Gravitational bremsstrahlung from spinning binaries in the post-Minkowskian expansion

Massimiliano Maria Riva[®], Filippo Vernizzi[®], and Leong Khim Wong[®] Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, CEA, Institut de Physique Théorique, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

(Received 1 June 2022; accepted 14 July 2022; published 4 August 2022)

We present a novel calculation of the four-momentum that is radiated into gravitational waves during the scattering of two arbitrarily spinning bodies. Our result, which is accurate to leading order in G, to quadratic order in the spins, and to all orders in the velocity, is derived by using a Routhian-based worldline effective field theory formalism in concert with a battery of analytic techniques for evaluating loop integrals. While nonspinning binaries radiate momentum only along the direction of their relative velocity, we show that the inclusion of spins generically allows for momentum loss in all three spatial directions. We also verify that our expression for the radiated energy agrees with the overlapping terms from state-of-the-art calculations in post-Newtonian theory.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.106.044013

I. INTRODUCTION

The burgeoning field of gravitational-wave astronomy [1,2] will offer new opportunities to explore questions in fundamental physics, test the nature of strong-field gravity, and constrain various binary formation and evolution channels [3–9]. As binary systems with spinning black holes constitute one of the primary sources of gravitational waves, modeling precisely how spin influences a binary's inspiral is essential for making robust detections and performing accurate parameter estimation studies [10–12].

In the traditional approach to the two-body problem, one makes the so-called post-Newtonian (PN) expansion [13]: the equations of motion for the binary and the gravitational field are solved order by order simultaneously in powers of G and v^2 ; respectively, the gravitational constant and the square of the relative velocity between the two bodies. Since the two parameters are related by the virial theorem, this perturbative scheme is ideally suited to the study of bound orbits.

An alternative approach, which lends itself more naturally to the study of unbounded orbits (i.e., scattering encounters), is the post-Minkowskian (PM) approximation [14]. Here, one also expands in powers of G, but keeps vfully relativistic. While the study of unbounded orbits may, at face value, seem far removed from the coalescing binaries that gravitational-wave detectors observe, quantities computed in one scenario can be linked to the other via, e.g., analytic continuation [15–18]. Alternatively, PM calculations could also be used as inputs to improve the accuracy of the effective-one-body approach [14,19–24]—a popular semianalytic method for constructing waveform templates.

In recent years, rapid advancements in the PM program have been driven by the scattering-amplitudes community [25–40], who (at present) have pushed out calculations in the conservative sector up to 4PM; i.e., up to $O(G^4)$ [41,42]. Analogous results were also obtained independently through various worldline effective field theory (EFT) approaches [43–48]. These results were later extended to include tidal deformation [49–56] and spin effects [57–69].

Developments in the radiative sector are more recent. The four-momentum emitted into gravitational waves by a nonspinning binary was first computed at leading (3PM) order in Refs. [70,71] via the "KMOC" approach [29], independently in Ref. [72] via the eikonal approach, and then in Ref. [73] via the worldline EFT approach. Tidal contributions were later included in Ref. [74]. (See also Refs. [67,68,75–89] for related works on radiative effects.) Notably absent from the literature, however, is the inclusion of spins in the radiated observables at 3PM.

To be precise, the outgoing waveform from a spinning binary has been computed up to 2PM in Ref. [85]. Using this to compute the radiated four-momentum at 3PM is challenging, however, because of the multiscale nature of the resulting integrals, which have so far proven to be intractable unless one also performs a low-velocity expansion [83–85]. Fortunately, this is not the only option, and indeed our goal in this paper is to compute the four-momentum radiated at 3PM up to quadratic order in the spins and to all orders in the velocity.

We bypass the aforementioned complications with the waveform by formulating the problem as an integral of the

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

outgoing graviton momentum over phase space, weighted by (what is essentially) the square of the binary's (pseudo) stress-energy tensor. The latter we construct by using the worldline EFT formalism, while the loop integrals that arise are computed by appropriating powerful techniques from high-energy physics [90]; namely, reverse unitarity [91–94], a reduction to master integrals via integration by parts [95–97], and differential equation methods [98–103], as previously used in Refs. [70–74] for the nonspinning case.

These techniques are described in more detail in Sec. II. In Sec. III, we discuss the key features of our main result, but owing to its length, we present the full expression only in the Supplemental Material [104], a computer-readable version of which is available in the ancillary files attached to the arXiv submission of this paper. Also included in the Supplemental Material are explicit expressions for some of the intermediate quantities that we calculate, like the stressenergy tensor. We conclude in Sec. IV.

II. METHODS

A. Worldline effective field theory

Consider the case of two spinning bodies approaching one another from infinity, and suppose that their distance of closest approach remains much larger than their individual radii. In this scenario, the details of their scattering encounter are well described by an EFT in which the two bodies are treated as point particles traveling along the worldlines of their respective centers of energy. Their dynamics are conveniently described by a Routhian [65,105–107], which for each body of mass *m* reads

$$\mathcal{R} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(m g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{U}^{\mu} \mathcal{U}^{\nu} + \omega^{ab}_{\mu} \mathcal{S}_{ab} \mathcal{U}^{\mu} - \frac{1}{m} \mathcal{U}_{a} \mathcal{U}^{e} R_{ebcd} \mathcal{S}^{ab} \mathcal{S}^{cd} - \frac{1}{m} C_{E} E_{ab} \mathcal{S}^{a}{}_{c} \mathcal{S}^{cb} \right).$$
(1)

The translational degrees of freedom (d.o.f.s) of this body are encoded in four worldline coordinates $x^{\mu}(\tau)$, which chart the integral curve of the four-velocity $\mathcal{U}^{\mu} \equiv dx^{\mu}/d\tau$. Only three of these are needed to specify the position of the body uniquely, however, and so we remove the remaining unphysical d.o.f. by imposing the constraint $\mathcal{U}_{\mu}\mathcal{U}^{\mu} = 1$ [43,65]. Meanwhile, the body's rotational d.o.f.s are encoded in the antisymmetric spin tensor S_{ab} , which notably is defined in a locally flat frame with coordinates $\{y^a\}$. Tensors defined in the general coordinate frame $\{x^{\mu}\}$ are transformed into the former by way of the vielbein $e_{\mu}^{a} \equiv \partial y^{a}/\partial x^{\mu}$ (e.g., $\mathcal{U}^{a} \equiv e_{\mu}^{a}\mathcal{U}^{\mu}$), which also defines for us the spin connection $\omega_{\mu}^{ab} \coloneqq g^{\rho\sigma} e_{\sigma}^{b} \nabla_{\mu} e_{\rho}^{a}$. Only three of the six components in S_{ab} are needed to specify the spin of the body uniquely; hence, the other three d.o.f.s are to be removed by imposing a spin supplementary condition (SSC) [108–111]. Given the relativistic nature of the problem, the covariant SSC, $U^a S_{ab} = 0 + O(S^3)$ [111], proves to be the most convenient choice.

The first three terms in Eq. (1) are universal, in the sense that they apply to any body with a mass monopole and spin dipole. Higher-order multipole moments, however, are sensitive to the body's internal structure, and this is why the final term in Eq. (1), which describes the self-induced quadrupole moment of the rotating body $(E_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} \mathcal{U}^{\rho} \mathcal{U}^{\sigma})$, is accompanied by the Wilson coefficient C_E . Kerr black holes have $C_E = 1$ [106], although this value can be larger for objects like neutron stars [112,113]. Infinitely many more terms can be appended to Eq. (1) should we wish to include even higher-order multipoles [114], or other finite-size effects like tidal deformations [74,115], but these all come with higher powers of either the curvature tensors or the spin, and so are irrelevant to our purposes here.

As the Routhian behaves like a Lagrangian from the point of view of the translational d.o.f.s, but like a Hamiltonian with regards to the rotational d.o.f.s, the equations of motion for each body follow from a mixture of Euler-Lagrange and Hamilton equations [116]; namely,

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta x^{\mu}} \int d\tau \mathcal{R} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{d\tau} \mathcal{S}^{ab} = \{ \mathcal{S}^{ab}, \mathcal{R} \}.$$
(2)

The only nontrivial Poisson bracket we require is [105]

$$\{\mathcal{S}^{ab}, \mathcal{S}^{cd}\} = \eta^{ac}\mathcal{S}^{bd} + \eta^{bd}\mathcal{S}^{ac} - \eta^{ad}\mathcal{S}^{bc} - \eta^{bc}\mathcal{S}^{ad}, \qquad (3)$$

where η^{ab} is the Minkowski metric with a mostly minus signature. Note that the aforementioned constraints on \mathcal{U}^{μ} and \mathcal{S}_{ab} should be imposed only after all functional derivatives and Poisson brackets have been evaluated.

To fully specify our EFT, we must also endow the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ with its own dynamics; hence, we take the full effective action to be $S = S_{\text{EH}} + S_{\text{FP}} + \sum_{A=1}^{2} \int d\tau_A \mathcal{R}_A$, where S_{EH} is the Einstein-Hilbert action, S_{FP} is a Faddeev-Popov term that enforces the de Donder gauge, and the label $A \in \{1, 2\}$ is used to distinguish between the binary's two constituents.

B. Stress-energy tensor

As a precursor to computing the radiated four-momentum, we first determine the stress-energy tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ for the binary as a whole. This object is sourced by the multipolar moments of the two bodies, as well as by the energy stored in nonlinear interactions of the gravitational field, and can be obtained perturbatively from our EFT with the help of Feynman diagrams once we expand the action in powers of $\kappa h_{\mu\nu} := g_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}$, with $\kappa \equiv \sqrt{32\pi G}$. Crucially, since

$$e^{a}_{\mu} = \eta^{a\nu} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{8} \kappa^{2} h_{\mu\rho} h^{\rho}{}_{\nu} + O(\kappa^{3} h^{3}) \right) \quad (4)$$



FIG. 1. Feynman rules relevant to our calculation.

in this expansion, Greek and Latin indices are now indistinct. The spin tensors are, nonetheless, still defined in their respective locally flat frames [65].

Only the Feynman rules shown in Fig. 1 are required at the order in *G* to which we are working. In *d*-dimensional momentum space (we work in *d* dimensions for added generality), the graviton propagator in Fig. 1(a) is $iP_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}/k^2$, with $P_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \eta_{\mu(\rho}\eta_{\sigma)\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\rho\sigma}/(d-2)$ (an *ic* prescription is unnecessary at this order because the loop integrals never hit the poles at $k^2 = 0$ [83]), while the worldline vertex for single-graviton emission is

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Fig.1(b)} &= -\frac{1}{2} i \kappa \int \mathrm{d}\tau_A e^{i k \cdot x_A} \bigg[m_A \mathcal{U}_A{}^{\mu} \mathcal{U}_A{}^{\nu} + i k_\rho \mathcal{S}_A{}^{\rho(\mu} \mathcal{U}_A{}^{\nu)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{m_A} k_\rho k_\sigma \mathcal{U}_{A\alpha} (\mathcal{U}_A{}^{(\mu} \mathcal{S}_A{}^{\nu)\rho} \mathcal{S}_A{}^{\sigma\alpha} + \mathcal{U}_A{}^{\rho} \mathcal{S}_A{}^{\sigma(\mu} \mathcal{S}_A{}^{\nu)\alpha}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2m_A} C_{E_A} k_\rho k_\sigma (\mathcal{S}_A{}^{\rho\alpha} \mathcal{S}_A{}^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha} \mathcal{U}_A{}^{\mu} \mathcal{U}_A{}^{\nu} \\ &+ 2 \mathcal{U}_A{}^{\rho} \mathcal{S}_A{}^{\sigma\alpha} \mathcal{S}_{A\alpha}{}^{(\mu} \mathcal{U}_A{}^{\nu)} + \mathcal{S}_A{}^{(\mu}{}_{\alpha} \mathcal{S}_A{}^{\nu)\alpha} \mathcal{U}_A{}^{\rho} \mathcal{U}_A{}^{\sigma}) \bigg]. \end{aligned}$$

$$(5)$$

Expressions for the two remaining vertices, which are much lengthier, are presented in the Supplemental Material [104].

In addition to making the weak-field expansion above, we must also expand the body variables $X_A \equiv (x_A, \mathcal{U}_A, \mathcal{S}_A)$ about their initial straight-line trajectories in order to achieve manifest power counting in *G*. We therefore write [43,65]

$$X_A(\tau_A) = \bar{X}_A(\tau_A) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta^{(n)} X_A(\tau_A), \tag{6}$$

where $\delta^{(n)}X_A$ is the $O(G^n)$ deflection away from the initial trajectory \bar{X}_A due to the gravitational pull of the other body. The 1PM deflections $\delta^{(1)}X_A$, which we will need later in our calculation, were previously computed using Eq. (2) in Refs. [43,65]. (They are reproduced in the Supplemental Material [104] for completeness.) As for \bar{X}_A , we write

$$\bar{x}^{\mu}_{A} = b^{\mu}_{A} + u^{\mu}_{A}\tau_{A}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{U}}^{\mu}_{A} = u^{\mu}_{A}, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\mathcal{S}}^{\mu\nu}_{A} = m_{A}s^{\mu\nu}_{A}, \quad (7)$$

where the constant vectors u_A^{μ} and b_A^{μ} are the initial velocity and orthogonal displacement of the Ath body, respectively,



FIG. 2. Feynman diagrams contributing to the stress-energy tensor up to next-to-leading order in *G*. While not drawn explicitly, our calculation includes the mirror inverses of (a) and (b), which are obtained by interchanging the body labels $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ and redefining the loop momentum $q \mapsto k - q$.

while the constant tensor $s_A^{\mu\nu}$ describes its initial spin per unit mass. Note that $s_A^{\mu\nu} u_{A\nu} = 0$ as per the covariant SSC, while the impact parameter $b^{\mu} := b_1^{\mu} - b_2^{\mu}$ satisfies $b \cdot u_A = 0$ [43].

We now use these rules to compute the (tree-level) expectation value $\langle h_{\mu\nu}(k) \rangle \equiv \kappa P_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}T^{\rho\sigma}(k)/(2k^2)$, from which the (classical) stress-energy tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ may be extracted. At leading order in *G*, only the diagram in Fig. 2(a), with X_A replaced by \bar{X}_A , contributes. The result is

$$\Gamma_{\rm LO}^{\mu\nu}(k) = \sum_{A=1}^{2} \hat{\sigma}(k \cdot u_{A}) m_{A} e^{ik \cdot b_{A}} \bigg[u_{A}{}^{\mu} u_{A}{}^{\nu} + ik^{\rho} s_{A\rho}{}^{(\mu} u_{A}{}^{\nu)} - \frac{1}{2} C_{E_{A}}(k_{\rho} s_{A}{}^{\rho\sigma} s_{A\sigma}{}^{\alpha} k_{\alpha}) u_{A}{}^{\mu} u_{A}{}^{\nu} \bigg],$$
(8)

where the delta function $\delta(x) \equiv 2\pi\delta(x)$ comes from having performed the integral over τ_A in Eq. (5).

All three diagrams in Fig. 2 contribute at next-to-leading order in *G*. From Fig. 2(a), we extract the O(G) part of the diagram by expanding X_A up to 1PM, whereas for Figs. 2(b) and 2(c), it suffices to replace X_A by \bar{X}_A . The total result is

$$T_{\rm NLO}^{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{\kappa^2 M^2 \nu}{4} \int_q \frac{\Delta_{12}(q,k)}{q^2 (k-q)^2} t^{\mu\nu}(q,k) e^{iq \cdot b} e^{ik \cdot b_2}, \qquad (9)$$

where $M = m_1 + m_2$ is the binary's total mass, $\nu = m_1 m_2/M^2$ is its symmetric mass ratio, $\int_q \equiv \int d^d q/(2\pi)^d$, and $\Delta_{12}(q,k) \coloneqq \vartheta(q \cdot u_1)\vartheta((k-q) \cdot u_2)$. An explicit expression for the object $t^{\mu\nu}$, accurate to $O(s^2)$, is presented in the Supplemental Material [104].

C. Loop integrals

Given the above, we may now compute the radiated fourmomentum via the definition [117]

$$P^{\mu}_{\rm rad} = \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \int_k \,\delta_+(k^2) k^{\mu} [T^{\alpha\nu}(k) P_{\alpha\nu\rho\sigma} T^{*\rho\sigma}(k)], \quad (10)$$

where $\delta_+(k^2) d^d k/(2\pi)^d$ is the Lorentz-invariant phasespace measure for the emission of on shell gravitons. Observe that because the delta functions in Eq. (8) have compact support away from $k^2 = 0$, $T_{\rm LO}^{\mu\nu}$ is nonradiative and so does not contribute to $P_{\rm rad}^{\mu}$. It therefore suffices to substitute Eq. (9) into Eq. (10) when working to leading order in *G*. We then find

$$P_{\rm rad}^{\mu} = \frac{\kappa^6 M^4 \nu^2}{64} \int_{k,q_1,q_2} \frac{t^{\alpha\nu}(q_1,k) P_{\alpha\nu\rho\sigma} t^{*\rho\sigma}(q_2,k)}{q_1^2 q_2^2 (k-q_1)^2 (k-q_2)^2} k^{\mu} \\ \times e^{i(q_1-q_2)\cdot b} \delta_+(k^2) \Delta_{12}(q_1,k) \Delta_{12}(q_2,k).$$
(11)

Next, we define new momentum variables $q = q_1 - q_2$, $\ell_1 = -q_2$, and $\ell_2 = q_1 - k$ [73] in order to write

$$P^{\mu}_{\rm rad} = \frac{\kappa^6 M^4 \nu^2}{64} \int_q \delta(q \cdot u_1) \delta(q \cdot u_2) e^{iq \cdot b} Q^{\mu}(q).$$
(12)

The radiated four-momentum may thus be viewed as the inverse Fourier transform of some object Q^{μ} , which is expressible as a sum of terms in which q^{μ} , u^{μ}_{A} , and $s^{\mu\nu}_{A}$ are contracted amongst themselves and with the two-loop integrals

$$G_{n_1\cdots n_9}^{\mu_1\cdots \mu_i\nu_1\cdots \nu_j} \coloneqq \int_{\ell_1,\ell_2} \frac{\ell_1^{\mu_1}\cdots \ell_1^{\mu_i}\ell_2^{\nu_1}\cdots \ell_2^{\nu_j}}{\underline{\rho_1}^{n_1}\rho_2^{n_2}\rho_3^{n_3}\underline{\rho_4}^{n_4}\rho_5^{n_5}\rho_6^{n_6}\underline{\rho_7}^{n_7}\rho_8^{n_8}\rho_9^{n_9}}.$$
 (13)

Following Ref. [90], we define $\rho_2 = -2\ell_1 \cdot u_2$, $\rho_3 = -2\ell_2 \cdot u_1$, $\rho_5 = \ell_1^2$, $\rho_6 = \ell_2^2$, $\rho_8 = (\ell_1 - q)^2$, and $\rho_9 = (\ell_2 - q)^2$, while the underlined variables are used to denote the presence of delta functions; i.e., $2/\underline{\rho}_1 = \hat{\sigma}(\ell_1 \cdot u_1), 2/\underline{\rho}_4 = \hat{\sigma}(\ell_2 \cdot u_2)$, and $1/\underline{\rho}_7 = \hat{\sigma}_+(k^2)$ with $k \equiv q - \ell_1 - \ell_2$. All of the integrals in Q^{μ} have $n_1 = n_4 = n_7 = 1$. Reverse unitarity then allows us to treat these delta functions as cut propagators [91–94].

The tensor-valued nature of these integrals make them cumbersome to evaluate as is, but fortunately they can all be reduced to scalar-valued ones via a suitable basis decomposition [85]. Specifically, we expand each of the loop momenta ℓ^{μ}_{A} ($A \in \{1, 2\}$) in the numerator as

$$\mathscr{\ell}_{A}^{\mu} = (\mathscr{\ell}_{A} \cdot u_{1})\check{u}_{1}^{\mu} + (\mathscr{\ell}_{A} \cdot u_{2})\check{u}_{2}^{\mu} + \frac{(\mathscr{\ell}_{A} \cdot q)}{q^{2}}q^{\mu} + \mathscr{\ell}_{A\perp}^{\mu},$$
(14)

where $\check{u}_1^{\mu} := (u_1^{\mu} - \gamma u_2^{\mu})/(1 - \gamma^2)$ and $\check{u}_2^{\mu} := \check{u}_1^{\mu}|_{1\leftrightarrow 2}$ are the dual vectors to the two initial velocities $(\check{u}_A \cdot u_B = \delta_{AB})$, $\gamma \equiv u_1 \cdot u_2$ is the Lorentz factor for the relative velocity v, and $\ell_{A\perp}^{\mu}$ is the part of ℓ_A^{μ} that is orthogonal to u_1, u_2 , and q [the delta functions in Eq. (12) guarantee that $q \cdot u_A = 0$]. The three inner products in Eq. (14) are then easily rewritten in terms of the variables ρ_i and q^2 only.

As for $\ell_{A\perp}^{\mu}$, the fact that the denominator of Eq. (13) is invariant under $(\ell_{1\perp}, \ell_{2\perp}) \mapsto -(\ell_{1\perp}, \ell_{2\perp})$ implies that any term in the numerator with *i* powers of $\ell_{1\perp}$ and *j* powers of $\ell_{2\perp}$ will integrate to zero if i + j is odd. If instead i + j = 2, then rotational invariance on the hypersurface orthogonal to u_1 , u_2 , and q allows us to replace

$$\ell^{\mu}_{A\perp}\ell^{\nu}_{B\perp} \mapsto \frac{(\ell^{\rho}_{A} \perp_{\rho\sigma} \ell^{\sigma}_{B})}{d-3} \perp^{\mu\nu}$$
(15)

under the integral, where the metric on this hypersurface is $\perp^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - \check{u}_1{}^{\mu}u_1{}^{\nu} - \check{u}_2{}^{\mu}u_2{}^{\nu} - q^{\mu}q^{\nu}/q^2$, and note that the inner product $(\ell_A^{\rho}\perp_{\rho\sigma}\ell_B^{\sigma})$ is easily rewritten solely in terms of the variables ρ_i , q^2 , and γ . Analogous replacement rules can be derived for the i + j = 4 case by positing the ansatz $\ell_{A\perp}^{\mu}\ell_{B\perp}^{\nu}\ell_{D\perp}^{\rho}\ell_{D\perp}^{\sigma} \mapsto c_1\perp^{\mu\nu}\perp^{\rho\sigma} + c_2\perp^{\mu\rho}\perp^{\nu\sigma} + c_3\perp^{\mu\sigma}\perp^{\rho\nu}$ and then solving for the coefficients $\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ by taking appropriate contractions. The same can be done for all $i + j \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, although in practice we encounter only integrals with $i + j \leq 5$.

The object Q^{μ} is now a sum of terms in which different combinations of q^{μ} , u^{μ}_{A} , and $s^{\mu\nu}_{A}$ are contracted with one another and multiplied by one of the scalar-valued integrals $G_{n_1 \cdots n_9}$. At this stage, 3,100 different scalar integrals enter into Q^{μ} , but not all of them are independent. After using the LiteRed software package [118,119] to identify nontrivial integration by parts relations between the different integrals [95–97], we find that they reduce to a set of only four master integrals; the same four as in Eqs. (4.13)–(4.16) of Ref. [73]. These are solved via differential equation methods [98–103]; see Ref. [73] for details.

All that remains is to compute the Fourier transform in Eq. (12). We do so by using another family of master integrals,

$$I_n^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_j} \coloneqq \int_q \,\delta(q\cdot u_1)\delta(q\cdot u_2)\frac{q^{\mu_1}\cdots q^{\mu_j}}{(-q^2)^n}e^{iq\cdot b},\qquad(16)$$

whose scalar-valued member evaluates to

$$I_n = \frac{\Gamma(d/2 - n - 1)}{\Gamma(n)} \frac{(-b_\mu \perp_{12}^{\mu\nu} b_\nu)^{n + 1 - d/2}}{4^n \pi^{(d-2)/2} (\gamma^2 - 1)^{1/2}}, \quad (17)$$

with $\perp_{12}^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - \check{u}_1^{\mu}u_1^{\nu} - \check{u}_2^{\mu}u_2^{\nu}$ [67]. Its tensor-valued cousins follow from differentiation; e.g., $I_n^{\mu} = -i\partial I_n/\partial b_{\mu}$.

III. RESULTS

A. Radiated four-momentum

Now specializing to four dimensions, it becomes convenient to decompose our final result into components along the basis vectors $\{u_1, u_2, \hat{b}, \hat{l}\}$, where $\hat{b}^{\mu} \coloneqq b^{\mu}/b$ $(b \equiv \sqrt{-b_{\mu}b^{\mu}})$ and $\hat{l}_{\mu} \coloneqq \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}u_{1}^{\nu}u_{2}^{\rho}\hat{b}^{\sigma}/\sqrt{\gamma^{2}-1}$ are the unit vectors pointing along the impact parameter and orbital angular momentum, respectively. After also eliminating the spin tensors in favor of the Pauli-Lubanski spin vectors $s_{A}^{\mu} \coloneqq \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}u_{A}^{\nu}s_{A}^{\rho\sigma}/2$, we find that we can write

$$P_{\rm rad}^{\mu} = \frac{G^3 M^4 \pi \nu^2}{b^3} (\mathcal{C}_{u_1} \check{u}_1^{\mu} + \mathcal{C}_{u_2} \check{u}_2^{\mu} - \mathcal{C}_{\hat{b}} \hat{b}^{\mu} - \mathcal{C}_{\hat{l}} \hat{l}^{\mu}).$$
(18)

The components C_V , with $V \in \{u_1, u_2, \hat{b}, \hat{l}\}$, are dimensionless functions of only the Lorentz factor γ , the two Wilson coefficients C_{E_A} , and the six inner products $(s_A \cdot V)/b$. (There are only six because $s_A \cdot u_A = 0$ by definition.)

The fact that P_{rad}^{μ} is a polar vector strongly constrains which inner products can appear at any given order, and in which combinations. For instance, because C_{u_1} , C_{u_2} , and $C_{\hat{b}}$ must all be even under parity, they can only depend on $(s_A \cdot \hat{l})/b$ at linear order in the spins. Indeed, we find explicitly that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{u_{1}} &= f_{\mathrm{I}}(\gamma) + \frac{1}{b} [(s_{1} \cdot \hat{l}) f_{\mathrm{II}}(\gamma) + (s_{2} \cdot \hat{l}) f_{\mathrm{III}}(\gamma)] + O(s^{2}), \\ \mathcal{C}_{\hat{l}} &= \frac{1}{b} [(s_{1} \cdot u_{2}) + (s_{2} \cdot u_{1})] f_{\mathrm{IV}}(\gamma) + O(s^{2}), \end{aligned}$$
(19)

while $C_{\hat{b}} = 0 + O(s^2)$. The remaining component C_{u_2} can be obtained from C_{u_1} by swapping the body labels $1 \leftrightarrow 2$, since P_{rad}^{μ} must be symmetric under this interchange. As an added consequence, $C_{\hat{b}}$ and $C_{\hat{l}}$ must be odd and even under this interchange, respectively.

The four functions $\{f_1, ..., f_{IV}\}$ in Eq. (19) depend purely on γ and are presented in Table I [f_1 , which appears at $O(s^0)$, was previously determined in Refs. [70–73], but is reproduced here for completeness]. An additional 21 functions of γ , with similar analytic structures, appear at $O(s^2)$. These are presented in the Supplemental Material [104]. Notice that $C_{\hat{h}}$ and $C_{\hat{l}}$ both vanish when the spins are

TABLE I. Functions of the Lorentz factor γ appearing in Eq. (19).

$$\begin{split} f_{\mathrm{I}} & \frac{210\gamma^{6}-552\gamma^{5}+339\gamma^{4}-912\gamma^{3}+3148\gamma^{2}-3336\gamma+1151}{48(\gamma^{2}-1)^{3/2}} \\ & -\frac{35\gamma^{4}+60\gamma^{3}-150\gamma^{2}+76\gamma-5}{8\sqrt{\gamma^{2}-1}}\log(\frac{1+\gamma}{2}) \\ & +\frac{70\gamma^{7}-165\gamma^{5}+112\gamma^{3}-33\gamma}{16(\gamma^{2}-1)^{2}}\cosh^{-1}\gamma \\ f_{\mathrm{II}} & \frac{210\gamma^{6}-356\gamma^{5}-111\gamma^{4}-1627\gamma^{3}+5393\gamma^{2}-4741\gamma+1352}{16(\gamma+1)(\gamma^{2}-1)} \\ & -\frac{105\gamma^{4}+345\gamma^{3}-405\gamma^{2}+147\gamma-48}{8(\gamma+1)}\log(\frac{1+\gamma}{2}) \\ & +\frac{210\gamma^{6}-405\gamma^{4}+135\gamma^{2}}{16(\gamma^{2}-1)^{3/2}}\cosh^{-1}\gamma \\ f_{\mathrm{III}} & \frac{210\gamma^{6}-279\gamma^{5}-219\gamma^{4}-1350\gamma^{3}+4732\gamma^{2}-4243\gamma+1245}{16(\gamma+1)(\gamma^{2}-1)} \\ & -\frac{21\gamma^{4}+66\gamma^{3}-84\gamma^{2}+30\gamma-9}{2(\gamma+1)}\log(\frac{1+\gamma}{2}) \\ & +\frac{42\gamma^{6}-81\gamma^{4}+27\gamma^{2}}{4(\gamma^{2}-1)^{3/2}}\cosh^{-1}\gamma \\ f_{\mathrm{IV}} & -\frac{425\gamma^{5}-1215\gamma^{4}+2491\gamma^{3}-3957\gamma^{2}+2992\gamma-760}{16(\gamma+1)(\gamma^{2}-1)^{2}} \\ & -\frac{84\gamma^{6}+459\gamma^{5}-825\gamma^{4}-138\gamma^{3}+666\gamma^{2}-321\gamma+75}{8(\gamma+1)(\gamma^{2}-1)^{2}}\log(\frac{1+\gamma}{2}) \\ & +\frac{168\gamma^{7}+78\gamma^{6}-414\gamma^{5}-171\gamma^{4}+261\gamma^{3}+81\gamma^{2}-27\gamma}{16(\gamma+1)(\gamma^{2}-1)^{5/2}}\cosh^{-1}\gamma \\ \end{split}$$

aligned along \hat{l} (or, indeed, when they are zero); hence, for so-called "aligned-spin" configurations, for which the binary's motion is confined to a plane, we see that momentum is lost only in the direction of the relative velocity.

B. Consistency checks

To validate Eq. (18) against the existing literature, we compare results for the energy ΔE radiated in the center-of-mass frame. This is computed in our approach as $\Delta E = (P_{\text{rad}} \cdot p_{\text{tot}})/E$, where $p_{\text{tot}}^{\mu} = m_1 u_1^{\mu} + m_2 u_2^{\mu}$ and $E^2 = p_{\text{tot}}^2 = M^2 [1 + 2\nu(\gamma - 1)]$. Since \hat{b}^{μ} and \hat{l}^{μ} are purely spatial in this frame, $s_A \cdot \hat{b}$ and $s_A \cdot \hat{l}$ are equivalent to the three-dimensional dot products $-\mathbf{s}_A \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}$ and $-\mathbf{s}_A \cdot \hat{\mathbf{l}}$, respectively, and note that $s_1 \cdot u_2 \simeq \mathbf{s}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}$ while $s_2 \cdot u_1 \simeq -\mathbf{s}_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}$ after expanding to first order in the relative 3-velocity \mathbf{v} . Having done so, our result for ΔE agrees with that of Ref. [85], which is accurate to leading order in \mathbf{v} and to quadratic order in the spins, once we also replace $(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{s}_A, C_{E_A}) \mapsto (-\mathbf{b}, -\mathbf{s}_A, 1 - C_{E_A})$ to account for differing conventions.

As a second consistency check, we use analytic continuation by way of the boundary-to-bound (B2B) map [15–17] to convert our result for ΔE into the energy ΔE_{ell} radiated during one period of ellipticlike motion. This is accomplished in three steps. Owing to current limitations of the B2B map, we first specialize to aligned-spin configurations. Next, we must transform from the covariant SSC to the canonical (Newton-Wigner) SSC [110] for the map to work. This generally entails transforming (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{s}_A) to new canonical variables ($\mathbf{b}_c, \mathbf{s}_{Ac}$) [59,60], but $\mathbf{s}_A \equiv \mathbf{s}_{Ac}$ in the aligned-spin case; hence, only the magnitude of the impact parameter must be transformed. The rule is

$$bp_{\infty} = b_{\rm c} p_{\infty} - \frac{E - M}{2E} [Ea_+ - (m_1 - m_2)a_-], \quad (20)$$

where $p_{\infty} = M^2 \nu \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}/E$ is the initial momentum of either body in the center-of-mass frame, $a_{\pm} = (\mathbf{s}_1 \pm \mathbf{s}_2) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{l}}$, and we may define $L_c = b_c p_{\infty}$ as the canonical orbital angular momentum. Finally, we obtain ΔE_{ell} from ΔE via [17]

$$\Delta E_{\text{ell}}(\mathcal{E}, L_{\text{c}}, a_{\pm}) = \Delta E(\mathcal{E}, L_{\text{c}}, a_{\pm}) - \Delta E(\mathcal{E}, -L_{\text{c}}, -a_{\pm}),$$
(21)

having eliminated γ in favor of $\mathcal{E} \equiv (E - M)/(M\nu)$. The left-hand side follows after analytic continuation from positive to negative values of \mathcal{E} . Expanded in powers of \mathcal{E} , we find that our result, which is valid in the large-angular-momentum limit [17], agrees with the overlapping terms from PN theory up to 3PN in Ref. [17], and up to 4PN in Refs. [120,121].

IV. CONCLUSION

The worldline EFT approach has proven to be an efficient way of obtaining classical radiated observables from the scattering encounter of two compact objects, be they Schwarzschild or Kerr black holes, or even neutron stars. This work closes an important gap in the PM literature by computing the radiated four-momentum at 3PM up to quadratic order in the spins and to all orders in the velocity. Remarkably, integrating over the loop momenta required knowledge of only four master integrals—the same four as in the nonspinning case—which explains why the analytic structure of our result is similar to that of Refs. [70–74], despite the inclusion of spins. At low velocities, our radiated energy is consistent with the existing literature, including the case of the energy loss from a bound system during a single orbit, which we derived via analytic continuation.

These results should prove invaluable for making further consistency checks in the future. We expect, for example, that our 3PM result for the radiated energy should reemerge in the tail term of the (as yet unknown) conservative potential for spinning binaries at 4PM, analogously to how the tail term [41,42,47] was found to match the

radiated energy [70–73] in the nonspinning case. In the future, it would also be interesting to reconstruct the radiated flux for bound systems (via the approach in Ref. [17]) from our calculation of the energy loss, so as to make a more direct comparison with PN results, since it is the former that directly impacts the binary's inspiral via the balance equation [13].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank Gihyuk Cho, Gregor Kälin, and Rafael Porto for providing us with their result for the radiated energy up to 4PN. We acknowledge use of the xAct package [122] for MATHEMATICA in our calculations. This work was partially supported by the Centre National d'Études Spatiales (CNES).

Note added.—While this manuscript was undergoing peer review, we became aware of similar calculations being undertaken by Gustav Jakobsen and Gustav Mogull. We thank them for verifying that their result for the radiated four-momentum is in agreement with ours.

- B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 061102 (2016).
- [2] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 161101 (2017).
- [3] E. Berti *et al.*, Testing general relativity with present and future astrophysical observations, Classical Quantum Gravity 32, 243001 (2015).
- [4] R. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), Tests of general relativity with binary black holes from the second LIGO-Virgo gravitational-wave transient catalog, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 122002 (2021).
- [5] E. Barausse *et al.*, Prospects for fundamental physics with LISA, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **52**, 81 (2020).
- [6] P. Auclair *et al.*, Cosmology with the Laser Interferometer Space Antenna, arXiv:2204.05434.
- [7] K. G. Arun *et al.*, New horizons for fundamental physics with LISA, Living Rev. Relativity **25**, 4 (2022).
- [8] E. Barausse, The evolution of massive black holes and their spins in their galactic hosts, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 423, 2533 (2012).
- [9] T. Callister, M. Fishbach, D. Holz, and W. Farr, Shouts and Murmurs: Combining individual gravitational-wave sources with the stochastic background to measure the history of binary black hole mergers, Astrophys. J. Lett. 896, L32 (2020).

- [10] S. Vitale, R. Lynch, J. Veitch, V. Raymond, and R. Sturani, Measuring the Spin of Black Holes in Binary Systems Using Gravitational Waves, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 251101 (2014).
- [11] T. D. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), Improved Analysis of GW150914 Using a Fully Spin-Precessing Waveform Model, Phys. Rev. X 6, 041014 (2016).
- [12] R. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations), GW190412: Observation of a binary-black-hole coalescence with asymmetric masses, Phys. Rev. D 102, 043015 (2020).
- [13] L. Blanchet, Gravitational radiation from post-Newtonian sources and inspiralling compact binaries, Living Rev. Relativity 17, 2 (2014).
- [14] T. Damour, Gravitational scattering, post-Minkowskian approximation, and effective-one-body theory, Phys. Rev. D 94, 104015 (2016).
- [15] G. Kälin and R. A. Porto, From boundary data to bound states, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2020) 072.
- [16] G. Kälin and R. A. Porto, From boundary data to bound states. Part II. Scattering angle to dynamical invariants (with twist), J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2020) 120.
- [17] G. Cho, G. Kälin, and R. A. Porto, From boundary data to bound states. Part III. Radiative effects, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2022) 154.
- [18] D. Bini, T. Damour, and A. Geralico, Sixth post-Newtonian nonlocal-in-time dynamics of binary systems, Phys. Rev. D 102, 084047 (2020).

- [19] T. Damour, High-energy gravitational scattering and the general relativistic two-body problem, Phys. Rev. D 97, 044038 (2018).
- [20] D. Bini and T. Damour, Gravitational spin-orbit coupling in binary systems, post-Minkowskian approximation, and effective one-body theory, Phys. Rev. D 96, 104038 (2017).
- [21] D. Bini and T. Damour, Gravitational spin-orbit coupling in binary systems at the second post-Minkowskian approximation, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 044036 (2018).
- [22] T. Damour, Classical and quantum scattering in post-Minkowskian gravity, Phys. Rev. D 102, 024060 (2020).
- [23] A. Antonelli, A. Buonanno, J. Steinhoff, M. van de Meent, and J. Vines, Energetics of two-body Hamiltonians in post-Minkowskian gravity, Phys. Rev. D 99, 104004 (2019).
- [24] M. Khalil, A. Buonanno, J. Steinhoff, and J. Vines, Energetics and scattering of gravitational two-body systems at fourth post-Minkowskian order, Phys. Rev. D 106, 024042 (2022).
- [25] D. Neill and I. Z. Rothstein, Classical space–times from the S-matrix, Nucl. Phys. B877, 177 (2013).
- [26] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, J. F. Donoghue, and P. Vanhove, On-shell techniques and universal results in quantum gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2014) 111.
- [27] A. Luna, I. Nicholson, D. O'Connell, and C. D. White, Inelastic black hole scattering from charged scalar amplitudes, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2018) 044.
- [28] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, G. Festuccia, L. Planté, and P. Vanhove, General Relativity from Scattering Amplitudes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 171601 (2018).
- [29] D. A. Kosower, B. Maybee, and D. O'Connell, Amplitudes, observables, and classical scattering, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2019) 137.
- [30] C. Cheung, I. Z. Rothstein, and M. P. Solon, From Scattering Amplitudes to Classical Potentials in the Post-Minkowskian Expansion, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 251101 (2018).
- [31] Z. Bern, C. Cheung, R. Roiban, C.-H. Shen, M. P. Solon, and M. Zeng, Scattering Amplitudes and the Conservative Hamiltonian for Binary Systems at Third Post-Minkowskian Order, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 201603 (2019).
- [32] Z. Bern, C. Cheung, R. Roiban, C.-H. Shen, M. P. Solon, and M. Zeng, Black hole binary dynamics from the double copy and effective theory, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2019) 206.
- [33] A. Cristofoli, N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, and P. Vanhove, Post-Minkowskian Hamiltonians in general relativity, Phys. Rev. D 100, 084040 (2019).
- [34] A. Cristofoli, P. H. Damgaard, P. Di Vecchia, and C. Heissenberg, Second-order post-Minkowskian scattering in arbitrary dimensions, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2020) 122.
- [35] C. Cheung and M. P. Solon, Classical gravitational scattering at $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$ from Feynman diagrams, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2020) 144.
- [36] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, L. Planté, and P. Vanhove, Classical gravity from loop amplitudes, Phys. Rev. D 104, 026009 (2021).
- [37] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, L. Planté, and P. Vanhove, The amplitude for classical gravitational

scattering at third Post-Minkowskian order, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2021) 172.

- [38] P. H. Damgaard, L. Plante, and P. Vanhove, On an exponential representation of the gravitational S-matrix, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2021) 213.
- [39] A. Brandhuber, G. Chen, G. Travaglini, and C. Wen, Classical gravitational scattering from a gauge-invariant double copy, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2021) 118.
- [40] A. Buonanno, M. Khalil, D. O'Connell, R. Roiban, M. P. Solon, and M. Zeng, Snowmass white paper: Gravitational waves and scattering amplitudes, arXiv:2204.05194.
- [41] Z. Bern, J. Parra-Martinez, R. Roiban, M. S. Ruf, C.-H. Shen, M. P. Solon, and M. Zeng, Scattering Amplitudes and Conservative Binary Dynamics at $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 171601 (2021).
- [42] Z. Bern, J. Parra-Martinez, R. Roiban, M. S. Ruf, C.-H. Shen, M. P. Solon, and M. Zeng, Scattering Amplitudes, the Tail Effect, and Conservative Binary Dynamics at $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 161103 (2022).
- [43] G. Kälin and R. A. Porto, Post-Minkowskian effective field theory for conservative binary dynamics, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2020) 106.
- [44] G. Kälin, Z. Liu, and R. A. Porto, Conservative Dynamics of Binary Systems to Third Post-Minkowskian Order from the Effective Field Theory Approach, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 261103 (2020).
- [45] F. Loebbert, J. Plefka, C. Shi, and T. Wang, Three-body effective potential in general relativity at second post-Minkowskian order and resulting post-Newtonian contributions, Phys. Rev. D 103, 064010 (2021).
- [46] G. Mogull, J. Plefka, and J. Steinhoff, Classical black hole scattering from a worldline quantum field theory, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2021) 048.
- [47] C. Dlapa, G. Kälin, Z. Liu, and R. A. Porto, Dynamics of binary systems to fourth Post-Minkowskian order from the effective field theory approach, Phys. Lett. B 831, 137203 (2022).
- [48] C. Dlapa, G. Kälin, Z. Liu, and R. A. Porto, Conservative Dynamics of Binary Systems at Fourth Post-Minkowskian Order in the Large-Eccentricity Expansion, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 161104 (2022).
- [49] Z. Bern, J. Parra-Martinez, R. Roiban, E. Sawyer, and C.-H. Shen, Leading nonlinear tidal effects and scattering amplitudes, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2021) 188.
- [50] C. Cheung and M. P. Solon, Tidal Effects in the Post-Minkowskian Expansion, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 191601 (2020).
- [51] M. Accettulli Huber, A. Brandhuber, S. De Angelis, and G. Travaglini, Eikonal phase matrix, deflection angle and time delay in effective field theories of gravity, Phys. Rev. D 102, 046014 (2020).
- [52] K. Haddad and A. Helset, Tidal effects in quantum field theory, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2020) 024.
- [53] R. Aoude, K. Haddad, and A. Helset, On-shell heavy particle effective theories, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2020) 051.
- [54] D. Bini, T. Damour, and A. Geralico, Scattering of tidally interacting bodies in post-Minkowskian gravity, Phys. Rev. D 101, 044039 (2020).

- [55] G. Kälin, Z. Liu, and R. A. Porto, Conservative tidal effects in compact binary systems to next-to-leading post-Minkowskian order, Phys. Rev. D 102, 124025 (2020).
- [56] C. Cheung, N. Shah, and M. P. Solon, Mining the geodesic equation for scattering data, Phys. Rev. D 103, 024030 (2021).
- [57] N. Arkani-Hamed, T.-C. Huang, and Y.-t. Huang, Scattering amplitudes for all masses and spins, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2021) 070.
- [58] M.-Z. Chung, Y.-T. Huang, J.-W. Kim, and S. Lee, The simplest massive S-matrix: From minimal coupling to black holes, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2019) 156.
- [59] J. Vines, Scattering of two spinning black holes in post-Minkowskian gravity, to all orders in spin, and effective-one-body mappings, Classical Quantum Gravity 35, 084002 (2018).
- [60] J. Vines, J. Steinhoff, and A. Buonanno, Spinning-blackhole scattering and the test-black-hole limit at second post-Minkowskian order, Phys. Rev. D 99, 064054 (2019).
- [61] Z. Bern, A. Luna, R. Roiban, C.-H. Shen, and M. Zeng, Spinning black hole binary dynamics, scattering amplitudes, and effective field theory, Phys. Rev. D 104, 065014 (2021).
- [62] A. Guevara, A. Ochirov, and J. Vines, Scattering of spinning black holes from exponentiated soft factors, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2019) 056.
- [63] B. Maybee, D. O'Connell, and J. Vines, Observables and amplitudes for spinning particles and black holes, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2019) 156.
- [64] L. de la Cruz, B. Maybee, D. O'Connell, and A. Ross, Classical Yang-Mills observables from amplitudes, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2020) 076.
- [65] Z. Liu, R. A. Porto, and Z. Yang, Spin effects in the effective field theory approach to Post-Minkowskian conservative dynamics, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2021) 012.
- [66] G. U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka, and J. Steinhoff, SUSY in the sky with gravitons, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2022) 027.
- [67] G. U. Jakobsen and G. Mogull, Conservative and Radiative Dynamics of Spinning Bodies at Third Post-Minkowskian Order Using Worldline Quantum Field Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 141102 (2022).
- [68] F. Alessio and P. Di Vecchia, Radiation reaction for spinning black-hole scattering, arXiv:2203.13272.
- [69] F. Febres Cordero, M. Kraus, G. Lin, M. S. Ruf, and M. Zeng, Conservative binary dynamics with a spinning black hole at $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$ from scattering amplitudes, arXiv:2205.07357.
- [70] E. Herrmann, J. Parra-Martinez, M. S. Ruf, and M. Zeng, Gravitational Bremsstrahlung from Reverse Unitarity, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 201602 (2021).
- [71] E. Herrmann, J. Parra-Martinez, M. S. Ruf, and M. Zeng, Radiative classical gravitational observables at $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$ from scattering amplitudes, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2021) 148.
- [72] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo, and G. Veneziano, The eikonal approach to gravitational scattering and radiation at $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2021) 169.

- [73] M. M. Riva and F. Vernizzi, Radiated momentum in the post-Minkowskian worldline approach via reverse unitarity, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2021) 228.
- [74] S. Mougiakakos, M. M. Riva, and F. Vernizzi, Gravitational bremsstrahlung with tidal effects in the post-Minkowskian expansion, arXiv:2204.06556.
- [75] P. Di Vecchia, A. Luna, S. G. Naculich, R. Russo, G. Veneziano, and C. D. White, A tale of two exponentiations in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity, Phys. Lett. B **798**, 134927 (2019).
- [76] P. Di Vecchia, S. G. Naculich, R. Russo, G. Veneziano, and C. D. White, A tale of two exponentiations in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity at subleading level, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2020) 173.
- [77] Z. Bern, H. Ita, J. Parra-Martinez, and M. S. Ruf, Universality in the Classical Limit of Massless Gravitational Scattering, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 031601 (2020).
- [78] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo, and G. Veneziano, Universality of ultra-relativistic gravitational scattering, Phys. Lett. B 811, 135924 (2020).
- [79] M. Accettulli Huber, A. Brandhuber, S. De Angelis, and G. Travaglini, From amplitudes to gravitational radiation with cubic interactions and tidal effects, Phys. Rev. D 103, 045015 (2021).
- [80] T. Damour, Radiative contribution to classical gravitational scattering at the third order in *G*, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 124008 (2020).
- [81] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo, and G. Veneziano, Radiation reaction from soft theorems, Phys. Lett. B 818, 136379 (2021).
- [82] D. Bini, T. Damour, and A. Geralico, Radiative contributions to gravitational scattering, Phys. Rev. D 104, 084031 (2021).
- [83] S. Mougiakakos, M. M. Riva, and F. Vernizzi, Gravitational Bremsstrahlung in the post-Minkowskian effective field theory, Phys. Rev. D 104, 024041 (2021).
- [84] G. U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka, and J. Steinhoff, Classical Gravitational Bremsstrahlung from a Worldline Quantum Field Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 201103 (2021).
- [85] G. U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka, and J. Steinhoff, Gravitational Bremsstrahlung and Hidden Supersymmetry of Spinning Bodies, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 011101 (2022).
- [86] D. Bini and A. Geralico, Higher-order tail contributions to the energy and angular momentum fluxes in a two-body scattering process, Phys. Rev. D 104, 104020 (2021).
- [87] A. V. Manohar, A. K. Ridgway, and C.-H. Shen, Radiated angular momentum and dissipative effects in classical scattering, arXiv:2203.04283.
- [88] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, and R. Russo, Angular momentum of zero-frequency gravitons, arXiv:2203.11915.
- [89] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo, and G. Veneziano, The eikonal operator at arbitrary velocities I: The soft-radiation limit, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2022) 039.
- [90] J. Parra-Martinez, M. S. Ruf, and M. Zeng, Extremal black hole scattering at $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$: Graviton dominance, eikonal exponentiation, and differential equations, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2020) 023.

- [91] C. Anastasiou and K. Melnikov, Higgs boson production at hadron colliders in NNLO QCD, Nucl. Phys. B646, 220 (2002).
- [92] C. Anastasiou, L. J. Dixon, and K. Melnikov, NLO Higgs boson rapidity distributions at hadron colliders, Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. **116**, 193 (2003).
- [93] C. Anastasiou, L. J. Dixon, K. Melnikov, and F. Petriello, Dilepton Rapidity Distribution in the Drell-Yan Process at Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order in QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 182002 (2003).
- [94] C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, E. Furlan, F. Herzog, and B. Mistlberger, Soft expansion of double-real-virtual corrections to Higgs production at N³LO, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2015) 051.
- [95] F. Tkachov, A theorem on analytical calculability of 4-loop renormalization group functions, Phys. Lett. B 100, 65 (1981).
- [96] K. Chetyrkin and F. Tkachov, Integration by parts: The algorithm to calculate β -functions in 4 loops, Nucl. Phys. **B192**, 159 (1981).
- [97] V. A. Smirnov, Analytic Tools for Feynman Integrals (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2012).
- [98] A. Kotikov, Differential equations method. New technique for massive Feynman diagram calculation, Phys. Lett. B 254, 158 (1991).
- [99] A. V. Kotikov, Differential equation method. The calculation of *N*-point Feynman diagrams, Phys. Lett. B 267, 123 (1991); Erratum, Phys. Lett. B 295, 409 (1992).
- [100] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, and D. A. Kosower, Dimensionally regulated one-loop integrals, Phys. Lett. B **302**, 299 (1993); Erratum, Phys. Lett. B **318**, 649 (1993).
- [101] T. Gehrmann and E. Remiddi, Differential equations for two-loop four-point functions, Nucl. Phys. B580, 485 (2000).
- [102] J. M. Henn, Multiloop Integrals in Dimensional Regularization Made Simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 251601 (2013); Erratum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 251601 (2013).
- [103] S. Caron-Huot and J. M. Henn, Iterative structure of finite loop integrals, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2014) 114.
- [104] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.044013 for the complete expressions to our Feynman rules, the first-order deflections in the trajectories of the two bodies, the stressenergy tensor at next-to-leading order in G, and the components of the radiated four-momentum.
- [105] R. A. Porto and I. Z. Rothstein, Spin(1)spin(2) effects in the motion of inspiralling compact binaries at third order in

the post-Newtonian expansion, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 044012 (2008); Erratum, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 029904 (2010).

- [106] R. A. Porto and I. Z. Rothstein, Next to leading order spin(1)spin(1) effects in the motion of inspiralling compact binaries, Phys. Rev. D 78, 044013 (2008); Erratum, Phys. Rev. D 81, 029905 (2010).
- [107] K. Yee and M. Bander, Equations of motion for spinning particles in external electromagnetic and gravitational fields, Phys. Rev. D 48, 2797 (1993).
- [108] A. J. Hanson and T. Regge, The relativistic spherical top, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 87, 498 (1974).
- [109] M. H. L. Pryce, The mass-centre in the restricted theory of relativity and its connexion with the quantum theory of elementary particles, Proc. R. Soc. A **195**, 62 (1948).
- [110] T. D. Newton and E. P. Wigner, Localized states for elementary systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 21, 400 (1949).
- [111] W. Tulczyjew, Motion of multipole particles in general relativity theory, Acta Phys. Pol. **18**, 37 (1959).
- [112] W. G. Laarakkers and E. Poisson, Quadrupole moments of rotating neutron stars, Astrophys. J. 512, 282 (1999).
- [113] H. S. Chia and T. D. P. Edwards, Searching for general binary inspirals with gravitational waves, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 11 (2020) 033.
- [114] M. Levi and J. Steinhoff, Spinning gravitating objects in the effective field theory in the post-Newtonian scheme, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2015) 219.
- [115] W. D. Goldberger and I. Z. Rothstein, Effective field theory of gravity for extended objects, Phys. Rev. D 73, 104029 (2006).
- [116] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Mechanics* (Pergamon Press, New York, 1960).
- [117] W. D. Goldberger and A. K. Ridgway, Radiation and the classical double copy for color charges, Phys. Rev. D 95, 125010 (2017).
- [118] R. N. Lee, Presenting LiteRed: A tool for the Loop InTEgrals REDuction, arXiv:1212.2685.
- [119] R. N. Lee, LiteRed 1.4: A powerful tool for reduction of multiloop integrals, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 523, 012059 (2014).
- [120] G. Cho, R. A. Porto, and Z. Yang, Gravitational radiation from inspiralling compact objects: Spin effects to fourth Post-Newtonian order, arXiv:2201.05138.
- [121] G. Cho, G. Kälin, and R. A. Porto (private communication).
- [122] J. M. Martín-García, xAct: Efficient tensor computer algebra for the Wolfram Language, http://www.xact.es/.