# <span id="page-0-2"></span>Binary neutron star mergers in Einstein-scalar-Gauss-Bonnet gravity

William E. East $\mathbf{O}^{1,*}$  $\mathbf{O}^{1,*}$  $\mathbf{O}^{1,*}$  $\mathbf{O}^{1,*}$  and Frans Pretorius  $\mathbf{O}^{2,*}$  $\mathbf{O}^{2,*}$  $\mathbf{O}^{2,*}$ 

<sup>1</sup> Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 2Y5, Canada <sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA

(Received 26 August 2022; accepted 15 November 2022; published 28 November 2022)

Binary neutron star mergers, which can lead to less massive black holes relative to other known astrophysical channels, have the potential to probe modifications to general relativity that arise at smaller curvature scales compared to more massive compact object binaries. As a representative example of this, here we study binary neutron star mergers in shift-symmetric Einstein-scalar-Gauss-Bonnet gravity using evolutions of the full, nonperturbative evolution equations. We find that the impact on the inspiral is small, even at large values of the modified gravity coupling (as expected, as neutron stars do not have scalar charge in this theory). However, postmerger there can be strong scalar effects, including radiation. When a black hole forms, it develops scalar charge, impacting the ringdown gravitational wave signal. In cases where a longer-lived remnant star persists postmerger, we find that the oscillations of the star source levels of scalar radiation similar to the black hole formation cases. In remnant stars, we further find that at coupling values comparable to the maximum value for which black hole solutions of the same mass exist, there is significant nonlinear enhancement in the scalar field, which if sufficiently large lead to a breakdown in the evolution, seemingly due to loss of hyperbolicity of the underlying equations.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.106.104055](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.104055)

## I. INTRODUCTION

Recent breakthroughs in gravitational wave astronomy have allowed for unprecedented tests of general relativity (GR) in the strong field regime [[1](#page-10-0),[2](#page-10-1)]. However, a crucial step in being able to perform the most sensitive searches for modifications to GR, or in the absence of deviations, place the most stringent constraints, is obtaining predictions in alternative theories, in particular in the strong field regime.

A common feature of many proposed modifications to GR is that they show the strongest effects in the presence of the shortest curvature lengths. This is a natural consequence of adding additional curvature terms to the Einstein-Hilbert action multiplied by constants whose dimension are some positive powers of length, as in dynamical Chern-Simons gravity [[3\]](#page-10-2), the most generic of the Horndeski class of theories [[4](#page-10-3)], or theories that add terms constructed out of higher powers of the Riemann tensor without introducing additional light degrees of freedom [[5](#page-10-4)[,6\]](#page-10-5). An ideal way to look for evidence of, or to constrain, such theories is by observing the smallest mass compact objects.

The vast majority of observed galactic black holes have masses > 5  $M_{\odot}$  [\[7](#page-10-6)], with the candidate lowest mass black hole having a mass  $3.3^{+2.8}_{-0.7}$   $M_{\odot}$  [\[8](#page-10-7)], leading to a hypothesized so-called lower-mass gap between the highest mass neutron star and the lowest mass black hole. The gravitational wave event GW190814 from a binary with a 2.6  $M_{\odot}$  compact object [[9\]](#page-10-8), which could potentially be a neutron star or black hole, has renewed debate about the lower-mass gap, though population models currently have difficulty explaining such a low-mass black hole [\[10](#page-10-9)]. Although there are a number of speculative or exotic formation channels that could lead to low mass black holes, one likely way to form a black hole of mass  $\sim$ 3  $M_{\odot}$  is from the merger of a binary neutron star. In this work, we study how binary neutron star mergers can be used to probe a representative modified gravity theory, Einstein-Scalar-Gauss-Bonnet (ESGB) theory, which introduces modifications to GR at small curvature length scales (corresponding to sufficiently high curvature).

There have been numerous studies of neutron star mergers in theories that do not modify the principal part of the Einstein equations, in particular scalar-tensor theories. Here, it is the introduction of a new scalar degree of freedom that mediates a prescribed conformal rescaling of the metric, rather than a modification of the Einstein equations themselves, that can lead to novel physics. For example, neutron stars typically develop scalar charge, which can lead to dipole radiation in a binary system containing a charged neutron star. The lack of any observed signatures of this in binary pulsar systems give tight constraints on such scalar-tensor theories [\[11,](#page-10-10)[12](#page-10-11)]. However, there are some notable examples where such pulsar systems may not be strongly affected by scalar modifications at their current separations, yet where there could be significant modifications to the late inspiral or

<span id="page-0-0"></span>[<sup>\\*</sup>](#page-0-2) weast@perimeterinstitute.ca

<span id="page-0-1"></span>[<sup>†</sup>](#page-0-2) fpretori@princeton.edu

merger phase. For example, scalar-tensor theories with screening mechanisms [[13](#page-10-12)–[15](#page-10-13)], or in the class of scalartensor theories developed by Damour and Esposito-Farèse [[16](#page-10-14),[17\]](#page-10-15), where in some cases only neutrons above a certain mass can develop scalar charge (so-called "spontaneous scalarization"), or even only develop this charge in the late stages of inspiral ("dynamical scalari-zation") [[18](#page-10-16),[19\]](#page-10-17). Though the observation of a ∼ 2  $M_{\odot}$ neutron star in orbit with a white dwarf severely constrains even this class of scalar-tensor theory [\[20](#page-10-18)], there is still some theoretical maneuvering that can evade these constraints, for example by giving the scalar field a small mass [\[21](#page-10-19)].

In contrast, full compact object mergers in modified theories that do change the principal part of the Einstein equations have been less well studied, in part because of difficulties with finding well-posed formulations of the evolution equations of such theories. In this work, we take advantage of recent advances in solving the full equations of shift-symmetric ESGB gravity to study binary neutron star mergers, as well as the collapse of isolated, hypermassive neutron stars to black holes. In particular, we use the modified harmonic formulation [[22](#page-10-20),[23](#page-10-21)] and the methods developed in Ref. [[24](#page-10-22)] for evolving binary black holes in Horndeski theories. For a recent, detailed review, see Ref. [[25](#page-10-23)].

To our knowledge, the only prior numerical study of the dynamics of neutron stars within ESGB gravity is the work of Ref. [[26](#page-10-24)], where the collapse of a neutron star to a black hole in the decoupling limit of ESGB gravity was considered (see related earlier work in Ref. [[27](#page-10-25)] where Oppenheimer-Snyder collapse of a pressureless fluid was examined). In the decoupling limit, the backreaction of the ESGB scalar is ignored and the ESGB scalar is evolved on the pure-GR background of a collapsing neutron star spacetime. Though this approach, as detailed in Ref. [[26](#page-10-24)], gives important information regarding the growth of scalar hair about the nascent black hole, it is unable to address at least two important questions: what the potential gravitational wave signatures of ESGB gravity are (the scalar radiation is by itself not measurable with present detectors), and what the realm of validity of the small coupling approximation is (including what happens when this approximation is no longer valid).

Regarding potential observational signatures, an interesting aspect of ESGB gravity is that neutron stars carry no scalar charge, yet black holes do. (Though note, as discussed below, stationary black hole solutions only exist above a minimum mass set by the coupling scale of the theory.) Similar to the class of Damour-Esposito-Farèse scalar-tensor theories mentioned above, this then implies ESGB gravity can easily evade binary pulsar system constraints, and instead one would need to look to compact object merger dynamics to uncover signs of it (or hope for the discovery of a galactic black hole-pulsar binary).

There has been much work constraining ESGB gravity with binaries containing one or two black holes (see, e.g., Ref. [\[28](#page-10-26)–[30](#page-10-27)] and references therein), with the upshot, as discussed further in Sec. [III B,](#page-3-0) that they constrain the relevant coupling length scale ( $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}}$ , defined below) to be on the order of a kilometer or less. The effect of ESGB modifications on the neutron star maximum mass and tidal deformability has also been considered [\[31\]](#page-10-28), though this is more difficult to separate from the unknown neutron star equation of state. Since the smallest compact objects offer the best probes of ESGB gravity, barring the confirmed existence of subsolar mass black holes of primordial or other exotic origin, it seems likely that observing gravitational waves from compact object mergers will continue to be able to place the tightest constraints on ESGB gravity.

As the majority of theoretical work has focused on black hole binaries in ESGB gravity [\[24,](#page-10-22)[32](#page-10-29)–[38](#page-10-30)], there still is an open question regarding whether binary neutron star mergers could give comparable or better constraints than the typical merger involving a black hole. This could either be due to the formation of a small, scalar-charged black hole post merger, or in the late stages of inspiral, merger, and evolution of a hypermassive neutron star remnant, where nonlinear or strong coupling effects could be significant (and note that, unlike with spontaneous scalarization, a neutron star in ESGB gravity will have a scalar cloud around it sourced by the Gauss Bonnet (GB) curvature—it is just that this cloud falls off much more rapidly than the  $1/r$  decay that would be required for the neutron star to register a scalar charge).

The main goal of this paper is to begin to address the questions just posed. Qualitatively, the answers suggested by our results are mixed in this regard. On the optimistic side, the apparent breakdown of hyperbolicity in the evolution for large values of the ESGB coupling suggest that a typical binary neutron star merger, even without assuming black hole formation, pushes shift-symmetric ESGB past the breaking point of theory unless  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}}$  of  $\leq 1$  km, comparable to the best existing constraints from mergers containing a black hole. Less optimistic are if one hopes to do better than this by measuring details of the gravitational wave emission. We find that the effects of ESGB on the gravitational wave emission show up primarily in the postmerger signal: for a hypermassive remnant, the oscillating high density core can excite the scalar field, and for prompt collapse to a black hole the ringdown signal is affected by the development of scalar charge. However, even for strong couplings close to the maximum allowed, these appear sufficiently minor that it may be difficult to disentangle the effects of departures from GR from parameter uncertainties or limited knowledge of the neutron star equation of state (though a more quantitative analysis, beyond the scope of this paper, would be needed for more conclusive answers). Adding to the challenge, these parts of the gravitational wave signal are at high frequencies that ground-based detectors are less sensitive to.

In earlier, full nonlinear studies of collapse and black holes in ESGB gravity [[24](#page-10-22),[36](#page-10-31),[39](#page-10-32)–[42\]](#page-10-33), it was found that when the coupling is made too large, the hyperbolicity of the evolution equations breaks down prior to any singular behavior developing in the metric or scalar field. Here we find evidence this can happen in neutron star mergers not only when a black hole forms, but also during the postmerger oscillations of a remnant star, with apparent breakdown in the latter occurring at comparable but somewhat larger values of the coupling constant compared to when it does during black hole formation. (Though unlike the spherically symmetric studies in [[39](#page-10-32),[40](#page-10-34)], here we do not explicitly compute the characteristics of the full system, and only surmise that this is the cause of the breakdown of our numerical evolutions.) In other words, even though exceeding the weak coupling limit in ESGB gravity has dire consequences for well-posedness of the theory, approaching this limit in a dynamical setting does not appear to be preceded by novel or dramatically different spacetime/scalar field dynamics compared to farfrom maximum coupling.

An outline of the remainder of this paper is as follows. We review shift-symmetric ESGB, the gravity theory we consider here, in Sec. [II;](#page-2-0) we describe our methods for numerically evolving this theory coupled to hydrodynamics and analyzing the results in Sec. [III](#page-2-1); we present results from our study of neutron star mergers and collapse of unstable hypermassive neutron stars in Sec. [IV;](#page-3-1) and we discuss these results and conclude in Sec. [V.](#page-8-0) Unless otherwise noted, we use geometric units with  $G = c = 1$ .

# <span id="page-2-0"></span>II. SHIFT-SYMMETRIC EINSTEIN SCALAR GAUSS BONNET

The action for shift-symmetric ESGB gravity is given by

$$
S = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 + \lambda \phi \mathcal{G} \right) + S_{\text{matter}},
$$
 (1)

where q is the determinant of the spacetime metric,  $\mathcal G$  is the GB scalar, given in terms of the Riemann tensor and its contractions as

$$
\mathcal{G} \coloneqq R^2 - 4R^{ab}R_{ab} + R^{abcd}R_{abcd},\tag{2}
$$

<span id="page-2-2"></span> $\lambda$  is a coupling constant with dimensions of length squared,  $\phi$  is the scalar field, and  $S_{\text{matter}}$  is the action for any other matter (in our case, the neutron star fluid). The equations of motion are given by

$$
\Box \phi + \lambda \mathcal{G} = 0, \tag{3}
$$

$$
R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}R + 2\lambda \delta_{ijg(a}^{efcd}g_{b)d}R^{ij}_{ef}\nabla^g\nabla_c\phi = 8\pi T_{ab}, \quad (4)
$$

where  $\delta^{abcd}_{efgh}$  is the generalized Kronecker delta and  $T_{ab}$  =  $T_{ab}^{\text{matter}} + T_{ab}^{\text{SF}}$  with

$$
T_{ab}^{\rm SF} := \frac{1}{8\pi} \left( \nabla_a \phi \nabla_b \phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \nabla_c \phi \nabla^c \phi \right). \tag{5}
$$

The other matter equations of motion are not affected by the GB term, and are the same as in GR.

In this theory, stationary black holes have nonzero scalar charge  $Q_{SF}$ . That is, at large radius, the scalar field falls of like  $\phi = Q_{\rm SF}/r + \mathcal{O}(1/r^2)$ . Furthermore, studies have found that for a given black hole mass and spin there is a maximum value of  $\lambda$ , above which stationary solutions no longer exist. For a nonspinning black hole,  $\lambda \lesssim 0.23M^2$ [\[43\]](#page-10-35), where  $M$  is the total mass, as measured at infinity, while for dimensionless black hole spins  $a = 0.7$  and 0.8,  $\lambda/M^2 \lesssim 0.19$  and 0.16, respectively [[44](#page-10-36)].

Neutron stars, in contrast to black holes, do not have scalar charge in ESGB gravity. Recalling the argument given in Ref. [[32](#page-10-29)], if one assumes a stationary, asymptotically flat star solution and integrates Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-2) over the fourdimensional spacetime manifold, this gives

$$
\int \Box \phi \sqrt{-g} d^4 x = -\lambda \int \mathcal{G} \sqrt{-g} d^4 x = 0, \qquad (6)
$$

with the last equality following from the fact that the integral of the Gauss Bonnet (GB) curvature is a topological invariant. Using stationarity to drop the time integration, and applying Stoke's theorem to the remaining spatial volume integral, we obtain a surface integral at spatial infinity contracted with the unit normal to the surface,

$$
\int \hat{n}^i(\partial_i \phi) \sqrt{-g} dS \propto Q_{\rm SF} = 0. \tag{7}
$$

Note that this argument does not apply to the black hole case due to the breakdown of the regularity of the solution in the black hole interior.

#### III. METHODOLOGY

#### A. Evolution

<span id="page-2-1"></span>We evolve the full, nonperturbative, shift-symmetric ESGB equations in the modified generalized harmonic formulation [[22](#page-10-20),[23](#page-10-21)] using the implementation and methods of Ref. [\[24\]](#page-10-22). In this formulation, there are two additional auxiliary metrics  $\hat{g}_{ab}$  and  $\tilde{g}_{ab}$ , which, respectively, determine the light cone for the gauge and constraint propagating modes. As in Ref. [\[24](#page-10-22)], we choose  $\tilde{g}_{ab}$  =  $g_{ab} - (1/5)n_a n_b$  and  $\hat{g}_{ab} = g_{ab} - (2/5)n_a n_b$ , where  $g_{ab}$  is the physical metric, and  $n_a$  is the future-directed unit normal to slices of constant time. The gauge we use is the modified (by the auxiliary metric) version of the damped harmonic gauge [\[45,](#page-11-0)[46](#page-11-1)].

We model the neutron stars using ideal hydrodynamics. The Euler equations are unmodified from the GR case (only the metric going into the equations will be different than in GR), and we use the hydrodynamics code of Ref. [[47](#page-11-2)] to evolve the fluid, and in particular, we use the same methods and parameters for evolving binary neutron stars as in Ref. [\[48\]](#page-11-3). In the Appendix, we provide details on the numerical resolution and convergence.

#### B. Initial data and cases considered

<span id="page-3-0"></span>We use quasicircular binary neutron star initial data constructed with the Compact Object CALculator (COCAL) [\[49](#page-11-4)[,50\]](#page-11-5). For the scalar field, we choose  $\phi = \partial_t \phi = 0$  on the initial time slice, in which case the constraint equations of ESGB are the same as in GR. This means that at the beginning of the evolution there will be a short transient associated with the scalar field evolving to a nonzero value in the presence of the neutron stars. For the binary neutron stars, we use a piecewise polytropic form of the DD2 EOS [[51](#page-11-6)].

We focus on equal mass binary neutron stars with an initial separation of 45 km, approximately four orbital periods before merger. We consider two values for the total mass of the system:  $M = 3.0 M_{\odot}$ , which gives rise to a longer-lived hypermassive remnant; and  $M = 3.45 M_{\odot}$ , which promptly collapses to a black hole postmerger. We consider ESGB coupling parameters approaching, and in some cases exceeding, the maximum values where our evolutions break down, which depends on whether black hole formation occurs. For the longer-lived remnant cases, we consider ESGB coupling parameters  $\lambda/M^2 = 0$ , 0.04, 0.08, 0.2, 0.25, and 0.3, while for the prompt black hole cases we consider smaller values of  $\lambda/M^2 = 0$ , 0.02, and 0.03.

We also consider the axisymmetric collapse of uniformly rotating hypermassive neutron stars. For initial data, we use a stationary (in GR) but unstable star solution constructed using the RNS code [[52](#page-11-7)] with the piecewise polytropic representation of the ENG EOS [\[53\]](#page-11-8) from [[54](#page-11-9)] with a mass  $M = 2.64 M_{\odot}$  and a dimensionless spin of 0.7. The collapse of this model in GR was previously considered in Ref. [\[55\]](#page-11-10). For this scenario, we consider ESGB coupling parameters  $\lambda/M^2 = 0$ , 0.05, 0.065, and 0.08.

For ease of comparison with other works, we convert our coupling  $\lambda$  into the  $\alpha_{GB} := \lambda/\sqrt{8\pi}$  used in, e.g., Refs. [[29](#page-10-37),[30](#page-10-27)], <sup>1</sup> and restore physical units. We have that

$$
\sqrt{\alpha_{\rm GB}} \approx 1.98 \text{ km} \left(\frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{M}\right) \left(\frac{M}{3 M_\odot}\right). \tag{8}
$$

For reference, in Ref. [[30](#page-10-27)], a constraint of  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \lesssim 1.2$  km (90% confidence level) is found by comparing several black hole-neutron star and binary black hole gravitational wave signals to post-Newtonian results for ESGB.

## C. Diagnostic quantities

To determine the gravitational wave signal, we compute the Newman-Penrose scalar  $\Psi_4$  on coordinate spheres at large radii  $(r = 100M)$ , and decompose this quantity into spin −2 weighted spherical harmonics.

In addition to the gravitational waves, we also analyze several quantities related to the scalar field. Considering just the canonical scalar stress-energy tensor, we calculate several associated quantities, including the associated energy,

$$
E_{\rm SF} := -\int \left(T'_t\right)^{\rm SF} \sqrt{-g} d^3 x,\tag{9}
$$

and energy flux through a surface in the wavezone,

$$
\dot{E}_{\rm SF} \equiv -\int \alpha (T_i^i)^{\rm SF} dA_i, \qquad (10)
$$

where  $\alpha$  is the lapse. We note that  $T_{ab}^{\rm SF}$  is not conserved, and, for example, even for an isolated black hole with scalar charge in ESGB,  $E_{SF}$  will only account for a fraction of the difference between the global mass and black hole horizon mass. We also consider the value of  $\phi$  on a sphere at large radius  $r = 100M$ , using its average value to calculate the scalar charge, as well as calculating the value of other (spin 0) spherical harmonics.

### IV. RESULTS

<span id="page-3-1"></span>We follow the evolution of three different scenarios: a binary neutron star that promptly collapses to a black hole after merger, a binary neutron star that forms a massive remnant star at merger, and the collapse of an unstable uniformly rotating hypermassive neutron star. The last mentioned case approximates the scenario where a postmerger remnant star collapses to a black hole on long timescales (on the order of 100 ms [[59](#page-11-11)]), after sufficient cooling and the dissipation of differential rotation. For all these scenarios, we vary the ESGB coupling  $\alpha_{GB}$  all the way up to near the maximum value where we are able to carry out the evolution and analyze the impact on the gravitational wave and scalar radiation.

The more massive binary neutron star merger  $(M = 3.45 M<sub>o</sub>)$  is shown in Fig. [1](#page-4-0). After ~3–4 orbits, the binary merges and promptly forms a black hole which rings down. The  $l = m = 2$  component of the scalar field (bottom panel of Fig. [1](#page-4-0)) shows similar behavior to the gravitational waves in both the inspiral and ringdown. However, the scalar radiation is not significant enough to lead to any noticeable dephasing in the inspiral for these parameters, and the gravitational wave signals for different values of  $\alpha_{\text{GB}}$  are indistinguishable on the scale of the plot, except during the ringdown. This is consistent with the fact

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Some other Refs. [\[33,](#page-10-38)[56](#page-11-12)–[58\]](#page-11-13) use a convention that gives a value of  $\alpha_{GB}$  that is  $16\sqrt{\pi}$  times higher.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Gravitational wave radiation (top) and scalar radiation (bottom) for a binary neutron star merger that promptly collapses to black hole. In particular, we show the real part of the  $l = m = 2$ spherical harmonic of the Newman-Penrose scalar  $\Psi_4$  and  $\phi$ . The inset in the top panel shows the small differences during the ringdown. Time is measured in milliseconds with respect to the time when the gravitational wave luminosity is maximum  $t_{\text{peak}}$ .

that the neutron stars do not have a scalar charge, and that scalar charge only develops after the black hole forms. This is illustrated in Fig. [2](#page-4-1) where we show  $Q_{\rm SF}$ , as measured from the average scalar field value at large distances. There it can be seen that the scalar charge only settles to its final value∼1 ms after the peak of the gravitational waves, while the period of gravitational waves during ringdown is  $\approx 0.2$  ms.

Perturbation theory [[56](#page-11-12)–[58](#page-11-13)] predicts that the real frequency of the fundamental  $\ell = 2$ ,  $m = 2$  quasinormal mode of a black hole in ESGB gravity will have a smaller real frequency as the coupling increases, and that the effect should be  $\langle 1\%$  for the values we consider here.<sup>2</sup> Though

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

FIG. 2. Top: The scalar charge  $Q_{SF}$ , as measured from the average scalar field value at large distances, as a function of time, for the binary neutron star mergers that promptly collapse to a black hole. Bottom: The amplitude of the  $l = m = 2$  spherical harmonic  $\Psi_4$  for the same time interval. During the black hole ringdown, the  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \approx 0.3$  km and 0.4 km cases have amplitudes that are, respectively,  $\sim 10\%$  and  $\sim 30\%$  smaller, compared to  $\alpha_{\text{GB}} = 0$  (GR). In both panels, time is measured with respect to the same  $t_{\text{peak}}$  as in Fig. [1.](#page-4-0)

the effect on the frequency and decay rate (imaginary frequency) of the ringdown is small, and difficult to reliably quantify here, the most noticeable effect is a suppression in the overall amplitude of the ringdown gravitational wave signal with increasing GB coupling, as shown in the bottom panel of Fig. [2](#page-4-1), which occurs as the black hole develops a scalar charge. The highest value of the ESGB coupling we consider for the prompt collapse case is  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \approx 0.39$  km. This should be compared to the maximum value for which there exists stationary black hole solutions with the same mass and spin ( $a_{BH} \approx 0.8$  here), which is  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \approx 0.91$  km.

We also consider a less massive binary neutron star merger with  $M = 3 M_{\odot}$  that forms an oscillating hypermassive remnant star. We show the gravitational and scalar radiation in Fig. [3](#page-5-0). Without evolving to presumed late-time

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We note that the results of Refs.  $[56–58]$  $[56–58]$  $[56–58]$  $[56–58]$  are obtained for Einstein-dilaton-Gauss-Bonnet gravity, which is equivalent to ESGB only for small values of  $\phi$ , and make use of a small black hole spin expansion, and thus are only approximately applicable to the cases studied here.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

FIG. 3. Asin Fig.[1,](#page-4-0) we showthe gravitational wave radiation (top) and scalar radiation (middle), but for a binary neutron star merger that forms a longer-lived remnant star (though notice the different axis scales compared to Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0). For interest, we also show the characteristic gravitational wave strain versus frequency for these three cases (bottom), if observed face on at a distance of 40 Mpc, together with the advanced LIGO sensitivity design curve [[61\]](#page-11-16).

black hole formation, we are able to evolve cases with significantly larger values of  $\alpha_{\text{GB}}$  in comparison to the prompt collapse case. In the top panel of Fig. [3](#page-5-0), starting slightly before merger, and continuing to the postmerger oscillations, there is some noticeable dephasing in the gravitational waves for the highest coupling case with  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \approx 0.89 \text{ km}^3$  This difference will show up at high gravitational wave frequencies (in the kilohertz regime). We note that a value of  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \approx 0.95$  km would exclude even a nonspinning (static) black hole solution with mass  $3 M_{\odot}$ . The scalar radiation also tracks the neutron star oscillations evident in the gravitational waves.

In this  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \approx 0.89$  km case, the initial data transient from the scalar field going from zero to nonzero in the vicinity of the star also induces measurable (yet small) oscillations in the fundamental fluid mode of the star, known as the f-mode, which in turn cause scalar radiation during the inspiral. This is evident in the bottom panel of Fig. [3.](#page-5-0) (N.B. the higher vertical axis scale in Fig. [3](#page-5-0) compared to Fig. [1](#page-4-0).) In this case, these f-mode oscillations are an artifact of the initial conditions, though similar oscillations can arise through tidal excitations, for example in eccentric neutron star mergers [[62](#page-11-14)–[65](#page-11-15)].

We further compare the collapsing and longer-lived remnant star cases in Fig. [4](#page-6-0). In both cases, the luminosity of the scalar radiation is always subdominant to the gravitational radiation, and the former peaks after the latter (top panel).

In the longer-lived remnant case, for higher values of the GB coupling than discussed above, in particular for  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \gtrsim 1$  km, we find a nonlinear enhancement in the scalar field, which reaches values  $> 0.1$  (in units of the Planck mass) postmerger, and causes our evolution to breakdown before there is any sign of collapse to a black hole. This is illustrated in Fig. [5](#page-6-1), where we show the scalar field energy and maximum field magnitude for several values of the coupling. Postmerger, these quantities oscillate with the remnant star. After rescaling for the test-field dependence on coupling, we can see that there is a mild nonlinear enhancement in these quantities for  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \approx 0.89$  km, which becomes strongly nonlinear for  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \approx 1.0$  km. For the highest coupling considered  $(\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \approx 1.1 \text{ km})$ , the blow up in the scalar quantities happens during the first oscillation, while for a slightly smaller value  $(\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \approx 1.0 \text{ km})$  it happens during the second oscillation. For both of the cases, we are unable to continue the evolution further. This could be related to a breakdown in the hyperbolicity of the ESGB equations, either in the theory itself, or in our particular formulation and choice of gauge, though further work would be needed to demonstrate this. Assuming this is due to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Achieving small phase errors in the postmerger phase of binary neutron simulations is still an open problem, see, e.g., Ref. [\[60\]](#page-11-17), and this comparison should be treated as an upper bound on the gravitational wave dephasing assuming that the dominant truncation error is similar comparing ESGB to GR simulations performed at the same resolution.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

FIG. 4. Comparison of neutron star mergers with two different values of total mass:  $M = 3.4 M_{\odot}$  (leading to prompt collapse to a black hole) and  $M = 3 M_{\odot}$  (leading to a longer-lived remnant star), and different values of the GB coupling. Top: The scalar (solid curves) and gravitational (dashed curves) radiation from neutron star mergers that promptly collapse (black curves), and ones that form a longer-lived remnant (red curves). Bottom: A comparison of the canonical scalar field energy  $E_{\rm SF}$  as a function of time for several mergers exhibiting prompt collapse or a longer-lived remnant, and with various values of the GB coupling. In all cases, the curves have been aligned in time at the gravitational wave luminosity peak.

breakdown of hyperbolicity, similar to arguments constraining  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}}$  based on the smallest observed black hole, the observation of a binary neutron star postmerger without apparent anomalies can set a constraint on  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \lesssim 1$  km. However, an alternative perspective might be that ESGB is only an approximation to a more complete gravity theory, and these cases may merely lie in the regime where additional corrections need to be taken into account.

We show snapshots of the density, GB curvature, and scalar field around the time  $|\phi|$  reaches a local maximum during the oscillations in the post-merger remnant in Fig. [6](#page-7-0). At the center of the star, coincident with high density, the GB curvature reaches a magnitude that is only a factor of 2

FIG. 5. The canonical scalar field energy  $E_{SF}$  (top panel) and the minimum scalar field value  $\phi_{\text{min}}$  at a given time (bottom panel) around merger for the longer-lived remnant case and different values of the GB coupling. We have scaled both quantities so that they would agree with the highest coupling case ( $\lambda/M^2 = 0.3$  or equivalently  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \approx 1.1$  km) assuming the test-field dependence on the coupling. For the cases with the two highest couplings, we were unable to continue the evolution past the point shown.

smaller than the value at the horizon of a nonspinning black hole ( $\mathcal{G} \approx 2 \times 10^{-3}$  km<sup>-4</sup> for a Schwarzschild black hole with  $M = 3 M_{\odot}$ , though with the opposite sign. In turn, the scalar field is also negative with largest magnitude at the center of the star. The maximum positive value of the GB curvature is  $\sim$  4× smaller in magnitude than the maximum negative value and occurs near the surface of the star.

#### A. Collapse of isolated hypermassive neutron stars

One possible outcome for a binary neutron star merger is that the remnant star undergoes a delayed collapse to a black hole, which happens only after gravitational radiation, cooling, viscosity, and other dissipative effects have sufficiently reduced the differential rotation and thermal support of the star. To cover this scenario, we consider the collapse of a

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

FIG. 6. Snapshots of rest-mass density  $\rho$  (left), the GB curvature scalar G (center), and the scalar field  $\phi$  (right) for the case with  $M = 3 M_{\odot}$  and  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \approx 0.89$  km at a time following the merger (peak of the gravitational wave luminosity)  $t - t_{\rm peak} \approx 4$  ms. What is shown is a zoom-in of the postmerger remnant star, where the coordinate distance of the linear dimension of each plot is  $\approx 44$  km.

uniformly rotating hypermassive neutron star with mass 2.64  $M_{\odot}$  and dimensionless spin 0.7. The star is an unstable equilibrium solution in GR and rapidly collapses to a black hole, with the collapse induced either by truncation error (when  $\alpha_{GB} = 0$ ) or by the perturbation induced on the star by the modified gravity (when  $\alpha_{GB} \neq 0$ ).

As above, in ESGB gravity the compact object develops a scalar charge as it collapses to a black hole and rings down to a stationary black hole (with scalar hair) solution. Also as found in the neutron star mergers, the scalar field is negative, but with growing magnitude at the center of the collapsing star, coinciding with the negative GB curvature. However, as the black hole forms, this region is hidden, and the magnitude of  $\phi$  is peaked at a positive value in the vicinity of the black hole horizon, which grows towards its asymptotic value as the black hole settles down. This is illustrated in Fig. [7](#page-7-1). Similar to the prompt collapse following a neutron star merger (Fig. [2](#page-4-1)), the development and settling of the scalar charge to its final value takes place over  $\approx 0.5$ –1 ms.

This transition is accompanied by a burst of scalar radiation, as shown in Fig. [8.](#page-8-1) In this case, where the gravitational wave radiation is almost entirely from black hole ringdown, the peak scalar radiation slightly precedes the peak gravitational luminosity (as opposed to the gravitational wave signal being peaked at merger, and the peak scalar radiation following, as in Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0).

The gravitational wave ringdown, and its dependence on the GB coupling, is illustrated in Fig. [9](#page-8-2). There it can be seen that as the coupling is increased, the gravitational wave amplitude also increases, which may in part be an artifact of using as initial conditions a solution that is an unstable stationary solution when  $\alpha_{GB} = 0$ , so the development of a scalar field hastens the collapse to a black hole. We are not able to discern the expected shift in the frequency of the quasinormal mode here—in fact the trend in Fig. [9](#page-8-2) is towards a small decrease in period between successive peaks for larger coupling. This is most likely because the

<span id="page-7-1"></span>

FIG. 7. The minimum and maximum value of the scalar field over the domain (excluding the black hole interior, top panel) and scalar charge  $Q_{SF}$  (bottom panel) from the collapse of uniformly rotating hypermassive neutron stars with different values of the GB coupling. The time axis has been shifted to the peak of the gravitational wave luminosity, and the gray band indicates the approximate time the black hole forms (measured via apparent horizon formation).

<span id="page-8-1"></span>

FIG. 8. The scalar luminosity from the collapse of uniformly rotating hypermassive neutron stars with different values of the GB coupling. The time axis has been shifted to the gravitational wave peak, as in Fig. [7](#page-7-1).

<span id="page-8-2"></span>

FIG. 9. Ringdown gravitational waves, in particular the  $l = 2$  $(m = 0)$  component of  $\Psi_4$ , from the collapse of a uniformly rotating hypermassive neutron star with various values of the GB coupling. The time axis has been shifted to the gravitational wave peak, as in Fig. [7](#page-7-1).

biggest effect of changing the GB coupling here, as in the binary merger case above, is just the amplitude at which different quasinormal modes (including overtones) are excited, which could swamp a small effect on the frequency of the fundamental mode of the final black hole.

# V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

<span id="page-8-0"></span>We have used numerical evolutions of the full equations of ESGB gravity to study binary neutron star mergers, motivated by the fact that the smaller masses of such binaries, relative to black hole binaries, may probe modifications to GR at smaller curvature scales. We find that during the inspiral, there is scalar radiation, but its amplitude is suppressed due to the fact that neutron stars do not have scalar charge in this theory, and the impact on the gravitational wave signal is negligible. This is true even for values of the GB coupling up to values where there no longer exist black hole solutions with the same total mass. We note in passing that the scalar radiation may be enhanced if the stars become tidally perturbed: we found that it was significantly larger for stars that exhibited f-mode oscillations. Though here the excitation of the oscillations was an unphysical artifact of the initial conditions, in nature this can occur (for example) during close encounters in neutron star binaries with orbital eccentricity [\[62](#page-11-14)–[65\]](#page-11-15).

When the neutron stars merge, the effects due to the ESGB modifications of GR become more important. The GB curvature in the remnant star has a maximum magnitude that is only a factor of a few less than a black hole of the same mass, but since there is no horizon, it is peaked at the center of the star with negative value. This gives rise to a scalar field profile that is also peaked at the center of the star, and with opposite sign from a black hole. In the case of a longer-lived remnant star, the density oscillations of the star also cause oscillations in the scalar field and produce scalar radiation. At larger values of the GB coupling, there is a small decrease in the frequency of the postmerger oscillations, which in turn affects the phase of the postmerger gravitational waves.

In shift-symmetric ESGB, there is a minimum mass, in units of the coupling parameter, for stationary black hole solutions, and there have been attempts to use the putative observation of the smallest mass black holes to constrain the theory. It has been previously shown that from the perspective of evolution, starting with a vacuum black hole, or collapsing to a black hole with mass below this threshold leads to a breakdown in the hyperbolicity of the evolution equations [[40](#page-10-34),[66](#page-11-18)]. Here, we find evidence that something similar may happen in a hypermassive remnant star. In particular, we find that for a value of the GB coupling only ∼ 30% larger than the value that would exclude a black hole of the same mass, and that is still marginally consistent with observations, there is a strong nonlinear enhancement in the scalar field magnitude, and a breakdown in our numerical evolution. This is suggestive that we are near the strongcoupling regime where the ESGB evolution equations may become elliptic, though a more detailed analysis would be needed to establish this.

We also considered several cases where a black hole forms, both promptly following the merger of a binary neutron star, and by considering the collapse of a uniformly rotating hypermassive star, the latter of which approximates the delayed collapse of a remnant after the dissipation of differential rotation. In both cases, following the appearance of an apparent horizon, the scalar field on the horizon and the scalar charge at large distances grows and settles towards its final value on timescales of ∼ 0.5–1 ms. These cases also allow us to self-consistently study the effect of modifications to GR on the ringdown gravitational wave signal of newly formed black holes. Much attention has been focused on the change in the ringdown frequency of the final black hole in modified theories of gravity, since this is a simple quantity that can be calculated in perturbation theory without a detailed understanding of the merger dynamics in the modified theory. However, for the cases considered here, the frequency shift is small, and we find that the dominant effect is actually a change in the amplitude of the black hole perturbation that lead to the ringdown signal. This is an additional observational signature of modified gravity that can be potentially leveraged, but it also illustrates the complications in ringdown tests of GR that come from including all the ways in which the modifications will affect the ringdown signal. The gravity modification can shift the amplitude of the ringdown, including the relative amplitude at which different overtone modes are excited, impacting when the dominant quasinormal mode frequency can be cleanly extracted using a finite time interval following the peak of the gravitational wave signal, as well as potentially changing the mass and spin of the remnant black hole compared to GR.

Unfortunately, for binary neutron star mergers, the postmerger oscillations and, to an even greater degree, the ringdown of the final black hole are at kilohertz frequencies that are too high for current ground-based detectors to be very sensitive to. So directly observing this regime will likely require third generation detectors [\[67,](#page-11-19)[68\]](#page-11-20) or detectors that specifically target high frequencies [[69](#page-11-21)]. We defer a more detailed study of the detectability of the modified gravity effects we find here to future work. An important aspect of assessing this would be to determine how degenerate these effects are with different binary parameters, and how robust they are to different choices for the unknown neutron star equation of state.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Vasileios Paschalidis and Antonios Tsokaros for their assistance constructing the binary neutron star initial data used here. W. E. acknowledges support from an Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery grant. This research was supported in part by Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through the Department of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Science. Computational resources were provided by Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE) under Grant No. TG-PHY100053, as well as by Calcul Québec (www.calculquebec.ca) and Compute Canada (www.computecanada.ca), and the Symmetry cluster at Perimeter Institute. F. P. acknowledges support from NSF Grant No. PHY-2207286, the Simons Foundation, and the Canadian Institute For Advanced Research (CIFAR).

# APPENDIX: NUMERICAL RESOLUTION AND CONVERGENCE

For all of the binary neutron star merger cases considered in the main text, we perform simulations with six levels of adaptive mesh refinement where the finest level has a linear grid spacing of  $dx \approx 0.05M$ , and each successive level has a grid spacing that is twice as coarse. For the case with  $M =$  $\overline{3} M_{\odot}$  and  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \approx 0.89$  km, we also perform a convergence study with grid spacing that is  $4/3$  and  $\times 2/3$  as large. Unless otherwise stated, all results are from the highest resolution. In the top panel of Fig. [10](#page-9-0), we show how the canonical scalar field energy postmerger (as in the top panel of Fig. [5\)](#page-6-1) varies with resolution. There it can be seen that the difference in the amplitude of the first peak in all resolutions, and the timing and amplitude of subsequent

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

FIG. 10. Convergence results from simulations performed at three different resolutions. Top: The scalar field energy  $E_{SF}$  from<br>a binary neutron star merger with  $M = 3 M_{\odot}$  and a binary neutron star merger with  $M = 3 M_{\odot}$  and  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \approx 0.89$  km. Bottom: The L1 norm of the modified generalized harmonic constraint  $C<sup>a</sup>$  integrated over the domain from the collapse of an isolated hypermassive neutron star with  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}}$  = 0.44 km. The values have been scaled assuming second order convergence, though at early times the convergence is closer to first order.

peaks for the two highest resolutions, is small (e.g. compared to the nonlinear effects in Fig. [5](#page-6-1)), though there is some noticeable difference in the lowest resolution after the oscillation.

For the simulations of the collapse of isolated hypermassive stars, we assume axisymmetry, which makes the computational domain two-dimensional, and use seven levels of mesh refinement with  $dx \approx 0.01M$  on the finest level. We perform a resolution study for  $\sqrt{\alpha_{GB}} \approx 0.44$  km, running simulations with grid spacing 2 and  $\times$ 4/3 coarser. In the bottom panel of Fig. [10,](#page-9-0) we show the norm of the modified generalized harmonic constraint [\[24\]](#page-10-22),

$$
C^a := H^a - \tilde{g}^{bc} \nabla_b \nabla_c x^a, \tag{A1}
$$

integrated over the domain as a function of time for the three resolutions. Though at early times the order of convergence is closer to first order, presumably from scalar induced perturbations engaging the shock-capturing scheme, as the star collapses to a black hole and rings down, the convergence is consistent with approximately second order convergence (which is assumed in the scaling of the lower panel of Fig. [10\)](#page-9-0), as expected from our numerical scheme in the absence of shocks.

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[1] R. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific, Virgo Collaborations), Phys. Rev. D 103[, 122002 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.122002).
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[2] R. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific, Virgo, KAGRA Collaborations), [arXiv:2112.06861.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2112.06861)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>[3] S. Alexander and N. Yunes, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2009.07.002) 480, 1 (2009).
- <span id="page-10-4"></span><span id="page-10-3"></span>[4] G. W. Horndeski, [Int. J. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01807638) 10, 363 (1974).
- [5] S. Endlich, V. Gorbenko, J. Huang, and L. Senatore, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2017)122) [Energy Phys. 09 \(2017\) 122.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2017)122)
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>[6] C. de Rham, J. Francfort, and J. Zhang, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.024079) 102, [024079 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.024079)
- <span id="page-10-7"></span><span id="page-10-6"></span>[7] M. C. Miller and J. M. Miller, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2014.09.003) 548, 1 (2015).
- [8] T. A. Thompson et al., Science 366[, 637 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau4005).
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>[9] R. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific, Virgo Collaborations), [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab960f) 896, L44 (2020).
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>[10] M. Zevin, M. Spera, C.P.L. Berry, and V. Kalogera, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aba74e) 899, L1 (2020).
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>[11] T. Damour and G. Esposito-Farese, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.54.1474) 54, 1474 [\(1996\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.54.1474)
- <span id="page-10-12"></span><span id="page-10-11"></span>[12] C. M. Will, [Living Rev. Relativity](https://doi.org/10.12942/lrr-2014-4) 17, 4 (2014).
- [13] L. ter Haar, M. Bezares, M. Crisostomi, E. Barausse, and C. Palenzuela, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126[, 091102 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.091102).
- [14] M. Bezares, L. ter Haar, M. Crisostomi, E. Barausse, and C. Palenzuela, Phys. Rev. D 104[, 044022 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.044022)
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>[15] M. Bezares, R. Aguilera-Miret, L. ter Haar, M. Crisostomi, C. Palenzuela, and E. Barausse, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.091103) 128, [091103 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.091103)
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>[16] T. Damour and G. Esposito-Farese, [Classical Quantum](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/9/9/015) Gravity 9[, 2093 \(1992\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/9/9/015)
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>[17] T. Damour and G. Esposito-Farese, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.2220) **70**, [2220 \(1993\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.2220).
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>[18] E. Barausse, C. Palenzuela, M. Ponce, and L. Lehner, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.081506) Rev. D 87[, 081506 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.081506).
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>[19] C. Palenzuela, E. Barausse, M. Ponce, and L. Lehner, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.044024) Rev. D 89[, 044024 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.044024).
- <span id="page-10-19"></span><span id="page-10-18"></span>[20] J. Antoniadis *et al.*, Science 340[, 6131 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1233232).
- [21] F. M. Ramazanoğlu and F. Pretorius, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.064005) 93, [064005 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.064005)
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>[22] A. D. Kovacs and H. S. Reall, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.221101)* **124**, 221101 [\(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.221101)
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>[23] A. D. Kovacs and H. S. Reall, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.124003) 101, 124003 [\(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.124003)
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>[24] W. E. East and J. L. Ripley, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**[, 044040 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.044040)
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>[25] J. L. Ripley, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. D](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271822300178) 31, 2230017 (2022).
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>[26] A. H. K. R., E. R. Most, J. Noronha, H. Witek, and N. Yunes, Phys. Rev. D 105[, 064041 \(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.064041).
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>[27] R. Benkel, T. P. Sotiriou, and H. Witek, [Classical Quantum](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aa5ce7) Gravity 34[, 064001 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aa5ce7)
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>[28] R. Nair, S. Perkins, H. O. Silva, and N. Yunes, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.191101) Lett. 123[, 191101 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.191101).
- <span id="page-10-37"></span>[29] S. E. Perkins, R. Nair, H. O. Silva, and N. Yunes, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.024060) D 104[, 024060 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.024060)
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>[30] Z. Lyu, N. Jiang, and K. Yagi, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.064001) 105, 064001 [\(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.064001)
- <span id="page-10-29"></span><span id="page-10-28"></span>[31] A. Saffer and K. Yagi, Phys. Rev. D 104[, 124052 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.124052).
- [32] K. Yagi, L. C. Stein, N. Yunes, and T. Tanaka, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.064022) 85[, 064022 \(2012\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.064022) 93[, 029902\(E\) \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.029902).
- <span id="page-10-38"></span>[33] H. Witek, L. Gualtieri, P. Pani, and T. P. Sotiriou, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.064035) D 99[, 064035 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.064035).
- [34] H. O. Silva, H. Witek, M. Elley, and N. Yunes, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.031101) Lett. 127[, 031101 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.031101).
- <span id="page-10-31"></span>[35] M. Okounkova, Phys. Rev. D 102[, 084046 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.084046).
- [36] W. E. East and J. L. Ripley, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.101102) 127, 101102 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.101102)
- [37] B. Shiralilou, T. Hinderer, S. Nissanke, N. Ortiz, and H. Witek, Phys. Rev. D 103[, L121503 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.L121503).
- <span id="page-10-30"></span>[38] B. Shiralilou, T. Hinderer, S. Nissanke, N. Ortiz, and H. Witek, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ac4196) 39, 035002 (2022).
- <span id="page-10-32"></span>[39] J. L. Ripley and F. Pretorius, Phys. Rev. D 99[, 084014 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.084014)
- <span id="page-10-34"></span>[40] J. L. Ripley and F. Pretorius, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ab2416) 36, [134001 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ab2416)
- [41] J. L. Ripley and F. Pretorius, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ab9bbb) 37, [155003 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ab9bbb)
- <span id="page-10-33"></span>[42] N. Franchini, M. Bezares, E. Barausse, and L. Lehner, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.064061) Rev. D 106[, 064061 \(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.064061).
- <span id="page-10-35"></span>[43] T.P. Sotiriou and S.-Y. Zhou, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.124063) 90, 124063 [\(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.124063)
- <span id="page-10-36"></span>[44] J. F. Delgado, C. A. Herdeiro, and E. Radu, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2020)180) [Phys. 04 \(2020\) 180.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2020)180)
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>[45] L. Lindblom and B. Szilagyi, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.084019) 80, 084019 [\(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.084019)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>[46] M. W. Choptuik and F. Pretorius, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.111101) 104, [111101 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.111101)
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>[47] W. E. East, F. Pretorius, and B. C. Stephens, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.124010) 85[, 124010 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.124010)
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>[48] W. E. East, V. Paschalidis, F. Pretorius, and A. Tsokaros, Phys. Rev. D 100[, 124042 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.124042).
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>[49] A. Tsokaros, K. Uryu, and L. Rezzolla, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.104030) 91, [104030 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.104030)
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>[50] A. Tsokaros, K. Uryu, M. Ruiz, and S. L. Shapiro, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.124019) Rev. D 98[, 124019 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.124019).
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>[51] S. Banik, M. Hempel, and D. Bandyopadhyay, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/214/2/22) Suppl. Ser. 214[, 22 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/214/2/22).
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>[52] N. Stergioulas and J. L. Friedman, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/175605) 444, 306 [\(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1086/175605)
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>[53] L. Engvik, G. Bao, M. Hjorth-Jensen, E. Osnes, and E. Ostgaard, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/177827) 469, 794 (1996).
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>[54] J. S. Read, B. D. Lackey, B. J. Owen, and J. L. Friedman, Phys. Rev. D 79[, 124032 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.124032).
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>[55] T. Zhang, J. Smetana, Y. Chen, J. Bentley, D. Martynov, H. Miao, W. E. East, and H. Yang, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.044063) 103, 044063 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.044063)
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>[56] J. L. Blázquez-Salcedo, C. F. B. Macedo, V. Cardoso, V. Ferrari, L. Gualtieri, F. S. Khoo, J. Kunz, and P. Pani, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.104024) Rev. D 94[, 104024 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.104024).
- [57] L. Pierini and L. Gualtieri, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.124017) 103, 124017 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.124017)
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>[58] L. Pierini and L. Gualtieri, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.104009) 106, 104009 [\(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.104009)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>[59] K. Hotokezaka, K. Kiuchi, K. Kyutoku, T. Muranushi, Y.-i. Sekiguchi, M. Shibata, and K. Taniguchi, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.044026) 88, [044026 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.044026)
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>[60] C. A. Raithel and V. Paschalidis, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.023015) 106, 023015 [\(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.023015)
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>[61] L. Barsotti, P. Fritschel, M. Evans, and S. Gras, Updated Advanced LIGO sensitivity design curve (2018), [https://dcc](https://dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-T1800044/public) [.ligo.org/LIGO-T1800044/public.](https://dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-T1800044/public)
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>[62] M. Turner, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/155536) **216**, 914 (1977).
- [63] R. Gold, S. Bernuzzi, M. Thierfelder, B. Brugmann, and F. Pretorius, Phys. Rev. D 86[, 121501 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.121501).
- [64] W. E. East, F. Pretorius, and B. C. Stephens, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.124009) 85[, 124009 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.124009)
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>[65] W. E. East and F. Pretorius, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/760/1/L4) 760, L4 [\(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/760/1/L4)
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>[66] J. L. Ripley and F. Pretorius, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.044015) 101, 044015 [\(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.044015)
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>[67] S. Hild et al., [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/28/9/094013) 28, 094013 [\(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/28/9/094013)
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>[68] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration), [Classical](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aa51f4) [Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aa51f4) 34, 044001 (2017).
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>[69] D. Martynov et al., Phys. Rev. D 99[, 102004 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.102004)