# Fluid-dynamic approach to heavy-quark diffusion in the quark-gluon plasma

F. Capellino<sup>(0)</sup>,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> A. Beraudo<sup>(0)</sup>,<sup>3,†</sup> A. Dubla<sup>(0)</sup>,<sup>1,‡</sup> S. Floerchinger,<sup>4,§</sup> S. Masciocchi<sup>(0)</sup>,<sup>1,2,||</sup> J. Pawlowski<sup>(0)</sup>,<sup>5,¶</sup> and I. Selyuzhenkov<sup>(0)</sup>,<sup>1,\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, 64291 Darmstadt, Germany <sup>2</sup>Physikalisches Institut, Universität Heidelberg, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany <sup>3</sup>INFN - Sezione di Torino, via P.Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy

<sup>4</sup>Theoretisch-Physikalisches Institut Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, 07743 Jena, Germany <sup>5</sup>Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

(Received 17 May 2022; accepted 28 July 2022; published 19 August 2022)

A fluid-dynamic approach to the diffusion of heavy quarks in the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) is presented. Specifically, we analyze the Fokker-Planck equation for the momentum transport of heavy quarks from a fluid perspective and use a mapping to second-order fluid dynamics to determine conductivities and relaxation times governing their spatial diffusion. By investigating the relation between the two approaches, we provide new insights concerning the level of local thermalization of charm and bottom quarks inside the expanding QGP. Our results indicate that a fluid-dynamic description of diffusion is feasible for charm quarks at least for the latest stages of the fireball evolution.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.106.034021

### I. INTRODUCTION

Relativistic viscous hydrodynamics is a widely used tool in the description of heavy-ion collisions. The assumption of the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) behaving as an expanding fluid successfully managed to explain light-flavor observables such as particle spectra and flow harmonic coefficients [1-3], suggesting that the mean-free path of the light quarks and gluons is substantially smaller than the size of the created fireball. In particular, elliptic flow is an important probe of collectivity in the system created in heavy-ion collisions. It is a response to initial conditions and therefore sensitive to the early and strongly interacting phase of the evolution. Remarkably, recent experimental results [4,5] show that open heavy-flavor and charmonium states—D mesons,  $J/\psi$ —have significantly positive elliptic flow. These observations raised questions about the possible heavy-quark (local) thermalization in the QGP [6].

Heavy quarks are very powerful tools to characterize the QGP produced in heavy-ion collisions. Due to their large mass, they are produced via hard scattering processes at the very beginning of the collision and undergo all the stages of the evolution of the expanding medium. The timescale

required for the heavy quarks to approach local kinetic equilibrium is expected to be a factor  $\sim M/T$  larger than the one for light quarks [7], where *M* is the heavy-quark mass and *T* is the temperature of the medium.

A variety of transport models (for a recent review see [8]) based on the Boltzmann equation or its approximations were developed in the past years, addressing the issue of heavy-quark in-medium dynamics. These models treat the heavy quarks as Brownian particles that undergo elastic and (possibly) radiative scattering processes with the partons from the QGP. The heavy-quark momentum is modified only slightly during each individual scattering, meaning that several interactions are required in order to change it significantly. This implies that local kinetic equilibrium can be reached by charm and bottom guarks only for rather long timescales. Therefore, recent theoretical developments are mainly focused on the evaluation of the transport coefficients which characterize the medium and parametrize the interaction between the heavy quarks and the light partons from the QGP. Great effort was put toward a consistent estimate of these transport coefficients through a systematic comparison between model predictions and experimental data.

Our purpose here is to address the question of heavyquark in-medium thermalization from a new point of view. We treat the heavy quarks as part of the medium itself, somehow in analogy to the most recent implementation of the statistical hadronization model (SHM) [6,9–11]. We assume heavy quarks had enough time to interact with the light thermal partons and to approach local kinetic

<sup>\*</sup>f.capellino@gsi.de

beraudo@to.infn.it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>a.dubla@cern.ch

stefan.floerchinger@uni-jena.de

s.masciocchi@gsi.de

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¶</sup>j.pawlowski@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de

<sup>\*</sup>ilya.selyuzhenkov@gmail.com

equilibrium. This will be found to be a reasonable assumption for charm in the stages in which the expansion of the medium is not too violent. In this case heavy-quark number conservation laws and the related continuity equations can be used to describe the diffusion dynamics in spacetime. While one can realistically assume that charm quarks manage to get quite close to local kinetic equilibrium, a chemical thermalization would only happen over larger timescales: hence the heavy quark multiplicity is set by the initial production in hard scattering processes and remains almost unchanged during the medium evolution.

In spite of introducing new dissipative quantities to initialize, such a theoretical description is still much more economic than a numerical solution of the Boltzmann equation.

Eventually, heavy quarks could even affect the dynamics of the QGP itself, and this could be naturally encoded into a system of coupled hydrodynamic equations. However, as a starting point, it is reasonable to think that the heavy quarks do not influence significantly the energy density, pressure, velocity, or shear stress of the medium. These quantities are mostly determined by the thermodynamics of the light quarks and gluons degrees of freedom. The heavy quarks can be added "on top" of the fluid, and their fluid dynamics is described by additional conserved currents. In the following we pursue such an on top description. By studying the connection between hydrodynamics and transport theory (Fokker-Planck equation) we obtain new insights on the mechanisms of "hydrodynamization" of the heavy degrees of freedom in the QGP medium.

This work is structured as follows. In Sec. II we present the aspects of transport theory which are relevant for our treatment and give a short overview about heavy-quark transport coefficients in the literature. In Sec. III we introduce the conserved currents associated with the heavy quark propagation in the QGP. In Sec. IVA we build a relation between the transport coefficients defined in the hydrodynamic framework and the ones in transport theory. In Sec. IV B we show the results for the numerical evaluation of the hydrodynamic transport coefficients. In Sec. V we test the validity of the hydrodynamic description of heavy quarks in the case of a QGP undergoing Bjorken flow. Finally, in Sec. VI we draw our conclusions and discuss possible developments and future perspectives.

# II. THE FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION AND HEAVY-QUARK TRANSPORT COEFFICIENTS

In this section we present the Fokker-Planck equation as an approximation of the Boltzmann equation, and we give an overview about heavy-quark transport coefficients.

The Boltzmann equation relates the change in time of the (out-of-equilibrium) distribution function  $f_k$  of a certain particle, with momentum k, to the collision integral  $C[f_k]$ ,

$$k^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_{k} = C[f_{k}]. \tag{1}$$

Let us consider the collision integral for the elastic scattering between a heavy quark of initial momentum k and a light parton from the medium of initial momentum k'. Denoting the outgoing momenta of the heavy quark and parton with p and p', the collision integral in its classical form then reads

$$C[f_k^{(r)}] = \int dK' dP dP' W_{kk' \to pp'}(f_p^{(r)} f_{p'} - f_k^{(r)} f_{k'}), \qquad (2)$$

where  $W_{kk' \rightarrow pp'}$  is the scattering rate for the aforementioned process and *r* is an index accounting for the heavy quark (charm/bottom) or antiquark (anticharm/antibottom). We employed the abbreviation

$$\int dP = g \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3 p^0} \tag{3}$$

to indicate the integral over the phase space of a particle with four-momentum  $p^{\mu}$ . The degeneracy factor gaccounts for internal degrees of freedom (spin, color, etc.), and the time component of the four-momentum  $p^0$  is evaluated on-shell.

The Fokker-Planck equation is an approximation of the Boltzmann equation in the limit of *multiple soft scatterings* between the heavy quark and a parton from the medium. We recall their relation in a situation where the fluid of gluons and light quarks is stationary and homogeneous. This is sufficient to define the transport coefficient of interest for this work. The collision integral expanded in terms of the small transferred momentum q = p - k up to second order in momentum derivatives reads

$$C[f_k^{(r)}] = k^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial k^i} \left\{ A^i f_k^{(r)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial k^j} [B^{ij} f_k^{(r)}] \right\}, \qquad (4)$$

where the indices *i*, j = 1, 2, 3 run over the spatial components of the correspondent four-momentum vector. The tensors  $A^i$  and  $B^{ij}$  describing the interaction of the heavy quark with the medium arise naturally from the momentum expansion of the collision integral. For an isotropic medium, after factorizing the tensorial structure, they can be rewritten as

$$A^{i}(\vec{k}) = A(k)k^{i},$$
  

$$B^{ij}(\vec{k}) = (\delta^{ij} - \hat{k}^{i}\hat{k}^{j})B_{0}(k) + \hat{k}^{i}\hat{k}^{j}B_{1}(k),$$
(5)

where we used  $\vec{k}$  to indicate the spatial part of the fourmomentum vector  $k^{\mu}$  and  $\hat{k}^i \equiv k^i / |\vec{k}|$ , with  $k \equiv |\vec{k}|$ . A(k) represents a *drag* coefficient, and  $B_0$  and  $B_1$  play the role of *momentum-diffusion* coefficients along the directions orthogonal and parallel to the heavy-quark velocity, respectively (for a full derivation see e.g., Ref. [12]).<sup>1</sup> The Einstein fluctuation-dissipation (EFD) relation for the three transport coefficients reads

$$A = \frac{1}{Tk^0} B_1 - \frac{1}{k^2} \left[ 2(B_1 - B_0) + k \frac{\partial B_1}{\partial k} \right], \tag{6}$$

in three spatial dimensions, where *T* is the temperature of the surrounding medium and  $k^0 \equiv \sqrt{k^2 + M^2}$  is the energy of the on-shell heavy quark [14,15]. This ensures that, asymptotically, the heavy quark momentum distribution approaches the Maxwell-Jüttner limit  $f_k^{(r)} \sim e^{-k^0/T}$ . An extension accounting for quantum corrections for the heavy quarks (Pauli blocking) asymptotically approaching a Fermi-Dirac distribution is discussed in Appendix A.

Very often in phenomenological studies one attempts to summarize the heavy-quark coupling with the medium in terms of a single coefficient, the spatial diffusion coefficient  $D_s$ , identified via the asymptotic mean squared displacement  $\langle \vec{x}^2 \rangle \sim 6D_s t$  of an ensemble of heavy quarks initially placed at the origin. One can show that, as long as the dynamics is nonrelativistic  $(M \gg T)$ , the latter is related to the other transport coefficient by

$$D_s = \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{T}{MA(k)},\tag{7}$$

where k is the heavy-quark momentum and M is its mass. Recent constraints  $1.5 < 2\pi D_s T_{pc} < 4.5$  at the pseudocritical temperature  $T_{pc} = 0.155$  GeV [16] were obtained by fitting various transport models to ALICE experimental data for the nuclear modification factor  $R_{AA}$ , elliptic  $(v_2)$ , and triangular  $(v_3)$  flow of D mesons in Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV. This constraint corresponds to a thermalization time of about ~3–9 fm/c at  $T_{pc} = 0.155$  GeV for charm quarks of mass M = 1.5 GeV (the link between  $D_s$  and the relaxation time will be better clarified in the following). In this work, we assume that this estimate for  $D_s$  is also applicable at temperatures above  $T_{pc}$  and for bottom quarks. Beside this phenomenological estimate, in this work we also employ lattice-QCD (IQCD) results for  $D_s$  taken from Ref. [17]. Other results can be found in Refs. [18–20]. The lattice-QCD results for  $D_s$  (so far limited to the quenched approximation) used in this paper arise from calculations of color-electric field correlators performed in the static  $M \to \infty$  limit, which provide the momentum broadening of an infinitely heavy quark (for more details see [21] and references therein). The above estimates for  $D_s$  are expected to be more reliable for objects with a larger mass, such as bottom quarks. Nevertheless, as done in Ref. [19], one can attempt to apply these results to charm quarks as well. Notice that the quenched approximation requires a global adaptation of scales from pure Yang-Mills theory to QCD. Such a procedure was suggested in [22] for the shear viscosity over entropy ratio  $\eta/s$ , and will be applied to the heavy-quark transport coefficients in a continuation of the present work.

An alternative approach toward the computation of heavy-quark transport coefficients has been suggested recently, based on the theory of open quantum systems [23] within the effective field theory (EFT) framework of potential nonrelativistic QCD (pNRQCD) calculations [24]. It avoids some of the above limitations, and in particular it allows for lattice estimates of heavy-quark transport coefficients beyond the quenched approximation.

# III. THE HYDRODYNAMIC APPROACH TO HEAVY QUARKS

The aim of the present section is to introduce the heavyquark conserved current which propagates causally in the QGP. As discussed in the previous section, the transport coefficients fitted to reproduce experimental data or estimated from lattice-QCD simulations suggest the possibility at least for charm quarks to approach kinetic equilibrium during their propagation in the hot fireball arising from the nuclear collision. Hence it looks reasonable to develop a hydrodynamic approach also for the modeling of the heavy-quark dynamics in the quark-gluon plasma that we are going to present in this section.

It is crucial to construct the hydrodynamic approach such that causality is preserved even in the presence of dissipative effects associated with the finite mean-free path of the plasma particles. We employ an Israel-Stewart type formalism-or second-order hydrodynamics-in which the dissipative quantities (the heavy-quark diffusion currents in this case) are promoted to dynamical variables which evolve according to certain equations of motion. Here these equations are governed by conductivities and relaxation times. The relaxation times have to be large enough in order to prevent the noncausal behavior; at the same time they have to be smaller than the inverse expansion rate of the fireball (coinciding with the longitudinal proper time  $\tau$  for a pure longitudinal Bjorken expansion) in order for the hydrodynamic approach to hold. What the relaxation time tells us is that we are dealing with out-of-equilibrium transient hydrodynamics for a timescale of the order of the relaxation time itself. This relaxation toward a hydrodynamic phase is often called hydrodynamization (see e.g., [25]).

In our specific problem, we want to include the conservation of a heavy quark–antiquark  $(Q\bar{Q})$  current. Two relevant heavy-quark currents are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The transport coefficients entering into the Fokker-Planck approach are in one-to-one correspondence with the ones appearing in the Langevin equation, the latter being employed when one is interested in simulating the dynamics of the individual heavy quarks. One has for the transverse/longitudinal momentum broadening  $\kappa_{T/L}(p) = 2B_{0/1}(p)$ , while in the Ito discretization scheme the two friction coefficients exactly coincide,  $\eta_D(p) = A(p)$  [13].

$$N^{\mu}_{+} \equiv \frac{N^{\mu}_{Q} + N^{\mu}_{\bar{Q}}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad N^{\mu}_{-} \equiv N^{\mu}_{Q} - N^{\mu}_{\bar{Q}}, \qquad (8)$$

associated with the conservation of the average (+) and net (-) heavy-quark numbers, respectively. Notice that in the situation of experimental interest the net heavy-quark number vanishes and their average number coincides with the number of  $Q\bar{Q}$  pairs initially produced in the hard scattering processes and conserved throughout the fireball evolution. The number of  $Q\bar{Q}$  pairs is expected to be accidentally conserved during the evolution of the QGP. The mass of the heavy quarks is too large for them to be thermally produced [26]. At the same time their annihilation rate is too small to lead to a measurable loss of  $Q\bar{Q}$  pairs during the short lifetime of the plasma. Hence their final multiplicity is fixed by the initial production in hard partonic processes described by pQCD. On the other hand, the net heavy-quark number is expected to be *exactly* conserved in QCD due to the symmetry of the interaction. The loss of a single quark/antiquark is in fact forbidden by flavor conservation. The net heavy-quark current is not conserved by electroweak interactions instead. However, electroweak processes can be considered negligible within the lifetime of the QGP since they require much longer timescales.

Since the numbers of heavy quarks and antiquarks are separately conserved within the fireball lifetime, following the work in Ref. [27], we write the corresponding conserved currents including dissipative corrections as

$$N^{\mu}_{(r)} = n_{(r)}u^{\mu} + \nu^{\mu}_{(r)},$$
  
$$\partial_{\mu}N^{\mu}_{(r)} = 0.$$
(9)

Here r = Q or  $\bar{Q}$ ,  $u^{\mu}$  is the fluid four-velocity, and  $\nu_{(r)}^{\mu}$  are the heavy-(anti)quark diffusion currents, constructed to be orthogonal to  $u^{\mu}$ , i.e.,  $u_{\mu}\nu_{(r)}^{\mu} = 0$ . Notice that this last condition entails that in the local rest frame (LRF) of the fluid—in which  $u^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ —the time component of the diffusion currents  $\nu_{(r)}^{0}$  vanishes. In this frame the time component of the current  $N_{(r)}^{\mu}$  defines then the heavy-(anti)quark density  $n_{(r)}$  even in the presence of dissipative corrections. At local kinetic equilibrium, we consider for quarks and antiquarks a Boltzmann distribution,

$$f_{0k}^{(r)} = \exp\left(\frac{-E_k + \mu_r}{T}\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(\frac{-E_k + q_r \mu_Q^{\text{net}} + \mu_Q^{\text{ave}}/2}{T}\right), \quad (10)$$

where  $E_k = u_\mu k^\mu$ . The  $\mu_Q^{\text{net}}$  is the chemical potential associated with net  $N_-^\mu$  conserved current, and  $q_r$  is a charge factor—positive for quarks and negative for antiquarks. Additionally, one should consider that heavy quarks are produced out of chemical equilibrium in the QGP and their number is conserved during the subsequent evolution of the fireball. A chemical potential  $\mu_Q^{\text{ave}}$ , the same for quarks and antiquarks, associated with their average number must be included in order to account for such a deviation from full thermodynamic equilibrium. In summary, one has

$$\mu_Q = \mu_Q^{\text{ave}}/2 + \mu_Q^{\text{net}},$$
  
$$\mu_{\bar{Q}} = \mu_Q^{\text{ave}}/2 - \mu_Q^{\text{net}},$$
(11)

consistent with the thermodynamic identities

$$n_r = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \mu_r}, \qquad n_- = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \mu_O^{\text{net}}}, \qquad n_+ = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \mu_O^{\text{ave}}}.$$
 (12)

It is often convenient to introduce the heavy-quark fugacity  $\gamma_Q \equiv e^{\mu_Q^{\text{ave}/2T}}$  which can be factored out from the heavy (anti)quark distributions:

$$f_{0k}^{(r)} = \gamma_Q \exp\left(\frac{-E_k + q_r \mu_Q^{\text{net}}}{T}\right).$$
(13)

In Appendix **B** we provide an estimate of  $\gamma_O$  in the case of a fluid undergoing Bjorken flow. In the following, we simply focus on the conservation of the average heavyquark number, since in most cases one is not interested in distinguishing hadrons arising from a Q or  $\overline{Q}$  parent parton (an exception could be the difference  $\Delta v_1$  in the direct flow of  $D^0$  and  $\overline{D}^0$  mesons proposed as a tool to extract information on the primordial magnetic field in the plasma [28]). Furthermore, for simplicity we assume that  $N_{-}^{\mu} = 0$ , i.e.,  $\mu_0^{\text{net}} = 0$ , since the initial hard processes lead to the production of the same number of quarks and antiquarks and we neglect any local unbalance developing during the hydrodynamic evolution. We define then  $\sum_r n_0^{(r)}/2 \equiv n_+$ and  $\sum_r \nu_{(r)}^{\mu}/2 \equiv \nu_+^{\mu}$ . In this case, the dynamic evolution of the relevant diffusion current will be driven by a single chemical potential  $\mu_Q = \mu_{\bar{Q}} = \mu_Q^{\text{ave}}/2$ . We look for an equation of motion for the particle diffusion current in the form

$$\tau_n \Delta^{\mu}_{\rho} u^{\sigma} \partial_{\sigma} \nu^{\rho}_+ + \nu^{\mu}_+ = \kappa_n \nabla^{\mu} \left( \frac{\mu_Q}{T} \right), \tag{14}$$

where  $\Delta^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - u^{\mu}u^{\nu}$  is the projector onto the space orthogonal to the fluid velocity and we defined the transverse gradient  $\nabla^{\mu} \equiv \Delta^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\nu}$ . This is a relaxation-type equation in which terms of higher order in the gradients are neglected. Two transport coefficients are present in Eq. (14), namely the relaxation time  $\tau_n$  and the particlediffusion coefficient  $\kappa_n$ . The presence of a relaxation time, as anticipated, is necessary in order to ensure the causality of the equation. For  $\tau \gg \tau_n$ ,  $\nu_+^{\mu}$  relaxes to its Navier-Stokes limit  $\nu_+^{\mu} = \kappa_n \nabla^{\mu} (\mu_O/T)$ .

# IV. HEAVY-QUARK RELAXATION TIME AND TRANSPORT COEFFICIENTS

The purpose of this section is twofold. First, we study the relation between the transport coefficients defined in the hydrodynamic approach and the ones defined in transport theory (Fokker-Planck equation). Second, we show our numerical results for the hydrodynamic transport coefficients.

### A. Matching Fokker-Planck with hydrodynamics

The definition of the heavy-quark relaxation time  $\tau_n$ and diffusion coefficient  $\kappa_n$  are deeply related to the collision integral entering the Boltzmann equation. One can start from the Fokker-Planck equation for the heavy (anti)quark distribution  $f_k^{(r)}$ , written for the case of a homogeneous fluid at rest, and integrate subsequent moments of it, taking at the end the proper linear combination to get an equation for the diffusion current  $\nu_{+}^{\mu}$ . The zeroth moment simply gives the conservation or continuity equation, which, in the fluid rest frame, reduces to

$$\partial_t n_+ + \partial_i \nu_+^i = 0. \tag{15}$$

The first moment gives

$$\partial_{t} \int dKk^{0}k^{l}f_{k}^{(r)} + \partial_{i} \int dKk^{l}k^{i}f_{k}^{(r)}$$
$$= \int dKk^{l} \left(k^{0}\frac{\partial}{\partial k^{i}} \left\{A^{i}f_{k}^{(r)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial k^{j}}[B^{ij}f_{k}^{(r)}]\right\}\right). \quad (16)$$

We use the following decomposition for  $f_k^{(r)}$ :

$$f_k^{(r)} = f_{0k}^{(r)} + \delta f_k^{(r)}, \qquad (17)$$

where in the equilibrium part we allow the chemical potential to depend on the spacetime point x, allowing for the development of a local excess of heavy quarks. In the following we employ a simplified version of the approach developed by Denicol *et al.* in Ref. [27],<sup>2</sup> i.e., the *method of irreducible moments*. We first present the general features of the method. Later on in this section, we truncate the moment expansion at rank-2 tensors, indicating that the only relevant dissipative quantities are the heavy-quark bulk pressure, diffusion current, and shear-stress tensor, often referred to as the 14-moment approximation.

The deviation from local equilibrium  $\delta f_k^{(r)}$  expanded in terms of its moments,

$$\rho_{(r)}^{\langle \mu_1..\mu_l \rangle} \equiv \Delta_{\nu_1..\nu_l}^{\mu_1..\mu_l} \int dK k^{\langle \nu_1}..k^{\nu_l \rangle} \delta f_k^{(r)}, \qquad (18)$$

reads as follows:

$$\delta f_k^{(r)} = f_{0k}^{(r)} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_l^{(r)} \rho_{(r)}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_l} k_{\langle \mu_1 \dots k_{\mu_l} \rangle} \right), \tag{19}$$

where  $a_l^{(r)}$  are the coefficients of the linear expansion. The projectors  $\Delta_{\nu_1..\nu_l}^{\mu_1..\mu_l}$  to the fully symmetric, transverse, and traceless part of a tensor are defined as in [27,30]. Given a tensor  $A^{\nu_1..\nu_l}$ , by applying the projector  $\Delta_{\nu_1..\nu_l}^{\mu_1..\mu_l}$  one obtains

$$A^{\langle \mu_1..\mu_l \rangle} \equiv \Delta^{\mu_1..\mu_l}_{\nu_1..\nu_l} A^{\nu_1..\nu_l}.$$
(20)

Stopping the expansion at second order one only needs the usual transverse projector  $\Delta^{\mu}_{\nu}$  and

$$\Delta_{\nu_1\nu_2}^{\mu_1\mu_2} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( \Delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_1} \Delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_2} + \Delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_1} \Delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_2} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \Delta^{\mu_1\mu_2} \Delta_{\nu_1\nu_2}.$$
 (21)

According to this definition, one has

$$\rho_{(r)} = -\frac{3}{M^2} \Pi_{(r)}, 
\rho_{(r)}^{\mu} = \nu_{(r)}^{\mu}, 
\rho_{(r)}^{\mu\nu} = \pi_{(r)}^{\mu\nu},$$
(22)

being, respectively, the bulk pressure, the diffusion current, and the shear stress tensor associated with the heavy (anti)quarks. In getting these results one has exploited the Landau matching conditions, which ensure that

$$\int dK(k \cdot u) \delta f_k^{(r)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int dK(k \cdot u)^2 \delta f_k^{(r)} = 0.$$
(23)

They are a way of fixing a temperature and chemical potential of the system, even when the latter is offequilibrium, starting from the knowledge of the particle and energy density, obtained from the first two moments of the particle distribution.

By neglecting moments  $\rho_{(r)}^{\mu_1..\mu_l}$  of rank higher than 2, the dissipative correction to the heavy-quark distribution reads then

$$\delta f_k^{(r)} = f_{0k}^{(r)} \left( -a_0^{(r)} \frac{3}{M^2} \Pi_{(r)} + a_1^{(r)} \nu_{(r)}^{\mu} k_{\langle \mu \rangle} + a_2^{(r)} \pi_{(r)}^{\mu\sigma} k_{\langle \mu} k_{\sigma \rangle} \right).$$
(24)

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ The method of moments was originally proposed by Grad [29]. Denicol *et al.* developed its generalization by employing irreducible moments.

In the expression above one can determine the coefficients  $a_l^{(r)}$  exploiting the definition of the bulk pressure, diffusion current and shear stress in terms of the first three moments of  $\delta f_k^{(r)}$ , respectively (see Appendix C), obtaining

$$a_0^{(r)} = \frac{1}{I_{00}^{(r)}}, \qquad a_1^{(r)} = -\frac{1}{P_0^{(r)}}, \qquad a_2^{(r)} = \frac{1}{2I_{42}^{(r)}}, \quad (25)$$

where  $P_0^{(r)}$  is the heavy-quark contribution to the pressure and the thermodynamic integrals  $I_{nq}^{(r)}$ , for the case of a medium at rest, are defined according to Ref. [27] as

$$I_{nq}^{(r)} = \frac{1}{(2q+1)!!} \int dK(k^0)^{n-2q} k^{2q} f_{0k}^{(r)}.$$
 (26)

Notice that the bulk pressure and shear-stress associated with the heavy (anti)quarks are expected to be much smaller than the ones appearing in the stress-energy tensor of the fluid dominated by gluons and light quarks. Furthermore they will enter in the equation for the heavy-quark diffusion current only through their derivatives, providing corrections at least of second order in the gradients. Thus, we will neglect them in our treatment. We can then approximate

$$\delta f_k^{(r)} \approx -\frac{1}{P_0^{(r)}} f_{0k}^{(r)} \nu_{(r)}^{\mu} k_{\langle \mu \rangle}.$$
(27)

At first order in the gradients (i.e., neglecting bulk and shear corrections—see Appendix D for more details on the calculations) we find a relaxation-type equation for the diffusion current of the form of Eq. (14), where the transport coefficients read

$$\tau_n = \frac{I_{31}^{(r)}}{(1/3) \int dK k^0 A k^2 f_{0k}^{(r)}},$$
(28)

$$\kappa_n = \frac{P_0^{(r)}T}{(1/3)\int dK k^0 A k^2 f_0^{(r)}} n_0^{(r)}.$$
 (29)

If one neglects the momentum dependence of the momentum-diffusion coefficients, assuming  $D \equiv B_0 = B_1$ , which is shown to be a reliable approximation up to heavy-quark momentum  $k \sim 5$  GeV for bottom quarks [31], and imposing the Einstein relation  $A(k) = D/E_kT$ , one obtains

$$\tau_n = \frac{TI_{31}}{DP_0} = \frac{D_s I_{31}}{TP_0},$$
(30)

$$\kappa_n = \frac{T^2}{D} n_0^{(r)} = D_s n_0^{(r)}, \qquad (31)$$

where we find that the relation  $D_s = T^2/D$  between the spatial  $(D_s)$  and momentum (D) diffusion coefficients,



FIG. 1. Heavy-quark relaxation time  $\tau_n$  multiplied by temperature *T* as a function of M/T. The red band is computed using  $D_s$ estimates coming from lattice-QCD simulations [17]. The blue band is computed using estimates for  $D_s$  coming from fits to ALICE experimental data [16].

usually found in studying the nonrelativistic Brownian motion, arises naturally and holds also in this case in which the heavy particle undergoes a relativistic dynamics, with  $E_k = \sqrt{k^2 + M^2}$ . This is a nontrivial result, valid as long as the momentum dependence of *D* can be neglected. The index *r* in Eq. (30) was omitted since the ratio  $I_{31}/P_0$  is equal for quarks and antiquarks. Notice that in the non-relativistic limit we have

$$k^0 \sim M,$$
  
$$I_{31} \sim MP_0, \tag{32}$$

and thus  $\tau_n = A^{-1}$ . This represents an important consistency check, since  $\tau_n$  approaches, in the  $M \gg T$  limit, the well-known result for the relaxation time arising from the solution of the nonrelativistic Fokker-Planck equation.

#### B. Heavy-quark relaxation time

In Fig. 1 the relaxation time  $\tau_n$  multiplied by the temperature is shown as a function of the ratio M/T. Here and in the next plots the range spanned by  $\tau_n$  is highlighted by the colored bands. Different colored bands correspond to different  $D_s$  estimates coming from lattice-QCD simulations [17] and from fits to ALICE experimental data [16]. The heavy-quark relaxation time increases linearly with the M/T ratio when the latter is large enough. At a given temperature, the relaxation time is then larger for heavier quarks, as expected. This entails that the nonhydrodynamic phase is lasting longer for bottom quarks with respect to charm quarks. The relaxation time  $\tau_n$  is observed to be positive even at zero mass. This observation, although referring to a limiting case outside the domain of validity of our approximations, is in agreement with the second-order hydrodynamic description and guarantees causal propagation.



FIG. 2. The heavy-quark relaxation time as a function of M/T is compared to the inverse of the Fokker-Planck drag coefficient *A*. Dimensionless units rescaled by the temperature are employed. The two curves coincide in the nonrelativistic limit, i.e., for large values of M/T.

In Fig. 2 we compare—in dimensionless units rescaled by the temperature—our estimate for the relaxation time  $\tau_n$ with the inverse of the Fokker-Planck drag coefficient Aarising from the nonrelativistic Einstein fluctuationdissipation relation  $A^{-1} = (M/T)D_s$ . They are both computed according to a spatial diffusion coefficient given by  $2\pi D_s T_c = 3.7$ , which falls in both the lattice-QCD and ALICE ranges. If one assumes that this last estimate holds also at higher temperatures, the results plotted in Fig. 2 do not depend on the specific value of T. Notice that one can recast the nonrelativistic Einstein relation in a dimensionless form suited to highlight its linear (M/T) scaling

$$A^{-1}T = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{M}{T}\right) (2\pi D_s T),\tag{33}$$

manifest in Fig. 2. We observe that for large values of M/T the two curves coincide, and hence our calculation for the heavy-quark relaxation time  $\tau_n$  leads to the correct non-relativistic limit, allowing one to get at the same time a more realistic estimate for the latter in a kinematic range in which the nonrelativistic approximation is no longer justified.

# V. VALIDITY OF THE HYDRODYNAMIC DESCRIPTION OF HEAVY QUARKS

In this section we test the validity of the fluid-dynamic description of heavy quarks in the case of a QGP undergoing Bjorken flow.

To estimate whether it is conceivable for the heavy quarks to be described by fluid dynamics within an expanding medium before the freeze-out occurs, the relaxation time  $\tau_n$  of charm and bottom quarks is compared with the typical expansion time  $\tau_{exp}$  of the fluid, defined as the inverse of its expansion rate. One would be able to treat heavy-quark transport with hydrodynamics only if  $\tau_n \ll \tau_{exp}$ . We assume here the fluid expansion to be described by the Bjorken flow model [32], in which a purely longitudinal expansion along the beam axis is considered. The system is assumed to be invariant under longitudinal Lorentz boosts, and the velocity profile has the form of a Hubble-law expansion along the beam axis *z*,

$$v_x = v_y = 0, \qquad v_z = \frac{z}{t}.$$
 (34)

Moreover, in the Bjorken framework, all the thermodynamic quantities depend only on  $\tau \equiv \sqrt{t^2 - z^2}$ , that is, the *longitudinal proper time* measured by a clock in the local rest frame of the fluid. In the case of an ideal expansion, due to entropy conservation, the temperature follows the power law

$$T(\tau) = T_0 \left(\frac{\tau_0}{\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}},\tag{35}$$

with  $T_0 = T(\tau_0)$  being the temperature of the system at  $\tau_0$  (formation time of the QGP). The expansion rate of the fluid in the case of this simple flow is given by  $\theta = \nabla_{\mu} u^{\mu} = 1/\tau$ , so the typical expansion timescale is  $\tau_{exp} \equiv 1/\theta = \tau$ , coinciding with the longitudinal proper time. Before displaying our numerical results we can attempt some parametric estimates for the heavy-quark relaxation time arising from the Einstein fluctuationdissipation relation in Eq. (33) under the assumption that the product  $D_s T$  remains constant. One has

$$\tau_Q^{\text{EFD}} \equiv A^{-1} \sim 1/T^2 \sim \frac{1}{(T_0^3 \tau_0)^{2/3}} \tau^{2/3}.$$
 (36)

Hence, for large enough time, one has

$$\tau_O^{\text{EFD}} \sim \tau^{2/3} < \tau_{\text{exp}} = \tau.$$

If this occurs before hadronization, at least for a fraction of the fireball lifetime the heavy-quark evolution can be described by hydrodynamic equations, as the other conserved quantities.

We now consider the numerical results of our approach. In Figs. 3 and 4 the comparison between  $\tau_{exp}$  and  $\tau_n$  as functions of the longitudinal proper time are reported, respectively, for charm and bottom quarks. This is done assuming an initial temperature of 0.45 GeV and an initialization time  $\tau_0 = 0.5$  fm/*c*, and employing different values of the transport coefficient  $D_s$ . For charm quarks, we can see that  $\tau_n$  goes below  $\tau_{exp}$  quite fast when using transport coefficients arising from fits to experimental data, indicating that the conditions for a fluid-dynamic description are fulfilled for a sizable fraction of the deconfined fireball lifetime. The lattice-QCD estimates of the transport



FIG. 3. The relaxation time  $\tau_n$  of charm quarks as a function of the longitudinal proper time is compared to the typical expansion timescale  $\tau_{exp}$  of the fluid undergoing a Bjorken flow.

coefficients suggest a later hydrodynamization timescale. A hydrodynamic description for charm quarks in this case might still be applicable but only for late times in the fireball evolution and in proximity of the freeze-out surface. Regarding bottom quarks, both  $D_s$  estimates predict the hydrodynamization timescale to be of the order of the typical lifetime of the QGP or larger.

The exact value of  $\tau_n$  clearly depends on the initial temperature and formation time of the QGP, as suggested by the estimate in Eq. (36). The latter are not independent parameters, but are linked by entropy conservation to the final rapidity density of produced particles. One has

$$T_0^3 \tau_0 \sim s_0 \tau_0 \sim \frac{dS_0}{d\eta_s} \Big|_{\eta_s=0} \sim \frac{dN}{dy} \Big|_{y=0},$$
 (37)

where  $S_0$  ( $s_0$ ) is the initial entropy (density),  $\eta_s \equiv (1/2) \ln \frac{(t-z)}{(t-z)}$  the spacetime rapidity, and  $y \equiv (1/2) \ln \frac{(E+p^z)}{(E-p^z)}$  the rapidity of the final detected particles.



FIG. 4. The relaxation time  $\tau_n$  of bottom quarks as a function of the longitudinal proper time is compared to the typical expansion timescale  $\tau_{exp}$  of the fluid undergoing a Bjorken flow.

Hence, according to Eq. (36), the higher the rapidity density of produced particles, the faster the relaxation of heavy quarks toward equilibrium.

Although the Bjorken flow is not able to describe the full evolution of the plasma but only the first instants after the collision, it still allows us to get a semirealistic estimate of how fast the diffusion process happens for heavy quarks. Our conclusion is that the applicability of hydrodynamics to the study of charm quark diffusion in the fireball produced in heavy-ion collisions does not seem to be forbidden.

#### VI. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

Exciting experimental results on charm- and bottomhadron observables, which nowadays have an unprecedented level of precision, pose the important physics question about the possible heavy-quark thermalization in the QGP [16]. Driven by this question, we adopted a new strategy to study the dynamics of heavy quarks in the QGP and built a connection between a second-order hydrodynamic approach based on the heavy-quark current conservation and the approach provided by transport theory. This led us to an expression for the transport coefficients appearing in the equation of motion of the heavy-quark diffusion current  $\tau_n$  and  $\kappa_n$  as functions of the temperature of the medium and heavy-quark mass.

Our results display the expected nonrelativistic limit when  $T/M \ll 1$ , but can be applied also to heavy quarks with relativistic momenta. Remarkably, within the Fokker-Planck approach, the relation connecting the spatial  $(D_s)$ and momentum (D) diffusion coefficients— $D_s = T^2/D$  holds also in the relativistic domain, as long as the momentum dependence of D can be neglected.

In our approach the conditions for the applicability of hydrodynamics seem to be fulfilled by the charm quark for a fraction of the fireball lifetime, while for the bottom quark the outcome indicates later hydrodynamization. Our next step will be the implementation of the heavy-quark current in a hydrodynamic framework (FluiduM [33–35]) to compute and analyze heavy-flavor observables and compare them with experimental data. We plan to include the interaction of the heavy-quark current with other conserved currents of baryon number, strangeness, and electric charge [36,37] and study how this influences the diffusion process, considering also the presence of strong magnetic fields [38,39] at the beginning of the collision.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is part of and supported by the DFG Collaborative Research Centre "SFB 1225 (ISOQUANT)." A. D. is partially supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) under Grant No. 19DRDN011, No. VI.Veni.192.039.

# APPENDIX A: QUANTUM CORRECTIONS TO THE FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION

In this work we used the Boltzmann and Fokker-Planck equations in their classical limit, namely neglecting quantum corrections associated with the fermionic statistics of heavy quarks (Pauli blocking). Therefore, the distribution function at equilibrium for heavy guarks was expected to be a classical Boltzmann exponential as in Eq. (10). A more accurate estimate for the transport coefficients can be provided by implementing quantum corrections in the Boltzmann equation and in the subsequent Fokker-Planck equation. However, including them can lead to complications concerning the determination of the distribution function of heavy quarks at thermal equilibrium. In fact, finding a stationary solution for the Fokker-Planck equation becomes nontrivial in this case [40]. Nevertheless, if one considers the case of a single momentum-independent diffusion coefficient—namely  $B_0 = B_1 \equiv$ D-the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation reads

$$C[f_k^{(r)}] = k^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial k^i} \left\{ A(k) k^i f_k^{(r)} \tilde{f}^{(r)} + D\delta^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial k^j} f_k^{(r)} \right\}, \quad (A1)$$

where  $\tilde{f}_k^{(r)} = 1 - f_k^{(r)}$  accounts for Pauli blocking. This equation admits an analytical stationary solution in terms of a Fermi-Dirac distribution,

$$f_{0k}^{(r)} = \left[\gamma_Q^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{E_k - q_r \mu_Q^{\text{net}}}{T}\right) + 1\right]^{-1}.$$
 (A2)

The relaxation time and diffusion coefficient now read

$$\tau_n^{\text{quantum}} \sim \frac{I_{31}}{P_0} \frac{T}{D} \left( 1 + 2 \frac{1}{3P_0^{(r)}} \int dK k^2 (f_{0k}^{(r)})^2 \right)$$
  
=  $\tau_n$  + correction, (A3)

$$\kappa_n^{\text{quantum}} \sim \frac{T^2}{D} n_0^{(r)} \left( 1 + 2 \frac{1}{3P_0^{(r)}} \int dK k^2 (f_{0k}^{(r)})^2 \right)$$
  
=  $\kappa_n$  + correction. (A4)

Since the correction to the classical value depends on the square of the distribution function, which is exponentially suppressed with M/T, we expect a deviation from the classical value only for a very small value of M/T.

Looking at the numerical results for the above coefficients one can see that this is actually the case. We start considering the situation of full chemical equilibrium for the heavy quarks, in which  $\gamma_Q = 1$  and  $\mu_Q^{\text{net}} = 0$ . In Fig. 5 deviations from the classical behavior in the relaxation time are visible only at very small values of M/T. Therefore, they are irrelevant for the realistic conditions realized at the experiment. Similar considerations apply to Fig. 6, where only at small M/T values does the diffusion coefficient



FIG. 5. Relaxation time times the temperature as a function of M/T. The different bands correspond respectively to the classical and quantum computation of the relaxation time.

times the temperature differ from its classical constant behavior.

One may worry that for charm guarks at the very beginning of the fireball evolution, when  $T \sim 0.5$  GeV, the condition  $M/T \gg 1$  is only marginally satisfied. However, in this case what matters is that in the early stages charm quarks-produced in the initial hard scattering processes-are strongly underpopulated with respect to what would be their equilibrium abundance. This occurrence, discussed in detail in the following section, is quantified by the fugacity factor  $\gamma_0 \ll 1$  which should be included in Eqs. (A3) and (A4). Since the relevance of quantum statistics depends on the  $\lambda_{\rm th}/\bar{d}$  ratio among the thermal de Broglie wavelength  $\lambda_{\rm th} \equiv (2\pi/MT)^{1/2}$  of the particle and the average interparticle distance  $\bar{d} \sim n^{-1/3}$ , the classical limit holding when  $\lambda_{\rm th}/\bar{d} \ll 1$ , the initial underpopulation of the charm quark makes their classical treatment better justified also at the very early stages. The subsequent fireball evolution can only improve the accuracy of the approximation. Considering for simplicity the case of a Bjorken expansion one has



FIG. 6. Diffusion coefficient times the temperature as a function of M/T. The different bands correspond respectively to the classical and quantum computation of the diffusion coefficient.

$$\lambda_{\rm th} \sim T^{-1/2} \sim \tau^{1/6}$$
 and  $\bar{d} \sim n^{-1/3} \sim \tau^{1/3}$ 

so that  $\lambda_{\rm th}/\bar{d} \sim \tau^{-1/6}$ .

### APPENDIX B: ESTIMATE OF THE HEAVY-QUARK CHEMICAL POTENTIAL IN THE CASE OF BJORKEN FLOW

In this section we discuss how to fix the heavy-quark chemical potential referring to the conservation of the average heavy-quark number  $N_{Q\bar{Q}} \equiv (N_Q + N_{\bar{Q}})/2$ . The midrapidity density at  $\tau_0$  arising from the initial hard production is given by

$$n_{\text{hard}}^{Q\bar{Q}}(\tau_0, \vec{x}_{\perp}, y = 0) = \frac{1}{\tau_0} \frac{d^3 N^{QQ}}{d\vec{x}_{\perp} dy} \Big|_{y=0}.$$
 (B1)

In the above expression, the  $Q\bar{Q}$  rapidity distribution in nucleus-nucleus collisions is set by the pQCD  $Q\bar{Q}$  cross section

$$\frac{dN^{Q\bar{Q}}}{dy} = \langle N_{\rm coll} \rangle \frac{1}{\sigma^{\rm in}} \frac{d\sigma^{Q\bar{Q}}}{dy}, \tag{B2}$$

where  $\sigma^{in}$  is the inelastic proton-proton cross section and  $\sigma^{Q\bar{Q}}$  is the hard production cross section, possibly containing cold-nuclear-matter effects (nPDF's). Hence one gets

$$n_{\text{hard}}^{Q\bar{Q}}(\tau_0, \vec{x}_\perp, y = 0) = \frac{1}{\tau_0} n_{\text{coll}}(\vec{x}_\perp) \frac{1}{\sigma^{\text{in}}} \frac{d\sigma^{Q\bar{Q}}}{dy}.$$
 (B3)

In case one considers homogeneous conditions in the transverse plane, nevertheless representative of a central Pb-Pb collision, one can estimate

$$n_{\text{hard}}^{Q\bar{Q}}(\tau_0, y=0) = \frac{1}{\tau_0} \frac{\langle N_{\text{coll}} \rangle}{\pi R_{\text{Pb}}^2} \frac{1}{\sigma^{\text{in}}} \frac{d\sigma^{QQ}}{dy}.$$
 (B4)

To fix at each point the initial  $Q\bar{Q}$  chemical potential  $\mu_Q$  (the same for quarks and antiquarks, which are produced in equal amounts), this density has to be set equal to the equilibrium thermal multiplicity

$$n_{\text{therm}}^{Q\bar{Q}}(x) = (2s+1)N_c \left(\frac{MT(x)}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-M/T(x)} e^{\mu_Q(x)/T(x)}.$$
(B5)

T(x) is extracted from the initial local energy density of the medium through its equation of state (EoS). For the sake of simplicity let us introduce the fugacity  $\gamma_Q \equiv e^{\mu_Q/T}$ . One has then



FIG. 7. Charm quark fugacity as a function of temperature in logarithmic scale with  $T_0 = 0.45$  GeV.

$$n_{\text{therm}}^{Q\bar{Q}}(x) = (2s+1)N_c\gamma_Q(x)\left(\frac{MT(x)}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-M/T(x)}.$$
 (B6)

Let us perform some estimates for the initial density of charm-quark pairs with mass M = 1.5 GeV taking the central prediction by FONLL [41] for collisions at 5.02 TeV. One gets, at y = 0,  $d\sigma^{Q\bar{Q}}/dy = 0.463$  mb, with  $\sigma^{\rm in} = 70$  mb. For the 0–10% most central Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 5.02$  TeV one has  $n_{\rm coll}(\vec{x}_{\perp} = 0) = 31.57$  fm<sup>-2</sup> and  $\langle N_{\rm coll} \rangle = 1653$ . Assuming a thermalization time  $\tau_0 = 0.5$  fm/c one gets at the center of the fireball

$$n_{\text{hard}}^{Q\bar{Q}}(\tau_0, \vec{x}_\perp = 0, y = 0) \approx 0.42 \text{ fm}^{-3}.$$
 (B7)

The average density in the transverse plane can be estimated as slightly lower. Starting from Eq. (B4) and setting  $R_{\rm Pb} = 6.62$  fm one gets

$$n_{\text{hard}}^{Q\bar{Q}}(\tau_0, y=0) \approx 0.16 \text{ fm}^{-3}.$$
 (B8)

This has to be compared with the thermal abundance in the case of full chemical equilibrium of the heavy quarks, i.e.,  $\gamma_Q = 1$ . Assuming an initial temperature of the fireball of  $T_0 = 0.45$  GeV, one would obtain

$$n_{\text{chem eq.}}^{Q\bar{Q}}(\tau_0, y=0) \approx 0.98 \text{ fm}^{-3}.$$
 (B9)

Initially the heavy quarks are then underpopulated with respect to their chemical-equilibrium abundance. This will no longer be the case at the end of the fireball evolution. The initial heavy-quark fugacity can be estimated as

$$\gamma_Q(\tau_0) = n_{\text{hard}}^{Q\bar{Q}}(\tau_0) / n_{\text{chem eq.}}^{Q\bar{Q}}(\tau_0) \approx 0.16.$$
 (B10)

We now try to estimate the evolution of the heavy-quark density and fugacity while the fireball undergoes an ideal Bjorken expansion. In this case particle conservation entails

$$n^{Q\bar{Q}}(\tau)\tau = n_0^{Q\bar{Q}}\tau_0, \tag{B11}$$

where  $n_0^{Q\bar{Q}} = n_{\text{hard}}^{Q\bar{Q}}(\tau_0)$ . The Landau matching condition applied to the heavy-quark density allows one to extract the heavy-quark fugacity  $\gamma_Q(\tau)$ :

$$(2s+1)N_c\gamma_Q(\tau)\left(\frac{MT(\tau)}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-M/T(\tau)}\frac{\tau}{\tau_0} = n_0^{Q\bar{Q}}.$$
 (B12)

In the above, neglecting dissipative effects and deviations from a Stefan-Boltzmann EoS, we estimate the temperature evolution from entropy conservation:

$$s(\tau)\tau = s_0\tau_0 \to T^3(\tau)\tau = T_0^3\tau_0. \tag{B13}$$

The result for the heavy-quark fugacity as a function of temperature is shown in Fig. 7. Let us estimate the value of the heavy-quark fugacity at chemical freeze-out at  $T_{\rm FO} = 0.15$  GeV, occurring at  $\tau_{\rm FO} = (T_0/T_{\rm FO})^3 \tau_0 = 27\tau_0 = 13.5$  fm/c. One gets  $\gamma_Q(\tau_{\rm FO}) \approx 24.6$ , not far from the one obtained with SHM fits [11] ( $\gamma_c \sim 30$ ).

# APPENDIX C: COEFFICIENTS OF THE LINEAR EXPANSION OF THE OFF-EQUILIBRIUM DEVIATION

In this section we determine the coefficients of the linear expansion of the deviation from equilibrium  $\delta f_k^{(r)}$  in terms of its moments, expressed in Eq. (19). Each coefficient can be computed by integrating the corresponding moment of the deviation  $\delta f_k^{(r)}$ . The orthogonality relations between moments given by [27]

$$\int dKF(k^0) k^{\langle \mu_1 \cdots k^{\mu_n \rangle}} k_{\langle \nu_1 \cdots k_{\nu_m \rangle}}$$
$$= \frac{\delta_n^m m! \Delta_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_m}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n}}{(2m+1)!!} \int dKF(k^0) (\Delta_{\alpha\beta} k^\alpha k^\beta)^m \quad (C1)$$

are employed. The expansion coefficient for the heavyquark bulk pressure is obtained from the zeroth moment of the deviation as

$$-\frac{3}{M^2}\Pi_{(r)} = \int dK \delta f_k^{(r)} = -\frac{3}{M^2} \int dK a_0^{(r)} f_0^{(r)} \Pi_{(r)}$$
  

$$\to a_0^{(r)} = \frac{1}{I_{00}^{(r)}}.$$
(C2)

The coefficient for the heavy-quark diffusion current is computed by taking the first moment of the deviation,

$$\nu_{(r)}^{\langle\sigma\rangle} = \int dK k^{\langle\sigma\rangle} \delta f_k^{(r)} = \int dK f_0^{(r)} a_1^{(r)} k^{\langle\sigma\rangle} k_{\langle\mu\rangle} \nu_{(r)}^{\mu}$$
$$= -\frac{a_1^{(r)}}{3} \delta_{\mu}^{\sigma} \nu_{(r)}^{\mu} \int dK f_0^{(r)} k^2$$
$$\Rightarrow a_1^{(r)} = -\frac{1}{P_0^{(r)}}.$$
(C3)

The coefficient for the heavy-quark shear stress term is obtained by taking the second moment of the deviation,

$$\pi_{(r)}^{\mu\sigma} = \int dK k^{\langle\mu} k^{\sigma\rangle} \delta f_k^{(r)}$$
  
=  $\int dK k^{\langle\mu} k^{\sigma\rangle} k_{\langle\alpha} k_{\beta\rangle} a_2^{(r)} f_0^{(r)} \pi_{\alpha\beta}^{(r)}$   
=  $\frac{2}{15} \int dK a_2^{(r)} f_0^{(r)} k^4 \pi_{(r)}^{\mu\sigma}$   
 $\Rightarrow a_2^{(r)} = \frac{1}{2I_{42}^{(r)}}.$  (C4)

### APPENDIX D: DETAILS ON THE CALCULATION OF THE TRANSPORT COEFFICIENTS

In this section we report the explicit calculation for the heavy-quark relaxation time and diffusion coefficient leading to the result in Eq. (30). The starting point is the Fokker-Planck equation for the heavy (anti)quark distributions (charm, anticharm, bottom, antibottom)

$$k^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_{k}^{(r)} = k_{0}\frac{\partial}{\partial k^{i}}\left\{Ak^{i}f_{k}^{(r)} + \delta^{ij}D\frac{\partial}{\partial k^{j}}f_{k}^{(r)}\right\},\qquad(D1)$$

where we consider the case of an isotropic momentum broadening, i.e.,  $D = B_0 = B_1$ .

The zeroth moment of the Fokker-Planck equation gives the continuity equation in the LRF of the fluid,

$$\partial_t n_{(r)} + \partial_i \nu^i_{(r)} = 0 \rightarrow \partial_t n_+ + \partial_i \nu^i_+ = 0.$$
 (D2)

Notice that the right-hand side (RHS) of Eq. (D1) provides a vanishing contribution when taking its zeroth moment. This can be verified by doing the integration by parts.

The first moment of the Fokker-Planck equation gives

$$\partial_{t} \int dKk_{0}k^{l}f_{k}^{(r)} + \partial_{i} \int dKk^{l}k^{i}f_{k}^{(r)}$$
$$= \int dKk_{0}k^{l}\frac{\partial}{\partial k^{i}}(Ak^{i}f_{k}^{(r)}).$$
(D3)

As we will show below, this will lead to the equation of motion for the diffusion current in the LRF of the fluid. Notice that the term proportional to the momentumbroadening coefficient vanishes when taking the first moment of the Fokker-Planck equation. In fact, since it is proportional to a second-order derivative, it vanishes after integration by parts. Let us now analyze all the terms involved in Eq. (D3) separately.

#### 1. First term

Here we compute the term containing the time derivative of the distribution function,

$$\partial_t \int dK k_0 k^l f_k^{(r)}.$$
 (D4)

Due to symmetry properties of the distribution function at equilibrium (it depends only on the particle energy in the LRF of the fluid), the first moment of  $f_{0k}^{(r)}$  vanishes. The only contribution comes from the off-equilibrium deviation  $\delta f_k^{(r)}$ , which we expand in terms of the diffusion current,

$$\partial_t \int dK k_0 k^l f_0^{(r)} \left( -\frac{1}{P_0^{(r)}} k_{\langle \mu \rangle} \nu^{\langle \mu \rangle} \right). \tag{D5}$$

We then employ the orthogonality relation in Eq. (C1),

$$\partial_t \int dK \frac{k^2}{3} k_0 f_0^{(r)} \left(\frac{1}{P_0^{(r)}}\right) \nu^l,$$
 (D6)

and, rewriting in terms of the thermodynamic integrals introduced in the text, we get

$$\frac{I_{31}^{(r)}}{P_0^{(r)}}\partial_t\nu_{(r)}^l \to \frac{I_{31}}{P_0}\partial_t\nu_+^l, \tag{D7}$$

where

$$I_{31}^{(r)} = \frac{1}{3} \langle k_0 k^2 \rangle_{0,r}.$$
 (D8)

Notice that  $I_{31} \sim MP_0$  in the nonrelativistic limit, reducing the computed term to  $M\partial_t \nu_+^l$ .

### 2. Second term

Here we compute the term containing the spatial derivative of the distribution function,

$$\partial_i \int dK k^l k^i f_k^{(r)}. \tag{D9}$$

We use the decomposition for the distribution function to get

$$\partial_i \delta^{il} \int dK \frac{k^2}{3} f_0^{(r)} + \partial_i \int dK k^i k^l \delta f_k^{(r)}.$$
 (D10)

Exploiting the orthogonality conditions and the definition of the pressure, we get

$$\delta^{il}\partial_i P_0^{(r)} + O(\delta^{il}\partial_i\Pi) + O(\partial_i\pi^{il}) = Tn_0^{(r)}\delta^{il}\partial_i\left(\frac{\mu_r}{T}\right) + \text{corr},$$
(D11)

where in the last passage we used  $\partial_i P_0 = T n_0 \partial_i (\mu_r/T)$  and the neglected terms, involving derivatives of the bulk pressure and of the shear stress, are at least of second order in the gradients.

# 3. Third term

Here we compute the RHS of the equation. Notice that the term containing the momentum-diffusion coefficient does not contribute. In fact, it is proportional to a second order derivative; thus its first moment vanishes. Hence, one has simply to compute

$$\int dKk^{l}k_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial k^{i}} (Ak^{i}f^{(r)})$$

$$= \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} k^{l} \left[ \frac{\partial k^{i}}{\partial k^{i}} (Af^{(r)}) + \frac{\partial Af^{(r)}}{\partial k^{i}} k^{i} \right]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{IBP}}{=} \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left[ 3(Af^{(r)})k^{l} - \frac{\partial(k^{i}k^{l})}{\partial k^{i}} Af^{(r)} \right]$$

$$= \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} [3(Af^{(r)})k^{l} - 3(Af^{(r)})k^{l}$$

$$- k^{l}Af^{(r)}] = \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} [-k^{l}Af^{(r)}], \qquad (D12)$$

where IBP means we performed the integration by parts. Now we exploit the decomposition of the distribution function. Due to symmetry constraints, the equilibrium part of the distribution does not contribute since its first moment is zero. Thus we have

$$\int dK k^0 k^l A f_0^{(r)} \frac{k_{\langle \mu \rangle} \nu^{\mu}}{P_0^{(r)}}.$$
 (D13)

By exploiting the orthogonality relation, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{3P_0^{(r)}} \int dK k^0 k^2 A f_0^{(r)} \nu^l \\ &= -\frac{1}{P_0^{(r)}} \frac{1}{3} \int dK k^0 k^2 \left(\frac{D}{k^0 T}\right) f_0^{(r)} \nu^l \\ &= \frac{D}{P_0^{(r)} T} \left[\frac{1}{3} \int dK k^2 \overline{f_0^{(r)}}\right] \nu^l \\ &= -\frac{D}{T} \nu_{(r)}^l, \end{aligned}$$
(D14)

where we made use of the Einstein fluctuation-dissipation relation to express A in terms of the momentum-diffusion coefficient D.

### 4. Putting all blocks together

We now combine the three terms to obtain the equation for the diffusion current:

$$\frac{T}{D}\frac{I_{31}}{P_0}\partial_t\nu_{(r)}^l + \nu_{(r)}^l = -\frac{T^2}{D}n_0^{(r)}\partial^l\left(\frac{\mu_r}{T}\right).$$
 (D15)

This is a relaxation-type equation for the diffusion current  $\nu^{\mu}_{(r)}$ . Thus, we can identify the corresponding relaxation time and diffusion coefficient,

$$\tau_n = \frac{TI_{31}}{DP_0},\tag{D16}$$

$$\kappa_n^{(r)} = \frac{T^2}{D} n_0^{(r)} \equiv D_s n_0^{(r)}.$$
 (D17)

We find that the relation  $D_s = T^2/D$  between the spatial  $(D_s)$  and momentum (D) diffusion coefficients, usually found in studying the nonrelativistic Brownian motion, arises naturally and holds also in this case in which the heavy particle undergoes a relativistic dynamics, with  $E_k = \sqrt{k^2 + M^2}$ . This is a nontrivial result, valid as long as the momentum dependence of D can be neglected.

- C. Gale, S. Jeon, and B. Schenke, Hydrodynamic modeling of heavy-ion collisions, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 28, 1340011 (2013).
- [2] U. Heinz and R. Snellings, Collective flow and viscosity in relativistic heavy-ion collisions, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 63, 123 (2013).
- [3] A. Dubla, S. Masciocchi, J. M. Pawlowski, B. Schenke, C. Shen, and J. Stachel, Towards QCD-assisted hydrodynamics for heavy-ion collision phenomenology, Nucl. Phys. A979, 251 (2018).
- [4] S. Acharya *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), Transversemomentum and event-shape dependence of D-meson flow harmonics in Pb–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV, Phys. Lett. B **813**, 136054 (2021).
- [5] S. Acharya *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration),  $J/\psi$  elliptic and triangular flow in Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 5.02$  TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2020) 141.
- [6] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, M. K. Köhler, A. Mazeliauskas, K. Redlich, J. Stachel, and V. Vislavicius, The multiple-charm hierarchy in the statistical hadronization model, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2021) 035.
- [7] G. D. Moore and D. Teaney, How much do heavy quarks thermalize in a heavy ion collision?, Phys. Rev. C 71, 064904 (2005).
- [8] F. Prino and R. Rapp, Open heavy flavor in QCD matter and in nuclear collisions, J. Phys. G 43, 093002 (2016).
- [9] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, and J. Stachel, Statistical hadronization of heavy quarks in ultrarelativistic nucleus-nucleus collisions, Nucl. Phys. A789, 334 (2007).
- [10] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, M. K. Köhler, K. Redlich, and J. Stachel, Transverse momentum distributions of charmonium states with the statistical hadronization model, Phys. Lett. B **797**, 134836 (2019).
- [11] P. Braun-Munzinger and J. Stachel, (Non)thermal aspects of charmonium production and a new look at  $J/\psi$  suppression, Phys. Lett. B **490**, 196 (2000).

- [12] B. Svetitsky, Diffusion of charmed quarks in the quarkgluon plasma, Phys. Rev. D 37, 2484 (1988).
- [13] A. Beraudo, A. De Pace, M. Monteno, M. Nardi, and F. Prino, Development of heavy-flavour flow-harmonics in high-energy nuclear collisions, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2018) 043.
- [14] L. E. Reichl, A Modern Course in Statistical Physics (University of Texas Press, Austin, Texas, 1980).
- [15] R. Kubo, M. Toda, and N. Hashitsume, *Statistical Physics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985).
- [16] S. Acharya *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), Prompt D<sup>0</sup>, D<sup>+</sup>, and D<sup>\*+</sup> production in Pb–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2022) 174.
- [17] L. Altenkort, A. M. Eller, O. Kaczmarek, L. Mazur, G. D. Moore, and H. Shu, Spectral reconstruction details of a gradient-flowed color-electric correlator, EPJ Web Conf. 259, 10004 (2022).
- [18] L. Altenkort, A. M. Eller, O. Kaczmarek, L. Mazur, G. D. Moore, and H. Shu, Heavy quark momentum diffusion from the lattice using gradient flow, Phys. Rev. D 103, 014511 (2021).
- [19] A. Francis, O. Kaczmarek, M. Laine, T. Neuhaus, and H. Ohno, Nonperturbative estimate of the heavy quark momentum diffusion coefficient, Phys. Rev. D 92, 116003 (2015).
- [20] H. Ding, O. Kaczmarek, A. Lorenz, H. Ohno, H. Sandmeyer, and H. Shu, Charm and beauty in the deconfined plasma from quenched lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 104, 114508 (2021).
- [21] A. Beraudo *et al.*, Extraction of heavy-flavor transport coefficients in QCD matter, Nucl. Phys. A979, 21 (2018).
- [22] N. Christiansen, M. Haas, J. M. Pawlowski, and N. Strodthoff, Transport Coefficients in Yang–Mills Theory and QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 112002 (2015).
- [23] N. Brambilla, M. A. Escobedo, A. Vairo, and P. Vander Griend, Transport coefficients from in medium quarkonium dynamics, Phys. Rev. D 100, 054025 (2019).

- [24] N. Brambilla, A. Pineda, J. Soto, and A. Vairo, Potential NRQCD: An effective theory for heavy quarkonium, Nucl. Phys. B566, 275 (2000).
- [25] M. P. Heller, A. Kurkela, M. Spaliński, and V. Svensson, Hydrodynamization in kinetic theory: Transient modes and the gradient expansion, Phys. Rev. D 97, 091503 (2018).
- [26] P. Braun-Munzinger, Quarkonium production in ultrarelativistic nuclear collisions: Suppression versus enhancement, J. Phys. G 34, S471 (2007).
- [27] G. S. Denicol, H. Niemi, E. Molnar, and D. H. Rischke, Derivation of transient relativistic fluid dynamics from the Boltzmann equation, Phys. Rev. D 85, 114047 (2012); Erratum, Phys. Rev. D 91, 039902 (2015).
- [28] S. K. Das, S. Plumari, S. Chatterjee, J. Alam, F. Scardina, and V. Greco, Directed flow of charm quarks as a witness of the initial strong magnetic field in ultra-relativistic heavy ion collisions, Phys. Lett. B 768, 260 (2017).
- [29] H. Grad, About kinetic theory of rarefied gases, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **2**, 331 (1949).
- [30] S. R. De Groot, *Relativistic Kinetic Theory. Principles and Applications*, edited by W. A. Van Leeuwen and C. G. Van Weert (North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1980).
- [31] W. M. Alberico, A. Beraudo, A. De Pace, A. Molinari, M. Monteno, M. Nardi, and F. Prino, Heavy-flavour spectra in high energy nucleus-nucleus collisions, Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1666 (2011).
- [32] J. D. Bjorken, Highly relativistic nucleus-nucleus collisions: The central rapidity region, Phys. Rev. D 27 (1983).

- [33] S. Floerchinger and U. A. Wiedemann, Mode-by-mode fluid dynamics for relativistic heavy ion collisions, Phys. Lett. B 728, 407 (2014).
- [34] S. Floerchinger, E. Grossi, and J. Lion, Fluid dynamics of heavy ion collisions with mode expansion, Phys. Rev. C 100, 014905 (2019).
- [35] D. Devetak, A. Dubla, S. Floerchinger, E. Grossi, S. Masciocchi, A. Mazeliauskas, and I. Selyuzhenkov, Global fluid fits to identified particle transverse momentum spectra from heavy-ion collisions at the Large Hadron Collider, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2020) 044.
- [36] J. A. Fotakis, M. Greif, C. Greiner, G. S. Denicol, and H. Niemi, Diffusion processes involving multiple conserved charges: A study from kinetic theory and implications to the fluid-dynamical modeling of heavy ion collisions, Phys. Rev. D 101, 076007 (2020).
- [37] J. A. Fotakis, M. Greif, H. Niemi, G. S. Denicol, and C. Greiner, Longitudinal dynamics of multiple conserved charges, Nucl. Phys. A1005, 121899 (2021).
- [38] A. Dubla, U. Gürsoy, and R. Snellings, Charge-dependent flow as evidence of strong electromagnetic fields in heavyion collisions, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 35, 2050324 (2020).
- [39] S. Acharya *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), Probing the Effects of Strong Electromagnetic Fields with Charge-Dependent Directed Flow in Pb-Pb Collisions at the LHC, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 022301 (2020).
- [40] G. Kaniadakis and P. Quarati, Classical model of bosons and fermions, Phys. Rev. E 49, 5103 (1994).
- [41] M. Cacciari, S. Frixione, and P. Nason, The p(T) spectrum in heavy flavor photoproduction, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2001) 006.