# Strong decays of singly heavy baryons from a chiral effective theory of diquarks

Yonghee Kim,<sup>[1,\\*](#page-0-0)</sup> Makoto Oka $\bullet$ <sup>2,3,[†](#page-0-1)</sup> Daiki Suenaga $\bullet$ <sup>4,5,[‡](#page-0-2)</sup> and Kei Suzuki $\bullet$ <sup>[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8746-4064)[,§](#page-0-3)</sup>

<span id="page-0-4"></span> ${}^{1}$ Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan<br><sup>2</sup> Advanced Science Bessareh Center, Japan Atomic Francy Agency (IAFA), Tokaj 31

Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA), Tokai 319-1195, Japan 3<br>3 Nishing Center for Ageslarator Based Science, BIKEN, Wake 351,0108, Japan

<sup>3</sup>Nishina Center for Accelerator-Based Science, RIKEN, Wako 351-0198, Japan

<sup>4</sup>Strangeness Nuclear Physics Laboratory, RIKEN Nishina Center, Wako 351-0198, Japan

<sup>5</sup>Research Center for Nuclear Physics (RCNP), Osaka University, Osaka 567-0047, Japan

(Received 4 January 2023; accepted 10 March 2023; published 13 April 2023)

A chiral effective theory of scalar and vector diquarks is formulated, which is based on  $SU(3)_R \times$  $SU(3)_L$  chiral symmetry and includes interactions between scalar and vector diquarks with one or two mesons. We find that the diquark interaction term with two mesons breaks the  $U(1)<sub>A</sub>$  and flavor  $SU(3)$ symmetries. To determine the coupling constants of the interaction Lagrangians, we investigate one-pion emission decays of singly heavy baryons  $O_{qq}$  ( $O = c$ , b and  $q = u, d, s$ ), where baryons are regarded as diquark–heavy-quark two-body systems. Using this model, we present predictions of the unobserved decay widths of singly heavy baryons. We also study the change of masses and strong decay widths of singly heavy baryons under partial restoration of chiral symmetry.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.107.074015](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.074015)

## I. INTRODUCTION

The diquark is a color nonsinglet state made of two quarks [[1](#page-12-0)–[10\]](#page-12-1) and has been realized to play important roles in hadron spectroscopy as a substructure of baryons and exotic hadrons. Diquarks also appear in the color superconducting phase in high-density QCD [[11](#page-12-2)[,12\]](#page-12-3). The properties of diquark itself, such as the mass and the size, have been studied by lattice QCD simulations [[13](#page-12-4)–[23\]](#page-12-5).

Since the diquark is a colorful object, it cannot be observed directly in experiments. To investigate the features of diquarks with making up for this point, singly heavy baryons  $(Qqq)$  are useful. They are composed of one heavy (charm or bottom) quark ( $Q = c, b$ ) and two light (up, down, or strange) quarks ( $q = u, d, s$ ), so that the two light quarks  $(qq)$  may be described as the diquark inside these baryons [[24](#page-12-6)–[41](#page-13-0)].

Another important aspect of hadron spectroscopy is the chiral symmetry and its spontaneous symmetry breaking in the low-energy regime of QCD. In our previous works, an

<span id="page-0-1"></span>[†](#page-0-4) oka@post.j-parc.jp

<span id="page-0-2"></span>[‡](#page-0-4) daiki.suenaga@riken.jp

effective Lagrangian based on the chiral  $SU(3)_R \times SU(3)_L$ symmetry for diquarks was constructed. In Refs. [\[35,](#page-13-1)[36\]](#page-13-2), the chiral effective model of scalar and pseudoscalar diquarks with spin 0 is proposed and is employed to investigate the mass spectra of singly heavy baryons with the diquark– heavy-quark potential model. Here we derived the mass formulas for the diquarks and discovered the inverse mass hierarchy of diquark masses: The nonstrange pseudoscalar diquark becomes heavier than the singly strange pseudoscalar diquark  $[M(ud, 0^-) > M(ds/su, 0^-)]$  due to the  $U(1)_A$ anomaly [\[42](#page-13-3),[43](#page-13-4)]. Besides, in Ref. [\[40\]](#page-13-5), we constructed the chiral effective model of vector and axial-vector diquarks with spin 1 and updated our numerical results of the spectrum of singly heavy baryons with a renewal of potential models. We obtained the mass formula for the axial-vector diquarks  $[M^2(uu/ud/dd, 1^+) + M^2(ss, 1^+) = 2M^2(ds/su, 1^+),$ which is a generalization of the Gell-Mann–Okubo mass formula  $[44, 45]$  $[44, 45]$  $[44, 45]$  $[44, 45]$  $[44, 45]$ .

One of the characteristic points of these works is applying mass formulas of diquarks to the spectrum of singly heavy baryons. Here scalar and vector diquarks are considered independently and interactions between these diquarks are neglected because they are expected to be irrelevant to the diquark mass formulas. Instead of this, however, such an interaction plays the main role in strong decays of singly heavy baryons [[41](#page-13-0),[50](#page-13-8)–[92](#page-14-0)].

<span id="page-0-0"></span>[<sup>\\*</sup>](#page-0-4) kimu.ryonhi@phys.kyushu-u.ac.jp

<span id="page-0-3"></span>[<sup>§</sup>](#page-0-4) k.suzuki.2010@th.phys.titech.ac.jp

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>From the viewpoints of diquarks in a chiral framework, there are some other works. For light mesons, see Refs. [[46](#page-13-9)–[48\]](#page-13-10). For an application to light baryons, see Ref. [[49](#page-13-11)].

In this paper, we extend our approach [[35](#page-13-1),[36](#page-13-2),[40](#page-13-5)] to interactions between the scalar and vector diquarks, which satisfies the chiral  $SU(3)_R \times SU(3)_L$  symmetry. We determine the coupling constants for these interactions from the experimentally known strong decay widths of singly heavy baryons and also investigate the effect of chiral symmetry restoration.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec.[II](#page-1-0), we formulate a chiral effective model including interactions between scalar and vector diquarks. In Sec. [III,](#page-4-0) we investigate one-pion emission decays of singly heavy baryons based on a diquark– heavy-quark description and determine the coupling constants of the interaction Lagrangian. Besides, modifications of decay widths of the baryons triggered by the partial restoration of chiral symmetry are demonstrated. Finally, Sec. [IV](#page-10-0) is for the conclusion of the present work.

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>II. CHIRAL EFFECIVE MODEL OF DIQUARKS

Main purpose of this work is to study strong decays of singly heavy baryons from the diquark–heavy-quark description based on chiral symmetry. In this framework, interactions between singly heavy baryons and light mesons are determined by chiral dynamics of the diquarks and light mesons, where the remaining heavy quark simply serves as a spectator. To this end, in this section we introduce a chiral effective Lagrangian describing interactions between diquarks and light mesons. For the diquarks, in particular, we include the vector (V) and axial-vector (A) diquarks as well as the scalar (S) and pseudoscalar (P) ones.

## A. Diquark operators

Within a simple description, singly heavy baryons are composed of one heavy quark and one diquark belonging to the color 3 and  $\overline{3}$  representations, respectively. Here, we briefly explain the properties of color  $\bar{3}$  diquarks and their structures based on chiral symmetry.

In Table [I,](#page-1-1) we summarize interpolating fields (or operators) of the diquarks studied in this work and their quantum numbers.<sup>2</sup> In this table,  $C = i\gamma^0\gamma^2$  is the charge conjugation Dirac matrix. The subscripts "A" and "S" stand for the antisymmetric and symmetric combinations in flavor indices, respectively, which are shown in the rightmost column. The superscript  $\overline{3}$  means that the diquarks are antisymmetric in color indices. Their spin and parities are represented by  $J^P$ .

The diquarks listed in Table [I](#page-1-1) are parity eigenstates which are useful to see connections with physical states of the singly heavy baryons. To see diquarks from the aspects of chiral symmetry, it is useful to classify them in terms of the left-handed and right-handed quarks defined by

<span id="page-1-1"></span>TABLE I. Local diquark operators belonging to color  $\bar{3}$ .

Diquark	Operator		Color	Flavor
S (scalar)	$(q^T C \gamma^5 q)_A^3$			
P (pseudoscalar)	$(q^T C q)^{\frac{3}{4}}$	$()^-$	3	
V (vector)	$(q^T C \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 q)_A^{\bar{3}}$		$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	
A (axial-vector)	$(q^T C \gamma^\mu q)_{S}^3$	$1+$		

 $q_{R,i}^a = P_R q_i^a$  and  $q_{L,i} = P_L q_i^a$  with the chiral projection<br>congreters  $R = (1 + \omega^5)/2$ . Here g and identify the color operators  $P_{R,L} \equiv (1 \pm \gamma^5)/2$ . Here, *a* and *i* denote the color and flavor indices, respectively. In such chiral basis, the four diquark operators in Table [I](#page-1-1) are decomposed to three chiral diquark operators given in Table [II.](#page-1-2) From the definition,  $q_{R,i}^a$  and  $q_{L,i}^a$  belong to the (3, 1) and (1, 3) representations of  $SU(3)_R \times SU(3)_L$  chiral symmetry, respectively, and accordingly the chiral representations of the diquarks are determined as in the table.

Below, we show the chiral and parity transformations of the diquarks in Table [II](#page-1-2). First, since  $q_{R,i}^a$  and  $q_{L,i}^a$  belong to (3,1) and (1,3), respectively, they transform under  $SU(3)_R \times$  $SU(3)_L$  chiral symmetry as  $q_{R,i}^a \rightarrow (U_R)_{ij} q_{R,j}^a$  and  $G^a \rightarrow (U_R)_{ij} a_{R,j}^a$  with  $U_C \subseteq SU(3)$  and  $U_C \subseteq SU(2)$  $q_{L,i}^a \rightarrow (U_L)_{ij} q_{L,j}^a$ , with  $U_R \in SU(3)_R$  and  $U_L \in SU(3)_L$ .<br>Thus, and consider the spinal diameter are transitionally Thus, one can easily see that the chiral diquarks are transformed as [[35](#page-13-1)]

<span id="page-1-4"></span>
$$
\begin{aligned} \n\text{Ch}: d_{R,i}^a &\rightarrow d_{R_j}^a (U_R^\dagger)_{ji}, \qquad d_{L,i}^a &\rightarrow d_{L_j}^a (U_L^\dagger)_{ji}, \\ \n\text{Ch}: d_{ij}^{a,\mu} &\rightarrow U_{L,ik} d_{km}^{a,\mu} U_{R,mj}^T. \n\end{aligned} \tag{1}
$$

<span id="page-1-3"></span>Next, it is well known that the spatial inversion of the left-handed or right-haded quark is given by  $q_{R/L,i}^a(t, \vec{x}) \rightarrow$  $\gamma_0 q_{L/R,i}^a(t, -\vec{x})$ . From this formula, the parity transformation of the chiral diquarks reads

$$
\mathcal{P}: d_{R/L,i}^a \to -d_{L/R,i}^a,
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{P}: d_{ij}^{a,\mu} \to -d_{\mu,ji}^a.
$$
\n(2)

These transformation properties are important for constructing a chiral Lagrangian of the diquarks. Besides, the parity transformation law in Eq.[\(2\)](#page-1-3) enables us to express the parityeigenstate diquark operators in Table [I](#page-1-1) in terms of the chiral operators in Table [II](#page-1-2) as

<span id="page-1-2"></span>TABLE II. Local diquark operators in the chiral basis for diquarks in Table [I.](#page-1-1)

$\epsilon$ singly neavy paryons. To see diquarks from the aspects				
chiral symmetry, it is useful to classify them in terms	Chiral operator	Spin	Color	Chiral
the left-handed and right-handed quarks defined by	$d_{R,i}^a = \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon_{iik} (q_{R,i}^{bT} C q_{R,k}^c)$			$(\bar{3}, 1)$
	$d_{L,i}^a = \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon_{ijk} (q_{L,i}^{bT} C q_{L,k}^c)$			$(1,\bar{3})$
$\alpha$ <sup>2</sup> Note that the S and A diquarks are often referred to as the bod" and "bad" diquarks, respectively [93-96].	$d_{ii}^{a,\mu} = \epsilon^{abc} (q_{L,i}^{bT} C \gamma^{\mu} q_{R,i}^{c})$			(3, 3)

<sup>&</sup>quot;good" and "bad" diquarks, respectively [[93](#page-14-1)–[96\]](#page-14-2).

$$
S_i^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (d_{R,i}^a - d_{L,i}^a) = \epsilon_{abc} \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (q_j^{bT} C \gamma^5 q_k^c), \tag{3}
$$

$$
P_i^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( d_{R,i}^a + d_{L,i}^a \right) = \epsilon_{abc} \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( q_j^{bT} C q_k^c \right), \quad (4)
$$

$$
V_{ij}^{a,\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( d_{ij}^{a,\mu} - d_{ji}^{a,\mu} \right) = \epsilon_{abc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( q_i^{bT} C \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 q_j^c \right), \quad (5)
$$

$$
A_{ij}^{a,\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( d_{ij}^{a,\mu} + d_{ji}^{a,\mu} \right) = \epsilon_{abc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( q_i^{bT} C \gamma^{\mu} q_j^c \right). \tag{6}
$$

From these expressions, we find that S and P diquarks are chiral partners belonging to the chiral  $(3, 1) + (1, 3)$  representation, while V and A diquarks are chiral partners belonging to the chiral (3,3) representation.

## B. Chiral effective Lagrangian

In this subsection, we present interaction Lagrangian including the diquarks and light mesons based on  $SU(3)_R \times SU(3)_L$  chiral symmetry.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>According to Ref. [[40](#page-13-5)], the chiral effective Lagrangian for diquarks in Table [II](#page-1-2) and light mesons are expressed within the linear sigma model as

$$
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}[\partial^{\mu} \Sigma^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} \Sigma] - V(\Sigma) + \mathcal{L}_{S} + \mathcal{L}_{V} + \mathcal{L}_{SV}. \quad (7)
$$

In the first and second terms,  $\Sigma_{ij}$  is the meson nonet composed of the scalar  $\sigma_{ii}$  and pseudoscalar  $\pi_{ii}$  mesons [[97](#page-14-3),[98](#page-14-4)]. The latter mesons are regarded as the Nambu-Goldstone (NG) bosons in association with the breakdown of  $SU(3)_R \times SU(3)_L$  chiral symmetry triggered by the instability of the potential  $V(\Sigma)$  at  $\Sigma = 0$ , where  $V(\Sigma)$  is the potential terms of the meson nonet. Since the meson nonet  $\Sigma_{ij} = \bar{q}_{R,i} q_{Li}$  belongs to chiral (3, 3) representation, its chiral transformation is given as

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
\Sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ij} + i\pi_{ij} \to U_{L,ik}\Sigma_{km}U_{R,mj}^{\dagger}.
$$
 (8)

The third and fourth terms in Eq. [\(7\)](#page-2-0) represent the kinetic and mass terms of the diquarks, where  $\mathcal{L}_S$  includes spin 0 (S and P) diquarks while  $\mathcal{L}_V$  includes spin 1 (V and A) diquarks. For their explicit expressions, see Refs. [\[35,](#page-13-1)[40](#page-13-5)].

<span id="page-2-2"></span>The last term of chiral effective Lagrangian in Eq. [\(7\)](#page-2-0),  $\mathcal{L}_{SV}$ , represents couplings between the diquarks with different spins mediated by the meson nonet. In this work, we propose the following two terms:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{SV} = \mathcal{L}_{SV}^{(1)} + \mathcal{L}_{SV}^{(2)},\tag{9}
$$

<span id="page-2-4"></span>where

$$
\mathcal{L}_{SV}^{(1)} = g_1 \epsilon_{ijk} \Big[ d_{ni}^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \Sigma^{\dagger})_{jn} d_{R,k}^{\dagger} + d_{in}^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \Sigma)_{jn} d_{L,k}^{\dagger} \Big], \quad (10)
$$

<span id="page-2-1"></span>and

$$
\mathcal{L}_{SV}^{(2)} = \frac{g_2}{f_\pi} \epsilon_{ijk} \left[ d_{\text{in}}^\mu \{ \Sigma_{jn} (\partial_\mu \Sigma)_{km} - (\partial_\mu \Sigma)_{jn} \Sigma_{km} \} d_{R,m}^\dagger + d_{ni}^\mu \{ \Sigma_{jn}^\dagger (\partial_\mu \Sigma^\dagger)_{km} - (\partial_\mu \Sigma^\dagger)_{jn} \Sigma_{km}^\dagger \} d_{L,m}^\dagger \right]. \tag{11}
$$

The coefficients  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are dimensionless coupling constants, and  $f_{\pi}$  in Eq. [\(11\)](#page-2-1) is the pion decay constant. All the color indices are implicitly contracted. In constructing the Lagrangian [\(9\)](#page-2-2) we have taken into account the contributions up to  $\mathcal{O}(\Sigma^2)$  so as to satisfy chiral symmetry in Eqs. [\(1\)](#page-1-4) and [\(8\)](#page-2-3).

Since the spatial inversion of  $\Sigma$  is expressed as  $\mathcal{P}:\Sigma(t,\vec{x})\to\Sigma^{\dagger}(t,-\vec{x})$ , the Lagrangians in Eqs. [\(10\)](#page-2-4) and [\(11\)](#page-2-1) are invariant under parity transformation as well as chiral transformation. However, under the axial  $U(1)<sub>A</sub>$ transformation, only  $\mathcal{L}_{SV}^{(1)}$  keeps the symmetry while  $\mathcal{L}_{SV}^{(2)}$ breaks it. That is, the latter is responsible for the  $U(1)<sub>A</sub>$ anomaly effects, which may be caused by instantons (a topologically nontrivial configuration of gluon fields) [[99](#page-14-5)].

In order to diagrammatically understand the  $U(1)<sub>A</sub>$ anomaly effects, in Fig. [1](#page-3-0), we draw schematic pictures of the vertices and the quark lines: In the left figure (a) for  $\mathcal{L}_{SV}^{(1)}$ , all the right-handed or left-handed quark lines, denoted by "R" or "L," is conserved through the interaction vertex. On the other hand, in the right figure (b) for  $\mathcal{L}_{SV}^{(2)}$ , the quark lines are not conserved.

#### C. Explicit chiral symmetry breaking

In the vacuum where  $SU(3)_R \times SU(3)_L$  chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken, the vacuum expectation values (VEVs) of the meson nonet  $\Sigma$  is nonzero:  $\langle \Sigma \rangle \neq 0$ . As a result, the  $\Sigma$  with no derivatives in Eq. [\(11\)](#page-2-1) can be replaced by its VEV  $\langle \Sigma \rangle$ , and couplings describing one meson emission decays of the diquarks are obtained from Eq. [\(11\)](#page-2-1) as well as Eq. [\(10\).](#page-2-4) In such treatment, effects from the violation of  $SU(3)_R \times SU(3)_L$  chiral symmetry due to a mass of strange quark cannot be ignored. In this subsection, we explain our method to incorporate such explicit chiral symmetry breaking (ECSB) effects into the Lagrangian [\(9\).](#page-2-2)

When taking into account the current quark masses, within the linear sigma model, the mass matrix  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{eff}}$  of constituent quarks can be expressed as

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{M} + g_s \langle \Sigma \rangle, \tag{12}
$$

where  $\mathcal{M} = \text{diag}(m_u, m_d, m_s)$  denotes the current quark mass matrix, and  $\langle \Sigma \rangle$  is the VEV of  $\Sigma$  with  $g_s$  the quarkmeson coupling constant. As for the current quark masses, the lattice QCD simulations suggest that  $m_s = 93.1$  MeV

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Schematic pictures for the effective interaction Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_{SV}$  [Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-2)] between diquarks and mesons. The left figure (a) and right figure (b) describe  $\mathcal{L}_{SV}^{(1)}$  [Eq. [\(10\)\]](#page-2-4) and  $\mathcal{L}_{SV}^{(2)}$  [Eq. [\(11\)\]](#page-2-1), respectively. Arrows with "R" or "L" represent the right-handed or lefthanded quark lines.

while  $m_u \approx m_d \approx 2{\text -}5 \text{ MeV}$ , and hence we take  $m_u =$  $m_d = 0$  as a good approximation and assume  $SU(2)_I$ isospin symmetry throughout the symmetry breaking. In this case, the VEV of  $\Sigma$  must be diagonal as  $\langle \Sigma \rangle$  = diag( $\langle \sigma_{11} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \sigma_{22} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \sigma_{33} \rangle$ ) with  $\langle \sigma_{11} \rangle = \langle \sigma_{22} \rangle$ . These values are determined by the pion and kaon decay constants  $f_{\pi}$ and  $f_K$ . That is, by evaluating the axial currents from Eq. [\(7\),](#page-2-0) one can find  $\langle \sigma_{11} \rangle = \langle \sigma_{22} \rangle = f_{\pi} = 92.1 \text{ MeV}$ and  $\langle \sigma_{33} \rangle = f_s \equiv 2f_K - f_\pi = 128.1$  MeV, where  $f_\pi =$ 92.1 MeV and  $f_K = 110.1$  MeV are from the Particle Data Group (PDG) [\[100\]](#page-14-6). As a result, the effective quark mass matrix can be expressed in a simple form as

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{M}_{\text{eff}} \simeq g_s f_\pi \text{diag}(1, 1, \alpha),
$$

$$
\alpha = \frac{f_s}{f_\pi} \left( 1 + \frac{m_s}{g_s f_s} \right). \tag{13}
$$

One of the most useful ways to incorporate the ECSB effects is to replace  $\Sigma$  in the Lagrangian [\(7\)](#page-2-0) by the following shifted nonet  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  [\[35,](#page-13-1)[40\]](#page-13-5):

$$
\Sigma \to \tilde{\Sigma} \equiv \Sigma + \mathcal{M}/g_s, \tag{14}
$$

<span id="page-3-2"></span>where its VEV is given by

$$
\langle \tilde{\Sigma} \rangle = \mathcal{M}_{\text{eff}} / g_s = f_\pi \text{diag}(1, 1, \alpha). \tag{15}
$$

<span id="page-3-5"></span><span id="page-3-3"></span>By substituting Eqs.  $(13)$ – $(15)$  into the effective Lagrangian [\(9\)](#page-2-2), we obtain the interaction Lagrangian with the ECSB effects:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{SV} = \mathcal{L}_{AS\pi} + \mathcal{L}_{VP\pi},\tag{16}
$$

with

$$
i\mathcal{L}_{AS\pi} = (g_1 + \alpha g_2) [A_{1n}^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \pi)_{2n} S_3^{\dagger} - A_{2n}^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \pi)_{1n} S_3^{\dagger}] + (g_1 + g_2) [A_{2n}^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \pi)_{3n} S_1^{\dagger} - A_{3n}^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \pi)_{2n} S_1^{\dagger} + A_{3n}^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \pi)_{1n} S_2^{\dagger} - A_{1n}^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \pi)_{3n} S_2^{\dagger}],
$$
(17)

<span id="page-3-4"></span>and

$$
i\mathcal{L}_{VP\pi} = -(g_1 - \alpha g_2) [V_{1n}^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \pi)_{2n} P_3^{\dagger} - V_{2n}^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \pi)_{1n} P_3^{\dagger}]
$$
  
\n
$$
- (g_1 - g_2) [V_{2n}^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \pi)_{3n} P_1^{\dagger} - V_{3n}^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \pi)_{2n} P_1^{\dagger}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ V_{3n}^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \pi)_{1n} P_2^{\dagger} - V_{1n}^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \pi)_{3n} P_2^{\dagger}]
$$
  
\n
$$
- (1 + \alpha) g_2 [V_{23}^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \pi)_{1n} P_n^{\dagger} + V_{31}^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \pi)_{2n} P_n^{\dagger}]
$$
  
\n
$$
- 2g_2 [V_{12}^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \pi)_{3n} P_n^{\dagger}].
$$
  
\n(18)

In order to obtain Eqs. [\(17\)](#page-3-3) and [\(18\)](#page-3-4), we have rearranged the  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  terms in Eqs. [\(10\)](#page-2-4) and [\(11\)](#page-2-1) such that  $\mathcal{L}_{AS\pi}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{VP\pi}$  contain couplings of the S and A diquarks and those of the P and V diquarks, respectively. Note that we have omitted interactions mediated by scalar mesons  $\sigma_{ii}$  in Eqs. [\(17\)](#page-3-3) and [\(18\).](#page-3-4)

<span id="page-3-6"></span>When we decompose the pseudoscalar nonet  $\pi_{ij}$  into the singlet and octet parts as

$$
\pi_{ij} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \eta_1 \delta_{ij} + \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta_8}{\sqrt{6}} & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\eta_8}{\sqrt{6}} & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & -\frac{2\eta_8}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \pi^{(1)} \delta_{ij} + \pi_{ij}^{(8)}, \qquad (19)
$$

the flavor structures of Eq. [\(16\)](#page-3-5) become more transparent. The Lagrangian written in terms of  $\pi_{ij}^{(1)}$  and  $\pi_{ij}^{(8)}$  is straightforwardly obtained by substituting Eq. [\(19\)](#page-3-6) into Eq. [\(16\),](#page-3-5) but the resultant expression is lengthy. Hence, here we only comment on its flavor symmetric properties.

The resultant Lagrangian indicates that the flavor-singlet pseudoscalar meson  $\pi^{(1)}$  does not couple to A and S diquarks. This is because the A and S diquarks belong to flavor  $6$  and  $\bar{3}$  representations, respectively, so that original flavor symmetry prohibits such couplings. Similarly, both the V and P diquarks belong to flavor  $3$ , and thus they couple to both flavor singlet  $\pi^{(1)}$  and octet  $\pi^{(8)}$  mesons.<sup>3</sup>

# <span id="page-4-0"></span>III. DECAYS OF  $\Sigma_Q$  and  $\Xi'_Q$  baryons

In Sec. [II,](#page-1-0) we have formulated the chiral effective model of diquarks describing their one-pion emission decays. In this section, from the chiral model and the diquark–heavyquark description, we investigate strong decays of singly heavy baryons and quantify the model using the experimental data. In Table [III](#page-4-1), we summarize the experimental data of singly heavy baryons from the PDG [\[100](#page-14-6)], which are expected to be in the ground states.

#### A. Theoretical framework

First, we focus on  $\Sigma_Q \to \Lambda_Q \pi$  and  $\Xi'_Q \to \Xi_Q \pi$  decays  $(Q = c, b)$ . The  $\Lambda_Q$  and  $\Xi_Q$  are composed of one heavy quark and the S diguark while  $\Sigma_A$  and  $\Xi'$  includes the A quark and the S diquark, while  $\Sigma_Q$  and  $\Xi_Q'$  includes the A diquark. Thus, in order to study  $\Sigma_Q \to \Lambda_Q \pi$  and  $\Xi'_Q \to \Xi_Q \pi$ decays, we need to focus on the couplings between the S diquark, the A diquark, and the pion in Lagrangian [\(16\)](#page-3-5). The interaction Lagrangian is given by

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
\mathcal{L}_{A \to S\pi} = -\sqrt{2} i G_1 [A^{\mu}_{\{uu\}} (\partial_{\mu} \pi^{-}) S^{\dagger}_{[ud]} \n- A^{\mu}_{\{ud\}} (\partial_{\mu} \pi^{0}) S^{\dagger}_{[ud]} - A^{\mu}_{\{dd\}} (\partial_{\mu} \pi^{+}) S^{\dagger}_{[ud]}] \n- i G_2 [A^{\mu}_{\{ds\}} (\partial_{\mu} \pi^{+}) S^{\dagger}_{[su]} - A^{\mu}_{\{us\}} (\partial_{\mu} \pi^{-}) S^{\dagger}_{[ds]}] \n+ i \frac{G_2}{\sqrt{2}} [A^{\mu}_{\{us\}} (\partial_{\mu} \pi^{0}) S^{\dagger}_{[su]} + A^{\mu}_{\{ds\}} (\partial_{\mu} \pi^{0}) S^{\dagger}_{[ds]}].
$$
\n(20)

In this Lagrangian, we have rewritten the numbers in the subscripts of diquarks as the flavors: the original  $A_{ij}^{\mu}$  and  $S_i^{\dagger}$ denote

$$
A_{ij}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} A_{\{uu\}}^{\mu} & A_{\{ud\}}^{\mu} & A_{\{us\}}^{\mu} \\ A_{\{ud\}}^{\mu} & \sqrt{2} A_{\{dd\}}^{\mu} & A_{\{ds\}}^{\mu} \\ A_{\{us\}}^{\mu} & A_{\{ds\}}^{\mu} & \sqrt{2} A_{\{ss\}}^{\mu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21)
$$

$$
S_{i}^{\dagger} = (S_{\{ds\}}^{\dagger} & S_{\{su\}}^{\dagger} & S_{\{ud\}}^{\dagger}), \quad (22)
$$

<span id="page-4-1"></span>TABLE III. Experimental values of masses and decay widths of singly heavy baryons in units of MeV, taken from the PDG compilation [[100\]](#page-14-6). We also use the pion masses as  $m_{\pi^{\pm}} = 139.57$  MeV and  $m_{\phi} = 134.97$  MeV 139.57 MeV and  $m_{\pi^0} = 134.97$  MeV.

Baryon	$J^P$	Mass (MeV)	Full width (MeV)	Decay mode
$\Lambda_c$	$1/2^{+}$	2286.46		(No strong decay)
$\Sigma_c^{++}(2455)$	$1/2^+$	2453.97	1.89	$\Sigma_c^{++} \to \Lambda_c \pi^+$
$\Sigma_c^+(2455)$	$1/2^+$	2452.9	( <b>4.6</b> )	$\Sigma_c^+ \to \Lambda_c \pi^0$
$\Sigma_c^0(2455)$	$1/2^{+}$	2453.75	1.83	$\Sigma_c^0 \to \Lambda_c \pi^-$
$\Sigma_c^{++}(2520)$	$3/2^+$	2518.41	14.78	$\Sigma_c^{*++} \to \Lambda_c \pi^+$
$\Sigma_c^+(2520)$	$3/2^+$	2517.5	(<17)	$\Sigma_c^{*+} \to \Lambda_c \pi^0$
$\Sigma_c^0(2520)$	$3/2^+$	2518.48	15.3	$\Sigma_c^{*0} \to \Lambda_c \pi^-$
$\Xi_c^+$	$1/2^+$	2467.71		(No strong decay)
$\Xi_c^0$	$1/2^{+}$	2470.44		(No strong decay)
$\Xi_c^{\prime +}$	$1/2^+$	2578.2		(No strong decay)
$\Xi_c^{\prime 0}$	$1/2^{+}$	2578.7		(No strong decay)
$\Xi_c^+(2645)$	$3/2^+$	2645.10	2.14	$\Xi_c^{\prime*+} \to \Xi_c^{0(+)} \pi^{+(0)}$
$\Xi_c^0(2645)$	$3/2^{+}$	2646.16	2.35	$\Xi_c^{\prime*0} \to \Xi_c^{+(0)} \pi^{-(0)}$
$\Lambda_h$	$1/2^+$	5619.60		(No strong decay)
$\Sigma_{b}^{+}$ $\Sigma_b^0$ $\Sigma_b^-$	$1/2^+$ $1/2^{+}$ $1/2^{+}$	5810.56 $\cdots$ 5815.64	5.0 . 5.3	$\Sigma_b^+ \to \Lambda_b \pi^+$ $\Sigma_b^- \to \Lambda_b \pi^-$
$\Sigma_{b}^{*+}$ $\frac{\Sigma_b^{*0}}{\Sigma_b^{*-}}$	$3/2^+$ $3/2^+$ $3/2^{+}$	5830.32 $\ldots$ 5834.74	9.4 . 10.4	$\Sigma_b^{*+} \to \Lambda_b \pi^+$ $\Sigma_b^{*-} \to \Lambda_b \pi^-$
$\Xi_b^0$	$1/2^+$	5791.9		(No strong decay)
$\Xi_{b}^{-}$	$1/2^+$	5797.0		(No strong decay)
$\Xi_{b}^{\prime0}$ $\Xi_{h}^{\prime -}$ (5935)	$1/2^{+}$ $1/2^+$	$\ldots$ 5935.02	(<0.08)	$\Xi_b'^- \to \Xi_b^{0(-)} \pi^{-(0)}$
$\Xi_b^0(5945)$	$3/2^+$	5952.3	0.90	$\Xi_b^{\prime*0} \to \Xi_b^{-(0)} \pi^{+(0)}$
$\Xi_b^-(5955)$	$3/2^+$	5955.33	1.65	$\Xi_{b}^{\prime*-}\rightarrow\Xi_{b}^{0(-)}\pi^{-(0)}$

<span id="page-4-2"></span>where  $\{\cdots\}$  and  $[\cdots]$  stand for the symmetric and antisymmetric ordering of quarks, respectively. The new coupling constants  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are given as

$$
G_1 = g_1 + \alpha g_2, \qquad G_2 = g_1 + g_2. \tag{23}
$$

From Eq. [\(23\)](#page-4-2), it is obvious that the difference between  $G_1$ and  $G_2$  comes from the violation of  $SU(3)$  flavor symmetry characterized by  $\alpha \neq 1$ .

From now on, in order to study the decays of singly heavy baryons, we employ a diquark–heavy-quark picture: While the dynamics of pion decays is determined by the Lagrangian [\(20\)](#page-4-3) for diquarks, the property as the bound state composed of a diquark and a heavy quark is given by a quark model calculation. In this picture, the heavy-baryon state  $\ket{d_Q}$  with the energy  $E_{d_Q}$  is represented as the product of the diquark state  $\ket{d}$  with  $\overline{E}$ , and a heavy-quark state  $\ket{Q}$ of the diquark state  $|d\rangle$  with  $\tilde{E}_d$  and a heavy-quark state  $|Q\rangle$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Although the arguments based on  $SU(3)$  flavor symmetry is Although the arguments based on  $SU(3)$  flavor symmetry is d only for  $\alpha = 1$  where original  $SU(3)_R \times SU(3)_L$  symmetry valid only for  $\alpha = 1$  where original  $SU(3)_R \times SU(3)_L$  symmetry<br>is exactly satisfied, it seems that they can be applied to the case is exactly satisfied, it seems that they can be applied to the case for  $\alpha \neq 1$ .

<span id="page-5-1"></span>with  $E_O$ , superposed by the relative wave function  $\phi_d(\vec{p})$ between them. It is explicitly given in the momentum space as

$$
|d_{Q}(P_{d_{Q}}, J_{d_{Q}})\rangle = \sqrt{2E_{d_{Q}}}\int \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}}\phi_{d}(\vec{p})
$$

$$
\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{d}}} |d(p_{d}, s_{d})\rangle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{Q}}} |Q(p_{Q}, s_{Q})\rangle, \tag{24}
$$

where the integral is over the relative momentum,  $\vec{p}$ , keeping the total momentum conserved as  $\vec{p}_d + \vec{p}_Q = \vec{P}_{d_Q}$ . Here,  $\vec{P}_{d_Q}$ ,  $\vec{p}_d(\vec{p}) \equiv \frac{m_d}{m_d + m_Q} \vec{P}_{d_Q} + \vec{p}$ , and  $\vec{p}_Q(\vec{p}) \equiv$  $\frac{m_Q}{m_d+m_Q} \vec{P}_{d_Q} - \vec{p}$  (with  $m_d$  and  $m_Q$  as the diquark and heavy-quark masses, respectively) are the momenta of the heavy baryon, diquark, and heavy quark, respectively. (See Fig. [2](#page-5-0) for the definitions.) The energies of diquark and heavy quark also depend on the relative momentum as  $E_d = \sqrt{|\vec{p}_d(\vec{p})|^2 + m_d^2}$  and  $E_Q = \sqrt{|\vec{p}_Q(\vec{p})|^2 + m_Q^2}$ .

<span id="page-5-3"></span>In Eq. [\(24\),](#page-5-1)  $J_{d_0}$ ,  $s_d$ , and  $s_o$  represent the spins of the corresponding states. The conservation law of spins leads to

$$
(J_{d_Q}, s_d, s_Q) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{25}
$$

<span id="page-5-4"></span>for the S diquark  $(d = S)$  and

$$
(J_{d_Q}, s_d, s_Q) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$
 or  $\left(\frac{3}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}\right)$  (26)

for the A diquark  $(d = A)$ , if there is no orbital excitation.

Note that the normalizations of the state kets are defined in the relativistic way in Eq. [\(24\),](#page-5-1) such as

$$
\langle d'_{Q}(\vec{P}_{d_{Q}}, J'_{d_{Q}})|d_{Q}(\vec{P}_{d_{Q}}, J_{d_{Q}})\rangle
$$
  
=  $2E_{d_{Q}}(2\pi)^{3}\delta^{(3)}(\vec{P}_{d_{Q}}' - \vec{P}_{d_{Q}})\delta_{J'_{d_{Q}}J_{d_{Q}}},$  (27)

<span id="page-5-5"></span>with  $E_{d_Q} = \sqrt{|\vec{P}_{d_Q}|^2 + m_{d_Q}^2}$ . Similar normalizations are also applied to the state kets of the diquark and the heavy

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

FIG. 2. Kinematics employed for  $A_{\text{Q}} \rightarrow S_{\text{Q}} \pi$  decays with pion momentm  $\vec{p}_{\pi}$ .  $\vec{P}_{A_O}$  and  $\vec{P}_{S_O}$  denote the momenta of initial- and final-state baryons, respectively, and  $\vec{p}$  and  $\vec{p'}$  are the relative momenta between a diquark and a heavy quark in the initial and final states, respectively.  $\phi_{A,J}$  and  $\phi_S$  are the relative wave functions.  $m_d$  (d = A, S) and  $m_Q$  are the diquark mass and the heavy-quark mass, respectively, and  $\mathcal G$  is the coupling constant.

quark. Hence, we need to include the factors of  $\sqrt{2E_{d_Q}}$ ,  $\sqrt{2E_d}$ , and  $\sqrt{2E_Q}$ . The normalization of the relative wave function is given by

$$
\int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} |\phi_d(\vec{p})|^2 = 1.
$$
 (28)

For the pionic decays where the heavy quark is treated as a spectator, we only need to take into account the overlaps of the diquark states  $|d\rangle$  (and pion states  $|\pi\rangle$ ) together with the wave function  $\phi_d(\vec{p})$ . Meanwhile, the heavy-quark part simply leads to their momentum conservation law.

<span id="page-5-2"></span>Keeping the above in mind, we focus on decays of  $A_Q \rightarrow S_Q \pi$  where  $A_Q = \Sigma_Q$  or  $\Xi_Q'$  and  $S_Q = \Lambda_Q$  or  $\Xi_Q$ .<br>Using the interaction between diamerics and pions written as Using the interaction between diquarks and pions written as Eq. [\(20\)](#page-4-3), the decay amplitude is given by

$$
\int d^4x \langle S_Q(P_{S_Q},J_{S_Q})\pi(p_\pi)|i\mathcal{L}_{A\to S\pi}(x)|A_Q(P_{A_Q},J_{A_Q})\rangle. (29)
$$

From Eq. [\(20\),](#page-4-3) the Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_{A\rightarrow S\pi}$  are expressed as the sum of the terms with operators  $A_{\{qq\}}^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu}\pi)S_{[qq]}^{\dagger}$ . Substituting Eq. [\(24\)](#page-5-1) into Eq. [\(29\)](#page-5-2), they are calculated for each term as

$$
\int d^4x \langle S_Q(P_{S_Q}, J_{S_Q})\pi(p_\pi)|i\mathcal{G}A_{\{qq\}}^\mu(x)(\partial_\mu \pi(x))S_{[qq]}^\dagger(x)|A_Q(P_{A_Q}, J_{A_Q})\rangle
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{\mathcal{G}\sqrt{E_{A_Q}E_{S_Q}}}{3} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(p_\pi)_\mu \epsilon_A^\mu(p_A, s_A)}{\sqrt{E_AE_S}} \phi_S^*(\vec{\chi} + \vec{p})\phi_{A,J}(\vec{p}) \times (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_S + p_\pi - p_A). \tag{30}
$$

<span id="page-6-3"></span>Here the factor  $G$  denotes the coupling constant, in which its absolute value is given for each decay process as

$$
|\mathcal{G}| = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2}G_1 & (\Sigma_Q \to \Lambda_Q \pi^{\pm,0}) \\ G_2 & (\Xi'_Q \to \Xi_Q \pi^{\pm}) \\ G_2/\sqrt{2} & (\Xi'_Q \to \Xi_Q \pi^0) \end{cases}
$$
 (31)

Also,  $(p_{\pi})_{\mu}$  and  $\epsilon_A^{\mu}(p_A, s_A)$  denote the pion momentum and<br>the polarization vector of the A diquark respectively,  $\phi(\vec{x})$ the polarization vector of the A diquark, respectively.  $\phi_{S}(\vec{p})$ and  $\phi_{A,J}(\vec{p})$  show the S-wave relative wave functions between the diquark and the heavy quark for  $S_Q$  and  $A_Q$ baryons, respectively. As shown in Eqs. [\(25\)](#page-5-3) and [\(26\)](#page-5-4), while  $S<sub>O</sub>$  baryon belongs to heavy-quark spin singlet,  $A<sub>O</sub>$ belongs to the doublet, so that the total spin of  $A_Q$  is labeled as the subscript  $J = 1/2$ ,  $3/2$  in  $\phi_{A,J}$ . The delta function in Eq. [\(30\)](#page-5-5) represents the momentum and energy conservation laws of diquarks and an emitted pion. In addition, the momenta carried by the heavy quarks are preserved during the interaction. These conservation laws determine the recoil momentum  $\vec{\chi} \equiv \vec{p'} - \vec{p}$  in the relative motion  $\phi_S(\vec{p}')$ . In particular, at the rest frame of the  $A_Q$  baryon  $(P_{A_0} = 0), \vec{\chi}$  is written as

$$
\vec{\chi} = -\frac{m_Q}{m_S + m_Q} \vec{p}_\pi. \tag{32}
$$

In this frame, one can easily confirm  $\vec{P}_{S_Q} = -\vec{p}_{\pi}$ , and the momentum of A diquark coincides with the relative momentum as  $\vec{p}_A = \vec{p}$ . The kinematics employed in the present analysis is depicted in Fig. [2](#page-5-0).

For the amplitude in Eq. [\(30\),](#page-5-5) we use an approximation for the momentum of A diquark,  $\vec{p}_A$ . That is, in our present analysis,  $\vec{p}_A$  is replaced by the expectation value:

$$
\vec{p}_A \rightarrow \langle \vec{p} \rangle \equiv \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \vec{p} |\phi_{A,J}(\vec{p})|^2 = 0,
$$
  

$$
|\vec{p}_A|^2 \rightarrow \langle p^2 \rangle \equiv \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} |\vec{p}|^2 |\phi_{A,J}(\vec{p})|^2.
$$
 (33)

In this way, the momentum squared of the S diquark is evaluated as  $\langle p^2 \rangle + |\vec{p}_\pi|^2$  from the momentum conserva-<br>tion. Then by defining a velocity  $n^2$  using  $\langle n^2 \rangle = m^2 n^2$ the energies of the A and S diquarks are written as<br>the energies of the A and S diquarks are written as the energies of the A and S diquarks are written as

$$
E_A = m_A \sqrt{1 + v_A^2},\tag{34}
$$

$$
E_S = m_S \sqrt{1 + \frac{m_A^2}{m_S^2} v_A^2 + \frac{|\vec{p}_\pi|^2}{m_S^2}},\tag{35}
$$

respectively. This approximation is also applied to  $p_A$  in the sum of the polarization vector  $\epsilon_A^{\mu}(p_A, s_A)$  as

$$
\sum_{s_A=-1,0,1} \epsilon_A^{*\mu}(p_A, s_A) \epsilon_A^{\nu}(p_A, s_A) = -g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{p_A^{\mu} p_A^{\nu}}{m_A^2}.
$$
 (36)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>As a result, we find the decay amplitude  $\mathcal M$  as

$$
|\mathcal{M}| = \frac{|\mathcal{G}|}{3} \frac{\sqrt{E_{A_Q} E_{S_Q}}}{\sqrt{E_A E_S}} |(p_\pi)_{\mu} \epsilon_A^{\mu}(p_A, s_A)| \langle \phi_S | \phi_{A,J} \rangle, \qquad (37)
$$

where the inner product  $\langle \phi_s | \phi_{A,J} \rangle$  is defined in the momentum space as

$$
\langle \phi_S | \phi_{A,J} \rangle \equiv \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \phi_S^* (\vec{\chi} + \vec{p}) \phi_{A,J}(\vec{p}). \tag{38}
$$

<span id="page-6-2"></span>Finally, from the square of the amplitude [\(37\),](#page-6-0) we obtain the decay width:

$$
\Gamma[A_Q \to S_Q \pi] = |\langle \phi_S | \phi_{A,J} \rangle|^2 \frac{|G|^2 |\vec{p}_\pi| E_{S_Q}}{216 \pi m_{A_Q}} \times \left\{ \frac{|\vec{p}_\pi|^2 (3 + v_A^2) + 3E_\pi^2 v_A^2}{3E_A E_S} \right\}, \quad (39)
$$

<span id="page-6-5"></span>where  $|\vec{p}_\pi|$  is given as (with the masses of the baryons and pion)

$$
|\vec{p}_{\pi}| = \frac{\sqrt{(m_{A_Q}^2 - M_+^2)(m_{A_Q}^2 - M_-^2)}}{2m_{A_Q}},
$$
  

$$
M_{\pm} = |m_{S_Q} \pm m_{\pi}|.
$$
 (40)

The relative wave functions  $\phi_S(\vec{p})$  and  $\phi_{A,J}(\vec{p})$  are obtained by solving the Schrödinger equation  $H\phi_d = E\phi_d$ describing a bound state of the heavy quark and diquark. The nonrelativistic diquark–heavy-quark Hamiltonian is

$$
H = \frac{|\vec{p}|^2}{2\mu} + m_Q + m_d + V,\tag{41}
$$

where the relative momentum  $\vec{p}$  and the reduced mass  $\mu$  are defined as

$$
\vec{p} = \frac{m_Q \vec{p}_d - m_d \vec{p}_Q}{m_Q + m_d},\tag{42}
$$

$$
\mu = \frac{m_Q m_d}{m_Q + m_d},\tag{43}
$$

<span id="page-6-4"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span>respectively. For the potential between the heavy quark and the diquark, we use the potential in Ref. [[40](#page-13-5)] which is called the Y-potential:

$$
V(r) = -\frac{\alpha_{\text{coul}}}{r} + \lambda r + C + (s_d \cdot s_Q) \frac{\kappa_Q}{m_d m_Q} \frac{\Lambda^2}{r} e^{-\Lambda r}, \quad (44)
$$

<span id="page-7-0"></span>TABLE IV. Parameters of the Y-potential model and masses of diquarks and heavy quarks [\[40\]](#page-13-5).  $\mu$  in the parameter  $\alpha_{\text{coul}}$  is the reduced mass of diquark–heavy-quark two-body system given in Eq. [\(43\).](#page-6-4) The parameters  $\kappa_Q$  are determined separately for charm  $(Q = c)$  and bottom  $(Q = b)$  quarks.

Y-potential parameters		Masses (MeV)	
$\alpha_{\text{coul}}$	60 (MeV)/ $\mu$	$m_{[ud]}$	725
$\lambda$ (GeV <sup>2</sup> )	0.165	$m_{[ds/su]}$	942
$C_c$ (GeV)	$-0.831$	$m_{\{uu/ud/dd\}}$	973
$C_b$ (GeV)	$-0.819$	$m_{\{us/ds\}}$	1116
$\Lambda$ (GeV)	0.691	m <sub>c</sub>	1750
$K_c$	0.8586	m <sub>b</sub>	5112
$\kappa_b$	0.6635		

where we include the Coulomb term with the coefficient  $\alpha_{\text{coul}}$ , the linear confinement term with  $\lambda$ , the constant shift term C, and the spin-spin potential term with  $\kappa<sub>O</sub>$  and a cutoff parameter Λ. These model parameters are summarized in Table [IV](#page-7-0) together with the masses of diquarks and heavy quarks. In Eq. [\(44\),](#page-6-1) we neglect other terms such as the spin-orbit potential term and the tensor term because the wave functions  $\phi_S(\vec{p})$  and  $\phi_{A,J}(\vec{p})$  are in the S-wave states.

By using the Gaussian expansion method [\[101](#page-14-7)[,102](#page-14-8)], we solve the Schrödinger equation and obtain the wave functions  $\phi_S$  and  $\phi_{A,J}$ .

## B. Determination of coupling constants

<span id="page-7-4"></span>By using the observed decay widths of singly heavy baryons, we determine the coupling constant between the diquarks and the pions in the interaction Lagrangian [\(20\)](#page-4-3) via the formula [\(39\)](#page-6-2) and Eq. [\(31\)](#page-6-3). More concretely,  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are determined as follows:

- (i) The value of  $G_1$  can be determined by the experimental data of the  $\pi^{\pm}$  emission decays of  $\Sigma_Q^{(*)}$ baryons. On the other hand, the  $\pi^0$  emission decays only give the upper limit of the decay width.
- (ii) The value of  $G_2$  is determined by the experimental data of the decays of  $\Xi'_{Q}$  baryons with  $J = 3/2$ . This is because there are no available data of  $\Xi'_{\mathcal{Q}}$  with  $J = 1/2$  in PDG.

In Tables [V](#page-7-1) and [VI,](#page-7-2) we show the obtained  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  for each decay. From these tables, one sees that both the values of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  range from 20 to 30, where  $21 < G_1 < 27$ and  $24 < G_2 < 30$ , so that  $G_2$  tends to be a bit larger than  $G_1$ . We note that, depending on used experimental data, both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have the indeterminacy of approximately 6.

We comment on the errors of experimental data. The values of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  shown in Tables [V](#page-7-1) and [VI](#page-7-2) are evaluated from the averages of the experimental data. If we take into account the errors of these data, we can also estimate the

<span id="page-7-1"></span>TABLE V. The coupling constant  $G_1$  determined from the onepion decay widths of  $\Sigma<sub>O</sub>$  baryons. The experimental data of decay widths are also shown in units of MeV.

Baryon	$J^P$	Decay mode	Decay width (MeV)	$G_1$
$\Sigma_c^{++}(2455)$	$1/2^+$	$\Sigma_c^{++} \to \Lambda_c \pi^+$	1.89	21.16
$\Sigma_c^0(2455)$	$1/2^+$	$\Sigma_c^0 \to \Lambda_c \pi^-$	1.83	20.92
$\Sigma_c^{++}(2520)$	$3/2^{+}$	$\Sigma_c^{*++} \to \Lambda_c \pi^+$	14.78	25.93
$\Sigma_c^0(2520)$	$3/2^+$	$\Sigma_c^{*0} \to \Lambda_c \pi^-$	15.3	26.37
$\Sigma_h^+$	$1/2^+$	$\Sigma_b^+ \to \Lambda_b \pi^+$	5.0	21.93
$\Sigma_b^-$	$1/2^+$	$\Sigma_b^- \to \Lambda_b \pi^-$	5.3	21.12
$\Sigma_{b}^{*+}$	$3/2^+$	$\Sigma_b^{*+} \to \Lambda_b \pi^+$	9.4	23.77
$\Sigma_b^{*-}$	$3/2^+$	$\Sigma_b^{*-} \to \Lambda_b \pi^-$	10.4	23.88

errors of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . From all of the eight results of  $G_1$  and the four results of  $G_2$ , we have confirmed the margin of errors of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are from 2 to 7. For example, according to PDG [[100](#page-14-6)], the decay width and baryon masses of  $\Sigma_c^{++} \to \Lambda_c \pi^+$  strong decay are  $\Gamma[\Sigma_c^{++} \to \Lambda_c \pi^+] =$ <br>1.80<sup>+0.09</sup> MeV m  $\Lambda_c = 2453.97 \pm 0.14$  MeV and m  $\Xi$ 1.89<sup>+0.09</sup> MeV,  $m_{\Sigma_c^{++}} = 2453.97 \pm 0.14$  MeV, and  $m_{\Lambda_c} =$ <br>2286.46  $\pm$  0.14 MeV, respectively From analysis veing the  $2286.46 \pm 0.14$  MeV, respectively. From analysis using the upper and lower errors of these values the errors of counling upper and lower errors of these values, the errors of coupling constant  $G_1$  are evaluated as  $G_1 = 21.16_{-1.15}^{+0.63}$ . Similarly, for the  $\Xi_b^{\prime*-} \to \Xi_b \pi$  decay, the errors of its decay width and baryon masses lead to  $G_2 = 29.55^{+3.38}_{-3.55}$ . In the following analysis, for simplicity, we neglect these errors.

<span id="page-7-3"></span>We take the isospin and spin averages of  $G_1$ 's in Table [V,](#page-7-1) and obtain

<span id="page-7-2"></span>TABLE VI. The coupling constant  $G_2$  determined from the one-pion decay widths of  $\Xi_{\mathcal{Q}}'$  baryons. The experimental data of decay widths are also shown in units of MeV. Note that the values of partial decay widths with the asterisk (\*) are the predicted widths in our present work [\[40\]](#page-13-5).

Baryon	$J^P$	Decay mode	Decay width (MeV)	$G_2$
$\Xi_c^+(2645)$	$3/2^+$	$\Xi_c^{\prime *+}\to \Xi_c \pi$ $\Xi_c^{\prime *+} \to \Xi_c^0 \pi^+$ $\Xi_c^{\prime*+}\to\Xi_c^+\pi^0$	2.14 $1.32*$ $0.82*$	26.73
$\Xi_c^0(2645)$	$3/2^+$	$\Xi_c^{\prime*0} \to \Xi_c \pi$ $\Xi_c^{\prime*0} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$ $\Xi_c^{\prime*0} \to \Xi_c^0 \pi^0$	2.35 $1.56*$ $0.79*$	27.07
$\Xi_b^0(5945)$	$3/2^+$	$\Xi_b^{\prime*0} \to \Xi_b \pi$ $\Xi_b^{\prime*0} \rightarrow \Xi_b^- \pi^+$ $\Xi_b^{\prime*0} \rightarrow \Xi_b^0 \pi^0$	0.90 $0.50*$ $0.41*$	24.76
$\Xi_{h}^{-}(5955)$	$3/2^+$	$\Xi_b^{\prime*-} \to \Xi_b \pi$ $\Xi_b^{\prime*-} \to \Xi_b^0 \pi^-$ $\Xi_b^{\prime*-} \to \Xi_b^- \pi^0$	1.65 $1.13*$ $0.52*$	29.55

$$
\bar{G}_{1}^{c(1/2)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (G_{1}|_{\Sigma_{c}^{++}(2455)} + G_{1}|_{\Sigma_{c}^{0}(2455)}) \simeq 21.04,
$$
\n
$$
\bar{G}_{1}^{c(3/2)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (G_{1}|_{\Sigma_{c}^{++}(2520)} + G_{1}|_{\Sigma_{c}^{0}(2520)}) \simeq 26.15,
$$
\n
$$
\bar{G}_{1}^{b(1/2)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (G_{1}|_{\Sigma_{b}^{+}} + G_{1}|_{\Sigma_{b}^{-}}) \simeq 21.53,
$$
\n
$$
\bar{G}_{1}^{b(3/2)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (G_{1}|_{\Sigma_{b}^{++}} + G_{1}|_{\Sigma_{b}^{*-}}) \simeq 23.82,
$$
\n(45)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>and

$$
G_1^c \equiv \frac{1}{3} (\bar{G}_1^{c(1/2)} + 2 \bar{G}_1^{c(3/2)}) \simeq 24.45,
$$
  
\n
$$
G_1^b \equiv \frac{1}{3} (\bar{G}_1^{b(1/2)} + 2 \bar{G}_1^{b(3/2)}) \simeq 23.06.
$$
 (46)

<span id="page-8-1"></span>For  $G_2$ 's in Table [VI](#page-7-2), by taking only the isospin average, we obtain

$$
G_2^c \equiv \frac{1}{2} (G_2|_{\Xi_c^+(2645)} + G_2|_{\Xi_c^0(2645)}) \simeq 26.90,
$$
  
\n
$$
G_2^b \equiv \frac{1}{2} (G_2|_{\Xi_b^0(5945)} + G_2|_{\Xi_b^-(5955)}) \simeq 27.16.
$$
\n(47)

The values of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  in Eqs. [\(46\)](#page-8-0) and [\(47\)](#page-8-1) indicate that the violation of  $SU(3)$  flavor symmetry characterized by the difference between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is considerably small. In addition, the violation of heavy-quark flavor symmetry

characterized by the difference between  $G_{1,2}^c$  and  $G_{1,2}^b$  is also small.

Using Eq. [\(23\)](#page-4-2), we determine the  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  parameters in the original effective Lagrangian  $(9)$ . Since the  $q_2$  term breaks the  $U(1)_{A}$  symmetry while the  $g_1$  term does not, the influence of the  $U(1)_{A}$  anomaly on the diquarks are quantified by translating the values of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  into those of  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ . When we take  $g_s = 3$  for the quark-meson coupling constant, the dimensionless quantity  $\alpha$  in Eq. [\(13\)](#page-3-1) is estimated to be  $\alpha \simeq 1.73$ . Thus, from the relations in Eq. [\(23\)](#page-4-2), the magnitudes of  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are estimated as

<span id="page-8-4"></span>
$$
(g_1^c, g_2^c) = (30.26, -3.36), \tag{48}
$$

$$
(g_1^b, g_2^b) = (32.78, -5.62), \tag{49}
$$

<span id="page-8-5"></span>from Eqs. [\(46\)](#page-8-0) and [\(47\)](#page-8-1) for charmed and bottom sectors, respectively. From these values, one immediately sees that the magnitude of  $g_1$  is much larger than  $g_2$ : The ratio of  $g_2$  to  $g_1$  is evaluated to be  $|g_2^c/g_1^c| \approx 0.11$  for the charm sector and  $|g_2^b/g_1^c| \approx 0.17$  for the bottom one. Therefore, we can  $|g_2^b/g_1^b| \approx 0.17$  for the bottom one. Therefore, we can<br>conclude that the  $I/(1)$  anomaly effects to interactions conclude that the  $U(1)_{A}$  anomaly effects to interactions between the diquarks and pions are small. Note that the same conclusion is obtained from a chiral effective model for singly heavy baryons [[41](#page-13-0),[67](#page-13-12),[72](#page-14-9)]. (In Appendix, we compare the results from our diquark model and from the model for singly heavy baryons.)

Next, in Tables [VII](#page-8-2) and [VIII,](#page-8-3) we show our predictions for decay widths unknown in PDG, or Table [III.](#page-4-1) First, we

<span id="page-8-2"></span>TABLE VII. Our predictions of  $\pi^0$  emission decay widths of  $\Sigma_O$  baryons in units of MeV. The asterisk (\*) indicates that the mass is taken from [[40](#page-13-5)]. For the decay width, (calculation) and (experiment) represent our predictions and experimental data [\[100](#page-14-6)], respectively.

				Decay width		
Baryon $(J^P)$	Mass (MeV)	$G_1$	Decay mode	(Calculation) (MeV)	(Experiment) (MeV)	
$\Sigma_c^+(1/2^+)$	2452.9	21.04	$\Sigma_c^+ \to \Lambda_c \pi^0$	2.05	(<1.6)	
$\Sigma_c^{*+}(3/2^+)$	2517.5	26.15	$\Sigma_c^{*+} \to \Lambda_c \pi^0$	15.42	(<17)	
$\Sigma_b^0(1/2^+)$	5809.88*	21.53	$\Sigma_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_b \pi^0$	5.10	$\cdots$	
$\Sigma_h^{*0}(3/2^+)$	5829.31*	23.82	$\Sigma_b^{*0} \to \Lambda_b \pi^0$	9.75	$\cdots$	

<span id="page-8-3"></span>TABLE VIII. Our predictions of  $\pi$  emission decay widths of  $\Xi'_b(1/2^+)$  baryons in units of MeV. The asterisk (\*) indicates that the mass is taken from [40]. For the decay width (calculation) and (experiment) represent indicates that the mass is taken from [[40](#page-13-5)]. For the decay width, (calculation) and (experiment) represent our predictions and experimental data [\[100](#page-14-6)], respectively.



tabulate the predictions of  $\pi^0$  emission decays of  $\Sigma_o$ baryons in Table [VII](#page-8-2). In this evaluation, we have used the isospin average coupling  $G_1$  in Eq. [\(45\).](#page-7-3) For the unknown masses of  $\Sigma_b$  baryons, we have used the values predicted by the diquark–heavy-quark model in Ref. [[40](#page-13-5)]. From this table, the predicted widths of  $\Sigma_c^+(1/2^+)$  and  $\Sigma_c^{*+}(3/2^+)$  are below the experimental upper limits. In this  $\Sigma_c^{*+}(3/2^+)$  are below the experimental upper limits. In this sense, our predictions are consistent with the experiments sense, our predictions are consistent with the experiments. Also, in Table [VIII,](#page-8-3) we show our predictions of one pion decay widths of  $\Xi'_b$  baryons with  $J^P = 1/2^+$ . Since there is<br>no experimental value for the mass of  $\Xi^{(0)}(1/2^+)$  again we no experimental value for the mass of  $\Xi_b^{\prime 0}(1/2^+)$ , again we<br>have adopted that from Ref. [40] Using the isosnin have adopted that from Ref. [[40](#page-13-5)]. Using the isospin averaged value of coupling  $G_2 = 27.16$  for  $\Xi_b(3/2^+),$ however, the predicted decay widths are quite different from the upper limit of the decay width of  $\Xi_b^{\prime -} \to \Xi_b \pi$ :  $\Gamma_{\text{max}}$  = 0.08 MeV. To satisfy this condition, the coupling constant  $G_2$  needs to be less than 15.61.

### C. Decays under chiral symmetry restoration

In this subsection, we discuss a chiral symmetry restoration effect for decays. Chiral symmetry tends to be restored in an extreme environment such as high temperature and/or high baryon density. In chiral effective models, such chiral symmetry restoration can be described as a decrease of the absolute value of VEV toward zero, i.e.,  $\langle \Sigma \rangle \rightarrow 0$ . In order to incorporate the change of VEV, in Refs. [\[40](#page-13-5)[,103](#page-14-10)], the authors introduced a parameter  $x$ characterizing chiral symmetry breaking:  $\langle \Sigma \rangle = x \langle \Sigma \rangle|_{\text{vac}}$ . In this treatment, the range of x is limited to  $0 \le x \le 1$ .  $x = 0$  corresponds to chiral symmetry restored phase while  $x = 1$  corresponds to the ordinary chiral-symmetry broken vacuum. Then, the mass formulas of nonstrange S and A diquarks are given as a function of  $x \, [40]$  $x \, [40]$ :

<span id="page-9-1"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
m_{[ud]} = \sqrt{m_{S0}^2 - (x + \alpha - 1)m_{S1}^2 - x^2m_{S2}^2},
$$
 (50)

$$
m_{\{uu/ud/dd\}} = \sqrt{m_{V0}^2 + x^2(m_{V1}^2 + 2m_{V2}^2)},
$$
 (51)

<span id="page-9-4"></span>where the diquark mass parameters are  $[36,40]^4$  $[36,40]^4$  $[36,40]^4$  $[36,40]^4$  $[36,40]^4$ 

<span id="page-9-5"></span>
$$
(m_{S0}^2, m_{S1}^2, m_{S2}^2) = ((1119)^2, (690)^2, -(258)^2),
$$
 (52)

$$
(m_{V0}^2, m_{V1}^2, m_{V2}^2) = ((708)^2, -(757)^2, (714)^2),
$$
 (53)

in units of  $MeV<sup>2</sup>$ . The mass formulas [\(50\)](#page-9-0) and [\(51\)](#page-9-1) indicates that, at the chiral restoration point  $x = 0$ , the S diquark mass becomes heavier than the A diquark one, i.e.,  $m_{[ud]} > m_{[uu/ud/dd]}$ , which is in contrast to the normal mass ordering  $m_{\{uu/ud/dd\}} > m_{[ud]}$  realized in the vacuum at  $x = 1$ . In particular, within our parameters, the mass inversion occurs at  $x \approx 0.6$  [[40](#page-13-5)].

When we take into account the chiral symmetry restoration, not only the diquark masses in Eqs. [\(50\)](#page-9-0) and [\(51\)](#page-9-1) but also the coupling constant are modified due to  $\langle \Sigma \rangle$  in the  $g_2$ term in Eq. [\(11\).](#page-2-1) That is, now the couplings  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ defined in Eq. [\(23\)](#page-4-2) are replaced by

$$
G_1 = g_1 + x\alpha g_2, \qquad G_2 = g_1 + xg_2. \tag{54}
$$

In Figs. [3](#page-9-2) and [4,](#page-9-3) we show the  $x$  dependence of the masses of  $\Lambda_Q$ ,  $\Sigma_Q$ , and  $\Sigma_Q^*$  and the decay widths of  $\Sigma_Q^{(*)} \to \Lambda_Q \pi^{\pm}$ . We find that, as x decreases, the  $\Lambda_Q$  becomes heavier while

<span id="page-9-2"></span>

FIG. 3. Dependence of the singly charmed baryon masses and decay widths of  $\Sigma_c^{(*)} \to \Lambda_c \pi^{\pm}$  on the chiral-symmetry breaking parameter x in the range of  $0.80 \le x \le 1.00$ .

<span id="page-9-3"></span>

FIG. 4. Dependence of the singly bottom baryon masses and decay widths of  $\Sigma_b^{(*)} \to \Lambda_b \pi^{\pm}$  on the chiral-symmetry breaking parameter x in the range of  $0.80 \le x \le 1.00$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In obtaining Eqs. [\(52\)](#page-9-4) and [\(53\),](#page-9-5) we use  $\alpha = 5/3$  (for  $\approx 3.68$ ) which is slightly different from the one,  $\alpha \approx 1.73$  $g_s \approx 3.68$ ), which is slightly different from the one,  $\alpha \approx 1.73$ <br>(for  $g_s = 3$ ), used in determining Eqs. (48) and (49). Throughout (for  $g_s = 3$ ), used in determining Eqs. [\(48\)](#page-8-4) and [\(49\).](#page-8-5) Throughout this subsection, we use  $\alpha = 5/3$  and the values of the coupling this subsection, we use  $\alpha = 5/3$  and the values of the coupling constants are also modified accordingly. The difference is small constants are also modified accordingly. The difference is small and does not change the results qualitatively.

 $\Sigma_Q$  and  $\Sigma_Q^*$  become lighter, which is naively expected from the x dependence of diquark mass formulas  $(50)$  and  $(51)$ . Due to the changes of baryon masses and coupling constants, the decay widths monotonically decrease and finally vanish at a certain  $x$ . In other words, a decay channel is prohibited below a threshold of x. Such thresholds,  $x_{\text{th}}$ , are estimated to be  $x_{\text{th}} \approx 0.95, 0.84, 0.91,$  and 0.87 for  $\Sigma_c$ ,  $\Sigma_c^*$ ,  $\Sigma_b$ , and  $\Sigma_b^*$ , respectively.

# IV. CONCLUSION

<span id="page-10-0"></span>In this paper, we have studied the interaction between the spin 0 and spin 1 diquarks from the viewpoints of a chiral  $SU(3)_R \times SU(3)_L$  symmetry. Four diquarks with color  $\overline{3}$ are considered, in which the pair of scalar  $(S, 0^+)$  and pseudoscalar (P, 0<sup>−</sup>) diquarks are assigned to the chiral  $(\bar{3}, 1) + (1, \bar{3})$  representation, while vector (V, 1<sup>-</sup>) and axial-vector  $(A, 1^+)$  diquarks are the other partners belonging to the chiral (3, 3) representation.

The chiral effective Lagrangian is constructed in the form of linear sigma model, which describes the interaction between scalar and vector diquarks with the coupling constants  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ . The term with the coupling  $g_1$ represents the interaction between a diquark and one meson, while the term with  $g_2$  does the interaction between a diquark and two mesons. We have found that the  $g_2$  term breaks the  $U(1)_{A}$  symmetry, and it also leads to violation of the flavor  $SU(3)$  symmetry due to explicit chiral symmetry breaking.

These coupling constants are determined from the decay width formula [\(39\)](#page-6-2) and the experimental data of the onepion emission decays of singly heavy baryons, where we have regarded these baryons as the two-body systems of a scalar or an axial-vector diquark and a heavy quark. Our findings from our model are as follows:

- (i) Our main finding is that the magnitude of  $g_2$  is much smaller than that of  $q_1$ , as shown in Eqs. [\(48\)](#page-8-4) and [\(49\)](#page-8-5), which indicates that the effects originated from the  $g_2$  term, such as the  $U(1)_A$  anomaly and the flavor  $SU(3)$  symmetry breaking, should be strongly suppressed.
- (ii) We have predicted experimentally unknown decay widths, such as  $\Sigma_Q^{(*)} \to \Lambda_Q \pi^0$  and  $\Xi_b^{\prime}(1/2^+) \to \Xi_b \pi$ ,<br>as summarized in Tables VII and VIII as summarized in Tables [VII](#page-8-2) and [VIII](#page-8-3).
- (iii) We have investigated the modification of masses and decay widths of  $\Lambda_Q$  and  $\Sigma_Q^{(*)}$  baryons by changing a parameter  $x$  characterizing the magnitude of chiral symmetry breaking. As shown in Figs. [3](#page-9-2) and [4](#page-9-3), as  $x$ decreases,  $\Lambda_Q$  and  $\Sigma_Q^{(*)}$  baryon masses become closer to each other due to the change of nonstrange S and A diquark masses [[40](#page-13-5)]. As a result, the decay widths of  $\Sigma_Q^{(*)} \to \Lambda_Q \pi^{\pm}$  decrease and finally vanish below a threshold of  $x$ .

In this work, we have focused on only the chiral effective model with the spin 0 and 1 diquarks with color 3. It may be interesting to improve our model by introducing other interactions or other diquark degrees of freedom. An example is the one-pion interactions between vector and axial-vector diquarks. Also, the tensor diquarks with color  $\overline{3}$  and flavor 6 [\[104](#page-14-11)–[106\]](#page-14-12) could be important for the improvement of the diquark model, which is useful to describe the negative-parity excited states of flavor sextet singly heavy baryons ( $\Sigma_{Q}$ ,  $\Xi'_{Q}$ , and  $\Omega_{Q}$ ).

It is also important to examine the properties of diquarks inside hadrons under chiral symmetry restored environments such as finite temperature [[107](#page-14-13)–[109\]](#page-14-14) and/or density. As predicted in this work, the suppression (and also prohibition) of  $\Sigma_Q^{(*)} \to \Lambda_Q \pi^{\pm}$  decay widths would be a good signal indicating chiral symmetry restoration via diquarks.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the RIKEN special postdoctoral researcher program (D. S.), and by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. JP20K03959 (M. O.), No. JP21H00132 (M. O.), No. JP17K14277 (K. S.), and No. JP20K14476 (K.S.), and for JSPS Fellows No. JP21J20048 (Y. K.) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

# APPENDIX: EFFECTIVE LAGRANGIAN OF SINGLY HEAVY BARYONS

In the main text, we have constructed the chiral effective Lagrangians of the diquarks. In this appendix, we consider the chiral effective Lagrangian for singly heavy baryons (SHBs),  $A_{\mathcal{Q}}(=\Sigma_{\mathcal{Q}}, \Xi_{\mathcal{Q}}')$  and  $S_{\mathcal{Q}}(=\Lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}, \Xi_{\mathcal{Q}})$ , and derive their decay width formulas. By combining a heavy quark their decay width formulas. By combining a heavy quark field  $Q^a$  and an A or S diquark field, SHB fields are written as

$$
S_{Q,i} \equiv Q^a S_i^a, \qquad A_{Q,ij}^\mu \equiv Q^a A_{ij}^{a,\mu}, \qquad (A1)
$$

which are explicitly

$$
A_{Q,ij}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} \Sigma_Q^{I=1,\mu} & \Sigma_Q^{I=0,\mu} & \Xi_Q^{\prime I=1/2,\mu} \\ \Sigma_Q^{I=0,\mu} & \sqrt{2} \Sigma_Q^{I=-1,\mu} & \Xi_Q^{\prime I=-1/2,\mu} \\ \Xi_Q^{\prime I=1/2,\mu} & \Xi_Q^{\prime I=-1/2,\mu} & \sqrt{2} \Omega_Q^{\mu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{(A2)}
$$

$$
S_{Q,i} = \begin{pmatrix} \Xi_Q^{I=-1/2} \\ \Xi_Q^{I=1/2} \\ \Lambda_Q \end{pmatrix} . \quad \text{(A3)}
$$

By replacing diquark fields in Eq. [\(20\)](#page-4-3) by the corresponding SHB fields, the chiral effective Lagrangian is rewritten as

$$
\mathcal{L}_{A_Q \to S_Q \pi} = -i \frac{\tilde{G}_1}{f_\pi} [\bar{\Lambda}_Q \partial_\mu \pi^- \Sigma_Q^{I=1,\mu} - \bar{\Lambda}_Q \partial_\mu \pi^0 \Sigma_Q^{I=0,\mu} - \bar{\Lambda}_Q \partial_\mu \pi^+ \Sigma_Q^{I=-1,\mu}] \n- i \frac{\tilde{G}_2}{\sqrt{2} f_\pi} [\bar{\Xi}_Q^{I=1/2} \partial_\mu \pi^+ \Xi_Q^{\prime I=-1/2,\mu} - \bar{\Xi}_Q^{I=-1/2} \partial_\mu \pi^- \Xi_Q^{\prime I=1/2,\mu}] \n+ i \frac{\tilde{G}_2}{2 f_\pi} [\bar{\Xi}_Q^{I=1/2} \partial_\mu \pi^0 \Xi_Q^{\prime I=1/2,\mu} + \bar{\Xi}_Q^{I=-1/2} \partial_\mu \pi^0 \Xi_Q^{\prime I=-1/2,\mu}],
$$
\n(A4)

where all coefficients are divided by  $\sqrt{2}f_{\pi} \simeq 130$  MeV to adjust these units.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>According to Refs. [[41](#page-13-0),[67](#page-13-12),[72](#page-14-9)], the  $A_Q \rightarrow S_Q \pi$  decay width formula is expressed as

<span id="page-11-2"></span>TABLE IX. Numerical results of the coupling constant  $\tilde{G}_1$ from the SHB model. In each  $\Sigma_Q \rightarrow \Lambda_Q \pi$  decay mode, the experimental data of decay widths are also summarized in units of MeV.

Baryon	$J^P$	Decay mode	Decay width (MeV)	$\tilde{G}_1$
$\Sigma_c^{++}(2455)$	$1/2^+$	$\Sigma_c^{++} \to \Lambda_c \pi^+$	1.89	0.67
$\Sigma_c^0(2455)$	$1/2^+$	$\Sigma_c^0 \to \Lambda_c \pi^-$	1.83	0.67
$\Sigma_c^{++}(2520)$	$3/2^+$	$\Sigma_c^{*++} \to \Lambda_c \pi^+$	14.78	0.69
$\Sigma_c^0(2520)$	$3/2^+$	$\Sigma_c^{*0} \to \Lambda_c \pi^-$	15.3	0.70
$\Sigma_h^+$	$1/2^+$	$\Sigma_b^+ \to \Lambda_b \pi^+$	5.0	0.63
$\Sigma_b^-$	$1/2^+$	$\Sigma_h^- \to \Lambda_b \pi^-$	5.3	0.60
$\Sigma_b^{*+}$	$3/2^{+}$	$\Sigma_h^{*+} \to \Lambda_b \pi^+$	9.4	0.65
$\Sigma_b^{*-}$	$3/2^+$	$\Sigma_h^{*-} \to \Lambda_b \pi^-$	10.4	0.65

<span id="page-11-3"></span>TABLE X. Numerical results of the coupling constant  $\tilde{G}_2$  from the SHB model. In each  $\Xi'_{Q} \to \Xi_{Q} \pi$  decay mode, the experimental data of decay widths are also summarized in units of MeV. Note that the values of partial decay widths with the asterisk (\*) are the calculated values in this work.



$$
\Gamma[A_Q \to S_Q \pi] = \frac{|\tilde{Q}|^2 |\vec{p}_\pi|^3}{12\pi m_{A_Q}} (m_{S_Q} + E_{S_Q}), \quad (A5)
$$

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
|\tilde{G}| = \begin{cases} \tilde{G}_1/f_{\pi} & (\Sigma_Q \to \Lambda_Q \pi^{\pm,0}) \\ \tilde{G}_2/(\sqrt{2}f_{\pi}) & (\Xi'_Q \to \Xi_Q \pi^{\pm}) \\ \tilde{G}_2/(2f_{\pi}) & (\Xi'_Q \to \Xi_Q \pi^0) \end{cases}
$$
 (A6)

where  $|\vec{p}_\pi|$  is given in Eq. [\(40\)](#page-6-5). Using Eqs. [\(A5\)](#page-11-0) and [\(A6\)](#page-11-1), the parameter  $\tilde{G}_1$  and  $\tilde{G}_2$  are determined by substituting the baryon masses and the decay widths in Table [III](#page-4-1) with the pion mass  $m_{\pi}$  and the pion decay constant  $f_{\pi}$  given in this section.

The numerical results of  $\tilde{G}_1$  and  $\tilde{G}_2$  are summarized in Tables [IX](#page-11-2) and [X.](#page-11-3) As the same as the diquark model, the coupling constants of the SHB model,  $\tilde{g}_1$  and  $\tilde{g}_2$ , are given by the spin average of  $\tilde{G}_1$  and the isospin average of  $\tilde{G}_2$ with the parameter  $\alpha \approx 1.73$  from the assumption  $g_s = 3$ . Their results are

<span id="page-11-4"></span>
$$
(\tilde{G}_1^c, \tilde{G}_2^c; \tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2) = (0.69, 0.65; 0.61, 0.05), \quad (A7)
$$

<span id="page-11-5"></span>
$$
(\tilde{G}_1^b, \tilde{G}_2^b; \tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2) = (0.63, 0.74; 0.89, -0.15), \quad (A8)
$$

<span id="page-11-6"></span>

FIG. 5. Dependence of the coupling constant ratio from the diquark model  $(|g_2/g_1|)$  and the SHB model  $(|\tilde{g}_2/\tilde{g}_1|)$  on the quark-meson coupling constant  $g_s$ .  $Q = c$ , b denotes the heavy quark inside the SHBs employed as inputs.

whose values are much different from those in the diquark model, Eqs. [\(48\)](#page-8-4) and [\(49\).](#page-8-5) However, the ratio  $|\tilde{q}_1/\tilde{q}_2|$  is estimated to be 0.07 from the charm sector [\(A7\)](#page-11-4) and 0.17 from the bottom sector [\(A8\),](#page-11-5) which are similar to those obtained from the diquark model. This result means that the effects of the  $U(1)<sub>A</sub>$  anomaly and the  $SU(3)$  flavor symmetry breaking, included in the  $g_2$  and  $\tilde{g}_2$  terms, from singly bottom baryons are larger than that from singly charmed baryons.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>[1] M. Gell-Mann, A schematic model of baryons and mesons, Phys. Lett. 8[, 214 \(1964\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9163(64)92001-3)

- [2] Masakuni Ida and Reido Kobayashi, Baryon resonances in a quark model, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.36.846) 36, 846 (1966).
- [3] D. B. Lichtenberg and L. J. Tassie, Baryon mass splitting in a boson-fermion model, Phys. Rev. 155[, 1601 \(1967\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.155.1601)
- [4] D. B. Lichtenberg, Electromagnetic mass splittings of baryons in a boson-fermion model, [Nuovo Cimento](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02820321) 49, [435 \(1967\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02820321).
- [5] P. D. De Souza and D. B. Lichtenberg, Electromagnetic properties of hadrons in a triplet-sextet model, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.161.1513) 161[, 1513 \(1967\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.161.1513)
- [6] D. B. Lichtenberg, L. J. Tassie, and P. J. Keleman, Quarkdiquark model of baryons and  $SU(6)$ , [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.167.1535) 167, [1535 \(1968\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.167.1535)
- [7] J. Carroll, D. B. Lichtenberg, and J. Franklin, Electromagnetic properties of baryons in a quark-diquark model with broken  $SU(6)$ , Phys. Rev. 174[, 1681 \(1968\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.174.1681).
- [8] D. B. Lichtenberg, Baryon supermultiplets of  $SU(6) \times O(3)$ in a quark-diquark model, Phys. Rev. 178[, 2197 \(1969\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.178.2197)
- [9] Mauro Anselmino, Enrico Predazzi, Svante Ekelin, Sverker Fredriksson, and D. B. Lichtenberg, Diquarks, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.65.1199) 65, 1199 (1993).
- <span id="page-12-2"></span><span id="page-12-1"></span>[10] R. L. Jaffe, Exotica, *[Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2004.11.005)* **409**, 1 (2005).
- [11] Mark G. Alford, Krishna Rajagopal, and Frank Wilczek, QCD at finite baryon density: Nucleon droplets and color superconductivity, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00051-3) 422, 247 (1998).
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>[12] R. Rapp, Thomas Schäfer, Edward V. Shuryak, and M. Velkovsky, Diquark Bose Condensates in High Density Matter and Instantons, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.53) 81, 53 (1998).
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>[13] M. Hess, F. Karsch, E. Laermann, and I. Wetzorke, Diquark masses from lattice QCD, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.58.111502) 58, [111502 \(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.58.111502)
- [14] Konstantinos Orginos, Diquark properties from lattice QCD, [Proc. Sci. LAT2005 \(](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.020.0054)2006) 054.
- [15] C. Alexandrou, Ph. de Forcrand, and B. Lucini, Evidence for Diquarks in Lattice QCD, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.222002) 97, 222002 [\(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.222002)
- [16] Ronald Babich, Nicolas Garron, Christian Hoelbling, Joseph Howard, Laurent Lellouch, and Claudio Rebbi, Diquark correlations in baryons on the lattice with overlap quarks, Phys. Rev. D 76[, 074021 \(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.074021).

In Fig. [5](#page-11-6), we show the ratios of coupling constants,  $|g_2/g_1|$  from the diquark model in Sec. [III B](#page-7-4) and  $|\tilde{g}_2/\tilde{g}_1|$ from the SHB model, as functions of quark-meson coupling constant  $g_s$ . Here, the range of  $g_s$  is from 2 to 4, which corresponds to the effective  $u$  or  $d$  quark mass of about 200 MeV  $< m_{u/d} < 350$  MeV using the Goldberger-Treiman relation for quarks  $(M_{u,d} = g_s f_\pi)$ . From this figure, we find that  $|g_2/g_1|$  becomes larger with increasing  $q_s$  for both models.

- [17] Thomas DeGrand, Zhaofeng Liu, and Stefan Schaefer, Diquark effects in light baryon correlators from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 77[, 034505 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.034505)
- [18] Jeremy Green, John Negele, Michael Engelhardt, and Patrick Varilly, Spatial diquark correlations in a hadron, [Proc. Sci. LATTICE2010 \(](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.105.0140)2010) 140.
- [19] Yujiang Bi, Hao Cai, Ying Chen, Ming Gong, Zhaofeng Liu, Hao-Xue Qiao, and Yi-Bo Yang, Diquark mass differences from unquenched lattice QCD, [Chin. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/40/7/073106) C 40[, 073106 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/40/7/073106).
- [20] Kai Watanabe and Noriyoshi Ishii, Building diquark model from lattice QCD, [Few Body Syst.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00601-021-01627-y) 62, 45 (2021).
- [21] Kai Watanabe, Quark-diquark potential and diquark mass from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 105[, 074510 \(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.074510).
- [22] Anthony Francis, Philippe de Forcrand, Randy Lewis, and Kim Maltman, Diquark properties from full QCD lattice simulations, [J. High Energy Phys. 05 \(2022\) 062.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2022)062)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>[23] Anthony Francis, Ph. de Forcrand, Randy Lewis, and Kim Maltman, Good and bad diquark properties and spatial correlations in lattice QCD, [Rev. Mex. Fis. Suppl.](https://doi.org/10.31349/SuplRevMexFis.3.0308082) 3, [0308082 \(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.31349/SuplRevMexFis.3.0308082).
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>[24] D. B. Lichtenberg, Charmed baryons in a quark-diquark model, [Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02894866) 28A, 563 (1975).
- [25] D. B. Lichtenberg, W. Namgung, E. Predazzi, and J. G. Wills, Baryon Masses in a Relativistic Quark–Diquark Model, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.1653) 48, 1653 (1982).
- [26] D. B. Lichtenberg, W. Namgung, J. G. Wills, and E. Predazzi, Light and heavy hadron masses in a relativistic quark potential model with diquark clustering, [Z. Phys. C](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01572332) 19[, 19 \(1983\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01572332)
- [27] S. Fleck, B. Silvestre-Brac, and J. M. Richard, Search for diquark clustering in baryons, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.38.1519) 38, 1519 [\(1988\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.38.1519)
- [28] Dietmar Ebert, Thorsten Feldmann, Christiane Kettner, and Hugo Reinhardt, A diquark model for baryons containing one heavy quark, Z. Phys. C 71[, 329 \(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02906991).
- [29] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin, Masses of excited heavy baryons in the relativistic quark–diquark picture, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.11.037) 659, 612 (2008).
- [30] Kyungil Kim, Daisuke Jido, and Su Houng Lee, Diquarks: A QCD sum rule perspective, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.025204) 84, 025204 [\(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.025204)
- [31] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin, Spectroscopy and Regge trajectories of heavy baryons in the relativistic quark–diquark picture, Phys. Rev. D 84[, 014025 \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.014025).
- [32] Bing Chen, Ke-Wei Wei, and Ailin Zhang, Investigation of  $\Lambda$ <sub>O</sub> and  $\Xi$ <sub>O</sub> baryons in the heavy quark–light diquark picture, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2015-15082-3) 51, 82 (2015).
- [33] Daisuke Jido and Minori Sakashita, Quark confinement potential examined by excitation energy of the  $\Lambda_c$  and  $\Lambda_b$ baryons in a quark–diquark model, [Prog. Theor. Exp.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptw113) Phys. 2016[, 083D02 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptw113).
- [34] Kento Kumakawa and Daisuke Jido, Excitation energy spectra of the  $\Lambda_c$  and  $\Lambda_b$  baryons in a finite-size diquark model, [Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptx155) 2017, 123D01 (2017).
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>[35] Masayasu Harada, Yan-Rui Liu, Makoto Oka, and Kei Suzuki, Chiral effective theory of diquarks and the  $U_A(1)$ anomaly, Phys. Rev. D 101[, 054038 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.054038)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>[36] Yonghee Kim, Emiko Hiyama, Makoto Oka, and Kei Suzuki, Spectrum of singly heavy baryons from a chiral effective theory of diquarks, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.014004) 102, 014004 [\(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.014004)
- [37] V. Dmitrašinović and Hua-Xing Chen, Chiral  $SU_L(3)$  ×  $SU_R(3)$  symmetry of baryons with one charmed quark, Phys. Rev. D 101[, 114016 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.114016)
- [38] Yohei Kawakami, Masayasu Harada, Makoto Oka, and Kei Suzuki, Suppression of decay widths in singly heavy baryons induced by the  $U_A(1)$  anomaly, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.114004) 102[, 114004 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.114004).
- [39] Daiki Suenaga and Atsushi Hosaka, Novel pentaquark picture for singly heavy baryons from chiral symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 104[, 034009 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.034009)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>[40] Yonghee Kim, Yan-Rui Liu, Makoto Oka, and Kei Suzuki, Heavy baryon spectrum with chiral multiplets of scalar and vector diquarks, Phys. Rev. D 104[, 054012 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.054012)
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>[41] Daiki Suenaga and Atsushi Hosaka, Decays of Roper-like singly heavy baryons in a chiral model, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.074036) 105, [074036 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.074036)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>[42] Gerard 't Hooft, Computation of the quantum effects due to a four-dimensional pseudoparticle, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.14.3432) 14, 3432 [\(1976\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.14.3432) 18[, 2199\(E\) \(1978\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.18.2199.3).
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>[43] Gerard 't Hooft, How instantons solve the  $U(1)$  problem, Phys. Rep. 142[, 357 \(1986\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(86)90117-1).
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>[44] M. Gell-Mann, Symmetries of baryons and mesons, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.125.1067) Rev. 125[, 1067 \(1962\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.125.1067).
- <span id="page-13-9"></span><span id="page-13-7"></span>[45] Susumu Okubo, Note on unitary symmetry in strong interactions, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.27.949) 27, 949 (1962).
- [46] Amir H. Fariborz, Renata Jora, and Joseph Schechter, Toy model for two chiral nonets, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.034001) 72, 034001 [\(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.034001)
- [47] Francesco Giacosa, Mixing of scalar tetraquark and quarkonia states in a chiral approach, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.054007) 75, 054007 [\(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.054007)
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>[48] Amir H. Fariborz, Renata Jora, and Joseph Schechter, Two chiral nonet model with massless quarks, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.034006) 77, [034006 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.034006)
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>[49] Lisa Olbrich, Miklós Zétényi, Francesco Giacosa, and Dirk H. Rischke, Three-flavor chiral effective model with four baryonic multiplets within the mirror assignment, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.034021) Rev. D 93[, 034021 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.034021).
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>[50] Nathan Isgur and Mark B. Wise, Spectroscopy with Heavy Quark Symmetry, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.66.1130) 66, 1130 (1991).
- [51] Tung-Mow Yan, Hai-Yang Cheng, Chi-Yee Cheung, Guey-Lin Lin, Y. C. Lin, and Hoi-Lai Yu, Heavy-quark symmetry and chiral dynamics, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.46.1148) 46, 1148 [\(1992\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.46.1148) 55[, 5851\(E\) \(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.55.5851).
- [52] Peter L. Cho, Chiral perturbation theory for hadrons containing a heavy quark: The sequel, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(92)91314-Y) 285, 145 [\(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(92)91314-Y).
- [53] Peter L. Cho, Strong and electromagnetic decays of two new  $\Lambda_c^*$  baryons, Phys. Rev. D **50**[, 3295 \(1994\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.50.3295)
- [54] Jonathan L. Rosner, Charmed baryons with  $J = 3/2$ , [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.52.6461) Rev. D 52[, 6461 \(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.52.6461)
- [55] C. Albertus, E. Hernandez, J. Nieves, and J.M. Verde-Velasco, Study of the strong  $\Sigma_c \to \Lambda_c \pi, \Sigma_c^* \to \Lambda_c \pi$  and  $\Xi_c^* \to \Xi_c \pi$  decays in a nonrelativistic quark model, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.094022) Rev. D 72[, 094022 \(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.094022).
- [56] Hai-Yang Cheng and Chun-Khiang Chua, Strong decays of charmed baryons in heavy hadron chiral perturbation theory, Phys. Rev. D 75[, 014006 \(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.014006).
- [57] Xian-Hui Zhong and Qiang Zhao, Charmed baryon strong decays in a chiral quark model, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.074008) 77, 074008 [\(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.074008)
- [58] S. Yasui, Spectroscopy of heavy baryons with heavy-quark symmetry breaking: Transition relations at next-to-leading order, Phys. Rev. D 91[, 014031 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.014031).
- [59] Hideko Nagahiro, Shigehiro Yasui, Atsushi Hosaka, Makoto Oka, and Hiroyuki Noumi, Structure of charmed baryons studied by pionic decays, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.014023) 95, 014023 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.014023)
- [60] Bing Chen, Ke-Wei Wei, Xiang Liu, and Takayuki Matsuki, Low-lying charmed and charmed-strange baryon states, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4708-x) 77, 154 (2017).
- [61] K. U. Can, G. Erkol, M. Oka, and T. T. Takahashi,  $\Lambda_c \Sigma_c \pi$ coupling and  $\Sigma_c \rightarrow \Lambda_c \pi$  decay in lattice QCD, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.03.006) Lett. B 768[, 309 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.03.006)
- [62] Hua-Xing Chen, Qiang Mao, Wei Chen, Atsushi Hosaka, Xiang Liu, and Shi-Lin Zhu, Decay properties of P-wave charmed baryons from light-cone QCD sum rules, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.094008) Rev. D 95[, 094008 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.094008).
- [63] Kai-Lei Wang, Li-Ye Xiao, Xian-Hui Zhong, and Qiang Zhao, Understanding the newly observed  $\Omega_c$  states through their decays, Phys. Rev. D 95[, 116010 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.116010).
- [64] A. J. Arifi, H. Nagahiro, and A. Hosaka, Three-body decay of  $\Lambda_c^*(2595)$  and  $\Lambda_c^*(2625)$  with consideration of  $\Sigma$  (2455) $\pi$  and  $\Sigma^*(2520)\pi$  in intermediate states. Phys  $\Sigma_c$ (2455) $\pi$  and  $\Sigma_c^*(2520)\pi$  in intermediate states, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.114018)<br>Rev. D. 95, 114018 (2017) Rev. D 95[, 114018 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.114018).
- [65] Kai-Lei Wang, Ya-Xiong Yao, Xian-Hui Zhong, and Qiang Zhao, Strong and radiative decays of the low-lying S- and P-wave singly heavy baryons, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.116016) 96, 116016 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.116016)
- [66] Ya-Xiong Yao, Kai-Lei Wang, and Xian-Hui Zhong, Strong and radiative decays of the low-lying D-wave singly heavy baryons, Phys. Rev. D 98[, 076015 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.076015).
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>[67] Yohei Kawakami and Masayasu Harada, Analysis of  $\Lambda_c(2595)$ ,  $\Lambda_c(2625)$ ,  $\Lambda_b(5912)$ ,  $\Lambda_b(5920)$  based on a chiral partner structure, Phys. Rev. D 97[, 114024 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.114024)
- [68] Qi-Fang Lü, Li-Ye Xiao, Zuo-Yun Wang, and Xian-Hui Zhong, Strong decay of  $\Lambda_c(2940)$  as a 2P state in the  $\Lambda_c$ family, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6083-7) 78, 599 (2018).
- [69] A. J. Arifi, H. Nagahiro, and A. Hosaka, Three-body decay of  $\Lambda_c^*(2595)$  and  $\Lambda_c^*(2625)$  with the inclusion of

a direct two-pion coupling, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.114007) 98, 114007 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.114007)

- [70] Kai-Lei Wang, Qi-Fang Lü, and Xian-Hui Zhong, Interpretation of the newly observed  $\Sigma_b (6097)^{\pm}$  and  $\Sigma_b (6227)^{-}$ <br>states as the *P*-wave bottom baryons. Phys. Rev. D. 99 states as the P-wave bottom baryons, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.014011) 99, [014011 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.014011)
- [71] Ahmad Jafar Arifi, Hideko Nagahiro, and Atsushi Hosaka, A systematic study of charmed baryon decays, [J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSCP.26.022031) [Soc. Jpn. Conf. Proc.](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSCP.26.022031) 26, 022031 (2019).
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>[72] Yohei Kawakami and Masayasu Harada, Singly heavy baryons with chiral partner structure in a three-flavor chiral model, Phys. Rev. D 99[, 094016 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.094016).
- [73] Er-Liang Cui, Hui-Min Yang, Hua-Xing Chen, and Atsushi Hosaka, Identifying the  $\Xi_b(6227)$  and  $\Sigma_b(6097)$ as P-wave bottom baryons of  $J^P = 3/2^-$ , [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.094021) 99, [094021 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.094021)
- [74] Juan Nieves and Rafael Pavao, Nature of the lowest-lying odd parity charmed baryon  $\Lambda_c(2595)$  and  $\Lambda_c(2625)$ resonances, Phys. Rev. D 101[, 014018 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.014018).
- [75] Kai-Lei Wang, Qi-Fang Lü, and Xian-Hui Zhong, Interpretation of the newly observed  $\Lambda_b(6146)$  and  $\Lambda_b(6152)$ states in a chiral quark model, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.114035) 100, 114035 [\(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.114035)
- [76] Hui-Min Yang, Hua-Xing Chen, Er-Liang Cui, Atsushi Hosaka, and Qiang Mao, Decay properties of P-wave bottom baryons within light-cone sum rules, [Eur. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7637-z) J. C 80[, 80 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7637-z)
- [77] Qi-Fang Lü and Xian-Hui Zhong, Strong decays of the higher excited  $\Lambda$ <sub>O</sub> and  $\Sigma$ <sub>O</sub> baryons, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.014017) 101, [014017 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.014017)
- [78] Hua-Xing Chen, Er-Liang Cui, Atsushi Hosaka, QiangMao, and Hui-Min Yang, Excited  $\Omega_b$  baryons and fine structure of strong interaction, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7824-y) 80, 256 (2020).
- [79] K. Azizi, Y. Sarac, and H. Sundu,  $\Lambda_b(6146)^0$  state newly observed by LHCb, Phys. Rev. D 101[, 074026 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.074026).
- [80] Hui-Min Yang and Hua-Xing Chen, P-wave bottom baryons of the  $SU(3)$  flavor  $6<sub>F</sub>$ , [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.114013) 101, [114013 \(2020\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.114013) 102[, 079901\(E\) \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.079901).
- [81] A. J. Arifi, H. Nagahiro, A. Hosaka, and K. Tanida, Threebody decay of  $\Lambda_c^*(2765)$  and determination of its spin-<br>parity Phys. Rev. D 101, 094023 (2020) parity, Phys. Rev. D 101[, 094023 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.094023)
- [82] Hui-Min Yang, Hua-Xing Chen, and Qiang Mao, Excited  $\Xi_c^0$  baryons within the QCD rum rule approach, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.114009) D 102[, 114009 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.114009)
- [83] K. Azizi, Y. Sarac, and H. Sundu, Determination of the possible quantum numbers for the newly observed  $\Xi_b$ (6227)<sup>0</sup> state, [J. High Energy Phys. 03 \(2021\) 244.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2021)244)
- [84] Ahmad Jafar Arifi, Daiki Suenaga, and Atsushi Hosaka, Relativistic corrections to decays of heavy baryons in the quark model, Phys. Rev. D 103[, 094003 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.094003).
- [85] Ahmad Jafar Arifi, Hideko Nagahiro, Atsushi Hosaka, and Kiyoshi Tanida, Two-pion emission decay of Roper-like heavy baryons, [Few Body Syst.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00601-021-01625-0) 62, 36 (2021).
- [86] Hui-Min Yang and Hua-Xing Chen, P-wave charmed baryons of the  $SU(3)$  flavor  $6_F$ , [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.034037) 104, [034037 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.034037)
- [87] Kui Gong, Hao-Yang Jing, and Ailin Zhang, Possible assignments of highly excited  $\Lambda_c(2860)^+$ ,  $\Lambda_c(2880)^+$  and  $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$ , [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09255-w) **81**, 467 (2021).
- [88] Amee Kakadiya, Zalak Shah, Keval Gandhi, and Ajay Kumar Rai, Spectra and decay properties of  $Λ_b$  and  $Σ_b$ baryons, [Few Body Syst.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00601-022-01729-1) 63, 29 (2022).
- [89] Jung-Min Suh and Hyun-Chul Kim, Axial-vector transition form factors of the singly heavy baryons, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.094028) 106[, 094028 \(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.094028).
- [90] H. Garcia-Tecocoatzi, A. Giachino, J. Li, A. Ramirez-Morales, and E. Santopinto, Strong decay widths and mass spectra of charmed baryons, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.034031) 107, 034031 [\(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.034031)
- [91] Hui-Min Yang, Hua-Xing Chen, Er-Liang Cui, and Qiang Mao, Identifying the  $\Xi_b(6100)$  as the *P*-wave bottom baryon of  $J<sup>P</sup> = 3/2^-$ , Phys. Rev. D 106[, 036018 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.036018)
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>[92] Guo-Liang Yu, Zhen-Yu Li, Zhi-Gang Wang, Jie Lu, and Meng Yan, Systematic analysis of single heavy baryons  $\Lambda$ <sub>*O</sub>*,  $\Sigma$ <sub>*O*</sub> and  $\Omega$ <sub>*O*</sub>, [arXiv:2206.08128.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2206.08128)</sub>
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>[93] R. L. Jaffe, Exotica, [Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2005.01.058) 142, 343 [\(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2005.01.058)
- [94] Vladimir B. Kopeliovich, Pentaquarks in chiral soliton models: Notes and discussion, [Phys. Part. Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1134/S106377960604006X) 37, 623 [\(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1134/S106377960604006X)
- [95] Vladimir B. Kopeliovich, Selected problems of baryons spectroscopy: Chiral soliton versus quark models, [J. Exp.](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063776109050069) [Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063776109050069) 108, 770 (2009).
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>[96] Bing Chen, Deng-Xia Wang, and Ailin Zhang,  $J<sup>P</sup>$  assignments of  $\Lambda_c^+$  baryons, [Chin. Phys. C](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/33/12/047) 33, 1327 (2009).
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>[97] M. Gell-Mann and M. Lévy, The axial vector current in beta decay, [Nuovo Cimento](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02859738) 16, 705 (1960).
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>[98] M. Lévy, Currents and symmetry breaking, [Nuovo](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02739271) Cimento 52[, 23 \(1967\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02739271).
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>[99] Gerard 't Hooft, How instantons solve the U(1) problem, Phys. Rep. 142[, 357 \(1986\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(86)90117-1).
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>[100] P. A. Zyla et al. (Particle Data Group), Review of particle physics, [Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptaa104) 2020, 083C01 (2020).
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>[101] M. Kamimura, Nonadiabatic coupled-rearrangement-channel approach to muonic molecules, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.38.621) 38, 621 (1988).
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>[102] E. Hiyama, Y. Kino, and M. Kamimura, Gaussian expansion method for few-body systems, [Prog. Part. Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6410(03)90015-9) Phys. 51[, 223 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6410(03)90015-9)
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>[103] Yonghee Kim, Makoto Oka, and Kei Suzuki, Doubly heavy tetraquarks in a chiral-diquark picture, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.074021) 105[, 074021 \(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.074021).
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>[104] Edward Shuryak and Ismail Zahed, A schematic model for pentaquarks using diquarks, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.03.019) 589, 21 (2004).
- [105] Deog Ki Hong, Young Jin Sohn, and Ismail Zahed, A diquark chiral effective theory and exotic baryons, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.06.073) Lett. B 596[, 191 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.06.073)
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>[106] Edward V. Shuryak, Toward dynamical understanding of the diquarks, pentaquarks and dibaryons, [J. Phys. Conf.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/9/1/039) Ser. 9[, 213 \(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/9/1/039).
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>[107] K. S. Sateesh, Experimental signal for diquarks in quarkgluon plasma, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.45.866) 45, 866 (1992).
- [108] Su Houng Lee, Kazuaki Ohnishi, Shigehiro Yasui, In-Kwon Yoo, and Che-Ming Ko,  $\Lambda_c$  Enhancement from Strongly Coupled Quark-Gluon Plasma, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.222301) 100[, 222301 \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.222301).
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>[109] Yongseok Oh, Che Ming Ko, Su Houng Lee, and Shigehiro Yasui, Ratios of heavy baryons to heavy mesons in relativistic nucleus-nucleus collisions, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.79.044905) 79, [044905 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.79.044905)