Single production of vectorlike T quark at future high-energy linear e^+e^- collider

Lin Han^{$\mathbf{0}$,^{1[,*](#page-0-0)} Liu-Feng Du,^{[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6144-0638)} and Yao-Bei Liu $\mathbf{0}^2$}

¹School of Biomedical Engineering, Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang 453003, China 2 Henan Institute of Science and Technology, Xinxiang 453003, People's Republic of China

(Received 4 April 2022; accepted 6 June 2022; published 23 June 2022)

Based on a model-independent framework including the vectorlike top partner (VLQ-T), we investigate the prospect of discovering the singlet or doublet VLQ-T via the single production process $e^-e^+ \rightarrow$ $T\bar{t} + t\bar{T}$ with the $T \to Zt$ decay channel at a future high-energy linear e^+e^- collider with $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV. We focus on the hadronic decay of the top quark and two types of decay channels for the Z boson: $Z \to e^+e^$ focus on the hadronic decay of the top quark and two types of decay channels for the Z boson: $Z \to \ell^+ \ell^$ and $Z \to \nu \bar{\nu}$. By carrying out a full simulation for the signals and the relevant Standard Model backgrounds, the 2σ exclusion limit and 5σ discovery prospects are, respectively, obtained on the VLQ-T mass and the coupling strength g^* with the integrated luminosity of 5 ab^{−1}. In addition, we considered the initial state rediction and homotrophung offects as well as the existencies upcortainty offects of hackgrounds, which are radiation and beamstrahlung effects as well as the systematic uncertainty effects of backgrounds, which are found to reduce the excluding or discovery capability.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.105.115032](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.115032)

I. INTRODUCTION

To solve the gauge hierarchy problem [\[1](#page-7-0)], new vectorlike quarks (VLQs) are introduced to regulate the Higgs boson mass-squared divergence in many new physics models beyond the Standard Model (SM), such as little Higgs model [\[2](#page-7-1)], composite Higgs model [[3\]](#page-7-2), and other extended models [\[4](#page-7-3)–[7\]](#page-7-4). A common feature of these VLQs is that the left- and right-handed components transform with the same properties under the SM electroweak symmetry group [[8](#page-7-5)]. Based on the electric charges of $\pm 2/3e$ (T quark), $-1/3e$ (B quark), $+5/3e$ (X quark), or $-4/3e$ (Y quark), the VLQs could be grouped in multiplets, such as electroweak singlet [T, B], electroweak doublets $[(X, T), (T, B)$ or $(B, Y)]$, or electroweak triplets $[(X, T, B)$ or $(T, B, Y)]$. Furthermore, they are expected to couple preferentially to third-generation quarks and can generate characteristic signatures at the current and future high-energy colliders (for example see $[9-28]$ $[9-28]$ $[9-28]$ $[9-28]$). Here, we focus on the singlet or doublet VLO-T quark, which only couples to third-generation SM quarks.

Using Run 2 data, the direct searches for such VLQ-T have been performed by the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, and the constraints on their masses have been obtained at a 95% confidence level (CL) [[29](#page-8-1)–[36](#page-8-2)]. For instance, the minimum mass of a singlet (doublet) VLQ-T is set at about 1.31 (1.37) TeV from direct searches by the ATLAS Collaboration with an integrated luminosity of 36.1 fb⁻¹ [\[35\]](#page-8-3). The CMS Collaboration have excluded Tquark mass below 1.37 TeV at 95% CL by using 35.9 fb⁻¹ of pp collision data in the fully hadronic final state [[36](#page-8-2)].

Due to a much cleaner environment, the future highenergy linear e^+e^- colliders such as the Compact Linear Collider (CLIC) [[37](#page-8-4)–[39](#page-8-5)] can probe TeV scale electroweak charged particles well above the LHC reach. For instance, the final stage of CLIC operating at an energy of 3 TeV is expected to directly examine the pair production of new heavy top partners of mass up to 1.5 TeV [\[40\]](#page-8-6). For the single production process, any such new particle can be produced at CLIC with a sizable rate up to the kinematic limit of 3 TeV [\[41](#page-8-7)–[46\]](#page-8-8). Furthermore, the single VLQ production could reveal the electroweak properties of the interactions between VLQs and SM particles, which could serve as a complementary channel to its pair production and thus will be an important task for future high-energy colliders once the heavy VLQ is discovered at the LHC and its mass determined.

Very recently, the single VLQ production at the future high-energy $e\gamma$ and e^+e^- colliders was investigated in Refs. [[47](#page-8-9)–[53](#page-8-10)] with different decay channels. Reference [\[53](#page-8-10)] has investigated the single production of a singlet vectorlike top partner decaying to Wb at 3 TeV CLIC and found that the correlation regions of $g^* \in [0.15, 0.4]$ and $m_T \in [1500 \text{ GeV}, 2600 \text{ GeV}]$ can be excluded with the integrated luminosity of 5 ab⁻¹. For a excluded with the integrated luminosity of 5 ab^{-1} . For a singlet VLQ-T, all three decay modes, namely, $T \rightarrow Wb$,

[^{*}](#page-0-1) hanlin@xxmu.edu.cn

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

 $T \rightarrow tZ$, and $T \rightarrow th$, have sizable branching ratios, while the charged-current decay mode $T \rightarrow Wb$ is absent if VLQ-T is either in a (X, T) doublet. In this work, we focus on the single production of the singlet or doublet VLQ-T via the decay channel $T \rightarrow tZ$ at the future 3 TeV CLIC, which will be a good comparative study. We expect that such work may become complementary to other production processes in searches for the heavy VLQ-T at the future high-energy linear colliders.

This paper is organized as follows: in Sec. [II,](#page-1-0) we brief review the couplings of VLQ-T with the SM particles and discuss its single production at the future high-energy $e^+e^$ colliders with $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV. Section [III](#page-1-1) is devoted to a detailed analysis of the relevant signals and backgrounds detailed analysis of the relevant signals and backgrounds. Finally, we give a summary in Sec. [IV.](#page-6-0)

II. VECTORLIKE T QUARK IN THE SIMPLIFIED MODEL

A. An effective Lagrangian for vectorlike T quark

Following the notation of Ref. [\[10\]](#page-7-7), a generic parametrization of an effective Lagrangian for singlet top quark partners is given by μ

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{gg^*}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[\bar{T}_L W^+_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu} b_L + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}c_W} \bar{T}_L Z_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu} t_L - \frac{m_T}{\sqrt{2}m_W} \bar{T}_R h t_L - \frac{m_t}{\sqrt{2}m_W} \bar{T}_L h t_R \right] + \text{H.c.,} \quad (1)
$$

where g is the $SU(2)_L$ gauge coupling constant, and θ_W is the Weinberg angle. Thus, there are only two model parameters: the VLQ-T quark mass m_T and the coupling strength to SM quarks in units of standard couplings, g^* .
Certainly the coupling parameter can also be described

Certainly, the coupling parameter can also be described as other constants, i.e., $\sin \theta_L$ [[8\]](#page-7-5) or κ [\[10\]](#page-7-7). After comparison, we find that there is a simple relation among these coupling parameters: $g^* = \sqrt{2} \sin \theta_L = \sqrt{2\kappa}$. At 13 TeV I HC searches for single production of T quarks 13 TeV LHC, searches for single production of T quarks have placed limits on T-quark production cross sections for T-quark masses between 1 and 2 TeV at 95% CL for various SM couplings [\[55](#page-9-0)–[58\]](#page-9-1). Here, we take a conservative limit for the coupling parameter $g^* \leq 0.5$,
which is consistent with the current experiment bounds which is consistent with the current experiment bounds [\[58](#page-9-1)]. The singlet VLQ-T has three possible decay modes: $T \rightarrow bW$, tZ, and th. For $M_T \ge 1$ TeV, the branching ratios are $BR(T \to th) \approx BR(T \to tZ) \approx \frac{1}{2} BR(T \to Wb)$.
However the standard (T, B) doublet VLO T can decay However, the standard (T, B) doublet VLQ-T can decay into tZ or tH , each with a branching fraction of 0.5 in the asymptotic limit where their masses go to infinity, which is

FIG. 1. Representative Feynman diagrams of the processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow T(\rightarrow Zt)\overline{t}.$

a good approximation as expected from the Goldstone boson equivalence theorem [[59](#page-9-2)–[63](#page-9-3)].

B. Single production of VLQ-T at linear e^+e^- collider

From the above discussions, we know that the VLQ-T can be singly produced through s-channel Z boson exchange by e^+e^- collisions. The relevant Feynman diagrams for single production and decaying into tZ are depicted in Fig. [1](#page-1-2).

In Fig. [2,](#page-2-0) we have shown the dependence of the cross section $\sigma \times Br(T \to tZ)$ for the process $e^+e^- \to T\bar{t} + tT$ without beam polarization on the singlet VLQ-T quark mass m_T at a 3 TeV CLIC for three typical values of g^* . As
the VI O-T quark mass grows the cross section of single the VLQ-T quark mass grows, the cross section of single production decreases slowly due to a larger phase space. For $g^* = 0.2$ and $m_T = 1.5(2)$ TeV, the cross section can
reach 0.1.(0.06) fb. Obviously, the cross sections of the reach 0.1 (0.06) fb. Obviously, the cross sections of the (T, B) doublet case are about twice as large as the singlet case, and they are proportional to the square of the coupling strength g^* . For the other possible channels,
such as $T \rightarrow Wh$ and $T \rightarrow th$ the cross section $\sigma \times$ such as $T \rightarrow Wb$ and $T \rightarrow th$, the cross section $\sigma \times$ $Br(T \rightarrow XY)$ can be easily deduced from the relationship between their decay branch ratios, whether for singlet or doublet VLQ-T quarks. For instance, the (T, B) doublet VLQ-T can only decay into tZ or tH , with a branching fraction BR($T \rightarrow th$) \approx BR($T \rightarrow tZ$) \approx 50% for a large VLQ-T mass; thus, the cross section $\sigma \times Br(T \rightarrow th)$ should be approximately equal to the cross section $\sigma \times$ $Br(T \rightarrow tZ)$ for the same parameter values.

III. COLLIDER SIMULATION AND ANALYSIS

Next, we analyze the observation potential by performing a Monte Carlo simulation of the signal and background events and explore the sensitivity of single VLQ-T via the $T \rightarrow tZ$ channel at the 3 TeV CLIC. Considering the top quark decays hadronically and the subsequent decay channels $Z \to \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $Z \to \nu \bar{\nu}$, respectively, there are two typical final states,

(i) $e^+e^- \to T\overline{t} \to tZ\overline{t} \to bjj\ell^+\ell^- + X$ for $Z \to \ell^+\ell^-,$ (ii) $e^+e^- \to T\bar{t} \to tZ\bar{t} \to bjj + \not{E_T} + X$ for $Z \to \nu\bar{\nu}$.

Note that in order to keep enough signal events, we here do not reconstruct the associated produced top quark and assume that it can decay into anything.

¹Note that the *TWb* couplings are vanishing for the standard B) doublet VLO-*T* quark, and the model files of the singlet or (T, B) doublet VLQ-T quark, and the model files of the singlet or standard (T, B) doublet VLQ-T can be downloaded from the Feynrules Model Database [\[54\]](#page-8-11).

FIG. 2. Total cross section of $\sigma \times Br(T \to tZ)$ as a function of m_T with three typical values of g^* for (a) the singlet case and (b) doublet case (b) doublet case.

Monte Carlo event simulations for the signal and SM background are generated at leading order (LO) by using MadGraph5-aMC@NLO [[64](#page-9-4)]. All event samples are interfaced to PYTHIA8.20 [\[65\]](#page-9-5) for fragmentation and showering and then fed into DELPHES3.4.2 [[66](#page-9-6)] for a fast detector simulation, where we choose the CLIC detector card designed for 3 TeV [\[67\]](#page-9-7). In our analysis, jets are clustered with the Valencia Linear Collider (VLC) algorithm [[68](#page-9-8),[69](#page-9-9)] in exclusive mode. The *b*-tagging efficiency and misidentification rates are taken as the medium working points (WP) (70% b-tagging efficiency), and the misidentification rates are given as a function of energy and pseudorapidity; i.e., in a bit where $E > 500$ GeV and $1.53 < |\eta| \le 2.09$, misidentification rates are 9×10^{-3} for the medium WP. Finally, event analysis is performed by using MadAnalysis5 [\[70\]](#page-9-10).

According to above two typical final states, we analyzed the main backgrounds coming from the SM processes: $t\bar{t}Z$, $WZjj$, $t\bar{t}$, ZZZ, and ZZjj. As we know, initial state radiation (ISR) and beamstrahlung will affect the cross section [\[71](#page-9-11)[,72\]](#page-9-12), and it is necessary to consider these effects in future high-energy linear colliders. We calculate these effects in the updated version (v3.3.2) of MadGraph5 aMC@NLO and list these results in Table [I](#page-2-1) compared with those cross sections without these effects. From Table [I,](#page-2-1) we can see that the cross sections with ISR and beamstrahlung would be reduced for the signal process but enhanced for the SM backgrounds. In the following discussions, we consider the effects without and with the ISR and beamstrahlung effects, respectively.

A. Analysis of decay channel $Z \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$

In this subsection, we analyze the signal and background events at 3 TeV CLIC through the $Z \to \ell^+ \ell^-$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) decay channel. For this channel, the typical signal is two opposite-sign and same-flavor (OSSF) leptons, three jets in which at least one is b tagged. The main SM backgrounds come from the following processes:

- (i) $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z$ with $Z \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $t \rightarrow bW^+ \rightarrow bjj$,
- (ii) $e^+e^- \to W^{\pm}Zjj$ with $Z \to e^+e^-$ and $W^{\pm} \to jj$,
- (iii) $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZZZ$ with $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $Z \rightarrow q\bar{q}$.

Note that the contribution from the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ ZW^+W^- is also included in the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^{\pm}Zjj$ with the $W^{\pm} \rightarrow Wjj$ decay. To identify objects, we choose the basic cuts at parton level for the signals and SM backgrounds as follows:

$$
p_T^e > 20 \text{ GeV}, \qquad p_T^{j/b} > 25 \text{ GeV},
$$

$$
|n_{e/b/j}| < 2.5, \qquad |n_j| < 5,
$$
 (2)

where $p_T^{\ell, b, j}$, $|\eta_{\ell/bj}|$ are the transverse momentum and
pseudorapidity of leptons, h jets, and light jets. pseudorapidity of leptons, b jets, and light jets.

For the signal, the leptons ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are two OSSF leptons that are assumed to be the product of the Z-boson

TABLE I. Comparison of cross sections (in fb) without (σ) and with (σ_{ISR}) ISR and beamstrahlung effect for $g^* = 0.3$ and two typical VLQ-T quark masses, where "Ratio" stands for $\sigma_{\text{ISR}}/\sigma$.

Process	Signals			Backgrounds				
	1500 GeV	2000 GeV	2500 GeV	WZii	$t\bar{t}$	tτZ	ZZZ	ZZii
σ	0.92	0.54	0.17	24.82	19.15	1.66	0.36	0.49
$\sigma_{\rm ISR}$	0.87	0.45	0.12	27.4	28.74	1.88	0.41	0.55
Ratio	0.95	0.83	0.70	1.10	1.50	1.13	1.14	l.12

decay, and at least three jets are present. In Fig. [3](#page-3-0), we plot some differential distributions for signals and SM backgrounds, such as the transverse momentum distributions of the leading and subleading leptons $(p_T^{\ell_1 \ell_2})$, the invariant
mass distributions of the two leptons $M_{\ell_1 \ell_2}$ and the scalar mass distributions of the two leptons $M_{\ell_1\ell_2}$, and the scalar sum of the transverse energy of all final-state jets H_T . Based on these kinematical distributions, we can impose the following set of cuts:

- (i) Cut 1: There are exactly two isolated leptons $(N(\ell) = 2)$, at least three jets in which at least one is b tagged.
- (ii) Cut 2: The transverse momenta of the leading and subleading leptons are required to have invariant mass of the Z boson is required to
have $|M_{ee} - m_{\overline{e}}| < 10 \text{ GeV}$ $l_{T}^{\ell_1} > 200$ GeV and $p_{T}^{\ell_2} > 100$ GeV. Besides, the variant mass of the Z boson is required to have $|M_{\ell_1\ell_2} - m_Z| < 10$ GeV.
- (iii) Cut 3: The scalar sum of the transverse energy of all final-state jets H_T is required to have H_T > 800 GeV.

We present the cross sections of three typical signals $(m_T = 1300, 1500, 2000 \text{ GeV})$ and the relevant backgrounds after imposing the cuts in Table [II](#page-4-0), where the numbers in parentheses indicate the results taking into account the ISR and beamstrahlung effects. One can see that all the SM backgrounds are suppressed very efficiently, while the signals still have a relatively good efficiency at the end of the cut flow. The large background comes from the $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^{\pm} Zjj$ process, and the total cross section of SM backgrounds is about 0.002 fb.

B. Analysis of decay channels $Z \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}$

In this subsection, we analyze the signal and background events through the invisible decays $Z \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}$ decay channel. For this channel, the main SM backgrounds come from the following processes:

- (i) $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\overline{t}$,
- (ii) $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z$,
- (iii) $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^{\pm} Zjj$,
- (iv) $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZZjj$.

In order to get some hints of further cuts for reducing the SM backgrounds, we analyzed the normalized distributions of the missing transverse energy E_T and the scalar sum of the transverse energy of all final-state objects E_T in Fig. [4](#page-4-1). Based on these kinematical distributions, a set of further cuts are given as follows:

(i) Cut 1: Any electrons and muons are removed $(N(\ell) = 0)$, at least three jets in which at least one is b tagged. Furthermore, the transverse missing energy is required $E_T > 300$ GeV.

FIG. 3. Normalized distributions for the signals (with $m_T = 1300$, 1500, and 2000 GeV) and SM backgrounds at the CLIC.

Cut 2 0.31 (0.309) 0.28 (0.27) 0.19 (0.16) 5.36 (5.89) 0.86 (0.97) 0.51 (0.58) Cut 3 0.26 (0.259) 0.25 (0.24) 0.17 (0.14) 1.49 (1.64) 0.48 (0.54) 0.22 (0.25)

TABLE II. Cut flow of the cross sections (in 10^{-3} fb) for the signals and SM backgrounds at the 3 TeV CLIC with $g^* = 0.1$ and three typical VLQ-T quark masses. Note that the parenthetical numbers denote the results with the ISR and beamstrahlung effects

- (ii) Cut 2: The scalar sum of the transverse energy of all final-state objects E_T is required to have E_T > 1000 GeV.
- (iii) Cut 3: The reconstructed E_T is to be isolated from any jets by $\Delta \phi_{\vec{k}_T j} > 0.8$.
summarize the cross section

We summarize the cross sections of three typical signals $(m_T = 1300, 1500, 2000 \text{ GeV})$ and the relevant backgrounds after imposing the cuts in Table [III.](#page-5-0) One can see that the $t\bar{t}$ background could be suppressed effectively by

the cut $\Delta \phi_{\vec{\mu}_T} > 0.8$. The total cross section of the SM
backgrounds is about 0.013 fb, which increases to 0.016 fb backgrounds is about 0.013 fb, which increases to 0.016 fb taking into account the ISR and beamstrahlung effects.

C. Discovery and exclusion significance

In order to analyze the observability, we use the median significance to estimate the expected discovery and exclusion significance [[73](#page-9-13)],

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{\text{disc}} = \sqrt{2\left[(s+b)\ln\left(\frac{(s+b)(1+\delta^2b)}{b+\delta^2b(s+b)}\right) - \frac{1}{\delta^2}\ln\left(1+\delta^2\frac{s}{1+\delta^2b}\right) \right]}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{Z}_{\text{excl}} = \sqrt{2\left[s-b\ln\left(\frac{b+s+x}{2b}\right) - \frac{1}{\delta^2}\ln\left(\frac{b-s+x}{2b}\right) \right] - (b+s-x)\left(1+\frac{1}{\delta^2b}\right)},\tag{3}
$$

with

$$
x = \sqrt{(s+b)^2 - 4\delta^2 s b^2 / (1+\delta^2 b)}.
$$
 (4)

Here, s and b are, respectively, the expected events of the signal and total SM background after all cuts, and δ is the percentage systematic error. In the limit of $\delta \rightarrow 0$, these expressions can be simplified as

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{\text{disc}} = \sqrt{2[(s+b)\ln(1+s/b)-s]},
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{Z}_{\text{excl}} = \sqrt{2[s-b\ln(1+s/b)]}. \tag{5}
$$

In Fig. [5,](#page-5-1) we plot the 2σ and 5σ sensitivity reaches with ISR and beamstrahlung effects and without these effects, for the coupling strength g^* as a function of m_T at 3 TeV
CLIC with the integral luminosity 5 ab⁻¹. One finds that CLIC with the integral luminosity 5 ab^{-1} . One finds that,

FIG. 4. Normalized distributions for the signals (with $m_T = 1300$, 1500 and 2000 GeV) and SM backgrounds at the CLIC.

for the $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ decay channel, the singlet VLQ-T quark can be excluded in the regions of $g^* \in [0.25, 0.5]$ and $m_T \in [1300 \text{ GeV } 2450 \text{ GeV}]$ without the ISR and beamstrablung [1300 GeV, 2450 GeV] without the ISR and beamstrahlung effects, while the discover regions can reach $g^* \in$ [0.39.0.5] and $m_{\pi} \in 11300$ GeV 2000 GeV]. While for [0.39, 0.5] and $m_T \in$ [1300 GeV, 2000 GeV]. While for the $Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}$ decay channel, the singlet VLQ-T quark mass can be excluded in the regions of $g^* \in [0.22, 0.48]$ and $m_x \in [1300 \text{ GeV} 2500 \text{ GeV}]$ and the discover regions can $m_T \in [1300 \text{ GeV}, 2500 \text{ GeV}]$, and the discover regions can reach $g^* \in [0.35, 0.5]$ and $m_T \in [1300 \text{ GeV}, 2180 \text{ GeV}]$.
Besides we can see that the ISR and beamstrahlung effects Besides, we can see that the ISR and beamstrahlung effects will decrease the excluding and discovering capability for the same VLQ-T mass.

Then, we combine its sensitivity with above two types of decay channels by using $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{comb}} = \sqrt{\mathcal{Z}_{\ell\bar{\ell}}^2 + \mathcal{Z}_{\nu\bar{\nu}}^2}$. As we know, systematic uncertainty would weaken the excluding or discovery capability, so to illustrate the effect of systematic uncertainty on the significance, we consider the case of $\delta = 10\%$.

For comparison, we further present in Figs. [6](#page-6-1) and [7](#page-6-2) the combined sensitivity reaches for the coupling strength g^* as
a function of the singlet and doublet VLO-T quark mass a function of the singlet and doublet VLQ-T quark mass m_T . One can see that the singlet VLQ-T can be excluded in the regions of $g^* \in [0.19, 0.4]$ and $m_T \in [1300 \text{ GeV}]$
2500 GeV at the 3 TeV CLIC with the integrated 2500 GeV] at the 3 TeV CLIC with the integrated luminosity of 5 ab^{-1} , while the discover regions can reach

 $g^* \in [0.31, 0.5]$ and $m_T \in [1300 \text{ GeV}, 2300 \text{ GeV}]$. For the doublet VI O-T case, we found that the excluded correladoublet VLQ-T case, we found that the excluded correlation regions can be expanded to $g^* \in [0.14, 0.3]$ and $m_T \in [1300 \text{ GeV} \ 2500 \text{ GeV}]$ and the discovered correlated [1300 GeV, 2500 GeV], and the discovered correlated regions can be expanded to $g^* \in [0.21, 0.46]$ and $m_T \in [1300 \text{ GeV} 2500 \text{ GeV}]$. Besides we can see that the ISR [1300 GeV, 2500 GeV]. Besides, we can see that the ISR and beamstrahlung effects and the systematic uncertainty will decrease the excluded and discovery regions. For example, the excluded regions for the singlet VLQ-T is decreased to $g^* \in [0.22, 0.5]$ and $m_T \in [1300 \text{ GeV}]$
2400 GeVI while the discovery regions are decreased to 2400 GeV], while the discovery regions are decreased to $g^* \in [0.36, 0.5]$ and $m_T \in [1300 \text{ GeV}, 2000 \text{ GeV}]$. From
the above discussions, we can see that, for single producthe above discussions, we can see that, for single production of VLQ-T with the subsequent $T \rightarrow tZ$ decay channel at 3 TeV CLIC, it is possible to detect its signal via above two decay channels.

Very recently, the ATLAS Collaboration has obtained the upper limit on the allowed coupling values κ_T from a minimum value of 0.35 for $1.07 < m_T < 1.4$ TeV to 1.6 for $m_T = 2.3$ TeV [[58](#page-9-1)]. Moreover, we list some existing results related to searching for the singlet VLQ-T in Table [IV.](#page-7-8) From this table, we can find that our result is competitive and complementary compared with the previous studies. Even though we worked in a simplified model including the singlet vectorlike top partner, our

FIG. 5. 2 σ exclusion limit and 5 σ discovery prospects contour plots for $Z \to \ell^+ \ell^-$ decay channel (left) and for $Z \to \nu\bar{\nu}$ decay channel (right) in $g^* - m_T$ planes at 3 TeV CLIC with integral luminosity 5 ab⁻¹. For simplicity, we here do not consider systematic uncertainties and take $\delta = 0$ and take $\delta = 0$.

FIG. 6. Combined (a) 2σ exclusion limit and (b) 5σ discovery prospect contour plots for the singlet VLQ-T signal in $g^* - m_T$ planes at CLIC with integral luminosity 5 ab^{-1} CLIC with integral luminosity 5 ab^{-1} .

results are also mapped within the context of the specific models where the heavy T quark only couples to the third generation of SM quarks, such as the minimal composite Higgs model with singlet top quark partners. From the couplings of the singlet top quark partner with the W boson and a b quark, the mixing parameter g^* is given by $g^* \simeq$
 $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i}$ $\frac{y_{mw}}{y_{mx}}$ [[74](#page-9-14)], where y is a Yukawa coupling controlling the $\frac{y_{mw}}{y_{mx}}$ and $\frac{y_{mx}}{y_{mx}}$ at the sempestic and elementary states mixing between the composite and elementary states. For illustration, with $y = 1$ and $m_T = 1.5$ TeV, one obtains $g^* \simeq 0.16$.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have concentrated on the single production of the VLQ-T at the future 3 TeV CLIC via the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow T\bar{t}$ in a simplified model, in which only two free parameters are included, the VLQ-T mass m_T and the EW coupling constant g^* . We have performed a full simulation for the signals and the relevant SM backgrounds based on the decay channel $T \rightarrow tZ$. The 2σ exclusion limits and 5σ discovery prospects in the parameter planes of the two variables m_T and $g[*]$ have been obtained at future 3 TeV CLIC assuming 5 ab⁻¹ of integral luminosity and 3 TeV CLIC, assuming 5 ab^{-1} of integral luminosity and unpolarized beams.

Our numerical results show that the singlet VLQ-T quark can be excluded in the regions of $g^* \in [0.19, 0.4]$ and $m_T \in [1300 \text{ GeV} \cdot 2500 \text{ GeV}]$ while the discover regions can [1300 GeV, 2500 GeV], while the discover regions can reach $g^* \in [0.31, 0.5]$ and $m_T \in [1300 \text{ GeV}, 2300 \text{ GeV}]$.
For the doublet VI O-T case, the excluded correlation For the doublet VLQ-T case, the excluded correlation regions can be expanded to $g^* \in [0.14, 0.3]$ and $m_T \in [1300 \text{ GeV} 2500 \text{ GeV}]$ due to the larger cross sections [1300 GeV, 2500 GeV] due to the larger cross sections, while the discovered correlated regions can be expanded to $g^* \in [0.21, 0.46]$ and $m_T \in [1300 \text{ GeV}, 2500 \text{ GeV}]$.
We also considered the ISR and beamstrablung e

We also considered the ISR and beamstrahlung effects and found that the excluding or discovery capability would be reduced due to the decreasing cross sections of the

FIG. 7. Same as Fig. [6](#page-6-1), but for the doublet VLQ-T case. (a) 2σ and (b) 5σ .

TABLE IV. Some results of searching for the singlet VLQ-T at different colliders. "…" stands for no relevant results in the reference.

		Excluding capability		Discovery capability		
Channel	Data set		m_T TeV		m_T /TeV	Reference
$T \rightarrow tZ$	LHC @14 TeV, 3 ab ⁻¹	[0.06, 0.25]	[0.9, 1.5]	[0.10, 0.42]	[0.9, 1.5]	$[14]$
$T \rightarrow th$	LHC @14 TeV, 3 ab ⁻¹	[0.16, 0.50]	[1.0, 1.6]	[0.24, 0.72]	[1.0, 1.6]	$[15]$
$T \rightarrow bW^+$	LHC @14 TeV, 3 ab ⁻¹	[0.19, 0.50]	[1.3, 2.4]	[0.31, 0.50]	[1.3, 1.9]	[17]
$T \rightarrow bW^+$	ey collider @2 TeV, 1 ab ⁻¹	[0.13, 0.50]	[0.8, 1.6]	\cdots	\cdots	[47]
$T \rightarrow tZ$	ey collider @3 TeV, 3 ab ⁻¹	[0.15, 0.23]	[1.3, 2.0]	[0.23, 0.50]	[1.3, 2.0]	[48]
$T \rightarrow th$	ey collider @3 TeV, 3 ab ⁻¹	[0.14, 0.50]	[1.3, 2.0]	[0.27, 0.50]	[1.3, 2.0]	[49]
$T \rightarrow bW^+$	e^+e^- collider @3 TeV, 5 ab ⁻¹	[0.15, 0.40]	[1.5, 2.6]	[0.24, 0.44]	[1.5, 2.4]	[53]
$T \rightarrow tZ$	e^+e^- collider @3 TeV, 5 ab ⁻¹	[0.19, 0.40]	[1.3, 2.5]	[0.31, 0.50]	[1.3, 2.3]	This work

signals. For the singlet VLQ-T, the excluded regions are decreased to $g^* \in [0.2, 0.5]$ and $m_T \in [1300 \text{ GeV}]$
2450 GeVI while the discovery regions are decreased to 2450 GeV], while the discovery regions are decreased to $g^* \in [0.32, 0.5]$ and $m_T \in [1300 \text{ GeV}, 2150 \text{ GeV}]$. In addition the excluding or discovery capability would be addition, the excluding or discovery capability would be weakened if we considered the systematic uncertainty of backgrounds. Compared with the results of some previous phenomenological studies, we find that the future highenergy linear e^+e^- collider will prove to be a promising hunting ground for such new particles which have electroweak strength interactions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the key research and development program of Henan Province (Grant No. 22A140019) and the Natural Science Foundation of Henan Province (Grant No. 222300420443).

- [1] A. De Simone, O. Matsedonskyi, R. Rattazzi, and A. Wulzer, A first top partner Hunter's guide, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2013)004) [Phys. 04 \(2013\) 004.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2013)004)
- [2] N. Arkani-Hamed, A. G. Cohen, E. Katz, and A. E. Nelson, The littlest Higgs, [J. High Energy Phys. 07 \(2002\) 034.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/07/034)
- [3] K. Agashe, R. Contino, and A. Pomarol, The minimal composite Higgs model, Nucl. Phys. B719[, 165 \(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.04.035).
- [4] H. J. He, T. M. P. Tait, and C. P. Yuan, New top flavor models with seesaw mechanism, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.011702) 62, 011702 [\(R\) \(2000\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.011702)
- [5] X. F. Wang, C. Du, and H. J. He, LHC Higgs signatures from topflavor seesaw mechanism, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.05.015) 723, 314 [\(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.05.015)
- [6] H. J. He, C. T. Hill, and T. M. P. Tait, Top quark seesaw, vacuum structure and electroweak precision constraints, Phys. Rev. D 65[, 055006 \(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.055006).
- [7] H. J. He and Z. Z. Xianyu, Extending Higgs inflation with TeV scale new physics, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 10](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2014/10/019) [\(2014\) 019.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2014/10/019)
- [8] J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, R. Benbrik, S. Heinemeyer, and M. Pérez-Victoria, Handbook of vectorlike quarks: Mixing and single production, Phys. Rev. D 88[, 094010 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.094010)
- [9] A. Atre, G. Azuelos, M. Carena, T. Han, E. Ozcan, J. Santiago, and G. Unel, Model-independent searches for new quarks at the LHC, [J. High Energy Phys. 08 \(2011\) 080.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2011)080)
- [10] M. Buchkremer, G. Cacciapaglia, A. Deandrea, and L. Panizzi, Model independent framework for searches of top partners, Nucl. Phys. B876[, 376 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2013.08.010)
- [11] D. Barducci and L. Panizzi, Vector-like quarks coupling discrimination at the LHC and future hadron colliders, [J.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2017)057) [High Energy Phys. 12 \(2017\) 057.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2017)057)
- [12] G. Cacciapaglia, A. Carvalho, A. Deandrea, T. Flacke, B. Fuks, D. Majumder, L. Panizzi, and H. S. Shao, Next-toleading-order predictions for single vector-like quark production at the LHC, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.04.056) 793, 206 (2019).
- [13] Y. B. Liu, Search for single production of vector-like top partners at the Large Hadron Electron Collider, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2017.08.006) B923[, 312 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2017.08.006)
- [14] Y. B. Liu and Y. Q. Li, Search for single production of the vector-like top partner at the 14 TeV LHC, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5228-4) 77[, 654 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5228-4)
- [15] Y. B. Liu and S. Moretti, Search for single production of a top quark partner via the $T \rightarrow th$ and $h \rightarrow WW^*$ channels at the THC. Phys. Rev. D 100, 015025 (2019) the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 100[, 015025 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.015025)
- [16] X. Y. Tian, L. F. Du, and Y. B. Liu, Single production of vector-like top partners in trilepton channel at future 100 TeV Hadron colliders, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2021.115358) B965, 115358 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2021.115358)
- [17] B. Yang, M. Wang, H. Bi, and L. Shang, Single production of vectorlike T quark decaying into Wb at the LHC and the future pp colliders, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.036006) ¹⁰³, 036006 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.036006)
- [18] S. Moretti, D. O'Brien, L. Panizzi, and H. Prager, Production of extra quarks at the Large Hadron Collider beyond the narrow width approximation, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.075035) 96, 075035 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.075035)
- [19] S. Moretti, D. O'Brien, L. Panizzi, and H. Prager, Production of extra quarks decaying to Dark Matter beyond the narrow width approximation at the LHC, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.035033) 96, [035033 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.035033)
- [20] A. Carvalho, S. Moretti, D. O'Brien, L. Panizzi, and H. Prager, Single production of vectorlike quarks with large width at the Large Hadron Collider, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.015029) 98, [015029 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.015029)
- [21] R. Benbrik, E. B. Kuutmann, D. Buarque Franzosi, V. Ellajosyula, R. Enberg, G. Ferretti, M. Isacson, Y. B. Liu, T. Mandal, T. Mathisen et al., Signatures of vector-like top partners decaying into new neutral scalar or pseudoscalar bosons, [J. High Energy Phys. 05 \(2020\) 028.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2020)028)
- [22] A. Buckley, J. M. Butterworth, L. Corpe, D. Huang, and P. Sun, New sensitivity of current LHC measurements to vector-like quarks, [SciPost Phys.](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.9.5.069) 9, 069 (2020).
- [23] S. Brown, C. Englert, P. Galler, and P. Stylianou, Electroweak top couplings, partial compositeness, and top partner searches, Phys. Rev. D 102[, 075021 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.075021)
- [24] A. Deandrea, T. Flacke, B. Fuks, L. Panizzi, and H. S. Shao, Single production of vector-like quarks: The effects of large width, interference and NLO corrections, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2021)107) [Phys. 08 \(2021\) 107.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2021)107)
- [25] A. Belyaev, R. S. Chivukula, B. Fuks, E. H. Simmons, and X. Wang, Vectorlike top quark production via a chromomagnetic moment at the LHC, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.095024) 104, 095024 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.095024)
- [26] S. Dasgupta, R. Pramanick, and T. S. Ray, Broad toplike vector quarks at LHC and HL-LHC, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.035032) 105, [035032 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.035032)
- [27] J. Z. Han, J. Yang, S. Xu, and H. K. Wang, Search for single production of vector-like B quark via bZ channel at the HL-LHC, Nucl. Phys. B975[, 115672 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2022.115672)
- [28] G. Cacciapaglia, T. Flacke, M. Kunkel, and W. Porod, Phenomenology of unusual top partners in composite Higgs models, [J. High Energy Phys. 02 \(2022\) 208.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2022)208)
- [29] M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), Search for pair production of heavy vector-like quarks decaying into hadronic final states in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATI AS detector Phys. Bey D 98, 092005 (2018) the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D 98[, 092005 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.092005)
- [30] M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), Search for new phenomena in events with same-charge leptons and b-jets in p p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, [J.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2018)039)
High Energy Phys. 12 (2018) 039 [High Energy Phys. 12 \(2018\) 039.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2018)039)
- [31] M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), Search for pair production of heavy vector-like quarks decaying into high p_T W bosons and top quarks in the lepton-plus-jets final state in *pp* collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector I High Energy Phys 08 (2018) 048 detector, [J. High Energy Phys. 08 \(2018\) 048.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2018)048)
- [32] M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), Search for single production of vector-like quarks decaying into Wb in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV with the ATLAS detector,
I High Energy Phys 05 (2019) 164 [J. High Energy Phys. 05 \(2019\) 164.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2019)164)
- [33] A. M. Sirunyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), Search for vector-like quarks in events with two oppositely charged leptons and jets in proton-proton collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV Fur Phys I C 79 364 (2019) 13 TeV, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6855-8) 79, 364 (2019).
- [34] A. M. Sirunyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), Search for vector-like *T* and *B* quark pairs in final states with leptons at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, [J. High Energy Phys. 08 \(2018\) 177.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2018)177)
- [35] M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), Combination of the Searches for Pair-Produced Vector-Like Partners of the Third-Generation Quarks at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATI AS Detector Phys. Rev. Lett 121, 211801 the ATLAS Detector, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.211801) 121, 211801 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.211801)
- [36] A. M. Sirunyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), Search for pair production of vectorlike quarks in the fully hadronic final state, Phys. Rev. D 100[, 072001 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.072001).
- [37] H. Abramowicz et al. (CLIC Detector and Physics Study), Physics at the CLIC $e + e -$ linear collider—Input to the snowmass process 2013, [arXiv:1307.5288](https://arXiv.org/abs/1307.5288).
- [38] J. de Blas, R. Franceschini, F. Riva, P. Roloff, U. Schnoor, M. Spannowsky, J. D. Wells, A. Wulzer, J. Zupan, S. Alipour-Fard *et al.*, The CLIC potential for new physics, [CERN Yellow Rep. Monogr.](https://doi.org/10.23731/CYRM-2018-003) 3 (2018).
- [39] R. Franceschini, Beyond the Standard Model physics at CLIC, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X20410158) 35, 2041015 (2020).
- [40] D. Dannheim, P. Lebrun, L. Linssen, D. Schulte, and S. Stapnes, CLIC e^+e^- linear collider studies—Input to the snowmass process 2013, [arXiv:1305.5766](https://arXiv.org/abs/1305.5766).
- [41] R. Kitano, T. Moroi, and S. f. Su, Top squark study at a future e^+e^- linear collider, [J. High Energy Phys. 12 \(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/12/011) [011.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/12/011)
- [42] K. Kong and S. C. Park, Phenomenology of top partners at the ILC, [J. High Energy Phys. 08 \(2007\) 038.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/08/038)
- [43] A. Senol, A. T. Tasci, and F. Ustabas, Anomalous single production of fourth generation t' quarks at ILC and CLIC,
Nucl. Phys. **B851**, 289 (2011) Nucl. Phys. B851[, 289 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2011.05.022)
- [44] K. Harigaya, S. Matsumoto, M. M. Nojiri, and K. Tobioka, Testing little Higgs mechanism at future colliders, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2012)135) [Energy Phys. 01 \(2012\) 135.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2012)135)
- [45] M. A. B., L. Guo, W. Liu, W. G. Ma, R. Y. Zhang, and W. J. Zhang, Precision calculations for the T-odd quark pair production at the CLIC e^+e^- linear collider, [Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0253-6102/62/6/09) Theor. Phys. 62[, 824 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0253-6102/62/6/09)
- [46] Y.B. Liu and Z.J. Xiao, The production and decay of the top partner T in the left–right twin Higgs model at the ILC and CLIC, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2014.12.027) B892, 63 (2015).
- [47] B. Yang, H. Shao, and J. Han, Search for single production of vector-like top partner decaying to Wb at $e\gamma$ collision, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5671-x) 78, 184 (2018).
- [48] L. Shang, D. Zhang, and B. Yang, Search for vectorlike T quark through tZ channel at $e\gamma$ collider, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.075032) 100, [075032 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.075032)
- [49] L. Shang, W. Wei, and B. Yang, Search for vector-like T quark through th channel at $e\gamma$ collider, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2020.115058) **B955**, [115058 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2020.115058)
- [50] X. Qin and J.-F. Shen, Search for single production of vector-like B quark decaying to a Higgs boson and bottom quark at the CLIC, Nucl. Phys. B966[, 115388 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2021.115388).
- [51] L. Han and J.F. Shen, Search for single production of vector-like B quark decaying to bZ at future linear colliders, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09245-y) 81, 463 (2021).
- [52] J. Z. Han, J. Yang, S. Xu, and H. K. Wang, Single production of vectorlike B quarks at the CLIC, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.015005) 105 , [015005 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.015005)
- [53] X. Qin, L. F. Du, and J. F. Shen, Single production of vector-like T quark decaying into Wb at the CLIC, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2022.115784) B979[, 115784 \(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2022.115784).
- [54] <http://feynrules.irmp.ucl.ac.be/wiki/VLQ>.
- [55] A. M. Sirunyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), Search for single production of vector-like quarks decaying to a Z boson and a top or a bottom quark in proton-proton collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV, [J. High Energy Phys. 05](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2017)029)
(2017) 029 [\(2017\) 029.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2017)029)
- [56] A. M. Sirunyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), Search for single production of a vector-like T quark decaying to a Z boson and a top quark in proton-proton collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.04.036) 781, 574 (2018).
A M Sirunyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration)
- [57] A. M. Sirunyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), Search for electroweak production of a vector-like T quark using fully hadronic final states, [J. High Energy Phys. 01 \(2020\) 036.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2020)036)
- [58] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), Search for single production of a vector-like T quark decaying into a Higgs boson and top quark with fully hadronic final states using the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D 105[, 092012 \(2022\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.092012).
- [59] H.J. He, Y.P. Kuang, and X.y. Li, On the Precise Formulation of Equivalence Theorem, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2619) 69[, 2619 \(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2619).
- [60] H. J. He, Y. P. Kuang, and X. y. Li, Further investigation on the precise formulation of the equivalence theorem, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.4842) Rev. D 49[, 4842 \(1994\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.4842)
- [61] H. J. He, Y. P. Kuang, and C. P. Yuan, Equivalence theorem and probing the electroweak symmetry breaking sector, Phys. Rev. D 51[, 6463 \(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.51.6463)
- [62] H. J. He, Y. P. Kuang, and C. P. Yuan, Estimating the sensitivity of LHC to electroweak symmetry breaking: Longitudinal / Goldstone boson equivalence as a criterion, Phys. Rev. D 55[, 3038 \(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.55.3038)
- [63] H. J. He and W. B. Kilgore, The equivalence theorem and its radiative correction—free formulation for all R_{ξ} gauges, Phys. Rev. D 55[, 1515 \(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.55.1515)
- [64] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H.-S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, and M. Zaro,

The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, [J. High Energy Phys. 07 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079) [079.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079)

- [65] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen et al., An introduction to PYTHIA8.2, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024) 191, 159 [\(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024)
- [66] J. de Favereau, C. Delaere, P. Demin, A. Giammanco, V. Lemaître, A. Mertens, and M. Selvaggi (DELPHES3 Collaboration), DELPHES3, A modular framework for fast simulation of a generic collider experiment, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2014)057) [Phys. 02 \(2014\) 057.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2014)057)
- [67] E. Leogrande, P. Roloff, U. Schnoor, and M. Weber, A DELPHES card for the CLIC detector, [arXiv:1909.12728](https://arXiv.org/abs/1909.12728).
- [68] M. Boronat, J. Fuster, I. Garcia, E. Ros, and M. Vos, A robust jet reconstruction algorithm for high-energy lepton colliders, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.08.055) 750, 95 (2015).
- [69] M. Boronat, J. Fuster, I. Garcia, P. Roloff, R. Simoniello, and M. Vos, Jet reconstruction at high-energy electron– positron colliders, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5594-6) 78, 144 (2018).
- [70] E. Conte, B. Fuks, and G. Serret, MadAnalysis5, A userfriendly framework for collider phenomenology, [Comput.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2012.09.009) [Phys. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2012.09.009) 184, 222 (2013).
- [71] R. M. Godbole, S. K. Rai, and S. Raychaudhuri, Graviton resonances in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ at linear colliders with beamstrahlung and ISR effects, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-007-0247-1) 50, 979 (2007).
- [72] B. Dalena, J. Esberg, and D. Schulte, Beam-induced backgrounds in the CLIC 3 TeV CM energy interaction region, [arXiv:1202.0563.](https://arXiv.org/abs/1202.0563)
- [73] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, and O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1554-0) 71, 1554 (2011); 73[, 2501\(E\) \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2501-z)
- [74] J. Reuter and M. Tonini, Top partner discovery in the $T \rightarrow tZ$ channel at the LHC, [J. High Energy Phys. 01 \(2015\) 088.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2015)088)