notation follows Eq. (28). Note that the additional ξ within the logarithm is due to the specific structure of the Mellin transformation for f_{EEC} in Eq. (27).

In the momentum space, the solution to the evolution equation (42) can be solved numerically using HOPPET [48] or APFEL++ [49] with the initial condition at $\mu_0 \sim Q\theta$ to be determined later in Sec. V B. The solution in the Mellin space is slightly more involved and we solve it in Appendix A. Its analytic form will be given in Sec. V C.

In practice, it is useful to introduce for the NEEC f_{EEC} the flavor singlet and nonsinglet distributions, where the singlet part is given by

$$F_q^S = \sum_i (f_{q_i,\text{EEC}} + f_{\bar{q}_i,\text{EEC}}), \qquad F_g^S = f_{g,\text{EEC}}, \quad (43)$$

and the nonsinglet part is defined as

$$F_i^{NS} = N_F (f_{q_i, \text{EEC}} + f_{\bar{q}_i, \text{EEC}}) - F_q^S.$$
(44)

The definition follows directly those of the collinear PDFs [50,51]. We note that

$$f_{q_i,\text{EEC}} + f_{\bar{q}_i,\text{EEC}} = \frac{1}{N_F} (F_i^{NS} + F_q^S), \quad f_{g,\text{EEC}} = F_g^S.$$
 (45)

Since the f_{EEC} behaves exactly like the collinear PDF, by the flavor and charge conjugation symmetry, the nonsinglet distribution for the NEEC evolves as [50,51]

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu^2}F_i^{NS}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{u\mu}\right) = P_{NS}^+ \odot F_i^{NS}(u), \quad (46)$$

with no mixing with the singlet distributions F_q^S and F_g^S . Here " \odot " follows Eq. (28). The singlet distributions evolve as

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu^2} \begin{bmatrix} F_q^S \\ F_g^S \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{qq}^S & P_{qg}^S \\ P_{gq}^S & P_{gg}^S \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} F_q^S \\ F_g^S \end{bmatrix} (u). \quad (47)$$

Here $P_{gg}^{S} = P_{gg}, P_{qg}^{S} = 2N_{F}P_{qg}, P_{gq}^{S} = P_{gq}$, and

$$P_{qq}^{S} = P_{NS}^{+} + P_{ps} \tag{48}$$

are defined in Refs. [50,51]. The nonsinglet and the pure singlet splitting kernels P_{NS}^+ and P_{ps} can also be found therein. At order α_s , $P_{NS}^+ = P_{qq}$ and $P_{ps} = 0$. The $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ results are given in the Appendix B.

The evolution of the matching coefficient I_{ij} can be directly derived from Eqs. (36) and (37) along with the evolution of the f_{EEC} in Eq. (41) to Eq. (42). For practical use, we note that by the charge conjugation and flavor symmetry, the matching coefficient I_{ij} for the quark can always be written as

$$I_{q_{i}q_{j}} = I_{\bar{q}_{i}\bar{q}_{j}} = I_{qq}^{NS}\delta_{ij} + I_{qq}^{PS},$$

$$I_{q_{i}\bar{q}_{j}} = I_{\bar{q}_{i}q_{j}} = I_{q\bar{q}}^{NS}\delta_{ij} + I_{q\bar{q}}^{PS},$$
(49)

where I^{PS} is flavor independent. In this way, we find

$$F_i^{NS} = f_i^{NS} - (I_{qq}^{NS} + I_{q\bar{q}}^{NS}) * f_i^{NS} \equiv f_i^{NS} - I^{NS} * f_i^{NS}, \quad (50)$$

and the *pure* quark contribution to F_q^S is

$$\begin{split} F_{q}^{S} &= f_{q}^{S} - (I_{qq}^{NS} + I_{q\bar{q}}^{NS} + N_{F}(I_{qq}^{PS} + I_{q\bar{q}}^{PS})) * f_{q}^{S} \\ &\equiv f_{q}^{S} - I_{qq}^{S} * f_{q}^{S}, \end{split}$$
(51)

where we follow Eq. (38) to use "*" as the shorthand notation for the product in Eq. (37). Up to order α_s , $I_{qq}^{PS} = I_{q\bar{q}}^{PS} = I_{q\bar{q}}^{NS} = 0$, and thus $I^{NS} = I_{qq}^{S} = I_{qq}^{NS}$ up to this order. Here

$$f_i^{NS} = N_F(f_{q_i} + f_{\bar{q}_i}) - \sum_k (f_{q_k} + f_{\bar{q}_k})$$
(52)

is the singlet PDF distribution and

$$f_q^S = \sum_i f_{q_i} + f_{\bar{q}_i}, \qquad f_g^S = f_g$$
(53)

are the nonsinglet distributions. They satisfy the same DGLAP evolution in Eqs. (46) and (47) after replacing \odot by the product, for the singlet and the nonsinglet PDFs, respectively.

It is immediately realized that

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu^2}I^{NS}(N,u) = P^+_{NS} \odot I^{NS}(u) - I^{NS} * P^+_{NS}, \quad (54)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu^2}I^S_{ij}(N,u) = P^S_{ik} \odot I^S_{kj}(u) - I^S_{ik} * P^S_{kj}.$$
 (55)

Here i = q, g. Here summation over the repeat indices is assumed.

V. MATCHING COEFFICIENTS AT NLO AND THE NLL RESUMMATION

In this section, we calculate the cumulant cross section

$$\Sigma_N(Q^2,\theta) = \sum_{i=q,g} \widehat{\sigma_i} \odot f_{i,\text{EEC}}$$
(56)

to NLO in α_s in the small θ limit. The \odot -product follows Eq. (28). The $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ calculation allows us to realize the NLL resummation for $\Sigma_N(Q^2, \theta)$, which in turn will allow us to predict the complete α_s^2 distribution $\frac{d}{d\theta^2} \Sigma_N(Q^2, \theta)$ when θ is small.

A. NLO hard function

For the NLL resummation, we need the DIS partonic cross section at NLO. The NLO partonic cross section $\hat{\sigma}(z, Q^2)$ is well known [52–54] and we present the results in the Appendix C. Here we supply the cross section in the Mellin space, which can be written as

$$\hat{\sigma}(N,Q^2) = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{Q^4} \sum_{i=-N_F}^{N_F} \sum_{c=q,g} \sum_{\lambda=T,L} e_{q_i}^2 f_\lambda \hat{\sigma}_{c,\lambda}(N), \quad (57)$$

where

$$\hat{\sigma}_{c,\lambda}(N) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^n \hat{\sigma}_{c,\lambda}^{(n)}(N), \qquad (58)$$

in which at LO

$$\hat{\sigma}_{q,T}^{(0)} = 1, \qquad \hat{\sigma}_{q,L}^{(0)} = \hat{\sigma}_{g,T}^{(0)} = \hat{\sigma}_{g,L}^{(0)} = 0.$$
 (59)

To obtain compact results for $\sigma_{c,\lambda}^{(1)}(N)$ at NLO, we introduce the $S_{\pm m}$ and $S_{\pm m_1,m_2,\ldots}$ functions [50,51] defined as

$$S_{\pm m}(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(\pm 1)^i}{i^m}$$
(60)

and

$$S_{\pm m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k}(N) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(\pm 1)^i}{i^{m_1}} S_{m_2, \dots, m_k}(i), \quad (61)$$

and we introduce $N_{\pm k}S_{\vec{m}}(N) = S_{\vec{m}}(N \pm k)$ raise/lower the argument by *k*. We abbreviate $S_{\vec{m}}(N) = S_{\vec{m}}$. Some useful formulas are presented in the Appendix D.

We thus find the quark contribution to $\hat{\sigma}^{(1)}$ reads

$$\hat{\sigma}_{q,L}^{(1)} = C_F(-)(1-\hat{N}_+)S_1, \tag{62}$$

for the longitudinal part, where we have used $1 = (1 - z) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} z^i$ anywhere necessary to get the results, and

$$\hat{\sigma}_{q,T}^{(1)} = C_F \left\{ \left(\frac{3}{2} - (\hat{N}_- + \hat{N}_+) S_1 \right) \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} + (\hat{N}_- + \hat{N}_+) (S_{1,1} - S_2) + \frac{\pi^2}{3} + \frac{3}{2} (\hat{N}_-) S_1 - 3(\hat{N}_- - 1) S_1 - \left(\frac{9}{2} + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right) \right\}.$$
(63)

The gluon channel is given by

$$\hat{\sigma}_{L,g}^{(1)} = T_R(-2)(1 - 2\hat{N}_+ + \hat{N}_{+2})S_1, \qquad (64)$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}_{T,g}^{(1)} = T_R \left\{ (-\hat{N}_- + 3 - 4\hat{N}_+ + 2\hat{N}_{+2})S_1 \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} + (\hat{N}_- - 3 + 4\hat{N}_+ - 2\hat{N}_{+2})(S_{1,1} - S_2) + (-5 + \hat{N}_- + 8\hat{N}_+ - 4\hat{N}_{+2})S_1 \right\},$$
(65)

where a factor of 1/2 has been multiplied into the gluon channel to match with the flavor summation in Eq. (57).

B. NLO matching coefficient for I_{ij}

The matching coefficients I_{ij} in Eq. (37) can be obtained by calculating the difference between the NEEC defined in Eqs. (22), (23) and the collinear PDF in Eq. (34), using the SCET Feynman rules. To perform the matching, the external hadronic states $|P\rangle$ and $|X\rangle$ can be replaced by the partonic degrees of freedom, using on-shell quarks and gluons. In dimensional regularization, the higher-order corrections to Eq. (34) are dimensionless and vanish identically. Therefore, the I_{ij} is determined by calculating the matrix elements in Eqs. (22) and (23). At NLO, this results in evaluating the phase space integrals of the form

$$f_{i,\text{EEC}} = -P^{+} \int dz z^{N-1} \int d\xi \delta((\xi - z)P^{+} - g^{+})$$
$$\times \int \frac{d^{d}g}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \delta(g^{2}) \left(1 - \frac{z}{\xi}\right) \xi \Theta(\theta_{g} - \theta)$$
$$\times (8\pi\alpha_{s}) \mu^{2\epsilon} \frac{1 - \frac{z}{\xi}}{g_{t}^{2}} P_{ij}^{(0)} \left(\frac{z}{\xi}, \epsilon\right) f_{j}(\xi), \tag{66}$$

where g^{μ} is the momentum of the detected parton, and g_t is its transverse component. ξ is the momentum fraction carried by the incoming parton. Here $P_{ij}^{(0)}$ are the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ splitting kernels, which are

$$P_{qq}^{(0)}(z,\epsilon) = C_F \left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} - \epsilon(1-z)\right),$$

$$P_{gq}^{(0)}(z,\epsilon) = C_F \left(\frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z} - \epsilon z\right),$$

$$P_{qg}^{(0)}(z,\epsilon) = T_R(z^2 + (1-z)^2 - 2\epsilon z(1-z)),$$

$$P_{gg}^{(0)}(z,\epsilon) = 2C_A \left(\frac{z}{1-z} + \frac{1-z}{z} + z(1-z)\right).$$
(67)

To evaluate the integral, we parametrize the phase space as

$$\frac{d^d g}{(2\pi)^{d-1}}\delta(g^2) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{(4\pi)^{\epsilon}}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)} \frac{dg^+}{g^+} \left(\frac{g^+}{2}\right)^{2-2\epsilon} d\theta_g^2 \theta_g^{-2\epsilon}, \quad (68)$$

where we have used $g_t = \theta_g \frac{g^+}{2}$. We thus find the NLO result of Eq. (37) is

$$f_{i,\text{EEC}} = f_i(N) - \left(\delta_{ij} + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} I_{ij}^{(1)}(N)\right) f_j(N+1), \quad (69)$$

where the unrenormalized NLO matching coefficient is

$$I_{ij}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)} \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{(\frac{Q\theta}{2u})^2}\right)^{\epsilon} \\ \times \int dz z^{N-1} \left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^{2\epsilon} (1-z) P_{ij}^{(0)}(z,\epsilon).$$
(70)

Plugging the splitting functions in Eq. (67), we find the NLO unrenormalized matching coefficients

$$I_{ij,un}^{(1)} = S_{\epsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\frac{Q^2}{4u^2} \theta^2} \right) \left[P_{ij}^{(0)}(N) - P_{ij}^{(0)}(N+1) \right] + d_{ij}^{(1)}(N) - d_{ij}^{(1)}(N+1),$$
(71)

where the angular factor $S_{\epsilon} = \frac{(4\pi)^{\epsilon}}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)} P_{ij}^{(0)}(N)$ are the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ splitting functions in Mellin space, which are

$$\begin{split} P_{qq}^{(0)}(N) &= C_F \left(\frac{3}{2} - (\hat{N}_+ + \hat{N}_-)S_1 \right), \\ P_{gq}^{(0)}(N) &= C_F (-2\hat{N}_{-2} + 4\hat{N}_- + \hat{N}_+ - 3)S_1, \\ P_{qg}^{(0)}(N) &= T_R (-\hat{N}_- - 4\hat{N}_+ + 2\hat{N}_{+2} + 3)S_1, \\ P_{gg}^{(0)}(N) &= 2C_A [-\hat{N}_{-2} + 2(\hat{N}_- + \hat{N}_+) - \hat{N}_{+2} - 3]S_1 + \frac{\beta_0}{2} \end{split}$$

where $\beta_0 = \frac{11}{3}C_A - \frac{4}{3}N_FT_R$. The splitting functions in the *z* space are well known and can be found in the Appendix B.

The NLO θ independent constant terms are calculated to find the general form

$$d_{ij}^{(1)}(z) = 2p_{ij}^{(0),0}(z)\ln\frac{z}{1-z} + p_{ij}^{(0),1}(z)$$
(72)

and

$$d_{ij}^{(1)}(N) = \int dz z^{N-1} d_{ij}^{(1)}(z), \qquad (73)$$

where $p_{ij}^{(0),k}(z)$ are the coefficients of the ϵ^k with k = 0, 1in the splitting kernels $P_{ij}^{(0)}(z, \epsilon)$ of Eq. (67). Here All divergences for $z \to 1$ are understood in the sense of +-distributions.

Evaluating the Mellin integral, we find

$$\begin{aligned} d_{qq}^{(1)}(N) &= 2C_F \left[(\hat{N}_+ + \hat{N}_-)(S_2 - S_{1,1}) - \frac{\pi^2}{3} \\ &+ (\hat{N}_+ + \hat{N}_- - 2)\frac{S_1}{2} \right], \\ d_{gq}^{(1)}(N) &= 2C_F \left[(2\hat{N}_{-2} - 4\hat{N}_- + 3 - \hat{N}_+)(S_2 - S_{1,1}) \\ &+ (1 - \hat{N}_+)\frac{S_1}{2} \right], \\ d_{qg}^{(1)}(N) &= 2T_R [(\hat{N}_- - 3 + 4\hat{N}_+ - 2\hat{N}_{+2})(S_2 - S_{1,1}) \\ &+ (1 - 2\hat{N}_+ + \hat{N}_{+2})S_1], \\ d_{gg}^{(1)}(N) &= 4C_A \left[(3 - 2(\hat{N}_+ + \hat{N}_-) \\ &+ (\hat{N}_{+2} + \hat{N}_{-2}))(S_2 - S_{1,1}) - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right]. \end{aligned}$$
(74)

The NLO renormalized matching coefficient in Eq. (37) is then

$$I_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \left[-\ln \frac{Q\theta}{2u\mu} \left(2P_{ij}^{(0)}(N) - 2P_{ij}^{(0)}(N+1) \right) + d_{ij}^{(1)}(N) - d_{ij}^{(1)}(N+1) \right].$$
(75)

The NLO calculation explicitly verified the evolution equation derived via the consistency condition in Sec. IV. From the calculation, we can also read the singlet and the nonsinglet terms introduced in Sec. IV, which are

$$I^{NS} = I^{S}_{qq} = I_{qq}, \qquad I^{S}_{gg} = I_{gg}, I^{S}_{qg} = 2N_{F}I_{qg}, \qquad I^{S}_{qg} = I_{qg}.$$
(76)

C. NLL resummation for Σ_N

When $\alpha_s \ln \theta^2 \sim 1$, the logarithmic terms are large and should be resummed to all orders; the NLO calculations in the previous section allow us to realize the NLL resummation for the NEEC, namely the resummation of $\alpha_s^k \ln^k \theta^2$ and $\alpha_s^k \ln^{k-1} \theta^2$ series.

One way to perform the resummation is to evaluate the partonic cross section $\hat{\sigma}_{c,\lambda}$ and the PDFs f_i at scale $\mu \sim Q$, and evolve the matching coefficient I from $\mu_0 \sim Q\theta$ to $\mu \sim Q$ following the resummation equation in Eqs. (54) and (55) in Sec. IV.

Equivalently, we can also set the scales for both the collinear PDFs $f_{q_i}^{NS}$, f_j^S , and the matching coefficients I^{NS} , I_{ij}^S at $\mu_0 \sim Q\theta$, to evaluate the NEEC and evolve the NEEC from μ_0 to μ to realize the resummation. In the *z* space, the evolution is identical to the collinear PDFs and can be achieved numerically by HOPPET [48] or APFEL++ [49]. In the Mellin space, the resummation follows the evolution equations in Eqs. (46) and (47) for both $F_{q_i}^{NS}$ and F_i^S . We solve the equations iteratively in Appendix A, and find that the NLL NEEC receives the compact analytic form

$$\begin{split} f_{i,\text{EEC}}(\mu) \\ &= f_i(N,\mu) - \mathcal{D}_{ik}^N(\mu,\mu_0) I_{kj}(\mu\mu_0) f_j(N+1,\mu_0) \\ &- \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}{2\pi} \mathcal{N}_{ik}[2P_{kj}^{(0)}(N) - 2P_{kj}^{(0)}(N+1)] f_j(N+1,\mu_0). \end{split}$$

The resummed form holds for both the singlet F^S and nonsinglet distributions F^{NS} . Here $I_{ij}(\mu\mu_0)$ is the NLO matching coefficient in Eq. (75) evaluated at scale μ_0 , and the evolution factor $\mathcal{D}_{ij}^N(\mu,\mu_0)$ is nothing but the DGLAP evolution in the Mellin space,

$$\mathcal{D}_{ij}^{N}(\mu,\mu_{0}) = \exp\left[\int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu} d\ln\mu^{2} P(N,\mu)\right]_{ij}.$$
 (77)

To realize the NLL resummation, we need $P_{ij}(N)$ at LO and NLO within the evolution factor \mathcal{D}_{ij}^N . The LO results have been presented in Eq. (72), and the NLO moments can be found in Refs. [50,51] and are also given in the Appendix B. Note that we need to divide the $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$'s therein by a factor (-4) to get $P_{ij}^{(1)}(N)$ in our normalization.

The correction to the DGLAP evolution starts from $\alpha_s^n L^{n-1}$ order, in which

$$\mathcal{N}_{ij} = \int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} d\ln \mu_1^2 \mathcal{D}_{ik}^N(\mu, \mu_1) \tilde{P}_{kl}(N, \mu_1) \mathcal{D}_{lj}^N(\mu_1, \mu_0), \quad (78)$$

originated from the $-\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}P \odot \ln u$ where the $\ln u$ term comes from the NLO matching coefficient I_{ij} . We note that both \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{N} can be integrated analytically using the formula in Eqs. (B5) and (B6). Here we have defined

$$\tilde{P}_{ij}(N) \equiv \int dz z^{N-1} P_{ij}(z) \ln z = \partial_N P_{ij}(N).$$
(79)

Note that the derivative of the Mellin moment has also appeared in the solution of small angle EEC [29]. For the NLL resummation, we need

$$\begin{split} \tilde{P}_{qq}^{(0)}(N) &= C_F \bigg((\hat{N}_+ + \hat{N}_-) S_2 - \frac{\pi^2}{3} \bigg), \\ \tilde{P}_{qg}^{(0)}(N) &= T_R (\hat{N}_- - 3 + 4\hat{N}_+ - 2\hat{N}_{+2}) S_2, \\ \tilde{P}_{gq}^{(0)}(N) &= C_F (2\hat{N}_{-2} - 4\hat{N}_- + 3 - \hat{N}_+) S_2, \\ \tilde{P}_{gg}^{(0)}(N) &= 2C_A \bigg([\hat{N}_{+2} + \hat{N}_{-2} - 2(\hat{N}_+ + \hat{N}_-) + 3] S_2 - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \bigg). \end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$

$$(80)$$

If we take the evolution of the $f_j(N+1,\mu_0) = D_{jk}^{-1}(N+1)f_k(N+1,\mu)$ into account, we can derive the evolution for the matching coefficient I_{ij} at NLL, which is

$$\begin{split} I_{ij}(u\mu) \\ &= \mathcal{D}_{ik}^{N}(\mu,\mu_{0})I_{kl}(u\mu_{0})\mathcal{D}_{lj}^{N}(\mu_{0},\mu) \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu_{0})}{2\pi}\mathcal{N}_{ik}\Big[2P_{kl}^{(0)}(N) - 2P_{kl}^{(0)}(N+1)\Big]\mathcal{D}_{lj}^{N+1}(\mu_{0},\mu). \end{split}$$

We note that the analytic form for NLL we derived can be straightforwardly generalized to higher logarithmic accuracy.

In practice, to implement the resummation, we use the fact that σ_{q_i} is identical to $\sigma_{\overline{q_i}}$ to recast the cross section as

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_N &= \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{Q^4} \sum_{i=1}^{N_F} e_{q_i}^2 \left(\hat{\sigma}_q \odot \frac{1}{N_F} (F_{q_i}^{NS} + F_q^S) + 2\hat{\sigma}_g \odot F_g^S \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N_F} \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{Q^4} \sum_{i=1}^{N_F} e_{q_i}^2 \hat{\sigma}_q \odot F_{q_i}^{NS} + \hat{\sigma}_q^S \odot F_q^S + \hat{\sigma}_g^S \odot F_g^S. \end{split}$$

$$(81)$$

Here we introduced $\hat{\sigma}_q^S = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{Q^4} \frac{1}{N_F} \sum_{i=1}^{N_F} e_{q_i}^2 \hat{\sigma}_q$ and $\hat{\sigma}_g^S = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{Q^4} \frac{1}{N_F} \sum_{i=1}^{N_F} e_{q_i}^2 (2N_F \hat{\sigma}_g)$. Inserting the resummed formula Eq. (78) for F^{NS} and F^S , we realize the NLL resummation of $\Sigma_N(Q^2, \theta)$.

D. $d\Sigma_N/d\theta^2$ distribution at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$

The NLL resummation for Σ_N allows us to predict the complete $d\Sigma_N/d\theta^2$ spectrum up to α_s^2 order by expanding the resummation results in terms of the coupling α_s . Here we list the results.

The distribution can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \frac{d\Sigma_N}{d\ln\theta^2} = \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \Sigma_{N,j}^{(1)} + \frac{\alpha_s^2}{4\pi^2} \sum_{\vec{i}} \Sigma_{N,j}^{\vec{i}}\right) f_j(N+1) \quad (82)$$

where $\sigma_0 = \frac{4\pi a^2 e_q^2}{Q^4}$. Here $\vec{i} = (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$ satisfies $i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + i_4 = 2$ and $i_k \ge 0$.

At $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$, the distribution is given by

$$\Sigma_{N,j}^{(1)} = P_{qj}^{(0)}(N) - P_{qj}^{(0)}(N+1).$$
(83)

At $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$, we have contributions coming from the α_s running, which are

$$\Sigma_{N,j}^{(0,0,1,1)} = -\ln\frac{\theta^2 Q^2}{4\mu^2} \frac{\beta_0}{2} \left(P_{qj}^{(0)}(N) - P_{qj}^{(0)}(N+1) \right), \quad (84)$$

and

$$\Sigma_{N,j}^{(0,1,0,1)} = \frac{\beta_0}{2} \left(d_{qj}^{(1)}(N) - d_{qj}^{(1)}(N+1) \right).$$
(85)

In addition, we have

$$\Sigma_{N,j}^{(1,0,1,0)} = \sigma_i^{(1)}(N) \Big(P_{ij}^{(0)}(N) - P_{ij}^{(0)}(N+1) \Big), \quad (86)$$

which is essentially the product of the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ hard function in Sec. VA and the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ NEEC in Sec. VB.

The one-loop DGLAP evolution contributes as

$$\Sigma_{N,j}^{(0,0,2,0)} = \left(P_{qj}^{(1)}(N) - P_{qj}^{(1)}(N+1) \right).$$
(87)

Here, the moment of the NLO splitting function can be found in Refs. [50,51] and is also provided in the Appendix B.

The product of the LO DGLAP and the NLO matching coefficient contributes to both the double and single logs. The double logarithmic term reads

$$\Sigma_{N,a,j}^{(0,1,1,0)} = -\ln \frac{\theta^2 Q^2}{4\mu^2} \Big[P_{qk}^{(0)}(N) \Big(P_{kj}^{(0)}(N) - P_{kj}^{(0)}(N+1) \Big) \\ - \Big(P_{qk}^{(0)}(N) - P_{qk}^{(0)}(N+1) \Big) P_{kj}^{(0)}(N+1) \Big],$$
(88)

while the single log contribution is

$$\Sigma_{N,b,j}^{(0,1,1,0)} = P_{qk}^{(0)}(N) \left(d_{kj}^{(1)}(N) - d_{kj}^{(1)}(N+1) \right) \\ - \left(d_{qk}^{(1)}(N) - d_{qk}^{(1)}(N+1) \right) P_{kj}^{(0)}(N+1).$$
(89)

There is one additional term that originated from the \odot structure, which is a single log term from $P^{(0)}$

$$\Sigma_{N,c,j}^{(0,1,1,0)} = 2\tilde{P}_{qk}^{(0)}(N) \Big(P_{kj}^{(0)}(N) - P_{kj}^{(0)}(N+1) \Big), \quad (90)$$

where $\tilde{P}_{ij}^{(0)}(N)$ is defined in Eqs. (79) and (80).

VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we examine the numerical consequence of the NLL resummation. We use the kinematics that $E_P = 275$ GeV for the incoming proton and $E_l =$ 18 GeV for the electron. We work in the Breit frame and choose N = 3, $Q^2 = 100$ GeV² and fix $\mu = Q$ and $\mu_0 = \frac{Q\theta}{2}$ for implementing resummation.

First, we validate the factorization formalism by comparing the singular $\ln \theta$ contributions predicted by the factorization theorem with the complete α_s and α_s^2 calculations of the distribution $d\Sigma_N/dy$, where $y = \ln(\tan \frac{\theta}{2})$. As θ (y) becomes small, the $\ln \theta$ terms will dominate the $d\Sigma_N/dy$ distribution, and the singular contribution should coincide with the full calculation.

We perform this comparison in Fig. 2. The full fixed order calculations (in dots) are obtained numerically using NLOJET++ [55] and the log terms have been calculated in Sec. V D. From Fig. 2, we observed very good agreements in the small *y* region between the complete calculation and the singular terms predicted by factorization and resummation, in both the magnitude and shape. The comparison serves as a nontrivial validation of the factorization theorem derived in this work.

Now we present the numerical results for the resummation in Fig. 3. The analytic formula Eq. (78) is checked against the numerical resolution of Eq. (42) using Euler's method to find perfect agreement. We further matched the resummation to the fixed order calculation by removing the



FIG. 2. A comparison between the $\ln \theta$ singular contributions with the full fixed order calculations. Very good agreements are found for small values of θ (*y*).



FIG. 3. Comparison of the NLL + α_s , NLL + α_s^2 and the PYTHIA simulation at partonic level. Reasonable agreement is found in the small θ (*y*) region (near side) between the analytic NLL resummation and the PYTHIA simulation. We stop the resummation at y = -2, after which one probes the nonperturbative region. Additional TMD resummation is required for $\theta \rightarrow \pi$ (large *y*, away side).

singular terms that have been resummed, from the fixed order cross section in the small y region, and replacing them with the NLL results. In Fig. 3, we show the NLL + α_s and NLL + α_s^2 in the orange square and red circular dots, respectively. Compared with the fixed order results in Fig. 2, we see that the resummation effects are significant in the small angle region, which enhances the distribution by several times with respect to the α_s^2 calculation for y around -2. It is also interesting to point out that, as obvious in Fig. 3, the distribution in the small angle is not suppressed due to the absence of the Sudakov factor. This feature of the NEEC is very different from the TMD PDFs in which the small transverse momentum region is exponentially suppressed by the Sudakov factor.

When y < -2, for the kinematics we chose, $\frac{Q\theta}{2}$ is comparable with Λ_{QCD} and we start to probe the nonperturbative region. The perturbative calculation is no longer valid in this regime and future experimental measurements at HERA or EIC are required to understand the nonperturbative behavior of the NEEC $f_{EEC}(\theta)$ in this range, which in turn can teach us about the nucleon intrinsic transverse dynamics, as suggested by Ref. [35].

We further compare the NLL + fixed order distributions with the PYTHIA8.2 simulation [56] which implements the leading logarithmic resummation. For this comparison, we have turned off hadronization in PYTHIA. In the small θ (y) region (near-side region), the analytic NLL resummation agrees reasonably well with the partonic PYTHIA simulation. For $0. < y < 1.0 (\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta \lesssim 0.8\pi)$, the NLL + α_s agrees better with PYTHIA and both are lower than the NLL + α_s^2 prediction, due to the missing higher order corrections in PYTHIA and the NLL + α_s . For larger values of y where θ is approaching π (away-side region), the fixed order calculations differ substantially from the PYTHIA simulation. In this region, the detected particles are almost backto-back against the incoming proton. Now, the distribution becomes highly sensitive to the soft radiations (as well as the initial state collinear radiations), and we are essentially probing the small transverse momentum and therefore the TMD PDF. Therefore, in this region, additional TMD resummation is required for reliable predictions which we leave for future studies.

VII. SUMMARY

In this work, we demonstrate the factorization theorem for the nucleon energy-energy correlator measurement in lepton-nucleon collisions proposed in [35]. Our main results are presented in Eq. (25), where the energyweighted cross-section $\Sigma_N(Q^2,\theta)$ is factorized into the partonic DIS cross section and the NEEC $f_{\text{EEC}}(z, \theta)$. The operator definition of the NEEC is given by Eqs. (22) and (23). The factorized form in the Mellin space can be found in Eq. (26). The factorization theorem has a similar structure to the DIS cross section, except that the collinear PDF is replaced by the NEEC. Moreover, the factorization theorem can be easily generalized to the hadron-hadron collisions at the Large Hadron Collider by appropriately substituting the PDF with the NEEC when similar measurements are performed. For instance, if the proton NEEC is measured in the prompt photon production in pA collisions $pA \rightarrow \gamma + X$, then the factorization is the same as the inclusive photon production with the replacement of the proton PDF $f_{i/P}(z)$ with its corresponding NEEC $f_{i,\text{EEC}}(z,\theta)$.

When $Q\theta \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$, we showed in Eq. (36) that the $f_{\rm EEC}$ can be further matched onto the collinear PDF, with perturbatively calculable matching coefficients determined by the QCD splitting functions. In this region, the factorization formalism Eq. (36) suggests that the $df_{\rm EEC}/d\theta$ describes the θ correlation between the outgoing parton energy density and the initial incoming parton energy density. The factorization theorem is validated by the excellent agreements between the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ prediction of the factorization and the complete NLO calculation of $d\Sigma_N(Q^2, \theta)/d\theta^2$. The next-to-leading logarithmic resummation has also been carried out for the NEEC.

In the momentum space, the NEEC evolves in a similar way as the collinear PDFs. More specifically, it is interesting to note that the evolution equation is similar to the modified leading logarithmic approximation equation. In this work, we focused more on the Mellin space evolution of the NEEC. We obtained a fully analytic solution to the evolution equation in Eq. (78) and supplied all of the necessary ingredients for the NLL resummation. The analytic formula can be easily extended to higher logarithmic accuracy. The numerical evaluation of the NLL resummation is found to agree with the PYTHIA simulation reasonably well. Furthermore, the NLL calculation also supports the recent idea of using the NEEC to look for the gluon saturation in lepton-ion collisions [36], where the θ distribution predicted by the collinear factorization is not suppressed in the small θ region contrary to the expectation of the color glass condensate effective framework. The NNLO calculation of the $f_{\rm EEC}$ in the perturbative region should be feasible with current computational techniques, which would enable us to perform NNLL resummation for the $f_{\rm EEC}$. We have not studied nonperturbative effects in this work

and we plan to do so in future work. We hope our current work serves as a first step toward the precision measurement of $f_{\rm EEC}$ and stimulates further theoretical and experimental investigations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jian-Hui Zhang for the useful discussions. This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under Contracts No. 12175016 (H. C. and X. L.), No. 11975200 (H. X. Z.), and No. 12147103 (H. X. Z.).

(A3)

APPENDIX A: SOLVING THE RG EVOLUTION

In this section, we solve Eq. (42), which can be written as

$$f_{\text{EEC}}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{u\mu}\right) = f_{\text{EEC}}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{u\mu_0}\right) + \int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} d\ln{\mu'^2} \int d\xi \xi^{N-1} P(\xi) f_{\text{EEC}}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{\xi u\mu'}\right). \tag{A1}$$

For simplicity, we have suppressed the subscripts. The product of the P's should be treated as the matrix product.

We write the ansatz solution to Eq. (A1) as

$$f_{\text{EEC}}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{u\mu}\right) = D(\mu,\mu_0)f_{\text{EEC}}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{u\mu_0}\right) + R(\mu,\mu_0),\tag{A2}$$

where *D* and *R* are to be determined and satisfy $D(\mu_0, \mu_0) = 1$ and $R(\mu_0, \mu_0) = 0$. We plug the ansatz back into Eq. (A1), to find

$$\begin{split} D(\mu,\mu_0) f_{\text{EEC}} & \left(N, \ln \frac{Q\theta}{u\mu_0} \right) + R(\mu,\mu_0) = f_{\text{EEC}} \left(N, \ln \frac{Q\theta}{u\mu_0} \right) + \int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} d\ln \mu'^2 P(N,\mu') R(\mu',\mu_0) \\ & + \int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} d\ln \mu'^2 \int d\xi \xi^{N-1} P(\xi) D(\mu',\mu_0) f_{\text{EEC}} \left(N, \ln \frac{Q\theta}{\xi u\mu_0} \right). \end{split}$$

To realize the NLL resummation, we use the NLO NEEC as the initial input at μ_0 , and manipulate Eq. (A3) as

$$D(\mu, \mu_0) f_{\text{EEC}}\left(N, \ln \frac{Q\theta}{u\mu_0}\right) + R(\mu, \mu_0)$$

= $f_{\text{EEC}}\left(N, \ln \frac{Q\theta}{u\mu_0}\right) + \int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} d\ln \mu'^2 P(N, \mu') \left[D(\mu', \mu_0) f_{\text{EEC}}\left(N, \ln \frac{Q\theta}{u\mu_0}\right) + R(\mu', \mu_0)\right]$
- $\frac{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}{2\pi} \int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} d\ln \mu'^2 \tilde{P}(N, \mu') D(\mu', \mu_0) [2P(N) - 2P(N+1)] f(N+1, \mu_0),$ (A4)

where we have used the property that at NLO, the initial condition satisfies

$$f_{\text{EEC}}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{\xi u\mu_0}\right) = f_{\text{EEC}}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{u\mu_0}\right) - \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}{2\pi}\ln\xi[2P(N,\mu_0) - 2P(N+1,\mu_0)]f(N+1,\mu_0).$$
(A5)

and we applied the definition

$$\tilde{P}(N) = \int_0^1 d\xi \xi^{N-1} P(\xi) \ln \xi.$$
(A6)

Now we repeat the above procedure, to replace the $D(\mu', \mu_0) f_{\text{EEC}}(N, \ln \frac{Q\theta}{\xi u \mu_0}) + R(\mu', \mu_0)$ using Eq. (A3) to find

$$D(\mu,\mu_{0})f_{EEC}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{\mu\mu_{0}}\right) + R(\mu,\mu_{0})$$

$$= f_{EEC}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{\mu\mu_{0}}\right) + \int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu} d\ln\mu'^{2}P(N,\mu')f_{EEC}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{\mu\mu_{0}}\right) + \int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu} d\ln\mu'^{2}P(N,\mu')\int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu'} d\ln\mu''^{2}P(N,\mu'')R(\mu'',\mu_{0})$$

$$+ \int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu} d\ln\mu'^{2}P(N,\mu')\int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu'} d\ln\mu''^{2}P(N,\mu'')D(\mu'',\mu_{0})f_{EEC}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{\mu\mu_{0}}\right)$$

$$- \frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu_{0})}{2\pi}\int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu} d\ln\mu'^{2}P(N,\mu')\int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu'} d\ln\mu''^{2}\tilde{P}(N,\mu'')D(\mu'',\mu_{0})[2P(N) - 2P(N+1)]f(N+1,\mu_{0})$$

$$- \frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu_{0})}{2\pi}\int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu} d\ln\mu'^{2}\tilde{P}(N,\mu')D(\mu',\mu_{0})[2P(N) - 2P(N+1)]f(N+1,\mu_{0}), \qquad (A7)$$

which can be organized as

$$D(\mu,\mu_{0})f_{EEC}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{\mu\mu_{0}}\right) + R(\mu,\mu_{0})$$

$$= \int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu} d\ln\mu'^{2}P(N,\mu') \int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu'} d\ln\mu''^{2}P(N,\mu'') \left[D(\mu'',\mu_{0})f_{EEC}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{\mu\mu_{0}}\right) + R(\mu'',\mu_{0})\right]$$

$$+ \left[1 + \int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu} d\ln\mu'^{2}P(N,\mu')\right]f_{EEC}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{\mu\mu_{0}}\right)$$

$$- \frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu_{0})}{2\pi} \int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu} d\ln\mu'^{2}\left[1 + \int_{\mu'}^{\mu} d\ln\mu''^{2}P(N,\mu'')\right]\tilde{P}(N,\mu')D(\mu',\mu_{0})[2P(N) - 2P(N+1)]f(N+1,\mu_{0}) \quad (A8)$$

where in the last line, we have switched the order of the integrations, using

$$\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} d\mu' A(\mu') \int_{\mu_0}^{\mu'} d\mu'' B(\mu'') = \int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} d\mu'' B(\mu'') \int_{\mu''}^{\mu} d\mu' A(\mu').$$
(A9)

Iterating the procedure, we will arrive at

$$D(\mu,\mu_{0})f_{EEC}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{\mu_{0}}\right) + R(\mu,\mu_{0})$$

$$-\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mu_{n-1}}^{\mu}d\ln\mu_{n}^{2}P(N,\mu_{n})\dots\int_{\mu_{1}}^{\mu}d\ln\mu_{2}^{2}P(N,\mu_{2})\left[D(\mu_{1},\mu_{0})f_{EEC}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{\mu_{0}}\right) + R(\mu_{1},\mu_{0})\right]$$

$$= \mathcal{D}(\mu,\mu_{0})f_{EEC}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{\mu_{0}}\right) - \frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu_{0})}{2\pi}\int_{\mu_{0}}^{\mu}d\ln\mu'^{2}\mathcal{D}(\mu,\mu')\tilde{P}(N,\mu')D(\mu',\mu_{0})[2P(N,\mu_{0}) - 2P(N+1,\mu_{0})]f(N+1,\mu_{0}),$$

(A10)

where $\mathcal{D} = \exp\left[\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} d\ln\mu'^2 P(N,\mu')\right]$ is defined in Eq. (77). We note that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{\min_{\mu} P(N, \mu)}{n} \right)^{n-1} f_{\text{EEC}} \left(N, \ln \frac{Q\theta}{u\mu_0} \right) \to 0$$

$$< \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mu_{n-1}}^{\mu} d \ln \mu_n^2 P(N, \mu_n) \dots \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu} d \ln \mu_2^2 P(N, \mu_2) \left[D(\mu_1, \mu_0) f_{\text{EEC}} \left(N, \ln \frac{Q\theta}{u\mu_0} \right) + R(\mu_1, \mu_0) \right]$$

$$< \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{\max_{\mu} P(N, \mu)}{n} \right)^{n-1} f_{\text{EEC}} \left(N, \ln \frac{Q\theta}{u\mu_0} \right) \to 0.$$
(A11)

Here we have assumed that the moment of the PDF is bounded and thus the limit vanishes as $n \to \infty$.

Therefore, we conclude that

$$f_{\text{EEC}}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{u\mu}\right) = D(\mu,\mu_0)f_{\text{EEC}}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{u\mu_0}\right) + R(\mu,\mu_0)$$

$$= \mathcal{D}(\mu,\mu_0)f_{\text{EEC}}\left(N,\ln\frac{Q\theta}{u\mu_0}\right)$$

$$-\frac{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}{2\pi}\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu}d\ln{\mu'^2}\mathcal{D}(\mu,\mu')\tilde{P}(N,\mu')D(\mu',\mu_0)[2P(N,\mu_0) - 2P(N+1,\mu_0)]f(N+1,\mu_0).$$
(A12)

Since $f_{\text{EEC}}(N, \ln \frac{Q\theta}{u\mu_0})$ and R are independent and the solution should hold for arbitrary constant in $f_{\text{EEC}}(N, \ln \frac{Q\theta}{u\mu_0})$, we then can identify

$$D = \mathcal{D} = \exp\left[\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} d\ln{\mu'^2}P(N,\mu')\right],$$

$$R = -\frac{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}{2\pi}\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} d\ln{\mu'^2}\mathcal{D}(\mu,\mu')\tilde{P}(N,\mu')\mathcal{D}(\mu',\mu_0)[2P(N,\mu_0) - 2P(N+1,\mu_0)]f(N+1,\mu_0).$$
 (A13)

The derivation is applicable to higher logarithmic accuracy by suitably adjusting the relation in the initial condition of Eq. (A5) at higher α_s orders.

APPENDIX B: CONSTANT AND FUNCTION

In this appendix, we list the QCD color constants and splitting functions that are present in the main text. In QCD, the running of the strong coupling constant α_s follows

$$\frac{d\alpha_s}{d\ln\mu} = \beta[\alpha_s],\tag{B1}$$

where the β function can be expanded in terms of α_s as

$$\beta[\alpha_s] = -2\alpha_s \sum \beta_n \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^{n+1},\tag{B2}$$

with

$$\beta_0 = \frac{11}{3}C_A - \frac{4}{3}N_F T_R, \qquad \beta_1 = \frac{34}{3}C_A^2 - \frac{20}{3}C_A T_R N_F - 4C_F T_R N_F.$$
(B3)

Here $C_A = N_C = 3$, $T_R = \frac{1}{2}$ and $C_F = \frac{N_C^2 - 1}{2N_C}$. N_F is the number of quarks. It is useful to note that [57]

$$\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{2\pi} - \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha_s^2}{4\pi^2} \beta_0 \ln \frac{\mu_0^2}{\mu^2} + \cdots, \qquad \ln\left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}\right) = \frac{\beta_0}{2} \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{2\pi} \ln \frac{\mu_0^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{\alpha_s^2}{32\pi^2} \left(2\beta_1 \ln \frac{\mu_0^2}{\mu^2} - \beta_0^2 \ln^2 \frac{\mu_0^2}{\mu^2}\right) + \cdots$$
(B4)

and

$$\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} \left(P_0 \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} + P_1 \frac{\alpha_s^2}{4\pi^2} \right) d\ln\mu^2 = -\frac{2}{\beta_0} \left(P_0 \ln \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(\mu_0)} + (2P_1 - r_1 P_0) \frac{\alpha_s(\mu) - \alpha_s(\mu_0)}{4\pi} + \cdots \right)$$
(B5)

where $r_i = \frac{\beta_i}{\beta_0}$. Here the first term on the right-hand starts from the LL ($\sim \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s L)$) and the second term contributes to the NLL (~ $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s L^2)$).

Also, we have

$$\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} d\ln\mu'^2 \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(\mu')}\right)^{-\frac{2}{\beta_0}P_{ii}^{(0)}} \frac{\alpha_s(\mu')}{2\pi} \tilde{P}_{ij}^{(0)} \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu')}{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}\right)^{-\frac{2}{\beta_0}P_{jj}^{(0)}} = \frac{\tilde{P}_{ij}^{(0)}}{P_{ii}^{(0)} - P_{jj}^{(0)}} \left[\left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}\right)^{-\frac{2}{\beta_0}P_{ii}^{(0)}} - \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}\right)^{-\frac{2}{\beta_0}P_{jj}^{(0)}}\right].$$
(B6)

The collinear splitting function $P_{ij}(z)$ that governs the PDF DGLAP evolution

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu^2}f_i(z,\mu) = P_{ij} \otimes f_j(z,\mu) \tag{B7}$$

can be written as the power series in α_s , which reads

$$P_{ij}(z) = \sum_{L=0} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^{L+1} P_{ij}^{(L)}(z).$$
(B8)

In practice, it is always useful to consider the singlet and the nonsinglet splitting functions P_{ij}^S for i = q, g, and P_{NS}^+ . Here the singular splitting functions are defined as

$$P_{qq}^{S} = P_{NS}^{+} + P_{ps}, \qquad P_{gg}^{S} = P_{gg}, P_{qg}^{S} = 2N_{F}P_{qg}, \qquad P_{gq}^{S} = P_{gq}.$$
(B9)

In the *z*-space, at the LO

$$P_{NS}^{+,(0)}(z) = P_{qq}^{(0)}(z) = C_F \left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z}\right)_+, \qquad P_{ps}^{(0)} = 0,$$

$$P_{gq}^{S,(0)} = P_{gq}^{(0)}(z) = C_F \frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z}, \qquad P_{qg}^{S,(0)} = 2N_F P_{qg}^{(0)}(z) = 2N_F T_R(z^2+(1-z)^2),$$

$$P_{gg}^{S,(0)} = P_{gg}^{(0)}(z) = 2C_A \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)_+} + \frac{1-z}{z} + z(1-z)\right) + \frac{\beta_0}{2}\delta(1-z).$$
(B10)

In the Mellin space, we have

$$P_{NS}^{+,(0)} = C_F \left(\frac{3}{2} - (\hat{N}_+ + \hat{N}_-)S_1\right)$$
(B11)

and

$$P_{NS}^{+,(1)} = C_F C_A \left(-2\hat{N}_+ S_3 + \frac{17}{24} + 2S_{-3} + \frac{28}{3}S_1 - (\hat{N}_- + \hat{N}_+) \left[\frac{151}{18}S_1 + 2S_{1,-2} - \frac{11}{6}S_2 \right] \right) \\ + C_F N_F \left(-\frac{1}{12} - \frac{4}{3}S_1 + (\hat{N}_- + \hat{N}_+) \left[\frac{11}{9}S_1 - \frac{S_2}{3} \right] \right) + C_F^2 \left(-4S_{-3} - 2S_1 - 2S_2 + \frac{3}{8} - \hat{N}_- [S_2 + 2S_3] + (\hat{N}_- + \hat{N}_+) [S_1 + 4S_{1,-2} + 2S_{1,2} + 2S_{2,1} + S_3] \right)$$
(B12)

$$P_{ps}^{(0)}(N) = 0, (B13)$$

$$P_{ps}^{(1)}(N) = C_F N_F \left(\frac{20}{9}(\hat{N}_- - \hat{N}_{-2})S_1 + (\hat{N}_+ - \hat{N}_{+2})\left[\frac{56}{9}S_1 + \frac{8}{3}S_2\right] + (\hat{N}_+ - 1)[8S_1 - 4S_2] + (\hat{N}_- - \hat{N}_+)[2S_1 + S_2 + 2S_3]\right),$$
(B14)

$$P_{qg}^{S,(0)} = 2N_F P_{qg}^{(0)} = 2N_F T_R (-\hat{N}_- - 4\hat{N}_+ + 2\hat{N}_{+2} + 3)S_1,$$
(B15)

$$\begin{split} P_{qg}^{S,(1)} &= -C_A N_F \left(\frac{20}{9} (\hat{N}_{-2} - \hat{N}_{-}) S_1 - (\hat{N}_{-} - \hat{N}_{+}) [2S_1 + S_2 + 2S_3] - (\hat{N}_{+} - \hat{N}_{+2}) \left[\frac{218}{9} S_1 + 4S_{1,1} + \frac{44}{3} S_2 \right] \\ &+ (1 - \hat{N}_{+}) [27S_1 + 4S_{1,1} - 7S_2 - 2S_3] - 2(\hat{N}_{-} + 4\hat{N}_{+} - 2\hat{N}_{+2} - 3) [S_{1,-2} + S_{1,1,1}] \right) \\ &- C_F N_F \left(2(\hat{N}_{+} - \hat{N}_{+2}) [5S_1 + 2S_{1,1} - 2S_2 + S_3] - (1 - \hat{N}_{+}) \left[\frac{43}{2} S_1 + 4S_{1,1} - \frac{7}{2} S_2 \right] \\ &+ (\hat{N}_{-} - \hat{N}_{+}) \left[7S_1 - \frac{3}{2} S_2 \right] + 2(\hat{N}_{-} + 4\hat{N}_{+} - 2\hat{N}_{+2} - 3) \left[S_{1,1,1} - S_{1,2} - S_{2,1} + \frac{1}{2} S_3 \right] \right), \end{split}$$
(B16)
$$P_{gq}^{S,(0)} = P_{gq}^{(0)} = C_F (-2\hat{N}_{-2} + 4\hat{N}_{-} + \hat{N}_{+} - 3)S_1, \end{aligned}$$
(B17)

$$P_{gg}^{S,(1)} = -C_A C_F \left(2(2\hat{N}_{-2} - 4\hat{N}_{-} - \hat{N}_{+} + 3)[S_{1,1,1} - S_{1,-2} - S_{1,2} - S_{2,1}] + (1 - \hat{N}_{+})[2S_1 - 13S_{1,1} - 7S_2 - 2S_3] + (\hat{N}_{-2} - 2\hat{N}_{-} + \hat{N}_{+}) \left[S_1 - \frac{22}{3}S_{1,1} \right] + 4(\hat{N}_{-} - \hat{N}_{+}) \left[\frac{7}{9}S_1 + 3S_2 + S_3 \right] + (\hat{N}_{+} - \hat{N}_{+2}) \left[\frac{44}{9}S_1 + \frac{8}{3}S_2 \right] \right) \\ - C_F N_F \left((\hat{N}_{-2} - 2\hat{N}_{-} + \hat{N}_{+}) \left[\frac{4}{3}S_{1,1} - \frac{20}{9}S_1 \right] - (1 - \hat{N}_{+})[4S_1 - 2S_{1,1}] \right) \\ - C_F^2 \left((2\hat{N}_{-2} - 4\hat{N}_{-} - \hat{N}_{+} + 3)[3S_{1,1} - 2S_{1,1,1}] - (1 - \hat{N}_{+}) \left[S_1 - 2S_{1,1} + \frac{3}{2}S_2 - 3S_3 \right] \right)$$
(B18)

$$P_{gg}^{S,(0)} = P_{gg}^{(0)} = 2C_A(-\hat{N}_{-2} + 2\hat{N}_{-} + 2\hat{N}_{+} - \hat{N}_{+2} - 3)S_1 + \frac{\beta_0}{2},$$
(B19)

$$P_{gg}^{S,(1)} = -C_A N_F \left(\frac{2}{3} - \frac{16}{3} S_1 - \frac{23}{9} (\hat{N}_{-2} + \hat{N}_{+2}) S_1 + \frac{14}{3} (\hat{N}_- + \hat{N}_+) S_1 + \frac{2}{3} (\hat{N}_- - \hat{N}_+) S_2 \right) - C_A^2 \left(2S_{-3} - \frac{8}{3} - \frac{14}{3} S_1 + 2S_3 - 4(\hat{N}_{-2} - 2\hat{N}_- - 2\hat{N}_+ + \hat{N}_{+2} + 3) [S_{1,-2} + S_{1,2} + S_{2,1}] + \frac{8}{3} (\hat{N}_+ - \hat{N}_{+2}) S_2 - 4(\hat{N}_- - 3\hat{N}_+ + \hat{N}_{+2} + 1) [3S_2 - S_3] + \frac{109}{18} (\hat{N}_- + \hat{N}_+) S_1 + \frac{61}{3} (\hat{N}_- - \hat{N}_+) S_2 \right) - C_F N_F \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} (\hat{N}_{-2} - 13\hat{N}_- - \hat{N}_+ - 5\hat{N}_{+2} + 18) S_1 + (3\hat{N}_- - 5\hat{N}_+ + 2) S_2 - 2(\hat{N}_- - \hat{N}_+) S_3 \right).$$
(B20)

APPENDIX C: PARTONIC CROSS SECTION IN THE z SPACE

Here we list the DIS partonic cross section in the z space, which can be written as

$$\hat{\sigma}(z,Q^2) = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{Q^4} \sum_{i=-N_F}^{N_F} \sum_{c=q,g} \sum_{\lambda=T,L} e_{q_i}^2 f_\lambda \hat{\sigma}_{c,\lambda}(z)$$
(C1)

where $\hat{\sigma}_{c,\lambda}(z)$ can be expanded in a power series of the strong coupling constant α_s ,

$$\hat{\sigma}_{c,\lambda}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)^n \hat{\sigma}_{c,\lambda}^{(n)}(z).$$
(C2)

At LO

$$\hat{\sigma}_{q,T}^{(0)} = \delta(1-z), \qquad \hat{\sigma}_{q,L}^{(0)} = \hat{\sigma}_{g,T}^{(0)} = \hat{\sigma}_{g,L}^{(0)} = 0.$$
 (C3)

The $\hat{\sigma}^{(1)}$'s have been known for a long time. The quark cross section reads

$$\hat{\sigma}_{q,L}^{(1)}(z) = C_F z, \qquad (C4)$$

for the longitudinal part, and

$$\hat{\sigma}_{q,T}^{(1)}(z) = C_F \left\{ \left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \right) \left(\ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} + \ln \frac{1-z}{z} \right) - \frac{3}{2(1-z)} + 3 - \delta(1-z) \left(\frac{9}{2} + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right) \right\}, \quad (C5)$$

where +-distributions to regulate all divergences for $z \rightarrow 1$ are implied.

The gluon channel is given by

$$\hat{\sigma}_{L,g}^{(1)} = T_R[2z(1-z)],$$
 (C6)

and

$$\hat{\sigma}_{T,g}^{(1)} = T_R \bigg\{ (1 - 2z + 2z^2) \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} + (1 - 2z + 2z^2) \ln \frac{1 - z}{z} - 1 + 4z(1 - z) \bigg\}.$$
(C7)

APPENDIX D: USEFUL FORMULAS

The following formulas are useful for deriving the Mellin transformation:

$$S_{2}(\infty) = \frac{\pi^{2}}{6},$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} dz z^{m} \ln z = \frac{-1}{(m+1)^{2}},$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} dz z^{m} \ln(1-z) = \frac{-1}{(m+1)} S_{1}(m+1),$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} dz z^{N-1} \left(\frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z}\right)_{+} = \hat{N}_{-} S_{1,1},$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} dz z^{N-1} \frac{z}{1-z} \ln z = S_{2} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{6},$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} dz z^{N-1} \frac{1-z}{z} \ln z = (\hat{N}_{-2} - 2\hat{N}_{-} + 1)S_{2}, \quad m \in N.$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} dz z^{N-1} z^{m} \ln z = [\hat{N}_{+m-1} - \hat{N}_{+m}]S_{2}, \quad m \in N.$$
(D1)

- [1] R. Abdul Khalek et al., Nucl. Phys. A1026, 122447 (2022).
- [2] Probing Nucleons and Nuclei in High Energy Collisions, edited by Alexei Prokudin (World Scientific, Singapore, 2018).
- [3] D. P. Anderle et al., Front. Phys. (Beijing) 16, 64701 (2021).
- [4] D. Gutierrez-Reyes, I. Scimemi, W. J. Waalewijn, and L. Zoppi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 162001 (2018).
- [5] X. Liu, F. Ringer, W. Vogelsang, and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 192003 (2019).
- [6] D. Gutierrez-Reyes, Y. Makris, V. Vaidya, I. Scimemi, and L. Zoppi, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2019) 161.
- [7] D. Gutierrez-Reyes, I. Scimemi, W. J. Waalewijn, and L. Zoppi, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2019) 031.
- [8] M. Arratia, Z.-B. Kang, A. Prokudin, and F. Ringer, Phys. Rev. D 102, 074015 (2020).
- [9] X. Liu, F. Ringer, W. Vogelsang, and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 102, 094022 (2020).
- [10] M. Arratia, Y. Makris, D. Neill, F. Ringer, and N. Sato, Phys. Rev. D 104, 034005 (2021).
- [11] H. T. Li and I. Vitev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 252001 (2021).
- [12] Z.-B. Kang, X. Liu, S. Mantry, and D. Y. Shao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 242003 (2020).

- [13] V. Andreev *et al.* (H1 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 132002 (2022).
- [14] Z.-B. Kang, J. Terry, A. Vossen, Q. Xu, and J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 105, 094033 (2022).
- [15] X. Liu and H. Xing, arXiv:2104.03328.
- [16] Z.-B. Kang, K. Lee, D. Y. Shao, and F. Zhao, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2021) 005.
- [17] H. T. Li, Z. L. Liu, and I. Vitev, Phys. Lett. B 827, 137007 (2022).
- [18] W. K. Lai, X. Liu, M. Wang, and H. Xing, arXiv;2205 .04570.
- [19] Z.-B. Kang, K. Lee, D. Y. Shao, and F. Zhao, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. Conf. Proc. 37, 020128 (2022).
- [20] M. Arratia et al., arXiv:2212.02432.
- [21] H.-y. Liu, X. Liu, and S.-O. Moch, Phys. Rev. D 104, 014016 (2021).
- [22] C. Basham, L. S. Brown, S. D. Ellis, and S. T. Love, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 1585 (1978).
- [23] C. Basham, L. Brown, S. Ellis, and S. Love, Phys. Rev. D 19, 2018 (1979).
- [24] D. M. Hofman and J. Maldacena, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2008) 012.

- [25] A. Belitsky, S. Hohenegger, G. Korchemsky, E. Sokatchev, and A. Zhiboedov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 071601 (2014).
- [26] A. Belitsky, S. Hohenegger, G. Korchemsky, E. Sokatchev, and A. Zhiboedov, Nucl. Phys. B884, 305 (2014).
- [27] M. Kologlu, P. Kravchuk, D. Simmons-Duffin, and A. Zhiboedov, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2021) 128.
- [28] G. Korchemsky, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2020) 008.
- [29] L. J. Dixon, I. Moult, and H. X. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 100, 014009 (2019).
- [30] H. Chen, I. Moult, X. Zhang, and H. X. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 102, 054012 (2020).
- [31] H. T. Li, I. Vitev, and Y. J. Zhu, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2020) 051.
- [32] A. Ali, G. Li, W. Wang, and Z.-P. Xing, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 1096 (2020).
- [33] H. T. Li, Y. Makris, and I. Vitev, Phys. Rev. D 103, 094005 (2021).
- [34] C. Andres et al., arXiv:2209.11236.
- [35] X. Liu and H. X. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 091901 (2023).
- [36] H.-Y. Liu, X. Liu, J.-C. Pan, F. Yuan, and H. X. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 181901 (2023).
- [37] C. W. Bauer, S. Fleming, D. Pirjol, and I. W. Stewart, Phys. Rev. D 63, 114020 (2001).
- [38] C. W. Bauer, D. Pirjol, and I. W. Stewart, Phys. Rev. D 65, 054022 (2002).
- [39] C. W. Bauer and I. W. Stewart, Phys. Lett. B 516, 134 (2001).
- [40] M. Beneke, A. P. Chapovsky, M. Diehl, and T. Feldmann, Nucl. Phys. B643, 431 (2002).
- [41] C. W. Bauer, S. Fleming, D. Pirjol, I. Z. Rothstein, and I. W. Stewart, Phys. Rev. D 66, 014017 (2002).

- [42] N. A. Sveshnikov and F. V. Tkachov, Phys. Lett. B 382, 403 (1996).
- [43] F. V. Tkachov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 12, 5411 (1997).
- [44] G. P. Korchemsky and G. F. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. B555, 335 (1999).
- [45] C. W. Bauer, S. P. Fleming, C. Lee, and G. F. Sterman, Phys. Rev. D 78, 034027 (2008).
- [46] I. W. Stewart, F. J. Tackmann, and W. J. Waalewijn, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2010) 005.
- [47] Y. L. Dokshitzer, V. A. Khoze, A. H. Mueller, and S. I. Troian, *Basics of Perturbative QCD* (Editions Frontieres, Paris, France, 1991).
- [48] G. P. Salam and J. Rojo, Comput. Phys. Commun. 180, 120 (2009).
- [49] V. Bertone, S. Carrazza, and J. Rojo, Comput. Phys. Commun. 185, 1647 (2014).
- [50] S. Moch, J. A. M. Vermaseren, and A. Vogt, Nucl. Phys. B688, 101 (2004).
- [51] A. Vogt, S. Moch, and J. A. M. Vermaseren, Nucl. Phys. B691, 129 (2004).
- [52] W. A. Bardeen, A. J. Buras, D. W. Duke, and T. Muta, Phys. Rev. D 18, 3998 (1978).
- [53] G. Altarelli, R. K. Ellis, and G. Martinelli, Nucl. Phys. B143, 521 (1978); B146, 544(E) (1978).
- [54] B. Humpert and W. L. van Neerven, Nucl. Phys. B184, 225 (1981).
- [55] Z. Nagy and Z. Trocsanyi, Phys. Lett. B 634, 498 (2006).
- [56] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen, and P. Z. Skands, Comput. Phys. Commun. **191**, 159 (2015).
- [57] Y. Li and X. Liu, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2014) 028.