Effective-one-body Hamiltonian in scalar-tensor gravity at third post-Newtonian order

Tamanna Jain,^{1,*} Piero Rettegno,² Michalis Agathos,^{1,3} Alessandro Nagar,^{2,4} and Lorenzo Turco⁵

¹Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge,

Wilberforce Road CB3 0WA Cambridge, United Kingdom

²INFN Sezione di Torino, Via P. Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy

³Kavli Institute for Cosmology Cambridge, University of Cambridge,

⁴Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques, 91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France

⁵Dipartimento di Fisica, Universitá degli studi di Genova e INFN, Sezione di Genova, I-16146 Genova, Italy

(Received 2 December 2022; accepted 13 February 2023; published 11 April 2023)

We determine the general local-in-time effective-one-body (EOB) Hamiltonian for massless scalartensor (ST) theories at third post-Newtonian (PN) order. Starting from the Lagrangian derived in Bernard [Phys. Rev. D 99, 044047 (2019)], we map it to the corresponding ordinary Hamiltonian describing the two-body interaction in ST theories at 3PN level. Using a canonical transformation, we then map this onto an EOB Hamiltonian so as to determine the ST corrections to the 3PN-accurate EOB potentials (A, B, Q_e) at 3PN. We then focus on circular orbits and compare the effect of the newly computed 3PN terms, also completed with finite-size and nonlocal-in-time contributions, on predictions for the frequency at the innermost stable circular orbit. Our results will be useful to build high-accuracy waveform models in ST theory, which could be used to perform precise tests against general relativity using gravitational wave data from coalescing compact binaries.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.107.084017

I. INTRODUCTION

Among the gravitational theories alternative to Einstein's general relativity (GR), scalar-tensor (ST) theories with massless scalar fields are those most thoroughly studied and tested [1–6]. Besides their manifestly well-posed nature, the presence of an additional gravitational scalar field arises as a natural feature in theories of gravity designed to serve as UV completions of GR. The nonminimally coupled scalar field also gives interesting phenomenology, both in gravitating astrophysical systems and in cosmology [7].

The recent breakthrough in experimental gravity, with the first direct observation of gravitational waves (GW) by the LIGO-Virgo Collaboration in 2015 [8], opened new unexplored pathways toward probing the dynamics of gravity at extreme conditions [9–15] and led to the first bounds on high-order post-Newtonian coefficients [16]. With the sensitivity of the LIGO [17], Virgo [18], and now KAGRA [19] detector network continuously improving [20], a wealth of detected GW signals emitted by coalescing black-hole (BH) and neutron-star (NS) binaries has been thoroughly analyzed in order to test the strongfield dynamics of GR and probe the nature of the observed compact objects [21–24]. So far, in their vast majority, these are either null-hypothesis tests or searches for generic GRviolating features (dispersion, nontensorial polarizations, etc.), due to the lack of accurate and complete waveform models in any gravitational theory alternative to GR, that are reliable from the early binary inspiral all the way to merger. Nevertheless, much progress has been achieved for a selective set of promising theories, including ST as we shall see in detail below. With this work, we make the next step toward obtaining a model sufficiently accurate for performing apples-to-apples comparisons between ST and GR. This is already important for reliably interpreting observational bounds using GW data from the current network of detectors, and will become even more crucial for probing the strong-field dynamics of gravity to much greater accuracy with the next generation of detectors, such as the Einstein Telescope [25] and Cosmic Explorer [26].

For ST theories in particular, although currently the most stringent constraints come from binary pulsar observations, studying the effects of scalarized NSs on the orbital evolution [4], there is hope that future gravitational wave detections of coalescing compact binaries will complement current knowledge by placing additional constraints on the ST parameters using genuine strong-field information from the binary inspiral. GWs from compact binary inspirals may also reveal effects of ST gravity in scenarios where the scalarization process is suppressed for weakly gravitating

Madingley Road CB3 0HA Cambridge, United Kingdom

tj317@cam.ac.uk

systems (therefore circumventing binary pulsar bounds) but still arises during the late stages of the inspiral where gravity is strong, a phenomenon known as dynamical scalarization [5,27,28].

The interpretation of detected GW signals relies on Bayesian data analysis techniques, where the theoretical prediction of a waveform is matched against the data. To this aim, there is an increasing effort in improving the analytical knowledge of the two-body problem in ST theories, both for what concerns the dynamics [29–34] and the waveform generation [35,36] through post-Newtonian (PN) theory. Finite-size effects in ST theories further modify the binary dynamics by the contribution of dipole-sourced scalar radiation to the outgoing energy flux [33]. In addition, tidal Love numbers might be very different from their GR counterparts [37]. This can eventually impact the measurement of tidal polarizability and related constraints put on the equation of state of cold matter at extreme densities [38–42].

To robustly describe the binary dynamics and waveform in a strong field up to merger, PN results should be recast within the effective-one-body (EOB) description of the two-body problem [43–49]. The generalization of the EOB method to ST theories at the 2PN level has been recently worked out [50,51]. The aim of this paper is to extend the results of Refs. [50,51] to 3PN order building upon the 3PN Lagrangian in ST of [31,32].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we briefly recall the definition of massless ST theories. Then, in Sec. III we derive the order-reduced Lagrangian for ST theory at 3PN, and from this we obtain the center-of-mass ordinary Hamiltonian in Sec. IV. Finally, in Sec. V, we map the ordinary Hamiltonian into an EOB Hamiltonian at 3PN order and in Sec. VI we explore the relevance of the 3PN ST terms (including nonlocal and finite-size contributions) by studying the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO). We use geometric units throughout the paper, with c = G = 1.

II. SCALAR-TENSOR THEORY REMINDER

We consider monoscalar massless ST theories and mostly adopt the notations and conventions of Damour and Esposito-Farese (DEF hereafter, see Table I) [1,3]. The theory is defined by the following action in the Einstein frame:

$$S = \frac{c^4}{16\pi G_*} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (R - 2g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\varphi\partial_\nu\varphi) + S_m[\Psi, \mathcal{A}(\varphi)^2 g_{\mu\nu}], \qquad (2.1)$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein metric, *R* is the Ricci scalar, φ is the scalar field, Ψ collectively denotes the matter fields, $g \equiv \det(g_{\mu\nu})$, and G_* is the bare Newton's constant. In the Einstein frame, the scalar field is minimally coupled to the Einstein metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, and the dynamics of the latter is governed only by the usual Einstein-Hilbert action. The

TABLE I. Relation between the ST parameters used in the twobody Lagrangian by Laura Bernard (LB hereafter) in Ref. [31], the DEF ones, and the slightly simplified notation that we are using here. The index 0 signifies a quantity evaluated at $\varphi = \varphi_0$, where φ_0 is the asymptotic constant value of the scalar field.

LB [31]	DEF [1,3]	This paper
m_1	m_A^0/\mathcal{A}_0	$m_A^0/\mathcal{A}_0 \equiv \tilde{m}_A^0$
m_2	$m_B^0/{\cal A}_0$	$m_B^0/\mathcal{A}_0 \equiv \tilde{m}_B^0$
α	$rac{1+lpha_A^0lpha_B^0}{1+lpha_0^2}$	$lpha_{AB}$
$\tilde{G} \alpha$	$(1 + \alpha_A^0 \alpha_B^0) \mathcal{A}_0^2 \equiv G_{AB} \mathcal{A}_0^2$	$G_{AB}\mathcal{A}_0^2 \equiv \tilde{G}_{AB}$
$\overline{\gamma}$	$-2rac{a_A^0a_B^0}{1+a_A^0a_B^0}\equiv \bar{\gamma}_{AB}$	$ar{\gamma}_{AB}$
$ar{eta}_1$	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\beta_A \alpha_B^2)_0}{(1+\alpha_A^0 \alpha_B^0)^2} \equiv \bar{\beta}_{BB}^A$	$ar{eta}_A$
$\bar{\beta}_2$	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\beta_B \alpha_A^2)_0}{(1+\alpha_A^0 \alpha_B^0)^2} \equiv \bar{\beta}^B_{AA}$	$ar{eta}_B$
$ar{\delta}_1$	$\frac{(a_A^0)^2}{(1+a_A^0,a_A^0)^2}$	δ_A
$\bar{\delta}_2$	$\frac{(a_B^{(1)})^2}{(1+a_B^0 a_B^0)^2}$	δ_B
$\bar{\chi}_1$	$-\frac{1}{4} \frac{(\beta'_A a^3_B)_0}{(1+a^3_A a^0_B)^3} \equiv -\frac{1}{4} \epsilon^A_{BBB}$	$-\frac{1}{4}\epsilon_A$
$\bar{\chi}_2$	$-\frac{1}{4} \frac{(\beta'_B \alpha_A^3)_0}{(1+\alpha_a^0 \alpha_B^0)^3} \equiv -\frac{1}{4} \epsilon^B_{AAA}$	$-\frac{1}{4}\epsilon_B$
$ar{eta}_1ar{eta}_2/ar{\gamma}$	$-\frac{1}{8}\frac{\beta_{A}^{0}\alpha_{A}^{0}\beta_{B}^{0}\alpha_{B}^{0}}{(1+\alpha_{A}^{0}\alpha_{B}^{0})^{3}} \equiv -\frac{1}{8}\zeta_{ABAB}$	$-\frac{1}{8}\zeta$
$\bar{\kappa}_1$	$rac{(a_B^4eta_A'')_0}{8(1+lpha_A^0lpha_B^0)^4}$	$ar{\kappa}_A$
<i>κ</i> ₂	$\frac{(\alpha_A^4 \beta_B'')_0}{8(1+\alpha_A^0 \alpha_B^0)^4}$	$\bar{\kappa}_B$

dynamics of the scalar field arises from its coupling to the matter fields Ψ . The scalar field couples nonminimally to the metric in the Jordan frame (physical frame),

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{A}(\varphi)^2 g_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (2.2)$$

where $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric in the Jordan frame. The function $\mathcal{A}(\varphi)$ uniquely fixes the ST theory, and general relativity is recovered when $\mathcal{A}(\varphi) = cst$. The Einstein frame field equations can be found in Ref. [1]. The parameter

$$\alpha(\varphi) = \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{A}}{\partial \varphi} \tag{2.3}$$

arising in the equations of motion measures the coupling between the matter and the scalar field. For compact, selfgravitating objects in ST theories, we follow the approach suggested by [52] to "skeletonize" the extended bodies as point particles. The skeletonized matter action is then given by

$$S_m = -\sum_{I=A,B} \int \sqrt{-\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}} \frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\lambda} \tilde{m}_I(\varphi), \qquad (2.4)$$

where the Jordan frame mass $\tilde{m}_I(\varphi)$ of body *I* is dependent on the local value of the scalar field, and λ is the affine parameter. Since $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{A}(\varphi)^2 g_{\mu\nu}$, the Einstein frame mass is defined as

$$m(\varphi) = \mathcal{A}(\varphi)\tilde{m}(\varphi). \tag{2.5}$$

The mass function $m(\varphi)$ is used to define dimensionless body-dependent parameters to encompass the scalar field effect [1,3,51] i.e.

$$\alpha_I = \frac{d\ln m(\varphi)_I}{d\varphi}, \qquad (2.6)$$

$$\beta_I = \frac{d\alpha_I}{d\varphi},\tag{2.7}$$

$$\beta_I' = \frac{d\beta_I}{d\varphi},\tag{2.8}$$

$$\beta_I'' = \frac{d\beta_I'}{d\varphi}.$$
 (2.9)

Reference [31] defines the two-body Lagrangian ST parameters at 3PN in the Jordan frame. Table I converts these Jordan-frame parameters into the Einstein frame ones (DEF conventions), and the corresponding ones used in this paper.

III. SCALAR-TENSOR 3PN ORDINARY LAGRANGIAN

The ST two-body 3PN Lagrangian was obtained in Ref. [32] in harmonic coordinates. As such, it depends (linearly) on the acceleration of the two bodies. In this section, we transform the Lagrangian of [32] into an ordinary Lagrangian, that only depends on positions and velocities. To do so, we can either boldly replace the acceleration by the equations of motion or use the contact transformation (modulo a total time derivative which is irrelevant in a Lagrangian) [53]. Here we choose the second approach, i.e. we use the contact transformation to make a four-dimensional coordinate change to eliminate the acceleration dependence. The contact transformation at 3PN order is based on the algorithm presented in Ref. [54], constructed so to eliminate higher order derivative terms from the Lagrangian. As the dependence on accelerations

starts at 2PN order, the contact transformation also starts at 2PN order. However, the 2PN method presented in [51] for ST theory cannot be extended at the 3PN because of the presence of the acceleration-dependent terms in the functional derivative $\delta \mathcal{L}/\delta Z_A^i$ of the contact transformation. By contrast, following Ref. [55], we have to introduce some sort of "counterterm" at 3PN for ST theory in order to eliminate the acceleration dependence from the Lagrangian (an overview of contact transformation at 3PN order is given in Appendix A). To construct a general contact transformation, we can freely add a term of the type $\partial_{V_A^i} \mathcal{F}/m_A^0$ to the contact transformation (see Ref. [56]), where \mathcal{F} is an arbitrary function that starts at 2PN and only depends on positions and velocities. The total time derivative of \mathcal{F} eliminates the acceleration produced by the addition of this term, without affecting the dynamics of the system. Therefore, the ordinary (reduced) Lagrangian, $\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\text{red}}$, is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{f}^{\text{red}} = \mathcal{L} + \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{dt} + \sum_{A} \delta Z_{A}^{i} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta Z_{A}^{i}}, \qquad (3.1)$$

where \mathcal{L} is the Lagrangian in the harmonic coordinate system, and δZ_A^i indicates the contact transformation. Since \mathcal{F} starts at 2PN order, it can be formally written as

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{2\mathrm{PN}} + \mathcal{F}_{3\mathrm{PN}},\tag{3.2}$$

where \mathcal{F}_{2PN} is the 2PN contribution given in Ref. [51], and \mathcal{F}_{3PN} is the 3PN contribution, the most general form of which is given in Eq. (3.3). This 3PN contribution depends on 56 parameters, and the factor G_{AB} appears in the definition of parameters F_i of \mathcal{F}_{3PN} for dimensional convenience. We first derive the contact transformation at 3PN order for ST theory (see Appendix B), and then use it to derive the reduced Lagrangian, \mathcal{L}_f^{red} , at 3PN order. This reduced Lagrangian is an ordinary Lagrangian, dependent on F_i and f_i .¹

Hence, we have a whole class of coordinate systems (dependent on the parameters F_i and f_i) in which our derived Lagrangian, $\mathcal{L}_f^{\text{red}}$, is ordinary, while harmonic coordinates do not belong to this class. For completeness, let us give here the explicit expression of $\mathcal{F}^{3\text{PN}}$ that readse

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}_{3\text{PN}} &= G_{AB} m_A^0 m_B^0 [F_1 (\vec{V}_A \cdot \vec{V}_A)^2 + F_2 (\vec{V}_A \cdot \vec{V}_A) \vec{V}_A \cdot \vec{V}_B + F_3 (\vec{V}_A \cdot \vec{V}_B)^2 + F_4 (\vec{V}_A \cdot \vec{V}_A) (\vec{V}_B \cdot \vec{V}_B) + F_5 (\vec{V}_B \cdot \vec{V}_B) \vec{V}_A \cdot \vec{V}_B \\ &+ F_6 (\vec{V}_B \cdot \vec{V}_B)^2] \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_A - [F_7 (\vec{V}_A \cdot \vec{V}_A)^2 + F_8 (\vec{V}_A \cdot \vec{V}_A) \vec{V}_A \cdot \vec{V}_B + F_9 (\vec{V}_A \cdot \vec{V}_A) (\vec{V}_B \cdot \vec{V}_B) + F_{10} (\vec{V}_A \cdot \vec{V}_B)^2 \\ &+ F_{11} (\vec{V}_B \cdot \vec{V}_B) \vec{V}_A \cdot \vec{V}_B + F_{12} (\vec{V}_B \cdot \vec{V}_B)^2] \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_B + [F_{13} \vec{V}_A \cdot \vec{V}_A + F_{14} \vec{V}_A \cdot \vec{V}_B + F_{15} \vec{V}_B \cdot \vec{V}_B] (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_A)^3 \end{split}$$

¹By f_i , we denote the parameters of the generic function at 2PN order given in Ref. [51].

$$+ [F_{16}\vec{V}_{A}\cdot\vec{V}_{A} + F_{17}\vec{V}_{A}\cdot\vec{V}_{B} + F_{18}\vec{V}_{B}\cdot\vec{V}_{B}](\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{A})^{2}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B}) - [F_{19}\vec{V}_{A}\cdot\vec{V}_{A} + F_{20}\vec{V}_{A}\cdot\vec{V}_{B} + F_{21}\vec{V}_{B}\cdot\vec{V}_{B}](\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{A})(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B})^{2} - [F_{22}\vec{V}_{A}\cdot\vec{V}_{A} + F_{23}\vec{V}_{A}\cdot\vec{V}_{B} + F_{24}\vec{V}_{B}\cdot\vec{V}_{B}](\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B})^{3} + F_{25}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{A})^{5} + F_{26}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{A})^{4}\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B} + F_{27}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{A})^{3}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B})^{2} - F_{28}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{A})^{2}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B})^{3} - F_{29}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{A})(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B})^{4} - F_{30}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B})^{5} \} + \frac{G_{AB}^{2}(m_{A}^{0})^{2}m_{B}^{0}}{R} \{[F_{31}\vec{V}_{A}\cdot\vec{V}_{A} + F_{32}\vec{V}_{A}\cdot\vec{V}_{B} + F_{33}\vec{V}_{B}\cdot\vec{V}_{B}]\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{A} + F_{37}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{A})^{3} + F_{38}\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{A})^{2} - [F_{34}\vec{V}_{A}\cdot\vec{V}_{A} + F_{35}\vec{V}_{A}\cdot\vec{V}_{B} + F_{36}\vec{V}_{B}\cdot\vec{V}_{B}]\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B} - F_{39}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B})^{2}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{A}) - F_{40}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B})^{3} \} + \frac{G_{AB}^{2}m_{A}^{0}(m_{B}^{0})^{2}}{R} \{(F_{41}\vec{V}_{A}\cdot\vec{V}_{A} + F_{42}\vec{V}_{A}\cdot\vec{V}_{B} + F_{43}\vec{V}_{B}\cdot\vec{V}_{B})\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{A} - (F_{44}\vec{V}_{A}\cdot\vec{V}_{A} + F_{45}\vec{V}_{A}\cdot\vec{V}_{B} + F_{46}\vec{V}_{B}\cdot\vec{V}_{B})\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B} + F_{47}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{A})^{3} + F_{48}\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{A})^{2} - F_{49}\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{A}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B})^{2} - F_{50}(\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B})^{3} \} + \frac{G_{AB}^{3}(m_{A}^{0})^{2}(m_{B}^{0})^{2}}{R^{2}}\{F_{51}\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{A} - F_{52}\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B}\} + \frac{G_{AB}^{3}(m_{A}^{0})^{3}m_{B}^{0}}{R^{2}}\{F_{53}\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{A} - F_{56}\vec{n}_{AB}\cdot\vec{V}_{B}\},$$

$$(3.3)$$

where, G_{AB} is the effective Newton's gravitational constant in DEF coordinates (see Table I), $\vec{V}_{A,B}$ are the velocities of the two bodies, $\vec{n}_{AB} \equiv (\vec{Z}_A - \vec{Z}_B)/R$ the unit vector of the relative separation, where $\vec{Z}_{A,B}$ indicates the positions of the two bodies and $R \equiv |\vec{Z}_A - \vec{Z}_B|$.

IV. CENTER-OF-MASS FRAME TWO-BODY ORDINARY HAMILTONIAN AT 3PN

Let us now derive the ordinary Hamiltonian, in the center-of-mass (COM) frame, corresponding to the class of ordinary Lagrangians of Sec. III. We do so by ordinary Legendre transformation. In the COM frame, the total momentum vanishes, $\vec{P}_A + \vec{P}_B = 0$, where $\vec{P}_{A,B}$ are the momenta of the two bodies, so the conjugate variables are $\vec{Z} = \vec{Z}_A - \vec{Z}_B$ and $\vec{P} = \vec{P}_A = -\vec{P}_B$. Since we are considering nonspinning bodies, the motion is planar and we use polar coordinates (R, ϕ) with conjugate momenta (P_R, P_{ϕ}) , setting $\theta = \pi/2$. The general structure of the isotropic, time-translation-invariant Hamiltonian at 2PN in the COM frame is presented in Eqs. (III.15)-(III.16) of Ref. [51]. By defining $M \equiv m_A^0 + m_B^0$ the total mass of the system and $\mu \equiv m_A^0 m_B^0 / M$ its reduced mass, it is convenient to use mass reduced variables (always indicated with hat superscript, here and below) $(\hat{P}, \hat{P}_R, \hat{R})$, where $\hat{P}^2 \equiv P^2/\mu^2 = \hat{P}_R^2 + \hat{P}_{\phi}^2/\hat{R}^2, \ \hat{P}_R \equiv P_R/\mu, \ \hat{P}_{\phi} \equiv P_{\phi}/(\mu M)$ and $\hat{R} \equiv R/M$, so that the 3PN contribution formally reads

$$\begin{split} \hat{H}^{3\text{PN}} &= \left(h_1^{3\text{PN}} \hat{P}^8 + h_2^{3\text{PN}} \hat{P}^6 \hat{P}_R^2 + h_3^{3\text{PN}} \hat{P}^4 \hat{P}_R^4 \right. \\ &+ h_4^{3\text{PN}} \hat{P}^2 \hat{P}_R^6 + h_5^{3\text{PN}} \hat{P}_R^8 \right) + \frac{1}{\hat{R}} \left(h_6^{3\text{PN}} \hat{P}^6 \right. \\ &+ h_7^{3\text{PN}} \hat{P}^4 \hat{P}_R^2 + h_8^{3\text{PN}} \hat{P}^2 \hat{P}_R^4 + h_9^{3\text{PN}} \hat{P}_R^6 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\hat{R}^2} \left(h_{10}^{3\text{PN}} \hat{P}^4 + h_{11}^{3\text{PN}} \hat{P}^2 \hat{P}_R^2 + h_{12}^{3\text{PN}} \hat{P}_R^4 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\hat{R}^3} \left(h_{13}^{3\text{PN}} \hat{P}^2 + h_{14}^{3\text{PN}} \hat{P}_R^2 \right) + \frac{1}{\hat{R}^4} h_{15}^{3\text{PN}}, \end{split}$$
(4.1)

where the h_i^{3PN} 's formally indicate the numerical coefficients we are going to calculate. Before doing so explicitly and introducing our results, let us recall an important technical fact. The ordinary Hamiltonian directly obtained from the class of Lagrangians of Sec. III via Legendre transformation contains two undetermined constants r'_1 and r'_2 . These constants parametrize logarithmic terms and are directly inherited from the harmonic-coordinates Lagrangian of Ref. [32], where they arise due to the regularization procedure (via Hadamard partie finie technique). However, Ref. [57] found that these constants are absent in the 3PN ordinary Hamiltonian in GR. As shown in Ref. [58], the reason why it is so is that the two constants, and the related logarithmic terms, can be gauged away from the harmonic coordinate Lagrangian, in accordance with the fact that these are pure gauge quantities. More precisely, Ref. [55] showed that, in the GR case, the regularization constants can also be eliminated by including a logarithmic dependence in the function \mathcal{F} of the contact transformation. Therefore, to gauge away the dependence on r'_1 and r'_2 from the ordinary Hamiltonian of ST theory, we take analogy with the approach of Ref. [55] in GR and add the most generic logarithmic dependent terms,

$$\frac{G_{AB}^3(m_A^0)^3 m_B^0}{R^2} \left(F_{57} \log \frac{r_1'}{R} \right) + \frac{G_{AB}^3 m_A^0(m_B^0)^3}{R^2} \left(F_{58} \log \frac{r_2'}{R} \right),$$
(4.2)

to our arbitrary function \mathcal{F}_{3PN} . In ST theory, the coefficients F_{57} and F_{58} must be

$$F_{57} = \left[-\frac{11}{4} (2 + \bar{\gamma}_{AB})^2 + \delta_A \right], \tag{4.3}$$

$$F_{58} = \left[-\frac{11}{4} (2 + \bar{\gamma}_{AB})^2 + \delta_B \right], \tag{4.4}$$

in order to remove the gauge dependence on r'_1 and r'_2 from the ordinary class of Hamiltonians. The so-obtained complete expression of the ordinary Hamiltonian coefficients of Eq. (4.1) at 3PN is given in Appendix C.

V. SCALAR-TENSOR DEFORMATION OF 3PN EFFECTIVE ONE-BODY HAMILTONIAN

Let us now turn to discussing the main result of this work, i.e. the instantaneous 3PN contribution to the EOB potentials in ST theory. The mapping between the twobody ordinary (ADM-like) Hamiltonian and the EOB Hamiltonian can be done using different procedures (e.g., Delaunay Hamiltonian, canonical transformation, comparison of the periastron advance, see e.g. [43,45,59,60]). Here we will use the canonical transformation approach, adapting the procedure of Ref. [45].

A. Canonical transformation at 3PN

To fix notation, we indicate with (Q, P) the conjugate variables of the real, ordinary, two-body Hamiltonian, while with (q, p) those of the EOB Hamiltonian. We start from the 2PN-accurate canonical transformation in ST theory of Ref. [51] [see Eqs. (III.23) and (III.25) therein] and augment it with 3PN terms. We do so by modifying the generating function of the canonical transformation, whose 3PN contribution reads

$$\frac{G(Q, p)^{3PN}}{\mu M} = \hat{R}\hat{p}_{r} \left[\left(\alpha_{3}\mathcal{P}^{6} + \beta_{3}\mathcal{P}^{4}\hat{p}_{r}^{2} + \gamma_{3}\mathcal{P}^{2}\hat{p}_{r}^{4} + \delta_{3}\hat{p}_{r}^{6} \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{\hat{R}} \left(\epsilon_{3}\mathcal{P}^{4} + \eta_{3}\mathcal{P}^{2}\hat{p}_{r}^{2} + \theta_{3}\hat{p}_{r}^{4} \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{\hat{R}^{2}} \left(\lambda_{3}\mathcal{P}^{2} + \rho_{3}\hat{p}_{r}^{2} \right) + \frac{\sigma_{3}}{\hat{R}^{3}} \right],$$
(5.1)

where \mathcal{P} , \hat{p}_r , and \hat{R} are the dimensionless variables with $\mathcal{P}^2 \equiv \hat{p}_r^2 + \frac{\hat{p}_{\phi}^2}{\hat{R}^2}$, and $(\alpha_3, \beta_3, ..., \sigma_3)$ formally indicate the ten 3PN coefficients. We will then follow the same procedure as Ref. [51], i.e. we will express the real and effective Hamiltonian in an intermediate coordinate system (Q, p) in order to match the two.

B. Scalar-tensor effective-one-body Hamiltonian at 3PN: Instantaneous part

Within the EOB approach, the real EOB Hamiltonian is related to the effective Hamiltonian as

$$\hat{H}_{\text{real}} \equiv \frac{H_{\text{real}}}{\mu} = \frac{1}{\nu} \sqrt{1 + 2\nu(\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} - 1)},$$
 (5.2)

where $\nu = \mu/M$ is the symmetric mass ratio and $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \equiv H_{\text{eff}}/\mu$ is the reduced-mass effective Hamiltonian. This relation was originally proved to be correct up to 3PN in GR [45]. Recently, within the post-Minkowskian scheme, Ref. [61] proved it to hold at *all* PN orders, both in GR and in ST theories. Here we choose to incorporate the 3PN terms within the EOB Hamiltonian following the scheme of Ref. [45], i.e. by writing the effective Hamiltonian \hat{H}_{eff} as

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{A(\hat{r}) \left[1 + \frac{\hat{p}_{\phi}^2}{\hat{r}^2} + \frac{\hat{p}_r^2}{B(\hat{r})} + \hat{Q}_e(\hat{r}, \hat{p}) \right]}.$$
 (5.3)

Here, we indicated with $(\phi, \hat{p}_{\phi}, \hat{r}, \hat{p}_r)$ the canonical variables, with $\hat{p}^2 = \hat{p}_r^2 + \hat{p}_{\phi}^2/\hat{r}^2$, while (A, B, Q_e) are the EOB potentials. The structure of the nongeodesic term $\hat{Q}_e \equiv Q_e/\mu^2$ at 3PN reads

$$\hat{Q}_e(\hat{r}, \hat{p}) = \frac{1}{\hat{r}^2} (q_1 \hat{p}^4 + q_2 \hat{p}^2 \hat{p}_r^2 + q_3 \hat{p}_r^4).$$
(5.4)

Following Ref. [45], we use the gauge freedom at our disposal to set $q_1 = q_2 = 0$, so that the Q_e function only depends on the radial momentum. This choice is known as Damour-Jaranowski-Schäfer (DJS) gauge, first introduced in Ref. [45]. We recall, in passing, that this is just one among the many (actually infinite) possibilities of devising an effective dynamics based on a generalized mass shell condition $g_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu}p_{\nu}+Q_e(p)=0$ and the relation between the effective and real Hamiltonian given by Eq. (5.2) [61–67]. In the DJS gauge, the three EOB potentials at 3PN formally read

$$A(\hat{r}) = 1 - \frac{2}{\hat{r}} + \frac{a_2}{\hat{r}^2} + \frac{a_3}{\hat{r}^3} + \frac{a_4}{\hat{r}^4},$$
 (5.5)

$$B(\hat{r}) = 1 + \frac{b_1}{\hat{r}} + \frac{b_2}{\hat{r}^2} + \frac{b_3}{\hat{r}^3},$$
(5.6)

$$\hat{Q}_e(\hat{r}, \hat{p}) = \frac{q_3}{\hat{r}^2} \hat{p}_r^4, \tag{5.7}$$

where the a_i and b_i terms are the ν -dependent deformations of the Schwarzschild metric potentials, that take into account both GR and ST corrections.² The GR and ST contributions are separated as

$$A = A^{\rm GR} + \delta A^{\rm ST},\tag{5.8}$$

$$B = B^{\rm GR} + \delta B^{\rm ST}, \tag{5.9}$$

$$\hat{Q}_e = \hat{Q}_e^{\rm GR} + \delta \hat{Q}_e^{\rm ST}, \qquad (5.10)$$

which reflects on the ν -dependent contributions as

$$a_i = a_i(\nu) = a_i^{\text{GR}}(\nu) + \delta a_i^{\text{ST}}(\nu),$$
 (5.11)

$$b_i = b_i(\nu) = b_i^{\text{GR}}(\nu) + \delta b_i^{\text{ST}}(\nu),$$
 (5.12)

$$q_3 = q_3(\nu) = q_3^{\text{GR}}(\nu) + \delta q_3^{\text{ST}}(\nu).$$
 (5.13)

The GR terms are known analytically up to 6PN [68,69], except for some yet unknown coefficients proportional to ν^2 . As mentioned above, the 2PN ST corrections to the EOB potentials have been computed in Refs. [50,51].

Starting from the 3PN, nonlocal-in-time contributions have to be added to the local terms. In this section, we will focus on the latter and we defer the computation of the complete nonlocal terms into the companion paper [70]. See, however, the next section for the nonlocal terms restricted to the circular case.

Let us now compute the local ST contributions to the EOB potentials at 3PN, i.e. $(\delta a_{4,\text{loc}}^{\text{ST}}, \delta b_{3,\text{loc}}^{\text{ST}}, \delta q_{3,\text{loc}}^{\text{ST}})$. We do so by first applying the canonical transformation of Sec. VA to both the real two-body ordinary Hamiltonian (\hat{H}_{real}) of Sec. IV and the 3PN EOB Hamiltonian (\hat{H}_{eff}) to express them in the intermediate coordinate system (Q, p). Then, the canonically transformed \hat{H}_{real} is matched with the PN expanded \hat{H}_{real} obtained using Eq. (5.2) to find the unique solution of a_i , b_i , and q_i up to 3PN. For the new 3PN-order ST contributions, we get

$$\begin{split} \delta a_{4,\text{loc}}^{\text{ST}} &= -2\bar{\gamma}_{AB} - \frac{13}{2}\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^{2} - 5\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^{3} + \left[-\frac{7}{6}(2+\bar{\gamma}_{AB})^{2} + 9\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^{2} \right] \langle \bar{\beta} \rangle - \bar{\gamma}_{AB} \langle \epsilon \rangle + \frac{2}{3} \langle \bar{\kappa} \rangle + \frac{2}{3}(1+2\bar{\gamma}_{AB}) \langle \delta \rangle + 6(\beta_{+}^{2}+\beta_{-}^{2}) \\ &- 12X_{AB}\beta_{-}\beta_{+} + \frac{2}{3}(\beta_{-}(\delta_{-}+X_{AB}\delta_{+}) - \langle \delta \rangle \beta_{+}) + \nu \left\{ \frac{11}{4\alpha_{AB}}(2+\bar{\gamma}_{AB})\bar{\gamma}_{AB} - \frac{\langle \delta \rangle \bar{\gamma}_{AB}}{\alpha_{AB}(2+\bar{\gamma}_{AB})} + \frac{32}{\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^{2}} \langle \bar{\beta} \rangle (\beta_{+}^{2}-\beta_{-}^{2}) \\ &+ \frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}_{AB}} \left[\beta_{-}\left(\epsilon_{-}-X_{AB}\epsilon_{+} + \frac{4}{3}(2\delta_{-}-X_{AB}\delta_{+})\right) + \beta_{+}\left(\frac{4}{3}(2\delta_{+}-X_{AB}\delta_{-}) - \langle \epsilon \rangle \right) \right] \\ &+ \bar{\gamma}_{AB} \left[\frac{581}{18} - \frac{75}{64}\pi^{2} - 8\zeta - \frac{32}{3} \langle \bar{\beta} \rangle - 20\beta_{+} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \delta \rangle + \left(\frac{4}{3} + \frac{7}{32}\pi^{2}\right)\delta_{+} + 2\epsilon_{+} \right] + \bar{\gamma}_{AB}^{2} \left(\frac{239}{18} - \frac{5}{32}\pi^{2} - \frac{2}{3} \langle \bar{\beta} \rangle \right) \\ &+ \bar{\gamma}_{AB}^{3} \left(-\frac{3}{8} + \frac{7}{128}\pi^{2} \right) + 3\zeta - 6(\beta_{+}^{2} + \beta_{-}^{2}) - \frac{5}{3} \langle \bar{\beta} \rangle + \frac{3}{2}X_{AB}\beta_{-} - 12X_{AB}\beta_{-}\beta_{+} - \frac{8}{3}(\delta_{+} \langle \bar{\beta} \rangle + \beta_{-}\delta_{-}) \\ &- \delta_{+} \left(\frac{92}{9} - \frac{7}{16}\pi^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{3}X_{AB}\delta_{-} - \frac{2}{3} \langle \bar{\kappa} \rangle - \frac{4}{3}\kappa_{+} + \langle \epsilon \rangle \right\} + \nu^{2}(-4\beta_{-}^{2}), \end{split}$$
(5.14)

$$\delta b_{3,\text{loc}}^{\text{ST}} = 29\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{131}{4}\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^2 + \frac{47}{4}\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^3 + 22(1+\bar{\gamma}_{AB})\langle\bar{\beta}\rangle - 5(1+\bar{\gamma}_{AB})\langle\delta\rangle - \langle\epsilon\rangle + \nu \left[-93\bar{\gamma}_{AB} - 42\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^2 - 3\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^3 - 7\zeta + 2(3+2\bar{\gamma}_{AB})\delta_+ + 2\langle\delta\rangle + \epsilon_+ + X_{AB}\epsilon_- - 2\left(\frac{57}{4} + \bar{\gamma}_{AB}\right)X_{AB}\beta_- - 2(4-9\bar{\gamma}_{AB})\langle\bar{\beta}\rangle\right] + \nu^2(10\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + \bar{\gamma}_{AB}^2 - 6\zeta - 18\beta_+ + 4\delta_+ + 2\epsilon_+),$$
(5.15)

²Let us remember in this respect that the 1PN term in the a_i function, a_2 , is identically zero in GR [43], while it is nonzero in ST theory [51].

$$\delta q_{3,\text{loc}}^{\text{ST}} = \nu \left(\frac{26}{3} \bar{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{5}{2} \bar{\gamma}_{AB}^2 + \frac{2}{3} \langle \bar{\beta} \rangle - \frac{2}{3} \langle \delta \rangle \right) - \nu^2 (4 \bar{\gamma}_{AB} - 2 \langle \bar{\beta} \rangle), \qquad (5.16)$$

where we combine the notations of Refs. [35,51]. More precisely, introducing $X_{A,B} \equiv m_{A,B}^0/M$, we have

$$X_{AB} \equiv X_A - X_B, \tag{5.17}$$

$$\langle \bar{\beta} \rangle \equiv -X_{AB}\beta_{-} + \beta_{+}, \qquad (5.18)$$

$$\langle \bar{\kappa} \rangle \equiv -X_{AB}\kappa_{-} + \kappa_{+},$$
 (5.19)

$$\langle \delta \rangle \equiv X_{AB} \delta_{-} + \delta_{+},$$
 (5.20)

$$\langle \bar{\chi} \rangle \equiv -X_{AB}\chi_{-} + \chi_{+} \equiv -\frac{\langle \epsilon \rangle}{4},$$
 (5.21)

$$\langle \epsilon \rangle \equiv -X_{AB}\epsilon_{-} + \epsilon_{+},$$
 (5.22)

with the " \pm " subscript denoting the symmetric and antisymmetric parts of the ST parameters, e.g. $x_{\pm} \equiv (x_A \pm x_B)/2$.

As expected, the functions (A, B, \hat{Q}_e) do not depend on the function \mathcal{F} of Sec. III since, similarly to the 2PN case of Ref. [51], it is absorbed by the canonical transformation. As a consistency check of our results, we verify that the binding energy of the system along circular orbits, obtained from the condition $\partial_{\hat{r}}\hat{H}_{eff} = 0$, exactly matches the corresponding function given in Eq. (5.4) of [32]. Similarly, we correctly obtain the GR terms up to 3PN as well as the ST ones at 2PN calculated in Refs. [50,51].

The results of Eqs. (5.14)–(5.16) can also be found in the *Mathematica* file attached as a supplementary material [71].

C. Completing the circular conservative 3PN dynamics with contributions from tails and tides

Throughout this paper, we focused on the instantaneous contributions to the EOB Hamiltonian at 3PN. However, at 3PN, there are two more contributions entering the PN computations: (i) the (nonlocal-in-time) tail terms [31,32], and (ii) the finite-size (tidal) effects [33]. The coordinate-invariant circular case real two-body energy for tail and finite-size contributions at 3PN in ST theory are given in Eq. (5.5) of Ref. [32], and Eq. (8) of Ref. [33], respectively. In this section, we will restrict ourselves to circular orbits and compute the corrections to the EOB *A* potential due to both tail and finite-size effects. For the circular case, the *complete* 3PN term δa_4^{ST} is decomposed as

$$\delta a_4^{\rm ST} = \delta a_{4,\rm loc}^{\rm ST} + \delta a_{4,\rm nonloc}^{\rm ST} + \delta a_{4,\rm tidal}^{\rm ST}.$$
(5.23)

When only considering circular systems, the EOB metric potential *A* is simply computed by comparing the circular

case real two-body ordinary Hamiltonian with the circular case EOB Hamiltonian using Eq. (5.2).

Let us now compute the *complete* 3PN metric potential A. We do so first by computing the gauge-invariant circular case EOB energy. Then, this gauge-invariant EOB energy is matched with the gauge-invariant real two-body energy using Eq. (5.2) to find ST corrections to A.

We leave the extension to noncircular orbits with the *complete* computation of the *B* and Q_e corrections to future works.

1. Tail effects

Following the procedure discussed above, the tail contribution to A reads

$$\delta a_{4,\text{nonloc}}^{\text{ST}} = \delta a_{4,\text{nonloc},0}^{\text{ST}} + \delta a_{4,\text{nonloc},\log}^{\text{ST}} \log\left(\frac{1}{\hat{r}}\right), \quad (5.24)$$

where

$$\delta a_{4,\text{nonloc},0}^{\text{ST}} = \nu \left(2\delta_{+} + \frac{\bar{\gamma}_{AB}(2 + \bar{\gamma}_{AB})}{2} \right) \left[\frac{8}{3} (\log 2 + \gamma_{E}) \right],$$
(5.25)

and

$$\delta a_{4,\text{nonloc,log}}^{\text{ST}} = \frac{4}{3} \nu \left(2\delta_+ + \frac{\bar{\gamma}_{AB}(2 + \bar{\gamma}_{AB})}{2} \right), \qquad (5.26)$$

with δ_+ defined as above. For the equal-mass case, the tail contribution, Eq. (5.24), vanishes as the common factor of Eqs. (5.25) and (5.26) for the equal mass case is zero. It can be seen from Table I and that $\alpha_A^0 = \alpha_B^0$ as scalar charge for both the bodies are the same for the equal-mass case.

2. Finite-size effects

When considering extended bodies, tidal effects have an impact on the binary dynamics. Therefore, by considering the finite-size addition to the 3PN circular case energy, Eq. (8) of Ref. [33], the procedure discussed above yields:

$$\delta a_{4, \text{ tidal}}^{\text{ST}} = 4\nu \alpha_0^2 \left[\frac{m_A^0}{M} \delta_B k_A^{(s)} + \frac{m_B^0}{M} \delta_A k_B^{(s)} \right], \qquad (5.27)$$

where $k_{A,B}^{(s)}$ are the dimensionless scalar $\ell = 1$ tidal Love numbers of the two bodies. The contributions in Eqs. (5.24) and (5.27) are also included in the Supplemental Material [71].

To estimate the magnitude of the scalar-mediated finitesize effect, we observe that the prefactor in Eq. (5.27) for the equal-mass case is $\mathcal{O}(10^{-8})$ in the dynamical scalarization regime, i.e. for $\alpha \simeq 10^{-1}$. The correction to A is then linear in the scalar Love numbers, which we take of the order same as GR $k_{\{A,B\}}^{(s)} \lesssim 10^{-1}$ (see, Ref. [37] for $\ell \geq 2$), depending on the equation of state. This results to a correction of at most $\mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$, thus rendering the effect of scalar tides on the ISCO frequency practically unmeasurable.

VI. MODIFICATIONS OF THE CONSERVATIVE BINARY DYNAMICS AT THE INNERMOST STABLE CIRCULAR ORBIT

Let us now study the impact of the 3PN ST corrections to the circular dynamics. We do so by evaluating the frequency at ISCO.

For the GR part, we rely on the NR-informed A potential used within the TEOBResumS waveform model [72–74]. More precisely, the A^{GR} is based on (formal) 5PN information where the nonlogarithmic 5PN coefficient, $a_6^c(\nu)$, is informed by NR simulation *after* the full potential has been resummed using a (1,5) Padé approximant, and is given by Eq. (33) of Ref. [72]. In practice, we have

$$A_{\rm GR}(\hat{r};\nu) \equiv P_5^1[A_{\rm GR}^{\rm 5PN}(\hat{r};\nu)]$$
(6.1)

where $A_{\text{GR}}^{\text{5PN}}$ indicates the GR *A* function expanded at 5PN. Focusing on the sequence of circular orbits ($\hat{p}_r = 0$), the ISCO orbital radius and angular momentum (\hat{r}^{ISCO} , p_{ϕ}^{ISCO}) are defined by the conditions $\partial_{\hat{r}} \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \partial_{\hat{r}}^2 \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = 0$, and Ω_{ISCO} is obtained from the corresponding Hamilton's equation. Reference [51] already considered two ways of flexing the GR potential so as to include the scalar-tensor contribution. First, δA^{ST} was just considered as a contribution simply added to the Padé resummed GR potential of Eq. (6.1), but this choice was not found to be robust versus the ST coupling constant. As an alternative, Ref. [51] proposed to resum, with a Padé approximant, the full PNexpanded function with GR and ST contributions. We follow here this approach and define

$$A(\hat{r}) = P_5^1 \left[A_{\text{5PN}}^{\text{GR}} + \delta A^{\text{ST}} \right], \qquad (6.2)$$

where now δA^{ST} includes up to the 3PN instantaneous correction of Eq. (5.14) and tail correction of Eq. (5.24). Focusing on the equal-mass case, Ref. [51] noted that the 1PN and 2PN ST corrections are numerically of the same order, so that, for simplicity, in the numerical analysis of the ISCO frequency behavior they were considered to be exactly the same. For completeness we stick here to using the correct analytical expression without any approximation.

Figure 1 focuses on an equal-mass binary³ and depicts Ω_{ISCO} as a function of the ST coupling constant α



FIG. 1. Fractional change in ISCO frequency with respect to GR for equal-mass systems, $\nu = 1/4$, as a function of the ST parameter α , with β fixed to $\beta = -4.2$. We show different PN orders for the ST corrections [included in the overall Padé resummation, Eq. (6.2)]. They all reduce to the GR limit when $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. The results obtained by simply adding the nonresummed ST terms are reported using dashed lines.

considered in a reasonable range of values compatible with the experimental constraints, where we fix $\beta = -4.2$. Here, for simplicity we neglect the corrections from the ST parameters β' and β'' , by fixing them to zero. Their impact on the 2PN and 3PN ST corrections to A, δa_3^{ST} and δa_4^{ST} , when varying β' and β'' within a reasonable range of values [-10, 10], is at the level of 5% and 1% respectively. Therefore, their overall contribution to the potential A[see Eqs. (5.5) and (5.11)], that controls the circular conservative dynamics and thus the correction to the ISCO frequency, will indeed be negligible.

For comparison, the figure also shows, as dashed lines, the curves obtained when not applying a resummation on the ST part of the potential. In Fig. 2 we also show the modification of Ω_{ISCO} as a function of both α and β within a viable range, again for the equal-mass case.



FIG. 2. Frequency at the innermost stable circular orbit at 3PN order for equal-mass systems, as a function of the ST parameters α and β .

 $^{^3 \}text{The ST}$ tail correction vanishes for equal-mass case as mentioned in Sec. V C 2.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

Building upon recent results [32] for massless scalartensor theory, we have generalized the 2PN EOB Hamiltonian of Ref. [51] to 3PN order, though restricting ourselves to the local-in-time contribution. First, we derived a class of two-body ordinary Hamiltonians (i.e. dependent only on position and momenta) for ST theory by (i) reducing the two-body harmonic coordinate localin-time Lagrangian of [32] to an ordinary class of Lagrangians by constructing a general contact transformation for ST theories; (ii) augmenting the general contact transformation with the logarithm-dependent terms to gauge away regularization constants from the ordinary Lagrangian by taking analogy with general telativity results of [55]; and (iii) performing Legendre transformation of this ordinary Lagrangian to derive the ordinary Hamiltonian. We then recasted this local-in-time ordinary Hamiltonian into equivalent, 3PN-accurate, EOB potentials (A, B, Q_e) , see Eqs. (5.14)–(5.16). As a test of our results, we checked that the energy along circular orbits computed from the EOB Hamiltonian precisely coincides with that given in Eq. (5.4) of Ref. [32]. We also computed the corrections due to the tail and finite-size effects at 3PN for ST theories only for the circular conservative dynamics using the gauge-invariant circular case energy of Refs. [32,33]. We additionally studied the shift in the orbital frequency of the innermost stable circular orbit induced by scalar-tensor corrections. After the submission of this work, an independent work computing similar contributions appeared [75]. We cross-checked the results of EOB potentials (A, B, Q_{e}) presented there and, although computed following different steps, we found them to be consistent with ours.

This paper must be seen as a first step in the effort of incorporating massless scalar-tensor corrections within the EOB waveform model TEOBResumS-GIOTTO [76,77] for coalescing, precessing, BH-NS and NS-NS binaries. We leave to future work the extension to the radiative sector. PN results for the scalar-tensor corrections to the waveform and radiation reaction are already present in the literature [29,30,35,36,78]. For quasicircular orbits, we will only need to compute their factorized and resummed forms so to improve the expansions behavior in the strong-field regime (as is done for their GR counterparts, see e.g. Refs. [79-82]). Instead, when considering general orbits [30,36], more computations will be needed (e.g., Refs. [83– 87]). Finally, NR simulations of binaries evolving in scalartensor theories [88–91] will be of great importance to assess the overall accuracy of our EOB description and complete it through plunge and merger.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Thibault Damour for fruitful discussions and useful suggestions in the preparation of this

paper. A. N. and P. R. acknowledge useful discussions with Carlos Palenzuela. T. J. and P. R. also thank the hospitality and the stimulating environment of the Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques. T. J. is jointly funded by the University of Cambridge Trust, Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics (DAMTP), University of Cambridge, and Centre for Doctoral Training, University of Cambridge. M. A. is supported by the Kavli Foundation. P. R. is supported by the Italian Minister of University and Research (MUR) via the PRIN 2020KB33TP, *Multimessenger astronomy in the Einstein Telescope Era (METE)*. The present research was also partly supported by the "2021 Balzan Prize for Gravitation: Physical and Astrophysical Aspects," awarded to Thibault Damour.

APPENDIX A: REMINDER OF 3PN-ACCURATE CONTACT TRANSFORMATION

The four-dimensional coordinate change through the contact transformation at 3PN order is based on the application of the method introduced in Ref. [57] to eliminate higher order derivative terms. The new Lagrangian based on the coordinate change δZ_A is

$$\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L} + \sum_{I=A,B} \delta Z_I^i \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta Z_I^i} + \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{dt} = \mathcal{L}_f^{\text{red}}, \qquad (A1)$$

where \mathcal{F} is the generic function introduced in Sec. III, and $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta Z_I^i}$ is the functional derivative term. Since the contact transformation coordinate change δZ_I^i starts at 2PN order, according to Eq. (A1), the fractional derivative term at 3PN should be considered up to 1PN order, i.e.,

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta Z_{I}^{i}} = m_{I}^{0} \left(-a_{I}^{i} - \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{G_{AB}m_{J}}{R^{2}} n_{AB}^{i} + \frac{1}{c^{2}} C_{I}^{i} \right), \quad (A2)$$

where C_I^i can be derived using the harmonic-coordinate Lagrangian given in Ref. [32].

The 1PN term C_I^i depends on accelerations; therefore, it will give additional acceleration-dependent terms (on multiplying with 2PN contribution of contact transformation δZ_I^i) in the Lagrangian at 3PN order. Therefore, as shown in Ref. [55] in the GR case, we will have to introduce some sort of counterterm, X_I^i , for scalar-tensor theory as well as to eliminate the acceleration dependence from the Lagrangian. Hence, the contact transformation at 3PN order becomes [55]

$$\delta Z_I^i = \frac{1}{m_I^0} \left(q_A^i + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial V_I^i} + X_I^i \right), \tag{A3}$$

with the counterterm, X_I^i as defined in Eq. (3.17) of Ref. [55], and $q_I^i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a_I^i}$ is the conjugate momenta of acceleration.

APPENDIX B: CONTACT TRANSFORMATION FOR SCALAR-TENSOR THEORY AT 3PN

The final result of our contact transformation dependent on the parameters of the function \mathcal{F} are as follows. The first and second terms of Eq. (A3) are readily obtained by differentiating Lagrangian and function \mathcal{F} with respect to acceleration and velocity, respectively. The third term, i.e. the counterterm $X_{A,B}^i$, purely 3PN order term, is

$$\begin{split} X_{A}^{i} &= \overline{V_{B}^{i}} \left(G_{AB} m_{A}^{0} m_{B}^{0}(\vec{V}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{A}) \left[-\frac{1}{2} f_{2} (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A}) + \left(\frac{1}{2} f_{5} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{7}{8} \right) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} \right] \\ &+ \frac{G_{AB}^{2} (m_{A}^{0})^{2} m_{B}^{0}}{R} [f_{3} (7 + 4\vec{\gamma}_{AB}) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} - f_{6} (7 + 4\vec{\gamma}_{AB}) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{B}] \\ &+ \frac{G_{AB}^{2} (m_{B}^{0})^{2} m_{B}^{0}}{R} \left[f_{2} (-3 - 2\vec{\gamma}_{AB}) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} + \left(2\vec{\gamma}_{AB}^{2} + \frac{13\vec{\gamma}_{AB}}{2} + 2\vec{\gamma}_{AB} f_{5} + 3f_{5} + \frac{21}{4} \right) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} \right] \right) \\ &+ \vec{V}_{A}^{i} (G_{AB} m_{0}^{0} m_{B}^{0} \left[\left(-f_{3} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{\gamma}_{AB} - \frac{7}{8} \right) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} (\vec{V}_{B} \cdot \vec{V}_{B}) + \left(-2f_{2} \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} + \left(f_{5} + \vec{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{7}{4} \right) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} \right) \vec{V}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} \\ &+ (3f_{4} \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} - 4f_{1} \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A}) \vec{V}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} - 3f_{7} (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A})^{2} - 2f_{8} (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A})^{2} \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} + \left(f_{9} + \frac{1}{8} \right) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{B})^{2} \right] \\ &+ \frac{G_{AB}^{2} (m_{A}^{0})^{2} m_{B}^{0}}{R} \left[\left(2\vec{\gamma}_{AB} f_{2} + \frac{7}{2}f_{2} - f_{11} + 2\vec{\gamma}_{AB}^{2} + 7\vec{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{49}{8} \right) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A}^{2} \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} + \left(-2\vec{\gamma}_{AB} - \frac{7}{2} \right) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} \right] \\ &+ \frac{G_{AB}^{2} (m_{A}^{0})^{2} m_{B}^{0}}{R} \left[\left(-f_{12} - 6f_{1} - 4\vec{\gamma}_{AB}f_{1} \right) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} + f_{4} (6 + 4\vec{\gamma}_{AB}) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} \right] \right] \\ &+ \vec{n}_{AB}^{2} \left(G_{AB} m_{A}^{0} m_{B}^{0} \left[\left(-\frac{3}{2}f_{7} (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} \right) - f_{A}^{2} (\vec{n}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} \right) - f_{A}^{2} (\vec{v}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} \right) \right] \\ &+ \vec{n}_{AB}^{2} \left(G_{AB} m_{A}^{0} m_{B}^{0} \right) \left[\left(-\frac{3}{2}f_{7} (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} \right) - f_{A}^{2} (\vec{n}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} \right) - f_{A}^{2} (\vec{n}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} \right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{f_{A}^{2}} (f_{A} - \vec{V}_{A}) - \frac{1}{2}f_{2} (\vec{V}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} \right) \right] \vec{V}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} + f_{A}^{2} (-4 - 2\vec{\gamma}_{AB}) \vec{V}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} \right] \\ &+ f_{A}^{2} (-4 - 2\vec{\gamma}_{AB}) \vec{V}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} + f_{A}^{2} (-4 - 2\vec{\gamma}_{AB}) \vec{V}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} \right$$

$$\begin{split} X_B^i &= \vec{V}_B^i \bigg(G_{AB} m_A^0 m_B^0 \bigg[\bigg(f_4 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{7}{8} \bigg) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_B (\vec{V}_A \cdot \vec{V}_A) + \bigg(2 f_5 \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_B - \bigg(f_2 + \bar{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{7}{4} \bigg) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_A \bigg) \vec{V}_A \cdot \vec{V}_B \\ &+ (4 f_6 \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_B - 3 f_3 \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_A) \vec{V}_B \cdot \vec{V}_B + 3 f_{10} (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_B)^3 + 2 f_9 (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_A) (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_B)^2 \\ &- \bigg(f_8 + \frac{1}{8} \bigg) (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_A)^2 \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_B \bigg] + \frac{G_{AB}^2 (m_A^0)^2 m_B^0}{R} [(-4 \bar{\gamma}_{AB} f_3 - 6 f_3) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_A + (4 \bar{\gamma}_{AB} f_6 + 6 f_6 + f_{13}) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_B] \\ &+ \frac{G_{AB}^2 m_A^0 (m_B^0)^2}{R} \bigg[\bigg(2 \bar{\gamma}_{AB} f_2 + \frac{7}{2} f_2 \bigg) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_A + \bigg(-2 \bar{\gamma}_{AB} f_5 - \frac{7}{2} f_5 + f_{14} - 2 \bar{\gamma}_{AB}^2 - 7 \bar{\gamma}_{AB} - \frac{49}{8} \bigg) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_B \bigg] \bigg) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \vec{V}_{A}^{i} \left(G_{AB} m_{A}^{0} m_{B}^{0} \vec{V}_{B} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} \left[\left(-\frac{1}{2} f_{2} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{\gamma}_{AB} - \frac{7}{8} \right) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} + \frac{1}{2} f_{5} \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} \right] \\ &+ \frac{G_{AB}^{2} (m_{A}^{0})^{2} m_{B}^{0}}{R} \left[\left(-2 \vec{\gamma}_{AB} f_{2} - 3 f_{2} - 2 \vec{\gamma}_{AB}^{2} - \frac{13}{2} - \frac{21}{4} \right) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} + (2 \vec{\gamma}_{AB} f_{5} + 3 f_{5} \right) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} \right] \\ &+ \frac{G_{AB}^{2} m_{A}^{0} (m_{B}^{0})^{2}}{R} \left[\left(4 \vec{\gamma}_{AB} f_{1} + 7 f_{1} \right) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} - (4 \vec{\gamma}_{AB} f_{4} + 7 f_{4}) \vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} \right) \right] \\ &+ \vec{n}_{AB}^{i} \left(G_{AB} m_{A}^{0} m_{B}^{0} \left[\left(-\frac{1}{2} f_{8} (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A})^{2} + f_{9} (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{B}) (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A}) + \frac{3}{2} f_{10} (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{B})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} f_{4} \vec{V}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} + \frac{1}{2} f_{5} \vec{V}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} f_{6} (\vec{V}_{B} \cdot \vec{V}_{B}) - \frac{1}{16} (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A})^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \vec{\gamma}_{AB} \vec{V}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} + \frac{7}{16} \vec{V}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} \right] \\ &+ \frac{G_{AB} (m_{A}^{0})^{2} m_{B}^{0}}{R} \left[\left(\vec{\gamma}_{AB}^{2} + \frac{13 \vec{\gamma}_{AB}}{4} + (2 \vec{\gamma}_{AB} + 3) f_{4} + \frac{21}{8} \right) \vec{V}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} + \left((2 \vec{\gamma}_{AB} + 3) f_{6} + \frac{f_{13}}{2} \right) \vec{V}_{B} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} + f_{5} (2 \vec{\gamma}_{AB} + 3) \vec{V}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} \right] \\ &+ \left((-2 \vec{\gamma}_{AB} - 3) f_{8} - \frac{3}{8} - \frac{1}{4} \vec{\gamma}_{AB} \right) (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A})^{2} + f_{9} (4 \vec{\gamma}_{AB} + 6) (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{B}) (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A}) + f_{10} (6 \vec{\varphi}_{AB} + 9) (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{B})^{2} \right] \\ &+ \frac{G_{AB} m_{A}^{0} (m_{B}^{0})^{2}}{R} \left[f_{1} (2 \vec{\gamma}_{AB} + 4) \vec{V}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{A} + f_{2} (2 \vec{\gamma}_{AB} + 4) \vec{V}_{A} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} + \left(\vec{\gamma}_{AB}^{2} + \frac{15 \vec{\gamma}_{AB}}{4} + (2 \vec{\gamma}_{AB} + 4) f_{3} + \frac{f_{14}}{2} + \frac{7}{2} \right) \vec{V}_{B} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} \\ &+ ((6 \vec{\varphi}_{AB} + 12) f_{7} + f_{1} \right) (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A})^{2} + \left((4 \vec{\varphi}_{AB} + 8) f_{8} + \frac{f_{2}}{2} - f_{4} \right) (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{A}) \vec{n}_{12} \cdot \vec{V}_{B} \\ &+ \left(-\frac{3 \vec{\gamma}_{AB}}{4} - (2 \vec{\gamma}_{AB} + 4) f_{9} - \frac{f_{5}}{2} - \frac{11}{8} \right) (\vec{n}_{AB} \cdot \vec{V}_{B})^{2} \right] + \frac{G_{AB}^{3} m_{A}^{0} (m_{A}^{0})^{3} m_{B}^{0}}{R^{$$

Here, f_i are the parameters of the generic function \mathcal{F} at 2PN order given in Ref. [51]. With these results, the full expression of the coordinate change (based on contact transformation) at 3PN, and that depends on the parameters of the function \mathcal{F} , is derived. This is then used to obtain the class of ordinary Lagrangian in ST theory at 3PN

APPENDIX C: 3PN TWO-BODY HAMILTONIAN

For the whole class of ordinary Hamiltonians of Sec. IV, the 15 coefficients h_i^{3PN} of the Hamiltonian at 3PN for ST theory in COM frame are

$$\begin{split} h_1^{3\text{PN}} &= -\frac{5}{128} + \frac{35}{128}\nu - \frac{70}{128}\nu^2 + \frac{35}{128}\nu^3, \qquad h_2^{3\text{PN}} = h_3^{3\text{PN}} = h_3^{3\text{PN}} = h_5^{3\text{PN}} = 0, \\ h_6^{3\text{PN}} &= G_{AB} \left(-\frac{7}{16} - \frac{5}{16}\nu^3 - \frac{3}{8}\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{m_A^0}{M}(2f_6 - F_{12}) + \frac{m_B^0}{M}(2f_1 - F_1) + \nu \left(4 + \frac{23}{8}\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + F_1 + F_2 - F_6 - F_7 + F_{11} + F_{12} - 2[f_1 + f_6] - \frac{3}{2}[f_2 + f_5] + \frac{3}{2}[f_3 + f_4] + \frac{m_A^0}{M} \left[-F_2 + F_7 + 3F_{12} + \frac{3}{2}f_2 - \frac{3}{2}f_4 - \frac{13}{2}f_6 \right] \\ &+ \frac{m_B^0}{M} \left[3F_1 + F_6 - F_{11} - \frac{13}{2}f_1 - \frac{3}{2}f_3 + \frac{3}{2}f_5 \right] \right) + \nu^2 \left(-\frac{331}{16} - \frac{93}{8}\bar{\gamma}_{AB} - 2[F_1 + F_2 - F_6 - F_7 + F_{11} + F_{12}] \right) \\ &+ \frac{9}{2}[f_1 + f_6] + \frac{7}{2}[f_2 - f_3 - f_4 + f_5] + \frac{m_A^0}{M}[F_2 + F_5 - F_7 - F_9 - F_{10} - F_{12} - 3f_2 + 3f_4 + 3f_6] \\ &+ \frac{m_B^0}{M} \left[-F_1 - F_3 - F_4 - F_6 + F_8 + F_{11} + 3f_1 + 3f_3 - 3f_5] \right) \right), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} h_7^{3\text{PN}} &= G_{AB} \left(-\frac{3}{16} \nu^3 + \frac{m_A^0}{M} [F_{12} - 3F_{24} - 2f_6 + 6f_{10}] + \frac{m_B^0}{M} [F_1 - 3F_{13} - 2f_1 + 6f_7] + \nu \left(-\frac{27}{16} - \bar{\gamma}_{AB} - F_1 - F_2 + F_6 + F_7 - F_{11} - F_{12} + 3[F_{13} + F_{14} + F_{16} + F_{21} + F_{23} + F_{24}] + 2[f_1 + f_6] + \frac{3}{2}[f_2 + f_5 - f_3 - f_4] \\ &- 6[f_7 + f_{10}] - \frac{9}{2}[f_8 + f_9] + \frac{m_A^0}{M} \left[F_2 - F_7 + \frac{13}{2}(f_6 - 3f_{10}) - 3\left(F_{12} + F_{14} + F_{16} - 3F_{24} + \frac{1}{2}(f_2 - f_4 - 3f_8)\right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{m_B^0}{M} \left[F_{11} - F_6 - 3\left(F_1 + F_{21} + F_{23} - 3F_{13} + \frac{1}{2}(f_5 - f_3 - 3f_9)\right) + \frac{13}{2}(f_1 - 3f_7) \right] \right) + \nu^2 \left(\frac{169}{4} + \frac{89}{4} \bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 2[F_1 + F_2 - F_6 - F_7 + F_{11} + F_{12} - 3F_{13} - 3F_{14} - 3F_{16} - 3F_{21} - 3F_{23} - 3F_{24} \right] - \frac{9}{2}[f_1 + f_6 - 3f_7 - 3f_{10}] \\ &+ \frac{7}{2}[f_3 - f_2 - f_5 + f_4 + 3f_8 + 3f_9] + \frac{m_A^0}{M} [-F_2 - F_5 + F_7 + F_9 + F_{10} + F_{12} + 3(F_{14} + F_{16} + F_{18} - F_{20} - F_{22} - F_{24} + f_2 - f_4 - f_6 - 3f_8 + 3f_{10})] + \frac{m_B^0}{M} [F_1 + F_3 + F_4 + F_6 - F_8 - F_{11} + 3(-F_{13} - F_{15} - F_{17} + F_{19} + F_{21} + F_{23} - f_1 - f_3 + f_5 + 3f_7 - 3f_9)] \right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} h_8^{\rm 3PN} &= G_{AB} \left(-\frac{3}{16} \nu^3 + \frac{m_A^0}{M} [3F_{24} - 5F_{30} - 6f_{10}] + \frac{m_B^0}{M} [3F_{13} - 5F_{25} - 6f_7] + \nu \left(\frac{5}{16} + \frac{3}{8} \bar{\gamma}_{AB} - 3[F_{13} + F_{14} + F_{16} + F_{21} + F_{23} + F_{24}] + 5[F_{25} + F_{26} + F_{29} + F_{30}] + 6[f_7 + f_{10}] + \frac{9}{2} [f_8 + f_9] + 3\frac{m_A^0}{M} \left[F_{14} + F_{16} - 3F_{24} + \frac{5}{3} F_{26} + 5F_{30} - \frac{3}{2} f_8 + \frac{13}{2} f_{10} \right] + 3\frac{m_B^0}{M} \left[F_{21} + F_{23} - 3F_{13} - \frac{5}{3} F_{29} + 5F_{25} - \frac{3}{2} f_9 + \frac{13}{2} f_7 \right] \right) \\ &+ \nu^2 \left(-\frac{117}{4} - \frac{129}{8} \bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 6[F_{13} + F_{14} + F_{16} + F_{21} + F_{23} + F_{24}] - 10[F_{25} + F_{26} + F_{29} + F_{30}] - \frac{21}{2} [f_8 + f_9] \right] \\ &- \frac{27}{2} [f_7 + f_{10}] + 3\frac{m_A^0}{M} \left[-F_{14} - F_{16} - F_{18} + F_{20} + F_{22} + F_{24} + \frac{5}{3} (F_{26} - F_{28} - F_{30}) + 3(f_8 - f_{10}) \right] \\ &+ 3\frac{m_B^0}{M} \left[F_{13} + F_{15} + F_{17} - F_{19} - F_{21} - F_{23} + \frac{5}{3} (F_{29} - F_{27} - F_{25}) + 3(f_9 - f_7) \right] \right) \right), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} h_{9}^{3\text{PN}} &= G_{AB} \left(-\frac{5}{16} \nu^{3} + 5 \frac{m_{A}^{0}}{M} F_{30} + 5 \frac{m_{B}^{0}}{M} F_{25} + 5\nu \left(-F_{25} - F_{26} - F_{29} - F_{30} + \frac{m_{A}^{0}}{M} [F_{26} - 3F_{30}] + \frac{m_{B}^{0}}{M} [F_{29} - 3F_{25}] \right) \\ &+ 5\nu^{2} \left(\frac{15}{16} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 2 [F_{25} + F_{26} + F_{29} + F_{30}] + \frac{m_{A}^{0}}{M} [F_{28} + F_{30} - F_{26}] + \frac{m_{B}^{0}}{M} [F_{25} + F_{27} - F_{29}] \right) \right), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} h_{10}^{3\text{PN}} &= G_{AB}^2 \left(-\frac{17\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^2}{16} - \frac{11\bar{\gamma}_{AB}}{4} - \frac{29}{16} + \frac{m_A^0}{M} [F_{12} - F_{36} + (9\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 12)f_6 + f_{13}] + \frac{m_B^0}{M} [F_1 - F_{41} + (9\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 12)f_1 + f_{12}] \right. \\ &+ \nu \left(\frac{41}{12} + \frac{199}{48}\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^2 + \frac{67}{8}\bar{\gamma}_{AB} - F_1 - F_{12} + F_{36} + F_{41} - (9\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 12)[f_1 + f_6] + \frac{1}{2}[f_{11} + f_{14}] - f_{12} - f_{13} \right. \\ &+ \frac{m_A^0}{M} \left[F_6 - F_{11} - 3F_{12} - F_{33} + F_{35} + 2F_{36} - F_{46} + 9\bar{\gamma}_{AB}(f_3 - f_5 - f_6) + \frac{1}{2}(27f_3 - 26f_5 + f_{14} - 11f_6 - 5f_{13}) \right] \\ &+ \frac{m_B^0}{M} \left[F_7 - 3F_1 - F_2 - F_{31} + F_{42} + 2F_{41} - F_{44} + 9\bar{\gamma}_{AB}(f_4 - f_1 - f_2) + \frac{1}{2}(27f_4 - 26f_2 + f_{11} - 11f_1 - 5f_{12}) \right] \right) \end{split}$$

$$+\nu^{2}\left(\frac{395}{48}-\frac{77\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^{2}}{12}-\frac{29\bar{\gamma}_{AB}}{4}+2F_{1}+F_{2}-F_{6}-F_{7}+F_{11}+2F_{12}+F_{31}+F_{32}+F_{33}-F_{34}-F_{35}-F_{36}-F_{41}-F_{42}-F_{42}-F_{43}+F_{44}+F_{45}+F_{46}+\frac{1}{2}[f_{3}+f_{4}]-\frac{3}{2}[f_{2}+f_{5}]-\frac{13}{2}[f_{1}+f_{6}]+2[f_{12}+f_{13}-f_{11}-f_{14}]+\frac{m_{A}^{0}}{M}[F_{12}-F_{5}-F_{6}+F_{9}+F_{10}+F_{11}+3(f_{3}-f_{5}-f_{6})]+\frac{m_{B}^{0}}{M}[F_{1}+F_{2}+F_{3}+F_{4}-F_{7}-F_{8}+3(f_{4}-f_{1}-f_{2})]\right)\right),$$

$$\begin{split} h_{11}^{3\text{PN}} &= G_{AB}^2 \left(\frac{1}{16} (\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 2)^2 + \frac{m_A^3}{M} [4F_{12} + 3F_{24} + 2F_{36} - 3F_{40} - 12f_6(2 + \bar{\gamma}_{AB}) + 9f_{10}(4 + 3\bar{\gamma}_{AB}) - 2f_{13}] \right. \\ &+ \frac{m_B^9}{M} [4F_1 + 3F_{13} + 2F_{41} - 3F_{47} - 12f_1(2 + \bar{\gamma}_{AB}) + 9f_7(4 + 3\bar{\gamma}_{AB}) - 2f_{12}] + \nu \left(\frac{211}{48} + \frac{71}{8} \bar{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{137}{48} \bar{\gamma}_{AB}^2 - 4[F_1 + F_{12}] \right. \\ &- 3[F_{13} + F_{24} - F_{40} - F_{47}] - 2[F_{36} + F_{41}] + 12[2 + \bar{\gamma}_{AB}](f_1 + f_6) - 9[4 + 3\bar{\gamma}_{AB}](f_7 + f_{10}) - [f_{11} + f_{14}] + 2[f_{12} + f_{13}] \\ &+ \frac{m_A^0}{M} \left[4(F_6 - F_{11} - 3F_{12} - F_{36}) - 3[F_{21} + F_{23} + 3F_{24} - F_{39} - 2F_{40} + F_{50}] + 2[F_{33} - F_{35} + F_{46}] \right. \\ &- 12(2 + \bar{\gamma}_{AB})[f_3 - f_5 - f_6] - \frac{5}{2}f_5 + \frac{19}{2}f_6 - \frac{27}{2}f_9(3 + 2\bar{\gamma}_{AB}) - \frac{27}{2}f_{10}(1 + 2\bar{\gamma}_{AB}) + 5f_{13} - f_{14}] \\ &+ \frac{m_B^0}{M} \left[4(F_7 - F_2 - 3F_1 - F_{41}) - 3(3F_{13} + F_{14} + F_{16} + F_{37} + 2F_{47} + 3F_{48}) + 2(F_{31} - F_{42} + F_{44}) \right. \\ &+ 12(2 + \bar{\gamma}_{AB})[f_1 + f_2 - f_4] + \frac{19}{2}f_1 - \frac{5}{2}f_2 - \frac{27}{2}f_7(1 + 2\bar{\gamma}_{AB}) - \frac{27}{2}f_8(3 + 2\bar{\gamma}_{AB}) - f_{11} + 5f_{12} \right] \right) \\ &+ \nu^2 \left(\frac{889}{12} + 63\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{149}{12}\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^2 + 4[2F_1 + F_2 - F_6 - F_7 + F_{11} + 2F_{12}] + 3[2F_{13} + F_{14} + F_{16} + F_{21} + F_{23} + 2F_{24} \right. \\ &+ F_{37} + F_{38} - F_{39} - F_{40} - F_{47} - F_{48} + F_{49} + F_{50} \right] - 2[F_{31} + F_{32} + F_{33} - F_{34} - F_{35} - F_{36} - F_{41} - F_{42} - F_{43} + F_{44} \right. \\ &+ F_{45} + F_{46}] - \frac{19}{2}[f_1 + f_6] + 5[f_3 + f_4] - \frac{45}{2}[f_7 + f_{10}] - \frac{9}{2}[f_8 + f_9] + 4[f_{11} - f_{12} - f_{13} + f_{14}] \\ &+ \frac{m_A^0}{M} \left[4(-F_5 - F_6 + F_9 + F_{10} + F_{11} + F_{12}) + 3(-F_{18} + F_{20} + F_{21} + F_{22} + F_{23} + F_{24}) + \frac{9}{2}(f_3 - f_5 - f_6 - 2f_9 - 2f_{10}) \right] \right) \right),$$

$$\begin{split} h_{12}^{3\text{PN}} &= G_{AB}^2 \left(\frac{m_A^0}{M} [2F_{24} + 5F_{30} + 4F_{40} - 30\bar{\gamma}_{AB}f_{10} - 48f_{10}] + \frac{m_B^0}{M} [2F_{13} + 5F_{25} + 4F_{47} - 30\bar{\gamma}_{AB}f_7 - 48f_7] \right. \\ &+ \nu \left(\frac{5\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^2}{36} + \frac{19\bar{\gamma}_{AB}}{12} - 2F_{13} - 2F_{24} - 5F_{25} - 5F_{30} - 4F_{40} - 4F_{47} + 30\bar{\gamma}_{AB}f_7 + 30\bar{\gamma}_{AB}f_{10} + 48f_7 + 48f_{10} + \frac{227}{72} \right. \\ &+ \frac{m_B^0}{M} \left[-6F_{13} - 2F_{14} - 2F_{16} - 15F_{25} - 5F_{26} + 4F_{37} - 8F_{47} - 4F_{48} + 30\bar{\gamma}_{AB}f_7 + 30\bar{\gamma}_{AB}f_8 - f_1 + 2f_2 + \frac{81f_7}{2} + 51f_8 \right] \\ &+ \frac{m_A^0}{M} \left[-2F_{21} - 2F_{23} - 6F_{24} - 5F_{29} - 15F_{30} - 4F_{39} - 8F_{40} + 4F_{50} + 30\bar{\gamma}_{AB}f_9 + 30\bar{\gamma}_{AB}f_{10} + 2f_5 - f_6 + 51f_9 + \frac{81f_{10}}{2} \right] \right) \\ &+ \nu^2 \left(\frac{5\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^2}{9} - \frac{7\bar{\gamma}_{AB}}{2} + 4F_{13} + 2F_{14} + 2F_{16} + 2F_{21} + 2F_{23} + 4F_{24} + 10F_{25} + 5F_{26} + 5F_{29} + 10F_{30} - 4F_{37} - 4F_{38} + 4F_{39} \right) \right]$$

$$+ 4F_{40} + 4F_{47} + 4F_{48} - 4F_{49} - 4F_{50} - f_3 - f_4 + 6f_7 + \frac{3f_8}{2} + \frac{3f_9}{2} + 6f_{10} - \frac{581}{144} \\ + \frac{m_B^0}{M} \bigg[2F_{13} + 2F_{14} + 2F_{15} + 2F_{16} + 2F_{17} - 2F_{19} + 5F_{25} + 5F_{26} + 5F_{27} - 2f_1 - 3f_2 - 3f_3 + f_6 + \frac{3f_9}{2} + \frac{3f_{10}}{2} \bigg] \\ + \frac{m_A^0}{M} \bigg[-2F_{18} + 2F_{20} + 2F_{21} + 2F_{22} + 2F_{23} + 2F_{24} + 5F_{28} + 5F_{29} + 5F_{30} + f_1 - 3f_4 - 3f_5 - 2f_6 + \frac{3f_7}{2} + \frac{3f_8}{2} \bigg] \bigg) \bigg),$$

$$\begin{split} h_{13}^{3\text{PN}} &= \frac{G_{AB}^{3}\nu}{\alpha_{AB}} \left(\frac{11}{24} [\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 2] \bar{\gamma}_{AB} - \frac{(\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 10)(\delta_{A}m_{A}^{0} + \delta_{B}m_{B}^{0})}{(6\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 12)M} \right) + G_{AB}^{3} \left(-\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^{3} - \frac{29\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^{2}}{6} - \frac{47\bar{\gamma}_{AB}}{6} - \frac{17}{4} - \frac{2(f_{6}m_{A}^{0}\bar{\beta}_{B} + f_{1}m_{B}^{0}\bar{\beta}_{A})}{M} \right) \\ &+ \frac{m_{A}^{0}}{M} [F_{36} - F_{54} - 2(3\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 5)f_{6} + (5\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 7)f_{13}] + \frac{m_{B}^{0}}{M} [F_{41} - F_{55} - 2(3\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 5)f_{1} + (5\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 7)f_{12}] + \frac{m_{B}^{0}e_{A} + m_{A}^{0}e_{B}}{12M} \\ &+ [2\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 3] \frac{m_{A}^{0}\bar{\beta}_{B} + m_{B}^{0}\bar{\beta}_{A}}{2M} - \left[\frac{4\bar{\gamma}_{AB}}{3} + 2 \right] \frac{m_{A}^{0}\delta_{A} + m_{B}^{0}\delta_{B}}{M} + \nu \left(\left[\frac{7\pi^{2}}{256} - \frac{11}{24} \right] \bar{\gamma}_{AB}^{3} - \left[\frac{5\pi^{2}}{54} + \frac{923}{72} \right] \bar{\gamma}_{AB}^{2} - \left[\frac{1421}{36} + \frac{75\pi^{2}}{128} \right] \bar{\gamma}_{AB} \\ &- \frac{1531}{48} - \frac{41\pi^{2}}{64} - F_{56} - F_{41} + F_{54} + F_{55} + 2(3\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 5)(f_{1} + f_{6}) - \left[5\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{7}{2} \right] (f_{12} + f_{13}) + (5\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 7)(f_{11} + f_{14}) \\ &+ \frac{m_{A}^{0}}{M} \left[F_{33} - F_{35} - 2F_{36} + F_{46} - F_{52} - F_{53} + F_{54} + 6\bar{\gamma}_{AB}(f_{5} + f_{6} - f_{3}) - 11f_{3} + \frac{21f_{5}}{2} + 8f_{6} + \frac{3f_{11}}{2} - \frac{7f_{12}}{2} \right] \\ &- \left[7\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{37}{4} \right] \frac{m_{A}^{0}\bar{\beta}_{B} + m_{B}^{0}\bar{\beta}_{A}}{M} + \left[\frac{5}{2} + \bar{\gamma}_{AB} \right] \frac{m_{A}^{0}\bar{\beta}_{A} + m_{B}^{0}\bar{\beta}_{B}}{M} - 2f_{1} \frac{m_{B}^{0}\bar{\beta}_{B}}{M} - 2f_{6} \frac{m_{A}^{0}\bar{\beta}_{A}}{M} + 2[2f_{6} + f_{5} - f_{3}] \frac{m_{A}^{0}}{M} \bar{\beta}_{B} \\ &+ 2[2f_{1} + f_{2} - f_{4}] \frac{m_{B}^{0}}{M} \bar{\beta}_{A} - \left[\frac{34}{2} + \frac{2}{3}\bar{\gamma}_{AB} - \frac{74}{64} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} - \frac{7}{64} - \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3}$$

$$\begin{split} h_{14}^{3\text{PN}} = & \frac{G_{AB}^{3}\nu}{\alpha_{AB}} \left(-\frac{11}{4} \left[1 + \frac{\bar{\gamma}_{AB}}{2} \right] \bar{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{(\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 10)(\delta_{A}m_{A}^{0} + \delta_{B}m_{B}^{0})}{(2\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 4)M} \right) + G_{AB}^{3} \left(\frac{\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^{3}}{4} + \frac{11\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^{2}}{8} + \frac{5\bar{\gamma}_{AB}}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \right) \\ & + \frac{m_{A}^{0}}{M} \left[2F_{36} + 3(F_{40} + F_{54}) - (5 + 3\bar{\gamma}_{AB})(4f_{6} + 6f_{10}) - 2(8 + 5\bar{\gamma}_{AB})f_{13} - 2(2f_{6} + 3f_{10})\bar{\beta}_{B} + \left(\frac{3}{2} + \bar{\gamma}_{AB} \right) \delta_{A} \right] \\ & + \frac{m_{B}^{0}}{M} \left[2F_{41} + 3(F_{47} + F_{55}) - (5 + 3\bar{\gamma}_{AB})(4f_{1} + 6f_{7}) - 2(8 + 5\bar{\gamma}_{AB})f_{12} - 2(2f_{1} + 3f_{7})\bar{\beta}_{A} + \left(\frac{3}{2} + \bar{\gamma}_{AB} \right) \delta_{B} \right] \\ & + \nu \left(\left[\frac{23}{8} - \frac{21\pi^{2}}{256} \right] \bar{\gamma}_{AB}^{3} + \left[\frac{15\pi^{2}}{64} + \frac{713}{24} \right] \bar{\gamma}_{AB}^{2} + \left[\frac{225\pi^{2}}{128} + \frac{971}{12} \right] \bar{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{123\pi^{2}}{64} + \frac{265}{4} - 2[F_{36} + F_{41}] \\ & - 3[F_{40} + F_{47} + F_{54} + F_{55}] + [5 + 3\bar{\gamma}_{AB}](4[f_{1} + f_{6}] + 6[f_{7} + f_{10}]) - 2[8 + 5\bar{\gamma}_{AB}](f_{11} + f_{14}) + \frac{5}{2}[5 + 4\bar{\gamma}_{AB}](f_{12} + f_{13}) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \frac{m_A^n}{M} \bigg[2(F_{33} - F_{35} - 2F_{36} - 3F_{40} + F_{46}) - 3(F_{39} - F_{50} - F_{52} - F_{53} + F_{54}) - (11 + 6\bar{\gamma}_{AB})(2f_3 - 3f_9) \\ &+ \frac{3}{2}(15 + 8\bar{\gamma}_{AB})f_5 + (13 + 12\bar{\gamma}_{AB})f_6 + 3(7 + 6\bar{\gamma}_{AB})f_{10} - 2f_{11} + \frac{7f_{12}}{2} + 2(2f_1 - 2f_6 + 3f_7 - 3f_{10})\bar{\beta}_A \\ &+ 2\bigg(\frac{47}{8} + 5\bar{\gamma}_{AB} - 2f_3 + 2f_5 + 6f_6 + 3f_9 + 9f_{10}\bigg)\bar{\beta}_B + \bigg(8 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}_{AB}\bigg)\delta_A + \bigg(\frac{77}{6} + \bar{\gamma}_{AB}\bigg)\delta_B - \frac{3}{4}\epsilon_B \bigg] \\ &+ \frac{m_B^n}{M} \bigg[2(F_{31} - 2F_{41} - F_{42} + F_{44} - 3F_{47}) + 3(F_{37} - F_{48} + F_{51} - F_{55} + F_{56}) + (13 + 12\bar{\gamma}_{AB})f_1 + \frac{3}{2}(15 + 8\bar{\gamma}_{AB})f_2 \\ &+ 3(7 + 6\bar{\gamma}_{AB})f_7 - (11 + 6\bar{\gamma}_{AB})(2f_4 - 3f_8) + \frac{7f_{13}}{2} - 2f_{14} + 2\bigg(\frac{47}{8} + 5\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + 6f_1 + 2f_2 - 2f_4 + 9f_7 + 3f_8\bigg)\bar{\beta}_A \\ &+ 2(-2f_1 + 2f_6 - 3f_7 + 3f_{10})\bar{\beta}_B + \bigg(\frac{77}{6} + \bar{\gamma}_{AB}\bigg)\delta_A + \bigg(8 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}_{AB}\bigg)\delta_B - \frac{3}{4}\epsilon_A\bigg] - \frac{21}{64}\pi^2\bigg[1 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}_{AB}\bigg]\delta_A - \frac{21}{64}\pi^2\bigg[1 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}_{AB}\bigg]\delta_A \\ &- \frac{12\bar{\beta}_A\delta_A}{\bar{\gamma}_{AB}} - \frac{12\bar{\beta}_B\delta_B}{\bar{\gamma}_{AB}} + \frac{8\bar{\beta}_A\bar{\beta}_B}{\bar{\gamma}_{AB}}\bigg) + \nu^2\bigg(\frac{27\bar{\gamma}_{AB}^2}{2} + 53\bar{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{197}{4} + 2[-F_{31} - F_{32} - F_{33} + F_{34} + F_{35} + F_{36} + F_{41} + F_{42} \\ &+ F_{43} - F_{44} - F_{45} - F_{46}\bigg] + 3[-F_{37} - F_{38} + F_{39} + F_{40} + F_{47} + F_{48} - F_{49} - F_{50}\bigg] + 7f_1 + 2f_2 - 3f_3 - 3f_4 + 2f_5 \\ &+ 7f_6 + 9f_7 + 3f_8 + 3f_9 + 9f_{10} + \frac{3}{2}[f_{12} + f_{13} - f_{11} - f_{14}] + 2(\bar{\beta}_B - \bar{\beta}_A)[2f_1 + 2f_2 + 2f_3 - 2f_4 - 2f_5 - 2f_6 + 3f_7 \\ &+ 3f_8 - 3f_9 - 3f_{10}] - 3[\bar{\beta}_A + \bar{\beta}_B] + \frac{12\bar{\beta}_A\bar{\beta}_B}{\bar{\lambda}_A} + 3[\delta_A + \delta_B] + \frac{1}{4}[\epsilon_A + \epsilon_B]\bigg)\bigg), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} h^{3\text{PN}}_{15} &= \frac{G^4_{AB}}{\alpha_{AB}} \nu \left(\frac{11}{12} \bar{\gamma}_{AB} [2 + \bar{\gamma}_{AB}] + \left(\frac{5 - \bar{\gamma}_{AB}}{6 + 3\bar{\gamma}_{AB}} \right) \frac{m^0_A \delta_A + m^0_B \delta_B}{M} \right) + G^4_{AB} \left(\frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{3} \bar{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{1}{12} \bar{\gamma}^2_{AB} + \left(\frac{7}{6} + \frac{2}{3} \bar{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{1}{6} \bar{\gamma}^2_{AB} \right) \frac{m^0_B \bar{\rho}_A + m^0_A \bar{\rho}_B}{M} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1m^0_A \delta_A + m^0_B \delta_B}{M} + \frac{1m^0_A \epsilon_B + m^0_B \epsilon_A}{M} + \frac{1m^0_A \bar{\kappa}_B + m^0_B \bar{\kappa}_A}{M} + \frac{m^0_A}{M} \left[F_{54} - 2(2 + \bar{\gamma}_{AB}) f_{13} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}^2_B + \frac{2}{3} \bar{\rho}_B \delta_A - 2 f_{13} \bar{\rho}_B \right] \\ &+ \frac{m^0_B}{M} \left[F_{55} - 2(2 + \bar{\gamma}_{AB}) f_{12} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}^2_A + \frac{2}{3} \bar{\rho}_A \delta_B - 2 f_{12} \bar{\rho}_A \right] + \nu \left(\frac{641}{21} + \frac{799}{36} \bar{\gamma}_{AB} + \frac{49}{12} \bar{\gamma}^2_{AB} - \frac{11}{48} \bar{\gamma}^3_{AB} + 2 \bar{\rho}_A \bar{\rho}_B \left(1 - \frac{8}{\bar{\gamma}_{AB}} \right) \\ &+ 2 \bar{\gamma}_{AB} [-f_{11} + f_{12} + f_{13} - f_{14}] - \frac{3m^0_A \bar{\rho}_A + m^0_B \bar{\rho}_B}{M} + (2 f_{12} - 2 f_{13}) \frac{m^0_A \bar{\rho}_A - m^0_B \bar{\rho}_B}{M} - \frac{4}{3M \bar{\gamma}_{AB}} \left(\bar{\rho}_A \delta_A + \bar{\rho}_B \delta_B \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{209}{12} + \frac{20}{3} \bar{\gamma}_{AB} - \frac{1}{3} \bar{\gamma}^2_{AB} - 2 f_{11} - 2 f_{14} + \frac{16 \bar{\rho}_A \bar{\rho}_B}{\bar{\gamma}^2_{AB}} \right) \frac{m^0_A \bar{\rho}_B + m^0_B \bar{\rho}_A + m^0_B \bar{\rho}_B}{M} + \left(\frac{5}{18} + \frac{1}{12} \bar{\gamma}_{AB} \right) \frac{m^0_A \delta_A + m^0_B \delta_B}{M} + \frac{19m^0_A \delta_B + m^0_B \delta_A}{M} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{6} \frac{m^0_A \epsilon_A + m^0_B \epsilon_B}{M} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{m^0_A \epsilon_B + m^0_B \epsilon_A}{M} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{m^0_A \bar{\rho}_A + m^0_B \bar{\kappa}_B}{M} - \frac{2m^0_A \bar{\kappa}_B + m^0_B \bar{\kappa}_A}{M} - \frac{2}{M \bar{\gamma}_{AB}} \left(m^0_A \bar{\rho}_A \epsilon_B + m^0_B \bar{\rho}_B - \delta_A \right) \\ &+ \frac{m^0_A}{M} \left[F_{52} + F_{53} - 2 F_{54} - F_{55} - 5 f_{11} + 4 f_{12} + 3 f_{13} - 4 f_{14} + 4 f_{13} \bar{\rho}_B - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}_A^2 + \bar{\rho}_B^2 + \frac{2}{3} \left(\left[1 - \frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}_{AB}} \right] \bar{\rho}_A - \bar{\rho}_B \right) \delta_A - \frac{2}{3} \bar{\rho}_A \delta_B \\ &+ \frac{m^0_B}{M} \left[F_{51} - F_{54} - 2 F_{55} + F_{56} - 4 f_{11} + 3 f_{12} + 4 f_{13} - 5 f_{14} + 4 f_{12} \bar{\rho}_A - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}_B^2 - \frac{2}{3} \bar{\rho}_B \delta_A \\ &+ \frac{2}{3} \left(\left[1 - \frac{4}{\bar{\gamma}_{AB}} \right] \bar{\rho}_B - \bar{\rho}_A \right) \delta_B \right] \right) \right). \end{split}$$

As a consistency check with the results of GR, we find that our ST 3PN Hamiltonian reduces to the Hamiltonian of Ref. [57] in the GR limit by setting the \mathcal{F}_{3PN} parameters as

$$\begin{split} F_2 &= F_{11} = 2F_{27} = 2F_{28} = 6F_{16} = 6F_{21} = -\frac{15}{8}, \\ F_3 &= F_{10} = 2F_6 = 2F_7 = \frac{2}{5}F_{34} = \frac{2}{5}F_{43} = -\frac{7}{4}, \\ F_{33} &= F_{44} = -F_{54} = -F_{55} = -\frac{2}{3}F_{15} = -\frac{2}{3}F_{22} = -\frac{3}{4}, \\ F_5 &= F_8 = \frac{47}{8}, \qquad F_4 = F_9 = -\frac{23}{4}, \qquad F_{14} = F_{23} = \frac{5}{12}, \\ F_{17} &= F_{20} = \frac{41}{8}, \qquad F_{18} = F_{19} = -\frac{27}{16}, \qquad F_{31} = F_{46} = -\frac{113}{24}, \\ F_{32} &= F_{45} = \frac{195}{16}, \qquad F_{36} = F_{41} = \frac{1}{8}, \qquad F_{37} = F_{50} = -\frac{91}{144}, \\ F_{38} &= F_{49} = \frac{21}{16}, \qquad F_{51} = F_{52} = \frac{245}{18} - \frac{21}{32}\pi^2, \\ F_{53} &= F_{56} = -\frac{1079}{72}, \end{split}$$

with the remaining parameters of \mathcal{F}_{3PN} set to zero and \mathcal{F}_{2PN} coinciding with the expression in Ref. [51].

- T. Damour and G. Esposito-Farese, Tensor multiscalar theories of gravitation, Classical Quantum Gravity 9, 2093 (1992).
- [2] T. Damour and G. Esposito-Farese, Nonperturbative Strong Field Effects in Tensor-Scalar Theories of Gravitation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2220 (1993).
- [3] T. Damour and G. Esposito-Farese, Testing gravity to second post Newtonian order: A field theory approach, Phys. Rev. D 53, 5541 (1996).
- [4] P. C. C. Freire, N. Wex, G. Esposito-Farese, J. P. W. Verbiest, M. Bailes, B. A. Jacoby, M. Kramer, I. H. Stairs, J. Antoniadis, and G. H. Janssen, The relativistic pulsar-white dwarf binary PSR J1738 + 0333 II. The most stringent test of scalar-tensor gravity, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 423, 3328 (2012).
- [5] M. Khalil, R. F. P. Mendes, N. Ortiz, and J. Steinhoff, Effective-action model for dynamical scalarization beyond the adiabatic approximation, Phys. Rev. D 106, 104016 (2022).
- [6] T. Gautam, P. C. C. Freire, A. Batrakov, M. Kramer, C. C. Miao, E. Parent, and W. W. Zhu, Relativistic effects in a mildly recycled pulsar binary: PSR J1952 + 2630, Astron. Astrophys. 668, A187 (2022).
- [7] D. D. Doneva, F. M. Ramazanoğlu, H. O. Silva, T. P. Sotiriou, and S. S. Yazadjiev, Scalarization, arXiv:2211 .01766.
- [8] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 061102 (2016).
- [9] K. G. Arun, B. R. Iyer, M. S. S. Qusailah, and B. S. Sathyaprakash, Testing post-Newtonian theory with gravi-

tational wave observations, Classical Quantum Gravity **23**, L37 (2006).

- [10] C. K. Mishra, K. G. Arun, B. R. Iyer, and B. S. Sathyaprakash, Parametrized tests of post-Newtonian theory using Advanced LIGO and Einstein Telescope, Phys. Rev. D 82, 064010 (2010).
- [11] T. Li, W. Del Pozzo, S. Vitale, C. Van Den Broeck, M. Agathos, J. Veitch, K. Grover, T. Sidery, R. Sturani, and A. Vecchio, Towards a generic test of the strong field dynamics of general relativity using compact binary coalescence, Phys. Rev. D 85, 082003 (2012).
- [12] M. Agathos, W. Del Pozzo, T. G. F. Li, C. V. D. Broeck, J. Veitch, and S. Vitale, TIGER: A data analysis pipeline for testing the strong-field dynamics of general relativity with gravitational wave signals from coalescing compact binaries, Phys. Rev. D 89, 082001 (2014).
- [13] N. Cornish, L. Sampson, N. Yunes, and F. Pretorius, Gravitational wave tests of general relativity with the parametrized post-Einsteinian framework, Phys. Rev. D 84, 062003 (2011).
- [14] E. Berti *et al.*, Testing general relativity with present and future astrophysical observations, Classical Quantum Gravity **32**, 243001 (2015).
- [15] N. Yunes, K. Yagi, and F. Pretorius, Theoretical physics implications of the binary black-hole mergers GW150914 and GW151226, Phys. Rev. D 94, 084002 (2016).
- B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), Tests of General Relativity with GW150914, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 221101 (2016); **121** 129902(E) (2018).
- [17] J. Aasi *et al.* (LIGO Scientific Collaboration), Advanced LIGO, Classical Quantum Gravity **32**, 074001 (2015).

- [18] F. Acernese *et al.* (Virgo Collaboration), Advanced Virgo: A second-generation interferometric gravitational wave detector, Classical Quantum Gravity **32**, 024001 (2015).
- [19] T. Akutsu *et al.* (KAGRA Collaboration), Overview of KAGRA: Calibration, detector characterization, physical environmental monitors, and the geophysics interferometer, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2021**, 05A102 (2021).
- [20] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (Virgo, KAGRA, and LIGO Scientific Collaborations), Prospects for observing and localizing gravitational-wave transients with Advanced LIGO, Advanced Virgo and KAGRA, Living Rev. Relativity 21, 3 (2018); 19, 1 (2016).
- [21] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), Tests of general relativity with the binary black hole signals from the LIGO-Virgo catalog GWTC-1, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 104036 (2019).
- [22] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), Tests of General Relativity with GW170817, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 011102 (2019).
- [23] R. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), Tests of general relativity with binary black holes from the second LIGO-Virgo gravitational-wave transient catalog, Phys. Rev. D 103, 122002 (2021).
- [24] R. Abbott *et al.* (Virgo, KAGRA, and LIGO Scientific Collaborations), Tests of general relativity with GWTC-3, arXiv:2112.06861 [Phys. Rev. D (to be published)].
- [25] M. Maggiore *et al.*, Science case for the Einstein Telescope, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 03 (2019) 050.
- [26] M. Evans *et al.*, A horizon study for cosmic explorer: Science, observatories, and community, arXiv:2109.09882.
- [27] C. Palenzuela, E. Barausse, M. Ponce, and L. Lehner, Dynamical scalarization of neutron stars in scalar-tensor gravity theories, Phys. Rev. D 89, 044024 (2014).
- [28] N. Sennett and A. Buonanno, Modeling dynamical scalarization with a resummed post-Newtonian expansion, Phys. Rev. D 93, 124004 (2016).
- [29] R. N. Lang, Compact binary systems in scalar-tensor gravity. II. Tensor gravitational waves to second post-Newtonian order, Phys. Rev. D 89, 084014 (2014).
- [30] R. N. Lang, Compact binary systems in scalar-tensor gravity. III. Scalar waves and energy flux, Phys. Rev. D 91, 084027 (2015).
- [31] L. Bernard, Dynamics of compact binary systems in scalartensor theories: Equations of motion to the third post-Newtonian order, Phys. Rev. D 98, 044004 (2018).
- [32] L. Bernard, Dynamics of compact binary systems in scalartensor theories: II. Center-of-mass and conserved quantities to 3PN order, Phys. Rev. D 99, 044047 (2019).
- [33] L. Bernard, Dipolar tidal effects in scalar-tensor theories, Phys. Rev. D 101, 021501 (2020); 107, 069901(E) (2023).
- [34] O. Schön and D. D. Doneva, Tensor-multiscalar gravity: Equations of motion to 2.5 post-Newtonian order, Phys. Rev. D 105, 064034 (2022).
- [35] N. Sennett, S. Marsat, and A. Buonanno, Gravitational waveforms in scalar-tensor gravity at 2PN relative order, Phys. Rev. D 94, 084003 (2016).
- [36] L. Bernard, L. Blanchet, and D. Trestini, Gravitational waves in scalar-tensor theory to one-and-a-half

post-Newtonian order, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 08 (2022) 008.

- [37] S. M. Brown, Tidal deformability of neutron stars in scalartensor theories of gravity for gravitational wave analysis, arXiv:2210.14025.
- [38] T. Hinderer, B. D. Lackey, R. N. Lang, and J. S. Read, Tidal deformability of neutron stars with realistic equations of state and their gravitational wave signatures in binary inspiral, Phys. Rev. D 81, 123016 (2010).
- [39] T. Damour, A. Nagar, and L. Villain, Measurability of the tidal polarizability of neutron stars in late-inspiral gravitational-wave signals, Phys. Rev. D 85, 123007 (2012).
- [40] M. Agathos, J. Meidam, W. Del Pozzo, T. G. F. Li, M. Tompitak, J. Veitch, S. Vitale, and C. V. D. Broeck, Constraining the neutron star equation of state with gravitational wave signals from coalescing binary neutron stars, Phys. Rev. D 92, 023012 (2015).
- [41] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), GW170817: Measurements of Neutron Star Radii and Equation of State, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 161101 (2018).
- [42] R. Gamba, S. Bernuzzi, and A. Nagar, Fast, faithful, frequency-domain effective-one-body waveforms for compact binary coalescences, Phys. Rev. D 104, 084058 (2021).
- [43] A. Buonanno and T. Damour, Effective one-body approach to general relativistic two-body dynamics, Phys. Rev. D 59, 084006 (1999).
- [44] A. Buonanno and T. Damour, Transition from inspiral to plunge in binary black hole coalescences, Phys. Rev. D 62, 064015 (2000).
- [45] T. Damour, P. Jaranowski, and G. Schäfer, On the determination of the last stable orbit for circular general relativistic binaries at the third post-Newtonian approximation, Phys. Rev. D 62, 084011 (2000).
- [46] T. Damour, P. Jaranowski, and G. Schäfer, Effective one body approach to the dynamics of two spinning black holes with next-to-leading order spin-orbit coupling, Phys. Rev. D 78, 024009 (2008).
- [47] T. Damour, P. Jaranowski, and G. Schäfer, Nonlocal-in-time action for the fourth post-Newtonian conservative dynamics of two-body systems, Phys. Rev. D 89, 064058 (2014).
- [48] T. Damour, P. Jaranowski, and G. Schäfer, Fourth post-Newtonian effective one-body dynamics, Phys. Rev. D 91, 084024 (2015).
- [49] T. Damour, P. Jaranowski, and G. Schäfer, Conservative dynamics of two-body systems at the fourth post-Newtonian approximation of general relativity, Phys. Rev. D 93, 084014 (2016).
- [50] F.-L. Julié, Reducing the two-body problem in scalar-tensor theories to the motion of a test particle: A scalar-tensor effective-one-body approach, Phys. Rev. D 97, 024047 (2018).
- [51] F.-L. Julié and N. Deruelle, Two-body problem in scalartensor theories as a deformation of general relativity: An effective-one-body approach, Phys. Rev. D 95, 124054 (2017).
- [52] D. M. Eardley, Observable effects of a scalar gravitational field in a binary pulsar, Astrophys. J. Lett. 196, L59 (1975).
- [53] G. Schafer, Acceleration-dependent Lagrangians in general relativity, Phys. Lett. **100A**, 128 (1984).

- [54] T. Damour and G. Schaefer, Redefinition of position variables and the reduction of higher order Lagrangians, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) 32, 127 (1991).
- [55] V. C. de Andrade, L. Blanchet, and G. Faye, Third post Newtonian dynamics of compact binaries: Noetherian conserved quantities and equivalence between the harmonic coordinate and ADM Hamiltonian formalisms, Classical Quantum Gravity 18, 753 (2001).
- [56] T. Damour and G. Schäfer, Lagrangians for *n* point masses at the second post-Newtonian approximation of general relativity, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **17**, 879 (1985).
- [57] T. Damour, P. Jaranowski, and G. Schäfer, Dynamical invariants for general relativistic two-body systems at the third post-Newtonian approximation, Phys. Rev. D 62, 044024 (2000).
- [58] L. Blanchet and G. Faye, General relativistic dynamics of compact binaries at the third post-Newtonian order, Phys. Rev. D 63, 062005 (2001).
- [59] T. Hinderer *et al.*, Periastron advance in spinning black hole binaries: Comparing effective-one-body and numerical relativity, Phys. Rev. D 88, 084005 (2013).
- [60] J. Blümlein, A. Maier, P. Marquard, and G. Schäfer, The fifth-order post-Newtonian Hamiltonian dynamics of twobody systems from an effective field theory approach, Nucl. Phys. **B983**, 115900 (2022).
- [61] T. Damour, Gravitational scattering, post-Minkowskian approximation and effective one-body theory, Phys. Rev. D 94, 104015 (2016).
- [62] T. Damour, High-energy gravitational scattering and the general relativistic two-body problem, Phys. Rev. D 97, 044038 (2018).
- [63] T. Damour, Classical and quantum scattering in post-Minkowskian gravity, Phys. Rev. D 102, 024060 (2020).
- [64] A. Antonelli, A. Buonanno, J. Steinhoff, M. van de Meent, and J. Vines, Energetics of two-body Hamiltonians in post-Minkowskian gravity, Phys. Rev. D 99, 104004 (2019).
- [65] A. Antonelli, M. van de Meent, A. Buonanno, J. Steinhoff, and J. Vines, Quasicircular inspirals and plunges from nonspinning effective-one-body Hamiltonians with gravitational self-force information, Phys. Rev. D 101, 024024 (2020).
- [66] M. Khalil, A. Buonanno, J. Steinhoff, and J. Vines, Energetics and scattering of gravitational two-body systems at fourth post-Minkowskian order, Phys. Rev. D 106, 024042 (2022).
- [67] T. Damour and P. Rettegno, Strong-field scattering of two black holes: Numerical relativity meets post-Minkowskian gravity, Phys. Rev. D 107, 064051 (2023).
- [68] D. Bini, T. Damour, and A. Geralico, Sixth post-Newtonian local-in-time dynamics of binary systems, Phys. Rev. D 102, 024061 (2020).
- [69] D. Bini, T. Damour, and A. Geralico, Sixth post-Newtonian nonlocal-in-time dynamics of binary systems, Phys. Rev. D 102, 084047 (2020).
- [70] T. Jain, following paper, Nonlocal-in-time effective one body Hamiltonian in scalar-tensor gravity at third post-Newtonian order, Phys. Rev. D 107, 084018 (2023).

- [71] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.084017 for the *Mathematica* file containing the main results of the paper, i.e. the potentials A, B, and Q_e .
- [72] A. Nagar, G. Riemenschneider, G. Pratten, P. Rettegno, and F. Messina, Multipolar effective one-body waveform model for spin-aligned black hole binaries, Phys. Rev. D 102, 024077 (2020).
- [73] S. Schmidt, M. Breschi, R. Gamba, G. Pagano, P. Rettegno, G. Riemenschneider, S. Bernuzzi, A. Nagar, and W. Del Pozzo, Machine learning gravitational waves from binary black hole mergers, Phys. Rev. D 103, 043020 (2021).
- [74] G. Riemenschneider, P. Rettegno, M. Breschi, A. Albertini, R. Gamba, S. Bernuzzi, and A. Nagar, Assessment of consistent next-to-quasicircular corrections and postadiabatic approximation in effective-one-body multipolar waveforms for binary black hole coalescences, Phys. Rev. D 104, 104045 (2021).
- [75] F.-L. Julié, V. Baibhav, E. Berti, and A. Buonanno, Third post-Newtonian effective-one-body Hamiltonian in scalartensor and Einstein-scalar-Gauss-Bonnet gravity, arXiv:2212 .13802.
- [76] R. Gamba, S. Akçay, S. Bernuzzi, and J. Williams, Effective-one-body waveforms for precessing coalescing compact binaries with post-Newtonian twist, Phys. Rev. D 106, 024020 (2022).
- [77] R. Gamba and S. Bernuzzi, Resonant tides in binary neutron star mergers: Analytical-numerical relativity study, Phys. Rev. D 107, 044014 (2023).
- [78] B. Shiralilou, T. Hinderer, S. M. Nissanke, N. Ortiz, and H. Witek, Post-Newtonian gravitational and scalar waves in scalar-Gauss-Bonnet gravity, Classical Quantum Gravity 39, 035002 (2022).
- [79] T. Damour, B. R. Iyer, and A. Nagar, Improved resummation of post-Newtonian multipolar waveforms from circularized compact binaries, Phys. Rev. D 79, 064004 (2009).
- [80] A. Taracchini, A. Buonanno, S. A. Hughes, and G. Khanna, Modeling the horizon-absorbed gravitational flux for equatorial-circular orbits in Kerr spacetime, Phys. Rev. D 88, 044001 (2013).
- [81] A. Nagar and A. Shah, Factorization and resummation: A new paradigm to improve gravitational wave amplitudes, Phys. Rev. D 94, 104017 (2016).
- [82] F. Messina, A. Maldarella, and A. Nagar, Factorization and resummation: A new paradigm to improve gravitational wave amplitudes. II: The higher multipolar modes, Phys. Rev. D 97, 084016 (2018).
- [83] D. Bini and T. Damour, Gravitational radiation reaction along general orbits in the effective one-body formalism, Phys. Rev. D 86, 124012 (2012).
- [84] M. Khalil, A. Buonanno, J. Steinhoff, and J. Vines, Radiation-reaction force and multipolar waveforms for eccentric, spin-aligned binaries in the effective-one-body formalism, Phys. Rev. D 104, 024046 (2021).
- [85] A. Ramos-Buades, A. Buonanno, M. Khalil, and S. Ossokine, Effective-one-body multipolar waveforms for

eccentric binary black holes with nonprecessing spins, Phys. Rev. D **105**, 044035 (2022).

- [86] A. Placidi, S. Albanesi, A. Nagar, M. Orselli, S. Bernuzzi, and G. Grignani, Exploiting Newton-factorized, 2PN-accurate waveform multipoles in effective-one-body models for spinaligned noncircularized binaries, Phys. Rev. D 105, 104030 (2022).
- [87] S. Albanesi, A. Placidi, A. Nagar, M. Orselli, and S. Bernuzzi, New avenue for accurate analytical waveforms and fluxes for eccentric compact binaries, Phys. Rev. D 105, L121503 (2022).
- [88] J. Healy, T. Bode, R. Haas, E. Pazos, P. Laguna, D. Shoemaker, and N. Yunes, Late inspiral and merger of

binary black holes in scalar-tensor theories of gravity, Classical Quantum Gravity **29**, 232002 (2012).

- [89] E. Barausse, C. Palenzuela, M. Ponce, and L. Lehner, Neutron-star mergers in scalar-tensor theories of gravity, Phys. Rev. D 87, 081506 (2013).
- [90] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, L. Gualtieri, M. Horbatsch, and U. Sperhake, Numerical simulations of single and binary black holes in scalar-tensor theories: Circumventing the no-hair theorem, Phys. Rev. D 87, 124020 (2013).
- [91] M. Shibata, K. Taniguchi, H. Okawa, and A. Buonanno, Coalescence of binary neutron stars in a scalar-tensor theory of gravity, Phys. Rev. D 89, 084005 (2014).