Electromagnetic decays of X(3823) as the $\psi_2(1^3D_2)$ state and its radial excited states

Wei Li,^{1,2,*} Su-Yan Pei,^{1,2} Tianhong Wang,^{3,†} Ying-Long Wang⁰,^{1,4} Tai-Fu Feng,^{1,2} and Guo-Li Wang^{1,2,‡}

¹Department of Physics and Technology, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, China

²Key Laboratory of High-precision Computation and Application of Quantum Field Theory

of Hebei Province, Baoding 071002, China

³School of Physics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China

⁴Department of Preschool Teacheers College, Baoding 072750, China

(Received 28 August 2022; revised 28 May 2023; accepted 1 June 2023; published 16 June 2023)

We study the electromagnetic (EM) decays of X(3823) as the $\psi_2(1^3D_2)$ state by using the relativistic Bethe-Salpeter method. Our results are $\Gamma[X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c0}\gamma] = 1.2$ keV, $\Gamma[X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma] = 265$ keV, $\Gamma[X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma] = 57$ keV, and $\Gamma[X(3823) \rightarrow \eta_c\gamma] = 1.3$ keV. The ratio $\mathcal{B}[X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma]/\mathcal{B}[X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma] = 0.22$, agrees with the experimental data. Similarly, the EM decay widths of $\psi_2(n^3D_2)$, n = 2, 3, are predicted, and we find the dominant decays channels are $\psi_2(n^3D_2) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(nP)\gamma$, where n = 1, 2, 3. The wave function include different partial waves, which means the relativistic effects are considered. We also study the contributions of different partial waves.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.107.113002

I. INTRODUCTION

The bound state of charm and anticharm quarks (charmonium) is significant in our knowledge of quantum chromodynamics (QCD). It is a double-heavy meson, but not heavy enough that its relativistic corrections are still large [1]. Then the charmonium is crucial to test the validity of phenomenological models, such as the quark potential model, which already foresee a rich and meaningful quarkonium spectra [2]. More charmonia and charmoniumlike states have been discovered experimentally in the last decade, such as the X(3872) [3], X(3915) [4], $\chi_{c2}(3930)$ [5], $\psi(4160)$ [6], Y(4260) [7], $Z_c(3900)$ [8], and $Z_{cs}(3985)$ [9], and these new states have stimulated great interests of studies, more details can be found in the review papers [10–13].

Recently, a new bound state X(3823) has been observed, which is considered to be a good candidate for spin triplet D wave charmonium $\psi_2(1^3D_2)$. The Belle Collaboration

*Corresponding author. watliwei@163.com †Corresponding author. thwang@hit.edu.cn ‡Corresponding author. wgl@hbu.edu.cn first observed X(3823) in the $B \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma K$ decay with a statistical significance of 3.8σ [14]. The BESIII Collaboration confirmed this particle in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\chi_{c1}\gamma$ with a statistical significance of 6.2σ [15] and in process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi_2(3823)$ followed by $\psi_2(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma$ with a statistical significance greater than 5σ [16]. Its decay to $\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ also observed by the LHCb Collaboration [17]. The mass of this particle is measured to be $3821.7 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.7$ MeV, and the decay width is less than 16 MeV at the 90% confidence level [15].

At present, the experimental data of X(3823) is still relatively sparse. However, the experimental results obtained have raised some theoretical concerns about the properties of the particle. This particle has different production channels, for example, it can be produced in the Bmeson decay [18], B_c decay [19], Z^0 decay [20], also in the e^+e^- annihilation [21], etc. For its decays, the $D\bar{D}$ channel is forbidden since its mass is below the $D\bar{D}^*$ threshold, hence there is no Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka (OZI)-allowed channel. Therefore, the process of single photon radiation [22], decay into light hadrons [23,24] are important. Different models [25–30] have studied the radiative decays of $\psi_2(1^3D_2)$. These studies show that as the strong candidate of $\psi_2(1^3D_2)$, instead of the strong decays to light hadrons and the channel $\pi^+\pi^- J/\Psi$, its dominate decay channel is the radiative decay to $\chi_{c1}\gamma$, which is partly confirmed by the measured branching-fraction ratio $\mathcal{B}[\psi_2(1^3D_2) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma]/\mathcal{B}[\psi_2(1^3D_2) \rightarrow$ $\chi_{c1}\gamma$] = 0.28^{+0.14}_{-0.11} ± 0.02 [31]. So the radiative transitions are crucial to study the property of X(3823).

Most existing theoretical predictions of the X(3823)EM decay are provided by nonrelativistic methods.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.



FIG. 1. Feynman diagrams for the transition $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{cJ}\gamma$. The two diagrams show that photons come from the quark and the antiquark, respectively.

However, we have found the relativistic corrections are large for charmonia, especially for the higher excited states [1,32], so it is necessary to study the properties of X(3823) with different methods especially relativistic one. The Bethe-Salpeter (BS) equation is a relativistic dynamic equation used to describe bound state [33]. The Salpeter equation [34] is its instantaneous version which is suitable for the heavy meson, especially the double-heavy meson. We have solved the complete Salpeter equations for different states, see Refs. [35,36] as examples, and we have improved this method to calculate the transition amplitude [37] with relativistic wave function as input, where the transition formula is also relativistic. Using this improved BS method, we can get relatively accurate theoretical results, which agree well with the experimental data [38–40].

In this paper, the X(3823) as $\psi_2(1^3D_2)$ state is studied by the improved BS method, we will focus on the EM decay processes of X(3823). Besides the dominant channels $\psi_2(1^3D_2) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma$ and $\psi_2(1^3D_2) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma$, the radiative decays $\chi_{c0}\gamma$ and $\eta_c\gamma$, whose studies are lacking in the literature, are also calculated. We also provide the results of $\psi_2(n^3D_2) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(mP)\gamma$, $\psi_2(n^3D_2) \rightarrow \eta_c(mS)\gamma$, and $\psi_2(n^3D_2) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}(mF)\gamma$, with n = 2, 3 and m = 1, 2, 3. Where $\chi_{c2}(mF)$ is the *F* wave dominant 2⁺⁺ state, mixed with sizable *P* and *D* partial waves [41].

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we show theoretical method to calculate the transition matrix amplitude and the form factors as well as the relativistic wave functions of initial and final states. In Sec. III, we give the results and compare them with other theoretical predictions and experimental data. Finally, we give the discussion and conclusion.

II. THE THEORETICAL CALCULATIONS

In order to avoid tediousness, we will not introduce the BS equation and Salpeter equation, interested reader can find them in Refs. [33,34] or our previous paper, for example, [35].

A. Transition amplitude

Take the EM decay $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{cJ}\gamma$ as an example, we show how to use our method to calculate the transition amplitude, which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_{cJ}(P_f, \epsilon_2) \gamma(k, \epsilon_0) | X(P, \epsilon_1) \rangle \\ &= (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (P - P_f - k) \epsilon_{0\xi} \mathcal{M}^{\xi}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where ϵ_0 , ϵ_1 , and ϵ_2 are the polarization vectors (tensor) of the photon, initial, and final mesons, respectively. *P*, *P*_f, and *k* are the momenta of initial meson, final meson, and photon, respectively.

Invariant amplitude \mathcal{M}^{ξ} consists of two parts, corresponding to the two subgraphs in Fig. 1, where photons are emitted from quark and antiquark, respectively. The amplitude can be written as

$$\mathcal{M}^{\xi} = \int \frac{d^{4}q}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{d^{4}q_{f}}{(2\pi)^{4}} \operatorname{Tr}[\bar{\chi}_{P_{f}}(q_{f}) \\ \times Q_{1}e\gamma^{\xi}\chi_{P}(q)(2\pi)^{4}\delta^{4}(p_{2}-p_{2}')S_{2}^{-1}(-p_{2}) \\ + \bar{\chi}_{P_{f}}(q_{f})(2\pi)^{4}\delta^{4}(p_{1}-p_{1}')S_{1}^{-1}(p_{1})\chi_{P}(q)Q_{2}e\gamma^{\xi}],$$
(2)

where $\chi_P(q), \chi_{P_f}(q_f)$ are the relativistic BS wave functions for X(3823) and χ_{cJ} , respectively. q and q_f are the internal relative momenta of the initial and final mesons, respectively. p_1, p_2, p'_1 , and p'_2 are the momenta of quark and antiquark in the initial and final mesons, respectively. Q_1 and Q_2 are the electric charges (in unit of e) of quark and antiquark, respectively. S_1, S_2 are the propagators for quark and antiquark.

Since instead of the BS equation, the Salpeter equation is solved, where we have used the instantaneous approximation, we need to make the same approximation to the invariant amplitude. Here we only show the amplitude formula we used, interested reader can find the details in Ref. [37]. The amplitude has the following form

$$\mathcal{M}^{\xi} = \int \frac{d^{3}q_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ Q_{1}e_{M}^{\not P} [\bar{\varphi}_{f}^{++}(q_{\perp} + \alpha_{2}P_{f_{\perp}})\gamma^{\xi}\varphi_{i}^{++}(q_{\perp}) + \bar{\varphi}_{f}^{++}(q_{\perp} + \alpha_{2}P_{f_{\perp}})\gamma^{\xi}\psi_{1i}^{-+}(q_{\perp}) - \bar{\psi}_{1f}^{-+}(q_{\perp} + \alpha_{2}P_{f_{\perp}})\gamma^{\xi}\varphi_{i}^{--}(q_{\perp}) - \bar{\psi}_{1f}^{+-}(q_{\perp} + \alpha_{2}P_{f_{\perp}})\gamma^{\xi}\varphi_{i}^{++}(q_{\perp}) + \bar{\varphi}_{f}^{--}(q_{\perp} + \alpha_{2}P_{f_{\perp}})\gamma^{\xi}\psi_{1i}^{+-}(q_{\perp}) + \bar{\varphi}_{f}^{--}(q_{\perp} + \alpha_{2}P_{f_{\perp}})\gamma^{\xi}\varphi_{i}^{--}(q_{\perp})] + Q_{2}e\left[\bar{\varphi}_{f}^{++}(q_{\perp} - \alpha_{1}P_{f_{\perp}})\frac{\not P}{M}\varphi_{i}^{++}(q_{\perp}) + \bar{\varphi}_{f}^{++}(q_{\perp} - \alpha_{1}P_{f_{\perp}})\frac{\not P}{M}\psi_{2i}^{+-}(q_{\perp}) - \bar{\psi}_{2f}^{+-}(q_{\perp} - \alpha_{1}P_{f_{\perp}})\frac{\not P}{M}\varphi_{i}^{--}(q_{\perp}) - \bar{\psi}_{2f}^{-+}(q_{\perp} - \alpha_{1}P_{f_{\perp}})\frac{\not P}{M}\varphi_{i}^{++}(q_{\perp}) + \bar{\varphi}_{f}^{--}(q_{\perp} - \alpha_{1}P_{f_{\perp}})\frac{\not P}{M}\psi_{2i}^{-+}(q_{\perp}) - \bar{\varphi}_{f}^{--}(q_{\perp} - \alpha_{1}P_{f_{\perp}})\frac{\not P}{M}\varphi_{i}^{--}(q_{\perp})\right]\gamma^{\xi}\right\}.$$

$$(3)$$

Where *M* is the mass of *X*(3823), $\alpha_1 = \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2}$ and $\alpha_2 = \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2}$ with the quark mass $m_1 = m_c$ and antiquark mass $m_2 = m_c$. $\varphi_{i,f}^{++}$ is the positive energy wave function, $\varphi_{i,f}^{--}$ is the negative energy wave function, *i*, *f* stand for initial and final states, respectively. $P_{f_{\perp}}$ and $\bar{\varphi}^{++}$ are defined as $P_{f_{\perp}}^{\mu} = P_f^{\mu} - (P \cdot P_f / M^2) P^{\mu}$ and $\bar{\varphi}^{++} = \gamma_0 (\varphi^{++})^{\dagger} \gamma_0$, respectively. In order to compare these wave functions, we give their definitions in the initial state [37]

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{i}^{++} &\equiv \frac{\Lambda_{1}^{+}(q_{\perp})\eta_{P}(q_{\perp})\Lambda_{2}^{+}(q_{\perp})}{M-\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}}, \qquad \varphi_{i}^{--} \equiv -\frac{\Lambda_{1}^{-}(q_{\perp})\eta_{P}(q_{\perp})\Lambda_{2}^{-}(q_{\perp})}{M+\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}}, \\ \psi_{i}^{-+} &\equiv \frac{\Lambda_{1}^{-}(q_{\perp})\eta_{P}(q_{\perp})\Lambda_{2}^{+}(q_{\perp})}{M+\omega_{1}+\omega_{1f}-E_{f}}, \qquad \psi_{i}^{+-} \equiv \frac{\Lambda_{1}^{+}(q_{\perp})\eta_{P}(q_{\perp})\Lambda_{2}^{-}(q_{\perp})}{M-\omega_{1}-\omega_{1f}-E_{f}}, \\ \psi_{2i}^{-+} &\equiv \frac{\Lambda_{1}^{-}(q_{\perp})\eta_{P}(q_{\perp})\Lambda_{2}^{+}(q_{\perp})}{M-\omega_{2}-\omega_{2f}-E_{f}}, \qquad \psi_{2i}^{+-} \equiv \frac{\Lambda_{1}^{+}(q_{\perp})\eta_{P}(q_{\perp})\Lambda_{2}^{-}(q_{\perp})}{M+\omega_{2}+\omega_{2f}-E_{f}}, \end{split}$$

$$(4)$$

with $\omega_i = \sqrt{m_i^2 - q_{i\perp}^2}$, $\omega_{if} = \sqrt{m_{if}^2 - q_{if\perp}^2}$ and $\Lambda_i^{\pm}(q_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{2\omega_i} [\frac{p}{M} \omega_i \pm J(m_i + q_{\perp})]$, where i = 1, 2, J = 1 for the quark (i = 1) and J = -1 for the antiquark (i = 2). E_f is energy of the final meson, $\eta_P(q_{\perp}) = \int \frac{dk_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} V(q_{\perp}, k_{\perp}) \varphi_i(k_{\perp})$, where the Cornell potential $V(q_{\perp}, k_{\perp})$ is chosen [35,42].

As can be seen from the definition of Eq. (4), the numerators of these wave functions have the similar structure and the numerator values are comparable. But the denominator of φ^{++} , $M - \omega_1 - \omega_2 \sim 0$, is much smaller than others, for example the denominator of φ^{--} , $M + \omega_1 + \omega_2 \sim 2M$. So the contribution of φ^{++} is much larger than others. Therefore, to simplify the calculation, the decay amplitude in Eq. (3) can be written as

We will compare the decay widths given by Eqs. (3) and (5) in Sec. III to prove that the decay width formula retaining only the positive wave function φ^{++} is simple and effective.

B. The relativistic wave functions

Though the BS equation is the relativistic dynamic equation, it cannot provide us the form of a relativistic

wave function for a bound state. In previous studies, the relativistic formula of the wave function for a meson with definite J^{PC} numbers is constructed requiring each term in the function having the same J^{PC} as the meson. With this wave function formula as input, the corresponding Salpeter equation is solved for different J^{PC} state, for example see Ref. [43].

Here we do not show the detail how to solve the corresponding Salpeter equation, but only show the relativistic wave function of X(3823) as a 2⁻⁻ state [23]

$$\varphi_{2^{--}}(q_{\perp}) = i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\frac{P^{\nu}}{M}q_{\perp}^{\alpha}\epsilon^{\beta\delta}q_{\perp\delta}\gamma^{\mu}\left(f_{1} + \frac{P}{M}f_{2} + \frac{Pq_{\perp}}{Mm_{c}}f_{2}\right),$$
(6)

where $e^{\beta\delta}$ is the polarization tensor of X(3823) and $e_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is the Levi-Civita simbol. f_1 and f_2 are independent radial wave functions and they are function of $-q_{\perp}^2$.

The positive energy wave function for a 2^{--} state is

$$\varphi_{2^{--}}^{++}(q_{\perp}) = i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\frac{P^{\nu}}{M}q_{\perp}^{\alpha}q_{\perp\delta}\epsilon^{\beta\delta}\gamma^{\mu}\bigg[F_1 + \frac{I\!\!\!/}{M}F_2 + \frac{I\!\!\!/}{M^2}g_{\perp}^{\alpha}F_3\bigg],$$
(7)

where

where $\omega_c = \sqrt{m_c^2 - q_{\perp}^2}$ is the energy of charm quark. According to the method in Ref. [41], we know that F_1 and F_2 terms are dominant *D* partial waves which will survive in the nonrelativistic limit, while the relativistic term including F_3 is *F* partial wave.

The positive energy wave function for the η_c (0⁻⁺) is written as [35]

where A_{f_1} and A_{f_2} terms are dominant S waves, relativistic A_{f_3} term is P wave, with

$$A_{f_1} = \frac{M_f}{2} \left[\frac{\omega_f}{m_f} a_1 + a_2 \right], \qquad A_{f_2} = \frac{M_f}{2} \left[a_1 + \frac{m_f}{\omega_f} a_2 \right],$$
$$A_{f_3} = -\frac{M_f}{\omega_f} A_{f_1},$$

 $\omega_f = \sqrt{m_f^2 - q_{f\perp}^2}, m_f = m_c, a_1, \text{ and } a_2 \text{ are independent radial wave functions, and they are function of <math>-q_{f\perp}^2$.

The positive energy wave function for the χ_{c0} (0⁺⁺) is written as [36]

$$\varphi_{0^{++}}^{++}(q_{f_{\perp}}) = B_{f_1} + \frac{\not\!\!\!\!\!/ f_{f_{\perp}}}{M_f} B_{f_2} + \frac{\not\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!/ f_{f_{\perp}}}{M_f^2} B_{f_3}, \qquad (9)$$

where B_{f_2} and B_{f_3} terms are dominant *P* waves, relativistic B_{f_1} term is *S* wave, with

$$B_{f_1} = \frac{q_{f_\perp}^2}{2m_f} \left[b_1 + \frac{m_f}{\omega_f} b_2 \right], \qquad B_{f_2} = \frac{M_f}{2} \left[b_1 + \frac{m_f}{\omega_f} b_2 \right],$$
$$B_{f_3} = \frac{M_f}{2} \left[\frac{\omega_f}{m_f} b_1 + b_2 \right],$$

 b_1 and b_2 are independent radial wave functions.

The positive energy wave function for the 1^{++} state χ_{c1} can be written as [36]

where C_{f_1} and C_{f_2} terms are dominant *P* waves, relativistic C_{f_3} term is *D* wave, with

$$\begin{split} C_{f_1} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[c_1 + \frac{\omega_f}{m_f} c_2 \right], \qquad C_{f_2} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{m_f}{\omega_f} c_1 + c_2 \right], \\ C_{f_3} &= -\frac{M_f}{\omega_f} C_{f_1}, \end{split}$$

 c_1 and c_2 are independent radial wave functions.

The positive energy part of wave function for 2^{++} state χ_{c2} can be written as [44]

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{2^{++}}^{++}(q_{f_{\perp}}) &= \epsilon_{f,\mu\nu} q_{f_{\perp}}^{\mu} q_{f_{\perp}}^{\nu} \\ \times \left[D_{f_{1}} + \frac{\not{P}_{f}}{M_{f}} D_{f_{2}} + \frac{\not{q}_{f_{\perp}}}{M_{f}} D_{f_{3}} + \frac{\not{P}_{f} \not{q}_{f_{\perp}}}{M_{f}^{2}} D_{f_{4}} \right] \\ &+ M_{f} \epsilon_{f,\mu\nu} \gamma^{\mu} q_{f_{\perp}}^{\nu} \left[D_{f_{5}} + \frac{\not{P}_{f}}{M_{f}} D_{f_{6}} + \frac{\not{P}_{f} \not{q}_{f_{\perp}}}{M_{f}^{2}} D_{f_{7}} \right], \end{split}$$

$$(11)$$

where D_{f_5} and D_{f_6} terms are *P* partial waves, D_{f_1} , D_{f_2} , and D_{f_7} terms are *D* partial waves, while D_{f_3} and D_{f_4} terms are *F* partial waves, with

$$\begin{split} D_{f_1} &= \frac{1}{2M_f m_f \omega_f} \left[\omega_f q_{f_\perp}^2 d_3 + m_f q_{f_\perp}^2 d_4 + M_f^2 \omega_f d_5 - M_f^2 m_f d_6 \right], \\ D_{f_2} &= \frac{M_f}{2m_f \omega_f} \left[m_f d_5 - \omega_f d_6 \right], \qquad D_{f_3} = \frac{1}{2} \left[d_3 + \frac{m_f}{\omega_f} d_4 - \frac{M_f^2}{m_f \omega_f} d_6 \right], \\ D_{f_4} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\omega_f}{m_f} d_3 + d_4 - \frac{M_f^2}{m_f \omega_f} d_5 \right], \qquad D_{f_5} = \frac{1}{2} \left[d_5 - \frac{\omega_f}{m_f} d_6 \right], \\ D_{f_6} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[- \frac{m_f}{\omega_f} d_5 + d_6 \right], \qquad D_{f_7} = \frac{M_f}{2\omega_f} \left[- d_5 + \frac{\omega_f}{m_f} d_6 \right], \end{split}$$

 d_i are independent radial wave functions. 2⁺⁺ states are very complicated, there are two typical kinds of states, one is *P* wave dominant state with small amount of *D* and *F* waves, the other is *F* wave dominant state but with sizable components of *P* and *D* waves [41].

For latter use, we show the nonrelativistic forms of the wave functions. We know that in the nonrelativistic limit, only the lowest order q_{\perp} (or $q_{f_{\perp}}$) term in wave function has contribution, and the wave function of each state contains only one independent radial wave function. Considering the whole wave functions, the nonrelativistic ones for 2⁻⁻, 0⁻⁺, 0⁺⁺, 1⁺⁺, and 2⁺⁺ states can be written as

$$\varphi_{2^{--}}^{++}(q_{\perp}) = i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\frac{P^{\nu}}{M}q_{\perp}^{\alpha}q_{\perp\delta}\epsilon^{\beta\delta}\gamma^{\mu}\left(1-\frac{\not\!\!P}{M}\right)F_{1},\qquad(12)$$

$$\varphi_{0^{++}}^{++}(q_{f_{\perp}}) = \left(\frac{\not\!\!\!\!/}{M_f} + \frac{\not\!\!\!\!/}{M_f^2}\right) B_{f_2}, \qquad (14)$$

$$\varphi_{2^{++}}^{++}(q_{f_{\perp}}) = M_f \epsilon_{f,\mu\nu} \gamma^{\mu} q_{f_{\perp}}^{\nu} \left(1 - \frac{\not P_f}{M_f} \right) D_{f_5}.$$
 (16)

C. The form factors

Using Eq. (5), where we integrate internal q_{\perp} over the initial and final state wave functions, then obtain the amplitude described using form factors.

(1) For the channel $X(3823) \rightarrow \eta_c({}^1S_0)\gamma$, there are two form factors h_1 and h_2 ,

$$\mathcal{M}_{1}^{\xi} = P^{\xi} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} P^{\mu}_{f} P^{\nu}_{f} h_{1} + \epsilon^{\xi}_{\mu} P^{\mu}_{f} h_{2}.$$
(17)

(2) For $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c0}({}^{3}P_{0})\gamma$, there is only one form factor t_{1} ,

$$\mathcal{M}_{2}^{\xi} = i\epsilon^{\beta\xi\mu\nu}\epsilon_{\beta\alpha}P_{\mu}P_{f,\nu}P_{f}^{\alpha}t_{1}.$$
 (18)

(3) For $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}({}^{3}P_{1})\gamma$, there are five form factors s_{i} ,

$$\mathcal{M}_{3}^{\xi} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} P^{\xi} P_{f}^{\mu} P_{f}^{\nu} P \cdot \epsilon_{f} s_{1} + \epsilon_{\nu}^{\xi} P_{f}^{\nu} P \cdot \epsilon_{f} s_{2} + \epsilon_{\mu\nu} P^{\xi} \epsilon_{f}^{\mu} P_{f}^{\nu} s_{3} + \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{f}^{\xi} P_{f}^{\mu} P_{f}^{\nu} s_{4} + \epsilon_{\mu}^{\xi} \epsilon_{f}^{\mu} s_{5},$$

$$(19)$$

where ϵ_f^{μ} is the polarization vector of χ_{c1} .

- PHYS. REV. D 107, 113002 (2023)
- (4) For X(3823) → χ_{c2}(³P₂)γ or X(3823) → χ_{c2}(³F₂)γ, the amplitude is more complicated, which can be represented by eight form factors g_i,

$$\mathcal{M}_{4}^{\xi} = i\epsilon^{\beta\lambda P_{f}P} (\epsilon_{\beta P_{f}} \epsilon_{f,\lambda P} P^{\xi} g_{1} + \epsilon_{\beta}^{\phi} \epsilon_{f,\lambda \phi} P^{\xi} g_{2} + \epsilon_{\beta}^{\xi} \epsilon_{f,\lambda P} g_{3} + \epsilon_{\beta P_{f}} \epsilon_{f,\lambda}^{\xi} g_{4}) + i\epsilon^{\beta\xi P_{f}P} (\epsilon_{\beta P_{f}} \epsilon_{f,PP} g_{5} + \epsilon_{\beta}^{\phi} \epsilon_{f,\phi P} g_{6}) + i\epsilon^{\beta\xi\lambda P} (\epsilon_{\beta P_{f}} \epsilon_{f,\lambda P} g_{7} + \epsilon_{\beta}^{\phi} \epsilon_{f,\lambda \phi} g_{8}), \qquad (20)$$

where $\epsilon_{f,\mu\nu}$ is the polarization tensor of $\chi_{c2}({}^{3}P_{2})$, and we have used some abbreviations, for example, $\epsilon^{\beta\lambda P_{f}P}\epsilon_{\beta P_{f}}\epsilon_{f,\lambda P} = \epsilon^{\beta\lambda\mu\nu}P_{f,\mu}P_{\nu}\epsilon_{\beta\alpha}P_{f}^{\alpha}\epsilon_{f,\lambda\rho}P^{\rho}$. If the final state is ${}^{3}F_{2}$ state, the definitions of the form factors are same as those for ${}^{3}P_{2}$ state. Since the expressions of h_{i} , s_{i} , t_{i} , and g_{i} are complex and long, their specific expressions are not given here, we put their detailed description in Appendix B.

The thing to note here is that most of these form factors are not independent. Due to the Ward identity $(P_{\xi} - P_{f,\xi})\mathcal{M}_i^{\xi} = 0$ (*i* = 1, 2, 3, 4), they are linked by the following constrain conditions:

$$h_2 = (M^2 - ME_f)h_1, (21)$$

$$s_2 = (M^2 - ME_f)s_1 + s_4, \quad s_5 = (M^2 - ME_f)s_3,$$
 (22)

$$g_3 = (M^2 - ME_f)g_1 + g_4 + g_7, \quad g_8 = -(M^2 - ME_f)g_2.$$

(23)

Other form factors such as t_1 , g_5 , and g_6 are independent and have no such constraints.

Then, the amplitude square for the EM decay of X(3823) is

$$\overline{|\mathcal{M}|^2} = \frac{1}{2J+1} \sum_{\gamma} \varepsilon_{\xi}^{(\gamma)} \varepsilon_{\xi'}^{(\gamma)} \mathcal{M}^{\xi} \mathcal{M}^{\xi'}, \qquad (24)$$

where, $\varepsilon_{\xi}^{(\gamma)}$ is the polarization vector of the final state photon γ , J is the total angular momentum of the initial state. For the $X(3823) \rightarrow \eta_c({}^1S_0)\gamma$ decay channel, we have

$$\overline{|\mathcal{M}|_{1}^{2}} = \frac{1}{5} \frac{4e^{2}}{9} h_{1}^{2} M^{2} |\vec{P}_{f}|^{4}.$$
 (25)

For $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c0}({}^{3}P_{0})\gamma$

$$\overline{|\mathcal{M}|_2^2} = \frac{1}{5} \frac{4e^2}{9} t_1^2 M^2 |\vec{P}_f|^4.$$
(26)

For $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}({}^{3}P_{1})\gamma$ and $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}({}^{3}P_{2})\gamma$, the modulus square of amplitudes is more complex, and for

brevity they are placed in Appendix C for the reader's reference.

Finally, the two-body decay width formulation can be written as

$$\Gamma = \frac{|\vec{P}_f|}{8\pi M^2} \overline{|\mathcal{M}|^2},\tag{27}$$

where, $|\vec{P}_f| = (M^2 - M_f^2)/2M$.

D. Decay widths in nonrelativistic approximation

Although this article presents a relativistic calculation, we like to give the decay width in the nonrelativistic approximation, since the later has simplified formula and may help to see the problem clearly. Using the non-relativistic wave functions in Eqs. (12)–(16), we obtain the radiative decay widths of X(3823).

For the $X(3823) \rightarrow \eta_c({}^1S_0)\gamma$ decay channel, we have

$$\Gamma_{1} = \frac{2\alpha E_{\gamma}^{3}}{9MM_{f}} \left[\int \frac{q^{2}dqd\cos\theta}{(2\pi)^{2}} \left(\frac{2q^{2}F_{1}}{\sqrt{5M}} \right) \cdot 1 \right.$$
$$\left. \left. \left. \left(\frac{(A_{f_{1}} + A_{f_{1}}')}{\sqrt{M_{f}}} \right) (3\cos^{2}\theta - 1) \right]_{M_{1}}^{2} \right], \qquad (28)$$

where E_{γ} is the energy of emitted photon, $q \equiv |\vec{q}|$, θ is the angle between \vec{q} and \vec{P}_f . In nonrelativistic limit, since $\omega_c = m_c$, wave functions F_1 and A_{f_1} are related to the original radial wave functions directly, $F_1 = F_1(q) = f_1 \simeq -f_2$, $A_{f_1} = M_f a_1 \simeq M_f a_2$. $A_{f_1}(q_{f_\perp})$, and $A'_{f_1}(q_{f_\perp})$ correspond to the two diagrams in Fig. 1, where the photons emitted by quark and antiquark, respectively. $A_{f_1}(q_{f_\perp}) = A_{f_1} \left(\sqrt{\vec{q}^2 + 2\alpha_2 \vec{q} \cdot \vec{P}_f + \alpha_2^2 \vec{P}_f^2} \right)$ (where $q_{f_\perp} = q_\perp + \alpha_2 P_{f_\perp}$) and $A'_{f_1}(q_{f_\perp}) = A'_{f_1} \left(\sqrt{\vec{q}^2 - 2\alpha_1 \vec{q} \cdot \vec{P}_f + \alpha_1^2 \vec{P}_f^2} \right)$ (where $q_{f_\perp} = q_\perp - \alpha_1 P_{f_\perp}$). Then it can be seen that we have already consider the recoil effect in the transition.

In the above equation of the decay width, the representations of the radial wave functions $\left(\frac{2q^2F_1}{\sqrt{5M}}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{(A_{f_1}+A'_{f_1})}{\sqrt{M_f}}\right)$ are based on their normalization conditions, $\int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{4q^4F_1^2(q)}{5M} = 1$ for 2⁻⁻ state and $\int \frac{d^3q_f}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{4A_{f_1}^2(q_f)}{M_f} = 1$ for 0⁻⁺ state. Therefore, it can be seen from the formula of decay width that this is a M_1 magnetic radiative transition, and a subscript M_1 is marked.

For $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}({}^{3}P_{0})\gamma$, we have

$$\Gamma_{2} = \frac{2\alpha E_{\gamma}^{3}}{9MM_{f}} \left[-\int \frac{q^{2}dqd\cos\theta}{(2\pi)^{2}} \left(\frac{2q^{2}F_{1}}{\sqrt{5M}}\right) \cdot 1 \cdot \left(\frac{q(B_{f_{2}} - B_{f_{2}}')}{\sqrt{M_{f}^{3}}}\right) (\cos^{3}\theta - \cos\theta) \right]_{M_{2}}^{2},$$
(29)

where, subscript M_2 denote the M_2 magnetic radiative transitions. Normalization condition $\int \frac{d^3q_f}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{4q_f^2 B_{f_2}^2(q_f)}{M_f^3} = 1$ for 0⁺⁺ state has been considered.

For $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}({}^{3}P_{1})\gamma$, we have

$$\Gamma_{3} = \frac{7\alpha E_{\gamma}^{3} E_{f}^{2} (M + M_{f})^{2}}{36M M_{f}^{3}} \bigg[\langle 1 \rangle_{E_{1}}^{2} + \frac{16M(E_{f} - E_{\gamma})}{7M_{f} E_{f} (M + M_{f})} \langle 1 \rangle_{E_{1}} \langle 2 \rangle_{M_{2}} + \frac{4M_{f}}{E_{f} (M + M_{f})} \cdot \langle 1 \rangle_{E_{1}} \langle 3 \rangle_{M_{2}} \bigg],$$
(30)

where

$$\langle 1 \rangle_{E_1} = \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\frac{2q^2 F_1}{\sqrt{5M}} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{q} \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}q(C_{f_1} + C'_{f_1})}{\sqrt{3M_f}} \right) (3\cos^2\theta - 1), \tag{31}$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle_{M_2} = \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\frac{2q^2 F_1}{\sqrt{5M}} \right) \cdot 1 \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}q(C_{f_1} - C'_{f_1})}{\sqrt{3M_f}} \right) (3\cos^3\theta - \cos\theta), \tag{32}$$

$$\langle 3 \rangle_{M_2} = \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\frac{2q^2 F_1}{\sqrt{5M}} \right) \cdot 1 \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}q(C_{f_1} - C'_{f_1})}{\sqrt{3M_f}} \right) \left[\left(\frac{M + E_f}{E_{\gamma}} + \frac{3M}{2M_f} - 1 \right) \cos^3\theta + \left(1 - \frac{M}{M_f} \right) \cos\theta \right].$$
(33)

When giving the upper representation, the normalization condition for the 1⁺⁺ state, $\int \frac{d^3q_f}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{8q_f^2 C_{f_1}^2(q_f)}{3M_f} = 1$ has been concerned.

For $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}({}^{3}P_{2})\gamma$, we have

$$\Gamma_{4} = \frac{7\alpha E_{\gamma}^{3} E_{f}^{2} (M + M_{f})^{2}}{36M M_{f}^{3}} \left[\left(1 + \frac{4E_{\gamma}^{2}}{7(M + M_{f})^{2}} \right) \langle 4 \rangle_{E_{1}}^{2} + \frac{4}{E_{\gamma}} \left(1 + \frac{4E_{\gamma}^{2}}{7(M + M_{f})^{2}} \right) \langle 4 \rangle_{E_{1}} \langle 5 \rangle_{M_{2}} + \frac{4}{7E_{f}(M + M_{f})^{2}} \left(-8E_{f}E_{\gamma} + 2M_{f}E_{\gamma} - 7M^{2} + 3MM_{f} + 10M_{f}^{2} \right) \langle 4 \rangle_{E_{1}} \langle 6 \rangle_{M_{2}} \right],$$
(34)

where

$$\langle 4 \rangle_{E_1} = \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\frac{2q^2 F_1}{\sqrt{5M}} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{q} \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{M_f} q (D_{f_5} + D'_{f_5})}{\sqrt{3}} \right) (3\cos^2\theta - 1), \tag{35}$$

$$\langle 5 \rangle_{M_2} = \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\frac{2q^2 F_1}{\sqrt{5M}} \right) \cdot 1 \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{M_f} q (D_{f_5} - D'_{f_5})}{\sqrt{3}} \right) (5\cos^3\theta - 3\cos\theta), \tag{36}$$

$$\langle 6 \rangle_{M_2} = \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\frac{2q^2 F_1}{\sqrt{5M}} \right) \cdot 1 \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{M_f} q (D_{f_5} - D'_{f_5})}{\sqrt{3}} \right) (\cos^3 \theta - \cos \theta). \tag{37}$$

Here, $\int \frac{d^3q_f}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{4q_f^2 M_f D_{f_5}^2}{3} = 1$ is the normalization condition of the 2⁺⁺ state.

The nonrelativistic expression of decay widths in Eqs. (28)–(30) and (34) can be further simplified. Since in radiative decay, compared with initial meson mass M, the recoil momentum $|\vec{P}_f| = E_{\gamma} \equiv r$ is usually a small quantity, for example, in the radiative decays of

X(3823) to η_c , χ_{c0} , χ_{c1} , and χ_{c2} , the recoil momenta are 0.746, 0.386, 0.298, and 0.256 MeV, respectively. Then the wave functions, for example, $A_{f_1}(\sqrt{q^2 + qr}\cos\theta + 0.25r^2) = A_{f_1}(\frac{r}{M}M\cos\theta)$ and $A'_{f_1}(-\frac{r}{M}M\cos\theta)$, can be expanded in a dimensionless quantity $\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta$. If the first four terms of Taylor expansion are retained, then we have

$$A_{f_1}\left(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta\right) = A_{f_1} + \frac{\partial A_{f_1}}{\partial(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta)}\left(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta\right) + \frac{1}{2!}\frac{\partial^2 A_{f_1}}{\partial(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta)^2}\left(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta\right)^2 + \frac{1}{3!}\frac{\partial^3 A_{f_1}}{\partial(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta)^3}\left(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta\right)^3,$$

$$A'_{f_1}\left(-\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta\right) = A_{f_1} - \frac{\partial A_{f_1}}{\partial(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta)}\left(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta\right) + \frac{1}{2!}\frac{\partial^2 A_{f_1}}{\partial(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta)^2}\left(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta\right)^2 - \frac{1}{3!}\frac{\partial^3 A_{f_1}}{\partial(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta)^3}\left(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta\right)^3.$$

So only the even power of r exists in

$$A_{f_1} + A'_{f_1} = 2A_{f_1} + \frac{r^2}{M^2} \cos^2\theta \frac{\partial^2 A_{f_1}}{\partial (\frac{r}{M} \cos \theta)^2},$$

and the odd power of r exists in

$$A_{f_1} - A'_{f_1} = 2\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta\frac{\partial A_{f_1}}{\partial(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta)} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{r^3}{M^3}\cos^3\theta\frac{\partial^3 A_{f_1}}{\partial(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta)^3}.$$

Then after integrating the angle θ , the lowest order contribution in decay width Γ_1 for $X(3823) \rightarrow \eta_c({}^1S_0)\gamma$ is

$$\Gamma_{1} = \frac{32\alpha r^{7}}{10125\pi^{4}M^{6}M_{f}^{2}} \left(\int dq q^{4}F_{1} \frac{\partial^{2}A_{f_{1}}}{\partial(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta)^{2}}\right)_{M_{1}}^{2}, \quad (38)$$

where we can see that the leading order $2A_{f_1}$ does not contribute, which is consistent with the nonrelativistic results in Refs. [27,45].

For $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}({}^{3}P_{0})\gamma$, we find that the contribution of E_{1} transition expanded to all orders is zero, which also confirms the results in Refs. [27,45]. Further, only the M_{2} transition has contribution, and the lowest order result is

$$\Gamma_2 = \frac{32\alpha r^5}{10125\pi^4 M^4 M_f^4} \left(\int dq q^5 F_1 \frac{\partial B_{f_2}}{\partial (\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta)} \right)_{M_2}^2.$$
(39)

The decay widths of $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}({}^{3}P_{1})\gamma$ and $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}({}^{3}P_{2})\gamma$ can be simplified as

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{3} &= \frac{56\alpha r^{5}(M+M_{f})^{2}}{10125\pi^{4}M^{4}M_{f}^{2}} \left[\frac{r^{2}}{3M^{2}} \left(\int dq q^{4}F_{1} \frac{\partial^{2}C_{f_{1}}}{\partial(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta)^{2}} \right)_{E_{1}}^{2} \\ &+ 2 \left(\int dq q^{4}F_{1} \frac{\partial^{2}C_{f_{1}}}{\partial(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta)^{2}} \right)_{E_{1}} \\ &\times \left(\int dq q^{5}F_{1} \frac{\partial C_{f_{1}}}{\partial(\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta)} \right)_{M_{2}} \right], \end{split}$$
(40)

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_4 &= \frac{28\alpha r^5 (M+M_f)^2}{10125\pi^4 M^4} \left[\frac{r^2}{3M^2} \left(\int dq q^4 F_1 \frac{\partial^2 D_{f_5}}{\partial (\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta)^2} \right)_{E_1}^2 \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{2r}{7M} \left(\int dq q^4 F_1 \frac{\partial^2 D_{f_5}}{\partial (\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta)^2} \right)_{E_1} \right. \\ &\left. \times \left(\int dq q^5 F_1 \frac{\partial D_{f_5}}{\partial (\frac{r}{M}\cos\theta)} \right)_{M_2} \right], \end{split}$$

where we retain the lowest order contribution of the E_1 transition and the lowest cross term between E_1 and M_2 .

From the simplified expression of nonrelativistic decay widths, it can be seen that, $X(3823) \rightarrow \eta_c({}^1S_0)\gamma$ decay is a M_1 transition. For $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}({}^3P_0)\gamma$, the E_1 transition has zero contribution, then its contribution comes from the M_2 transition. While the main contributions of $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}({}^3P_1)\gamma$ and $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}({}^3P_2)\gamma$ come

from the E_1 transition, so we conclude that the decay widths of $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}({}^{3}P_1)\gamma$ and $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}({}^{3}P_2)\gamma$ are much larger than those of $X(3823) \rightarrow \eta_c({}^{1}S_0)\gamma$ and $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}({}^{3}P_0)\gamma$.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Masses

In our calculation, some model-dependent parameters have been used, for example, the mass of the charm quark is fixed at $m_c = 1.62$ GeV [1]. Since V_0 in the kernel originates from QCD nonperturbative effects, its value is to account the states with J^{PC} , so we fix it by fitting the masses of the ground states. Thus the parameter V_0 vary with J^{PC} . And we vary the free parameter V_0 [43] to fit the mass of the ground state. For example, $M_{3D_2(1D)} =$ 3.823 GeV [46] is actually not our prediction, but an input, while those of the first and second radial excited states are our predictions,

$$M_{3D_2(2D)} = 4.154 \text{ GeV}, \quad M_{3D_2(3D)} = 4.408 \text{ GeV}.$$
 (42)

For other charmonia, we have calculated the mass spectrum in Ref. [43]. For example, the masses of some highly excited states are predicted as,

$$\begin{split} M_{\eta_c(3S)} &= 3.949 \text{ GeV}, \qquad M_{\chi_{c2}(1F)} = 4.038 \text{ GeV}, \qquad M_{\chi_{c2}(2F)} = 4.314 \text{ GeV}, \\ M_{\chi_{c0}(3P)} &= 4.140 \text{ GeV}, \qquad M_{\chi_{c1}(3P)} = 4.229 \text{ GeV}, \qquad M_{\chi_{c2}(3P)} = 4.271 \text{ GeV}. \end{split}$$

It can be seen from Ref. [43], most of our predictions about the mass spectrum consist well with experimental data, especially the case of bottomonium. However, there are still some states whose theoretical masses are different from the experimental data. For example, our prediction of $M_{\chi_{c1}(2P)} = 3.929$ GeV [43], while the data is $M_{X(3872)} = 3.872$ GeV, another is the mass of $\eta_c(2S)$, our prediction 3.576 GeV is lower than data 3.636 GeV. To see the difference in decays, for these two states, we use the theoretical mass as well as the experimental data to calculate the decay width, and give two groups of results.

B. Wave functions

We consider X(3823) as the 2⁻⁻ ground state $\psi_2(1^3D_2)$. From the Eq. (6), it can be seen that, there are two independent radial wave functions f_1 and f_2 . Our results of f_1 and f_2 are show in Fig. 2, where instead of f_1 and f_2 , we show the diagrams of $\vec{q}^2 f_1$ and $\vec{q}^2 f_2$ since they always appear together. From Fig. 2, we can see clearly that the solution of the 2⁻⁻ state has the property $f_1 \simeq f_2$, this is correct, since in a nonrelativistic limit $f_1 = f_2$.

We also show the numerical results of the radial wave functions for excited states $\psi_2(2^3D_2)$ and $\psi_2(3^3D_2)$ in Fig. 2.



FIG. 2. The radial wave functions of the ground, first and second excited 2^{--} state $\psi_2(1^3D_2)$, $\psi_2(2^3D_2)$ and $\psi_2(3^3D_2)$.



FIG. 3. The radial wave functions of the $\eta_c(2S)$ and $\eta_c(3S)$.



FIG. 4. The radial wave functions of the $\chi_{c0}(2P)$ and $\chi_{c0}(3P)$.

In general, from the number of nodes of the wave function, we can tell whether the state is a ground state or an excited one. For example, the radial wave function of the ground state has no node, while that of the first excited state has one node and the second excited state has two nodes, etc.

For the *S* wave states $\eta_c(nS)$ and the *P* wave states $\chi_{c0}(nP), \chi_{c1}(nP)$, and $\chi_{c2}(nP)$, we have shown the 1*S*, 2*S*,

1*P*, and 2*P* wave functions in previous paper [43], but since the theoretical masses of 2^1S_0 and 2^3P_1 states are a little different from data, which make the wave functions a little difference from the old ones in Ref. [43], we like to show the wave functions for all the excited *S* and *P* states one more time in this paper. In Fig. 3, we show the radial wave functions for $\eta_c(2S)$ and $\eta_c(3S)$; in Figs. 4–6, we give



FIG. 5. The radial wave functions of the $\chi_{c1}(2P)$ and $\chi_{c1}(3P)$.



FIG. 6. The radial wave functions of the $\chi_{c2}(2P)$ and $\chi_{c2}(3P)$.

 $\chi_{cJ}(2P)$ and $\chi_{cJ}(3P)$, with J = 0, 1, 2, respectively; and in Fig. 7, $\chi_{c2}(1F)$ and $\chi_{c2}(2F)$.

From Figs. 3–5, we can see that, similar to the 2⁻⁻ case, there are two independent radial wave functions for $\eta_c(nS)$, $\chi_{c0}(nP)$ and $\chi_{c1}(nP)$, n = 2, 3. And they are almost equivalent, this is also confirmed by the nonrelativistic limit where they are the same. In Fig. 6, $\chi_{c2}(nP)$ has four independent radial wave functions d_3 , d_4 , d_5 , and d_6 , where the pure *P* wave terms d_5 and d_6 are dominant. And the relation $d_5 \simeq -d_6$ is also consistent with the nonrelativistic limit $d_5 = -d_6$. All other terms are relativistic corrections and they are *D* and *F* waves. While in Fig. 7, for $\chi_{c2}(nF)$, d_3 and $d_4(\simeq d_3)$ terms are dominant *F* partial waves, the *P* waves d_5 and $d_6(\simeq -d_5)$ terms are sizable, all other terms which are not shown here are *D* partial waves.

C. EM decay widths of X(3823)as the state $\psi_2(1^3D_2)$

If Eq. (3), the complete amplitude formula, is used, considering X(3823) as the $\psi_2(1^3D_2)$ state, the final state are $\eta_c(1S)$ and $\chi_{c0}(1P)$, the decay widths are

$$\Gamma[X(3823) \to \chi_{c0}(1S)\gamma] = 1.25 \text{ keV},$$

$$\Gamma[X(3823) \to \eta_c(1S)\gamma] = 1.34 \text{ keV}.$$
(43)

when Eq. (5) is chosen, that is, only the positive energy wave function contributes to the amplitude, then the decay widths are

$$\Gamma[X(3823) \to \chi_{c0}(1S)\gamma] = 1.22 \text{ keV},$$

$$\Gamma[X(3823) \to \eta_c(1S)\gamma] = 1.30 \text{ keV}.$$
(44)

From the above results, it can be seen that the contributions of the positive energy wave functions φ^{++} to the decay width are dominant, and the contributions of other terms are about 2.4% and 3.0% for the two channels. Therefore, in the following calculation, for simplicity, the formula Eq. (5) of decay amplitude is adopted.

The EM decay results of other channels for X(3823) $[\psi_2(1^3D_2)]$ are

$$\Gamma[X(3823) \to \chi_{\{c1,c2\}}(1P)\gamma] = \{265, 57\} \text{ keV}, \quad (45)$$



FIG. 7. The radial wave functions of the $\chi_{c2}(1F)$ and $\chi_{c2}(2F)$.

TABLE I. The decay widths (keV) of the radiative transition $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{cJ}(1P)\gamma$ (J = 0, 1, 2), $X(3823) \rightarrow \eta_c(1S, 2S)\gamma$, and the ratio of $\frac{\Gamma(\psi_2(1D) \rightarrow \chi_c(1P)\gamma)}{\Gamma(\psi_2(1D) \rightarrow \chi_c(1P)\gamma)}$.

	[20]	[25]		[2	26]		[2	7]		[28]		[2	.9]	Ours	
	RE	RE	NR	RV	RS	RVS	NR	GI	NR_1	NR_2	RE	$\overline{NR_1}$	NR_2	RE	EX [31]
$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\psi_2(1D) \to \chi_{c1}(1P)\gamma)}$	250	260	297	215	215	215	307	268	307	342	208	285	296	265	
$\Gamma(\psi_2(1D) \to \chi_{c2}(1P)\gamma)$	60	56	62	55	51	59	64	66	64	70	55	91	96	57	
$\frac{\Gamma(\psi_2(1D) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}(1P)\gamma)}{\Gamma(\psi_2(1D) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\gamma)} \%$	24	22	21	26	24	27	21	25	21	20	26	32	32	22	$28^{+14}_{-11}\pm 2$
$\Gamma(\psi_2(1D) \to \chi_{c0}(1P)\gamma)$														1.2	
$\Gamma(\psi_2(1D) \to \eta_c(1S)\gamma)$														1.3	
$\Gamma(\psi_2(1D) \to \eta_c(2S)\gamma)$														0.069(0.067)	

and

$$\Gamma[X(3823) \to \eta_c(2S)\gamma] = 0.069 \text{ keV.}$$
 (46)

We can see the dominant decay channel is $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\gamma$, and its decay width is much larger than others.

For comparison, we show our results and other model predictions [20,25–29] in Table I. Where, *RE* represents a relativistic method, *NR* the nonrelativistic method, *GI* is the relativistic Godfrey-Isgur model, *RV* and *RS* represent the relativistic method using vector and scalar potential, respectively, while *RVS* the mixture of them. In our results, the value in parentheses is calculated using the experimental mass. It can be seen that the decay width is insensitive to the mass of particle. We can also see that our results of $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{\{c1,c2\}}(1P)\gamma$ are close to those of relativistic method *RE* in Refs. [20,25] and relativistic *GI* model in Ref. [27].

In Table I, we also show the ratio of the decay rate $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma$ to that of $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma$, our result is

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}[X(3823) \to \chi_{c2}\gamma]}{\mathcal{B}[X(3823) \to \chi_{c1}\gamma]} = 22\%.$$
(47)

This result and all other theoretical predictions in Table I are within the range of current experimental value $0.28^{+0.14}_{-0.11} \pm 0.02$ [31]. The consistence shows that this ratio cancels some model dependent uncertainties, and it is more reflective of the true value. So we also give the ratio

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}[X(3823) \to \chi_{c0}\gamma]}{\mathcal{B}[X(3823) \to \chi_{c1}\gamma]} = 0.46\%.$$
(48)

The result is within the experimental limit <0.24 detected by BESIII [31]. The channel of $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c0}\gamma$ was also calculated in Ref. [47], and they gave a decay width of 1.42 keV, witch is a little bigger than ours, while their ratio $\frac{\mathcal{B}[X(3823)\rightarrow\chi_{c0}\gamma]}{\mathcal{B}[X(3823)\rightarrow\chi_{c1}\gamma]} = 0.62\%$ closes to ours.

D. EM decay widths of $\psi_2(2^3D_2)$

Our predictions for the EM decay widths of the excited state $\psi_2(2D)$ and other theoretical results are shown in Table II. The dominant decay channel is $\psi_2(2D) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(2P)\gamma$,

TABLE II. The decay widths (keV) of the radiative transition of the $\psi_2(2D) \rightarrow \chi_{cJ}\gamma$ (J = 0, 1, 2) and $\psi_2(2D) \rightarrow \eta_c\gamma$.

	[27]			[47]		[29]		Ours
	NR	GI	NR_1	NR_2	NR ₃	NR_1	NR_2	RE
$\overline{\Gamma(\psi_2(2D) \to \chi_{c0}(1P)\gamma)}$								0.16
$\Gamma(\psi_2(2D) \to \chi_{c1}(1P)\gamma)$	26	23	17	26	10	68	68	33
$\Gamma(\psi_2(2D) \to \chi_{c2}(1P)\gamma)$	7.2	0.62	6.7	10	3.8	20	20	7.3
$\Gamma(\psi_2(2D) \to \chi_{c2}(1F)\gamma)$								6.2
$\Gamma(\psi_2(2D) \to \chi_{c0}(2P)\gamma)$								1.13
$\Gamma(\psi_2(2D) \to \chi_{c1}(2P)\gamma)$	298	225	140	178	92	223	188	237 (230)
$\Gamma(\psi_2(2D) \to \chi_{c2}(2P)\gamma)$	52	65	39	64	19	115	64	58
$\frac{\Gamma(\psi_2(2D) \to \chi_{c1}(1P)\gamma)}{\Gamma(\psi_2(2D) \to \chi_{c1}(2P)\gamma)} (\%)$	8.7	10	12	15	11	30	36	14
$\frac{\Gamma(\psi_2(2D) \to \chi_{c2}(2P)\gamma)}{\Gamma(\psi_2(2D) \to \chi_{c1}(2P)\gamma)} (\%)$	17	29	28	36	21	52	34	25
$ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma(\psi_2(2D) \to \eta_c(1S)\gamma) \\ \Gamma(\psi_2(2D) \to \eta_c(2S)\gamma) \end{array} $								2.1 0.33 (0.32)
$\Gamma(\psi_2(2D) \to \eta_c(3S)\gamma)$								0.092

Initial state	Final state	$\Gamma_{(our)}$	Final state	$\Gamma_{(\mathrm{our})}$	Final state	$\Gamma_{(our)}$
$\psi_2(3D)$	$\chi_{c0}(1P) \gamma$	0.26	$\chi_{c0}(2P) \gamma$	0.54	$\chi_{c0}(3P) \gamma$	1.1
$\psi_2(3D)$	$\chi_{c1}(1P) \gamma$	38	$\chi_{c1}(2P) \gamma$	40(41)	$\chi_{c1}(3P) \gamma$	218
$\psi_2(3D)$	$\chi_{c2}(1P) \gamma$	6.8	$\chi_{c2}(2P) \gamma$	8.3	$\chi_{c2}(3P) \gamma$	41
$\psi_2(3D)$	$\chi_{c2}(1F) \gamma$	8.3	$\chi_{c2}(2F) \gamma$	11		
$\psi_2(3D)$	$\eta_c(1S) \gamma$	4.6	$\eta_c(2S) \gamma$	2.55(2.44)	$\eta_c(3S) \gamma$	0.24

TABLE III. The EM decay widths (keV) of the excited state $\psi_2(3D)$.

$$\Gamma[\psi_2(2D) \to \chi_{c1}(2P)\gamma] = 237 \text{ keV}, \qquad (49)$$

which is close to those of the relativistic GI model in Ref. [27] and nonrelativistic potential model NR_1 in Ref. [29].

If instead of using the theoretical mass of $\chi_{c1}(2P)$, the experimental value is used, then the decay width for $\psi_2(2D) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(2P)$ becomes to 230 keV, see the value in parenthesis in Table II. Combined with the result of $\psi_2(2D) \rightarrow \eta_c(2S)$ in Table II, two groups of values are also given, we confirm the previous conclusion that the radiative electromagnetic decay width is not very sensitive to the mass.

The channels $\psi_2(2D) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\gamma$ and $\psi_2(2D) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}(2P)\gamma$ also have sizable contributions, so we also calculate their decay ratios to the channel $\psi_2(2D) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(2P)\gamma$, and list them in Table II. We can see that, unlike the case of $\psi_2(1D)$, the ratios of $\psi_2(2D)$ are much different from model to model. The reason may due to the relativistic corrections being not included or fully considered, because in previous paper [41], we have pointed out that higher excited states have much larger relativistic corrections than those of lower excited and ground states. This conclusion has been confirmed in the weak transition process [32].

E. EM decay widths of $\psi_2(3^3D_2)$

The predictions for the EM decay width of excited state $\psi_2(3D)$ are shown in Table III. The dominant decay channel is $\psi_2(3D) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(3P)$,

$$\Gamma[\psi_2(3D) \to \chi_{c1}(3P)\gamma] = 218 \text{ keV.}$$
 (50)

We can see that, the dominant EM decay channel for $\psi_2(nD)$ is $\chi_{c1}(nP)\gamma$, and the second is $\chi_{c2}(nP)\gamma$, where n = 1, 2, 3, respectively, while $\chi_{c0}(nP)\gamma$ and $\eta_c(nS)\gamma$ channels always have small contributions.

F. Contributions of different partial waves

In a previous work [41], we point out that, in a complete relativistic method, the relativistic wave function for a J^P state is not a pure wave. This conclusion is also valid for the charmonium. For the X(3823) as the 2⁻⁻ state $\psi_2(1^3D_2)$, besides the main D wave, it also includes a small part of F wave; for the $\eta_c(1S)$, it is dominated by S wave with a small

amount of *P* partial wave, while for the $\chi_{c0}(1P)$ state, as a *P* wave dominant state, it includes a small component of *S* wave, etc, see the details in Sec. II.B.

In this subsection, we study the contributions of different partial waves of the initial and finial mesons to the decay width. The results are shown in Tables IV–IX, where "complete" means the complete or whole wave function is used, "S wave" means only the S partial wave has contribution and other partial waves are deleted. From these tables, we can see that in all the decays, the main contribution of 2^{--} state ψ_2 comes from its dominant partial wave, namely D wave, which is also its nonrelativistic term, and its relativistic correction term, namely F partial wave, has a relatively small contribution.

Table IV shows the case of $\psi_2(1D) \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)\gamma$. We know that $\eta_c(1S)$ is a *S*-wave dominant state, which only contains a small amount of *P* partial wave. But from Table IV, we can see that the contribution of D wave \rightarrow *S* wave transition is suppressed, indicates that the major contribution of this decay process is due to relativistic effect (dominant by D wave $\rightarrow P$ wave transition).

Table V shows the result of $\psi_2(1D) \rightarrow \chi_{c0}(1P)\gamma$. This result is similar to the case of $\psi_2(1D) \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)\gamma$, the contribution of dominant *P* wave in final state is very small, while the contribution of the small component of *S* wave is large. From the form factor formula, Eq. (B2), we can see the origin of this result. The *P* wave term of the unique

TABLE IV. The decay width (keV) of different partial waves for $\psi_2(1D) \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)\gamma$.

2	Complete	$Swave(A_{f_1}, A_{f_2})$	$P wave(A_{f_3})$
Complete	1.3	0.0035	1.3
$Dwave(F_1, F_2)$	3.1	0.41	1.3
$Fwave(F_3)$	0.39	0.39	0

TABLE V. The EM decay width (keV) of different partial waves for $\psi_2(1D) \rightarrow \chi_{c0}(1P)\gamma$.

2	Complete	$Swave(B_{f_1})$	$Pwave(B_{f_2}, B_{f_3})$
Complete	1.2	1.3	0.19
$Dwave(F_1, F_2)$	1.3	1.4	0.19
$Fwave(F_3)$	0.14	0.14	~0

TABLE VI. The EM decay width (keV) of different partial waves for $\psi_2(1D) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\gamma$.

2	Complete	$Pwave(C_{f_1}, C_{f_2})$	$D wave(C_{f_3})$
Complete	265	204	4.0
$Dwave(F_1, F_2)$	209	211	4.2
$Fwave(F_3)$	3.4	0.17	0.0056

additive relation, $F_2(B_{f_3} + B'_{f_3})(3\cos^2\theta - 1)$, is suppressed due to the angle integral. The rest have subtractive relationships, $B_{f_2} - B'_{f_2}$ and $B_{f_3} - B'_{f_3}$, therefore their contributions are also suppressed. And in the nonrelativistic limit, the contribution of all these *P* wave terms is zero. So for the EM decay $\psi_2(1D) \rightarrow \chi_{c0}(1P)\gamma$, the contribution of *S* wave which provides the relativistic correction is greater than the that of *P* wave.

Table VI show the result of $\psi_2(1D) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(1P)\gamma$. We can see that, the main contribution of the final state come from the dominant *P* partial wave which provides the nonrelativistic result, and the relativistic correction (*D* partial wave in 1⁺⁺ state) contribute very small. The form factors for this decay are shown in the Appendix B, but they are very complicated, we will not discuss the details.

Tables VII–IX show the results of $\psi_2(1D) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}(1P)\gamma$, $\psi_2(2D) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}(1P)\gamma$, and $\psi_2(2D) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}(1F)\gamma$, respectively, where three final mesons are all 2⁺⁺ states. The first two are 1*P* wave dominant states combined with small

D and *F* partial waves, the third one is 1*F* wave dominant state but combined with sizable *P* and *D* partial waves [41]. Tables VII and VIII show us that compared with the dominant *P* wave, the contributions of *D* and *F* partial waves in 1*P* dominant final state are small, and the nodal structure in the wave function of $\psi_2(2D)$ results in the smaller decay width of $\psi_2(2D) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}(1P)\gamma$ compared with $\psi_2(1D) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}(1P)\gamma$. From Table IX, we can see that besides the large contribution of *F* wave in the 1*F* dominant state, the contribution of *D* partial wave is also large, but those of *P* wave are suppressed.

If we only keep the dominant partial waves in wave functions and ignore the small partial waves which provide us relativistic corrections for both the initial and final states, then we obtain the nonrelativistic results,

$$\Gamma_0[X(3823)(1D) \to \eta_c(1S)\gamma] = 0.41 \text{ keV}, \quad (51)$$

$$\Gamma_0[X(3823)(1D) \to \chi_{\{c0,c1,c2\}}(1P)\gamma] = \{0.19, 211, 44\} \text{ keV},$$
(52)

Compared with the complete relativistic results, the relativistic effects (defined as $\frac{\Gamma-\Gamma_0}{\Gamma}$) make up 68%, 84%, 20%, 23% of $X(3823) \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)\gamma$, $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{cJ}(1P)\gamma$ (J = 0, 1, 2), respectively. So the contribution of the relativistic correction plays a leading role in the decay processes of $\psi_2(1D) \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)\gamma$ and $\psi_2(1D) \rightarrow \chi_{c0}(1P)\gamma$.

TABLE VII. The EM decay width (keV) of different partial waves for $\psi_2(1D) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}(1P)\gamma$.

22++	Complete	$Pwave(D_{f_5}, D_{f_6})$	$D wave(D_{f_1}, D_{f_2}, D_{f_7})$	$Fwave(D_{f_3}, D_{f_4})$
Complete	57	18	1.5	0.23
$Dwave(F_1, F_2)$	75	44	4.9	0.70
$Fwave(F_3)$	1.7	6.1	1.4	0.0057

TABLE VIII. The EM decay width (keV) of different partial waves for $\psi_2(2D) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}(1P)\gamma$.

22++	Complete	$Pwave(D_{f_5}, D_{f_6})$	D wave $(D_{f_1}, D_{f_2}, D_{f_7})$	$Fwave(D_{f_3}, D_{f_4})$
Complete	7.3	3.4	0.39	0.046
$Dwave(F_1, F_2)$	9.2	4.9	0.56	0.066
$Fwave(F_3)$	0.38	0.24	0.037	0.00028

TABLE IX. The EM decay width (keV) of different partial waves for $\psi_2(2D) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}(1F)\gamma$.

2	Complete	$Pwave(D_{f_5}, D_{f_6})$	$Dwave(D_{f_1}, D_{f_2}, D_{f_7})$	$Fwave(D_{f_3}, D_{f_4})$
Complete	6.2	0.65	3.6	5.6
$Dwave(F_1, F_2)$	4.8	0.4	2.9	4.3
$Fwave(F_3)$	0.55	0.055	0.12	0.46

G. Discussion and conclusion

In a previous paper [23], we have estimated the annihilation decay (including *ggg* and *ggγ* final states) width of X(3823), which is about 9.8 keV. From Eichten's work [25], we can get the decay width $\Gamma[\psi_2({}^{3}D_2) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi\pi] \approx 45$ keV. So the total decay width of X(3823) can be estimated as,

$$\Gamma[X(3823)] \approx \Gamma(\eta_c \gamma) + \sum \Gamma(\chi_{cJ} \gamma) + \Gamma(J/\psi \pi \pi) + \Gamma(ggg) + \Gamma(gg\gamma) \approx 379 \text{ keV.}$$
(53)

Therefore, the process $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma$ whose partial width is estimated as 265 keV, is the dominant decay channel of X(3823). The detection of this channel in experiment is crucial to confirm X(3823) being the state $\psi_2({}^3D_2)$.

In conclusion, we study the EM decays of $\psi_2(n^3D_2)$ (n = 1, 2, 3) by using the relativistic Bethe-Salpeter method, where the new particle X(3823) is treated as $\psi_2(1^3D_2)$ in this paper. We find for $\psi_2(n^3D_2)$, the dominant EM decay channel is $\psi_2(n^3D_2) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}(nP)\gamma$. Our results show that $\Gamma[X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma] = 265$ keV, compared with the estimated total width $\Gamma[X(3823)] \approx 379$ keV, this is the dominant decay channel. The decay ratio $\mathcal{B}[X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma]/\mathcal{B}[X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma] = 22\%$ is consistent with the observation $0.28^{+0.14}_{-0.11} \pm 0.02$, and the decay ratio $\mathcal{B}[X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c0}\gamma]/\mathcal{B}[X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma] \simeq 0.46\%$ is also less than experimental upper limit 0.24. In addition, we calculated the contributions of different partial waves. For the decays $X(3823) \rightarrow \eta_c(1S)\gamma$ and $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c0}(1P)\gamma$, the main contribution comes from the relativistic effect, while for the $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{cJ}(1P)\gamma$ (J = 1, 2) decay, the nonrelativistic contribution is the dominant one. These results may provide useful information to reveal the nature of X(3823) as the charmonium $\psi_2(1^3D_2)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under the Grants No. 12075073, No. 11865001, No. 12075074, the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei province under the Grant No. A2021201009, Post-graduate's Innovation Fund Project of Hebei University under the Grant No. HBU2022BS002.

APPENDIX A: THE INTEGRALS OVER THE RELATIVE MOMENTUM

When calculating the integral with respect to q_{\perp} in the amplitude Eq. (5), we apply the following formula

$$\int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} q_{\perp}^{\mu} F \equiv f_{11} P_{f_{\perp}}^{\mu}, \qquad \int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} q_{\perp}^{\mu} q_{\perp}^{\nu} F \equiv f_{21} P_{f_{\perp}}^{\mu} P_{f_{\perp}}^{\nu} + f_{22} g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu},$$

$$\int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} q_{\perp}^{\mu} q_{\perp}^{\nu} q_{\perp}^{\alpha} F \equiv f_{31} P_{f_{\perp}}^{\mu} P_{f_{\perp}}^{\nu} P_{f_{\perp}}^{\alpha} + f_{32} (P_{f_{\perp}}^{\mu} g_{\perp}^{\nu\alpha} + P_{f_{\perp}}^{\nu} g_{\perp}^{\mu\alpha} + P_{f_{\perp}}^{\alpha} g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}),$$

$$\int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} q_{\perp}^{\mu} q_{\perp}^{\nu} q_{\perp}^{\alpha} q_{\perp}^{\beta} F \equiv f_{41} P_{f_{\perp}}^{\mu} P_{f_{\perp}}^{\nu} P_{f_{\perp}}^{\alpha} P_{f_{\perp}}^{\beta} + f_{42} (P_{f_{\perp}}^{\mu} P_{f_{\perp}}^{\nu} g_{\perp}^{\alpha\beta} + P_{f_{\perp}}^{\mu} P_{f_{\perp}}^{\beta} g_{\perp}^{\nu\alpha} + P_{f_{\perp}}^{\mu} P_{f_{\perp}}^{\beta} g_{\perp}^{\mu\beta} + P_{f_{\perp}}^{\mu} g_{\perp}^{\beta\beta} + f_{43} (g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} g_{\perp}^{\alpha\beta} + g_{\perp}^{\mu\beta} g_{\perp}^{\nu\beta} + g_{\perp}^{\mu\beta} g_{\perp}^{\nu\alpha}), \qquad (A1)$$

where $F = F(q_{\perp}^2, q_{f_{\perp}}^2)$, and we have used the following abbreviations

$$P_{f_{\perp}}^{\mu} \equiv P_{f}^{\mu} - \frac{P \cdot P_{f}}{M^{2}} P^{\mu}, \qquad g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} \equiv g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{P^{\mu}P^{\nu}}{M^{2}}.$$
 (A2)

The coefficient f_{ij} are calculated as

$$f_{11} = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} F \frac{q}{r} \cos\theta, \qquad f_{21} = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} F \frac{q^2}{2r^2} (3\cos^2\theta - 1),$$

$$f_{22} = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} F \frac{q^2}{2} (\cos^2\theta - 1), \qquad f_{31} = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} F \frac{q^3}{2r^3} (5\cos^3\theta - 3\cos\theta),$$

$$f_{32} = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} F \frac{q^3}{2r} (\cos^3\theta - \cos\theta), \qquad f_{41} = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} F \frac{q^4}{8r^4} (35\cos^4\theta - 30\cos^2\theta + 3),$$

$$f_{42} = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} F \frac{q^4}{8r^2} (5\cos^4\theta - 6\cos^2\theta + 1),$$

$$f_{43} = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} F \frac{q^4}{8} (\cos^4\theta - 2\cos^2\theta + 1),$$

(A3)

where θ is the angle between \vec{q} and \vec{P}_f , we have defined $q \equiv |\vec{q}|$ and $r \equiv |\vec{P}_f|$.

APPENDIX B: FORM FACTORS

Here, we will give the detailed expression of the form factors in the corresponding decay channel. For the decay channel $X(3823) \rightarrow \eta_c({}^1S_0)\gamma$, the form factors h_1 and h_2 are

$$\begin{split} h_{1} &= \int \frac{q^{2} dq d\cos\theta}{(2\pi)^{2}} 4 \left\{ \frac{q^{2}}{MM_{f}} \left[\frac{F_{3}}{M} (A_{f_{2}} - A'_{f_{2}}) \right] \frac{q}{|\vec{P}_{f}|} \cos\theta + \frac{1}{MM_{f}} \left[\frac{F_{2}}{M_{f}} (A_{f_{3}} - A'_{f_{3}}) \right] \\ &+ \frac{F_{3}}{M} (A_{f_{2}} - A'_{f_{2}}) \left[\frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|} (5\cos^{3}\theta - 3\cos\theta) - \frac{2}{MM_{f}} \left[\frac{F_{3}}{M} (A_{f_{2}} - A'_{f_{2}}) \right] \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|} \right] \\ &\times (\cos^{3}\theta - \cos\theta) + \frac{1}{M_{f}} \left[F_{1} (A_{f_{2}} + A'_{f_{2}}) + \frac{F_{2}\alpha_{f}E_{f}}{M_{f}} (A_{f_{3}} + A'_{f_{3}}) \right] \left(1 - \frac{E_{f}}{M} \right) \frac{q^{2}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}} \\ &\times (3\cos^{2}\theta - 1) + \frac{E_{f}}{M_{f}} \left[\frac{F_{2}}{M_{f}} (A_{f_{3}} - A'_{f_{3}}) + \frac{F_{3}}{M} (A_{f_{2}} - A'_{f_{2}}) \right] \left(1 - \frac{E_{f}}{M} \right) \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{3}} (5\cos^{3}\theta - 3\cos\theta) \right\}, \\ h_{2} &= (M^{2} - ME_{f})h_{1}, \end{split}$$
(B1)

where $\alpha_f = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0.5$.

For the decay channel $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c0}({}^3P_0)\gamma$, the form factor t_1 is

$$t_{1} = \int \frac{q^{2} dq d\cos\theta}{(2\pi)^{2}} 4 \Biggl\{ \Biggl[\frac{F_{3}q^{2}}{M^{2}M_{f}} (B_{f_{1}} + B'_{f_{1}}) + \frac{F_{2}}{M} (B_{f_{1}} + B'_{f_{1}}) \Biggr] \frac{q^{2}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}} (3\cos^{2}\theta - 1) + \frac{1}{MM_{f}} \Biggl[\frac{F_{1}}{M} (B_{f_{2}} - B'_{f_{2}}) - \frac{F_{2}}{M_{f}} (B_{f_{3}} - B'_{f_{3}}) \Biggr] \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{3}\theta - \cos\theta) - \frac{1}{MM_{f}} \Biggl[\frac{F_{3}\alpha_{f}}{M} (B_{f_{2}} - B'_{f_{2}}) \Biggr] \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|} (3\cos^{3}\theta - \cos\theta) \Biggr\}.$$
(B2)

For the decay channel $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}({}^{3}P_{1})\gamma$, the form factors s_{i} are

$$\begin{split} s_{1} &= \int \frac{q^{2} dqd \cos \theta}{(2\pi)^{2}} 4 \bigg\{ \bigg[\frac{a_{f}F_{1}E_{f}}{MM_{f}^{3}} (a_{f}E_{f}^{2}(C_{f_{3}}+C_{f_{3}}') - P_{f}q(C_{f_{3}}-C_{f_{3}}')) + \frac{F_{2}}{MM_{f}^{2}} (-a_{f}E_{f}^{2}(C_{f_{2}}+C_{f_{2}}') + P_{f}q(C_{f_{2}}-C_{f_{2}}')) \\ &- \frac{F_{3}q^{2}}{M^{2}M_{f}} (C_{f_{1}}+C_{f_{1}}') \bigg] \frac{q^{2}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}} (3\cos^{2}\theta-1) - \bigg[\frac{F_{1}}{M_{f}} (C_{f_{1}}-C_{f_{1}}') - \frac{F_{3}a_{f}E_{f}}{MM_{f}} (C_{f_{1}}-C_{f_{1}}') \bigg] \frac{E_{f}}{M} \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{3}} (5\cos^{3}\theta-3\cos\theta) \\ &+ \bigg[-\frac{F_{2}}{M} (C_{f_{2}}-C_{f_{2}}') + \frac{F_{3}}{M^{2}M_{f}} \bigg(P_{f}q(C_{f_{1}}+C_{f_{1}}') + \frac{a_{f}E_{f}^{2}P_{f}q}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{3}}+C_{f_{3}}') + q^{2}(C_{f_{3}}-C_{f_{3}}') \bigg) \bigg] \bigg] \\ &\times \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{3}} (5\cos^{3}\theta-3\cos\theta) + \bigg[\frac{F_{3}a_{f}E_{f}P_{f}q}{M^{3}M_{f}} \bigg(-(C_{f_{1}}-C_{f_{1}}') + \frac{a_{f}E_{f}^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{3}}-C_{f_{3}}') \bigg) \bigg] \frac{q^{2}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}} (3\cos^{2}\theta-1) \\ &+ \bigg[\frac{F_{1}}{M_{f}} \bigg((C_{f_{1}}-C_{f_{1}}') + \frac{a_{f}E_{f}^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{3}}-C_{f_{3}}') \bigg) \bigg] \frac{1}{M^{2}} \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{3}\theta-\cos\theta) \\ &+ \bigg[\frac{F_{1}}{M_{f}} \bigg(C_{f_{1}}-C_{f_{1}}') + \frac{a_{f}E_{f}^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{3}}-C_{f_{3}}') \bigg) \bigg] \frac{1}{M^{2}} \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{3}\theta-\cos\theta) \\ &+ \bigg[\frac{F_{1}}{M_{f}} \bigg(C_{f_{1}}-C_{f_{1}}') + \frac{a_{f}E_{f}^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{3}}-C_{f_{3}}') \bigg) \bigg] \frac{1}{M^{2}} \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}}} (\cos^{3}\theta-\cos\theta) \\ &+ \bigg[\frac{F_{1}}{M_{f}} \bigg(C_{f_{1}}-C_{f_{1}}') + \frac{a_{f}E_{f}^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{3}}-C_{f_{3}}') \bigg) \bigg] \frac{1}{M^{2}} \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{3}}} (5\cos^{3}\theta-3\cos\theta) \\ &+ \bigg[\frac{F_{1}}{M_{f}} \bigg(C_{f_{1}}-C_{f_{1}}') + \frac{a_{f}E_{f}^{2}}}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{3}}-C_{f_{3}}') \bigg) \bigg] \frac{1}{M^{2}} \frac{q^{3}}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{3}}} (5\cos^{3}\theta-3\cos\theta) \\ &+ \bigg[\frac{F_{1}}{M_{f}} \bigg(-(C_{f_{1}}-C_{f_{1}}') + \frac{a_{f}E_{f}^{2}}}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{3}}-C_{f_{3}}') \bigg) \bigg] \frac{E_{f}}{M^{2}} \frac{q^{3}}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{3}}} (5\cos^{3}\theta-3\cos\theta) \\ &+ \bigg[\frac{F_{1}}{M_{f}} \bigg(\frac{F_{1}}{M_{f}} \bigg(-(C_{f_{1}}-C_{f_{1}}') + \frac{F_{1}}{M_{f}^{2}} \bigg) \bigg] \bigg] \frac{F_{1}}{M^{2}} \bigg] \bigg] \bigg] \frac{F_{1}}{M^{2}} \bigg] \bigg]$$

$$-\left[\frac{F_{1}}{MM_{f}^{3}}(a_{f}^{2}E_{f}^{3}(C_{f_{3}}+C_{f_{3}}')-a_{f}E_{f}P_{f}q(C_{f_{3}}-C_{f_{3}}'))+\frac{F_{2}}{MM_{f}^{2}}(-a_{f}E_{f}^{2}(C_{f_{2}}+C_{f_{2}}')+P_{f}q(C_{f_{2}}-C_{f_{2}}'))\right] \\ -\frac{F_{3}q^{2}}{M^{2}M_{f}}(C_{f_{1}}+C_{f_{1}}')\right]\frac{E_{f}}{M}\frac{q^{2}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}}(3\cos^{2}\theta-1)-\left[\frac{F_{3}}{M^{2}M_{f}}(-q^{2}(C_{f_{3}}-C_{f_{3}}'))+a_{f}^{2}E_{f}^{2}(C_{f_{3}}-C_{f_{3}}'))+\frac{F_{2}}{M}\right] \\ \times(C_{f_{2}}-C_{f_{2}}')\right]\frac{E_{f}}{M}\frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{3}}(5\cos^{3}\theta-3\cos\theta)-\left[\frac{F_{3}}{M^{2}M_{f}}\left(P_{f}q(C_{f_{1}}+C_{f_{1}}')+\frac{a_{f}E_{f}^{2}P_{f}q}{M_{f}^{2}}\right) \\ \times(C_{f_{3}}+C_{f_{3}}')+q^{2}(C_{f_{3}}-C_{f_{3}}')\right)-\frac{F_{2}}{M}(C_{f_{2}}-C_{f_{2}}')\right]\frac{E_{f}}{M}\frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{3}}(5\cos^{3}\theta-3\cos\theta) \\ +\left[\frac{F_{1}}{M_{f}}\left(-(C_{f_{1}}-C_{f_{1}}')+\frac{a_{f}E_{f}^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}}(C_{f_{3}}-C_{f_{3}}')\right)\right]\frac{E_{f}^{2}}{M^{2}2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{3}}(5\cos^{3}\theta-3\cos\theta) \\ -\left[\frac{F_{1}}{MM_{f}}a_{f}E_{f}(C_{f_{1}}+C_{f_{1}}')+\frac{F_{2}a_{f}E_{f}^{2}}{MM_{f}^{2}}(C_{f_{3}}+C_{f_{3}}')+\frac{F_{3}q^{2}}{M^{2}M_{f}^{2}}P_{f}q(C_{f_{3}}-C_{f_{3}}')\right]\frac{E_{f}}{M}\frac{q^{2}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}}(3\cos^{2}\theta-1)\right\}, \tag{B3}$$

where we have defined $P_f q = P_f \cdot q_{\perp} = -|\vec{P}_f||\vec{q}|\cos\theta$.

$$\begin{split} s_{3} &= \int \frac{q^{2} dqd \cos \theta}{(2\pi)^{2}} 4 \left\{ \left[\frac{F_{1}a_{f}E_{f}}{MM_{f}} (C_{f_{1}} + C_{f_{1}}') + \frac{F_{2}a_{f}E_{f}^{2}}{MM_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{3}} + C_{f_{3}}') + \frac{F_{3}q^{2}}{M^{2}M_{f}^{3}} P_{f}q(C_{f_{3}} - C_{f_{3}}') \right] \frac{q^{2}}{2} (\cos^{2}\theta - 1) \right. \\ &+ \left[\frac{F_{1}q^{2}}{M_{f}} \left(-(C_{f_{1}} - C_{f_{1}}') + \frac{P_{f}q}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{3}} + C_{f_{3}}') \right) + \frac{F_{3}a_{f}E_{f}q^{2}}{MM_{f}} (C_{f_{1}} - C_{f_{1}}') \right] \frac{q}{|\vec{P}_{f}|} \cos \theta \\ &+ \left[-\frac{F_{1}a_{f}E_{f}P_{f}q}{MM_{f}} (C_{f_{1}} + C_{f_{1}}') + \frac{F_{2}}{M} \left(\frac{(P_{f}q)^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} - q^{2} \right) (C_{f_{2}} - C_{f_{2}}') \right. \\ &+ \frac{F_{3}q^{2}}{M^{2}M_{f}} \left(q^{2}(C_{f_{3}} - C_{f_{3}}') + \frac{a_{f}E_{f}^{2}P_{f}q}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{3}} + C_{f_{3}}') \right) \right] \frac{q}{|\vec{P}_{f}|} \cos \theta - \left[\frac{F_{1}}{M_{f}} \left(-\frac{a_{f}^{2}|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}E_{f}^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{3}} + C_{f_{3}}') \right) \\ &+ \frac{F_{3}e_{f}q^{2}}{M^{2}M_{f}} \left(q^{2}(C_{f_{3}} - C_{f_{3}}') + P_{f}q(C_{f_{1}} - C_{f_{1}}') + q^{2}(C_{f_{1}} + C_{f_{1}}') \right) + F_{2}E_{f} \left(\frac{a_{f}|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{2}} + C_{f_{3}}') - \frac{a_{f}P_{f}q}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{2}} - C_{f_{2}}') \right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{F_{3}E_{f}q^{2}}{MM_{f}} (C_{f_{1}} + C_{f_{1}}') \right] \frac{E_{f}}{M} \frac{q^{2}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}} (3\cos^{2}\theta - 1) + \left[\frac{F_{1}}{M_{f}} \left(-(C_{f_{1}} - C_{f_{1}}') + \frac{a_{f}E_{f}^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{2}} - C_{f_{2}}') \right) \right] \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{3}\theta - \cos\theta) \\ &- 2 \left[\frac{F_{3}}{M^{2}M_{f}} \left(-q^{2}(C_{f_{3}} - C_{f_{3}}') + a_{f}^{2}E_{f}^{2} (C_{f_{2}} - C_{f_{2}}') \right) \right] \frac{E_{f}}{M} \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{3}\theta - \cos\theta) + \left[\frac{F_{1}}{M_{f}} \left(-\frac{a_{f}^{2}|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}E_{f}^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{3}} + C_{f_{3}}') \right) \\ &+ \frac{F_{3}E_{f}q^{2}}{M^{2}} (C_{f_{3}} - C_{f_{3}}') + P_{f}q(C_{f_{3}} - C_{f_{2}}') \right] \frac{E_{f}}{M^{2}} \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{3}\theta - \cos\theta) + \left[\frac{F_{1}}{M_{f}} \left(-\frac{a_{f}^{2}|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}E_{f}^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{3}} + C_{f_{3}}') \right] \\ &+ \frac{F_{3}E_{f}q^{2}}{M^{2}} (C_{f_{3}} - C_{f_{3}}') + P_{f}q(C_{f_{3}} - C_{f_{3}}') \right] \frac{E_{f}}{M^{2}} \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}} (C_{f_{3}} - C_{f_{$$

$$s_{4} = \int \frac{q^{2} dq d\cos\theta}{(2\pi)^{2}} 4 \left\{ \left[\frac{F_{1}q^{2}}{M_{f}} \left((C_{f_{1}} - C'_{f_{1}}) + \frac{\alpha_{f} |\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{3}} - C'_{f_{3}}) \right) - \frac{F_{3}\alpha_{f}^{2} E_{f} q^{2}}{MM_{f}} (C_{f_{1}} - C'_{f_{1}}) \right] \frac{q}{|\vec{P}_{f}|} \cos\theta + \left[\frac{F_{1}}{M_{f}} \left(-(C_{f_{1}} - C'_{f_{1}}) + \frac{\alpha_{f} E_{f}^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{3}} - C'_{f_{3}}) \right) \right] \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{3}\theta - \cos\theta) + \left[\frac{F_{3} E_{f}}{MM_{f}} \left(-(C_{f_{1}} + C'_{f_{1}}) + \frac{P_{f} q}{M_{f}^{2}} (C_{f_{3}} - C'_{f_{3}}) \right) - \frac{F_{1}}{M_{f}} (C_{f_{3}} + C'_{f_{3}}) \right] \frac{q^{4}}{8|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}} (5\cos^{4}\theta - 6\cos^{2}\theta + 1) + \left[-\frac{F_{1} P_{f} q}{M_{f}} (C_{f_{1}} - C'_{f_{1}}) + \frac{F_{3} \alpha_{f}^{2} E_{f} P_{f} q}{MM_{f}} (C_{f_{1}} - C'_{f_{1}}) \right] \frac{q^{2}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}} (3\cos^{2}\theta - 1) \right\}.$$
(B5)

For the decay channel $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}({}^{3}P_{2})\gamma$, the form factors g_{i} are

$$\begin{split} g_{1} &= \int \frac{q^{2} dqd \cos \theta}{(2\pi)^{2}} 4 \left\{ \left[\frac{F_{1}a_{f}E_{f}}{MM_{f}} (D_{f_{1}} - D'_{f_{1}}) + \frac{F_{2}}{M} (D_{f_{0}} - D'_{f_{0}}) - \frac{F_{3}q^{2}}{M^{2}M_{f}} (D_{f_{1}} - D'_{f_{1}}) \right] \\ &\times \frac{E_{f}}{M} \frac{q^{3}}{2|\tilde{P}_{f}|^{3}} (5\cos^{3}\theta - 3\cos\theta) + \left[-\frac{F_{2}q^{2}E_{f}^{2}}{M^{2}M_{f}^{2}} (D_{f_{4}} - D'_{f_{4}}) + \frac{F_{3}q^{2}E_{f}^{2}}{M^{4}M_{f}} (D_{f_{7}} - D'_{f_{7}}) \right] \\ &\times \frac{q^{3}}{2|\tilde{P}_{f}|^{2}} (\cos^{3}\theta - \cos\theta) + \left[-\frac{F_{1}q_{f}E_{f}M_{f}}{M^{3}} (D_{f_{5}} + D'_{f_{5}}) + \frac{F_{3}q^{2}E_{f}^{3}P_{f}q}{M^{3}M_{f}} (D_{f_{7}} - D'_{f_{7}}) \right] \right] \\ &\times \frac{q^{2}}{2|\tilde{P}_{f}|^{2}} (3\cos^{2}\theta - 1) + \left[\frac{F_{1}M_{f}}{M^{2}} (D_{f_{5}} - D'_{f_{7}}) - \frac{F_{3}a_{f}E_{f}P_{f}q}{M^{4}M_{f}} (D_{f_{7}} + D'_{f_{7}}) \right] \frac{E_{f}}{M^{2}} \frac{q^{3}}{2|\tilde{P}_{f}|^{2}} (5\cos^{3}\theta - 3\cos\theta) \\ &- \left[\frac{F_{1}q^{2}E_{f}^{2}}{M^{3}} (D_{f_{7}} + D'_{f_{7}}) - \frac{F_{2}a_{f}E_{f}}{M^{2}} (D_{f_{6}} + D'_{f_{6}}) + \frac{F_{3}a_{f}E_{f}q^{2}}{M^{3}M_{f}} (D_{f_{7}} + D'_{f_{7}}) \right] \frac{q^{2}}{2|\tilde{P}_{f}|^{2}} (3\cos^{2}\theta - 1) \\ &- \left[-\frac{F_{1}a_{f}}{M^{2}M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} + D'_{f_{7}}) - \frac{F_{2}a_{f}E_{f}}{M^{2}M_{f}^{2}} (D_{f_{6}} + D'_{f_{6}}) + \frac{F_{3}a_{f}E_{f}q^{2}}{M^{3}M_{f}} (D_{f_{7}} + D'_{f_{7}}) \right] \frac{q^{2}}{2|\tilde{P}_{f}|^{2}} (3\cos^{4}\theta - 6\cos^{2}\theta + 1) \\ &- \left[-\frac{2F_{3}a_{f}^{2}E_{f}}{M^{3}M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} + D'_{f_{3}}) - \frac{F_{1}}{M^{2}M_{f}} (D_{f_{7}} + D'_{f_{7}}) \right] \frac{E_{f}}{M^{2}M_{f}^{2}} \frac{q^{4}}{(D_{f_{7}} + D'_{f_{7}})} \right] \frac{E_{f}}{M^{3}} \frac{q^{4}}{R|\tilde{P}_{f}|^{2}} (5\cos^{4}\theta - 6\cos^{2}\theta + 1) \\ &+ 2 \left[\frac{F_{3}a_{f}}{M^{3}M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} - D'_{f_{3}}) - \frac{F_{2}}{MM_{f}^{2}} (D_{f_{4}} - D'_{f_{4}}) \right] \frac{E_{f}}{M^{2}} \frac{q^{4}}{R|\tilde{P}_{f}|^{2}} (7\cos^{5}\theta - 10\cos^{3}\theta + 3\cos\theta) \\ &- \left[\frac{F_{3}M_{f}}{M^{2}M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} - D'_{f_{3}}) - \frac{F_{2}}{MM_{f}^{2}} (D_{f_{7}} - D'_{f_{7}}) \right] \frac{E_{f}}{M^{2}} \frac{q^{5}}{R|\tilde{P}_{f}|^{3}} (7\cos^{5}\theta - 3\cos^{2}\theta + 1) \\ &- 2 \left[\frac{F_{3}a_{f}}}{M^{3}M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} - D'_{f_{3}}) - \frac{F_{2}}{MM_{f}^{2}} (D_{f_{7}} - D'_{f_{7}}) \right] \frac{1}{M^{2}} \frac{q^{5}}{R|\tilde{P}_{f}|^{3}}} (\cos^{5}\theta - 3\cos\theta) \\ &+ \left[\frac{F_{3}a_{f$$

$$g_{2} = \int \frac{q^{2} dq d \cos \theta}{(2\pi)^{2}} 4 \left\{ -2 \left[\frac{F_{3} \alpha_{f}}{M^{2} M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} - D'_{f_{3}}) - \frac{F_{2}}{M M_{f}^{2}} (D_{f_{4}} - D'_{f_{4}}) \right] \frac{E_{f}}{M} \frac{q^{5}}{8|\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{5}\theta - 2\cos^{3}\theta + \cos\theta) \right. \\ \left. + \left[-\frac{F_{1} \alpha_{f}}{M^{2} M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} + D'_{f_{3}}) + \frac{F_{2} \alpha_{f} E_{f}}{M^{2} M_{f}^{2}} (D_{f_{4}} + D'_{f_{4}}) - \frac{F_{3} \alpha_{f} E_{f}}{M^{3} M_{f}} (D_{f_{7}} + D'_{f_{7}}) \right] \frac{q^{4}}{8} (\cos^{4}\theta - 2\cos^{2}\theta + 1) \right. \\ \left. - \left[\frac{F_{1} M_{f}}{M^{2}} (D_{f_{5}} - D'_{f_{5}}) - \frac{F_{3} \alpha_{f} E_{f} P_{f} q}{M^{3} M_{f}} (D_{f_{7}} + D'_{f_{7}}) \right] \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{3}\theta - \cos\theta) \right. \\ \left. - \left[\frac{1}{M M_{f}} \left(\alpha_{f} F_{1} E_{f} - \frac{F_{3} q^{2}}{M} \right) (D_{f_{7}} - D'_{f_{7}}) + \frac{F_{2}}{M} (D_{f_{6}} - D'_{f_{6}}) \right] \frac{E_{f}}{M} \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{3}\theta - \cos\theta) \right. \\ \left. - \left[\frac{F_{3} M_{f}}{M^{2}} (D_{f_{5}} + D'_{f_{5}}) + \frac{F_{1} E_{f}}{M M_{f}} (D_{f_{7}} + D'_{f_{7}}) \right] \frac{E_{f}}{M} \frac{q^{4}}{8|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}} (5\cos^{4}\theta - 6\cos^{2}\theta + 1) \right. \\ \left. + \left[\frac{F_{3} M_{f}}{M^{2}} (D_{f_{5}} + D'_{f_{5}}) + \frac{F_{1} E_{f}}{M M_{f}} (D_{f_{7}} + D'_{f_{7}}) \right] \frac{q^{4}}{8|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}} (5\cos^{4}\theta - 6\cos^{2}\theta + 1) \right\}.$$
 (B7)

$$g_{4} = \int \frac{q^{2} dq d\cos\theta}{(2\pi)^{2}} 4 \left\{ \left[\frac{F_{3}M_{f}}{M^{2}} (D_{f_{5}} + D'_{f_{5}}) + \frac{F_{1}}{M} \frac{E_{f}}{M_{f}} (D_{f_{7}} + D'_{f_{7}}) \right] \frac{q^{4}}{8|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}} (5\cos^{4}\theta - 6\cos^{2}\theta + 1) \right. \\ \left. + 2 \left[\frac{F_{3}\alpha_{f}}{M^{2}M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} - D'_{f_{3}}) - \frac{F_{2}}{MM_{f}^{2}} (D_{f_{4}} - D'_{f_{4}}) \right] \frac{E_{f}}{M} \frac{q^{5}}{8|\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{5}\theta - 2\cos^{3}\theta + \cos\theta) \right. \\ \left. - \left[\left(\frac{F_{1}\alpha_{f}E_{f}}{MM_{f}} + \frac{F_{3}q^{2}}{M^{2}M_{f}} \right) (D_{f_{7}} - D'_{f_{7}}) + \frac{F_{2}}{M} (D_{f_{4}} - D'_{f_{4}}) \right] \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{3}\theta - \cos\theta) \right\}.$$
(B8)

$$\begin{split} g_{5} &= \int \frac{q^{2} dq \cos \theta}{(2\pi)^{2}} 4 \bigg\{ \bigg[\frac{F_{1} a_{f} E_{f} M_{f}}{M^{3}} (D_{f_{5}} + D'_{f_{5}}) - \frac{F_{2} a_{f} E_{f}^{2}}{M^{3}} (D_{f_{1}} + D'_{f_{1}}) \\ &+ \frac{F_{3} a_{f}^{2} E_{f}^{2}}{M^{4} M_{f}} (q^{2} (D_{f_{3}} + D'_{f_{3}}) + a_{f} P_{f} q (D_{f_{3}} - D'_{f_{3}})) \bigg] \frac{q^{2}}{2 |\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}} (3\cos^{2} \theta - 1) \\ &+ \bigg[\frac{F_{3} a_{f}^{3} E_{f}^{2}}{M^{4} M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} - D'_{f_{3}}) + \frac{F_{1} a_{f} E_{f}}{M^{3} M_{f}} (D_{f_{7}} - D'_{f_{7}}) - \frac{F_{2} a_{f}^{2} E_{f}^{2}}{M^{3} M_{f}^{2}} (D_{f_{4}} - D'_{f_{4}}) \bigg] \frac{q^{3}}{2 |\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{3} \theta - \cos \theta) \\ &+ \bigg[-\frac{F_{2}}{M} (D_{f_{1}} + D'_{f_{1}}) + \frac{F_{3}}{M^{2}} \bigg(\frac{q^{2}}{M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} + D'_{f_{3}}) + \frac{P_{f} q}{M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} - D'_{f_{3}}) + M_{f} (D_{f_{5}} + D'_{f_{5}}) \bigg) \bigg] \bigg] \\ &\times \frac{1}{M^{2}} \frac{q^{4}}{8 |\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}} (5\cos^{4} \theta - 6\cos^{2} \theta + 1) + \bigg[\frac{F_{3} a_{f}}{M^{2} M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} - D'_{f_{3}}) - \frac{F_{2}}{MM_{f}^{2}} (D_{f_{4}} - D'_{f_{4}}) \bigg] \bigg] \\ &\times \frac{E_{f}^{2}}{M^{2}} \frac{q^{5}}{8 |\vec{P}_{f}|^{3}} (7\cos^{5} \theta - 10\cos^{3} \theta + 3\cos \theta) + \bigg[\frac{F_{2} a_{f} E_{f}}{M^{2}} (D_{f_{1}} - D'_{f_{1}}) - \frac{F_{3} a_{f} E_{f}}{M^{3}} \bigg] \\ &\times \bigg(\frac{q^{2}}{M_{f}} (D_{f_{5}} - D'_{f_{5}}) + \frac{R_{f} q}{M_{f}} (D_{f_{5}} + D'_{f_{5}}) + a_{f} M_{f} (D_{f_{5}} - D'_{f_{5}}) + a_{f} M_{f} (D_{f_{7}} - D'_{f_{7}}) \bigg) \bigg) \bigg) \bigg) \bigg) \bigg| \frac{E_{f}}{M^{2}} \frac{q^{3}}{|\vec{P}_{f}|^{3}} (5\cos^{3} \theta - 3\cos \theta) \\ &- \bigg[F_{3} \frac{a_{f}}{M^{2} M_{f}} \times (D_{f_{3}} - D'_{f_{3}}) - \frac{F_{2}}{MM_{f}^{2}} (D_{f_{4}} - D'_{f_{4}}) \bigg] \frac{1}{M^{2}} \frac{q^{5}}{8|\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{5} \theta - 2\cos^{3} \theta + \cos \theta) \\ &+ \bigg[2 \frac{F_{3} a_{f}^{2} E_{f}}}{M^{3} M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} + D'_{f_{3}}) + \frac{F_{1}}{M^{2} M_{f}} (D_{f_{4}} - D'_{f_{4}}) \bigg] \frac{1}{M^{2}} \frac{q^{5}}{8|\vec{P}_{f}|} (D_{f_{4}} + D'_{f_{4}}) \bigg] \frac{E_{f}}}{M^{3}} \frac{q^{4}}{8|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}} (5\cos^{4} \theta - 6\cos^{2} \theta + 1) \bigg\}.$$

$$g_{6} = \int \frac{q^{2} dq d\cos\theta}{(2\pi)^{2}} 4 \left\{ \left[-\frac{F_{1} \alpha_{f}^{2} E_{f}}{M^{3} M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} + D'_{f_{3}}) - \frac{F_{3}}{M^{2} M_{f}} (D_{f_{7}} + D'_{f_{7}}) + \frac{F_{2} \alpha_{f} E_{f}}{M^{2} M_{f}^{2}} (D_{f_{4}} + D'_{f_{4}}) \right] \frac{q^{4}}{8} (\cos^{4}\theta - 2\cos^{2}\theta + 1) \right. \\ \left. - \left[\frac{F_{3} \alpha_{f}}{M^{2} M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} - D'_{f_{3}}) - \frac{F_{2}}{M M_{f}^{2}} (D_{f_{4}} - D'_{f_{4}}) \right] \frac{E_{f}}{M} \frac{q^{5}}{8|\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{5}\theta - 2\cos^{3}\theta + \cos\theta) \right. \\ \left. - \left[-\frac{F_{1}}{M^{2}} \left(M_{f} (D_{f_{5}} - D'_{f_{5}}) + \frac{P_{f} q}{M_{f}} (D_{f_{7}} + D'_{f_{7}}) \right) + \frac{F_{2} \alpha_{f} E_{f}}{M^{2}} (D_{f_{1}} - D'_{f_{1}}) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{F_{3} \alpha_{f} E_{f}}{M^{3}} \left(\frac{q^{2}}{M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} - D'_{f_{3}}) + \frac{\alpha_{f} P_{f} q}{M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} + D'_{f_{3}}) + \alpha_{f} M_{f} (D_{f_{5}} - D'_{f_{5}}) + \alpha_{f} M_{f} (D_{f_{7}} - D'_{f_{7}}) \right) \right] \right]$$

$$\times \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{3}\theta - \cos\theta) \bigg\}.$$
(B10)

$$g_{7} = \int \frac{q^{2} dq d\cos\theta}{(2\pi)^{2}} 4 \left\{ -\left[\frac{F_{3} \alpha_{f} E_{f} M_{f}}{M^{3}} (D_{f_{5}} - D'_{f_{5}}) + \frac{F_{1} \alpha_{f} E_{f}^{2}}{M^{2} M_{f}} (D_{f_{7}} - D'_{f_{7}}) \right] \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{3}\theta - \cos\theta) \right. \\ \left. + 2 \left[-\frac{F_{2}}{M} (D_{f_{1}} + D'_{f_{1}}) + \frac{F_{3}}{M^{2}} \left(\frac{q^{2}}{M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} + D'_{f_{3}}) + \frac{P_{f} q}{M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} - D'_{f_{3}}) + M_{f} (D_{f_{5}} + D'_{f_{5}}) \right) \right] \right. \\ \left. \times \frac{q^{4}}{8|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}} (5\cos^{4}\theta - 6\cos^{2}\theta + 1) - \left[-\frac{F_{1}}{M^{2}} \left(M_{f} (D_{f_{5}} - D'_{f_{5}}) + \frac{P_{f} q}{M_{f}} (D_{f_{7}} + D'_{f_{7}}) \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{F_{2} \alpha_{f} E_{f}}{M^{2}} (D_{f_{1}} - D'_{f_{1}}) - 2 \frac{F_{3} \alpha_{f} E_{f}}{M^{3}} \left(\frac{q^{2}}{M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} - D'_{f_{3}}) + \frac{\alpha_{f} P_{f} q}{M_{f}} (D_{f_{3}} + D'_{f_{3}}) \right. \\ \left. + \alpha_{f} M_{f} (D_{f_{5}} - D'_{f_{5}}) + \alpha_{f} M_{f} (D_{f_{7}} - D'_{f_{7}}) \right] \frac{q^{3}}{2|\vec{P}_{f}|} (\cos^{3}\theta - \cos\theta) \\ \left. - \left[\frac{F_{3} M_{f}}{M^{2}} (D_{f_{5}} + D'_{f_{5}}) + \frac{F_{1} E_{f}}{MM_{f}} (D_{f_{7}} + D'_{f_{7}}) \right] \frac{E_{f}}{M} \frac{q^{4}}{8|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}} (5\cos^{4}\theta - 6\cos^{2}\theta + 1) \right\}.$$

$$(B11)$$

APPENDIX C: THE AMPLITUDE SQUARE

For $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}({}^{3}P_{1})\gamma$, the square modulus of amplitude is

$$\begin{split} \overline{|\mathcal{M}|_{3}^{2}} &= \frac{4e^{2}}{45} \left\{ 2s_{1}^{2}M^{2} \left[\left(|\vec{P}_{f}|^{4} + \frac{M_{f}^{6} - E_{f}^{6}}{3M_{f}^{2}} \right) (2ME_{f} + M^{2} + M_{f}^{2}) \right] + \frac{5}{3}s_{2}^{2}M^{2} \frac{|\vec{P}_{f}|^{4}}{M_{f}^{2}} \\ &+ s_{3}^{2} \left[M_{f}^{2} (2ME_{f} + M^{2} + M_{f}^{2}) - \frac{1}{3} \left(E_{f}^{2} (E_{f}^{2} + M^{2} + |\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}) - \frac{2ME_{f}^{3}|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} + \frac{2ME_{f}^{4} (E_{f} + M)}{M_{f}^{2}} \right) \right] + 2s_{4}^{2} |\vec{P}_{f}|^{4} \\ &+ \frac{5}{3}s_{5}^{2} \left(\frac{E_{f}^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} + 2 \right) + 4s_{1}s_{2}M^{2} \left(E_{f}^{2}|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2} + \frac{(M_{f}^{6} - E_{f}^{6})}{3M_{f}^{2}} \right) + \frac{4}{3}s_{1}s_{3}ME_{f} \left(\frac{|\vec{P}_{f}|^{4}}{M_{f}^{2}} (2ME_{f} + M^{2} + M_{f}^{2}) \right) \\ &+ 4s_{1}s_{4}M^{2} \left(E_{f}^{2}|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2} + \frac{(M_{f}^{6} - E_{f}^{6})}{3M_{f}^{2}} \right) + (s_{1}s_{5} + s_{2}s_{3} + s_{2}s_{4} + s_{3}s_{4}) \frac{4}{3} \frac{ME_{f}|\vec{P}_{f}|^{4}}{M_{f}^{2}} \\ &+ \frac{10}{3}s_{2}s_{5} \frac{ME_{f}|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} + \frac{2}{3}s_{3}s_{5}|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2} \left(1 + \frac{2(M_{f}^{2} + E_{f}^{2})}{M_{f}^{2}} \right) + \frac{4}{3}s_{4}s_{5} \frac{|\vec{P}_{f}|^{4}}{M_{f}^{2}} \right\}. \end{split}$$
(C1)

For $X(3823) \rightarrow \chi_{c2}({}^{3}P_{2})\gamma$, the square of the amplitude is

$$\begin{split} \overline{|\mathcal{M}|_{4}^{2}} &= \frac{4e^{2}}{45} \left\{ g_{1}^{2} M^{4} \left[\frac{3}{2} |\vec{P}_{f}|^{2} E_{f}^{2} (2ME_{f} + M^{2} + M_{f}^{2}) + \frac{ME_{f} (M_{f}^{6} - E_{f}^{6})}{M_{f}^{2}} \right] + \frac{2}{3} g_{5}^{2} M^{6} \\ &\times \left(|\vec{P}_{f}|^{6} \frac{E_{f}^{2}}{M_{f}^{4}} + \frac{M_{f}^{6} - E_{f}^{6}}{M_{f}^{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} g_{3}^{2} M^{2} \left(3|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2} (2ME_{f} + M^{2} + M_{f}^{2}) + (M_{f}^{4} - E_{f}^{4}) \right) \\ &\times \frac{2ME_{f} + M^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} \right) + \frac{5}{3} g_{7}^{2} M^{2} |\vec{P}_{f}|^{4} + \frac{1}{6} g_{8}^{2} \frac{M^{2} |\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} \left(19 + \frac{3E_{f}^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{6} (g_{2}^{2} + g_{6}^{2}) M^{4} |\vec{P}_{f}|^{2} \\ &\times \left(\frac{3E_{f}^{2} (M_{f}^{2} + E_{f}^{2})}{M_{f}^{4}} + \frac{E_{f}^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} - 7 \right) + g_{1} g_{3} M^{3} E_{f} |\vec{P}_{f}|^{4} \left(1 + \frac{M^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} + \frac{2ME_{f}}{M_{f}^{2}} \right) \\ &+ (g_{1} g_{2} + g_{1} g_{4} + g_{1} g_{7}) M^{4} \left(3E_{f}^{2} |\vec{P}_{f}|^{2} + \frac{M_{f}^{6} - E_{f}^{6}}{M_{f}^{2}} \right) + \frac{4}{3} (g_{2} g_{5} - g_{3} g_{6}) \\ &\times \frac{-M^{5} E_{f} |\vec{P}_{f}|^{6}}{M_{f}^{4}} + \frac{2}{3} g_{5} g_{7} M^{4} \left(-3E_{f}^{2} |\vec{P}_{f}|^{2} + \frac{E_{f}^{6} - M_{f}^{6}}{M_{f}^{2}} \right) + \frac{2}{3} (g_{5} g_{8} + g_{2} g_{6}) \frac{M^{4} |\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} \\ &\times \left(M_{f}^{2} + E_{f}^{2} - \frac{2E_{f}^{4}}{M_{f}^{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{3} (g_{2} g_{8} - g_{6} g_{8}) \frac{M^{3} E_{f} |\vec{P}_{f}|^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} \left(\frac{4(M_{f}^{2} + E_{f}^{2})}{M_{f}^{2}} + 7 \right) \\ &+ (g_{3} g_{4} + g_{2} g_{3} + g_{3} g_{7} + g_{1} g_{8} + g_{4} g_{7} + g_{2} g_{7} + g_{6} g_{7}) M^{3} E_{f} \frac{-|\vec{P}_{f}|^{4}}{M_{f}^{2}} + g_{3} g_{8} M^{2} \\ &\times \left(-3|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2} + \frac{-|\vec{P}_{f}|^{2} (M_{f}^{2} + E_{f}^{2})}{M_{f}^{2}} \right) + \frac{5}{3} \left(g_{2} g_{4} + g_{4} g_{6} + g_{4}^{2} + \frac{g_{7} g_{8}}{M^{2}} \right) M^{4} \frac{|\vec{P}_{f}|^{4}}{M_{f}^{2}} \right\}.$$
(C2)

- [1] G.-L. Wang, T.-F. Feng, and X.-G. Wu, Phys. Rev. D 101, 116011 (2020).
- [2] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D 32, 189 (1985).
- [3] S. K. Choi *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 262001 (2003).
- [4] S. Uehara *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 092001 (2010).
- [5] S. Uehara *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 082003 (2006).
- [6] P. Pakhlov *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 202001 (2008).
- [7] B. Aubert *et al.* (*BABAR* Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 142001 (2005).
- [8] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 252001 (2013).
- [9] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 102001 (2021).
- [10] N. Brambilla et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1534 (2011).
- [11] H.-X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, Phys. Rep. 639, 1 (2016).

- [12] Y.-R. Liu, H.-X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 107, 237 (2019).
- [13] N. Brambilla, S. Eidelman, C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev, C. P. Shen, C. E. Thomas, A. Vairo, and C. Z. Yuan, Phys. Rep. 873, 1 (2020).
- [14] V. Bhardwaj *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett.
 111, 032001 (2013).
- [15] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 011803 (2015).
- [16] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 102003 (2022).
- [17] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2020) 123.
- [18] S.-J. Sang, J.-Z. Li, C. Meng, and K.-T. Chao, Phys. Rev. D 91, 114023 (2015).
- [19] Q. Li, T.-H. Wang, Y. Jiang, H. Yuan, and G.-L. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C 76, 454 (2016).
- [20] C.-F. Qiao, F. Yuan, and K.-T. Chao, Phys. Rev. D 55, 4001 (1997).
- [21] M. B. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. D 91, 114029 (2015).

- [22] E. J. Eichten, K. Lane, and C. Quigg, Phys. Rev. D 69, 094019 (2004).
- [23] T.-H. Wang, H.-F. Fu, Y. Jiang, Q. Li, and G.-L. Wang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 32, 1750035 (2017).
- [24] Z.-G. He, Y. Fan, and K.-T. Chao, Phys. Rev. D 81, 074032 (2010).
- [25] E. J. Eichten, K. Lane, and C. Quigg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 162002 (2002).
- [26] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin, Phys. Rev. D 67, 014027 (2003).
- [27] T. Barnes, S. Godfrey, and E. S. Swanson, Phys. Rev. D 72, 054026 (2005).
- [28] B.-Q. Li and K.-T. Chao, Phys. Rev. D 79, 094004 (2009).
- [29] W.-J. Deng, H. Liu, L.-C. Gui, and X.-H. Zhong, Phys. Rev. D 95, 034026 (2017).
- [30] B. Wang, H. Xu, X. Liu, D.-Y. Chen, S. Coito *et al.*, Front. Phys. (Beijing) **11**, 111402 (2016).
- [31] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 103, L091102 (2021).
- [32] Z.-K. Geng, T.-H. Wang, Y. Jiang, G. Li, X.-Z. Tan, and G.-L. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 99, 013006 (2019).
- [33] E. E. Salpeter and H. A. Bethe, Phys. Rev. 84, 1232 (1951).

- [34] E. E. Salpeter, Phys. Rev. 87, 328 (1952).
- [35] C.-S. Kim and G.-L. Wang, Phys. Lett. B 584, 285 (2004); 634, 564(E) (2006).
- [36] G.-L. Wang, Phys. Lett. B 650, 15 (2007).
- [37] C.-H. Chang, J.-K. Chen, and G.-L. Wang, Commun. Theor. Phys. 46, 467 (2006).
- [38] G.-L. Wang, Phys. Lett. B 653, 206 (2007).
- [39] T.-H. Wang and G.-L. Wang, Phys. Lett. B 697, 233 (2011).
- [40] T.-H. Wang, Y. Jiang, W.-L. Ju, H. Yuan, and G.-L. Wang, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2016) 209.
- [41] G.-L. Wang, T.-H. Wang, Q. Li, and C.-H. Chang, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2022) 006.
- [42] W. Li, Y.-L. Wang, T.-F. Feng, and G.-L. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 721 (2020).
- [43] C.-H. Chang and G.-L. Wang, Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron. 53, 2005 (2010).
- [44] G.-L. Wang, Phys. Lett. B 674, 172 (2009).
- [45] W. Kwong and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 38, 279 (1988).
- [46] R. L. Workman *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2022**, 083C01 (2020).
- [47] W.-J. Deng, L.-Y. Xiao, L.-C. Gui, and X.-H. Zhong, arXiv:1510.08269.