Braneworlds in $f(\mathbb{Q})$ gravity

J. E. G. Silva, $1,2,^*$ $1,2,^*$ $1,2,^*$ R. V. Maluf Φ , $2,^{\dagger}$ Gonzalo J. Olmo, $3,2,^{\dagger}$ and C. A. S. Almeida Φ ^{2[,§](#page-0-3)}

 1 Universidade Federal do Cariri (UFCA), Avenue Tenente Raimundo Rocha, Cidade Universitária, Juazeiro do Norte, Ceará, CEP 63048-080, Brasil ²

 2 Universidade Federal do Ceará (UFC), Departamento de Física, Campus do Pici,

Fortaleza-CE, C.P. 6030, 60455-760-Brazil
3Departamento de Física Teórica and IFIC, Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia-CSIC. Universidad de Valencia, Burjassot-46100, Valencia, Spain

(Received 10 March 2022; accepted 7 July 2022; published 19 July 2022)

We propose a braneworld scenario in a modified symmetric teleparallel gravitational theory, where the dynamics for the gravitational field is encoded in the nonmetricity tensor rather than in the curvature. Assuming a single real scalar field with a sine-Gordon self-interaction, the generalized quadratic nonmetricity invariant Q controls the brane width while keeping the shape of the energy density. By considering power corrections of the invariant $\mathbb Q$ in the gravitational Lagrangian, the sine-Gordon potential is modified exhibiting new barriers and false vacuum. As a result, the domain wall brane obtains an inner structure, and it undergoes a splitting process. In addition, we also propose a nonminimal coupling between a bulk fermion field and the nonmetricity invariant Q. Such geometric coupling leads to a massless chiral fermion bound to the 3-brane and a stable tower of nonlocalized massive states.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.106.024033](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.024033)

I. INTRODUCTION

Despite the great success of general relativity (GR) in describing the gravitational effects in currently accessible weak and strong gravity astrophysical scenarios, open problems at larger scales and the lack of understanding of the nature of dark matter [[1\]](#page-13-0) and dark energy [[2\]](#page-13-1) boost the interest in alternative theories of gravity. Among the many alternatives explored in the literature, one finds theories with new scalar and vector dynamical degrees of freedom [[3](#page-13-2)], others based on massive gravitons [[4](#page-13-3)], string inspired constructions such as brane worlds [[5\]](#page-13-4), and also geometric scenarios that break with the tradition set by Riemannian geometry. In this latter case, one can identify theories based on the Einstein-Cartan geometry [[6\]](#page-13-5), metricaffine (or Palatini) theories of different kinds [[7](#page-13-6)], including $f(R)$ models [[8\]](#page-13-7), and also theories whose dynamics is entirely based on torsion and/or nonmetricity [\[9,](#page-13-8)[10\]](#page-13-9).

In theories such as the so-called teleparallel equivalent of general relativity (TEGR), gravity is understood as an effect of the spacetime torsion, rather than as a manifestation of curvature [[11](#page-13-10)]. In fact, the Riemann curvature tensor of the affine connection is assumed to vanish over the whole spacetime, whereas the torsion tensor is non-null [[11](#page-13-10)]. First proposed by Einstein, as an attempt to geometrize

the electromagnetic field, the TEGR was latter recognized as a gauge theory of gravity based on the Poincaré group [\[12](#page-13-11)–[14\]](#page-14-0). Instead of the metric tensor, the dynamical variable of the TEGR is the vielbein field. The vanishing curvature condition allows one to define a connection entirely in terms of the vielbeins, known as Weitzenböck connection [\[11,](#page-13-10)[15](#page-14-1)]. As a result, the same number of dynamical degrees of freedom of GR are also present in TEGR. Modifications of the framework set by TEGR, whose Lagrangian density is defined in terms of the torsion scalar T, have been studied in the last years in different forms, including theories of the $f(T)$ type [[16](#page-14-2),[17](#page-14-3)] and $f(T, B)$ type [\[18\]](#page-14-4), among others. In the latter ones, B represents a boundary term in the TEGR case.

Conceptually related to the above, some interest has also grown recently in relation with what is known as symmetric teleparallel equivalent of general relativity (STEGR), where the gravitational degrees of freedom are now described not in terms of the metric alone or the torsion alone, but by means of the nonmetricity tensor $Q_{\mu\nu\rho} = \nabla_{\mu} g_{\nu\rho}$ [[19](#page-14-5)]. Unlike TEGR, the dynamical field in STEGR does involve the metric tensor, hence the term symmetric. The gravitational action in STEGR is built using contractions of the metric, and the nonmetricity tensor up to quadratic order [\[19](#page-14-5)[,20\]](#page-14-6). For a specific choice of the coefficients of the quadratic terms, the resulting theory turns out to lead to the same equations of motion (EOM) as in GR. As expected, extensions of the basic STEGR framework were proposed by generalizing the gravitational action with some free coefficients, giving rise to what is known as symmetric

[^{*}](#page-0-4) euclides.silva@ufca.edu.br

[[†]](#page-0-4) r.v.maluf@fisica.ufc.br

[[‡]](#page-0-4) gonzalo.olmo@uv.es

[[§]](#page-0-4) carlosf@fisica.ufc.br

teleparallel gravity (STG) [\[10](#page-13-9)[,21\]](#page-14-7), based on a scalar quantity denoted as Q, or even considering $f(Q)$ modified Lagrangian terms [[22\]](#page-14-8). STG has more propagating degrees of freedom than GR depending on the choice of the coefficients in the Lagrangian [[23](#page-14-9),[24](#page-14-10)]. The phenomenology of $f(Q)$ models has been studied in cosmology [\[25,](#page-14-11)[26](#page-14-12)], black holes, [[27](#page-14-13)] and wormhole [\[28\]](#page-14-14) scenarios, among others. Our particular interest about $f(Q)$ gravity lies in the fact that this kind of nonlinear extension of STEGR is not equivalent to $f(R)$ gravity, and both the metric and the affine connection carry physical information since the dependence on $\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}$ can no longer be absorbed in a boundary term [\[19\]](#page-14-5).

Braneworld models have also offered interesting perspectives for high energy problems in the last decades. First proposed as a geometric solution for the gauge hierarchy problem, the warped geometry of the five-dimensional Randall-Sundrum (RS) model showed how the effective dynamics on a 3-brane can be altered by the dynamics of gravity in a higher dimensional spacetime (bulk) where the 3-brane is embedded in [\[29\]](#page-14-15). In RS models the 3-brane is considered to be infinitely thin and the bulk is chosen to be an AdS_5 spacetime [[29](#page-14-15),[30](#page-14-16)]. Soon after, models considering thick 3-branes as domain walls with one or more scalar fields as source were proposed [\[31\]](#page-14-17).

On the other hand, in order to ensure brane stability, the so-called Bogomolnyi-Prasad-Sommerfield (BPS) solutions were found by employing a first-order formalism [\[32](#page-14-18)–[35\]](#page-14-19). The propagation of gravity and bulk gauge and matter fields along the extra dimension can be analyzed by means of their Kaluza-Klein spectrum. Even for an infinite extra dimension, the bulk curvature allows for the existence of one gravitational massless normalized mode, reproducing the usual GR dynamics in the 3-brane. For the localization of the gauge fields, an additional dilaton coupling was considered, whereas for the matter (spinor) fields, a Yukawa-like coupling with a bulk scalar was proposed [\[36\]](#page-14-20).

Modified braneworld scenarios exploring wider geometric structures have also been considered, such as in Einstein-Cartan gravity [\[37\]](#page-14-21), mimetic gravity [[38](#page-14-22)], Weyl geometry [[39](#page-14-23)], nontrivial inner manifold [\[40,](#page-14-24)[41\]](#page-14-25), with Palatini $f(R)$ dynamics [\[42\]](#page-14-26), etc. In Ref. [[43](#page-14-27)], an $f(T)$ thick braneworld was investigated considering a nonquadratic Lagrangian. As a result, the thick brane exhibited an inner structure, even for a single scalar field. By varying the nonquadratic parameter, the brane undergoes a splitting transition [[43](#page-14-27)–[45](#page-14-28)]. Similar results were found considering $f(T, B)$ dynamics and $f(T)$ in six dimensions [\[46,](#page-14-29)[47](#page-14-30)]. Recently, an STEGR $f(Q)$ braneworld has been proposed in Ref. [[48](#page-14-31)], studying thick brane solutions and the propagation of gravitons in the extra dimension.

In this work, we propose a general STG codimension one braneworld scenario, whose quadratic invariant Q depends on several arbitrary coefficients and the gravitational Lagrangian is represented by a smooth (arbitrary) function $f(\mathbb{Q})$. We investigate the constraints imposed by the dimensional reduction and the regularity of the solutions on the coefficients of Q, and also consider a function of the form $f(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q} + k\mathbb{Q}^n$, where k and n can be tuned to explore new phenomenology. For $n = 2$, we find that the source scalar field exhibits a hybrid kink-compacton behavior, and the 3-brane undergoes a splitting process. In addition, we propose a nonminimal coupling between the nonmetricity scalar Q and a bulk fermion. We show that such coupling is equivalent to the commonly used Yukawa interaction. Furthermore, the effects produced by a given change in the Q-coefficients and on the nonquadratic term involving the fermions are considered.

The work is organized as follows. In Sec. [II,](#page-1-0) a brief review of the symmetric teleparallel gravity is presented, as well as its STEGR limit. In Sec. [III](#page-2-0), the $f(\mathbb{Q})$ braneworld is introduced, and the respective modified gravitational equations are studied. The vacuum, thin brane, and thick brane solutions are found, and their properties are analyzed. In Sec. [IV,](#page-9-0) we propose a geometric nonminimal coupling between the nonmetricity invariant Q and a bulk massless fermion and its effects are investigated. Final remarks are discussed in Sec. [V.](#page-13-12)

II. SYMMETRIC TELEPARALLELISM

In this work we consider the symmetric teleparallel gravity theory in a metric-affine approach, whereby the metric g_{MN} and the connection $\Gamma^A{}_{MN}$ represent independent degrees of freedom.

By parallel transporting the vector V^A , the 1-form covariant derivative takes the form $\nabla V^A = dv^A + \omega^A{}_M V^M$, where
the connection 1-form is $\omega^A{}_{\cdots} = \Gamma^A{}_{\cdots} dY^N$. The covariant the connection 1-form is $\omega^A{}_M = \Gamma^A{}_{NM} dx^N$. The covariant
derivative of the metric quantizers to the so-called nonderivative of the metric g_{MN} leads to the so-called nonmetricity 1-form $\nabla g_{MN} = dg_{MN} - \omega^A{}_M g_{AN} - \omega^A{}_N g_{AM}$,
where the nonmetricity tensor is defined by [19] where the nonmetricity tensor is defined by [\[19](#page-14-5)]

$$
Q_{AMN} \equiv \nabla_A g_{MN}.\tag{1}
$$

The torsion 2-form $T^M = \omega^M{}_N \wedge dx^N$ is another fundamen-
tal. object, associated, to the affine connection, and its tal object associated to the affine connection, and its components define the torsion tensor $T^{A}{}_{BC} \equiv 2\Gamma^{A}{}_{[BC]}$ [\[11](#page-13-10)[,19\]](#page-14-5). Thus, a general affine connection can be decomposed into a Levi-Civita part associated to the metric, and two more pieces defined in terms of the nonmetricity and the torsion tensors as [[19](#page-14-5)]

$$
\Gamma^{A}{}_{BC} = \begin{Bmatrix} A \\ BC \end{Bmatrix} + \Gamma^{A}{}_{BC} + K^{A}{}_{BC},\tag{2}
$$

with $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ BC \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} g^{AD} (\partial_B g_{DC} + \partial_C g_{DB} - \partial_D g_{BC})$ corresponding to the symmetric Levi-Civita connection \mathcal{D}_A , satisfying $D_A g_{BC} = 0$. The second (symmetric) term $L^A{}_{BC}$, called the disformation tensor, is completely determined by the nonmetricity tensor according to

$$
L^{A}{}_{BC} \equiv \frac{1}{2} Q^{A}{}_{BC} - Q_{(B}{}^{A}{}_{C)}.
$$
 (3)

The antisymmetric piece $K^{A}{}_{BC}$ is known as the contortion tensor, and it is solely determined by the torsion tensor as

$$
K^{A}{}_{BC} \equiv \frac{1}{2} T^{A}{}_{BC} + T{}_{(B}{}^{A}{}_{C)}.
$$
 (4)

In symmetric teleparallel theories, torsion is assumed to vanish everywhere. For this reason, from now on we only consider torsion free connections.

From the nonmetricity tensor it is possible to define the trace vectors as [[19](#page-14-5)]

$$
Q^A = g^{MN} Q^A{}_{MN}, \qquad \bar{Q}_A = \bar{Q}^H{}_{AH}, \tag{5}
$$

where the traceless part has been separated as

$$
\bar{Q}_{ABC} = Q_{ABC} - \frac{1}{4} g_{BC} Q_A.
$$
 (6)

Moreover, it is convenient to introduce the so-called superpotential tensor defined as [[10](#page-13-9),[19](#page-14-5),[20](#page-14-6)]

$$
P^{K}{}_{MN} = c_1 Q^{K}{}_{MN} + c_2 Q_{(M}{}^{K}{}_{N)} + c_3 Q^{K} g_{MN} + c_4 \delta^{K}_{(M} \bar{Q}_{N)} + \frac{c_5}{2} (\bar{Q}^{K} g_{MN} + \delta^{K}_{(M} Q_{N)}),
$$
\n(7)

where the five coefficients c_i are, for the moment, arbitrary real constants. The contraction of the P and Q tensors provides a quadratic invariant called the generalized nonmetricity scalar by

$$
\mathbb{Q} = Q_T{}^{MN} P^T{}_{MN}.\tag{8}
$$

For a specific choice of coefficients given by [\[19\]](#page-14-5)

$$
c_1 = -c_3 = -\frac{1}{4}
$$
, $c_2 = -c_5 = \frac{1}{2}$, $c_4 = 0$, (9)

the nonmetricity scalar leads to

$$
Q = -Q
$$

= $\frac{1}{4}Q_{KMN}Q^{KMN} - \frac{1}{2}Q_{KMN}Q^{MNK} - \frac{1}{4}Q_KQ^K + \frac{1}{2}Q_K\bar{Q}^K.$ (10)

With the above notation, the Ricci scalar for the connection Γ_{MN}^T can be written in the form,

$$
R = \mathcal{R} + \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{D}_A(Q^A - \bar{Q}^A). \tag{11}
$$

In teleparallel theories, the Riemann tensor defined by the affine connection Γ_{MN}^T vanishes by construction and so does its associated Ricci scalar R. Thus, the Ricci scalar corresponding to the Levi-Civita connection R is related to the nonmetricity scalar by

$$
\mathcal{R} = -\mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{D}_A(\mathcal{Q}^A - \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^A). \tag{12}
$$

Therefore, the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{EH} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{R}$ is equivalent to the symmetric teleparallel Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Q}} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa} \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{Q}$. For this obvious reason the choice of parameters (0) is called the symmetric teleparallel equivalent parameters[\(9\)](#page-2-1) is called the symmetric teleparallel equivalent of general relativity (STEGR) [\[19\]](#page-14-5).

Another consequence of the vanishing Riemann tensor for the connection Γ_{MN}^T is that we can choose a class of connections satisfying this condition. A particular choice, known as the coincident gauge, is achieved by simply assuming that $\Gamma_{MN}^T = 0$. As a result, $L_{MN}^T = -\frac{T}{M}$ [\[19,](#page-14-5)[20](#page-14-6)[,25](#page-14-11)].
In this work we are interested in modified gravitational

In this work we are interested in modified gravitational theories inspired by the STEGR and, for this reason, we consider an action of the form,

$$
S = \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{2\kappa_D} f(\mathbb{Q}) - 2\Lambda + \mathcal{L}_M \right), \quad (13)
$$

where $\kappa_D = 8\pi G_D$ with G_D being the D-dimensional Newtonian gravitational constant, Λ represents the bulk cosmological constant, $f(\mathbb{Q})$ is a smooth function of the nonmetricity scalar \mathbb{Q} , and \mathcal{L}_m represents the matter Lagrangian density. Variation of the action [\(13\)](#page-2-2) with respect to the metric leads to the gravitational field equations (where $f_{\mathbb{Q}} \equiv \frac{df}{d\mathbb{Q}}$),

$$
\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \nabla_K (\sqrt{-g} f_{\mathbf{Q}} P^K_{MN}) - \frac{(f - 2\Lambda)}{2} g_{MN} + f_{\mathbf{Q}} (P_{MKL} Q_N^{KL} - 2Q_{KM}^L P^K_{NL}) = \kappa \mathfrak{L}_{MN}. \tag{14}
$$

The energy-momentum tensor is given by

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{MN} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_m)}{\delta g^{MN}}.
$$
 (15)

In the following we will use the expressions of above in scenarios involving braneworlds.

III. SYMMETRIC TELEPARALLEL BRANEWORLD

Consider a warped five-dimensional spacetime represented by the following line element,

$$
ds^2 = e^{2A(y)}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(x)dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} + e^{2B(y)}dy^2, \qquad (16)
$$

where $A(y)$ and $B(y)$ are warp factors that depend only on the extra-dimensional coordinate y. The Greek indices

 μ, ν, \ldots run from 0 to 3. The 3-brane metric $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$ depends only on the brane coordinates $x^{\mu} = (x^0, \vec{r})$ and for a flat 3-brane, $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$. By assuming a nonflat brane $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$, gravitational effects along the brane can be considered.

Let us now compute the relevant objects for the ansatz [\(16\)](#page-2-3) and in the coincident gauge, with $\Gamma_{BC}^{A} = 0$, so that the covariant derivatives are simply partial derivatives. The covariant derivatives are simply partial derivatives. The only nonvanishing components of the nonmetricity tensor are

$$
Q_{4\alpha\beta} = 2A'e^{2A}\hat{g}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad Q_{444} = 2B'e^{2B}, \quad \hat{Q}_{\rho\mu\nu} = \partial_{\rho}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (17)
$$

where prime stands for derivative with respect to y. Henceforth we will assume a Gaussian configuration to generate a thick braneworld where $B = 0$, then the 5-D nonmetricity scalar Q is given by

$$
\mathbb{Q} = e^{-2A}\hat{\mathbb{Q}} + 16(c_1 + 4c_3)A'^2, \tag{18}
$$

where the induced nonmetricity scalar \hat{Q} is made up with the four-dimensional metric and has no dependence on the extra-dimensional coordinate y. Then, one may consider the quadratic action,

$$
S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_5} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g} \mathbb{Q},\tag{19}
$$

and integrating out on the extra dimension we can write the 4-D effective gravitational action in the form,

$$
S_4 = \frac{1}{2\kappa_4} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \hat{\mathbb{Q}},\tag{20}
$$

where we assume the condition $A'(0) = 0$ and define

$$
\kappa_4 \equiv \frac{k_5}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2A} dy}.
$$
 (21)

Thus, the dimensional reduction leads to a finite 4-D effective gravitational constant κ_4 provided that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2A} dy$ is finite. For a thin brane in AdS₅ (RS model), $A(y) = -c|y|$ and thus, $\kappa_4 = ck_5$. Since $A(y)$ is a solution of the gravitational equations, κ_4 should depend on the coefficients c_i of the gravity Lagrangian. Indeed, in the thin limit of the modified quadratic field equations we obtained this relation.

Now consider a modified gravitational theory such as

$$
S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_5} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} f(\mathbb{Q}),\tag{22}
$$

where we consider the case of particular interest $f(\mathbb{Q}) =$ $\mathbb{Q} + k\mathbb{Q}^n$ with k being a constant with mass dimension $[k] = M^{2-2n}$, and *n* is a real number. If $\hat{\mathbb{Q}} \neq 0$, then the nower-law term leads to an effective 4-D action power-law term leads to an effective 4-D action,

$$
S_4 = \frac{k}{2\kappa_4} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\hat{g}} e^{(4-2n)A} \hat{\mathbb{Q}}^n, \tag{23}
$$

which is finite only for $n < 2$. Thus, an effective fourdimensional gravitational action can only be well-defined for $n < 2$. Therefore, the warped geometry constrains the possible modified gravitational actions for a bent 3-brane. That is a noteworthy constrains to be considered in cosmological branes or to recognize the gravitational dynamics along the brane as an effective dynamics from a higher dimensional spacetime.

A. Flat brane with a bulk scalar field

We will now focus on the gravitational effects along the extra dimension. For this purpose, we consider a flat 3 brane whose source is a minimally coupled real scalar field in the form,

$$
S_M = \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} \left(-\frac{1}{2} g^{AB} \nabla_A \phi \nabla_B \phi - V(\phi) \right), \quad (24)
$$

where $\phi \equiv \phi(y)$ depends only on the extra dimension y. The potential V provides domain-wall solutions which guarantee the stability of the 3-brane.

The equations of motion for the metric and the scalar field from actions [\(13\)](#page-2-2) and [\(24\)](#page-3-0) take the form,

$$
4(c_1 + 4c_3)[(A'' + 4A'^2)f_{\mathbb{Q}} + A'f'_{\mathbb{Q}}]
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{2}f + \kappa_5 V + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_5 \phi'^2 + \Lambda = 0,
$$
 (25)

$$
8(c5 + 2c3)(A''fQ + A'f'Q) + 16(c1 + 2c5 + 8c3)A'^{2}fQ-\frac{1}{2}f + \kappa_{5}V - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{5}\phi'^{2} + \Lambda = 0,
$$
\n(26)

$$
\phi'' + 4\phi' A' - V_{\phi} = 0, \tag{27}
$$

where we use the metric ansatz [\(16\)](#page-2-3) together with the conditions $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ and $B = 0$. It is worth noting that in the particular case in which we recover GR, when $c_1 = -c_3 = -1/4$, $c_2 = -c_5 = 1/2$, $c_4 = 0$, the above equations coincide with those obtained in Ref. $[48]$ $[48]$ $[48]$. Moreover, it is easy to see that there are only two free effective parameters in those equations, namely $\sigma_0 = c_5 +$ $2c_3$ and $\sigma_1 \equiv c_1 + 4c_3$, whose values in the STEGR case are just $\sigma_0^{GR} = 0$ and $\sigma_1^{GR} = 3/4$. Thus, there are still three
parameters in the theory that do not influence the backparameters in the theory that do not influence the background brane solution and whose impact in the physics should be explored by other means.

¹We also mention that our analysis here departs from that of Ref. [\[48\]](#page-14-31) regarding scalar fields in that we also consider effects due to a generalized superpotential [\(7\)](#page-2-4).

The modified gravitational equations can be recast into a more familiar form as

$$
6A^2 = -\frac{\kappa_5}{f_{\mathbb{Q}}} \left(p_4 + \frac{f_{\mathbb{Q}}}{\kappa_5} \tilde{p}_4 \right),\tag{28}
$$

$$
3A'' + 6A'^2 = -\frac{\kappa_5}{f_{\mathbb{Q}}} \left(\rho + \frac{f_{\mathbb{Q}}}{\kappa_5} \tilde{\rho} \right),\tag{29}
$$

where we encompassed all the modifications in the functions \tilde{p} and $\tilde{\rho}$ given by

$$
\tilde{p}_4 = 8\sigma_0 A'' + [6 + 32\sigma_0]A'^2 + 8\sigma_0 \frac{f'_\mathbb{Q}}{f_\mathbb{Q}} - \frac{f}{2f_\mathbb{Q}},\qquad(30)
$$

$$
\tilde{\rho} = (4\sigma_1 - 3)A'' + 12(2\sigma_1 - 1)A'^2 + 4\sigma_1 \frac{f'_\mathbf{Q}}{f_\mathbf{Q}} - \frac{f}{2f_\mathbf{Q}}.\tag{31}
$$

Note that \tilde{p} only depends on σ_0 , while $\tilde{\rho}$ only on σ_1 . Thus, $f(\mathbb{Q})$ theories modify the gravitational equations introducing geometric energy density $\tilde{\rho}$ and pressure \tilde{p}_4 , though the degree of differentiability of the equations does not change as compared to STEGR. In the absence of the terms $\tilde{\rho}$ and \tilde{p}_4 , we recover the GR-based braneworld Einstein equations [[31](#page-14-17)–[33](#page-14-32)].

Let us analyze the configurations such that $\tilde{\rho} \geq 0$. For the second term in [\(31\)](#page-4-0) to be non-negative, we impose that

$$
\sigma_1 \ge 1/2. \tag{32}
$$

In a warped compactified brane one expects that $e^A \rightarrow 0$, as $y \rightarrow \pm \infty$. Thus, assuming the conditions,

$$
A'(0) = 0, A''(0) < 0,\tag{33}
$$

the first term is non-negative near the origin (brane core) provided that

$$
\sigma_1 \le 3/4. \tag{34}
$$

We recall that $\sigma_1^{GR} = 3/4$, which is the case that sets the unner bound in this inequality. This condition restricts the upper bound in this inequality. This condition restricts the range of σ_1 to the interval $1/2 \le \sigma_1 \le 3/4$.

As mentioned earlier, we are interested in a deviation of the STEGR in the form,

$$
f(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q} + k\mathbb{Q}^n. \tag{35}
$$

Since $\mathbb{Q} = 16\sigma_1 A'^2$, the effective gravitational action on the brane vanishes. Moreover, the third term in Eq. [\(31\)](#page-4-0) is nonnegative for

$$
\sigma_1 k \le 0. \tag{36}
$$

The last term in Eq. [\(31\)](#page-4-0) vanishes at the origin and asymptotically. For $k < 0$, the condition $f_O > 0$ leads to an upper limit for A' given by

$$
A' < \left(\frac{1}{n|k|}\right)^{1/2(n-1)}.\tag{37}
$$

Therefore, assuming a weak energy condition for $\tilde{\rho}$, we have been able to put some constraints on the possible values of the coefficients σ_1 and k. For the pressurelike terms \tilde{p} , on the other hand, we obtain different equations of state for different values of the parameters, which may lead to inner structure effects, as we will see in the following sections.

B. Quadratic gravity $(k = 0)$

In this regime, the gravitational Lagrangian is just determined by the generalized quadratic invariant Q, and the field equations turn into

$$
4\sigma_1 A'' + 8\sigma_1 A'^2 + \kappa_5 V(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_5 \phi'^2 = -\Lambda, \quad (38)
$$

$$
8\sigma_0 A'' + 8(\sigma_1 + 4\sigma_0)A'^2 + \kappa_5 V(\phi) - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_5 \phi'^2 = -\Lambda. \quad (39)
$$

As expected, the conditions [\(9\)](#page-2-1) lead to the well-known braneworld gravitational equations of GR [[32](#page-14-18)[,33\]](#page-14-32).

1. Outside the core

Outside the brane core, where $\phi' = 0 = V(\phi)$, the gravitational equations [\(38\)](#page-4-1) and [\(39\)](#page-4-2) boil down to

$$
(\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_0)A''(y) - 8\sigma_0 A'(y)^2 = 0, \tag{40}
$$

which can be readily solved resulting in

$$
A(y) = C_1 - \frac{(\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_0) \ln \left[8\sigma_0 |y| + C_2 (\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_0) \right]}{8\sigma_0}, \quad (41)
$$

with C_1 and C_2 arbitrary integration constants. The limiting case $\sigma_0 = 0$ must be treated separately, yielding $A(y) =$ $\tilde{C}_1 + \tilde{C}_2 |y|$, which is independent of σ_1 . Since regularity at infinity demands a decaying e^{2A} as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that for $\sigma_0 = 0$ we must have $\tilde{C}_2 < 0$. For $\sigma_0 > 0$, the dominant contribution is given by

$$
e^{2A} \approx [8\sigma_0|y|]^{-\frac{(\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_0)}{4\sigma_0}},\tag{42}
$$

which guarantees a rapid decay for any solution near the GR point ($\sigma_1 \rightarrow 3/4, \sigma_0 \rightarrow 0$). Interestingly, for negative σ_0 the bulk is only defined on the interval $0 \le |y|$ $C_2(\sigma_1 + 2|\sigma_0|)/8|\sigma_0|$. Thus, $\sigma_0 < 0$ leads to a compact extra dimension whose radius depends on the nonmetricity coefficients c_1, c_3 and c_5 .

It is worth mentioning that, even though the solution [\(41\)](#page-4-3) leads to $\mathbb{Q} = \frac{16\sigma_1(\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_2)}{y^2}$, which is singular at the origin, the solution in Eq. [\(42\)](#page-4-4) only represents the warp function outside the brane core, and therefore, the limit $y \to 0$ should be computed using the appropriate solution.

Let us now consider a vacuum solution with bulk cosmological constant Λ, where the scalar field and the potential vanish; i.e., $\phi = V = 0$. Assuming a RS solution as $A' = -c$ [[29](#page-14-15)], the Q-gravity equations lead to

$$
c^2 = -\frac{1}{8} \frac{\Lambda}{(\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_0)},\tag{43}
$$

which relates the brane tension c to the bulk cosmological constant Λ. As long as $(\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_0) > 0$, one obtains the usual AdS_5 warped compactified bulk of the RS model, whereas for $(\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_0) < 0$ it describes a dS_5 bulk. An obvious lesson that follows from this is that there exists a broad region of parameter space around the GR solution $(\sigma_1 \rightarrow 3/4, \sigma_0 \rightarrow 0)$ for which the usual AdS₅ RS bulk is recovered, thus showing that such a solution is quite robust for not necessarily small departures from GR. The nonmetricity scalar for this solution is given by $\mathbb{Q} = -\frac{2\Lambda\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_0}$, which is well-behaved everywhere. This result also indicates that the solution [\(43\)](#page-5-0) can be regarded as a robust RS thin brane configuration.

2. BPS solutions

Now that we have gained some insight on the modifications induced by the Q-gravity on the vacuum, it is time to turn our attention to the changes on the brane core and on the source (scalar field). This can be accomplished by means of the first-order formalism, wherein we seek for a BPS solution of the equations Eq. [\(27\),](#page-3-1) Eq. [\(38\)](#page-4-1) and Eq. [\(39\)](#page-4-2) without bulk cosmological constant $(Λ = 0)$.

Consider a potential of the form,

$$
V(\Phi) = \alpha \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \Phi}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{3}W^2,\tag{44}
$$

together with the first-order system,

$$
\frac{dA}{dy} = -\frac{1}{3}W,\tag{45}
$$

$$
\frac{d\Phi}{dy} = \sqrt{2a} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \Phi}.
$$
\n(46)

The BPS equations above satisfies the scalar field and the modified gravitational EOM provided that

$$
\alpha = \frac{\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_0}{6}.\tag{47}
$$

For the usual GR equivalent case, the constant α takes the value $\alpha = 1/8$ [\[32](#page-14-18)[,33\]](#page-14-32).

Let us consider the well-known sine-Gordon model, where the superpotential is given by

$$
W(\phi) = 3bc \sin\left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{3b}}\phi\right].
$$
 (48)

Using Eq. [\(44\)](#page-5-1), the STG coefficients modified the sine-Gordon in the form,

$$
V(\phi) = \frac{3bc^2}{2} \left((2\alpha - b) + (2\alpha + b)\cos\left(2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3b}}\phi\right) \right). \tag{49}
$$

A similar modified sine-Gordon potential was analyzed in Ref. [[49](#page-14-33)]. As seen in Fig. [1,](#page-5-2) the vacua structure of the sine-Gordon potential is kept invariant as we vary the parameter α . The scalar field solution of the BPS equation [\(46\)](#page-5-3) leads to

$$
\phi(y) = \sqrt{6b} \arctan(\tanh(\sqrt{2a}cy)).
$$
 (50)

Note that the higher the value of α the faster the scalar field attains the vacuum, as shown in Fig. [2.](#page-6-0) By solving Eq. [\(45\)](#page-5-4) we obtain the warped function,

$$
A(y) = \ln(\mathrm{sech}(\lambda y))^{b/\sqrt{8a}},\tag{51}
$$

where $\lambda = \sqrt{2\alpha}c$. Thus, the width of the thick brane is controlled by the nonmetricity coefficients c_i , c_2 and c_3 controlled by the nonmetricity coefficients c_1 , c_3 and c_5 through their combination in the effective parameter α .

As shown in Fig. [3](#page-6-1), the Q-gravity controls the width and the amplitude of the energy density. However, the overall properties are preserved compared to the GR sine-Gordon model [\[32\]](#page-14-18).

FIG. 1. Modified sine-Gordon potential for $b = c = 1$. For $\alpha =$ 1/8 (thick line), $\alpha = 1$ (thin line) and $\alpha = 2$ (dotted line) the vacuum points are preserved.

FIG. 2. Scalar field profile for $b = c = 1$. As α increases from $\alpha = 1/8$ (thick line) and $\alpha = 1$ (thin line) to $\alpha = 2$ (dotted line) the field concentrates around the origin.

FIG. 3. Energy density for $b = c = 1$. As the parameter α increases the brane width decreases.

C. Nonquadratic gravity $k \neq 0$

We will now investigate the effects of additional nonquadratic gravitational dynamics on the braneworld. For this purpose, we will first analyze the modifications on the vacuum solution (exterior). The gravitational equations lead to

$$
(\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_0)(f_{\mathbb{Q}}A'' + f'_{\mathbb{Q}}A') - 8\sigma_0 f_{\mathbb{Q}}A'^2 = 0. \quad (52)
$$

Assuming this conditions and considering $\Lambda \neq 0$ and $A' = -c$, the gravitational equations with cosmological constant lead to

$$
8\sigma_1 c^2 + k((n-1/2)[16\sigma_1 c^2]^n) = -\Lambda.
$$
 (53)

Curiously, if $n = \frac{1}{2}$, then Eq. [\(53\)](#page-6-2) coincides with Eq. [\(43\)](#page-5-0), regardless of the value of k. Thus, the power $n = 1/2$ provides no correction to the geometry outside the 3-brane for $\Lambda \neq 0$. For $n = 2$, Eq. [\(53\)](#page-6-2) admits the solution,

$$
\sigma_1 c^2 = \frac{\sqrt{1 - 192k\Lambda} - 1}{96k},\tag{54}
$$

which for small values of the product $k\Lambda$ can be approximated as $\sigma_1 c^2 \approx \Lambda$. Equation [\(54\)](#page-6-3) shows how a thin RS braneworld [[29](#page-14-15)] is modified by the nonquadratic $f(\mathbb{Q})$ gravity. Likewise the quadratic solution in Eq. [\(43\)](#page-5-0), both AdS₅ and dS_5 bulk spacetimes are allowed by Eq. [\(54\).](#page-6-3) For a dS_5 bulk, Eq. [\(54\)](#page-6-3) constrains the value of the parameter k to the interval $k \leq 1/192\Lambda$.

Now let us consider thick 3-brane solution for $\Lambda = 0$. We seek for BPS solutions in the nonquadratic dynamics, by considering a superpotential $W(\phi)$ such that [[32](#page-14-18)[,33,](#page-14-32)[44\]](#page-14-34)

$$
A' = -\frac{1}{3}W(\phi). \tag{55}
$$

For arbitrary coefficients c_i , and considering $f(\mathbb{Q}) =$ $\mathbb{Q} + k\mathbb{Q}^n$, the modified gravitational equations [\(25\)](#page-3-2) and [\(26\)](#page-3-3) contain rather intricate combinations of A' , A'' and ϕ' . However, for $\sigma_0 = 2c_3 + c_5 = 0$, $\sigma_1 = c_1 + 4c_3 = 3/4$, and $\kappa_5 = 2$, the gravitational equations [\(25\)](#page-3-2) and [\(26\)](#page-3-3) simplify to

$$
\[1 + \frac{(n-1)k(12A^2)^{n-1}}{1 + nk(12A^2)^{n-1}}\]A^2 = \frac{1}{3f_Q}(\phi^2 - 2V),\quad(56)
$$

$$
\left[1+\frac{32n(n-1)k(c_1-2c_5)(12)^{n-1}(A')^{n-1}}{1+nk(12A'^2)^{n-1}}\right]A''=-\frac{2}{3f_Q}\phi'^2.
$$
\n(57)

Note that for $k = 0$ or $n = 1$, the usual GR-based gravitational equations are recovered [\[32](#page-14-18)[,33\]](#page-14-32). Also, the system of modified gravitational equations above is similar to the one found in $f(T)$ gravity [\[44\]](#page-14-34). We adapted the first-order formalism developed in Ref. [[44](#page-14-34)] by considering additional powers of the superpotential in the BPS equation for the scalar field in the form,

$$
\phi' = \frac{W_{\phi}}{2} (1 + k(C_n + D_n) W^{2n-2}), \tag{58}
$$

where $C_n = n2^{2(n-1)}3^{1-n}$ and $D_n = n(n-1) \times$
 $(x-2\pi)^{2n+1}3^{1-n}$ Note that for $n-1/2$ the usual $(\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_0)2^{2n+1}3^{1-n}$. Note that, for $n = 1/2$, the usual GR-based RPS equations are recovered [32.33.44]. Using GR-based BPS equations are recovered [[32](#page-14-18)[,33,](#page-14-32)[44\]](#page-14-34). Using Eq. [\(55\)](#page-6-4) and Eq. [\(58\)](#page-6-5) the Eq. [\(28\)](#page-4-5) leads to

$$
V(\phi) = \frac{W_{\phi}^2}{8} (1 + kC_n W^{2n-2}) [1 + k(C_n + D_n) W^{2n-2}]
$$

$$
- \frac{W^2}{3} \left[1 + kC_n \left(2 - \frac{1}{n} \right) W^{2n-2} \right].
$$
 (59)

The BPS equations [\(55\)](#page-6-4), [\(58\)](#page-6-5) and [\(59\)](#page-6-6) reduce the second order EOM into a system of first-order equations. It is

FIG. 4. Potential (a) and scalar field (b) for $n = 2$ and $k = 0.005$ (thick line), $k = 0.05$ (thin line), $k = 0.5$ (dashed line).

worthwhile to mention that the modified gravitational equations include new powers of the warp function derivative A' and, as a result, the BPS equations get also modified by new powers of the superpotential. Note that the modified gravitational dynamics also influences the scalar field profile and the potential properties. Similar BPS equations were found in teleparallel $f(T)$ [\[43](#page-14-27)–[45](#page-14-28)], $f(T, B)$ [\[46\]](#page-14-29) and $f(Q)$ [[48](#page-14-31)].

Let us see how the $f(\mathbb{Q})$ dynamics modifies the scalar field features in the well-known sine-gordon model, where $W(\phi) = 3bc \sin \left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{3b}}\phi\right]$.

In Fig. [4\(a\)](#page-7-0) we plotted the potential for $n = 2$ at the GR point $\sigma_0 =$ and $\sigma_1 = 3/4$. As k increases the potential is modified. Indeed, the wells become deeper and the initial barriers become false vacua. The appearance of new local minima (but not global minima) seems to suggest metastable braneworld configurations. The stability of the gravitational modes in the linear regime will be discussed in the next section.

In Fig. [4\(b\)](#page-7-0) we plotted the respective scalar field solution. The increasing of k leads to the tendency of forming a plateau around the origin. Moreover, the field tends to attain the vacuum in a finite distance. These features reveal that, despite the presence of only one scalar field, the modified Q-gravity dynamics produces a thick brane with an inner structure resembling a hybrid defect [\[35\]](#page-14-19). Similar results were found in $f(T)$ [\[44\]](#page-14-34) and $f(T, B)$ [\[46\]](#page-14-29) braneworlds.

In Fig. [5\(a\)](#page-7-1) we plotted the energy density. This figure reveals another interesting effect. In (a) the energy density exhibits two distinctive peaks revealing the brane splitting. Figure [5\(b\)](#page-7-1) shows that the transition between the single to two brane is performed maintaining the geometry smooth. Moreover, the increasing of k leads to a plateau around the

FIG. 5. Plots of the energy density for $n = 2$. In (a) for $k = 0.5$ (dashed line). In (b) for $k = 0.005$ (thin line) and for $k = 0.05$ (dashed line).

FIG. 6. Plots of the energy density (thick line) and the pressure (thin line) for (a) $k = 0.005$ and (b) for $k = 0.5$.

origin. Therefore, as k increases the brane undergoes a smooth transition from one to two branes.

In Fig. [6](#page-8-0) we plot the energy density (thick line) and the pressure (thin line) for $k = 0.005$ in (a) and for $k = 0.5$ in panel (b). For small k the energy density exhibits a symmetric peak around the origin whereas the pressure has two peaks displayed from the origin. As k increases, the energy density also develops two peaks, a hallmark of the brane splitting. It is worthwhile to mention that, in most of the point, the source satisfies the weak energy condition $\rho \geq 0$. In addition, the strong energy condition $\rho + P \geq 0$ is satisfied and around the two peaks (brane core) the dominant energy condition $\rho \geq P$.

D. Stability and perturbative analysis: Tensor modes

Now, we will investigate the tensor perturbation of the thick brane system described above. For this purpose, we will rewrite the line element as

$$
(ds^2)_5 = e^{2A(y)}(\eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu})dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} + dy^2, \qquad (60)
$$

where $h_{\mu\nu}$ represents the tensorial perturbation. It should be stressed that the coincident gauge, i.e., $\Gamma^{K}_{MN} = 0$, is
always imposed even at the perturbation level. The nonalways imposed, even at the perturbation level. The nonvanishing components of the nonmetricity tensor perturbations are

$$
\delta Q^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\alpha} h_{\mu\nu},\tag{61}
$$

$$
\delta Q^4_{\ \mu\nu} = 2A'e^{2A}h_{\mu\nu} + e^{2A}\partial_4 h_{\mu\nu},\tag{62}
$$

and the perturbed traces become

$$
\delta Q_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} h, \qquad \delta Q_4 = \partial_4 h, \tag{63}
$$

$$
\delta \overline{Q_{\mu}} = \partial^{\nu} h_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \delta \overline{Q}_{4} = 0, \qquad (64)
$$

where $h \equiv \eta^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$. Besides, the perturbed nonmetricity scalar is $\delta \mathbb{Q} = 4\sigma_1 A' \partial_4 h$. With the aid of expressions (61)–(64) the perturbed superpotential tensor takes the (61) – (64) , the perturbed superpotential tensor takes the form,

$$
\delta P^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\nu} = c_1 \partial^{\alpha} h_{\mu\nu} + \frac{c_2}{2} (\partial_{\mu} h^{\alpha}{}_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu} h^{\alpha}{}_{\mu}) + c_3 \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\alpha} h + \frac{c_4}{2} (\delta^{\alpha}_{\mu} \partial_{\beta} h^{\beta}{}_{\nu} + \delta^{\alpha}_{\nu} \partial_{\beta} h^{\beta}{}_{\mu}) + \frac{c_5}{4} (\delta^{\alpha}_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} h + \delta^{\alpha}_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} h + 2 \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial_{\beta} h^{\alpha \beta}),
$$
(65)

$$
\delta P_{\mu\nu}^4 = c_1 e^{2A} (\partial_4 h_{\mu\nu} + 2A'h_{\mu\nu}) + c_3 e^{2A} (\eta_{\mu\nu} \partial_4 h + 8A'h_{\mu\nu}),
$$
\n(66)

$$
\delta P^{\alpha}{}_{4\mu} = \delta P^{\alpha}{}_{\mu 4} = \frac{c_2}{2} \partial_4 h^{\alpha}{}_{\mu} + \frac{c_5}{4} \delta^{\alpha}_{\mu} \partial_4 h,\tag{67}
$$

$$
\delta P^4_{\ \mu} = \delta P^4_{\ \mu 4} = \frac{c_4}{2} \partial_\nu h^\nu_{\ \mu} + \frac{c_5}{4} \partial_\mu h,\tag{68}
$$

$$
\delta P^{\alpha}{}_{44} = c_3 e^{-2A} \partial^{\alpha} h + \frac{c_5}{2} e^{-2A} \partial_{\beta} h^{\alpha \beta}, \tag{69}
$$

$$
\delta P^4{}_{44} = \left(c_3 + \frac{c_5}{2}\right) \partial_4 h. \tag{70}
$$

Taking the scalar field perturbation as $\phi = \bar{\phi} + \delta \phi$, one finds the perturbation of the stress-energy tensor as

$$
\delta T_{\mu\nu} = -e^{2A} \left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{\phi}^{\prime 2} h_{\mu\nu} + V h_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\phi}^{\prime} \delta \phi^{\prime} \eta_{\mu\nu} + V_{\phi} \delta \phi \eta_{\mu\nu} \right),\tag{71}
$$

$$
\delta T_{4\mu} = \delta \phi' \partial_{\mu} \bar{\phi} + \bar{\phi}' \partial_{\mu} \delta \phi, \tag{72}
$$

$$
\delta T_{44} = \bar{\phi}' \delta \phi' - V_{\phi} \delta \phi, \qquad (73)
$$

where $\bar{\phi} = \bar{\phi}(x^{\mu})$, and $\delta\phi = \delta\phi(x^{\mu}, y)$ stands for the background scalar field and its perturbation, respectively. From the above quantities, the equation of motion for the tensor perturbation becomes

$$
-4c_{1}\left[h_{\mu\nu}^{\prime\prime}+\left(4A^{\prime}+\frac{f^{\prime}_{Q}}{f_{Q}}\right)h_{\mu\nu}^{\prime}+e^{-2A}\Box^{(4)}h_{\mu\nu}\right]-2e^{-2A}[c_{5}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}h+(c_{2}+c_{4})(\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\alpha}h^{\alpha}{}_{\nu}+\partial_{\nu}\partial_{\alpha}h^{\alpha}{}_{\mu})]
$$

+
$$
\eta_{\mu\nu}\left[\delta\mathbb{Q}-\frac{2\kappa}{f_{Q}}(\delta\phi^{\prime}\bar{\phi}^{\prime}+\delta\phi V_{\phi})-2c_{5}e^{-2A}\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}h^{\alpha\beta} -\frac{4c_{3}}{f_{Q}}(f_{Q}h^{\prime\prime}+f_{Q}e^{-2A}\Box^{(4)}h+8(\delta\mathbb{Q}A^{\prime\prime}+\delta\mathbb{Q}^{\prime}A^{\prime}+4\delta\mathbb{Q}A^{\prime 2})f_{QQ}+h^{\prime}(8A^{\prime}f_{Q}+f^{\prime}_{Q})+8\delta\mathbb{Q}A^{\prime}\mathbb{Q}^{\prime}f_{QQQ})
$$

$$
-\frac{4c_{1}}{f_{Q}}(f_{Q}A^{\prime}h^{\prime}+2\delta\mathbb{Q}^{\prime}A^{\prime}f_{QQ}+2\delta\mathbb{Q}(A^{\prime\prime}f_{QQ}+4A^{\prime 2}f_{QQ}+\mathbb{Q}^{\prime}f_{QQQ}))\right]=0,
$$
 (74)

Г

where $f_{\text{QQ}} \equiv \frac{d^2 f}{dQ^2}$ and $f_{\text{QQQ}} \equiv \frac{d^3 f}{dQ^3}$. Note that in the above expression only the first term would be nonzero if a transverse, traceless gauge were possible. In this sense, as pointed out in Refs.[[50,](#page-14-35)[51\]](#page-14-36), once one adopts the coincident gauge, no additional gauge symmetry is left to further impose the TT gauge for arbitrary choices of the parameters c_i [[19](#page-14-5)]. Accordingly, the quadratic Q gravity exhibits additional propagating degrees of freedom for arbitrary coefficients c_i , even in $(3 + 1)$ dimensions [[50](#page-14-35),[51](#page-14-36)].

Fortunately, one can verify that the $f(\mathbb{Q})$ theory expanded up to quadratic order in infinitesimal metric perturbations around flat spacetime remains invariant under gauge transformations if the coefficients c_i are chosen as those of the STEGR [we assume that $f(0) = 0$]. This implies that $f(\mathbb{Q})$ theories with that choice of coefficients are also gauge invariant, which allows to impose the TT gauge condition $\partial^{\mu} h_{\mu\nu} = 0 = \eta^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$. By focusing on that restricted combination of the c_i coefficients, Eq. [\(74\)](#page-9-1) boils down to

$$
h''_{\mu\nu} + \left(4A' + \frac{f'_0}{f_{\mathbb{Q}}}\right)h'_{\mu\nu} + e^{-2A}\Box^{(4)}h_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{75}
$$

As is evident, the modified gravitational dynamics induces a new term $\frac{f'_0}{f_0}$ into the resulting equations for the tensor modes. By considering the conformal coordinate $z = \int e^A dy$, Eq. [\(75\)](#page-9-2) can be further simplified into

$$
\ddot{h}_{\mu\nu} + \left(3\dot{A} + \frac{\dot{f}_{\text{Q}}}{f_{\text{Q}}}\right)\dot{h}_{\mu\nu} + \Box^{(4)}h_{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad (76)
$$

where the dot stands for d/dz . In order to study the propagation of the gravitational tensor modes along the extra dimension and analyze their stability, we can perform a Kaluza-Klein (KK) decomposition,

$$
h_{\mu\nu}(x,z) = \varphi(z)\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}(x),\tag{77}
$$

where $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}(x)$ satisfies the flat Fiertz-Pauli equation on the brane $\Box^{(4)}\hat{h}_{\mu\nu} = -m^2\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}$. Thus, the Eq. [\(76\)](#page-9-3) leads to a Schrödinger like equation of the form Schrödinger-like equation of the form,

$$
-\ddot{\Phi} + U_g \Phi = m^2 \Phi, \qquad (78)
$$

where $\phi(z) = (e^{3A} \sqrt{f \rho})^{-1} \Phi(z)$, the supersymmetric
quantum moghanicalika potential *U* has the form quantum mechanicslike potential U_q has the form,

$$
U_g = W_g^2 - \dot{W}_g,\tag{79}
$$

and W_q is given by

$$
W_g = 3\dot{A} + \frac{\dot{f}_Q}{f_Q}.\tag{80}
$$

It is worthwhile to mention that, despite the modification given by the term $\frac{f_0}{f_Q}$, the SUSY-like structure of the KK equation [\(78\)](#page-9-4) prevents the existence of tachyonic KK modes, which is enough guarantee for the stability of the braneworld solution in the linear regime [\[48,](#page-14-31)[52\]](#page-14-37).

IV. FERMIONS

After studying the gravitational field and the bulk geometry for the quadratic Q and modified $\mathbb{Q} + k\mathbb{Q}^2$, we now investigate the features of fermionic matter in these modified gravitational scenarios. We propose a nonminimal coupling of a massless bulk spinor and the gravitational field in the form,

$$
S_{\Psi} = \int d^{5}x \sqrt{-g} \left[\overline{\Psi}i\Gamma^{M}D_{M}\Psi - \beta\sqrt{\mathbb{Q}}\overline{\Psi}\Psi\right], \quad (81)
$$

where β is a dimensionless nonminimal coupling constant, $\Gamma^M = e^M_{\bar{M}} \gamma^{\bar{M}}$ are the Dirac matrices in a curved spacetime, $\gamma^{\bar{M}}$ are the flat Dirac matrices and the *vielbeins* $e^M_{\bar{M}}$ satisfy

 $g_{MN} = \eta_{\bar{M}\bar{N}} e_M^{\bar{M}} e_N^{\bar{N}}$. The spinor covariant derivative in non-
metricity spacetimes is given by [21] metricity spacetimes is given by [[21](#page-14-7)]

$$
D_M = \partial_M + \Omega_M^{LC},\tag{82}
$$

where $\Omega_M^{LC} = \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_M^{\bar{M} \bar{N}} \gamma_{\bar{M}} \gamma_{\bar{N}}$ is the torsion-free spinor con-
mastics and $\Gamma_M^{\bar{M} \bar{N}}$ are 1 factor I will Givita connection and for nection and $\Gamma_M^{\tilde{M}\tilde{N}}$ are 1-form Levi-Civita connection coefficients, i.e., $\omega_{\bar{N}}^{\bar{M}} = \Gamma_{M\bar{N}}^{\bar{M}} dx^M$. As pointed out in Ref. [\[21\]](#page-14-7), in non-Riemaniann spacetimes the nonvanishing term added to the Riemaniann covariant derivative comes from the torsion alone. Thus, in the symmetric teleparallel gravity, the spinor connection structure is preserved.

In order to confine the spin- $1/2$ massless mode on the 3brane, a Yukawa-like interaction with an additional scalar field is usually adopted. In this work we consider a nonminimal coupling in Eq. [\(81\)](#page-9-5) which leads to the localization of the massless mode by gravitational interactions only. Similar nonminimal couplings involving torsion scalars were studied in a teleparallel [\[53\]](#page-14-38) and in the Lyra geometry [\[54\]](#page-14-39) braneworlds.

In the conformal coordinate $z = \int e^{-A} dy$, the metric can
written as $\partial^2 \phi = \partial^2 \phi \partial^2 \phi$ and so $\partial^M = -4 \delta^M L M$ be written as $ds^2 = \eta_{\bar{M}\bar{N}} \hat{\theta}^{\bar{M}} \otimes \hat{\theta}^{\bar{N}}$, where $\hat{\theta}^{\bar{M}} = e^{-A} \delta_M^{\bar{M}} dx^M$.
The tension face are litten $\hat{\theta}^{\bar{M}} = \hat{\theta}^{\bar{M}} \otimes \hat{\theta}^{\bar{M}}$ and the theory The torsion-free condition $d\hat{\theta}^{\bar{M}} + \omega_{\bar{N}}^{\bar{M}} \hat{\theta}^{\bar{N}} = 0$ leads to the nonvanishing 1-form connection coefficients $\Gamma_{5\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\mu}} = \dot{A}\delta_{\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\mu}}$ and $\Gamma^5_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} = \dot{A}\eta_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}$, where the dot stands for the derivative
with recoget to the conformal coordinate i.e. $d/d\tau$ with respect to the conformal coordinate, i.e., d/dz . Accordingly, the Dirac equation takes the form,

$$
[\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} + \gamma^{z}(\partial_{z} + 2\dot{A}) - e^{A}(\beta\sqrt{\mathbb{Q}})]\Psi = 0.
$$
 (83)

Let us perform the Kaluza-Klein decomposition $\Psi(x, z) =$ $f(z) \sum \psi_{4R}(x) \psi_R(z) + \psi_{4L} \psi_L(z)$, where $f(z) = e^{2A}$ and ψ_{2R} are respectively the right-handed and left-handed $\psi_{R,L}$ are, respectively, the right-handed and left-handed chiral states with respect to the extra dimension. Assuming that the spin- $1/2$ fermion satisfies the on-brane Dirac equation $\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \Psi = m \Psi$, we obtain

$$
(\partial_z + e^A \beta \sqrt{\mathbb{Q}}) \psi_L = m \psi_R,
$$

$$
(\partial_z - e^A \beta \sqrt{\mathbb{Q}}) \psi_R = -m \psi_L.
$$
 (84)

It is worthwhile to mention that the \vec{A} term stemming from the connection was absorbed by a field redefinition denoted by the function $f(z)$ [\[55](#page-14-40)[,56\]](#page-14-41).

Decoupling the Dirac system in Eq. [\(84\),](#page-10-0) we obtain Schrödinger-like equations for each chirality, as

$$
-\ddot{\psi}_{R,L} + U_{R,L}(z)\psi_{R,L} = m^2 \psi_{R,L},\tag{85}
$$

where the Schrödinger-like potential $U_{R,L}$ is given by

$$
U_{R,L} = W^2 \pm \dot{W},\tag{86}
$$

and $W(z) = \beta e^{A} Q$ is the so-called superpotential of the SUSY-like quantum mechanics potential in Eq. [\(86\).](#page-10-1) The Schrödinger-like Eq. [\(85\)](#page-10-2) determines the KK states and their corresponding massive spectrum. The SUSY-like structure of the potential in Eq. [\(86\)](#page-10-1) guarantees that $m^2 \geq 0$, thus avoiding tachionic KK states [[52](#page-14-37)]. In addition, the SUSY-like structure also allows for the existence of a massless mode $m = 0$ in the form [[52](#page-14-37),[55](#page-14-40)[,56\]](#page-14-41),

$$
\psi_0 = e^{-\int W dz}.\tag{87}
$$

Next we will study the properties of the spin- $1/2$ fermion in two different regimes.

A. Quadratic gravity limit

Considering $k = 0$ in the gravitational Lagrangian, the warp function is given by Eq. [\(51\).](#page-5-5) The corresponding conformal coordinate, $z = \int \cosh(\lambda y)^{b/\sqrt{8a}} dy$, can only be
constitutedly inverted when $b/\sqrt{8a} - 1$, wherein analytically inverted when $b/\sqrt{8a} = 1$, wherein $z = \frac{1}{2} \sinh(ky)$. In this case, the warned function has the $\frac{1}{4}$ sinh (λy) . In this case, the warped function has the expression,

$$
A(z) = \ln(1 + \lambda^2 z^2)^{-1/2},\tag{88}
$$

where $\lambda = \sqrt{2\alpha}c$. As a result, the superpotential has the form form,

$$
W(z) = -\frac{4\beta\lambda^2\sqrt{\sigma_1}z}{1 + \lambda^2 z^2},\tag{89}
$$

which exhibits the behavior shown in the Fig. [7.](#page-10-3) The lefthanded potential is given by

$$
U_L = -\frac{4\lambda^2\sqrt{\sigma_1}(1 - 4\sigma_1\lambda^2 z^2)}{(1 + \lambda^2 z^2)^2}.
$$
 (90)

Likewise the gravitational field, the potential above depends explicitly only on the effective parameters σ_1 and σ_0 (via α).

FIG. 7. Fermion superpotential.

FIG. 8. Fermion potential U_R .

Using the condition $b^2 = 8a$ and since $\lambda = \sqrt{2ac}$, the values
chosen for the c, coefficients have to satisfy $\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_2 > 0$ chosen for the c_i coefficients have to satisfy $\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_0 > 0$. We seek for modified solutions around the GR-based geometry. Thus, we plotted the potential for the GR equivalent case, $\sigma_0 = 0$ and $\sigma_1 = 3/4$, corresponding to $c_1 = -c_3 = -\frac{1}{4}$, $c_5 = -1/2$ (thick line), $\sigma_0 = 1/2$, $\sigma_1 = 1$, corresponding to $c_1 = 0$, $c_3 = 1/4$, $c_5 = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}$ (thin line), and $\sigma_0 = -1$, $\sigma_1 = 3$, corresponding to $c_1 = 2$, $c_3 =$ 1/4 and $c_5 = -\frac{3}{2}$ (dashed line). The graphics for the super-
potential (Fig. 2), for the right-handed potential (Fig. 8), and potential (Fig. [7](#page-10-3)), for the right-handed potential (Fig. [8\)](#page-11-0), and for the left-handed massless mode (Fig. [10](#page-11-1)) were plotted for the same values just mentioned. The left-handed potential is plotted in Fig. [9,](#page-11-2) where it shows the usual volcano shape, similar to those models with Yukawa interaction [\[55](#page-14-40)[,56\]](#page-14-41). The minimum of the potential is controlled mainly by the σ_1 coefficient according to $U_{R0} = 4\lambda^2 \sqrt{\sigma_1}$, where some dependence on σ_1 also exists. Therefore, by varying σ_1 some dependence on σ_0 also exists. Therefore, by varying σ_1 , it is possible to vary the height of the barriers and the depth of the potential well. Moreover, the asymptotic behavior of the potential indicates a continuous tower of massive nonlocalized KK modes.

FIG. 10. Left-handed massless mode Ψ_0 .

The corresponding massless mode is given by

$$
\psi_0 = N(1 + \lambda^2 z^2)^{-2\sqrt{\sigma_1}},\tag{91}
$$

whose behavior is sketched in Fig. [10](#page-11-1). The massless mode is a bound state to the 3-brane and its behavior is only slightly modified by the variation of the c coefficients.

B. Nonquadratic regime $n = 2$

When the parameter that controls the geometric corrections $kQⁿ$ is nonzero, the equations get very messy, and one must resort to numerical methods in order to solve for the warp factor $A(y)$ and the scalar field $\phi(y)$. The Schrödinger-like potentials U_{RL} and the massless mode α_0 can only be obtained and analyzed numerically, as well. To illustrate the changes, Fig. [11](#page-11-3) shows the behavior of $z(y)$, where a smooth change from y to z is shown. Figure [12](#page-12-0) depicts the behavior of the left-handed massless mode ψ_0 . Remarkably, even though the thick 3-brane undergoes a split transition driven by the change in the parameter k, the massless mode remains peaked around the

FIG. 9. Fermion potential U_L .

FIG. 11. Conformal coordinate $z(y)$ for $b = c = 1$. For $k =$ 0.005 (thick line), $k = 0.05$ (thin line) and $k = 0.5$ (dashed line), $z(y)$ is a smooth one to one function.

FIG. 12. Left-handed massless mode α_0 for $b = c = \beta = 1$. Despite the split undergone by the brane, this KK ground state keeps the usual bell-shape.

origin. All the figures correspond to the GR-like configuration $\sigma_0 = 0$ and $\sigma_1 = 3/4$.

The Schrödinger-like potential U_L , on the other hand, undergoes a strong transition. As shown in Fig. [13,](#page-12-1) for $k = 0.005$ (thin line) and $k = 0.05$ (dashed line), only the height of the barrier and the width of the potential well do slightly vary. However, for $k = 0.5$, as shown in Fig. [14,](#page-12-2) the potential well turns into a barrier at the origin, followed by two deep, symmetrical and thin wells around the origin.

Finally, let us compare the effects of the variation of the σ_i coefficients and of the k on the massive modes. In Figs. [15](#page-12-3) and [16](#page-12-4), we plotted the squared wave function ψ^2 for $m = 4$. By varying the parameters, we see that the amplitude of the massive mode outside the brane and the decay rate of the mode inside the brane increase.

As long as $(\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_0) > 0$, one obtains the usual AdS₅ warped.

FIG. 13. Left-handed potential U_L for $b = c = \beta = 1$. For $k = 0.005$ (thin line) the potential has the volcanolike shape. For $k = 0.05$ (dashed line) the barriers increase their height and the potential well becomes wider.

FIG. 14. Left-handed potential for $\lambda = 1$ and $k = 0.5$. The potential still vanishes asymptotically. However, the potential well undergoes a transition leading to a barrier at the origin, followed by two symmetric and deep potential wells at both sides of the origin.

FIG. 15. Left-handed massive mode for $m = 4$. For $\sigma_0 = 0$, $\sigma_1 = 3/4$ (thick line) and for $\sigma_0 = 1/2, \sigma_1 = 1$ (thin line), the amplitude of this massive mode varies only a small fraction around the origin. For $\sigma_0 = -1$, $\sigma_1 = 3$, there are two symmetric peaks around the origin and the massive mode rapidly decays.

FIG. 16. Left-handed massive mode for $m = 4$. As k increases from $k = 0.005$ (thick line), $k = 0.05$ (thin line) to $k = 0.5$ (dashed line) this mode keeps the same behavior and the value $\psi^2(0)$ is the same regardless of the value of k.

V. FINAL REMARKS

In this work we have studied a braneworld model where the gravitational dynamics is governed by a modified symmetric teleparallel gravitational theory. A nonminimal coupling between fermions and gravity, by means of the Q scalar, has also been investigated.

By considering a quadratic gravitational Lagrangian Q, the thin brane solutions, as in the RS model, can be embedded into a dS_5 or AdS₅ bulk, depending on the sign for the combination $\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_0 = c_1 + 2c_5 + 8c_3$. We found that $(\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_0) > 0$ guarantees the existence of robust thin shell brane configurations in the AdS₅ bulk. For $\Lambda = 0$, we also observed that the width of thick brane solutions is controlled by the effective parameter $\alpha = (\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_0)/6$.

The modified nonquadratic Lagrangian $f(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q} + k\mathbb{Q}^2$ introduces new terms into the first-order BPS equations, leading to a 3-brane with inner structure that resembles a hybrid brane. Indeed, the potential wells of the sine-Gordon model become deeper, whereas the source scalar field tends to form a plateau at the origin. As a result, the brane undergoes a splitting process as the parameter k is increased. These features are compatible with results found in other teleparallel braneworld models, as in $f(T)$ [\[43](#page-14-27)[,44](#page-14-34),[46](#page-14-29)] and $f(T, B)$ [[53](#page-14-38)] scenarios.

The BPS braneworld solutions we found reveal a stable phase transition driven by the modified $k\mathbb{Q}^n$ term. The respective BPS scalar field potential contains additional powers of the superpotential. As a result, new minima appear between two vacua, suggesting the appearance of metastable states. However, at the linear order, the tensor gravitational fluctuations are stable, as seem by the absence of tachyonic modes. Future analysis on the gravitational spectrum for arbitrary c_i , as well as a nonperturbative study of the thick brane solutions seem an interesting perspective.

The nonminimal coupling between fermions and the nonmetricity scalar Q in the quadratic regime leads to results that are similar to those obtained with a standard Yukawa coupling. Thus, this geometric coupling can be interpreted as an alternative mechanism to get fermion localization. For $n = 2$, the Schrödinger-like potential undergoes a transition leading to a barrier and two symmetric wells around the origin. Nevertheless, the massless mode is still localized at the origin and the massive KK tower is still stable. This indicates that exotic couplings between matter and geometry may have mild effects on key aspects of matter localization in braneworld models.

Finally, the results presented here indicate that the different configurations studied are dependent on only two effective parameters σ_0 and σ_1 , despite the fact that the scalar Q is constructed using up to five different coefficients c_i . Moreover, key qualitative aspects of the resulting configurations are robust in large patches of the configuration space around the GR point ($\sigma_0 = 0$ and $\sigma_1 = 3/4$), which confirms the braneworld scenarios of GR as suitable phenomenological descriptions that transcend the limits of that theory. It is thus important to consider further investigations in dynamical scenarios and/ or on nonflat 4D backgrounds, such as brane cosmology, stellar models, or black hole scenarios, which could help constrain the additional parameters of these models and put to a test the robustness of other predictions derived within the domain of GR. Research in this direction is currently under way.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Grants No. 312356/2017-0 (JEGS), No. 311732/2021-6 (RVM), and No. 309553/2021-0 (CASA) for financial support. This work is supported by the Spanish Grants No. FIS2017-84440-C2-1-P and No. PID2020– 116567 GB-C21 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/ 501100011033 ("ERDF A way of making Europe"), and the Project No. PROMETEO/2020/079 (Generalitat Valenciana).

- [1] C. Boehm, P. Fayet, and R. Schaeffer, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01060-7) 518, 8 [\(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01060-7)
- [2] A. G. Riess et al., Astron. J. 116[, 1009 \(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1086/300499)
- [3] S. Capozziello and M. De Laurentis, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2011.09.003) 509, 167 [\(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2011.09.003)
- [4] K. Hinterbichler, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.84.671) **84**, 671 (2012).
- [5] R. Maartens and K. Koyama, Living Rev. Relativity 13, 5 (2010).
- [6] F. W. Hehl, P. Von Der Heyde, G. D. Kerlick, and J. M. Nester, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.48.393) 48, 393 (1976).
- [7] F. W. Hehl, J. D. McCrea, E. W. Mielke, and Y. Ne'eman, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(94)00111-F) 258, 1 (1995).
- [8] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, [Living Rev. Relativity](https://doi.org/10.12942/lrr-2010-3) 13, 3 [\(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.12942/lrr-2010-3)
- [9] H. I. Arcos and J. G. Pereira, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/21/22/011) 21, [5193 \(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/21/22/011).
- [10] J. Beltrán Jiménez, L. Heisenberg, and T. Koivisto, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.044048) Rev. D 98[, 044048 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.044048).
- [11] R. Aldrovandi and J. Pereira, Teleparallel Gravity: An Introduction, Fundamental Theories of Physics, Vol. 173 (Springer, Dordrecht, 2014).
- [12] J. C. Baez and D. K. Wise, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-014-2178-7) 333, 153 [\(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-014-2178-7)
- [13] M. Hohmann, L. Järv, M. Krššák, and C. Pfeifer, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.104042) Rev. D 97[, 104042 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.104042).
- [14] J. W. Maluf, [Ann. Phys. \(Berlin\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.201200272) 525, 339 (2013).
- [15] R. Weitzenböck, *Invarianten Theorie* (Nordhoff, Groningen, 1923).
- [16] R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, Phys. Rev. D 75[, 084031 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.084031)
- [17] Y.F. Cai, S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis, and E.N. Saridakis, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/79/10/106901) 79, 106901 (2016).
- [18] S. Bahamonde and S. Capozziello, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4677-0) 77, 107 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4677-0)
- [19] J. Beltrán Jiménez, L. Heisenberg, and T. S. Koivisto, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 08 \(](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2018/08/039)2018) 039.
- [20] J. Beltrán Jiménez, L. Heisenberg, T. S. Koivisto, and S. Pekar, Phys. Rev. D 101[, 103507 \(2020\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.103507) J. Beltrán Jiménez, L. Heisenberg, and T. Koivisto, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.044048) 98, 044048 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.044048)
- [21] A. Delhom, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8330-y) **80**, 728 (2020).
- [22] S. Mandal, P. K. Sahoo, and J. R. L. Santos, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.024057) 102[, 024057 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.024057)
- [23] M. Hohmann, C. Pfeifer, J. Levi Said, and U. Ualikhanova, Phys. Rev. D 99[, 024009 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.024009).
- [24] I. Soudi, G. Farrugia, V. Gakis, J. Levi Said, and E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D 100[, 044008 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.044008)
- [25] J. Lu, X. Zhao, and G. Chee, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7038-3) **79**, 530 (2019).
- [26] T. Harko, T. S. Koivisto, F. S. N. Lobo, G. J. Olmo, and D. Rubiera-Garcia, Phys. Rev. D 98[, 084043 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.084043).
- [27] R. H. Lin and X. H. Zhai, Phys. Rev. D 103[, 124001 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.124001)
- [28] G. Mustafa, Z. Hassan, P. H. R. S. Moraes, and P. K. Sahoo, Phys. Lett. B 821[, 136612 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136612).
- [29] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.3370) 83, 3370 [\(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.3370)
- [30] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.4690) **83**, 4690 (1999).
- [31] O. DeWolfe, D. Z. Freedman, S. S. Gubser, and A. Karch, Phys. Rev. D 62[, 046008 \(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.046008).
- [32] M. Gremm, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00303-8) 478, 434 (2000).
- [33] W. T. Cruz, L. J. S. Sousa, R. V. Maluf, and C. A. S. Almeida, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.01.061) 730, 314 (2014).
- [34] D. Bazeia and A. R. Gomes, [J. High Energy Phys. 05 \(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/05/012) [012.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/05/012)
- [35] D. Bazeia, L. Losano, M. A. Marques, and R. Menezes, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.08.015) 736, 515 (2014).
- [36] A. Kehagias and K. Tamvakis, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00274-X) 504, 38 [\(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00274-X)
- [37] J. M. Hoff da Silva and R. da Rocha, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.024021) 81, [024021 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.024021)
- [38] Y. Zhong, Y. P. Zhang, W. D. Guo, and Y. X. Liu, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2019)154) [Energy Phys. 04 \(2019\) 154.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2019)154)
- [39] Y. X. Liu, K. Yang, and Y. Zhong, [J. High Energy Phys. 10](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2010)069) [\(2010\) 069.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2010)069)
- [40] J. E. G. Silva and C. A. S. Almeida, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.085027) 84, [085027 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.085027)
- [41] J. E. G. Silva, V. Santos, and C. A. S. Almeida, [Classical](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/30/2/025005) [Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/30/2/025005) 30, 025005 (2013).
- [42] D. Bazeia, L. Losano, R. Menezes, G. J. Olmo, and D. Rubiera-Garcia, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3803-0) 75, 569 (2015).
- [43] J. Yang, Y.-L. Li, Y. Zhong, and Y. Li, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.084033) 85, [084033 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.084033)
- [44] R. Menezes, Phys. Rev. D **89**[, 125007 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.125007)
- [45] J. Wang, W. D. Guo, Z. C. Lin, and Y. X. Liu, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.084046) 98[, 084046 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.084046)
- [46] A. R. P. Moreira, J. E. G. Silva, F. C. E. Lima, and C. A. S. Almeida, Phys. Rev. D 103[, 064046 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.064046).
- [47] A. R. P. Moreira, J. E. G. Silva, and C. A. S. Almeida, [Int. J.](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271821501030) Mod. Phys. D 30[, 2150103 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271821501030)
- [48] Q. M. Fu, L. Zhao, and Q. Y. Xie, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09584-w) 81, 890 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09584-w)
- [49] R. Koley and S. Kar, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/22/4/008) 22, 753 [\(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/22/4/008)
- [50] I. Soudi, G. Farrugia, V. Gakis, J. Levi Said, and E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D 100[, 044008 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.044008)
- [51] M. Hohmann, C. Pfeifer, J. Levi Said, and U. Ualikhanova, Phys. Rev. D 99[, 024009 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.024009).
- [52] D. M. Dantas, D. F. S. Veras, J. E. G. Silva, and C. A. S. Almeida, Phys. Rev. D 92[, 104007 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.104007)
- [53] A. R. P. Moreira, J. E. G. Silva, and C. A. S. Almeida, [Eur.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09106-8) Phys. J. C 81[, 298 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09106-8)
- [54] J. E. G. Silva, L. J. S. Sousa, W. T. Cruz, and C. A. S. Almeida, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. D](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271822500304) 31, 2250030 (2022).
- [55] Y. X. Liu, L. D. Zhang, L. J. Zhang, and Y. S. Duan, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.065025) Rev. D 78[, 065025 \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.065025).
- [56] C. A. S. Almeida, M. M. Ferreira, Jr., A. R. Gomes, and R. Casana, Phys. Rev. D 79[, 125022 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.125022).