Page curve for an eternal Schwarzschild black hole in a dimensionally reduced model of dilaton gravity

Stefan Đorđević, Aleksandra Gočanin, Dragoljub Gočanin, and Voja Radovanović *Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Studentski Trg 12-16, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia*

(Received 18 July 2022; accepted 12 October 2022; published 18 November 2022)

As a contribution to the subject of the information loss paradox in (1 + 1)-dimensional gravitational systems, we study a model of (1 + 1)-dimensional dilaton gravity derived from the four-dimensional Einstein-Hilbert action by dimensional reduction. The reduced action involves the cosmological constant and admits black hole solutions. After including the backreaction of quantum fields to one-loop order, we solve the semiclassical field equations perturbatively and compute the quantum correction to the Hawking temperature. We consider the quantum extremal surface approach and invoke the "island rule" to compute the fine-grained entropy of the Hawking radiation for an eternal Schwarzschild black hole and demonstrate that it follows the unitary Page curve.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.106.105015

I. INTRODUCTION

It is widely believed that the resolution of the information loss paradox [1] could be the key to gaining a deeper insight into the quantum nature of gravity and, in particular, the microscopic origin of the black hole entropy [2–4]. The standard calculation done by Hawking [5] predicts that, due to the thermal character of the Hawking radiation, the process of black hole formation and evaporation breaks the principle of unitary time evolution and leads to a monotonic increase of the fine-grained entanglement entropy of the radiation even beyond the Bekenstein-Hawking (BH) entropy limit, $S_{\rm BH} = A({\rm horizon})/4G_{\rm N}^{(4)}$ (we set $c = \hbar = k_{\rm B} = 1$, and $G_{\rm N}^{(4)}$ stands for the Newton's constant in four spacetime dimensions), which, on the other hand, monotonically decreases due to evaporation.

Various models of (1 + 1)-dimensional dilaton gravity, such as Jackiw-Teitelboim [6,7] and Callan-Giddings-Harvey-Strominger (CGHS) [8], have proved to be very useful for analytical investigation of the process of black hole formation and evaporation. This is because, after integrating out fluctuations of the matter fields and including one-loop quantum corrections, field equations can be made exactly solvable by introducing suitable correction terms, as in Russo-Susskind-Thorlacius (RST), Bose-Parker-Peleg (BPP), and CGHS models [9–12]. The results suggest that information does indeed get lost in the process of black hole evaporation, signaling the breakdown of unitarity. A more comprehensive account of dilaton gravity models can be found in [13,14].

However, the requirement of information conservation implies that the entanglement entropy of the quantum fields outside the black hole should not exceed the course-grained limit set by S_{BH} and must follow the so-called Page curve instead [15,16]. How this kind of behavior might arise is a topic that has received a lot of attention recently.

One proposal that stands out is based on the idea that the fine-grained entropy of the Hawking radiation can receive an extra contribution from the so-called island [17–20]. The state of Hawking radiation, corresponding to a spatial region R outside the black hole, is standardly described by a density matrix obtained by taking a partial trace over the degrees of freedom in the complementary region \bar{R} ; an island $I \subset \bar{R}$ is supposed to be a part of \bar{R} that should be excluded when taking the partial trace. According to the minimal quantum extremal surface (QES) prescription [21–23], the fine-grained (FG) entropy of the Hawking radiation corresponding to R is given by the "island formula,"

$$S_{FG}(R) = \min_{I} \left\{ \exp_{I} \left[\frac{A(\partial I)}{4G_{N}} + S_{\text{matter}}(R \cup I) \right] \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where $S_{\text{matter}}(R \cup I)$ stands for the semiclassical entanglement entropy of the quantum fields with support on $R \cup I$, and $A(\partial I)$ is the area of the *I*'s boundary surface (it need not be the event horizon). The island is a surface that extremizes the generalized entropy functional in the square brackets of (1). If there are several such quantum extremal surfaces, the prescription dictates that we should pick the one that minimizes the generalized entropy.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

Apart from its holography origins, the island rule gains additional support from considerations regarding the gravitational path integral. Namely, the replica trick [24–26] applied to gravitational systems leads to new kinds of saddle points, the so-called replica wormholes, for which bulk wormholes connect different copies of spacetime. These new saddle point configurations give rise to islands [27,28], and the unitary Page curve comes as a nonperturbative effect from replica wormholes.

In the semiclassical limit, the partition function of the geometry with replicas is dominated by the one giving the minimum entropy. In this way, the replica trick for gravitational theories gives rise to the same formula (1) as the holographic QES prescription. Since the replica wormhole is merely a consequence of the replica trick in models with gravitation, the island rule is expected to be applicable to any kind of black hole. So far, the island rule has been studied mainly in (1 + 1)-dimensional models, which offer a tractable treatment of the entanglement entropy of the Hawking radiation [17–20,27–43], but also in higher-dimensional models [44–48]. Some other interesting results can be found in Refs. [49–53].

The paper is organized as follows. In the following section, we study, in some detail, a model of (1 + 1)-dimensional dilaton gravity derived from Einstein-Hilbert action by dimensional reduction. Section III analyzes the contribution of one-loop quantum corrections to the energy-momentum tensor for matter fields. Focusing on the eternal Schwarzschild black hole solution, we compute quantum corrections to the metric, the position of the horizon, and surface gravity in Sec. IV. Finally, in Sec. V, we derive the Page curve using the island rule. A conclusion and some proposals for future work are given in Sec. VI.

II. DIMENSIONALLY REDUCED EINSTEIN-HILBERT MODEL

The dimensionally reduced Einstein-Hilbert (DREH) model is a (1 + 1)-dimensional model of dilaton gravity obtained from the usual four-dimensional Einstein-Hilbert (EH) action by using a spherically symmetric ansatz and integrating out the angles. It is similar in some ways to the CGHS model of dilaton gravity [8]. In particular, it admits black hole solutions.

Dimensional reduction is a well-known procedure, and we only give a brief review. More technical details can be found in [54]. Start with the standard EH action in four spacetime dimensions,

$$S_{\rm EH} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_{\rm N}^{(4)}} \int {\rm d}^4 x \sqrt{-g^{(4)}} R^{(4)}, \qquad (2)$$

where $G_{\rm N}^{(4)}$ is the four-dimensional Newton's constant, $g_{AB}^{(4)}$ (A, B = 0, 1, 2, 3) is the four-dimensional metric, and $R^{(4)}$ is the four-dimensional curvature scalar.

Consider the following spherically symmetric ansatz for the metric:

$$ds^{2} = g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + \lambda^{-2} e^{-2\phi} [d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}], \quad (3)$$

where μ , $\nu = 0$, 1, and $g_{\mu\nu}$ depends only on x^0 and x^1 . The dilaton field ϕ is related to the radial coordinate $r = \lambda^{-1} e^{-\phi}$, and λ^2 is a constant parameter that will play the role of the cosmological constant in the reduced theory.

Using the ansatz (3), we can straightforwardly derive the relation between the curvature scalar R of the reduced (1 + 1)-dimensional theory and the curvature scalar $R^{(4)}$ of the four-dimensional theory,

$$R^{(4)} = R + 2(\nabla\phi)^2 + 2\lambda^2 e^{2\phi} - 2e^{2\phi} \Box e^{-2\phi}.$$
 (4)

Also, we have

$$d^4x\sqrt{-g^{(4)}} = d^2x d\theta d\varphi \sqrt{-g} \frac{e^{-2\phi}}{\lambda^2} \sin^2\theta.$$
 (5)

The reduced dilaton gravity action (up to a surface term), which will be denoted by S_{ϕ} , comes down to

$$S_{\phi} = \frac{1}{4G_{\rm N}} \int \mathrm{d}^2 x \sqrt{-g} [e^{-2\phi} (R + 2(\nabla \phi)^2) + 2\lambda^2], \quad (6)$$

where we introduced $G_{\rm N} \equiv \lambda^2 G_{\rm N}^{(4)}$ as Newton's constant of the reduced theory.

Later we will take quantum corrections into account, and for that purpose we introduce the conformal matter term S_m for a massless scalar field f minimally coupled to gravity. Therefore, the final form of the classical DREH action is given by

$$S_{\text{DREH}} = S_{\phi} + S_m$$

= $\frac{1}{4G_N} \int d^2 x \sqrt{-g} [e^{-2\phi} (R + 2(\nabla \phi)^2) + 2\lambda^2]$
 $- \frac{1}{2} \int d^2 x \sqrt{-g} (\nabla f)^2.$ (7)

Classical field equations are obtained by varying S_{DREH} with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$, ϕ , and f, yielding, respectively,

$$[2\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\phi - 2\nabla_{\mu}\phi\nabla_{\nu}\phi + g_{\mu\nu}(3(\nabla\phi)^{2} - 2\Box\phi - \lambda^{2}e^{2\phi})]e^{-2\phi}$$

= $2G_{\mathrm{N}}T^{(f)}_{\mu\nu,\mathrm{class}},$ (8)

$$(\nabla\phi)^2 - \Box\phi = \frac{R}{2},\tag{9}$$

$$\Box f = 0, \tag{10}$$

with the classical energy-momentum tensor for the matter field f,

$$T^{(f)}_{\mu\nu,\text{class}} = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_m}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = \nabla_\mu f \nabla_\nu f - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (\nabla f)^2.$$
(11)

Now we will show that the vacuum solution of the classical field equations is, in fact, a Schwarzschild black hole. Consider the following static ansatz for the metric in (t, r) coordinates,

$$ds^{2} = -h_{0}(r)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{h_{0}(r)},$$
(12)

and $r = \lambda^{-1} e^{-\phi}$. The curvature scalar for this metric is $R = -\partial_r^2 h_0$, and the dilaton equation (9) becomes

$$\partial_r^2 h_0 + \frac{2}{r} \partial_r h_0 = 0, \tag{13}$$

which gives us the form of the unknown function $h_0(r)$, up to two undetermined constants,

$$h_0(r) = A - \frac{C}{r}.$$
(14)

Additionally, from (8) in the rr case we get

$$h_0(r) = 1 - r\partial_r h_0(r),$$
 (15)

implying that A = 1 and leaving *C* undetermined; the *tt* equation does not impose any additional constraint. Thus, we obtained a Schwarzschild black hole solution in two dimensions. In four dimensions, the constant *C* is related to the mass of the black hole $C = 2MG_N^{(4)}$; therefore, in two dimensions, we have $C = \frac{2MG_N}{\lambda^2}$. The full metric is given by

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2MG_{\rm N}}{\lambda^{2}r}\right)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{1 - \frac{2MG_{\rm N}}{\lambda^{2}r}}.$$
 (16)

From now on, we will use the notation $r_0 = \frac{2MG_N}{\lambda^2}$ for the classical horizon radius, so that $h_0(r) = 1 - \frac{r_0}{r}$.

III. QUANTUM CORRECTIONS IN THE DREH MODEL

Having established the classical DREH model, we consider the quantization of matter fields (a single massless scalar field in this case) on the classical background geometry of the Schwarzschild black hole. Quantum corrections come in the form of Polyakov-Liouville (PL) action [55],

$$S_{\rm PL} = -\frac{\hbar}{96\pi} \int d^2x \int d^2x' \sqrt{-g(x)} \sqrt{-g(x')}$$
$$\times R(x)G(x-x')R(x'), \qquad (17)$$

where G(x - x') stands for Green's function for the massless Klein-Gordon equation in curved (1 + 1)dimensional spacetime. This action represents a one-loop effective action obtained by integrating out fluctuations of the massless scalar field,

$$e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S_{\rm PL}} = \int \mathcal{D}\chi e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\int d^2x\sqrt{-g}[-\frac{1}{2}(\nabla\chi)^2]},\tag{18}$$

and it can be converted into a local form by introducing an auxiliary field ψ ,

$$S_{\rm PL} = -\frac{\hbar}{96\pi} \int \mathrm{d}^2 x \sqrt{-g} [2R\psi + (\nabla\psi)^2], \qquad (19)$$

which is on shell equivalent to (17), the field equation of the auxiliary field being

$$\Box \psi = R. \tag{20}$$

The full action for the one-loop quantum DREH model is given by

$$S = S_{\text{DREH}} + S_{\text{PL}}.$$
 (21)

Variation of $S_{\rm PL}$ in terms of $g_{\mu\nu}$ gives us a quantum correction to the energy-momentum tensor for the scalar field f,

$$\langle \Delta T_{\mu\nu}^{(f)} \rangle = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_{\rm PL}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = \frac{\hbar}{48\pi} \left[-2\nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \psi + \nabla_{\mu} \psi \nabla_{\nu} \psi + g_{\mu\nu} \left(2\Box \psi - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \psi)^2 \right) \right].$$
 (22)

To define $\langle \Delta T_{\mu\nu}^{(f)} \rangle = \langle \Psi | \Delta T_{\mu\nu}^{(f)} | \Psi \rangle$, we also need to specify the quantum state $|\Psi\rangle$ that we are considering. The full energy-momentum tensor consists of the classical part and the one-loop quantum correction coming from the PL effective action,

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(f)} = T_{\mu\nu,\text{class}}^{(f)} + \langle \Delta T_{\mu\nu}^{(f)} \rangle.$$
 (23)

The metric equation (8) changes only due to this quantum correction of the energy-momentum tensor,

$$[2\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\phi - 2\nabla_{\mu}\phi\nabla_{\nu}\phi + g_{\mu\nu}(3(\nabla\phi)^{2} - 2\Box\phi - \lambda^{2}e^{2\phi})]e^{-2\phi}$$

$$= 2G_{N}\left\{\nabla_{\mu}f\nabla_{\nu}f - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(\nabla f)^{2} + \frac{\hbar}{48\pi}\left[-2\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\psi + \nabla_{\mu}\psi\nabla_{\nu}\psi + g_{\mu\nu}\left(2\Box\psi - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\psi)^{2}\right)\right]\right\}.$$
 (24)

On the other hand, the dilaton equation (9) remains the same because we treat ϕ as a purely classical field.

The DREH model is derived directly from the physically relevant EH action. However, an important aspect of this model is that it cannot be made exactly solvable by adding suitable correction terms in the action, and one has to use the perturbation technique as in [54,56,57]. Quantity $\epsilon = \frac{\hbar}{48\pi}$ is a natural perturbation parameter.

The classical metric is given by (12) with $h_0(r) = 1 - r_0/r$. We are interested in finding an eternal black hole solution at one-loop order. For that we introduce two functions $\varphi(r)$ and m(r), while keeping the definition $r = \lambda^{-1}e^{-\phi}$, and assume the following static ansatz for the metric:

$$ds^{2} = -h(r)e^{2\epsilon\varphi(r)}dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{h(r)},$$
(25)

with

$$h(r) = h_0(r) + \frac{\epsilon m(r)}{r} = 1 - \frac{r_0}{r} + \frac{\epsilon m(r)}{r}.$$
 (26)

The curvature scalar is

$$R = -\partial_r^2 h - 2\epsilon h \partial_r^2 \varphi - 3\epsilon \partial_r h \partial_r \varphi - 2\epsilon^2 h (\partial_r \varphi)^2.$$
(27)

From the modified field equations for the metric (which now include the backreaction to one-loop order), we get

$$\frac{dm}{dr} = -\frac{\tilde{T}_{tt}^{(f)}}{h_0},\tag{28}$$

$$2rh_0 \frac{d\varphi}{dr} = h_0 \tilde{T}_{rr}^{(f)} + \frac{\tilde{T}_{tt}^{(f)}}{h_0}, \qquad (29)$$

where we introduced $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}^{(f)} = \frac{2G_{\rm N}}{\lambda^2 \epsilon} T_{\mu\nu}^{(f)}$. The dilaton equation reduces to an identity, and it has no bearing for further analyses.

Since components of the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}^{(J)}$ are already of order ϵ , we can use classical metric components in this perturbative order. First, we need to solve the $\Box \psi = R$ equation perturbatively for the auxiliary field. From the result (27), we get

$$\partial_r (h \partial_r \psi + \partial_r h) = 0, \qquad (30)$$

and, therefore

$$\partial_r \psi = \frac{C - \partial_r h}{h},\tag{31}$$

where C is an undetermined constant that is related to the quantum state of the radiation.

We can now use (22) to compute the quantum corrections to the energy-momentum tensor up to first order in ϵ . They are given by

$$\langle \Delta T_{rr}^{(f)} \rangle = \epsilon \frac{C^2 - (\partial_r h_0)^2}{2h_0^2}, \qquad (32)$$

$$\langle \Delta T_{tt}^{(f)} \rangle = \epsilon \left[\frac{C^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_r h_0)^2 + 2h_0 \partial_r^2 h_0 \right], \quad (33)$$

up to an undetermined constant C.

IV. ETERNAL BLACK HOLE SCENARIO

Now we are ready to consider the eternal Schwarzschild black hole solution, where an incoming energy flux balances energy loss due to Hawking evaporation. For a distant observer (i.e., in asymptotically flat coordinates), the black hole appears to be in thermal equilibrium with its environment, which corresponds to the Hartle-Hawking (HH) state of the radiation. On the other hand, in Kruskal coordinates x^{\pm} , the $|HH\rangle$ state represents the vacuum. This condition will determine the value of the integration constant *C* mentioned earlier.

First we compute the ϵ correction to the horizon's position $r_H = r_0 + \epsilon r^{(1)}$, as determined by the condition

$$g^{rr}(r_H) = 0$$
, i.e., $h(r_H) = 1 - \frac{r_0}{r_H} + \frac{\epsilon m(r_H)}{r_H} = 0$,
(34)

yielding

$$r^{(1)} = -m(r_0). \tag{35}$$

Therefore, the new position of the horizon is

$$r_H = r_0 - \epsilon m(r_0). \tag{36}$$

To make a transition to Kruskal coordinates, we have to find the quantum correction to the surface gravity. The redshift factor is

$$V = \sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu}\xi^{\mu}\xi^{\nu}} = \sqrt{-g_{tt}} = \sqrt{he^{2\varepsilon\varphi}},\qquad(37)$$

and the surface gravity [note that $h(r_H) = 0$] is

$$\kappa = \sqrt{(\nabla V)^2} = \sqrt{g^{rr}} \partial_r V = \frac{1}{2} \partial_r h e^{\epsilon \varphi}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2r_0} \left[1 + \epsilon \left(\varphi(r_0) + \partial_r m(r_0) + \frac{m(r_0)}{r_0} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \right].$$
(38)

The metric can be represented in a conformal form,

$$ds^{2} = -h(r)e^{2\epsilon\varphi(r)}dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{h(r)}$$

= $h(r)e^{2\epsilon\varphi(r)}\left(-dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{h^{2}(r)e^{2\epsilon\varphi(r)}}\right)$
= $h(r)e^{2\epsilon\varphi(r)}(-dt^{2} + dr_{*}^{2}),$ (39)

where we introduce the tortoise coordinate

$$r_* = \int \frac{e^{-\epsilon\varphi(r)}}{h(r)} dr.$$
(40)

Since $h(r_H) = 0$, this integral diverges at $r = r_H$. Note also that $\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dh}{dr} e^{\epsilon \varphi} \right) |_H$, which means that $\frac{dh(r_H)}{dr} \neq 0$. By expanding the integral near the horizon r_H we get

$$r_* = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int \left[\frac{1}{r - r_H} - \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{h''}{h'} + \epsilon \frac{d\varphi}{dr} \right) \right|_H + o(r - r_H) \right] dr$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left[\frac{r}{r_H} + \ln\left(\frac{r}{r_H} - 1\right) + \epsilon \alpha(r) \right], \tag{41}$$

where $\epsilon \alpha(r)$ represents the part of the integral that does not diverge at the horizon, and $\alpha = \alpha^{(0)} + \epsilon \alpha^{(1)} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$. Therefore, to first order in ϵ we can write

$$r_* = \int \frac{1 - \epsilon(\varphi(r) + \frac{m(r)}{r - r_0})}{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}} \mathrm{d}r.$$
 (42)

In asymptotically flat coordinates $\sigma^{\pm} = t \pm r_*$, the metric becomes

$$ds^{2} = -h(r)e^{2\epsilon\varphi(r)}d\sigma^{+}d\sigma^{-}.$$
(43)

Finally, in Kruskal coordinates $\kappa x^{\pm} = \pm e^{\pm \kappa \sigma^{\pm}}$, it can be represented as

$$ds^{2} = -he^{2\epsilon\varphi} \frac{d\sigma^{+}}{dx^{+}} \frac{d\sigma^{-}}{dx^{-}} dx^{+} dx^{-}$$
$$= -he^{2\epsilon\varphi} \frac{dx^{+} dx^{-}}{-\kappa^{2}x^{+}x^{-}}$$
$$= -he^{2\epsilon\varphi-2\kappa r_{*}} dx^{+} dx^{-} = -e^{2\varphi} dx^{+} dx^{-}, \quad (44)$$

with the conformal factor

$$\rho(x) = \frac{1}{2} \ln h + \epsilon \varphi - \kappa r_*. \tag{45}$$

The equation $\Box \psi = R$ for the auxiliary field in Kruskal coordinates is simply $\partial_+\partial_-(\psi + 2\rho) = 0$, which is solved by $\psi = -2\rho + F_+(x^+) + F_-(x^-)$, where we introduced two arbitrary functions $F_{\pm}(x^{\pm})$.

The quantum corrections to the energy-momentum tensor in x^{\pm} coordinates are given by

$$\langle \Delta T_{\pm\pm}^{(f)} \rangle = 4\epsilon [\partial_{\pm}^2 \rho - (\partial_{\pm} \rho)^2 - t_{\pm}(x^{\pm})], \qquad (46)$$

$$\langle \Delta T_{+-}^{(f)} \rangle = -4\epsilon \partial_{+} \partial_{-} \rho, \qquad (47)$$

where $t_{\pm}(x^{\pm}) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\pm}^2 F_{\pm} - \frac{1}{4}(\partial_{\pm}F_{\pm})^2$ is a function related to the state of the quantum fields. Under a conformal coordinate transformation $y^{\pm} = y^{\pm}(x^{\pm})$ the energymomentum tensor changes according to (we only consider the quantum correction)

$$\langle \Delta T_{\pm\pm}^{(f)}(y) \rangle = \left(\frac{dx^{\pm}}{dy^{\pm}}\right)^2 \langle \Delta T_{\pm\pm}^{(f)}(x) \rangle.$$
(48)

For the conformal factor ρ , on the other hand, we have the following transformation law:

$$\rho(y) = \rho(x) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{dy^+}{dx^+} \frac{dy^-}{dx^-}.$$
 (49)

Together, these give us the transformation law for t_{\pm} ,

$$t_{\pm}(y^{\pm}) = \left(\frac{dx^{\pm}}{dy^{\pm}}\right)^2 \left[t_{\pm}(x^{\pm}) - \frac{1}{2}D_{x^{\pm}}[y^{\pm}]\right], \quad (50)$$

with the Schwarzian derivative defined by

$$D_{x^{\pm}}[y^{\pm}] = \frac{(y^{\pm})'''}{(y^{\pm})'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{(y^{\pm})''}{(y^{\pm})'}\right)^2,$$
(51)

where the derivatives are with respect to x^{\pm} .

The vacuum state of quantum fields and the corresponding set of creation/annihilation operators depend on the reference frame, i.e., on the coordinate system. If we introduce normal ordering of the energy-momentum operator for one choice of the vacuum state $|0; x\rangle$, say in coordinate system x^{\pm} , the energy-momentum operator can be decomposed as

$$\hat{T}_{\pm\pm}^{(f)}(x^{\pm}) =: \hat{T}_{\pm\pm}^{(f)}(x^{\pm}): + \langle 0; x | \hat{T}_{\pm\pm}^{(f)}(x^{\pm}) | 0; x \rangle.$$
(52)

If we make a transition to another coordinate system y^{\pm} , the energy-momentum tensor will not, in general, be normally ordered. The transformation law for the normally ordered part is given by

$$: \hat{T}_{\pm\pm}^{(f)}(y^{\pm}) \coloneqq \left(\frac{dx^{\pm}}{dy^{\pm}}\right)^{2} \left[: \hat{T}_{\pm\pm}^{(f)}(x^{\pm}): + \frac{\hbar}{24\pi} D_{x^{\pm}}[y^{\pm}]\right].$$
(53)

Comparing (50), (52), and (53), we can establish the following relationship:

$$\langle 0, x | : \hat{T}_{\pm\pm}^{(f)}(x^{\pm}) : | 0, x \rangle = -\epsilon t_{\pm}(x^{\pm}),$$
 (54)

which provides an interpretation for the quantity t_{\pm} . In particular, since $|HH\rangle$ is the vacuum state in Kruskal coordinates, i.e., $|HH\rangle = |0; x\rangle$, we have

$$\langle HH|:\hat{T}_{\pm\pm}^{(f)}(x^{\pm}):|HH\rangle = -\epsilon t_{\pm}(x^{\pm}) = 0.$$
 (55)

Since we already have a factor of ϵ in (46), we only need to consider $\partial_{\pm}\rho$ at the leading (classical) order, which is simply

$$\partial_{\pm}\rho = \frac{1}{2h}\partial_{\pm}h - \kappa\partial_{\pm}r_* = \frac{1}{4\kappa x^{\pm}} \left(\frac{r_0}{r^2} - \frac{1}{r_0}\right).$$
(56)

This gives us

$$\langle \Delta T_{\pm\pm}^{(f)} \rangle = \epsilon \frac{(r-r_0)^2}{r^4 (x^-)^2} (r^2 + 2r_0 r + 3r_0^2), \qquad (57)$$

$$\langle \Delta T_{+-}^{(f)} \rangle = \epsilon \frac{4r_0^3(r-r_0)}{r^4 x^+ x^-}.$$
 (58)

On the other hand, in Schwarzschild coordinates,

$$\begin{split} \langle \Delta T_{rr}^{(f)} \rangle &= \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial r} \langle \Delta T_{\mu\nu}^{(f)} \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial x^{+}}{\partial r} \right)^{2} \langle \Delta T_{++}^{(f)} \rangle + \left(\frac{\partial x^{-}}{\partial r} \right)^{2} \langle \Delta T_{--}^{(f)} \rangle \\ &+ 2 \frac{\partial x^{+}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial x^{-}}{\partial r} \langle \Delta T_{+-}^{(f)} \rangle = \frac{\epsilon}{2l^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{r_{0}^{2}} - \left(\frac{r_{0}}{r^{2}} \right)^{2} \right]. \end{split}$$
(59)

Since $\partial_r h_0 = \frac{r_0}{r^2}$, from (32) we can directly identify the constant *C* as being equal to $\frac{1}{r_0}$, which is what we have expected. Now we have to determine the functions m(r) and $\varphi(r)$.

Having computed the quantum corrections to the energy-momentum tensor, Eqs. (28) and (29) become simple differential equations,

$$\frac{dm}{dr} = \frac{G_{\rm N}}{\lambda^2 r_0^2} \left[7 \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^3 - \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right) - 1 \right], \quad (60)$$

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dr} = \frac{G_{\rm N}}{\lambda^2 r_0^2 r} \left[3\left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right) + 1 \right],\tag{61}$$

with solutions

$$m(r) = \frac{G_{\rm N}}{\lambda^2 r_0} \left[-\frac{7}{2} \left(\frac{r_0}{r} \right)^2 + \frac{r_0}{r} + \ln \frac{r_0}{r} - \frac{r}{r_0} \right] + C_1, \quad (62)$$

$$\varphi(r) = \frac{G_{\rm N}}{\lambda^2 r_0^2} \left[-\frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{r_0}{r} \right)^2 - 2 \frac{r_0}{r} - \ln \frac{r_0}{r} \right] + C_2.$$
(63)

We can also calculate the function $\alpha(r)$ defined in Eq. (41),

$$\alpha(r) = \frac{G_{\rm N}}{\lambda^2 r_0^2} \left[\frac{5}{2} \frac{r}{r_0} + \left(1 - \frac{r}{r_0} - \frac{r_0}{r - r_0} \right) \ln \frac{r}{r_0} \right].$$
(64)

Note that $\alpha(r_H) = \frac{3G_N}{2\lambda^2 r_H^2}$ does not diverge at the horizon, as we have already mentioned. Functions m(r) and $\varphi(r)$ are both defined up to an undetermined integration constant, C_1 and C_2 , respectively. Note that $\varphi(r)$ diverges as $r \to +\infty$, which means that the metric does not appear to be asymptotically flat. A way to deal with this issue is to introduce a cutoff. Take $F(r) \coloneqq \varphi(r) - C_2$ and define some characteristic dimension of space L, such that $\varphi(r) = F(r) - F(L)$; now $\varphi \to 0$ as $r \to L$. This fixes the asymptotic behavior of the constant C_2 . We can choose $C_2 = \frac{G_N}{\lambda^2 r_0^2} \ln \frac{r_0}{L}$. Function m(r) also diverges, but this is not a problem since $\frac{m(r)}{r}$ is what actually appears in the metric. We can also determine the constant C_1 by introducing another length scale l, which should naturally be of order of Planck length, but this will not be important for further consideration. We can set $C_1 = \frac{G}{\lambda^2 r_0} \ln \frac{l}{r_0}$.

Finally, the position of the horizon and the surface gravity are given by

$$r_H = r_0 - \epsilon m(r_0) = r_0 + \epsilon \left(\frac{7G_N}{2\lambda^2 r_0} - C_1\right)$$
(65)

$$= r_0 + \frac{\epsilon G_N}{\lambda^2 r_0} \left(\frac{7}{2} + \ln \frac{r_0}{l}\right),\tag{66}$$

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2r_0} \left[1 + \epsilon \left(\varphi(r_0) + \partial_r m(r_0) + \frac{m(r_0)}{r_0} \right) \right]$$

= $\frac{1}{2r_0} \left[1 + \epsilon \left(C_2 + \frac{C_1}{r_0} - 3 \frac{G_N}{\lambda^2 r_0^2} \right) \right]$ (67)

$$=\frac{1}{2r_0}\left[1-\frac{\epsilon G_N}{\lambda^2 r_0^2}\left(3+\ln\frac{L}{l}\right)\right].$$
(68)

PHYS. REV. D 106, 105015 (2022)

We see that the horizon gets shifted due to quantum corrections. Also, since $T_{\rm BH} = \kappa/2\pi$, the last equation implies that the black hole temperature acquires a quantum correction.

V. PAGE CURVE IN DREH MODEL

Time dependence of the fine-grained entropy of the Hawking radiation, as given by the QES formula (1), essentially depends on the evolution of the spatial region $I \cup R$, where I is to be determined by the variational method. We will consider two separate cases: one for which $I = \emptyset$ the whole time (no island), and the other when the island appears at some point; the latter will give the unitary Page curve.

A. No-island case

Let us first consider the situation with no island. In this case, we expect to reproduce the original Hawking's prediction. For an eternal black hole in Kruskal coordinates, the semiclassical entanglement entropy of the Hawking radiation can be computed as in [12], using the following formula (see Appendix A for some details):

$$S_{\text{matter}} = \frac{1}{12} \ln \frac{(x_R^+ - x_L^+)^2 (x_R^- - x_L^-)^2}{\delta^4 e^{-2\rho_R} e^{-2\rho_L}}.$$
 (69)

Labels R/L correspond to the right/left asymptotically flat region of spacetime, as shown in Fig. 1. We can also work in (t, r_*) coordinates, or even (t, r), since $r_* = r_*(r)$. Coordinates on the cutoff surface are then either (t, b) or (t, b_*) . Parameter δ is a UV cutoff.

To recover the time dependence of the fine-grained entropy S_{FG} along the cutoff surface, we make a transition to asymptotically flat coordinates that involves the modified surface gravity κ ,

$$\kappa x_R^+ = e^{\kappa (t+b_*)},\tag{70}$$

$$\kappa x_R^- = -e^{-\kappa(t-b_*)},\tag{71}$$



FIG. 1. Position of the region (*A*) in which the inaccessible degrees of freedom live.

$$\kappa x_L^+ = -e^{\kappa(-t+b_*)},\tag{72}$$

$$\kappa x_L^- = e^{-\kappa(-t-b_*)}.\tag{73}$$

In the DREH model, we have $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \ln h + \epsilon \varphi - \kappa r_*$. Since *b* is equal in the two asymptotically flat regions, it follows that $\rho_R = \rho_L$ and we get

$$S_{FG} = S_{\text{matter}} = \frac{1}{6} \ln \frac{4h(b) \cosh^2(\kappa t)}{(\kappa \delta)^2 e^{-2\epsilon \varphi(b)}}.$$
 (74)

Regularization of the UV divergences is effected by demanding that $S_{FG}(0) = 0$, i.e.,

$$S_{FG} = \frac{1}{3} \ln \left[\cosh \left(\kappa t \right) \right]. \tag{75}$$

In the beginning of the evaporation (in the neighborhood of t = 0), the entropy behaves as $S_{FG} = \frac{1}{6} (\kappa t)^2$. However, we are more interested in the late-time limit, $\kappa t \gg 1$. As in other dilaton gravity models [38,58,59], S_{FG} grows linearly,

$$S_{FG} \approx \frac{1}{3}\kappa t - \frac{1}{3}\ln 2. \tag{76}$$

Thus, we conclude that the fine-grained entropy of the radiation increases monotonically, which is in agreement with the original Hawking's result, but in contrast with the RST and BPP models, the DREH model predicts that the surface gravity acquires quantum correction.

B. Island case

In the presence of an island, the relevant region consists of two disjoint parts and we have to use the following formula (see Appendix A for some details):

$$S_{\text{matter}} = \frac{1}{6} \ln \frac{d_{12}^2 d_{23}^2 d_{14}^2 d_{34}^2}{\delta^4 d_{24}^2 d_{13}^2 e^{-\rho_1} e^{-\rho_2} e^{-\rho_3} e^{-\rho_4}}, \qquad (77)$$

with

$$d_{ij}^2 = (x_i^+ - x_j^+)(x_i^- - x_j^-).$$
(78)

The position of the island is given by (t', a_*) in the asymptotically flat region on the right. In the left region, the corresponding point is symmetrical with respect to the vertical axis. Again, we vary with respect to t' and a_* .

In asymptotically flat coordinates, the position of the region A in Fig. 2 is given by

$$\kappa x_{Rb}^{+} = e^{\kappa (t+b_{*})}, \qquad \kappa x_{Rb}^{-} = -e^{-\kappa (t-b_{*})}, \qquad (79)$$

$$\kappa x_{Lb}^{+} = -e^{\kappa(-t+b_{*})}, \qquad \kappa x_{Lb}^{-} = e^{-\kappa(-t-b_{*})},$$
(80)

$$\kappa x_{Ra}^{+} = e^{\kappa(t'+a_{*})}, \qquad \kappa x_{Ra}^{-} = -e^{-\kappa(t'-a_{*})}, \qquad (81)$$

$$\kappa x_{La}^{+} = -e^{\kappa(-t'+a_{*})}, \qquad \kappa x_{La}^{-} = e^{-\kappa(-t'-a_{*})}.$$
 (82)



FIG. 2. Position of the island (I) and the disconnected region (A) in which the inaccessible degrees of freedom live.

Now we consider the late-time stage of the evaporation process. As in the case with no island, we have that $\frac{d_{23}^2d_{14}^2}{d_{24}^2d_{13}^2} \rightarrow 1$ (see Appendix A), which means that the entropy formula comes down to

$$S_{\text{matter}} = \frac{1}{6} \ln \left(\frac{d_{12}^4}{\delta^4} e^{2\rho_a} e^{2\rho_b} \right).$$
(83)

After making the substitutions for ρ and d_{12} , the above formula becomes

$$S_{\text{matter}} = \frac{1}{6} \ln \frac{h(a)h(b)(e^{\kappa(t+b_*)} - e^{\kappa(t'+a_*)})^2 (-e^{-\kappa(t-b_*)} + e^{-\kappa(t'-a_*)})^2}{(\kappa\delta)^4 e^{2\kappa(b_*+a_*)} e^{-2\varepsilon(\varphi(a)+\varphi(b))}}.$$
(84)

The surface term does not depend on t', so we can immediately perform extremization over t'. For late times, it holds that t' = t as in [11]. Now the formula for S_{matter} becomes

$$S_{\text{matter}} = \frac{1}{3} (\rho_a + \rho_b) + \frac{2}{3} \ln \left(e^{\kappa b_*} - e^{\kappa a_*} \right) - \frac{2}{3} \ln \left(\kappa \delta \right).$$
(85)

The surface term of the generalized entropy reads

$$\frac{A[I]}{4G_{\rm N}^{(4)}} = 2\frac{4\pi\lambda^2 a^2}{4G_{\rm N}\hbar}.$$
(86)

This is exactly the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. Note that we have the combination λ^2/G_N , since the formula holds in four dimensions. The factor of 2 comes form having two asymptotically flat regions.

Therefore, the generalized entropy is

$$S_{\rm gen} = \frac{2\pi\lambda^2 a^2}{G_{\rm N}\hbar} + \frac{1}{3}(\rho_a + \rho_b) + \frac{2}{3}\ln\left(e^{\kappa b_*} - e^{\kappa a_*}\right) - \frac{2}{3}\ln\left(\kappa\delta\right).$$
(87)

Now, we extremize in terms of the position a_* ,

$$\partial_{a_*} S_{\text{gen}} = \left[\frac{4\pi \lambda^2 a}{G_N \hbar} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{d\rho(a)}{dr} \right] \frac{da}{da_*} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\kappa}{e^{\kappa(b_* - a_*)} - 1} = 0,$$
(88)

which gives us

$$\left[a + \frac{4\epsilon G_N}{\lambda^2} \frac{d\rho(a)}{dr}\right] h(a) e^{\epsilon\varphi(a)} = \frac{8\epsilon G_N}{\lambda^2} \frac{\kappa}{e^{\kappa(b_* - a_*)} - 1}.$$
 (89)

We expect to find QES near the horizon. In the case of eternal black hole it should be outside the horizon. So we write $a = r_H + x$, where r_H is a quantum corrected position of the horizon, and $x \ll r_H$. Then we can expand the left-hand side of Eq. (89) with respect to small x, up to the first order. We get

$$\ln s \approx \left[r_{H} + \frac{4\epsilon G_{N}}{\lambda^{2}} \frac{d\rho}{dr} \Big|_{H} \right] h(r_{H}) e^{\epsilon \varphi(r_{H})} \\ + \left[r_{H} + \frac{4\epsilon G_{N}}{\lambda^{2}} \frac{d\rho}{dr} \Big|_{H} \right] \left(\frac{dh}{dr} e^{\epsilon \varphi(r_{H})} \right) \Big|_{H} x.$$
(90)

The first term vanishes since $h(r_H) = 0$ by a definition of a horizon position. While, in the second term, we have $\left(\frac{dh}{dr}e^{\epsilon\varphi}\right)|_H = 2\kappa$, by a definition of the surface gravity. Now, we consider the right-hand side of Eq. (89) and expand $e^{2\kappa a_*}$ near the horizon,

$$e^{2\kappa a_*} \approx e^{2\kappa r_*(r_H)} + 2\kappa \left(e^{2\kappa r_*} \frac{dr_*}{dr} \right) \Big|_H x$$

= $2\kappa x e^{1+\epsilon(\alpha(r_H)-\varphi(r_H))} \lim_{r \to r_H} \left(\frac{\frac{r}{r_H}-1}{h(r)} \right)$
= $2\kappa x e^{1+\epsilon\alpha(r_H)} \frac{e^{-\epsilon\varphi(r_H)}}{r_H h'(r_H)} = \frac{x}{r_H} e^{1+\epsilon\alpha(r_H)}.$ (91)

The right-hand side of Eq. (89) now becomes

$$\operatorname{rhs} \approx \frac{8\epsilon\kappa G_N}{\lambda^2} e^{-\kappa b_*} e^{\kappa a_*} (1 + e^{-\kappa b_*} e^{\kappa a_*}) \\ = \frac{8\epsilon\kappa G_N}{\lambda^2} \left[\sqrt{\frac{x}{r_H}} e^{\frac{1}{2}(1 + \epsilon\alpha(r_H)) - \kappa b_*} + \frac{x}{r_H} e^{1 + \epsilon\alpha(r_H) - 2\kappa b_*} \right].$$
(92)

Using Eqs. (90) and (92) and solving for x, we get

$$x = \frac{1}{r_H} \frac{(\frac{4\epsilon G_N}{\lambda^2 r_H})^2 e^{1-2\kappa b_* + \epsilon \alpha(r_H)}}{[1 + \frac{4\epsilon G_N}{\lambda^2 r_H} (\frac{d\rho}{dr}|_H - \frac{1}{r_H} e^{1-2\kappa b_* + \epsilon \alpha(r)})]^2}.$$
 (93)

Using Eqs. (45) and (64), and remembering that $\epsilon = \frac{\hbar}{48\pi}$, we get the position of the island,

$$a = r_{H} + \frac{1}{r_{H}} \left(\frac{\hbar G_{N}}{12\pi\lambda^{2}r_{H}} \right)^{2} e^{1-2\kappa b_{*}} \\ \times \left[1 + \frac{\hbar G_{N}}{6\pi\lambda^{2}r_{H}^{2}} \left(\frac{19}{16} + e^{1-2\kappa b_{*}} \right) \right].$$
(94)

Note that the result (94) exactly coincides with [45] in the leading order of ϵ expansion. The main difference is that we have also included backreaction of the radiation, which means that quantum corrections do not spoil the fact that an island appears close to the (quantum corrected) horizon.

Now we can determine the behavior of the finegrained entropy. At late times, and expanding around r_H , we get

$$S_{FG} = S_{gen}(r_H) - \frac{\hbar G_N}{36\pi\lambda^2 r_H^2} e^{1-2\kappa b_*} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2).$$
(95)

The second term can be neglected since it is of order $\mathcal{O}(\hbar)$, and we are left with

$$S_{FG} = \frac{2\pi\lambda^2 r_H^2}{G_N \hbar} + \frac{1}{3} (\rho_H + \rho_b) + \frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{e^{\kappa b_*}}{\kappa \delta}$$
(96)

$$= 2 \left[\frac{\pi \lambda^2 r_H^2}{G_{\rm N} \hbar} + \frac{1}{12} \ln \frac{e^{4\kappa b_*}}{(\kappa \delta)^4 e^{-2\rho_H} e^{-2\rho_b}} \right].$$
(97)

Comparing with Eqs. (B2), (B5), and (B6), we have $S_{\text{gen}} = 2S_{\text{BH}}$, as expected. The fine-grained entropy of the radiation is therefore

$$S_{FG} = \min\left\{\frac{1}{3}\kappa t, 2S_{\rm BH}\right\}.$$
(98)

To determine the Page time, we use the classical value of entropy since the quantum correction is dwarfed in the large M limit. The Page time t_P is determined by

$$\frac{1}{3}\kappa ct_P = \frac{2\pi c^3 \lambda^2 r_0^2}{G_{\rm N}\hbar},\tag{99}$$

and so

$$t_P = \frac{96\pi M^3 G_{\rm N}^2}{\hbar \lambda^4 c^4}.$$
 (100)

VI. CONCLUSION

We studied a model of two-dimensional dilaton gravity related to four-dimensional Einstein-Hilbert action by dimensional reduction. The Page curve is successfully reproduced for an eternal Schwarzschild black hole. The fine-grained entropy of the radiation grows monotonically until it reaches the course-grained Bekenstein-Hawking limit; after that, it remains equal to $2S_{BH}$. An important aspect of the DREH model is that the Hawking temperature acquires a quantum correction, which is not the case, for example, in the related BPP model. Further investigation might include analysis of a more realistic scenario involving an evaporating black hole or dimensional reduction of the electromagnetic field coupled to gravity and the analysis of quantum corrections to the Reissner-Nordstrom solution or anti-de Sitter-Schwarzschild black hole. Another important observation is that Hawking's result for the generalized entropy, which corresponds to the no-island case, can also be reproduced using Wald's formula that treats entropy as a Noether charge (see Appendix B). It would be interesting to consider a modification of Wald's entropy formula to include the island scenario.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A. G., D. G., and V. R. acknowledge the funding provided by the Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, through the grant by the Ministry of Education, Science, and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia.

APPENDIX A: ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY FORMULA

Here we give a brief review of the derivation of the QFT entanglement entropy formula [denoted by S_{matter} in the Eq. (1)], closely following the account of [12]. For that matter, let us first recall Unruh's result for the entropy of a uniformly accelerating observer in Minkowski spacetime, also known as the Rindler observer. If the world line of a Rindler observer belongs to the right Rindler wedge, the observer cannot access the degrees of freedom that live in the causally disconnected left Rindler wedge. After tracing out the inaccessible degrees of freedom, the Minkowski vacuum, which is a pure vacuum state for all inertial observers, reduces to a thermal state for a Rindler observer. The von Neumann entropy of this reduced state is known as entanglement entropy, and it is given by

$$S_{\rm ent} = \frac{1}{12} \ln \frac{X_{\rm max}^+ X_{\rm max}^-}{\delta^2},$$
 (A1)

where X_{max}^{\pm} stand for IR cutoffs in the light cone directions, while δ represents a UV cutoff.

The next step is to generalize the previous formula to include an arbitrary inaccessible region of Minkowski



FIG. 3. Position of the region (*A*) in which the inaccessible degrees of freedom live.

spacetime, see Fig. 3. As shown in [12], the appropriate generalization is

$$S_{\rm ent} = \frac{1}{12} \ln \frac{(x_2^+ - x_1^+)^2 (x_2^- - x_1^-)^2}{\delta^4}.$$
 (A2)

The curved spacetime version of the entanglement entropy formula can be obtained in two steps. First, one can find how formula (A2) looks in some other flat spacetime coordinates $y^{\pm} = y^{\pm}(x^{\pm})$, and then conclude that the same formula holds in curved spacetime as well. Since the calculation is the same as in Minkowski coordinates, one gets

$$S_{\text{ent}} = \frac{1}{12} \ln \frac{(y_2^+ - y_1^+)^2 (y_2^- - y_1^-)^2}{\hat{\delta}^4}, \qquad (A3)$$

where $\hat{\delta}$ is a UV cutoff in y coordinates. However, this is not a proper UV cutoff since it varies from one point of spacetime to another. It transforms as a length, so it is easy to transform it back to globally flat Minkowski coordinates. In conformal gauge, $ds^2 = -e^{2\rho}dy^+dy^-$, this yields

$$S_{\text{ent}} = \frac{1}{12} \ln \frac{(y_2^+ - y_1^+)^2 (y_2^- - y_1^-)^2}{\delta^4 e^{-2\rho_1} e^{-2\rho_2}}.$$
 (A4)



FIG. 4. Position of the disconnected region (*A*) in which the inaccessible degrees of freedom live.

Since one can choose to define δ in locally flat coordinates, it is easy to conclude that formula (A4) holds in curved spacetime as well.

In the case when an island is present, there exist two disjoint regions of spacetime (see Fig. 4) that one has to take into account. Using a similar reasoning as in [12] one can obtain the following formula for entanglement entropy:

$$S_{\rm ent} = \frac{1}{6} \ln \frac{d_{12}^2 d_{23}^2 d_{14}^2 d_{34}^2}{\delta^4 d_{24}^2 d_{13}^2 e^{-\rho_1} e^{-\rho_2} e^{-\rho_3} e^{-\rho_4}}, \qquad (A5)$$

where $d_{ij}^2 = (x_i^+ - x_j^+)(x_i^- - x_j^-)$ is Minkowski distance between two end points of the two inaccessible regions. The formula (A5) holds in curved spacetime as well.

In the late-time limit, one can show that

$$\frac{d_{23}^2 d_{14}^2}{d_{24}^2 d_{13}^2} \to 1. \tag{A6}$$

Using the coordinate transformations (79)–(82), this yields

$$\frac{d_{23}^2 d_{14}^2}{d_{24}^2 d_{13}^2} = 16e^{2\kappa(b_* - a_*)} \frac{\cosh^2(\kappa t) \cosh^2(\kappa t')}{(e^{\kappa(t+b_*)} + e^{-\kappa(t'+a_*)})^2 (e^{\kappa(b_* - t)} + e^{\kappa(t'-a_*)})^2} \approx 1; \quad t, t' \to \infty.$$
(A7)

It is also easy to show that $d_{12} = d_{34}$, $\rho_1 = \rho_4$, and $\rho_2 = \rho_3$ in this case. Using these results, Eq. (A5) reduces to

$$S_{\rm ent} = \frac{1}{6} \ln \left(\frac{d_{12}^4}{\delta^4} e^{2\rho_1} e^{2\rho_2} \right).$$
 (A8)

APPENDIX B: WALD'S ENTROPY

In Wald's formulation, the entropy of a black hole is understood as a charge at the horizon. It is given by

$$S_{\text{Wald}} = -2\pi \int_{H} dA \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \varepsilon_{\rho\sigma}, \qquad (B1)$$

where $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}$ is a binormal satisfying $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} = -2$, dA is the infinitesimal area element of the event horizon, and \mathcal{L} is the Lagrangian density defining the theory. A direct calculation in the case of (7) yields

$$S_{\text{Wald}} = \frac{\pi \lambda^2 r_H^2}{G_N \hbar} - \frac{1}{12} \psi(r_H).$$
(B2)

Solving the equation for the auxiliary field ψ in Kruskal coordinates yields $\psi = -2\rho + F_+(x^+) + F_-(x^-)$. Functions $F_{\pm}(x^{\pm})$ can be calculated using the definition of the HH state, namely, $t_{\pm}(x^{\pm}) = 0$. This gives us

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\pm}^{2}F_{\pm} - \frac{1}{4}(\partial_{\pm}F_{\pm})^{2} = 0.$$
 (B3)

Solving the equation yields

$$F_{\pm}(x^{\pm}) = -2\ln\frac{x^{\pm} + c_{\pm}}{\delta} + d_{\pm},$$
 (B4)

where c_{\pm} and d_{\pm} are integration constants. We want to interpret constants c_{\pm} as positions in spacetime. To make ψ invariant under the local Lorentz transformations, we need to choose $d_{+} + d_{-} = -2\rho_c$. After implementing this condition, we get

$$\psi(r) = -\ln\frac{(x^+ + c_+)^2(x^- + c_-)^2}{\delta^4 e^{-2\rho} e^{-2\rho_c}},$$
 (B5)

where c_{\pm} should be chosen at the cutoff surface that defines a region of space that belongs to the black hole (see Figs. 1 and 2). This means that $\kappa^2 c_+ c_- = -e^{2\kappa b_*}$. To compute Wald's entropy, we have to evaluate (B5) at the horizon where $x_H^+ = x_H^- = 0$. Wald's entropy can thus be seen as quantum corrected Bekenstein-Hawking formula,

$$S_{\text{Wald}} = \frac{\pi \lambda^2 r_H^2}{G_N \hbar} + \frac{1}{12} \ln \frac{(e^{2\kappa b_*})^2}{(\kappa \delta)^4 e^{-2\rho_H} e^{-2\rho_b}}.$$
 (B6)

- [1] S. W. Hawking, Breakdown of predictability in gravitational collapse, Phys. Rev. D 14, 2460 (1976).
- [2] J.D. Bekenstein, Black holes and the second law, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 4, 737 (1972).
- [3] J. D. Bekenstein, Black holes and entropy, Phys. Rev. D 7, 2333 (1973).
- [4] S. W. Hawking, Black holes and thermodynamics, Phys. Rev. D 13, 191 (1976).
- [5] S. W. Hawking, Particle creation by black holes, Commun. Math. Phys. 43, 199 (1975).
- [6] R. Jackiw, Lower dimensional gravity, Nucl. Phys. B252, 343 (1985).
- [7] C. Teitelboim, Gravitation and Hamiltonian structure in two space-time dimensions, Phys. Lett. **126B**, 41 (1983).
- [8] C. G. Callan, Jr., S. B. Giddings, J. A. Harvey, and A. Strominger, Evanescent black holes, Phys. Rev. D 45, R1005 (1992).
- [9] J. G. Russo, L. Susskind, and L. Thorlacius, Cosmic censorship in two-dimensional gravity, Phys. Rev. D 47, 533 (1993).
- [10] J. G. Russo, L. Susskind, and L. Thorlacius, End point of Hawking radiation, Phys. Rev. D 46, 3444 (1992).
- [11] S. Bose, L. Parker, and Y. Peleg, Semi-infinite throat as the end state geometry of two-dimensional black hole evaporation, Phys. Rev. D 52, 3512 (1995).
- [12] T. M. Fiola, J. Preskill, A. Strominger, and S. P. Trivedi, Black hole thermodynamics and information loss in twodimensions, Phys. Rev. D 50, 3987 (1994).
- [13] Jos Navarro-Salas and Alessandro Fabbri, *Modeling Black Hole Evaporation* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2005).

- [14] D. Grumiller, W. Kummer, and D. V. Vassilevich, Dilaton gravity in two-dimensions, Phys. Rep. 369, 327 (2002).
- [15] D. N. Page, Information in Black Hole Radiation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 3743 (1993).
- [16] D. N. Page, Time dependence of Hawking radiation entropy, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 09 (2013) 028.
- [17] G. Penington, Entanglement wedge reconstruction and the information paradox, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2020) 002.
- [18] A. Almheiri, N. Engelhardt, D. Marolf, and H. Maxfield, The entropy of bulk quantum fields and the entanglement wedge of an evaporating black hole, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2019) 063.
- [19] A. Almheiri, R. Mahajan, J. Maldacena, and Y. Zhao, The Page curve of Hawking radiation from semiclassical geometry, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2020) 149.
- [20] A. Almheiri, R. Mahajan, and J. Maldacena, Islands outside the horizon, arXiv:1910.11077.
- [21] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, Holographic Derivation of Entanglement Entropy from AdS/CFT, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 181602 (2006).
- [22] V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani, and T. Takayanagi, A covariant holographic entanglement entropy proposal, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2007) 062.
- [23] N. Engelhardt and A. C. Wall, Quantum extremal surfaces: Holographic entanglement entropy beyond the classical regime, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2015) 073.
- [24] C. G. Callan, Jr. and F. Wilczek, On geometric entropy, Phys. Lett. B 333, 55 (1994).

- [25] C. Holzhey, F. Larsen, and F. Wilczek, Geometric and renormalized entropy in conformal field theory, Nucl. Phys. B424, 443 (1994).
- [26] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, Entanglement entropy and conformal field theory, J. Phys. A 42, 504005 (2009).
- [27] G. Penington, S. H. Shenker, D. Stanford, and Z. Yang, Replica wormholes and the black hole interior, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2022) 205.
- [28] A. Almheiri, T. Hartman, J. Maldacena, E. Shaghoulian, and A. Tajdini, Replica wormholes and the entropy of Hawking radiation, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2020) 013.
- [29] H. Z. Chen, Z. Fisher, J. Hernandez, R. C. Myers, and S. M. Ruan, Information flow in black hole evaporation, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2020) 152.
- [30] Y. Chen, Pulling out the island with modular flow, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2020) 033.
- [31] C. Akers, N. Engelhardt, G. Penington, and M. Usatyuk, Quantum maximin surfaces, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2020) 140.
- [32] H. Liu and S. Vardhan, A dynamical mechanism for the Page curve from quantum chaos, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2021) 088.
- [33] D. Marolf and H. Maxfield, Transcending the ensemble: Baby universes, spacetime wormholes, and the order and disorder of black hole information, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2020) 044.
- [34] V. Balasubramanian, A. Kar, O. Parrikar, G. Sárosi, and T. Ugajin, Geometric secret sharing in a model of Hawking radiation, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2021) 177.
- [35] A. Bhattacharya, Multipartite purification, multiboundary wormholes, and islands in AdS₃/CFT₂, Phys. Rev. D 102, 046013 (2020).
- [36] H. Verlinde, ER = EPR revisited: On the entropy of an Einstein-Rosen bridge, arXiv:2003.13117.
- [37] Y. Chen, X. L. Qi, and P. Zhang, Replica wormhole and information retrieval in the SYK model coupled to Majorana chains, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2020) 121.
- [38] F. F. Gautason, L. Schneiderbauer, W. Sybesma, and L. Thorlacius, Page curve for an evaporating black hole, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2020) 091.
- [39] L. Anderson, O. Parrikar, and R. M. Soni, Islands with gravitating baths: Towards ER = EPR, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2021) 226.
- [40] K. Goto, T. Hartman, and A. Tajdini, Replica wormholes for an evaporating 2D black hole, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2021) 289.
- [41] T. Anegawa and N. Iizuka, Notes on islands in asymptotically flat 2d dilaton black holes, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2020) 036.

- [42] B. Ahn, S. E. Bak, H. S. Jeong, K.-Y. Kim, and Ya-W. Sun, Islands in charged linear dilaton black holes, Phys. Rev. D 105, 046012 (2022).
- [43] X. Wang, R. Li, J. Wang, Page curves for a family of exactly solvable evaporataing black holes, Phys. Rev. D 103, 126026 (2021).
- [44] A. Almheiri, R. Mahajan, and J. E. Santos, Entanglement islands in higher dimensions, SciPost Phys. 9, 001 (2020).
- [45] K. Hashimoto, N. Iizuka, and Y. Matsuo, Islands in Schwarzschild black holes, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2020) 085.
- [46] X. Wang, R. Li, and J. Wang, Islands and Page curves of Reissner-Nordström black holes, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2021) 103.
- [47] M. H. Yu and X. H. Ge, Islands and Page curves in charged dilaton black holes, Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 14 (2022).
- [48] F. Omidi, Entropy of Hawking radiation for two-sided hyperscaling violating black branes, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2022) 022.
- [49] M. Alishahiha, A. F. Astaneh and A. Neseh, Island in the presence of higher derivative terms, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2021) 035.
- [50] S. Azarnia, R. Fareghbal, A. Naseh, and H. Zolfi, Islands in flat-space cosmology, Phys. Rev. D 104, 126017 (2021).
- [51] A. Bhattacharya, Arrpan Bhattacharyya, P. Nandy, A. K. Patra, Partial islands and subregion complexity in geometric secret-sharing model, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2021) 091.
- [52] A. Saha, S. Gangopadhyay and J. P. Saha, Mutual information, islands in black holes and the Page curve, Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 476 (2022).
- [53] A. Anand, Page Curve and Island in EGB gravity, arXiv: 2205.13785
- [54] M. Buric, V. Radovanovic, and A. R. Mikovic, One loop correction for Schwarzschild black hole via 2-D dilaton gravity, Phys. Rev. D 59, 084002 (1999).
- [55] A. M. Polyakov, Quantum geometry of bosonic strings, Phys. Lett. **103B**, 207 (1981).
- [56] V. P. Frolov, W. Israel, and S. N. Solodukhin, One-loop quantum corrections to the thermodynamics of charged black holes, Phys. Rev. D 54, 2732 (1996).
- [57] M. Buric and V. Radovanovic, Quantum corrections for the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole, Classical Quantum Gravity 16, 3937 (1999).
- [58] T. Anegawa and N. Iizuka, Notes on islands in asymptotically flat 2d dilaton black holes, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2020) 036.
- [59] T. Hartman, E. Shaghoulian, and A. Strominger, Islands in asymptotically flat 2D gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2020) 022.