

## Geometry-kinematics duality

Clifford Cheung, Andreas Helset<sup>✉</sup>, and Julio Parra-Martinez<sup>✉</sup>Walter Burke Institute for Theoretical Physics, California Institute of Technology,  
Pasadena, California 91125, USA
 (Received 25 February 2022; accepted 4 August 2022; published 15 August 2022)

We propose a mapping between geometry and kinematics that implies the classical equivalence of any theory of massless bosons—including spin and exhibiting arbitrary derivative or potential interactions—to a nonlinear sigma model (NLSM) with a momentum-dependent metric in field space. From this kinematic metric we construct a corresponding kinematic connection, covariant derivative, and curvature, all of which transform appropriately under general field redefinitions, even including derivatives. We show explicitly how all tree-level on-shell scattering amplitudes of massless bosons are equal to those of the NLSM via the replacement of geometry with kinematics. Lastly, we describe how the recently introduced geometric soft theorem of the NLSM, which universally encodes all leading and subleading soft scalar theorems, also captures the soft photon theorems.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.106.045016](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.045016)

### I. INTRODUCTION

Color-kinematics duality is an extraordinary structure relating the on-shell scattering amplitudes of gauge theory and gravity [1–3]. Mechanically, the former is mapped to the latter by promoting color structure constants  $f^{abc}$  to momentum-dependent functions that conform to identical antisymmetry properties and Jacobi identities. Hence, gravity is simply a gauge theory for which color has been substituted for kinematics.

In this paper, we argue that the classical dynamics of *any theory of massless bosons* is similarly obtained via a mapping of *geometry to kinematics*. Our starting point is the nonlinear sigma model (NLSM), which defines scalar fields as coordinates on an internal field-space manifold endowed with a metric and a well-known apparatus of geometrical structures [4–18]. The dynamics of the NLSM are then specified by the field-space metric and its derivatives at the vacuum,  $g_{ij}$ ,  $g_{ij,k}$ ,  $g_{ij,kl}$ , etc. By uplifting these metric coefficients to momentum-dependent functions, we obtain a kinematic metric that defines a generalized field-space geometry, simultaneously encoding flavor, spin, and momentum all at once. We then construct the kinematic analogs of the connection, covariant derivative, and curvature, which transform covariantly under arbitrary field redefinitions. From this perspective, all massless bosons—e.g., in higher-derivative scalar theories,

$\phi^3$  theory, and Yang-Mills (YM) theory—are described by an NLSM for a concrete, calculable choice of momentum-dependent metric. Our results imply the invariance of tree-level on-shell scattering amplitudes under arbitrary field redefinitions, even including derivatives of fields.

A powerful corollary of this geometry-kinematics duality is that any tree-level on-shell scattering amplitude of massless bosons is directly obtained from an NLSM amplitude after a suitable replacement of geometry with kinematics. This rewriting of the amplitude is field-redefinition invariant term by term, though on occasion at the expense of manifest locality. Furthermore, under this substitution, the geometric soft theorem for any massless scalar [19]—which generalizes the Adler zero [20] and dilaton soft theorems [21–24]—also encodes the leading and subleading soft photon theorems [25–28].

### II. GEOMETRY OF FIELD SPACE

The NLSM is a general two-derivative theory of scalar fields  $\phi^i$  described by

$$L = -\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(\phi)\partial\phi^i\partial\phi^j, \quad (1)$$

where the spacetime metric is in mostly plus signature. The field-space metric expanded about the vacuum is

$$g_{ij}(\phi) = g_{ij} + g_{ij,k}\phi^k + \frac{1}{2}g_{ij,kl}\phi^k\phi^l + \dots, \quad (2)$$

where hereafter any quantity without an explicit  $\phi$  argument is to be evaluated at the vacuum.

---

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

On-shell scattering amplitudes are invariant under changes of field basis whereby  $\phi^i$  is rewritten in terms of  $\tilde{\phi}^i$  through the mapping  $\phi^i(\tilde{\phi})$ . The Lagrangian becomes  $L = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}_{ij}(\tilde{\phi})\partial\tilde{\phi}^i\partial\tilde{\phi}^j$ , so the metric is a tensor that transforms as  $\tilde{g}_{ij}(\tilde{\phi}) = \frac{\partial\phi^k}{\partial\tilde{\phi}^i}\frac{\partial\phi^l}{\partial\tilde{\phi}^j}g_{kl}(\phi)$ . In turn,  $g_{ij}(\phi)$  is associated with a menagerie of geometric objects, such as the connection  $\Gamma_{ijk}(\phi)$ , curvature  $R_{ijkl}(\phi)$ , and so on.

Since on-shell scattering amplitudes of the NLSM are field-basis independent, they depend solely on geometric invariants, so e.g., at four- and five-point they are [19]

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ijkl} &= R_{ijkl}u + R_{ikjl}s, \\ A_{ijklm} &= \nabla_k R_{iljm}s_{45} + \nabla_l R_{ikjm}s_{35} + \nabla_l R_{ijkm}s_{25} \\ &\quad + \nabla_m R_{ikjl}s_{34} + \nabla_m R_{ijkl}(s_{24} + s_{45}), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $s_{ij} = -(p_i + p_j)^2$  and  $s, t, u = s_{12}, s_{23}, s_{31}$ . Hereafter, indices in lexicographical order  $i, j, k$ , etc., will denote the flavors of the corresponding legs, 1, 2, 3, etc.

### III. GEOMETRY OF KINEMATICS

Consider a single scalar with arbitrary interactions. Despite the absence of flavor, there exists a notion of kinematic geometry in which *momentum* plays the role of the index. Concretely, we map the flavor multiplet of the NLSM to a single scalar,

$$\phi^i \rightarrow \phi(p). \quad (4)$$

Under this replacement, the internal field-space metric is mapped to the momentum-dependent kinematic metric,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} &\rightarrow g(p_1, p_2)\delta(p_{12}) \\ g_{ij,k} &\rightarrow g(p_1, p_2|p_3)\delta(p_{123}) \\ g_{ij,kl} &\rightarrow g(p_1, p_2|p_3, p_4)\delta(p_{1234}), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and so on, where  $p_{1\dots n} = p_1 + \dots + p_n$ . Note that the only constraint on the functions on the right-hand sides is that they are separately permutation invariant under exchange of 1 and 2, and under exchange of 3, 4, ...,  $n$ . This ensures that the kinematic metric has the same symmetry properties as the usual metric on field space.

For later convenience, we canonically normalize the kinetic terms. This condition in the NLSM maps to  $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \rightarrow g(p_1, p_2) = 1$ . Meanwhile, any sum over an internal field-space index maps to an integral over momentum,  $\sum_i \rightarrow \int_p = \int \frac{d^D p}{(2\pi)^D}$ . Together, these imply that the field with lowered indices maps to  $\phi_i = g_{ij}\phi^j \rightarrow \phi(-p)$ , so raised and lowered indices correspond to incoming and outgoing momenta. We will refer to the above replacements as the geometry-kinematics mapping or duality.

Applying this replacement to the *Lagrangian* for the NLSM in Eq. (1), we obtain the *action* for a single scalar expressed in momentum space,

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{p_1, p_2} (p_1 \cdot p_2) \phi(p_1) \phi(p_2) \left[ \delta(p_{12}) \right. \\ &\quad + \int_{p_3} g(p_1, p_2|p_3) \delta(p_{123}) \phi(p_3) \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \int_{p_3, p_4} g(p_1, p_2|p_3, p_4) \phi(p_3) \phi(p_4) \delta(p_{1234}) + \dots \right], \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

which actually has sufficient freedom to parametrize arbitrary higher-derivative and potential interactions. As we will see, one simply compares the action for a given scalar theory directly to Eq. (6), reading off the kinematic metric coefficients in Eq. (5) by inspection. We will implement this procedure in numerous examples later on.

By design, this setup reduces to the usual NLSM whenever the metric coefficients in Eq. (5) are constant. Furthermore, from Eq. (5) we see that geometry-kinematics duality maps the full metric  $g_{ij}(\phi)$  in Eq. (2), which is a *function* of the fields, to a kinematic metric that is a *functional* of the fields.

### IV. INVARIANTS AND FIELD REDEFINITIONS

To calculate the kinematic analog of a given geometric invariant, we express the invariant in the NLSM in terms of the field-space metric and its derivatives and then apply the geometry-kinematics replacement. For example, the NLSM connection is  $\Gamma_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2}[g_{ij,k} + g_{ik,j} - g_{jk,i}]$ , which maps to  $\Gamma_{ijk} \rightarrow \Gamma(p_1, p_2, p_3)\delta(p_{123})$  for

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(p_1, p_2, p_3) &= \frac{1}{2}[g(p_1, p_2|p_3) + g(p_1, p_3|p_2) - g(p_2, p_3|p_1)]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Similarly, the NLSM curvature is expressed in terms of the metric as  $R_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2}[g_{il,jk} + g_{jk,il} - g_{ik,jl} - g_{jl,ik}] + \dots$ , which maps to  $R_{ijkl} \rightarrow R(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)\delta(p_{1234})$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} R(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) &= \frac{1}{2}[g(p_1, p_4|p_2, p_3) + g(p_2, p_3|p_1, p_4) \dots]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Here the sums over internal indices map to momentum integrals, so for example a term like  $g_{ik,m}g^{mn}g_{nj,l}$  is sent to  $g(p_1, p_3|-p_{13})g(-p_{24}, p_2|p_4)\delta(p_{1234})$ , and so on. Higher-point geometric objects like  $\nabla_i R_{ijklm}$  are similarly calculated by expanding explicitly in terms of the metric and then applying the geometry-kinematics replacement.

The kinematic curvature in Eq. (8) transforms as a tensor—even for *off-shell* momenta—under the kinematic generalization of a change of coordinates. Indeed, the geometry-kinematics replacement sends an arbitrary NLSM field redefinition,  $\phi^i = \tilde{\phi}^i + \frac{1}{2}c^i_{jk}\tilde{\phi}^j\tilde{\phi}^k + \dots$ , to

$$\phi(p_1) = \tilde{\phi}(p_1) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{p_2} c(p_1, -p_2, p_{12}) \tilde{\phi}(p_2) \tilde{\phi}(-p_{12}) + \dots, \quad (9)$$

where the functions on the right-hand side are arbitrary. The NLSM Jacobian,  $\frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial \tilde{\phi}^j} = \delta^i_j + c^i_{jk}\tilde{\phi}^k + \dots$ , maps to

$$\frac{\partial \phi(p_1)}{\partial \tilde{\phi}(p_2)} = \delta(p_1 - p_2) + c(p_1, -p_2, p_{12}) \tilde{\phi}(-p_{12}) + \dots. \quad (10)$$

The astute reader will notice that the transformation in Eq. (9) is literally the momentum-space expression of a field redefinition of the single scalar allowing for *derivatives*. For example, the specific transformation  $\phi = \tilde{\phi} + \frac{1}{2}c_3\partial\tilde{\phi}\partial\tilde{\phi} + \frac{1}{6}c_4\tilde{\phi}\partial\tilde{\phi}\partial\tilde{\phi} + \dots$  is obtained from  $c(p_1, p_2, p_3) = -c_3(p_2 \cdot p_3)$ ,  $c(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = -\frac{1}{3}c_4[(p_2 \cdot p_3) + (p_3 \cdot p_4) + (p_4 \cdot p_2)]$ , and so on. The kinematic curvature is a tensor under an arbitrary derivative field redefinition, i.e., for any choice of functions in Eq. (9).

In summary, kinematic geometry is formally identical to the standard geometry in the NLSM, albeit with regular derivatives with respect to a constant scalar background effectively replaced with functional derivatives.

## V. SCALAR THEORY

Let us now examine some examples, starting with the case of a single scalar field.

### A. Nambu-Goldstone boson theory

Consider a derivatively coupled scalar field described by the Lagrangian,

$$L = -\frac{1}{2} \partial\phi\partial\phi \left[ 1 - \frac{\lambda_4}{4} \partial\phi\partial\phi + \frac{\lambda_6}{8} (\partial\phi\partial\phi)^2 + \dots \right], \quad (11)$$

where  $\lambda_4 = \lambda_6 = 1$  corresponds to Dirac-Born-Infeld theory. Equation (11) matches Eq. (6) for the choice of metric,

$$g(p_1, p_2 | p_3, p_4) = \frac{\lambda_4}{2} (p_3 \cdot p_4),$$

$$g(p_1, p_2 | p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6) = \lambda_6 [(p_3 \cdot p_4)(p_5 \cdot p_6) + \dots]. \quad (12)$$

The corresponding kinematic curvature is

$$R(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = -\frac{\lambda_4}{4} (t - u), \quad (13)$$

evaluated here at four-point on-shell kinematics. As required, this object has the requisite symmetry properties,  $R(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = -R(p_2, p_1, p_3, p_4) = R(p_3, p_4, p_1, p_2)$  and satisfies the first Bianchi identity,  $R(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) + R(p_2, p_3, p_1, p_4) + R(p_3, p_1, p_2, p_4) = 0$ .

Plugging Eq. (13) into the four-point amplitude for the NLSM in Eq. (3), we obtain the four-point amplitude:

$$A(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = \frac{\lambda_4}{4} (s^2 + t^2 + u^2), \quad (14)$$

which exactly matches the answer computed directly from Eq. (11). By computing the six-point kinematic geometric invariants—which automatically satisfy the first and second Bianchi identities—and inserting them into the corresponding NLSM six-point amplitude in [19], we also obtain the correct six-point answer.

We have also verified that the four- and six-point kinematic curvature invariants are invariant under derivative field redefinitions, even for off-shell kinematics. So while NLSM amplitudes must be on shell in order to be expressed solely in terms of curvature invariants, as in Eq. (3), the curvature invariants themselves can be evaluated off shell, and as advertised, they still satisfy all properties required of true geometric invariants.

### B. Higher-derivative theory

Our analysis generalizes to theories with even higher-derivative interactions. For example, consider such a theory defined by

$$L = -\frac{1}{2} \partial\phi\partial\phi \left[ 1 - \frac{\lambda_4}{6} ([\Pi]^2 - [\Pi^2]) + \frac{\lambda_6}{120} ([\Pi]^4 - 6[\Pi]^2[\Pi^2] + 3[\Pi^2]^2 + 8[\Pi][\Pi^3] - 6[\Pi^4]) \right], \quad (15)$$

where  $\Pi_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\partial_\nu\phi$  and the brackets indicate traces over Lorentz indices. Here  $\lambda_4 = \lambda_6 = 1$  defines the special Galileon theory [29,30]. The kinematic metric is

$$g(p_1, p_2 | p_3, p_4) = \frac{\lambda_4}{3} (p_3 \cdot p_4)^2, \quad (16)$$

and kinematic curvature for on-shell kinematics is

$$R(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = \frac{\lambda_4}{12} (t^2 - u^2), \quad (17)$$

which again satisfies all appropriate symmetry properties. Inserting the kinematic curvature into Eq. (3) yields

$$A(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = -\frac{\lambda_4}{4} stu, \quad (18)$$

which is the correct four-point amplitude.

In order to define the kinematic geometry of a theory, a choice must be made for the initial metric. Curiously, this choice is in general ambiguous. For example, consider the six-point operator  $(\partial\phi)^2[\Pi^2]^2$  in Eq. (15), which is obtained from Eq. (6) for the kinematic metric

$$g(p_1, p_2|p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6) \sim (p_3 \cdot p_4)^2 (p_5 \cdot p_6)^2 + \dots, \quad (19)$$

or, alternatively, for the choice

$$\begin{aligned} g'(p_1, p_2|p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6) \\ \sim (p_1 \cdot p_2)[(p_3 \cdot p_4)^2 (p_5 \cdot p_6) + \dots]. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Inserted into Eq. (6),  $g$  and  $g'$  produce the same Lagrangian. Their difference arises only because in each metric we have chosen to associate a different pair of fields to the momenta  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  in Eq. (6). Strangely,  $g$  and  $g'$  induce curvature six-point invariants,  $\nabla^2 R$  and  $\nabla'^2 R'$ , which are *not equal*. Despite this, both choices reproduce the correct on-shell six-point amplitude. Furthermore, applying a field redefinition to either  $g$  or  $g'$  leaves their respective curvature invariants  $\nabla^2 R$  and  $\nabla'^2 R'$  unchanged, albeit still unequal to each other. Yet another ambiguity arises from total derivatives, which evaporate from observables but can still contribute to the kinematic metric. Let us return to these issues shortly.

### C. Potential theory

Rather surprisingly, our formalism can be applied to a theory with an arbitrary potential even though the NLSM has intrinsic derivative interactions. In particular, observe that the theory

$$L = -\frac{1}{2} \partial\phi\partial\phi - V(\phi) \quad (21)$$

corresponds to Eq. (6) with the kinematic metric,

$$g(p_1, p_2|p_3, \dots, p_n) = -\frac{2}{p_1 \cdot p_2} \frac{(n-2)!}{n!} V_{(n)}, \quad (22)$$

where  $V_{(n)}$  is the  $n$ th derivative of the potential. The factors of  $\frac{1}{p_1 \cdot p_2}$  have the sole purpose of canceling the momenta that appear in the very definition of the NLSM. The corresponding kinematic curvature is

$$R(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = V_{(3)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{1}{u^2} \right) + \frac{V_{(4)}}{3} \left( \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{u} \right), \quad (23)$$

which inserted into Eq. (3) correctly yields

$$A(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = -V_{(3)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{u} \right) - V_{(4)}. \quad (24)$$

We have verified explicitly that the resulting five- and six-point amplitudes are also correct.

The above result is somewhat miraculous because the amplitudes in the NLSM have a *strict subset* of the factorization channels that appear in the theory defined in Eq. (21). In particular, the NLSM amplitudes have no factorization channels involving three-point subdiagrams. Nevertheless, the nonlocal momentum dependence in Eq. (22) enters precisely in a way that automatically regenerates all three-point factorization channels.

Note that the on-shell three-point amplitude itself is slightly subtle in this construction—it technically vanishes in the NLSM since  $A_{ijk} \sim g_{ij,k}(p_1 \cdot p_2) + \dots$  and all dot products of momenta vanish for three-point on-shell kinematics. However, the metric in Eq. (22) has a denominator that similarly vanishes in such a way that the resulting three-point amplitude is actually nonzero.

### D. Arbitrary theory

There exist some features universal to any massless scalar theory. For example, observe that Eq. (13), Eq. (17), and Eq. (23) take the form

$$R(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \sim t^\rho - u^\rho, \quad (25)$$

where  $\rho$  is the parameter defined in [29,31,32] which quantifies the numbers of derivatives per interaction. The above expression for the kinematic curvature is actually universal, since it is the unique function with the appropriate symmetries that satisfies the Bianchi identity.

For any massless scalar theory it is also possible to explicitly construct a kinematic metric directly from its Feynman rules. Inspired by Eq. (22), we define

$$g(p_1, p_2|p_3, \dots, p_n) = \frac{2}{p_1 \cdot p_2} \frac{(n-2)!}{n!} F(p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n), \quad (26)$$

where  $F$  is the  $n$ -point Feynman vertex. This choice of kinematic metric is properly permutation invariant and eliminates the ambiguities arising from metric choice and total derivatives mentioned previously.

Amusingly, there is an alternative choice of coordinates—the kinematic analog of Riemann normal coordinates—defined through the on-shell scattering amplitudes themselves. In particular, consider

$$\begin{aligned} g(p_1, p_2|p_3) &= 0 \\ g(p_1, p_2|p_3, p_4) &= \frac{1}{6(p_1 \cdot p_2)} A(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \\ g(p_1, p_2|p_3, p_4, p_5) &= \frac{1}{10(p_1 \cdot p_2)} A(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$



which generates kinematic curvature invariants such as

$$R(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{t} \right) A(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4), \quad (28)$$

and so on. Inserting these geometric invariants into Eq. (3), we obtain exactly the four- and five-point amplitudes input into the metric at the start. Note that the extension of this claim to six-point and beyond is more subtle, since it depends on the precise off-shell continuation of the four-point amplitude.

## VI. GAUGE THEORY AND GRAVITY

Our approach generalizes to any theory of massless bosons of arbitrary spin. For example, consider the geometry-kinematics replacement that maps the scalar of the NLSM to the gauge boson of YM theory,  $\phi^i \rightarrow A_\mu^a(p)$ , together with

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} &\rightarrow g_{ab}^{\mu\nu}(p_1, p_2)\delta(p_{12}) \\ g_{ij,k} &\rightarrow g_{ab|c}^{\mu\nu\rho}(p_1, p_2|p_3)\delta(p_{123}) \\ g_{ij,kl} &\rightarrow g_{ab|cd}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(p_1, p_2|p_3, p_4)\delta(p_{1234}), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

and so on. The only restriction on the form factors on the right-hand side is that they are symmetric under swapping the momenta simultaneously with the color and Lorentz indices for legs 1 and 2, and similarly for legs 3, 4, ...,  $n$ . Working in Feynman gauge, the kinetic term fixes  $g_{ab}^{\mu\nu}(p_1, p_2) = \delta_{ab}\eta^{\mu\nu}$ . Meanwhile, the internal sums map to  $\sum_i \rightarrow \sum_a \sum_\mu \int_p$ , and so the field with lowered indices is simply  $\phi_i \rightarrow A_a^\mu(-p)$ . Applying this geometry-kinematics replacement to the NLSM Lagrangian in Eq. (1), we obtain the action

$$\begin{aligned} S = \frac{1}{2} \int_{p_1, p_2} (p_1 \cdot p_2) A_\mu^a(p_1) A_\nu^b(p_2) &\left[ \delta_{ab}\eta^{\mu\nu}\delta(p_{12}) \right. \\ &\left. + \int_{p_3} g_{ab|c}^{\mu\nu\rho}(p_1, p_2|p_3)\delta(p_{123}) A_\rho^c(p_3) + \dots \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Meanwhile, YM theory in Feynman gauge is defined by

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_a^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial A^a \partial A_a, \quad (31)$$

which corresponds to Eq. (30) for the choice of metric,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ab|c}^{\mu\nu\rho}(p_1, p_2|p_3) &= -\frac{if_{abc}}{p_1 \cdot p_2} (p_3^\mu \eta^{\nu\rho} - p_3^\nu \eta^{\mu\rho}) \\ g_{ab|cd}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(p_1, p_2|p_3, p_4) &= -\frac{f_{abe} f_{cd}^e}{2(p_1 \cdot p_2)} (\eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma} - \eta^{\mu\sigma} \eta^{\nu\rho}). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Computing kinematic curvature invariants and inserting them into the four- and five-point NLSM amplitudes in Eq. (3), we correctly obtain those of YM theory.

While the resulting amplitudes are of course gauge invariant, the kinematic curvature invariants which enter into them are not. This is unsurprising, since a generic diagrammatic expansion of the amplitude—for example the usual color-kinematics decomposition into kinematic numerators—is also not in general manifestly gauge invariant. This implies that to the extent to which the kinematic metric parametrizes a bona fide, underlying manifold, gauge-field configurations that are gauge equivalent in fact label distinct points with different local curvatures. We leave for future study whether there exists a choice of kinematic metric that is gauge invariant.

The above procedure also applies to gravity. We simply promote the scalar of the NLSM to the graviton via  $\phi^i \rightarrow h_{\mu\nu}(p)$ , with the kinematic metric given by

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} &\rightarrow g^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(p_1, p_2)\delta(p_{12}) \\ g_{ij,k} &\rightarrow g^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma|\alpha\beta}(p_1, p_2|p_3)\delta(p_{123}) \\ g_{ij,kl} &\rightarrow g^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma|\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(p_1, p_2|p_3, p_4)\delta(p_{1234}), \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

and so on. Here it is convenient to choose deDonder gauge, where the kinetic term, i.e., inverse propagator numerator, is  $g^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(p_1, p_2) = \frac{1}{2}(\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma} + \eta^{\mu\sigma}\eta^{\nu\rho} - \eta^{\mu\nu}\eta^{\rho\sigma})$ . Meanwhile, internal summations are mapped to  $\sum_i \rightarrow \sum_\mu \sum_\nu \int_p$ , while lowering indices corresponds to sending incoming momenta to outgoing while contracting with a tensor,  $\phi_i \rightarrow g^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} h_{\rho\sigma}(-p)$ . Applying this geometry-kinematics replacement to Eq. (1), one obtains the obvious generalization of Eq. (6) and Eq. (30) to gravity.

Our construction applies even more generally to any theory of multiple boson species of diverse flavors, spins, and interactions. In particular, all tensorial manipulations in the NLSM transfer wholesale to a general theory, i.e., the internal index  $i$  is promoted to an array of quantum numbers labeling momenta, color, and spin Lorentz indices  $(p, a, \mu, \dots)$ . An explicit kinematic metric can always be computed directly from the Feynman vertices in the obvious generalization of Eq. (26). Thus, a geometric organization of the amplitudes can be achieved for a general theory of massless bosons, as we will elaborate on further in [33].

## VII. SOFT THEOREMS

On-shell scattering amplitudes in the NLSM obey a universal soft theorem based on the geometry of field space [19]. The soft limit of the tree-level  $n$ -point amplitude is related to  $(n-1)$ -point via

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{p_n \rightarrow 0} A_{i_1 \dots i_n} &= \nabla_{i_n} A_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}} \\ &= \partial_{i_n} A_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}} - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \Gamma^j_{i_n j \alpha} A_{i_1 \dots j \dots i_{n-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

hereafter dropping all terms of order  $\mathcal{O}(p_n)$ . Note that the partial derivative acts with respect to the vacuum expectation value of the field, so  $\partial_{i_n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \langle \phi^{i_n} \rangle}$ . Equation (34) has the physical interpretation that the soft limit simply shifts the vacuum expectation value of the corresponding background scalar field. Note that Eq. (34) automatically incorporates any cubic potential terms implicitly through the covariant derivative, provided the potential is included in the metric as in Eq. (22).

Now consider the Lagrangian for scalar quantum electrodynamics,  $L = -|D\phi|^2$  where  $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - iA_\mu$ . The photon couples to a pair of complex scalars via the metric

$$g_{\phi\bar{\phi}}^p(p_1, p_2 | p_3) = -g_{\phi\bar{\phi}}^{\bar{p}}(p_1, p_2 | p_3) = \frac{1}{p_1 \cdot p_2} (p_1 - p_2)^\rho. \quad (35)$$

We now compute the corresponding kinematic connection and insert it into Eq. (34), taking the leg  $n$  to be a photon and legs  $1, \dots, n-1$  to be scalars. For example, this maps

$$-\Gamma^j_{i_n j \alpha} A_{i_1 \dots j \dots i_{n-1}} \rightarrow q_\alpha \frac{e_n \cdot p_\alpha}{p_n \cdot p_\alpha} \left( 1 + p_n \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\alpha} \right) A, \quad (36)$$

where  $e_n$  is the polarization vector of the soft particle and  $p_\alpha$  and  $q_\alpha = \pm 1$  are the momentum and charge of the hard leg, respectively. Here  $p_n \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\alpha}$  appears because the contraction with the connection shifts the momentum  $p_\alpha$  in the lower-point amplitude to  $p_\alpha + p_n$ . Meanwhile, the partial derivative with respect to a background scalar in Eq. (34) maps to one with respect to a background photon,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \langle \phi^{i_n} \rangle} \rightarrow e_n^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \langle A_\mu \rangle} = - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} q_\alpha e_n \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\alpha}, \quad (37)$$

where we have used that the background photon is a connection, and thus couples universally to momenta. Thus, the covariant derivative of the NLSM maps to the leading and subleading soft photon theorems [25–28],

$$\nabla_i \rightarrow \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{p_n \cdot p_\alpha} [e_n \cdot p_\alpha + e_n \cdot J_\alpha \cdot p_n], \quad (38)$$

where  $J_\alpha^{\mu\nu} = p_\alpha^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\alpha^\nu} - p_\alpha^\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\alpha^\mu}$  is the angular momentum operator acting on a hard leg. The generalization to soft gluons [34–36] and gravitons [26,27,36–39] will be explored in detail in [33].

## VIII. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that an arbitrary theory of massless bosons is classically equivalent to an NLSM with an internal field-space metric which is momentum dependent. The resulting kinematic metric induces a corresponding geometrical structure, wherein the associated kinematic curvatures are tensors under general field redefinitions. Armed with these geometric objects, we trivially obtain any tree amplitude of massless bosons from those of the NLSM by a replacement of geometry for kinematics.

Our analysis has focused solely on tree-level dynamics, but geometry-kinematics duality should also apply to loops in the very same way as does color-kinematics duality. In particular, any loop integrand constructed via generalized unitarity from tree amplitudes will be manifestly a function of loop momentum-dependent kinematic curvature invariants. Upon integration, the resulting amplitudes will agree with standard methods. However, like in color-kinematics duality, the geometry-kinematics replacement must be applied at integrand level and not postintegration.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

C. C., A. H., and J. P.-M. are supported by the DOE under Grant No. DE-SC0011632 and by the Walter Burke Institute for Theoretical Physics. We are grateful to Zvi Bern, Enrico Herrmann, James Mangan, Aneesh Manohar, and Ira Rothstein for comments on the draft.

*Note added.*—Recently, we learned of interesting concurrent work by Craig *et al.* [40], which proposes a related but different notion of functional geometry for effective field theories. We are grateful to those authors for sharing their draft with us.

[1] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, and H. Johansson, New relations for gauge-theory amplitudes, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 085011 (2008).

[2] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, and H. Johansson, Perturbative Quantum Gravity as a Double Copy of Gauge Theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 061602 (2010).

- [3] Z. Bern, J. J. Carrasco, M. Chiodaroli, H. Johansson, and R. Roiban, The duality between color and kinematics and its applications, [arXiv:1909.01358](#).
- [4] K. Meetz, Realization of chiral symmetry in a curved isospin space, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **10**, 589 (1969).
- [5] J. Honerkamp, Chiral multiloops, *Nucl. Phys.* **B36**, 130 (1972).
- [6] J. Honerkamp and K. Meetz, Chiral-invariant perturbation theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **3**, 1996 (1971).
- [7] G. Ecker and J. Honerkamp, Application of invariant renormalization to the nonlinear chiral invariant pion lagrangian in the one-loop approximation, *Nucl. Phys.* **B35**, 481 (1971).
- [8] D. V. Volkov, Phenomenological lagrangians, *Sov. J. Particles Nucl.* **4**, 1 (1973).
- [9] L. Alvarez-Gaume, D. Z. Freedman, and S. Mukhi, The background field method and the ultraviolet structure of the supersymmetric nonlinear sigma model, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **134**, 85 (1981).
- [10] L. Alvarez-Gaume and D. Z. Freedman, Geometrical structure and ultraviolet finiteness in the supersymmetric sigma model, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **80**, 443 (1981).
- [11] D. G. Boulware and L. S. Brown, Symmetric space scalar field theory, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **138**, 392 (1982).
- [12] P. S. Howe, G. Papadopoulos, and K. S. Stelle, The background field method and the nonlinear  $\sigma$  model, *Nucl. Phys.* **B296**, 26 (1988).
- [13] L. J. Dixon, V. Kaplunovsky, and J. Louis, On effective field theories describing (2,2) vacua of the heterotic string, *Nucl. Phys.* **B329**, 27 (1990).
- [14] R. Alonso, E. E. Jenkins, and A. V. Manohar, A geometric formulation of Higgs effective field theory: Measuring the curvature of scalar field space, *Phys. Lett. B* **754**, 335 (2016).
- [15] R. Alonso, E. E. Jenkins, and A. V. Manohar, Geometry of the scalar sector, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2016) 101.
- [16] R. Nagai, M. Tanabashi, K. Tsumura, and Y. Uchida, Symmetry and geometry in a generalized Higgs effective field theory: Finiteness of oblique corrections versus perturbative unitarity, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 075020 (2019).
- [17] A. Helset, A. Martin, and M. Trott, The geometric standard model effective field theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2020) 163.
- [18] T. Cohen, N. Craig, X. Lu, and D. Sutherland, Unitarity violation and the geometry of Higgs EFTs, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2021) 003.
- [19] C. Cheung, A. Helset, and J. Parra-Martinez, Geometric soft theorems, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2022) 011.
- [20] S. L. Adler, Consistency conditions on the strong interactions implied by a partially conserved axial vector current, *Phys. Rev.* **137**, B1022 (1965).
- [21] C. G. Callan, Jr., Broken scale invariance in scalar field theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **2**, 1541 (1970).
- [22] R. H. Boels and W. Wormsbecher, Spontaneously broken conformal invariance in observables, [arXiv:1507.08162](#).
- [23] Y.-t. Huang and C. Wen, Soft theorems from anomalous symmetries, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2015) 143.
- [24] P. Di Vecchia, R. Marotta, M. Mojaza, and J. Nohle, New soft theorems for the gravity dilaton and the Nambu-Goldstone dilaton at subsubleading order, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 085015 (2016).
- [25] F. E. Low, Bremsstrahlung of very low-energy quanta in elementary particle collisions, *Phys. Rev.* **110**, 974 (1958).
- [26] S. Weinberg, Photons and gravitons in  $S$ -matrix theory: Derivation of charge conservation and equality of gravitational and inertial mass, *Phys. Rev.* **135**, B1049 (1964).
- [27] S. Weinberg, Infrared photons and gravitons, *Phys. Rev.* **140**, B516 (1965).
- [28] T. H. Burnett and N. M. Kroll, Extension of the Low Soft Photon Theorem, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **20**, 86 (1968).
- [29] C. Cheung, K. Kampf, J. Novotny, and J. Trnka, Effective Field Theories from Soft Limits of Scattering Amplitudes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 221602 (2015).
- [30] K. Hinterbichler and A. Joyce, Hidden symmetry of the Galileon, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 023503 (2015).
- [31] C. Cheung, K. Kampf, J. Novotny, C.-H. Shen, and J. Trnka, On-Shell Recursion Relations for Effective Field Theories, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 041601 (2016).
- [32] C. Cheung, K. Kampf, J. Novotny, C.-H. Shen, and J. Trnka, A periodic table of effective field theories, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2017) 020.
- [33] C. Cheung, M. Derda, A. Helset, and J. Parra-Martinez (to be published).
- [34] F. A. Berends and W. T. Giele, Multiple soft gluon radiation in parton processes, *Nucl. Phys.* **B313**, 595 (1989).
- [35] E. Casali, Soft sub-leading divergences in Yang-Mills amplitudes, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2014) 077.
- [36] Z. Bern, S. Davies, P. Di Vecchia, and J. Nohle, Low-energy behavior of gluons and gravitons from gauge invariance, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 084035 (2014).
- [37] D. J. Gross and R. Jackiw, Low-energy theorem for graviton scattering, *Phys. Rev.* **166**, 1287 (1968).
- [38] C. D. White, Factorization properties of soft graviton amplitudes, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2011) 060.
- [39] F. Cachazo and A. Strominger, Evidence for a new soft graviton theorem, [arXiv:1404.4091](#).
- [40] T. Cohen, N. Craig, X. Lu, and D. Sutherland, On-shell covariance of quantum field theory amplitudes (2022), [arXiv:2202.06965](#).