Mass spectra and strong decays of charmed and charmed-strange mesons

Ru-Hui Ni \mathbf{Q} ¹, Qi Li₁² and Xian-Hui Zhong^{1,[3,*](#page-0-0)}

 1 Department of Physics, Hunan Normal University, and Key Laboratory of Low-Dimensional Quantum

Structures and Quantum Control of Ministry of Education, Changsha 410081, China ²

 α ²School of Science, Tianjin Chengjian University, Tianjin 300000, China

 3 Synergetic Innovation Center for Quantum Effects and Applications (SICQEA),

Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, China

 \bullet (Received 18 October 2021; accepted 13 February 2022; published 8 March 2022)

A semirelativistic potential model is adopted to calculate the mass spectra of charmed and charmedstrange meson states up to the 2D excitations. The strong decay properties are further analyzed with a chiral quark model by using the numerical wave functions obtained from the potential model. By using the strong decay amplitudes extracted from the chiral quark model, we also systematically study the coupled-channel effects on the bare masses of the 1P-wave states, since the masses of $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ cannot be
explained with bare 1P wave states within the potential model. Based on our good descriptions of the mass explained with bare 1P-wave states within the potential model. Based on our good descriptions of the mass and decay properties for the low-lying well-established states, we give a quark model classification for the high-mass resonances observed in recent years. In the D-meson family, $D₀(2550)$ can be classified as the radially excited state $D(2^1S_0)$, $D_3^*(2750)$ and $D_2(2740)$ can be classified as the second orbital excitations
 $D(13D_1)$ and $D(1D_1)$ accordingly, $D_3^*(2000)$ may be a sendidate of $D(13E_1)$ or $D(23D_1)$, while $D(1^3D_3)$ and $D(1D'_2)$, respectively, $D_J^*(3000)$ may be a candidate of $D(1^3F_4)$ or $D(2^3P_2)$, while $D_J(3000)$
may fough the high mass mixed state $D(2P')$; however, there are still puzzles in our understanding of may favor the high-mass mixed state $D(2P'_1)$; however, there are still puzzles in our understanding of the purposition of $D^*(2600)$ and $D^*(2760)$, whose decay proporties cannot be well explained with either purposition nature of $D_1^*(2600)$ and $D_1^*(2760)$, whose decay properties cannot be well explained with either pure $D(235)$ and $D(13D)$ states or their mixing. In the D, meson family, $D_1^*(2860)$ fours the D $(13D)$ $D(2^3S_1)$ and $D(1^3D_1)$ states or their mixing. In the D_s -meson family, $D_{s3}^*(2860)$ favors the $D_s(1^3D_3)$
assignment, $D_s^*(2700)$ and $D_s^*(2860)$ may favor the mixed states $|(SD) \rangle$ and $|(SD) \rangle$, yie the assignment, $D_{s1}^*(2700)$ and $D_{s1}^*(2860)$ may favor the mixed states $|(SD)_1\rangle_L$ and $|(SD)_1\rangle_H$ via the $2^3S_1-1^3D_1$ mixing, respectively, $D_{sJ}(3040)$ may favor $D_s(2P_1)$ or $D_s(2P'_1)$, or correspond to a structure
contributed by both $D_s(2P_s)$ and $D_s(2P'_1)$ the newly observed resonance $D_s(2500)$ + as an essignment o contributed by both $D_s(2P_1)$ and $D_s(2P'_1)$, the newly observed resonance $D_{s0}(2590)^+$ as an assignment of $D_s(2^1S_0)$, by including coupled-channel effects the mass of $D_s(2^1S_0)$ is close to the observed value;
however the width cannot be well understood in the present study. Many missing excited D, and D, meson however, the width cannot be well understood in the present study. Many missing excited D - and D_s -meson states have a relatively narrow width and they are most likely to be observed in their dominant decay channels in future experiments.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.105.056006](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.056006)

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past 15 years, significant progress has been achieved in the observations of the D -meson and D_s -meson spectra. More and more higher excitations have been found in experiments. In the D-meson family, several new signals $D(2550)^{0}$, $D^{*}(2600)^{0,+}$, $D(2750)^{0}$, and $D^{*}(2760)^{0,+}$ were observed for the first time by the BABAR Collaboration in 2010 [[1\]](#page-35-0), and were confirmed by the LHCb Collaboration with slightly different masses in 2013 [[2\]](#page-35-1). The decay angular distributions show that both $D(2550)$ and $D(2750)$ should have an unnatural spin parity, while both $D^*(2600)$ and $D^*(2760)$ favor a natural spin parity. Furthermore, the LHCb Collaboration observed two new higher D-meson excitations, $D_J^*(3000)$ and $D_J(3000)$, with natural and unnatural parties respectively [21] In 2015 natural and unnatural parities, respectively [\[2\]](#page-35-1). In 2015, LHCb observed a new state $D_1^*(2760)^0$ with spin-parity
numbers $I^P - 1^-$ in the $D^+ \pi^-$ channel by analyzing the numbers $J^P = 1^-$ in the $D^+\pi^-$ channel by analyzing the B^- → $D^+K^-\pi^-$ decay [[3](#page-35-2)]. In 2016, LHCb also observed a new state $D_2^*(3000)$ with $J^P = 2^+$ in the $D^+\pi^-$ channel by
analyzing the $B^- \to D^+\pi^-\pi^-$ decay [4]. The resonance analyzing the $B^- \to D^+\pi^-\pi^-$ decay [[4](#page-35-3)]. The resonance parameters of $D_2^*(3000)$ are inconsistent with the previously
observed resonance $D^*(3000)$ in Ref. [2] In 2019, a fourobserved resonance D_J^{ϕ} (3000) in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-35-1). In 2019, a four-
hody amplitude analysis of the $R^{-} \to D^{*+}\pi^{-}\pi^{-}$ decay is body amplitude analysis of the $B^- \to D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$ decay is performed by the LHCb Collaboration [\[5](#page-35-4)]. The spin-parity numbers for $D(2550)$, $D^*(2600)$, $D(2750)$, and $D^*(2760)$ were systematically determined to be $J^P = 0^-$, 1⁻, 2⁻, and 3[−], respectively. The determined spin-parity numbers for $D^*(2600)$ and $D^*(2760)$ are consistent with the determinations in the previous experiments [[4](#page-35-3),[6\]](#page-35-5). In the Review of Particle Physics (RPP), $D(2550)$, $D^{*}(2600)$, $D(2750)$, and

[^{*}](#page-0-1) zhongxh@hunnu.edu.cn

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

Resonance	Mass (MeV)	Width (MeV)	Observed channel	J^P	Ref.	Time
$D(2550)^{0}$	2539.4 ± 11.3	130 ± 25	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	UN	$BABAR$ [1]	2010
$D_J(2580)^0$	2579.5 ± 8.9	177.5 ± 63.8	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	UN	$LHCb$ [2]	2013
$D_0(2550)^0$	2518 ± 9	199 ± 22	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	$0-$	LHCb $[5]$	2019
$D^{*}(2600)^{0}$	2608.7 ± 4.9	93 ± 19	$D^+\pi^-, D^{*+}\pi^-$	${\bf N}$	$BABAR$ [1]	2010
$D^*(2600)^+$	2621.3 ± 7.9	93.0	$D^0\pi^+$	${\bf N}$	$BABAR$ [1]	2010
$D_J^*(2650)^0$	2649.2 ± 7.0	140.2 ± 35.7	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	${\bf N}$	$LHCb$ [2]	2013
$D_1^*(2680)^0$	2681.1 ± 23.6	186.7 ± 25.3	$D^+\pi^-$	$1-$	LHCb $[4]$	2016
$D_1^*(2600)^0$	2641.9 ± 6.3	149 ± 24	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	$1-$	LHCb $[5]$	2019
$D(2750)^{0}$	2752.4 ± 4.4	71 ± 17	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	UN	$BABAR$ [1]	2010
$D_J(2740)^0$	2737.0 ± 14.7	73.2 ± 38.4	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	UN	$LHCb$ $[2]$	2013
$D_2(2740)^0$	2751 ± 10	102 ± 32	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	2^{-}	$LHCb$ [5]	2019
$D^{*}(2760)^{0}$	2763.3 ± 4.6	60.9 ± 8.7	$D^+\pi^-$	$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$	$BABAR$ [1]	2010
$D^*(2760)^+$	2769.7 ± 5.3	60.9	$D^0\pi^+$	$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$	BABAR [1]	2010
$D_J^*(2760)^0$	2760.1 ± 4.8	74.4 ± 22.5	$D^+\pi^-, D^{*+}\pi^-$	\overline{N}	LHCb $[2]$	2013
$D_J^*(2760)^+$	2771.7 ± 5.5	66.7 ± 17.1	$D^0\pi^+$	${\bf N}$	$LHCb$ $[2]$	2013
$D_{3}^{*}(2760)^{-}$	2798 ± 15	105 ± 47	$\bar{D}^0 \pi^-$	$3-$	$LHCb$ [6]	2015
$D_3^*(2760)^0$	2775.5 ± 13.7	95.3 ± 50.6	$D^+\pi^-$	$3-$	LHCb $[4]$	2016
$D_3^*(2750)^0$	2753 ± 10	66 ± 24	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	$3-$	$LHCb$ [5]	2019
$D_1^*(2760)^0$	2781 ± 35	177 ± 59	$D^+\pi^-$	$1-$	$LHCb$ [3]	2015
$D_J(3000)^0$	2971.8 ± 8.7	188.1 ± 44.8	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	UN	$LHCb$ $[2]$	2013
$D_J^*(3000)^0$	3008.0 ± 4.0	110.5 ± 11.5	$D^+\pi^-$	$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$	$LHCb$ [2]	2013
$D_J^*(3000)^+$	3008.1 (fixed)	110.5 (fixed)	$D^0\pi^+$	$\overline{?}$	$LHCb$ [2]	2013
$D_2^*(3000)^0$	3214 ± 98	186 ± 135	$D^+\pi^-$	2^+	LHCb $[4]$	2016
$D_{s0}(2590)^+$	2591 ± 13	89 ± 28	D^-K^+	$0-$	LHCb $[15]$	2020
$D_{sJ}(2700)^+$	2688 ± 7	112 ± 43	$\mathcal{D} \mathcal{K}$	$\overline{?}$	$BABAR$ [8]	2006
$D_{s1}^*(2700)^+$	2708^{+20}_{-19}	108^{+59}_{-54}	$D^0\!K^+$	$1-$	Belle [9]	2007
$D_{s1}^*(2700)^+$	2710^{+14}_{-9}	149^{+46}_{-59}	DK, D^*K	${\bf N}$	$BABAR$ [10]	2009
$D_{s1}^*(2700)^+$	2709.2 ± 6.4	115.8 ± 19.4	DK	$\overline{?}$	LHCb $[11]$	2012
$D_{s1}^*(2700)^+$	2699^{+14}_{-7}	127^{+24}_{-19}	D^0K^+	$1-$	$BABAR$ [16]	2014
$D_{s1}^*(2700)^+$	2732.3 ± 10.1	136 ± 43	$D^{\ast0}K^+$	$1-$	LHCb $[14]$	2016
$D_{sJ}(2860)^+$	2856.6 ± 6.5	47 ± 17	$\mathcal{D} \mathcal{K}$	$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$	$BABAR$ [8]	2006
$D_{sJ}^*(2860)^+$	2862^{+7}_{-4}	48 ± 9	DK, D^*K	\overline{N}	BABAR [10]	2009
$D_{sI}^*(2860)^+$	2866.1 ± 7.3	69.9 ± 9.8	DK	$\overline{?}$	LHCb $[11]$	2012
$D_{s1}^*(2860)^+$	2859.0 ± 27.0	159 ± 80	.	$1-$	LHCb [12,13]	2014
$D_{s3}^*(2860)^+$	2860.5 ± 7.0	53.0 ± 10.0	.	$3-$	LHCb [12,13]	2014
$D_{s3}^*(2860)^+$	2867.1 ± 6.2	50 ± 24	$D^{*0}K^+$	$3-$	LHCb $[14]$	2016
$D_{sJ}(3040)^+$	3044^{+31}_{-9}	239.0 ± 60.0	$D^{*0}K^+$	$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$	$BABAR$ [10]	2009

TABLE I. The newly observed excited charmed and charmed-strange meson states in recent 15 years. The "N" and "UN" stand for the natural parity and unnatural parity, respectively.

 $D^*(2760)$ are labeled as $D_0(2550)$, $D_1^*(2600)$, $D_2(2740)$,
and $D^*(2750)$ respectively by the particle data group and $D_3^*(2750)$, respectively, by the particle data group (PDG) [\[7](#page-35-6)].

In the D_s -meson sector, two new resonances/structures $D_{sJ}(2700)^+$ and $D_{sJ}(2860)^+$ observed in the DK channel by BABAR in 2006 [\[8](#page-35-7)]. The $D_{sJ}(2700)^+$ was confirmed by Belle one year later [\[9\]](#page-35-8), and its spin-parity numbers were determined to be $J^P = 1^-$. In 2009, BABAR observed the decays $D_{s1}^*(2700)^+ \rightarrow D^*K$ and $D_{sJ}^*(2860)^+ \rightarrow D^*K$, and
measured their branching fractions relative to the DK final measured their branching fractions relative to the DK final state [\[10\]](#page-35-9). Meanwhile, a new broad higher D_s -meson excitation $D_{sJ}(3040)^+$ was also reported by *BABAR*. In

2012, the existence of $D_{s1}^{*}(2700)^{+}$ and $D_{sJ}(2860)^{+}$ was
further confirmed by using the nn collision data at the further confirmed by using the pp collision data at the LHCb [[11](#page-35-10)]. In 2014, by an analysis of $B_s^0 \to \bar{D}^0 K^- \pi^+$ decays, the LHCb Collaboration found two resonances $D_{s1}^{*}(2860)^{-}$ with $J^{P} = 1^{-}$ and $D_{s3}^{*}(2860)^{-}$ with $J^{P} = 3^{-}$
in the \bar{D}_{s0}^{0} and other [12, 13], which indicates that the in the \bar{D}^0 K⁻ final state [\[12](#page-35-11)[,13\]](#page-35-12), which indicates that the $D_{sJ}(2860)$ structure previously observed by BABAR [\[8,](#page-35-7)[10\]](#page-35-9) and the LHCb [[11](#page-35-10)] consists of at least these two resonances. In 2016, the $D_{s3}^{*}(2860)^{+}$ resonance was observed in the $D^{*+}K^0$ channel by the LHCb [141] its resonance parameters $D^{*+} K_s^0$ channel by the LHCb [[14](#page-35-13)], its resonance parameters and spin-parity numbers are consistent with the determinations for $D_{s3}^*(2860)^-$ in Refs. [[12](#page-35-11),[13](#page-35-12)]. Furthermore, the

LHCb also found weak evidence of $D_{sJ}(3040)^+$ consistent with an unnatural parity assignment [[14](#page-35-13)]. Very recently, the LHCb Collaboration observed a new excited D_s meson $D_{s0}(2590)^+$ with $J^P = 0^-$ in $B^0 \to D^-D^+K^+\pi^-$ decays [\[15\]](#page-35-14). More experimental information about the excited charmed and charmed-strange mesons is collected in Table [I.](#page-1-0)

The experimental progress provides us good opportunities to establish an abundant D-meson and D_s -meson spectrum up to higher orbital and radial excitations. In theory, to understand the nature of the charmed and charmed-strange mesons, especially the newly observed states, and to establish the charmed and charmed-strange meson spectra, in the recent years a lot of studies have been carried out within various phenomenological models from several aspects, such as the mass spectrum [[17](#page-35-16)–[41](#page-35-17)], strong decays [\[29](#page-35-18)–[72\]](#page-36-0), etc., some previous works can be found in Refs. [\[73](#page-36-1)–[84\]](#page-37-0). Furthermore, spectroscopic calculations on the lattice are making steady progress [\[85](#page-37-1)–[88\]](#page-37-2). In the D-meson family, the $D_0(2300)$, $D_1(2420)$, $D_1(2430)$, and $D₂(2460)$ resonances listed in RPP [[7](#page-35-6)] are usually considered to be the $1P$ states, apart from a few disputes about $D_0(2300)$ and $D_1(2430)$ in recent works [\[89](#page-37-3)–[92\]](#page-37-4). The newly observed resonance $D_0(2550)$ may be classified as the radially excited (2S) state $D(2^{1}S_0)$ [\[23](#page-35-19)[,24,](#page-35-20)[29](#page-35-18)–[32](#page-35-21)[,46](#page-36-2)–50] although the width is underestimated in some works [50\]](#page-36-3), although the width is underestimated in some works $[3\overline{3},37,42,43,77]$ $[3\overline{3},37,42,43,77]$ $[3\overline{3},37,42,43,77]$ $[3\overline{3},37,42,43,77]$ $[3\overline{3},37,42,43,77]$ $[3\overline{3},37,42,43,77]$ $[3\overline{3},37,42,43,77]$ $[3\overline{3},37,42,43,77]$ $[3\overline{3},37,42,43,77]$. The $D_1^*(2600)$ may favor the 2S state
 $D(235)$, $[22, 25, 29, 33, 46, 49, 51]$ or a mixture via the $D(2^3S_1)$ [\[22](#page-35-24)–[25,](#page-35-25)[29](#page-35-18)–[33](#page-35-22)[,46](#page-36-2)–[49](#page-36-7),[51](#page-36-8)], or a mixture via the 2^3S –1³D mixing [37,38,42,44,50,51,551] The $D^*(2750)$ $2^{3}S_{1}$ -1³ D_{1} mixing [\[37,](#page-35-23)[38](#page-35-26),[42](#page-36-4)–[44,](#page-36-9)[50,](#page-36-3)[51](#page-36-8),[55](#page-36-10)]. The $D_{3}^{*}(2750)$
recononce can be assigned to the 1D state $D(1^{3}D_{1})$ while resonance can be assigned to the 1D state $D(1^3D_3)$, while
D₂(2740) may correspond to a 1D mixed state with I^P $D_2(2740)$ may correspond to a 1D mixed state with J^P = 2^{-} [\[21](#page-35-27)–[24](#page-35-20),[29](#page-35-18)–[32](#page-35-21),[35](#page-35-28),[37](#page-35-23),[43](#page-36-5)–[49](#page-36-7),[52](#page-36-11)]. The $D_1^*(2760)^0$ reso-
nance is a good candidate for the 1D state $D(1^3D_1)$ [311] nance is a good candidate for the 1D state $D(1^3D_1)$ [[31](#page-35-29)],
while a few components of $D(2^3S_1)$ may exist via the while a few components of $D(2^3S_1)$ may exist via the 2^3S_1 , 2^3S_2 , 2^3D_1 , mixing. The quark model classification of $2^3S_1 - 1^3D_1$ mixing. The quark model classification of $D_J(3000)$ and $D_J^*(3000)$ is still controversial in the literature. The unnatural parity state $D_J(3000)$ is explained literature. The unnatural parity state $D_I(3000)$ is explained with the 3S state $D(3^{1}S_0)$ [\[17,](#page-35-16)[29](#page-35-18)–[31](#page-35-29)], 2P states with $J^P = 1^+$ [36.44.48.49.72] or 1*F* states with $J^P = 3^+$ [21–23] 1^+ [[36](#page-35-30),[44](#page-36-9),[48](#page-36-12)[,49](#page-36-7)[,72\]](#page-36-0), or 1*F* states with $J^P = 3^+$ [[21](#page-35-27)–[23](#page-35-19)], while the natural parity state $D_J^*(3000)$ is explained with $D(338, 117, 201, D(338, 153, 156, 401, D(338, 150, 22, 23, 351))$ $D(3^3S_1)$ [[17](#page-35-16),[29](#page-35-18)], $D(2^3P_0)$ [[36](#page-35-30)[,49\]](#page-36-7), $D(2^3P_2)$ [\[20](#page-35-31)[,22](#page-35-24)[,23,](#page-35-19)[35](#page-35-28)],
 $D(1^3F_1)$ [31,44,48], or $D(1^3F_1)$ [48], and so on $D(1^3F_4)$ [[31](#page-35-29),[44](#page-36-9)[,48\]](#page-36-12), or $D(1^3F_2)$ [\[48\]](#page-36-12), and so on.
On the other hand, in the *D*-meson family

On the other hand, in the D_s -meson family, it is not controversial to classify the $D_{s1}(2536)$ and $D_{s2}(2573)$ resonances as 1P states $D_s(1P'_1)$ (high-mass mixed state)
and $D_s(13P_s)$ respectively however the other two 1P and $D_s(1^3P_2)$, respectively, however, the other two 1P
states $D_s(1^3P_2)$ and $D_s(1P_1)$ (low-mass mixed state) states $D_s(1^3P_0)$ and $D_s(1P_1)$ (low-mass mixed state)
classified in the quark model are not well established classified in the quark model are not well established. Considering the positive parity resonances $D_{s0}(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ first reported by *BABAR* [\[93\]](#page-37-5) and CLEO [\[94\]](#page-37-6) as the $D_s(1^3P_0)$ and $D_s(1P_1)$ assignments, one finds their
masses are too low to be comparable with the theoretical masses are too low to be comparable with the theoretical expectations. Some studies suggest that $D_{s0}(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ are the mixtures of bare 1P $c\bar{s}$ core and $D^{(*)}K$ component [\[95](#page-37-7)[,96\]](#page-37-8). The new resonance $D_{s0}(2590)^+$ with $J^P = 0⁻$ is suggested to be a strong candidate of the radial
excitation $D^{{-2}S_0}$ by the Collaboration [15]; however its excitation $D_s(2^1S_0)$ by the Collaboration [[15](#page-35-14)]; however, its
measured mass and width are inconsistent with the recent measured mass and width are inconsistent with the recent theoretical predictions in Ref. [[66](#page-36-13)]. The $D_{s1}^{*}(2700)^{+}$ and $D_{s1}^{*}(2860)^{+}$ resonances may be identified as the $D_{s2}^{*}(2850)^{+}$ $D_{s1}^{*}(2860)^{+}$ resonances may be identified as the $D_{s}(2^{3}S_{1})$
and $D_{s}(1^{3}D_{s})$ respectively [22, 28, 31, 33, 34, 53, 54] or and $D_s(1^3D_1)$, respectively [[22](#page-35-24)–[28](#page-35-32),[31](#page-35-29),[33](#page-35-22),[34](#page-35-33),[53](#page-36-14)[,54\]](#page-36-15), or
their mixtures [37.41, 50,55,59,81,821] The $D^*(2860)^+$ their mixtures [[37](#page-35-23),[41](#page-35-17)[,50,](#page-36-3)[55,](#page-36-10)[59](#page-36-16)[,81](#page-36-17)[,82\]](#page-36-18). The $D_{33}^{*}(2860)^{+}$
resonance can be classified as the 1D state D (1³D) resonance can be classified as the 1D state $D_s(1^3D_3)$
[21–24.27.28.31–34.44.52–54.61.64] It should be men-[\[21](#page-35-27)–[24,](#page-35-20)[27](#page-35-34)[,28,](#page-35-32)[31](#page-35-29)–[34](#page-35-33)[,44,](#page-36-9)[52](#page-36-11)–[54](#page-36-15)[,61](#page-36-19)[,64](#page-36-20)]. It should be mentioned that there are still questions for the D_{sJ} structures around 2.86 GeV—it may be contributed to by all of the 1D-wave states with $J^P = 1^-, 2^-, 3^-$ [\[34,](#page-35-33)[59](#page-36-16)[,65](#page-36-21)]. The higher resonance $D_{sJ}(3040)^+$ may be a candidate for the 2P states with $J^P = 1^+$ [[22](#page-35-24)–[25](#page-35-25),[28,](#page-35-32)[31](#page-35-29)– [34](#page-35-33)[,37](#page-35-23),[41](#page-35-17)[,44,](#page-36-9)[59](#page-36-16)[,60](#page-36-22),[72](#page-36-0)]. More information about the status of the charmed and charmed-strange meson study can be found in the recent review work [[97](#page-37-9)].

The recent LHCb experiments [\[2](#page-35-1)-[6,](#page-35-5)[11](#page-35-10)-[15\]](#page-35-14) have demonstrated the capability of both discovering the D and D_s mesons and determining their properties. Thus, more and more progress in the observations of the excited D-meson and D_s -meson states will be achieved in forthcoming LHCb experiments. Stimulated by the recent progress in experiments, we have systematically analyzed the strong decay properties of the excited D -meson and D_s -meson states within a chiral-quark model in Refs. [\[43](#page-36-5)[,44](#page-36-9)[,58,](#page-36-23)[59](#page-36-16)], where the wave functions for the excited meson states are adopted as the simple harmonic oscillator (SHO) forms, while their masses refer to the quark model predictions in the literature. To deepen our study and more reliably understand the D - and D_s -meson spectrum, we carry out a combined analysis of both mass spectrum and strong decays in this work. First, we calculate the mass spectrum within a semirelativistic potential model, where the relativistic effects from the light quarks can be reasonably included. With this model the masses for the observed Dand D_s -meson states can be described successfully. Then, by using the available wave functions and masses from the potential model, we calculate the Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka (OZI) allowed two-body strong decays of the excited D and D_s mesons with the chiral quark model. This model has been successfully applied to describe the strong decays of the heavy-light mesons and baryons [\[43,](#page-36-5)[44](#page-36-9)[,58](#page-36-23)[,59,](#page-36-16)[98](#page-37-10)–[113](#page-37-11)]. Based on our good descriptions of the mass and decay properties for the well-established states, we give our quark model classifications of the newly observed resonances/ structures. Finally, according to our assignments for the newly observed resonances, we attempt to predict the properties of the missing resonances, which may be useful for future experimental investigations.

This work is organized as follows. In Sec. [II,](#page-3-0) the mass spectra for the charmed and charmed-strange mesons are calculated within a semirelativistic quark model. In Sec. [III](#page-5-0), the strong decays are estimated within the chiral quark

II. MASS SPECTRUM

A. Model

In Ref. [\[113](#page-37-11)], we adopt a nonrelativistic linear potential model to calculate the B - and B_s -meson mass spectrum. It is found that the masses for the B - and B_s -meson states can be reasonably described within the nonrelativistic quark model [\[113](#page-37-11)]; however, the effective harmonic oscillator parameters, β_{eff} , which are obtained by equating the root-mean-square radius of the harmonic oscillator wavefunction for the specified (n, l) quantum numbers to the root-mean-square radius of the wave functions are notably smaller than those from the relativized quark model [[31](#page-35-29)]. To consistently include the relativistic effects on the wave functions, the nonrelativistic Hamiltonian $H = \mathbf{p}^2/(2\mu) +$ $m_1 + m_2 + V(r)$ is replaced with the relativistic one

$$
H = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_1^2} + \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_2^2} + V(r), \tag{1}
$$

where $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_1 = -\mathbf{p}_2$ is the quark momentum in the centerof-mass system, r is the distance between two quarks, m_1 and m_2 are the masses of light and heavy quarks, respectively, and the reduced mass $\mu = m_1 m_2/(m_1 + m_2)$.

The effective potential $V(r)$ includes the spinindependent part $V_0(r)$ and spin-dependent part $V_{sd}(r)$. The spin-independent part $V_0(r)$ adopts the standard Cornell form [[114\]](#page-37-12)

$$
V_0(r) = -\frac{4}{3}\frac{\alpha_s(r)}{r} + br + C_0,\tag{2}
$$

which includes the color Coulomb interaction and linear confinement, and zero-point energy C_0 . The spin-dependent part $V_{sd}(r)$ adopts the widely used form [\[73](#page-36-1),[115](#page-37-13),[116](#page-37-14)]

$$
V_{sd}(r) = H_{SS} + H_T + H_{LS},
$$
 (3)

where

$$
H_{SS} = \frac{32\pi\alpha_s(r) \cdot \sigma^3 e^{-\sigma^2 r^2}}{9\sqrt{\pi} \tilde{m}_1 m_2} \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 \tag{4}
$$

is the spin-spin contact hyperfine potential. The tensor potential H_T is adopted as

$$
H_T = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_s(r)}{\tilde{m}_1 m_2} \frac{1}{r^3} \left(\frac{3\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r} \mathbf{S}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}}{r^2} - \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 \right). \tag{5}
$$

The spin-orbit interaction H_{LS} can be decomposed into a symmetric part H_{sym} and an antisymmetric part H_{anti} ,

$$
H_{LS} = H_{sym} + H_{anti},\tag{6}
$$

with

$$
H_{\text{sym}} = \frac{\mathbf{S}_{+} \cdot \mathbf{L}}{2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2\tilde{m}_{1}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2m_{2}^{2}} \right) \left(\frac{4\alpha_{s}(r)}{3r^{3}} - \frac{b}{r} \right) + \frac{8\alpha_{s}(r)}{3\tilde{m}_{1}m_{2}r^{3}} \right],
$$
\n(7)

$$
H_{\text{anti}} = \frac{\mathbf{S}_{-} \cdot \mathbf{L}}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2\tilde{m}_{1}^{2}} - \frac{1}{2m_{2}^{2}} \right) \left(\frac{4\alpha_{s}(r)}{3r^{3}} - \frac{b}{r} \right). \tag{8}
$$

In these equations, L is the relative orbital angular momentum of the $q\bar{q}$ system, S_1 and S_2 are the spins of the light and heavy quarks, respectively, and $S_{\pm} \equiv S_1 \pm S_2$. The running coupling constant $\alpha_s(r)$ in the coordinate space is adopted a parametrized form as suggested in Ref. [\[73](#page-36-1)]

$$
\alpha_s(r) = \sum_i \alpha_i \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\gamma_i r} e^{-x^2} dx.
$$
 (9)

The parameters α_i and γ_i are free parameters which can be fitted to make the behavior of the running coupling constant at short distance be consistent with the coupling constant in momentum space predicted by QCD. In this work we take $\alpha_1 = 0.30$, $\alpha_2 = 0.15$, $\alpha_3 = 0.20$, $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\gamma_2 = \frac{\sqrt{10}}{2}$, and $\gamma_3 = \frac{\sqrt{1000}}{2}$, which are the same as those adopted in Refs. [[73\]](#page-36-1), except that the parameter α_1 is slightly adjusted to better describe the mass spectrum. It should be mentioned that in the spin-dependent potentials we have replaced the light quark mass m_1 with \tilde{m}_1 to include some relativistic corrections to the potentials as suggested in Ref. [\[20\]](#page-35-31). The parameter set $\{b, \sigma, m_1, \tilde{m}_1, m_2, C_0\}$ in the above potentials is determined by fitting the mass spectrum.

For the heavy-light meson system, the antisymmetric part of the spin-orbit potential, H_{anti} , can cause a configuration mixing between spin triplet $n³L_J$ and spin singlet n^1L_J defined in the L-S coupling scheme. Thus, the physical states nL_j and nL'_j are expressed as

$$
\begin{pmatrix} nL_J \\ nL_J' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{nL} & \sin \theta_{nL} \\ -\sin \theta_{nL} & \cos \theta_{nL} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n^1 L_J \\ n^3 L_J \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)
$$

where $J = L = 1, 2, 3 \cdots$, and the θ_{nL} is the mixing angle. In this work nL'_J corresponds to the higher-mass mixed state as often adopted in the literature. The mixing angle θ_{nL} is perturbatively determined with the nondiagonal matrix element $\langle n^1 L_J | H_{\text{anti}} | n^3 L_J \rangle$. It should be mentioned that the coupled-channel effects can cause a configuration mixing as well—we neglect these effects on the mixing angle in our calculations.

B. Numerical method

In this work we use the Gaussian expansion method [\[117](#page-37-15)] to solve the radial Schrodinger equation for a meson system with quantum numbers LM of the orbital angular momentum and its z component,

$$
(H - E)\psi_{LM}(r) = 0.
$$
 (11)

The spatial wave function $\psi_{LM}(r)$ is expanded with a set of Gaussian basis functions,

$$
\psi_{LM}(r) = \sum_{m=1}^{m_{\text{max}}} C_m \phi_{mL}(r) Y_{LM}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}).
$$
 (12)

The Gaussian function $\phi_{mL}(r)$ with given range parameters is writhen as

$$
\phi_{mL}(r) = \left(\frac{2^{L+2}(2v_m)^{L+\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}(2L+1)!!}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^L e^{-v_m r^2}.
$$
 (13)

Transforming $\phi_{mL}(r)$ to the momentum space, one has

$$
\phi_{mL}(p) = (-i)^L \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}v_m^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}(2L+1)!!} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{p}{\sqrt{v_m}} \right)^L e^{-\frac{p^2}{4v_m}}.
$$
 (14)

The size parameters v_m are set to be a geometric progression form [\[117](#page-37-15)]

$$
v_m = \frac{1}{r_m^2},
$$

\n
$$
r_m = r_1 a^{m-1} (m = 1 - m_{\text{max}}).
$$
 (15)

There are three parameters $\{r_1, a, m_{\text{max}}\}$. These parameters, the eigenenergy E , and the expansion coefficients ${C_m}$ can be determined with the Rayleigh-Ritz variational principle by solving the generalized eigenvalue problem

$$
\sum_{m'} (H_{mm'} - EN_{mm'}) C_{m'} = 0 (m = 1 - m_{\text{max}}), \qquad (16)
$$

where $H_{mm'} = \langle \phi_{mL} | H | \phi_{m'L} \rangle$ and $N_{nn'} = \langle \phi_{mL} | 1 | \phi_{m'L} \rangle$.

C. Parameters

The model parameters adopted in this work are listed in Table [II.](#page-4-0) The parameter set $\{b, \sigma, m_1, \tilde{m}_1, m_2, C_0, \alpha_1\}$ for the D-meson spectrum is determined by fitting the masses of the well-established states $D(1865)^0$, $D^*(2007)^0$, $D_1(2420)^0$, $D_1(2430)^0$, $D_2(2460)^0$, and $D_3(2750)^0$, while for the D_s -meson sector the parameter set is determined by fitting the masses of the well-established states $D_s(1969)$, $D_{s}^{*}(2112)$, $D_{s1}(2536)$, and $D_{s2}(2573)$ together with the newly observed state $D^{*}(2860)$. In the present work the newly observed state $D_{s3}^*(2860)$. In the present work, the slope parameter *h* and the running coupling constant α , for slope parameter b and the running coupling constant α_1 for

TABLE II. Potential model parameters.

	m_c (GeV)	$m_{u,d}$ (GeV)	$\tilde{m}_{u,d}$ (GeV)	m _s (GeV)	$\tilde{m}_{\rm s}$ (GeV)
D	1.70	0.40	0.62	.	.
D_{s}	1.70	.	.	0.50	0.70
	α_1	b (GeV ²)	σ (GeV)	C_0 (MeV)	r_c (fm)
D	0.30	0.18	1.02	-493.0	0.327
D_s	0.30	0.18	1.11	-452.1	0.311

the D-meson spectrum are set to be the same as those for the D_s -meson spectrum, considering that they may be independent on a specific quark flavor. It should be pointed out that the zero-point energy parameter C_0 is taken to be zero for the $c\bar{c}$, $b\bar{b}$, $b\bar{c}$ heavy-quarkonium systems in the literature [[118](#page-37-16)–[121\]](#page-37-17). For these heavy-quarkonium systems, the zero-point energy can be absorbed into the constituent quark masses because it only affects the heavy quark masses slightly. However, if the zero-point energy is absorbed into the meson systems containing light quarks, it can significantly change the light constituent quark masses, which play an important role in the spin-dependent potentials. Thus, to obtain a good description of both the masses and the hyperfine/fine splittings for the meson systems containing light quarks, a zero-point energy parameter C_0 is usually adopted in the calculations. The slope parameter of the linear potential $b = 0.18 \text{ GeV}^2$ determined in the present work is consistent with that of the relativized quark model [\[73\]](#page-36-1), while is slightly larger than $b \approx 0.12 \text{ GeV}^2 - 0.14 \text{ GeV}^2$ adopted in the nonrelativistic quark model [\[118](#page-37-16)–[121\]](#page-37-17).

It should be mentioned that we cannot obtained stable solutions for some states due to the singular behavior of $1/r^3$ in the spin-dependent potentials. To overcome the singular behavior, following the method of our previous works [\[118](#page-37-16)–[122\]](#page-37-18), we introduce a cutoff distance r_c in the calculation. Within a small range $r \in (0, r_c)$, we let $1/r^3 = 1/r_c^3$. By introducing the cutoff distance r_c , we can nonperturbatively include the corrections from these can nonperturbatively include the corrections from these spin-dependent potentials containing $1/r^3$ to both the mass and wave function of a meson state, which are crucial for our predicting the decay properties. It is found that the mass of the $1³P_0$ state is more sensitive to the cutoff distance r_c due to its relatively larger factor $\langle S_+ \cdot L \rangle$ than the other excited meson states. Thus, the cutoff parameters r_c for the D - and D_s -meson spectra are determined by fitting the masses of the $D(1^3P_0)$ and $D_s(1^3P_0)$. Note that when the other parameters are well determined the masses of these other parameters are well determined, the masses of these $1³P₀$ states can be reliably worked out with the perturbation method [[113\]](#page-37-11) without introducing the cutoff distance r_c , although the wave functions obtain no corrections from the spin-dependent potentials containing $1/r³$. We obtain the masses $M = 2313$ and 2409 MeV for $D(1^3P_0)$ and $D(1^3P_0)$ respectively. These masses calculated with the $D_s(1^3P_0)$, respectively. These masses calculated with the

TABLE III. Our predicted charmed meson masses (MeV) compared with the data and some other quark model predictions. The mixing angles of the $D_L - D'_L$ states defined in Eq. [\(10\)](#page-3-1) in this work are determined to be $\theta_{1P} = -34.0^\circ$, $\theta_{2P} = -23.5^\circ$, $\theta_{1D} = -40.2^\circ$, $\theta_{2P} = -40.2^\circ$ $\theta_{2D} = -40.2^{\circ}, \theta_{1F} = -41.0^{\circ}.$

State	J^P	Ours	Exp [7]	GM [31]	EFG [25]	ZVR [74]	LJM [37]	LNR [76]
$1^{1}S_{0}$	$0-$	1865	1865	1877	1871	1850	1867	1874
1^3S_1	$1-$	2008	2008	2041	2010	2020	2010	2006
$2^{1}S_{0}$	0^{-}	2547	2564 ± 20	2581	2581	2500	2555	2540
2^3S_1	$1-$	2636	2627 ± 10	2643	2632	2620	2636	2601
$3^{1}S_{0}$	0^{-}	3029	\cdots	3068	3062	2980	.	2904
$3^{3}S_{1}$	$1-$	3093	\cdots	3110	3096	3070	\cdots	2947
$1^{3}P_{0}$	0^+	2313	2349/2300	2399	2406	2270	2252	2341
1P	1^+	2424	2412 ± 9	2456	2426	2400	2402	2389
1P'	1^+	2453	2422	2467	2469	2410	2417	2407
1^3P_2	2^+	2475	2461	2502	2460	2460	2466	2477
$2^{3}P_{0}$	0^{+}	2849	\cdots	2931	2919	2780	2752	2758
2P	1^+	2900	\cdots	2924	2932	2890	2886	2792
$2P^{\prime}$	1^+	2936	\cdots	2961	3021	2890	2926	2802
$2^{3}P_{2}$	2^+	2955	\cdots	2957	3012	2940	2971	2860
$1^{3}D_{1}$	$1-$	2754	.	2817	2788	2710	2740	2750
1D	2^{-}	2755	\cdots	2816	2806	2740	2693	2689
1D'	2^{-}	2827	2747 ± 6	2845	2850	2760	2789	2727
$1^{3}D_{3}$	$3-$	2782	2763.1 ± 3.2	2833	2863	2780	2719	2688
$2^{3}D_{1}$	$1-$	3143	\cdots	3231	3228	3130	3168	3052
2D	2^{-}	3168	\cdots	3212	3307	3160	3145	2997
2D'	2^{-}	3221	\cdots	3248	3359	3170	3215	3029
$2^{3}D_{3}$	3^{-}	3202	\cdots	3226	3335	3190	3170	2999
$1^{3}F_{2}$	2^+	3096	.	3132	3090	3000	.	.
$1\,F$	3^+	3022	\cdots	3108	3129	3010	.	.
$1F^{\prime}$	3^+	3129	\cdots	3143	3145	3030	.	.
$1{}^{3}F_{4}$	4^+	3034	\cdots	3113	3187	3030	.	\cdots

perturbation method are comparable with the predictions in Refs. [[74](#page-36-24),[76](#page-36-25)]. By fitting the masses 2313 and 2409 MeV of the $D(1^3P_0)$ and $D_s(1^3P_0)$ states obtained with the pertur-
bation method, we determine the cutoff distance parameters bation method, we determine the cutoff distance parameters to be $r_c = 0.327$ fm and 0.311 fm for the D- and D_s -meson spectra, respectively.

D. Results

With the determined model parameters listed in Table [II](#page-4-0), by solving the radial Schrödinger equation with the Gaussian expansion method [\[117\]](#page-37-15) we obtain the masses of the D and D_s meson states, which are listed in Table [III](#page-5-1) and Table [IV,](#page-6-0) respectively. For comparison, some other model predictions in Refs. [\[7](#page-35-6),[25](#page-35-25),[31](#page-35-29)[,37,](#page-35-23)[74,](#page-36-24)[76\]](#page-36-25) and the data from RPP [[7\]](#page-35-6) are listed in the same table as well. Furthermore, for clarity, the spectra are also shown in Figs. [1](#page-6-1) and [2](#page-7-0). It is shown that the masses for the wellestablished states together with the newly observed states can be reasonably described within the semirelativistic quark model. Our results are also in good agreement with other quark model predictions, although there are some model dependencies in the predicted masses for the higher 2D- and 1F-wave states.

To compare the meson wave functions obtained in the present work with those obtained with the relativized quark model [\[31\]](#page-35-29), we also extract the effective harmonic oscillator parameters β_{eff} of the harmonic oscillator wave functions by equating the rms radius of the harmonic oscillator wave function for the specified (n, l) quantum numbers to the rms radius of the wave functions calculated from our potential model. Our obtained β_{eff} parameters together those from the relativized quark model [\[31\]](#page-35-29) are given in Table [V.](#page-7-1) It is found that the β_{eff} parameters of the harmonic oscillator wave functions estimated in this work are consistent with those determined with the relativized quark model [\[31\]](#page-35-29).

III. STRONG DECAY

A. Model

In this work, the Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka allowed two-body strong decays of the excited D - and D_s - meson states are calculated within a chiral quark model. The details of this model can be found in Refs. [[44](#page-36-9),[58](#page-36-23),[59](#page-36-16)[,101\]](#page-37-19). In the chiral quark model [[123](#page-38-0)], the low-energy quark-pseudoscalarmeson interactions in the SU(3) flavor basis are described by the effective Lagrangian [[124](#page-38-1)–[126\]](#page-38-2)

TABLE IV. Our predicted charmed-strange meson masses (MeV) compared with the data and some other quark model predictions. The mixing angles of the $D_{sL} - D'_{sL}$ states defined in Eq. [\(10\)](#page-3-1) in this work are determined to be $\theta_{1P} = -36.8^\circ$, $\theta_{2P} = -21.0^\circ$, $\theta_{1P} = -40.7^\circ$ $\theta_{2P} = -41.3^\circ$ $\theta_{1P} = -40.7^\circ$ $\theta_{1D} = -40.7^{\circ}, \ \theta_{2D} = -41.3^{\circ}, \ \theta_{1F} = -40.7^{\circ}.$

State	J^P	Ours	Exp [7]	GM [31]	EFG [25]	ZVR [74]	LJM [37]	LNR [76]
$1^{1}S_{0}$	0^{-}	1969	1969	1979	1969	1940	1969	1975
1^3S_1	1^{-}	2112	2112	2129	2111	2130	2107	2108
$2^{1}S_{0}$	0^{-}	2649	\cdots	2673	2688	2610	2640	2659
2^3S_1	$1-$	2737	2714 ± 5	2732	2731	2730	2714	2722
$3^{1}S_{0}$	0^{-}	3126	.	3154	3219	3090	\cdots	3044
$3^{3}S_{1}$	1^{-}	3191	\cdots	3193	3242	3190	\cdots	3087
$1^{3}P_{0}$	0^+	2409	2317	2484	2509	2380	2344	2455
1P	1^+	2528	2459	2549	2536	2510	2488	2502
1P'	1^+	2545	2535	2556	2574	2520	2510	2522
1 ³ P ₂	2^+	2575	2569	2592	2571	2580	2559	2586
$2^{3}P_{0}$	0^{+}	2940	.	3005	3054	2900	2830	2901
2P	1^+	3002	.	3018	3067	3000	2958	2928
$2P^{\prime}$	1^+	3026	.	3038	3154	3010	2995	2942
$2^{3}P_{2}$	2^+	3053	.	3048	3142	3060	3040	2988
$1^{3}D_{1}$	$1-$	2843	2859 ± 27	2899	2913	2820	2804	2845
1D	2^{-}	2857	.	2900	2931	2860	2788	2838
1D'	2^{-}	2911	.	2926	2961	2880	2849	2856
1 ³ D ₃	$3-$	2882	2860 ± 7	2917	2971	2900	2811	2857
$2^{3}D_{1}$	$1-$	3233	.	3306	3383	3250	3217	3172
2D	2^{-}	3267	.	3298	3403	3280	3217	3144
2D'	2^{-}	3306	.	3323	3456	3290	3260	3167
$2^{3}D_{3}$	$3-$	3299	.	3311	3469	3310	3240	3157
$1^{3}F_{2}$	2^+	3176	.	3208	3230	3120	.	.
1F	3^+	3123	.	3186	3254	3130	\cdots	\cdots
1F'	3^+	3205	.	3218	3266	3150	\cdots	.
$1^{3}F_{4}$	4^+	3134	.	3190	3300	3160	\cdots	\cdots

FIG. 1. Predicted charmed meson mass spectrum compared with the observations.

FIG. 2. Predicted charmed-strange meson mass spectrum compared with the observations.

TABLE V. Predicted effective harmonic oscillator parameters β_{eff} (GeV) of the harmonic oscillator wave functions for the charmed and charmed-strange meson states. For comparison, the values predicted from the relativized quark model are also listed.

		\boldsymbol{D}		D_s	
State	Ours	GM [31]	Ours	GM [31]	
$1^{1}S_{0}$	0.597	0.601	0.616	0.651	
1^3S_1	0.499	0.516	0.514	0.562	
$2^{1}S_{0}$	0.451	0.450	0.461	0.475	
2^3S_1	0.424	0.434	0.432	0.458	
$3^{1}S_{0}$	0.403	0.407	0.409	0.424	
$3^{3}S_{1}$	0.390	0.399	0.395	0.415	
1^3P_0	0.538	0.516	0.549	0.542	
$1\,P$	0.459, 0.460	0.475, 0.482	0.468, 0.469	0.498, 0.505	
$1P^{\prime}$	0.459, 0.460	0.475, 0.482	0.468, 0.469	0.498, 0.505	
1^3P_2	0.421	0.437	0.431	0.464	
$2^{3}P_{0}$	0.427	0.431	0.436	0.444	
2P	0.405, 0.414	0.417, 0.419	0.413, 0.420	0.433, 0.434	
2P'	0.405, 0.414	0.417, 0.419	0.413, 0.420	0.433, 0.434	
$2^{3}P_{2}$	0.391	0.402	0.398	0.420	
1^3D_1	0.473	0.456	0.478	0.469	
1D	0.416, 0.420	0.428, 0.433	0.424,0.428	0.444, 0.448	
$1D^{\prime}$	0.416, 0.420	0.428, 0.433	0.424, 0.428	0.444, 0.448	
$1^{3}D_{3}$	0.397	0.407	0.405	0.426	
$2^{3}D_{1}$	0.419	0.410	0.425	0.419	
2D	0.390, 0.391	0.396, 0.399	0.396, 0.398	0.408, 0.410	
2D'	0.390, 0.391	0.396, 0.399	0.396, 0.398	0.408, 0.410	
$2^{3}D_{3}$	0.374	0.385	0.381	0.400	
$1^{3}F_{2}$	0.422	0.423	0.426	0.432	
1F	0.396, 0.398	0.404, 0.407	0.402, 0.404	0.417, 0.419	
$1F^{\prime}$	0.396, 0.398	0.404, 0.407	0.402, 0.404	0.417, 0.419	
$1{}^{3}F_{4}$	0.388	0.390	0.394	0.405	

$$
\mathcal{L}_{Pqq} = \sum_{j} \frac{1}{f_m} \bar{\psi}_j \gamma_{\mu}^j \gamma_5^j \psi_j \partial^{\mu} \phi_m, \qquad (17)
$$

while the quark-vector-meson interactions in the SU(3) flavor basis are described by the effective Lagrangian [\[127](#page-38-3)–[129](#page-38-4)]

$$
\mathcal{L}_{Vqq} = \sum_{j} \bar{\psi}_j \left(a \gamma^j_{\mu} + \frac{ib}{2m_j} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^{\nu} \right) V^{\mu} \psi_j. \tag{18}
$$

In the above effective Lagrangians, ψ_i represents the jth quark field in the hadron, ϕ_m is the pseudoscalar meson field, f_m is the pseudoscalar meson decay constant, and V^{μ} represents the vector meson field. Parameters a and b denote the vector and tensor coupling strength, respectively.

To match the nonrelativistic wave functions of the heavylight mesons, we should adopt the nonrelativistic form of the Lagrangians in the calculations. The nonrelativistic form of Eq. (17) is given by $[124-126]$ $[124-126]$ $[124-126]$ $[124-126]$

$$
H_m = \sum_j \left(A \sigma_j \cdot \mathbf{q} + \frac{\omega_m}{2\mu_q} \sigma_j \cdot \mathbf{p}_j \right) I_j \varphi_m, \qquad (19)
$$

in the center-of-mass system of the initial hadron, where we have defined $A \equiv -(1 + \frac{\omega_m}{E_f + M_f})$. On the other hand, from Eq. [\(18\),](#page-8-1) the nonrelativistic transition operators for the emission of a transversely and longitudinally polarized vector meson are derived by [[127](#page-38-3)–[129](#page-38-4)]

$$
H_m^T = \sum_j \left[i \frac{b'}{2m_q} \sigma_j \cdot (\mathbf{q} \times \epsilon) + \frac{a}{2\mu_q} \mathbf{p}_j \cdot \epsilon \right] I_j \varphi_m, \quad (20)
$$

and

$$
H_m^L = \sum_j \frac{aM_v}{|\mathbf{q}|} I_j \varphi_m.
$$
 (21)

In the above equations, q is the three-vector momentum of the final state pseudoscalar/vector meson, ω_m is the energy of final state pseudoscalar meson, \mathbf{p}_i is the internal momentum operator of the jth quark in the heavy-light meson rest frame, σ_i is the spin operator for the *j*th quark of the heavy-light system, and μ_q is a reduced mass given by $1/\mu_q = 1/m_j + 1/m'_j$ with m_j and m'_j for the masses of the
it with quark in the initial and final masses, respectively *j*th quark in the initial and final mesons, respectively. E_f and M_f represent the energy and mass of the final-state heavy hadron, and M_v is the mass of the emitted vector meson. The plane-wave part of the emitted light meson is $\varphi_m = e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_j}$, and I_j is the flavor operator defined for the transitions in the SU(3) flavor space [\[125](#page-38-5)–[129\]](#page-38-4). The parameter b' in Eq. [\(20\)](#page-8-2) is defined as $b' \equiv b - a$. The chiral quark model has been successfully applied to describe the strong decays of the heavy-light mesons and baryons [\[43](#page-36-5)[,44,](#page-36-9)[58](#page-36-23),[59](#page-36-16),[98](#page-37-10)–[113](#page-37-11)]. It should be mentioned that the nonrelativistic form of quark-pseudoscalar-meson interactions expressed in Eq. [\(19\)](#page-8-3) is similar to that of the pseudoscalar emission model [\[73,](#page-36-1)[77](#page-36-6)[,130](#page-38-6)–[132](#page-38-7)], except that the factors $A \equiv -(1 + \frac{\omega_m}{E_f + M_f})$ and $h \equiv \frac{\omega_m}{2\mu_q}$ in this work have an explicit dependence on the energies of final hadrons.

For a light pseudoscalar meson emission in heavy-light meson strong decays, the partial decay width can be calculated with

$$
\Gamma_P = \left(\frac{\delta}{f_m}\right)^2 \frac{(E_f + M_f)|q|}{4\pi M_i (2J_i + 1)} \sum_{J_{iz}, J_{fz}} |\mathcal{M}_{J_{iz}, J_{fz}}|^2, \quad (22)
$$

where \mathcal{M}_{J_i,J_f} is the transition amplitude, and J_{iz} and J_{fz} stand for the third components of the total angular momenta of the initial and final heavy-light mesons, respectively. δ as a global parameter accounts for the strength of the quarkmeson couplings. Here, we take the same value as that determined in Refs. [\[44,](#page-36-9)[58](#page-36-23)[,101](#page-37-19)], i.e., $\delta = 0.557$. While, for a light vector meson emission in heavy-light meson strong decays, the partial decay width can be calculated with

$$
\Gamma_V = \frac{(E_f + M_f)|q|}{4\pi M_i (2J_i + 1)} \sum_{J_{iz},J_{fz}} |\mathcal{M}_{J_{iz},J_{fz}}|^2.
$$
 (23)

To be consistent with the parameters of the mass calculations within the potential model, the masses of the component quarks are adopted as $m_c = 1.7$ GeV, $m_{u/d} = 0.4$ GeV, and $m_s = 0.50$ GeV. The decay constants for π , K, and η mesons are taken as $f_{\pi} = 132$ MeV, $f_K = f_\eta = 160$ MeV, respectively. For the quark-vectormeson coupling strength which still suffers relatively large uncertainties, we adopt the values extracted from vector meson photoproduction, i.e., $a \approx -3$ and $b' \approx 5$ [\[127](#page-38-3)–[129](#page-38-4)]. The masses of the mesons used in the calculations are adopted from RPP [\[7](#page-35-6)] if there are observations, otherwise, the meson masses adopted are our predictions.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. 1S-wave vector states

In the D and D_s families, the ground vector (1^3S_1) charmed and charmed-strange states, D^* and D_s^* , are well established. The strong decay transition $D_s^* \to D K$ is kinematically forbidden. The charged state $D^*(2010)^+$ can decay into both the $D^+\pi^0$ and $D^0\pi^+$ final states. While the decays of the neutral $D^*(2007)^0$ are governed by the $D^{0}\pi^{0}$ channel, however, the $D^{*}(2007)^{0} \rightarrow D^{+}\pi^{-}$ is kinematically forbidden. With the numerical wave functions determined from the potential model, the strong decays of $D^*(2007)^0$ and $D^*(2010)^+$ are calculated within the chiral quark model. As shown in Table [VI,](#page-9-0) our predicted decay partial width of $\Gamma[D^*(2007)^0 \to D^0\pi^0] \simeq 48$ keV is

TABLE VI. Partial decay widths (MeV) and their branching fractions for the S-wave charmed mesons.

State	Channel	Γ_i	Br $(\%)$	Γ_{exp} [7]
$D(1^3S_1)$	$D^0\pi^0$	48.0 keV	$100\,$	< 2.1 MeV
as $D^*(2007)^0$	Total	48.0 keV	100	$< 2.1 \,\, \mathrm{MeV}$
$D(1^3S_1)$	$D^0\pi^+$	68.7 keV	69.2	$56.5 \pm 1.2 \text{ keV}$
as $D^*(2010)^+$	$D^+\pi^0$	30.6 keV	30.8	$25.6\pm0.6~\mathrm{keV}$
	Total	99.3 keV	100	$83.4 \pm 1.8 \text{ keV}$
$D(2^1S_0)$	$D^*\pi$	39.2	43.9	\cdots
as $D_0(2550)$	$D_0^*(2300)\pi$	50.0	56.1	\cdots
	Total	89.2	100	135 ± 17
$D(2^3S_1)$	$D\pi$	19.3	47.2	\cdots
as $D_1^*(2600)$	$D_s K$	0.5	1.2	.
	$D\eta$	$0.2\,$	0.5	\cdots
	$D^*\pi$	$0.6\,$	1.5	.
	D_s^*K	$0.1\,$	0.2	.
	$D^*\eta$	0.5	1.2	.
	$D_2^*(2460)\pi$	0.03	0.07	.
	$D_1(2430)\pi$	16.8	41.1	\cdots
	$D_1(2420)\pi$	2.9	7.1	\cdots
	Total	40.9	100	139 ± 31
$D(3^1S_0)$	$D^*\pi$	84.2	20.5	\cdots
3029	D_s^*K	20.0	4.9	.
	$D^*\eta$	9.5	2.3	.
	$D^*\eta'$	0.4	0.1	\ddotsc
	$D(2^3S_1)(2627)\pi$	22.5	5.5	.
	$D_0^*(2300)\pi$	120.1	29.2	\cdots
	$D_s(1^3P_0)(2409)K$	19.5	4.7	.
	$D_0^*(2300)\eta$	12.3	3.0	.
	$D_2^*(2460)\pi$	97.5	23.7	.
	$D_2^*(2460)\eta$	0.03	7×10^{-3}	.
	$D\rho$	1.4	0.3	.
	$D\omega$	$0.4\,$	0.1	.
	D_sK^*	0.3	$0.07\,$	\cdots
	$D^*\rho$	17.6	4.3	\cdots
	$D^{\ast} \omega$	5.6	1.4	.
	$D_s^\ast K^\ast$	0.4	0.1	.
	Total	411.7	100	.
$D(3^3S_1)$	$D\pi$	12.7	6.1	.
3093	$D_s K$	1.8	0.9	\cdots
	$D\eta$	$0.4\,$	0.2	\cdots
	$D\eta'$	5×10^{-3}	2×10^{-3}	.
	$D_0(2550)\pi$	3.3	1.6	\cdots
	$D^*\pi$	6.7	3.2	.
	$D_{s}^{*}K$	3.6	1.7	\cdots
	$D^*\eta$	1.9	0.9	.
	$D^*\eta'$	0.5	0.2	.
	$D(2^3S_1)(2627)\pi$	1.7	0.8	\cdots
	$D_1(2430)\pi$	64.2	30.7	\cdots

(Table continued)

State	Channel	Γ_i	Br $(\%)$	Γ_{exp} [7]
	$D_s(1P_1)(2528)K$	6.5	3.1	\cdots
	$D_1(2430)\eta$	4.0	1.9	\cdots
	$D(2P_1)(2900)\pi$	23.2	11.1	\cdots
	$D_1(2420)\pi$	9.4	4.5	\cdots
	$D_{s1}(2535)K$	0.4	0.2	\cdots
	$D_1(2420)\eta$	0.6	0.3	\cdots
	$D(2P'_1)(2936)\pi$	3.4	1.6	\cdots
	$D_2^*(2460)\pi$	0.07	0.03	\cdots
	$D_{s2}^*(2573)K$	0.01	5×10^{-3}	\cdots
	$D_2^*(2460)\eta$	0.1	0.05	\cdots
	$D\rho$	41.3	19.7	\cdots
	$D\omega$	12.9	6.2	\cdots
	$D_s K^*$	8.1	3.9	\cdots
	$D^*\rho$	2.1	1.0	\cdots
	$D^* \omega$	0.6	0.3	\cdots
	$D_s^*K^*$	0.01	5×10^{-3}	\cdots
	Total	209.4	100	\cdots

TABLE VI. (Continued)

consistent with the observation. While for $D^*(2010)^+$, the predicted width of $\Gamma \simeq 99$ keV and the partial width ratio

$$
R = \frac{\Gamma(D^0 \pi^+)}{\Gamma(D^+ \pi^0)} \approx 2.25\tag{24}
$$

are in remarkable agreement with the experimental data $\Gamma_{\rm exp} = 83.4 \pm 1.8$ keV and $R_{\rm exp} = 2.21$, respectively [[7\]](#page-35-6).

B. 2S-wave states

1. $2^{1}S_0$

In the D-meson family, our predicted mass for the $D(2^1S_0)$ state is $M = 2547$ MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in the literature $[17-25, 29-33, 35-37, 74, 76]$ the predictions in the literature [\[17](#page-35-16)–[25](#page-35-25),[29](#page-35-18)–[33](#page-35-22),[35](#page-35-28)–[37](#page-35-23)[,74,](#page-36-24)[76](#page-36-25)]. The $D(2^1S_0)$ may dominantly decay into the $D^*\pi$
and $D_2(2300)\pi$ channels with a width of $\Gamma \sim 89$ MeV and $D_0(2300)\pi$ channels with a width of $\Gamma \simeq 89$ MeV. The partial width ratio between $D^*\pi$ and $D_0(2300)\pi$ is predicted to be

$$
R = \frac{\Gamma[D^*\pi]}{\Gamma[D_0(2300)\pi]} \simeq 0.78. \tag{25}
$$

Our predicted width of $D(2^1S_0)$ with the chiral quark
model is close to the predictions within the ³P_s models model is close to the predictions within the ${}^{3}P_0$ models [\[29](#page-35-18)–[31,](#page-35-29)[48](#page-36-12)[,50\]](#page-36-3); however, in these works the predicted decay rate into the $D_0(2300)\pi$ channel is tiny.

The $D_0(2550)$ listed in RPP [\[7\]](#page-35-6) may be classified as the radially excited state $2^{1}S_{0}$ in the *D*-meson family. Its average measured mass and width are $M_{\text{exp}} =$ 2549 ± 19 MeV and $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 165 \pm 24$ MeV, respectively

[\[7\]](#page-35-6). This state was first observed by the BABAR Collaboration in the $D^{*+}\pi^-$ channel in 20[1](#page-35-0)0 [1], and was confirmed by the LHCb Collaboration with significance by using pp collision data [\[2,](#page-35-1)[5](#page-35-4)]. As the assignment of $D(2^1S_0)$, the mass of $D_0(2550)$ is consistent with various
quark model predictions [23, 24, 29–32]. In Refs. [43, 44, 58] quark model predictions [\[23,](#page-35-19)[24,](#page-35-20)[29](#page-35-18)–[32](#page-35-21)]. In Refs. [[43](#page-36-5)[,44,](#page-36-9)[58\]](#page-36-23) we have studied the strong decays of the $D₀(2550)$ as the $2^{1}S_{0}$ state by using the SHO wave function, the obtained width, $\Gamma \simeq 20$ MeV–70 MeV, is too narrow to be comparable with the data. In the present work, with the genuine wave function determined by the potential model our predicted decay width of $D(2^1S_0)$,

$$
\Gamma \simeq 89 \text{ MeV},\tag{26}
$$

is close to the data $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 130 \pm 25$ MeV measured by *BABAR* [\[1\]](#page-35-0). The $D_0(2550)$ is also explained as the $D(2^{1}S_0)$
state based on the strong decay analyses in the literature state based on the strong decay analyses in the literature [\[29](#page-35-18)–[31,](#page-35-29)[46](#page-36-2)–[50](#page-36-3)]. According to our chiral quark model predictions, the $D_0(2550)$ has a large decay rate (∼56%) into the $D_0(2300)\pi$ channel. Thus, to better understand the nature of the $D_0(2550)$ state and to test various model predictions, further observations of the missing $D_0(2300)\pi$ channel are needed in future experiments.

In the charmed-strange sector, our predicted mass for the $D_s(2^{1}S_0)$ state is $M = 2649$ MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in the literature comparable with the predictions in the literature $[17–25,31–34,37,41,74,76]$ $[17–25,31–34,37,41,74,76]$ $[17–25,31–34,37,41,74,76]$ $[17–25,31–34,37,41,74,76]$ $[17–25,31–34,37,41,74,76]$ $[17–25,31–34,37,41,74,76]$ $[17–25,31–34,37,41,74,76]$ $[17–25,31–34,37,41,74,76]$ $[17–25,31–34,37,41,74,76]$ $[17–25,31–34,37,41,74,76]$. The D^*K decay channel is the only OZI-allowed two-body strong channel for $D_s(2^1S_0)$. With the $D_s(2^1S_0)$ wave function obtained from
our potential model calculations its width is predicted to be our potential model calculations, its width is predicted to be

FIG. 3. The determination of the physical mass for the $D_s(2^1S_0)$
state. The mass shift function $\Delta M(M)$ and liner function $M - M_s$. state. The mass shift function $\Delta M(M)$ and liner function $M - M_A$ are shown by the thick and thin lines, respectively. M_A stands for the bare mass of $D_s(2^1S_0)$, and the physical mass M_{phy} of the decreed $D_s(2^1S_0)$, at the sequence of the coupled channel dressed $D_s(2^1S_0)$ state as a solution of the coupled-channel
equation Eq. (47) is located at the intersection point of two equation Eq. [\(A7\)](#page-34-0) is located at the intersection point of two solid lines.

$$
\Gamma \simeq 37 \text{ MeV.} \tag{27}
$$

The $D_s(2^1S_0)$ state is also predicted to be a narrow
state with a width of 10s MeV in the literature state with a width of 10s MeV in the literature [\[31](#page-35-29)[,41](#page-35-17),[44](#page-36-9),[58](#page-36-23),[69](#page-36-26),[133\]](#page-38-8).

Recently, the LHCb Collaboration observed a new excited D_s^+ state, $D_{s0}(2590)^+$, in the $D^+K^+\pi^-$ invariant
mass spectrum of the $R^0 \rightarrow D^+D^+K^+\pi^-$ decay [15] Its mass spectrum of the $B^0 \to D^+D^+K^+\pi^-$ decay [[15](#page-35-14)]. Its mass, width and the spin parity numbers are measured to be $M_{\text{exp}} = 2591 \pm 6 \pm 7$ MeV, $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 89 \pm 16 \pm 12$ MeV, and $J^P = 0^-$, respectively. The $D_{s0}(2590)^+$ is suggested to be a candidate of the missing $D_s(2^1S_0)$ state [[15](#page-35-14)].
However considering $D_s(2590)^+$ as the $D_s(2^1S_0)$ state However, considering $D_{s0}(2590)^+$ as the $D_s(2^1S_0)$ state,
it is found the observed mass is about 60 MeV lower than it is found the observed mass is about 60 MeV lower than most potential model predictions.

The coupling of the $D_s(2^1S_0)$ cs core to the two hadron
also takes was considered within the ³P, model in the final states was considered within the ${}^{3}P_0$ model in the literature [\[134](#page-38-9),[135](#page-38-10)]. It is found that when taking into account the D^*K loop correction to the bare $c\bar{s}$ state, the physical mass will be close to that of $D_{s0}(2590)^+$. In this work, we also estimate the mass shift of $D_s(2^1S_0)$ by
including the D^*K counled-channel interaction within our including the D^*K coupled-channel interaction within our chiral quark model. The details about the coupled-channel quark model are given in Appendix. The mass shift of $D_s(2^1S_0)$ is shown in Fig. [3.](#page-11-0) Our result shows that the counsel-channel interaction induces a mass shift of coupled-channel interaction induces a mass shift of ~68 MeV. The bare mass $M = 2649$ MeV of $D_s(2^{1}S_0)$
will be shifted to the physical mass $M_s = 2581$ MeV will be shifted to the physical mass $M_{\text{phy}} = 2581 \text{ MeV}$, which is consistent with the measured mass of $D_{s0}(2590)^+$. Our coupled-channel calculation within the chiral quark model are consistent with that in Refs. [[134](#page-38-9)[,135\]](#page-38-10).

Assigning the newly observed resonance $D_{s0}(2590)^+$ to the $D_s(2^1S_0)$ state, the higher mass problem can be overcome by taking into account the D^*K loop correcovercome by taking into account the D^*K loop correction, however, the width of $D_{s0}(2590)^+$ cannot be well understood within our chiral quark model. Adopting the observed mass $M = 2591$ MeV, our predicted width,

$$
\Gamma \simeq 19 \text{ MeV},\tag{28}
$$

is about a factor of 5 smaller than the center value of the data $\Gamma_{\rm exp} = 89$ MeV. Our predicted width is consistent with the recent predictions with the relativistic wave functions obtained by solving the full Salpeter equation [\[66\]](#page-36-13) and the ³ P_0 model [\[134\]](#page-38-9). To establish the $D_s(2^1S_0)$
state and uncover the nature of the $D_s(2590)^+$ more state and uncover the nature of the $D_{s0}(2590)^+$, more observations are needed in future experiments.

2. 2^3S_1

In the D-meson family, our predicted mass for the $D(2^3S_1)$ state is $M = 2636$ MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in the literature [17–25.29–33.35–37.74.76] the predictions in the literature [[17](#page-35-16)–[25](#page-35-25),[29](#page-35-18)–[33](#page-35-22),[35](#page-35-28)–[37](#page-35-23)[,74,](#page-36-24)[76](#page-36-25)]. According to our chiral quark model predictions, the $D(2^3S_1)$ may be a narrow state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 41 \text{ MeV},\tag{29}
$$

and dominantly decays into $D\pi$ and $D_1(2430)\pi$ channels with branching fractions about 47% and 41%, respectively. However, the decay rate into the $D^*\pi$ channel is tiny (∼2%). In Refs. [\[29](#page-35-18)–[31](#page-35-29),[51](#page-36-8)[,55](#page-36-10)], the $D(2^3S_1)$ is predicted to be a
broader state with a width of $\Gamma \approx 60 \text{ MeV} - 200 \text{ MeV}$ broader state with a width of $\Gamma \simeq 60$ MeV–200 MeV. Combined with our previous study [\[43\]](#page-36-5), we find that the strong decay properties of $D(2^3S_1)$ are very sensitive to the details of the wave function due to the nodal effects details of the wave function due to the nodal effects.

From the point of view of mass, the $D_1^*(2600)$
sonance listed in RPP [7] may be a candidate of the resonance listed in RPP [[7\]](#page-35-6) may be a candidate of the $D(2^3S_1)$ state. This resonance was first observed by *BABAR*
in the $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ decay channels in 2010 [1]. The in the $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ decay channels in 20[1](#page-35-0)0 [1]. The measured mass and width are $M_{\text{exp}} = 2609 \pm 4$ MeV and $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 96 \pm 6 \pm 13$ MeV, respectively, and the measured partial width ratio between $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ is $R = \Gamma(D\pi)/$ $\Gamma(D^*\pi) = 0.32 \pm 0.11$. In 2013, in the $D^{*+}\pi^-$ final state the LHCb Collaboration observed a similar resonance $D_J^*(2650)^0$ with a mass of $M_{\text{exp}} = 2649 \pm 7$ MeV and a width of $\Gamma = 140.2 + 35.7$ MeV [21, In 2016, the I HCh width of $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 140.2 \pm 35.7 \text{ MeV}$ [\[2\]](#page-35-1). In 2016, the LHCb Collaboration carried out an amplitude analysis of the $B^- \rightarrow D^+\pi^-\pi^-$ decays, they extracted a $J^P = 1^-$ resonance $D_1^*(2680)$ with mass and width of $M_{\text{exp}} = 2681 \pm 23.6 \text{ MeV}$ and $\Gamma = 186.7 \pm 25.3 \text{ MeV}$ [4]. Becausive 23.6 MeV and $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 186.7 \pm 25.3$ MeV [\[4](#page-35-3)]. Recently, from the $B^- \to D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$ decays, the LHCb Collaboration also extracted a $J^P = 1^-$ resonance $D_1^*(2600)^0$ with mass
and width of $M = 2641.9 \pm 6.3$ MeV and $\Gamma = 149 \pm 1$ and width of $M_{\text{exp}} = 2641.9 \pm 6.3$ MeV and $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 149 \pm 1.9$ 24 MeV [\[5](#page-35-4)]. The resonances observed in different experiments might be the same state, which is denoted by

 $D_1^*(2600)$ in RPP [[7](#page-35-6)], although there are some differences
in the observations of different experiments in the observations of different experiments.

Considering $D_1^*(2600)$ as the $D(2^3S_1)$ assignment, the strong decay properties have been analyzed in the literature. The strong decay analyses in Refs. [[29](#page-35-18)–[33](#page-35-22)[,46](#page-36-2)–[49,](#page-36-7)[51\]](#page-36-8) support this assignment. However, with the $D(2^3S_1)$ assignment our predicted width $\Gamma \approx 41$ MeV is too small ment our predicted width $\Gamma \simeq 41$ MeV is too small to be comparable with the average measured value $\Gamma_{\rm exp} = 141 \pm 23$ MeV. To well explain the decay properties, the $D_1^*(2600)$ is also suggested to be a mixed state via the $2^3S - 1^3D$ mixing in the literature mixed state via the $2^3S_1 - 1^3D_1$ mixing in the literature [\[37](#page-35-23)[,38](#page-35-26),[42](#page-36-4)–[44](#page-36-9)[,50,](#page-36-3)[51](#page-36-8),[55](#page-36-10)]. In Ref. [[69](#page-36-26)], the study within effective Lagrangian method indicates that it is impossible to explain the ratio $R = \Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D^*\pi) = 0.32 \pm 0.11$ [\[1\]](#page-35-0) measured by *BABAR* with a pure $D(2^3S_1)$ state.
In the charmed-strange sector our predic-

In the charmed-strange sector, our predicted mass for the $D_s(2^3S_1)$ state is $M = 2737 \text{ MeV}$, which is comparable with the predictions in the literature comparable with the predictions in the literature [\[17](#page-35-16)–[25,](#page-35-25)[31](#page-35-29)–[34](#page-35-33)[,37,](#page-35-23)[41,](#page-35-17)[74](#page-36-24)[,76\]](#page-36-25). According to our chiral quark model predictions, the $D_s(2^3S_1)$ may be a narrow state with a width of a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 12 \text{ MeV},\tag{30}
$$

and mainly decays into the DK and D^*K final states. Our predictions are consistent with those predicted with a ${}^{3}P_{0}$ model [\[83\]](#page-36-27). However, in other works [\[31,](#page-35-29)[41](#page-35-17)[,51](#page-36-8)[,79\]](#page-36-28) the $D_s(2^3S_1)$ is predicted to be a relatively broad state with a width of $\Gamma \approx 100-200$ MeV width of $\Gamma \simeq 100{\text -}200$ MeV.

From the point of view of mass, the $D_{s1}^{*}(2700)$ reso-
nce listed in RPP [7] can be assigned to the D ($2^{3}S$.) nance listed in RPP [[7](#page-35-6)] can be assigned to the $D_s(2^3S_1)$
state. The $D^*(2700)$ was first observed in the DK final state. The $D_{s1}^{*}(2700)$ was first observed in the DK final
state by the $BARAR$ Collaboration in 2006 [8], and one year state by the BABAR Collaboration in 2006 [\[8](#page-35-7)], and one year later its quantum numbers $J^P = 1^-$ were determined by the Belle Collaboration [\[9\]](#page-35-8). The average measured mass and width are $M_{\text{exp}} = 2714 \pm 5$ MeV and $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 122 \pm 10$ MeV, respectively [[7\]](#page-35-6). More experimental information about D_{s1}^{*} (2700) is collected in Table [I.](#page-1-0) Some phenomenological
analyses in the literature $[22-28, 31, 33, 34, 53, 54]$ support analyses in the literature [\[22](#page-35-24)–[28](#page-35-32)[,31,](#page-35-29)[33,](#page-35-22)[34](#page-35-33)[,53](#page-36-14)[,54\]](#page-36-15) support $D_{s1}^{*}(2700)$ as the $D_{s}(2^{3}S_{1})$ assignment. However, considering $D_{s}^{*}(2700)$ as $D_{s}(2^{3}S_{1})$ the measured width Γ_{s} ering $D_{s_1}^*(2700)$ as $D_s(2^3S_1)$, the measured width $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} =$
122 + 10 MeV and ratio $\Gamma(D^*K)/\Gamma(DK) = 0.01 + 0.25$ 122 ± 10 MeV and ratio $\Gamma(D*K)/\Gamma(DK) = 0.91 \pm 0.25$ cannot be explained within our chiral quark model. To explain the observations well, the $D_{s_1}^{s_1}(2700)$ is also
suggested to be a mixed state via the $2^3S - 1^3D$ mixing suggested to be a mixed state via the $2^3S_1 - 1^3D_1$ mixing in the literature [\[37,](#page-35-23)[41](#page-35-17),[50](#page-36-3),[55](#page-36-10),[59](#page-36-16),[81](#page-36-17)[,82\]](#page-36-18). The $2^{3}S_{1}$ -1³D₁ mixing in the D - and D_s -meson families will be further discussed later.

C. 3S-wave states

1. $3^{1}S_{0}$

In the D-meson family, the mass for the second radial excitation $D(3^1S_0)$ is predicted to be $M = 3029$ MeV

TABLE VII. Partial decay widths and their branching fractions for the S-wave charmed-strange mesons.

		Γ_i		$\Gamma_{\rm exp}$
State	Channel	(MeV)	Br $(\%)$	(MeV) [7]
$D_s(2^1S_0)$	D^*K	37.1	100	
2649	Total	37.1	100	
$D_s(2^3S_1)$	DK	4.8	41.0	
as $D_{s_1}^*(2700)$	$D_s\eta$	0.1	0.9	
	D^*K	6.4	54.7	
	$D_s^*\eta$	0.4	3.4	
	Total	11.7	100	120 ± 11
$D_s(3^1S_0)$	D^*K	1.5	0.9	
3126	$D_s^*\eta$	12.2	7.2	
	$D_s^*\eta'$	0.4	0.2	
	$D(2^3S_1)(2627)K$	1.5	0.9	
	$D_0^*(2300)K$	93.5	55.5	
	$D_s(1^3P_0)(2409)\eta$	15.2	9.0	
	$D_2^*(2460)K$	27.1	16.1	
	DK^*	0.6	0.4	
	$D_s\phi$	0.01	0.006	
	D^*K^*	17.9	10.6	
	Total	169.9	100	
$D_s(3^3S_1)$	DK	6.3	5.1	
3191	$D_s\eta$	0.5	0.4	
	$D_s\eta'$	9×10^{-5}	4×10^{-5}	
	D^*K	10.0	8.1	
	$D_s^*\eta$	2.6	2.1	
	$D_s^*\eta'$	0.5	0.4	
	$D_0(2550)K$	0.2	0.2	
	$D(2^3S_1)(2627)K$	7.0	5.7	
	$D_1(2430)K$	47.9	39.0	
	$D_s(1P_1)(2528)\eta$	5.2	4.2	
	$D_1(2420)K$	3.6	2.9	
	$D_{s1}(2535)\eta$	0.4	0.3	
	$D_2^*(2460)K$	2.3	1.9	
	$D_{s2}^*(2573)\eta$	0.05	0.04	
	DK^*	31.5	25.6	
	$D_s\phi$	4.1	3.3	
	D^*K^*	0.7	0.6	
	$D_s^*\phi$	9×10^{-3}	7×10^{-3}	
	Total	122.9	100	

within our potential model calculations. The mass gap between $D(3^1S_0)$ and $D(2^1S_0)$ is estimated to be $\Delta M \sim 480$ MeV. Our predictions are consistent with those $\Delta M \simeq 480$ MeV. Our predictions are consistent with those in Refs. [\[25](#page-35-25)[,31](#page-35-29)[,74\]](#page-36-24). The $D(3^1S_0)$ may be a broad state with a width of a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 410 \text{ MeV},\tag{31}
$$

and dominantly decays into the $D_0^*(2300)\pi$ (29%),
 $D^*(2460)\pi$ (24%) and $D^*\pi$ (21%) final states. More $D_2^*(2460)\pi$ (24%), and $D^*\pi$ (21%) final states. More details can be seen in Table [VI](#page-9-0). It should be mentioned that there exist large model dependencies in the decay properties predicted in the literature.

In Refs. [[29](#page-35-18),[30\]](#page-35-35), the authors suggested that the unnatural parity state $D_I(3000)$ observed in the $D[*] \pi$ final state by the LHCb Collaboration [[2](#page-35-1)] might be explained with $D(3^{1}S_{0})$ according to their strong decay analysis
within the $3P_5$ model. However, our predicted width of within the ${}^{3}P_0$ model. However, our predicted width of $D(3^1S_0)$ is too broad to be comparable with the measured
width $\Gamma = -188.1 + 44.8$ MeV although the predicted width $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 188.1 \pm 44.8 \text{ MeV}$, although the predicted mass is consistent with the data. To establish the $D(3^{1}S_{0})$,
more observations of the other main decay channels more observations of the other main decay channels, such as $D_0^*(2300)\pi$ and $D_2^*(2460)\pi$, are needed in future experiments.

In the D_s -meson family, the mass for the second radial excitation $D_s(3^1S_0)$ is predicted to be $M = 3126$ MeV within our potential model calculations, which is about within our potential model calculations, which is about 100 MeV larger than that of the charmed partner $D(3^1S_0)$.
Our prediction is consistent with that of the relativized Our prediction is consistent with that of the relativized quark model [[31](#page-35-29)]. From Table [VII,](#page-12-0) one sees that the $D_s(3^1S_0)$ state may have a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 170 \text{ MeV},\tag{32}
$$

and dominantly decays into the $D_0^*(2300)K$ and $D_2^*(2460)K$
final states. The main decay mode $D^*(2460)K$ predicted final states. The main decay mode $D_2^*(2460)K$ predicted
within our chiral quark model is consistent with that within our chiral quark model is consistent with that predicted within the $^{3}P_0$ model [[31](#page-35-29)]; however, our predicted width is about a factor of 2.2 larger than that within the ${}^{3}P_0$ model [[31](#page-35-29)]. To look for the missing $D_s(3^1S_0)$ state, the $D^*(2460)K$ final state is worth observing in future $D_2^*(2460)$ K final state is worth observing in future experiments.

2. 3^3S_1

In the D-meson family, the mass for the second radial excitation $D(3^3S_1)$ is predicted to be $M = 3093$ MeV within
our potential model calculations, which is consistent our potential model calculations, which is consistent with the predictions in Refs. [[25](#page-35-25),[31](#page-35-29),[74](#page-36-24)]. The mass splitting between $D(3^3S_1)$ and $D(3^1S_0)$ is estimated to be $\Delta M \simeq 64$ MeV. From Table VI it is seen that the $D(3^3S_1)$ may be a 64 MeV. From Table [VI,](#page-9-0) it is seen that the $D(3^3S_1)$ may be a broad state with a width of broad state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 210 \text{ MeV},\tag{33}
$$

and has large decay rates into $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ channels. To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D(3^3S_1)$ on its mass the partial widths of the main decay channels together mass, the partial widths of the main decay channels together with the total width as functions of the mass are also plotted in Fig. [4](#page-13-0). It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D(3^3S_1)$ varies
in the range $\sim 160 \text{ MeV} - 320 \text{ MeV}$ in the range ∼160 MeV–320 MeV.

In Ref. [\[29\]](#page-35-18), the authors suggested that the natural parity state $D_J^*(3000)$ observed in the D_{π} final state by the LHCb
Collaboration [2] may be explained with $D(3^3S_1)$ accord-Collaboration [[2](#page-35-1)] may be explained with $D(3^3S_1)$ accord-
ing to their mass and strong decay analysis. However, our ing to their mass and strong decay analysis. However, our predicted mass and width of $D(3^3S_1)$, $M = 3093$ MeV and

FIG. 4. Total width and partial widths of the main decay channels for $D(3^3S_1)$ and $\tilde{D}_s(3^3S_1)$ as the functions of their masses.

 $\Gamma \simeq 210$ MeV, are notably larger than the data $M_{\text{exp}} =$ 3008.1 \pm 4.0 MeV and $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 110.5 \pm 11.5$ MeV measured by LHCb [[2\]](#page-35-1). Furthermore, the predicted decay rates into the $D\pi$ channel is tiny, which is inconsistent with the fact that $D_J^*(3000)$ was first observed in the D_{π} final state.
To establish the $D(3^3S)$ more observations of the other To establish the $D(3^3S_1)$, more observations of the other
decay channels, such as $D(2430)\pi$ and $D\varrho$ are needed in decay channels, such as $D_1(2430)\pi$ and $D\rho$, are needed in future experiments.

In the D_s -meson sector, the mass for the second radial excitation $D_s(3^3S_1)$ is predicted to be $M = 3191$ MeV within our potential model calculations which is consistent within our potential model calculations, which is consistent with the predictions in Refs. [[31](#page-35-29),[74](#page-36-24)]. The mass splitting between $D_s(3^3S_1)$ and $D_s(3^1S_0)$ is estimated to be $\Delta M \approx 65$ MeV which is nearly equal to that for the $\Delta M \simeq 65$ MeV, which is nearly equal to that for the charmed sector. The strong decay properties of $D_s(3^3S_1)$
are shown in Table VII: it is seen that $D_s(3^3S_1)$ may be a are shown in Table [VII](#page-12-0); it is seen that $D_s(3^3S_1)$ may be a relatively narrow state with a width of relatively narrow state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 120 \text{ MeV},\tag{34}
$$

and mainly decays into $D_1(2430)K$ and DK^* channels. The dependence of the decay properties of $D_s(3^3S_1)$ on its mass
is also shown in Fig. 4. It is found that the partial and total is also shown in Fig. [4.](#page-13-0) It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D_s(3^3S_1)$ varies in

FIG. 5. The determinations of the physical masses for the 1P-wave charmed and charmed-strange meson states. The mass shift function $\Delta M(M)$ and liner function $M - M_A$ are shown by the thick and thin lines, respectively. M_A stands for the bare mass of the 1Pwave states, and the physical mass M_{phy} of a dressed 1P-wave state as a solution of the coupled-channel equation Eq. [\(A7\)](#page-34-0) is located at the intersection point of two solid lines.

the range ∼100 MeV–180 MeV. Our predicted decay properties are comparable with those of ${}^{3}P_0$ model in Refs. [\[31,](#page-35-29)[41\]](#page-35-17). It should be mentioned that for the higher excited states, the predicted decay properties have large model dependencies.

D. 1P-wave states

1. 1^3P_0

The broad $D_0^*(2300)$ resonance listed in RPP [\[7](#page-35-6)] is
negally considered to be the $D(13p_1)$ state in D-meson generally considered to be the $D(1^3P_0)$ state in D-meson
family. The neutral state $D^*(2300)^0$ with $I^P = 0^+$ was first family. The neutral state $D_0^*(2300)^0$ with $J^P = 0^+$ was first
observed in the $D^+\pi^-$ channel by the Belle Collaboration in observed in the $D^+\pi^-$ channel by the Belle Collaboration in 2003 [\[136\]](#page-38-11), and was confirmed by the BABARCollaboration in 2009 [\[137\]](#page-38-12). The charged state $D_0^*(2300)^+$ was also
established in the $\bar{D}^0\pi^+$ channel by the EQCUS established in the $\bar{D}^0 \pi^+$ channel by the FOCUS Collaboration in 2003 [\[138](#page-38-13)], and was confirmed by the LHCb Collaboration in 2015 [\[6](#page-35-5)]. In experiments, only the $D\pi$ channel is observed since the other OZI-allowed two-body strong channels are forbidden. The average measured mass and width of $D_0^*(2300)$ from RPP
are $M = 2343 + 10 \text{ MeV}$ and $\Gamma = 229 + 16 \text{ MeV}$ are $M_{\text{exp}} = 2343 \pm 10 \text{ MeV}$ and $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 229 \pm 16 \text{ MeV}$, respectively [[7\]](#page-35-6), which are consistent with the quark model expectations [\[25](#page-35-25)[,30,](#page-35-35)[41,](#page-35-17)[57](#page-36-29),[58](#page-36-23),[77](#page-36-6)–[79,](#page-36-28)[131](#page-38-14)].

Our predicted mass of $D(1^3P_0)$ is $M = 2313$ MeV.
king into account the $D\pi$ loop correction to have Taking into account the $D\pi$ loop correction to bare mass of the $D(1^3P_0)$ $c\bar{s}$ core, from Fig. [5](#page-14-0) it is seen that
the physical mass is reduced to $M_1 = 2253$ MeV the physical mass is reduced to $M_{\text{phy}} = 2253 \text{ MeV}$, there is a mass shift of $\Delta M \simeq 60$ MeV compared with the bare mass. The physical mass of the dressed $D(1^3P_0)$
state is about 90 MeV lower than the PDG average mass state is about 90 MeV lower than the PDG average mass $M_{\rm exp} = 2343 \pm 10$ MeV [[7](#page-35-6)]; however, is close to the measured value $(\sim 2300 \text{ MeV})$ from Belle and BABAR experiments [[136,](#page-38-11)[137\]](#page-38-12).

Taking the measured mass $M_{\text{exp}} = 2343 \text{ MeV}$ and the wave function extracted from the potential model, we predict that the $D_0^*(2300)$ is a broad state with a width
of $\Gamma \approx 540$ MeV which is about a factor of 2.3 larger than of $\Gamma \simeq 540$ MeV, which is about a factor of 2.3 larger than the average data $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 229 \pm 16$ MeV from RPP [\[7\]](#page-35-6). It should be mentioned that the mass of $D_0^*(2300)$ measured
from different Collaborations is quite different, while the from different Collaborations is quite different, while the measured width also bears large uncertainties. In some recent works, the $D_0(2300)$ resonance was suggested to be a two-pole structure in chiral dynamics [[89](#page-37-3)–[91\]](#page-37-20). The recent lattice calculations of the $D\pi$ scattering amplitudes obtain a complex D_0^* state resonance pole with a mass $M \approx 2200$ MeV and a width $\Gamma \approx 400$ MeV [[92\]](#page-37-4). The mass and width are in contrast to the currently reported experimental results. To better understand the nature of $D_0^*(2300)$, more accurate measurements are needed to be carried out in future experiments carried out in future experiments.

In the D_s -meson sector, our predicted mass of $D_s(1^3P_0)$
 $M = 2409$ MeV which is comparable with the predicis $M = 2409$ MeV, which is comparable with the predic-tions in Refs. [\[25,](#page-35-25)[31](#page-35-29)[,76\]](#page-36-25). The mass of $D_s(1^3P_0)$ state is
about 100 MeV overestimated by the potential model if about 100 MeV overestimated by the potential model if considering $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ as the $D_s(13P_0)$ state. The mass
calculated with lattice OCD also is significantly higher calculated with lattice QCD also is significantly higher than than of $D_{s0}^{*}(2317)$ [[86](#page-37-21)]. In Ref. [\[34\]](#page-35-33), the study
indicates that including the one-loop corrections of the indicates that including the one-loop corrections of the one-gluon-exchange (OGE) potential the mass of $D_s(1^3P_0)$
will be reduced by about 130 MeV. Then, the mass of will be reduced by about 130 MeV. Then, the mass of $D_s(1^3P_0)$, $M \simeq 2279$ MeV, is close to that of $D_{s0}^*(2317)$.
The study of DK scattering in full lattice OCD supports the The study of DK scattering in full lattice QCD supports the interpretation of the $D_{s0}^{*}(2317)$ as a DK molecule [\[139](#page-38-15)].

Recently, Zhi Yang *et al.* studied the positive parity D_s resonant states within the Hamiltonian effective field theory by combining it with the quark model—they found that $D_{s0}^{*}(2317)$ may consist of the $D_{s}(1^{3}P_{0})$ state, but at the same time couple to the DK channel [95] same time couple to the *DK* channel [\[95\]](#page-37-7).

In this work, we also estimate the mass shift of $D_s(1^3P_0)$
including the DK counled-channel interaction within by including the DK coupled-channel interaction within our chiral quark model. The mass shift is determined in Fig. [5.](#page-14-0) From the figure, we can see a cusp singularity in the mass shift curve of $D_s(1^3P_0)$, this is a typical S-wave
mass shift the formation mechanism was discussed in mass shift, the formation mechanism was discussed in Ref. [\[140](#page-38-16)]. Our result shows that the large S-wave coupling to DK channel of $D_s(1^3P_0)$ induces a mass shift of about $\Delta M \approx 100$ MeV. The the physical mass of the dressed $\Delta M \simeq 100$ MeV. The the physical mass of the dressed $D_s(1^3P_0)$ state is estimated to be $M_{\text{phy}} = 2309 \text{ MeV}$,
which is very close to the measured mass of D_s^* (2317) which is very close to the measured mass of $D_{s0}^{*}(2317)$.
Our counled-channel analysis within the chiral quark Our coupled-channel analysis within the chiral quark model is consistent with that in Refs. [[34](#page-35-33),[95](#page-37-7),[96](#page-37-8)]. Since the mass of $D_{s0}^{*}(2317)$ is below the mass threshold of the DK channel its extremely narrow width can be the DK channel, its extremely narrow width can be understood in theory.

2. 1^3P_2

The $D_2^*(2460)$ resonance is assigned as the 1^3P_2 state of \geq D-meson family. Our theoretical mass $M = 2475$ MeV the D-meson family. Our theoretical mass $M = 2475$ MeV and width $\Gamma \simeq 41.3$ MeV are in good agreement with the average measured values $M_{\text{exp}} = 2461.1 \pm 0.7 \text{ MeV}$ and $\Gamma \simeq 47.3 \pm 0.8$ MeV from RPP [\[7\]](#page-35-6). The $D_2^*(2460)$ dominantly decays into the $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ channels. The partial nantly decays into the $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ channels. The partial width ratio between $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ is predicted to be

$$
R = \frac{\Gamma(D\pi)}{\Gamma(D^*\pi)} \simeq 1.70,\tag{35}
$$

which is also in good agreement with the data $R = 1.52 \pm 1.52$ 0.14 [[7\]](#page-35-6). The decay properties predicted in this work are consistent with our previous predictions with the SHO wave functions [\[58\]](#page-36-23) and other predictions in the ${}^{3}P_0$ models [\[78](#page-36-30)[,79\]](#page-36-28), and the partially-conserved-axial-current (PCAC) and low-energy theorem [\[56\]](#page-36-31).

The coupled-channel effects on the mass shift of $D(1^3P_2)$
also studied. The results are shown in From Fig. 5. One are also studied. The results are shown in From Fig. [5](#page-14-0). One can see that the mass shift (i.e., $\Delta M \simeq 5$ MeV) is tiny when including the $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ loop corrections. There are two main reasons for the negligibly small coupled-channel contribution: (i) the mass of $D(1^3P_2)$ is far from the $D\pi$
and $D^*\pi$ thresholds and (ii) $D(1^3P_1)$ couples to $D\pi$ and and $D^*\pi$ thresholds, and (ii) $D(1^3P_2)$ couples to $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ channels via a weak D-wave coupling $D^*\pi$ channels via a weak D-wave coupling.

In the D_s -meson family, the 1^3P_2 state has been well established in experiments. The narrow resonance $D_{s2}^{*}(2573)$ listed in RPP [\[7\]](#page-35-6) should belong to the $D_s(1^3P_2)$
state. Our theoretical mass $M = 2575$ MeV and width state. Our theoretical mass $M = 2575$ MeV and width $\Gamma \approx 13.3$ MeV can well reproduce the average measured values $M_{\text{exp}} = 2569 \text{ MeV}$ and $\Gamma \approx 16.9 \pm 0.7 \text{ MeV}$ from

RPP [\[7](#page-35-6)]. The $D_{s2}^{*}(2573)$ mainly decays into the DK
channel while the decay rate into $D^{*}K$ channel is sizeable channel, while the decay rate into D^*K channel is sizeable. Our predicted partial width ratio between D^*K and DK ,

$$
R = \frac{\Gamma(D^*K)}{\Gamma(DK)} \simeq 0.13,\tag{36}
$$

is also consistent with the data $R_{\rm exp}$ < 0.33 [\[7\]](#page-35-6). The decay properties predicted with the genuine wave function from our potential model calculations in this work are consistent with our previous predictions with the SHO wave functions [\[58\]](#page-36-23), and other predictions by using the ${}^{3}P_0$ models [\[78,](#page-36-30)[79\]](#page-36-28) and the PCAC and low-energy theorem [\[56\]](#page-36-31).

Finally, we also study the coupled-channel effects on the mass of the bare $D_s(1^3P_2)$ state. From the strong decay analysis we know that $D_s(1^3P_2)$ mainly decays into DK
and D^*K channels. Considering the $D_s(1^3P_1)$ $c\bar{s}$ core and D^*K channels. Considering the $D_s(1^3P_2)$ $c\bar{s}$ core
coupling to these channels a tiny mass shift ΔM coupling to these channels, a tiny mass shift $\Delta M =$ 2 MeV can be seen in Fig. [5](#page-14-0). The tiny couple-channel effects on $D_s(1^3P_2)$ are mainly due to a weak D-wave
coupling to DK and D^*K channels. Our conclusion is coupling to DK and D^*K channels. Our conclusion is consistent with that of the recent study [[95](#page-37-7)].

3. $1P_1$ and $1P'_1$

In the 1P-wave states, the two $J^P = 1^+$ states $(1^1P_1$ and P_2) should be mixed with each other by the antisym- $1³P₁$) should be mixed with each other by the antisymmetric part of the spin-orbit potential. The physical states $1P_1$ and $1P'_1$ states are expressed as

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1P_1 \\ 1P'_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{1P} & \sin \theta_{1P} \\ -\sin \theta_{1P} & \cos \theta_{1P} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1^1 P_1 \\ 1^3 P_1 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (37)
$$

In this work, the $1P_1$ and $1P'_1$ correspond to the low-mass and high-mass mixed states, respectively.

In the D-meson family, the masses for the two mixed states $D(1P_1)$ and $D(1P'_1)$ are determined to be $M - 2424$ MeV and 2453 MeV respectively. The mass $M = 2424$ MeV and 2453 MeV, respectively. The mass splitting between $D(1P_1)$ and $D(1P_1')$ is estimated to be $\Lambda M \sim 30$ MeV which is close to the prediction in $\Delta M \simeq 30$ MeV, which is close to the prediction in Ref. [[25](#page-35-25)]. The mixing angle $\theta_{1P} = -34.0^{\circ}$ determined in this work is similar to the determinations in Refs. [\[30](#page-35-35)[,31\]](#page-35-29); however, it is about a factor of ∼1.6 smaller than the value $\theta_{1P} = -54.7^{\circ}$ extracted in the heavy quark limit. The lowmass state $D(1P_1)$ should be a broad state with a width of about several hundred MeV, while the high-mass state $D(1P'_1)$ is a narrow state with a width of about several tens
MeV. The $D^*\pi$ channel is the only OZL-allowed two-body MeV. The $D^*\pi$ channel is the only OZI-allowed two-body strong decay channel of $D(1P_1)$ and $D(1P'_1)$.
The $D(2430)$ and $D(2420)$ resonances live

The $D_1(2430)$ and $D_1(2420)$ resonances listed in RPP [\[7\]](#page-35-6) can be assigned to the 1P-wave mixed state $D(1P_1)$ and $\overline{D}(1P'_1)$, respectively. For the broad resonance $\overline{D}_1(2430)$,
its average measured mass and width are $M = 2412 +$ its average measured mass and width are $M_{\text{exp}} = 2412 \pm$ 9 MeV and $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 314 \pm 29$ MeV, respectively [[7](#page-35-6)]. While for the narrow resonance $D_1(2420)$, its average measured

TABLE VIII. Partial decay widths and their branching fractions for the 1P-wave charmed mesons. The decay widths in square brackets are the results for the mixed states $D(1P_1)$ and $\overline{D}(1P'_1)$ predicted with the mixing angle $\theta_{1P} = -(55 \pm 5)^{\circ}$ in the heavy quark symmetry limit symmetry limit.

State	Channel	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_{exp} (MeV) [7]
$D(1^3P_0)$	$D\pi$	538.0	100	\cdots
as $D_0^*(2300)$	Total	538.0	100	229 ± 16
$D(1^3P_2)$	$D\pi$	26.0	63.0	\cdots
as $D_2^*(2460)$	$D\eta$	0.05	0.1	\cdots
	$D^*\pi$	15.3	36.9	\cdots
	Total	41.3	100	47.3 ± 0.8
$D(1P_1)$	$D^*\pi$	214.5 $[241.0 \pm 1.0]$	100	\cdots
as $D_1(2430)$	Total	214.5 [241.0 \pm 1.0]	100	314 ± 29
$D(1P'_1)$	$D^*\pi$	42.5 $[16.8 \pm 1.0]$	100	\cdots
as $D_1(2420)$	Total	42.5 [16.8 \pm 1.0]	100	31.3 ± 1.9

mass and width are $M_{\text{exp}} = 2422.1 \pm 0.8 \text{ MeV}$ and $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} =$ 31.3 ± 1.9 MeV, respectively [\[7](#page-35-6)]. The average mass splitting from the measurements, $\Delta M_{\rm exp} \simeq 10$ MeV, is smaller than our potential model prediction $\Delta M \simeq 30$ MeV.

Taking the physical masses and predicted mixing angle $\theta_{1P} = -34^{\circ}$ for $D(1P_1)$ and $D(1P'_1)$, we calculate the strong decay properties—our results are listed in Table [VIII](#page-16-0). It is seen that our predicted decay width of $D_1(2420)$ is in good agreement with the data, however, the predicted width of $D_1(2430)$ is slightly smaller than the lower limit of the measured width $\Gamma_{\rm exp} = 314 \pm 29$ MeV.
With the mixing angle $\theta_1 = 54.7^\circ$ extracted in the With the mixing angle $\theta_{1P} = -54.7^{\circ}$ extracted in the heavy-quark limit the theoretical width of $D_1(2430)$ heavy-quark limit, the theoretical width of $D_1(2430)$, $\Gamma \simeq 240$ MeV, is more close to the data. The predicted width of $D_1(2420)$, $\Gamma \simeq 17$ MeV, is slightly smaller than the PDG average value $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 31.3 \pm 1.9$ MeV; however, is in good agreement with the measurement of ∼20 MeV, from Belle, BESIII, CDF, and CLEO listed by PDG [\[7\]](#page-35-6). In Fig. [6,](#page-16-1) we show the dependence of the decay widths of the $D_1(2430)$ and $D_1(2420)$ resonances on the mixing angle. It is found that the decay widths are sensitive to the mixing angle. Taking the mixing angle around value extracted in the heavy-quark limit, i.e., $\theta_{1P} = -(55 \pm 5)^\circ$, the predicted decay properties of the $D_1(2430)$ and $D_1(2420)$ resonances are consistent with the measurements.

The coupled-channel effects on the masses of the two 1^+ states $D(\hat{P}_1)$ and $D(P'_1)$ are further studied. Our results
are shown in Fig. 5. It is seen that including the $D^*\pi$ loop are shown in Fig. [5.](#page-14-0) It is seen that including the $D^*\pi$ loop correction, the mass shifts of $D(1P_1)$ and $D(1P'_1)$ are
predicted to be $\Delta M \approx 12$ MeV and 5 MeV respectively predicted to be $\Delta M \simeq 12$ MeV and 5 MeV, respectively. There are only small corrections of the coupled-channel effects to the masses of $D(1P_1)$ and $D(1P'_1)$, because their masses are far from the $D^*\pi$ threshold masses are far from the $D^*\pi$ threshold.

In the D_s -meson family, the masses for the two mixed states $D_s(1P_1)$ and $D_s(1P_1')$ are estimated to be $M = 2528$ MeV and $M = 2545$ MeV respectively. Their 2528 MeV and $M = 2545$ MeV, respectively. Their masses is very close to the mass threshold of D^*K . The mass splitting between $D_s(1P_1)$ and $D_s(1P'_1)$ is estimated
to be $\Delta M \approx 17$ MeV which is close to the predictions in to be $\Delta M \simeq 17$ MeV, which is close to the predictions in Refs. [\[74,](#page-36-24)[76](#page-36-25)]. The mixing angle $\theta_{1P} = -36.8^{\circ}$ is almost the same as that of the charmed sector, and is consistent with the determinations in Ref. [\[31\]](#page-35-29). Adopting this mixing angle, masses, and wave functions determined from our potential model calculations, we study the two-body OZI-allowed strong decays, our results are listed in

FIG. 6. Decay widths of $D_{(s)}(1P_1)$ and $D_{(s)}(1P'_1)$ as functions
of the mixing angle θ_{Lg} . In the vertical direction, the shaded of the mixing angle θ_{1P} . In the vertical direction, the shaded region represents the possible range of the mixing angle $\theta_{1P} \simeq$ $-(55 \pm 5)$ ° extracted in the heavy-quark symmetry limit.

TABLE IX. Partial decay widths and their branching fractions for the 1P-wave charmed-strange mesons. The decay widths in square brackets are the results for the mixed states $D_s(1P_1)$ and $D_s(1P'_1)$ predicted with the mixing angle $\theta_{1P} = -(55 \pm 5)^\circ$ in the heavy quark symmetry limit symmetry limit.

State	Channel	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_{exp} (MeV) [7]
$D_s(1^3P_0)$	DK	437.5	100	\cdots
2409	Total	437.5	100	\cdots
$D_s(1^3P_2)$	DK	11.7	88.0	\cdots
as $D_{s2}^*(2573)$	$D_s\eta$	0.1	0.8	\cdots
	D^*K	1.5	11.2	\cdots
	Total	13.3	100	16.9 ± 0.7
$D_{s}(1P_{1})$	D^*K	192.2 $[210.7 \pm 1.0]$	100	\cdots
2528	Total	192.2 $[210.7 \pm 1.0]$	100	\cdots
$D_{s}(1P_{1}')$	D^*K	22.2 $[1.4 \pm 1.0]$	100	\cdots
as $D_{s1}(2536)$	Total	22.2 $[1.4 \pm 1.0]$	100	0.92 ± 0.05

Table [IX.](#page-17-0) It is found that the low-mass state $D_s(1P_1)$ may be a broad state with a width of $\Gamma \simeq 192$ MeV, while the high-mass state $D_s(1P'_1)$ may be a narrow state with a width of $\Gamma \sim 22$ MeV. The D^*K channel is the only OZL width of $\Gamma \simeq 22$ MeV. The D^*K channel is the only OZIallowed two-body strong decay channel of $D_s(1P_1)$ and $D_s(1P'_1)$.
The $D_s(2^s)$

The $D_{s1}(2536)$ resonance can be assigned to the highmass state $D_s(1P'_1)$. When the mixing angle $\theta_{1P} = -36.8^\circ$
predicted by our potential model is taken into account predicted by our potential model is taken into account, the width of $D_{s1}(2536)$ is $\Gamma \simeq 22$ MeV, which is significantly larger than $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} \simeq 0.92 \pm 0.05$ MeV. From Fig. [6](#page-16-1), one can find that the decay width of $D_{s1}(2536)$ is very sensitive to the mixing angle. Taking the mixing angle around value extracted in the heavy quark limit, i.e., $\theta_{1P} = -(55 \pm 5)^{\circ}$, we find that the theoretical width $\Gamma =$ 1.4 ± 1.0 MeV is consistent with the measured width of $\Gamma_{\rm exp} = 0.92 \pm 0.05$ MeV. Our recent analysis of $B_1(5721)$ and $B_{s1}(5830)$ also shows that as the 1P-wave mixed states their mixing angle more favors $\theta_{1P} = -(55 \pm 5)^\circ$ [\[113](#page-37-11)]. Thus, for the heavy-light mesons, the mixing angle of the 1P-wave states seems to be close to the value $\theta_{1P} = -54.7^{\circ}$ extracted in the heavy quark limit. It should be mentioned that it is still a puzzle for the mixing between the ${}^{1}P_1$ and ${}^{3}P_1$ states, which cannot be well understood within the various potential models.

The simple potential model overestimates the mass of the low-mass $D_s(1P_1)$ state if considering $D_{s1}(2460)$ as a candidate of it. The mass calculated with lattice QCD also is significantly higher than that of $D_{s1}(2460)$ [[86](#page-37-21)]. The coupled-channel effects may play an important role because of the closeness behavior for the bare $c\bar{s}$ and $D*K$ threshold. Recently, Zhi Yang et al. studied these effects within the Hamiltonian effective field theory by combining it with the quark model [\[95\]](#page-37-7). They found that the $D_{s1}(2460)$ resonance may consist of the $D_{s}(1P_{1})$ state, but at the same time couples to the D^*K channel [[95](#page-37-7)]. In the present work, including the D^*K loop we also study the coupled-channel effects on the mass shifts of the two $1^+ D_s$ states. Our results are shown in Fig. [5](#page-14-0). It is found that there is a ∼40 MeV correction to the bare mass of $D_s(1P_1)$ due to a strong S-wave D^*K interaction. The physical mass of the dressed $D_s(1P_1)$ is reduced to $M_{\text{phy}} = 2488 \text{ MeV}$, which is close to the measured mass of $D_{s1}(2460)$. It should be mentioned that coupled-channel effects on $D_{s1}(2536)$ are negligibly small due to its weak coupling with the D^*K channel. Our coupled-channel analysis within the chiral quark model is consistent with that in Ref. [\[95\]](#page-37-7). Since the mass of $D_{s1}(2460)$ is below the D^*K threshold, it becomes an extremely narrow state.

E. 2P-wave states

1. 2^3P_0

In the D-meson family, our predicted mass for the $D(2^3P_0)$ state, $M = 2849$ MeV, is comparable with the predictions in Refs [22.23.25.29] however is about predictions in Refs. [\[22,](#page-35-24)[23](#page-35-19),[25](#page-35-25),[29](#page-35-18)], however, is about 100 MeV larger than the predictions in Refs. [\[37](#page-35-23)[,74,](#page-36-24)[76](#page-36-25)]. The mass gap between $D(2^3P_0)$ and $D(1^3P_0)$ is estimated
to be $\Delta M \approx 540$ MeV which is consistent with those to be $\Delta M \simeq 540$ MeV, which is consistent with those predicted in Refs. [\[25,](#page-35-25)[31](#page-35-29)[,74\]](#page-36-24). The $D(2^3P_0)$ may be a very
broad state with a width of broad state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 1080 \text{ MeV},\tag{38}
$$

and dominantly decays into the D_{π} , $D_{s}K$, and $D_{0}(2550)\pi$ final states. More details of the decay properties can be seen in Table [X.](#page-18-0) In Refs. [[30](#page-35-35)[,44](#page-36-9)], the $D(2^{3}P_{0})$ is also predicted
to be a very broad state with a width of $\Gamma \approx 600 \text{ MeV}$ to be a very broad state with a width of $\Gamma \simeq 600$ MeV– 800 MeV, although the predicted partial widths show some model dependencies.

In Ref. [\[36\]](#page-35-30), the authors suggested that the natural parity state $D_J^*(3000)$ observed in the D_{π} final state by the LHCb
Collaboration [2] may be explained with $D(2^{3}P_{\pi})$ according Collaboration [\[2\]](#page-35-1) may be explained with $D(2^3P_0)$ according
to their mass and strong decay analysis. However, both our to their mass and strong decay analysis. However, both our predicted mass and width of $D(2^3P_0)$, $M = 2849$ MeV and $\Gamma \sim 1080$ MeV are inconsistent with the data $M =$ $\Gamma \simeq 1080$ MeV, are inconsistent with the data $M_{\text{exp}} =$ 3008.1 \pm 4.0 MeV and $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 110.5 \pm 11.5 \text{ MeV}$ [[2](#page-35-1)].

	$D(2^3P_0)$ [2849]		$D(2^3P_2)$ [2955]		$D(2P_1)$ [2900]		$D(2P'_1)$ [2936]	
Channel	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$
$D\pi$	609.8	56.6	52.0	26.9				
$D_s K$	190.8	17.7	6.0	3.1				
$D\eta$	82.8	7.7	2.9	1.5				
$D\eta'$	17.8	1.7	0.03	0.02				
$D^*\pi$			23.7	12.3	199.5	31.4	77.2	32.0
D_s^*K			1.0	0.5	53.6	8.4	21.3	8.8
$D^*\eta$			0.5	0.3	27.6	4.3	10.6	4.4
$D_0(2550)\pi$	151.0	14.0	7.5	3.9				$\qquad \qquad -$
$D(2^3S_1)(2627)\pi$			5.9	3.1	88.9	14.0	45.6	18.9
$D_0^*(2300)\pi$					22.8	3.6	2.0	0.8
$D_s(1^3P_0)(2409)K$							6×10^{-4}	2×10^{-4}
$D_0^*(2300)\eta$					0.7	0.1	1×10^{-5}	4×10^{-6}
$D_2^*(2460)\pi$			9.4	4.9	21.5	3.4	23.6	9.8
$D_1(2430)\pi$	2.5	0.2	4.1	2.1	3.2	0.5	11.3	4.7
$D_1(2420)\pi$	14.7	1.4	6.4	3.3	2.2	0.3	1.8	0.7
$D\rho$			14.6	7.5	138.4	21.8	4.7	1.9
$D\omega$			4.3	2.2	44.4	7.0	1.7	0.7
$D_s K^*$			0.4	0.2	25.6	4.0	5.1	2.1
$D^*\rho$	6.5	0.6	41.4	21.4	5.0	0.8	28.7	11.9
$D^* \omega$	2.3	0.2	13.3	6.9	1.4	0.2	7.7	3.2
Total	1078.2	100	193.4	100	634.8	100	241.3	100

TABLE X. Partial decay widths and their branching fractions for the 2P-wave charmed mesons.

According to our prediction, the $D(2^3P_0)$ may be difficult to be established in experiments due to its rather broad width be established in experiments due to its rather broad width.

In the D_s -meson sector, our predicted mass for the $D_s(2^3P_0)$, $M = 2940$ MeV, is comparable with the pre-
dictions in Refs [22.74.76]. Our predicted strong decay dictions in Refs. [\[22,](#page-35-24)[74](#page-36-24),[76](#page-36-25)]. Our predicted strong decay properties have been shown in Table [XI](#page-18-1). It is found that the $D_s(2^3P_0)$ may be a broad state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 700 \text{ MeV},\tag{39}
$$

and dominantly decays into the DK and $D_s\eta$ final states. The width predicted in the present work is notably than our previous prediction $\Gamma \simeq 150 \text{ MeV} - 200 \text{ MeV}$ with a SHO wave function [[44](#page-36-9)], which indicates that the decay properties of $D_s(2^3P_0)$ are very sensitive to the details of its wave
function adopted in the calculations function adopted in the calculations.

It should be mentioned that there are strong model dependencies in the strong decay predictions. For example, within the ³ P_0 model the $D(2^3P_0)$ and $D_s(2^3P_0)$
states may be relatively narrow states with a width of states may be relatively narrow states with a width of ∼100 MeV–200 MeV [\[31](#page-35-29)].

TABLE XI. Partial decay widths and their branching fractions for the 2P-wave charmed-strange mesons.

	$D_s(2^3P_0)$ [2940]		$D_s(2^3P_2)$ [3053]			$D_s(2P_1)$ [3002]		$D_s(2P'_1)$ [3026]	
Channel	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	
DK	549.8	78.3	30.9	29.8					
$D_s\eta$	117.4	16.7	3.0	2.9					
$D_s\eta'$	18.0	2.6	0.03	0.03					
D^*K			9.1	8.8	176.5	44.4	77.4	56.7	
$D_s^*\eta$			0.4	0.4	36.7	9.2	16.6	12.2	
$D_0^*(2300)K$					18.7	4.7	0.2	0.1	
$D_s(1^3P_0)(2409)\eta$					0.6	0.2	4×10^{-3}	3×10^{-3}	
$D_{2}^{*}(2460)K$			2.0	1.9	11.6	2.9	4.0	2.9	
$D_1(2430)K$	0.5	0.07	6.7	6.5	0.6	0.2	4.4	3.2	
$D_1(2420)K$	3.9	0.6	2.1	2.0	1.1	0.3	7.8	5.7	
DK^*			10.2	9.8	137.9	34.7	5.2	3.8	
$D_s\phi$			0.09	0.09	11.2	2.8	2.5	1.8	
D^*K^*	13.0	1.9	39.4	38.0	2.5	0.6	18.5	13.5	
Total	702.6	100	103.8	100	397.4	100	136.6	100	

FIG. 7. Total decay widths and the main partial decay widths for $D(2P'_1)$, $D_s(2P'_1)$, $D(2^3P_2)$, and $D_s(2^3P_2)$ as functions of their masses their masses.

2. 2^3P_2

In the D-meson family, our predicted mass of $D(2^{3}P_{2})$ is
 -2955 MeV which is consistent with the predictions $M = 2955$ MeV, which is consistent with the predictions in Refs. [[22](#page-35-24),[23](#page-35-19)[,31](#page-35-29)[,37,](#page-35-23)[74\]](#page-36-24). Our predicted strong decay properties have been shown in Table [X.](#page-18-0) We find that the $D(2^3P_2)$ state is a relatively narrow state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 190 \text{ MeV},\tag{40}
$$

and dominantly decays into the $D\pi$ and $D^*\rho$ final states with branching fractions 26.9% and 21.4%, respectively. There are some differences between the predictions in this work and those obtained with the SHO wave function in our previous work [\[44\]](#page-36-9), where the decay rate into $D\pi$ is tiny. It indicates that the decay properties are sensitive to the details of the wave function of $D(2^3P_2)$. Furthermore, to
see the dependence of the decay properties of $D(2^3P_2)$ on see the dependence of the decay properties of $D(2^3P_2)$ on
its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. [7.](#page-19-0) It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D(2^3P_2)$ varies in the range of ~140 MeV–260 MeV. The decay properties predicted within various models show decay properties predicted within various models show large model dependencies. Within the ${}^{3}P_0$ model the width of $D(2^3P_2)$ is predicted to be in the range of $\Gamma \approx 15 \text{ MeV} - 120 \text{ MeV}$ [29.31.36] 15 MeV–120 MeV [\[29](#page-35-18)[,31,](#page-35-29)[36](#page-35-30)].

From the point of view of mass, the $D_J^*(3000)$ resonance
the a natural parity observed by the LHCb Collaboration with a natural parity observed by the LHCb Collaboration in 2013 [\[2](#page-35-1)] might be a candidate of the $D(2^3P_2)$ state. Our

TABLE XII. Partial and total decay widths (MeV) of $D_J^*(3000)$
as the 2^3P_5 state as the 2^3P_2 state.

$D\pi$	$D_{s}K$	Dn	$D\eta'$	$D_0(2550)\pi$
67.7	8.9	4.2	0.1	13.1
$D^*\pi$ 34.9	$D_{s}^{*}K$ 2.1	D^*n 1.0	$D(2^3S_1)(2627)\pi$ 12.6	$D_2^*(2460)\pi$ 17.5
$D_1(2430)\pi$ $D_1(2420)\pi$ 5.1 $D^*\rho$ 47.9	10.2 $D^* \omega$ 15.5	$D\rho$ 23.2 Total 272.5	$D\omega$ 7.1	$D_s K^*$ 1.4

predicted mass $M = 2955$ MeV of $D(2^{3}P_{2})$ is close to the measured value $M = 30081 + 4.0$ MeV of $D^{*}(3000)$ measured value $M_{\text{exp}} = 3008.1 \pm 4.0 \text{ MeV}$ of $D_J^*(3000)$.
Taking $D^*(3000)$ as the $D(33R)$ at the we further study its Taking $D_J^*(3000)$ as the $D(2^3P_2)$ state, we further study its strong decay properties—our results are listed in Table XII strong decay properties—our results are listed in Table [XII](#page-19-1). It is found that $\hat{D}_{j}^{*}(3000)$ should dominantly decay into the $D\pi$ channel with a branching fraction of 25% which is $D\pi$ channel with a branching fraction of 25%, which is consistent with the observations. However, our predicted width,

$$
\Gamma \simeq 270 \text{ MeV},\tag{41}
$$

is notably larger than the data $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 110.5 \pm 11.5 \text{ MeV}$ measured by LHCb [[2\]](#page-35-1). The $D_J^*(3000)$ as the $D(2^3P_2)$
assignment is suggested in Refs [20.22.23.35] If assignment is suggested in Refs. [\[20](#page-35-31)[,22,](#page-35-24)[23](#page-35-19),[35](#page-35-28)]. If $D_J^*(3000)$ corresponds to $D(2^3P_2)$, it may have a large
decay rate into the $D\pi$ channel as well, the partial width decay rate into the $D\pi$ channel as well, the partial width ratio between $D^*\pi$ and $D\pi$ is predicted to be

$$
R = \frac{\Gamma(D^*\pi)}{\Gamma(D\pi)} \simeq 0.52,\tag{42}
$$

which may be useful to test the nature of $D_J^*(3000)$.
In the D-meson sector, the mass of $D_J^{(3)}P_J^{(3)}$

In the D_s -meson sector, the mass of $D_s(2^3P_2)$ is
edicted to be $M = 3053$ MeV which is comparable with predicted to be $M = 3053$ MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in Refs. [[25](#page-35-25),[31](#page-35-29)[,37\]](#page-35-23). Our predicted strong decay properties have been shown in Table [XI.](#page-18-1) It is found that the $D_s(2^3P_2)$ may be a relatively narrow state with a width of width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 100 \text{ MeV},\tag{43}
$$

and dominantly decays into the D^*K^* and DK final states with branching fractions ∼38% and ∼30% respectively. There may be a sizeable decay rate (\sim 10%) into the DK^{*} channel as well. The DK, DK^* , and D^*K^* are also predicted to be the main decay channels in the other works [\[31](#page-35-29)[,33\]](#page-35-22), although the predicted partial width ratios are very different with each other. There are some differences between the predictions in this work and those obtained with the SHO wave function in our previous work [[44](#page-36-9)], where the decay rate into DK is tiny. To see the dependence

of the decay properties of $D_s(2^3P_2)$ on its mass, we also
plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. [7](#page-19-0). It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D_s(2^3P_2)$ varies in the range of $\approx 70-140$ MeV. It should be mentioned that the range of ∼70–140 MeV. It should be mentioned that the typical mass gap between $D_s(2^3P_2)$ and $D(2^3P_2)$ is
around 100 MeV. If $D^*(3000)$ corresponds to $D(2^3P_1)$ around 100 MeV. If $D_J^*(3000)$ corresponds to $D(2^{3}P_2)$
indeed, the mass of $D(2^{3}P_1)$ is most likely to be indeed, the mass of $D_s(2^3P_2)$ is most likely to be $\approx 3100 \text{ MeV}$. Searching for the missing $D_s(2^3P_2)$ may ~3100 MeV. Searching for the missing $D_s(2^3P_2)$ may
be belaful to understand the nature of $D_s^*(3000)$. To be helpful to understand the nature of $D_J^*(3000)$. To establish the missing $D_J(23P_J)$ state the main decay establish the missing $D_s(2^3P_2)$ state, the main decay
channels such as DK^* and DK are worth to observing channels, such as DK^* and DK, are worth to observing in future experiments.

3. $2P_1$ and $2P'_1$

The physical states $2P_1$ and $2P'_1$ are mixed states between states 2^1P_1 and 2^3P_1 via the following mixing scheme,

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 2P_1 \ 2P'_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{2P} & \sin \theta_{2P} \\ -\sin \theta_{2P} & \cos \theta_{2P} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2^1 P_1 \\ 2^3 P_1 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (44)
$$

In this work, the $2P_1$ and $2P'_1$ correspond to the low-mass and high-mass mixed states, respectively.

In the D-meson family, the masses for the two mixed states $D(2P_1)$ and $D(2P'_1)$ are determined to be $M = 2900$ MeV and $M = 2936$ MeV respectively. The mass 2900 MeV and $M = 2936$ MeV, respectively. The mass splitting between $D(2P_1)$ and $D(2P'_1)$ is estimated to be $\Delta M \approx 36$ MeV, which is close to the predictions in $\Delta M \simeq 36$ MeV, which is close to the predictions in Refs. [\[31,](#page-35-29)[37\]](#page-35-23). The mixing angle $\theta_{2P} = -23.5^{\circ}$ determined in this work is similar to the determinations in Refs. [[30](#page-35-35),[31](#page-35-29)]. Our predicted strong decay properties have been shown in Table [X](#page-18-0). The low-mass state $D(2P_1)$ should be a broad state with a width of about $\Gamma \sim 600$ MeV, while the high-mass state $D(2P'_1)$ is a relatively narrow state with a width of a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 240 \text{ MeV.} \tag{45}
$$

Both $D(2P_1)$ and $D(2P'_1)$ have large decay rates into the $D^* \pi$, $D^* \nu$ and $D(2^3S) \pi$ channels. To see the $D^*\pi$, $D^*\eta$, D^*_sK , and $D(2^3S_1)\pi$ channels. To see the decay properties of $D(2P')$ on its dependence of the decay properties of $D(2P'_1)$ on its
mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. [7](#page-19-0). It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D(2P_1')$ varies in the range ∼200 MeV–300 MeV. It should be mentioned that the predicted decay properties should be mentioned that the predicted decay properties strongly depend on the approaches adopted in the literature [\[29](#page-35-18)–[31](#page-35-29),[44](#page-36-9)].

It is interesting to find that the $D_I(3000)⁰$ resonance observed in the $D^{*+}\pi^-$ channel at LHCb [\[2\]](#page-35-1) might be a good candidate of the high-mass mixed state $D(2P_1)$. With this assignment, both our predicted mass and width are consistent with the data $M_{\text{exp}} = 2972 \pm 9$ MeV and $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} =$ 188 ± 45 MeV [\[2](#page-35-1)]. The $D(2P_1')$ mainly decays into $D^*\pi$
and $D(2^3S_1)\pi$ channels, which can naturedly explain why and $D(2^3S_1)\pi$ channels, which can naturedly explain why
D₋(3000)⁰ is first observed in the $D^{*+}\pi^-$ channel It should $D_I(3000)⁰$ is first observed in the $D^{*+}\pi^-$ channel. It should be mentioned that in our previous work the study with the SHO wave function shows that $D_I(3000)⁰$ may favor the low-mass mixed state $D(2P_1)$ [\[44\]](#page-36-9). However, in the present work, with this assignment both our predicted mass and width are inconsistent with the observations. To further clarify the nature of $D_J(3000)⁰$, the other decay modes, such as $D^*\eta$, D_s^*K , and $D(2^3S_1)\pi$, are worth observing in future experiments future experiments.

In the D_s -meson sector, the masses of the two mixed states $D_s(2P_1)$ and $D_s(2P'_1)$ are predicted to be $M = 3002$ MeV and $M = 3026$ MeV respectively. The mass 3002 MeV and $M = 3026$ MeV, respectively. The mass splitting between $D_s(2P_1)$ and $D_s(2P_1)$ is estimated to be $\Delta M \sim 24$ MeV, which is consistent with that of Ref. [31] $\Delta M \simeq 24$ MeV, which is consistent with that of Ref. [[31](#page-35-29)]. The mixing angle $\theta_{2P} = -21.0^{\circ}$ is similar to that for the charmed sector. Our predicted strong decay properties have been shown in Table [XI.](#page-18-1) It is seen that the low-mass state $D_s(2P_1)$ should be a broad state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 400 \text{ MeV},\tag{46}
$$

and dominantly decays into D^*K , DK^* , and $D^*_{s}\eta$ channels. While the high-mass state $D_s(1P'_1)$ is a relatively narrow state with a width of state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 140 \text{ MeV},\tag{47}
$$

and dominantly decays into D^*K and $D^*_{s}\eta$ channels. Finally, it should mentioned that if the $D_I(3000)⁰$ corresponds to the high-mass mixed state $D(2P_1')$, by combining
the typical mass splitting $\Delta M \approx 100$ MeV between the the typical mass splitting $\Delta M \simeq 100$ MeV between the charmed and charmed-strange mesons, as its flavor partner, the mass of $D_s(2P'_1)$ is estimated to be $M = 3072$ MeV,
while the low-mass state $D_s(2P_1)$ may have a mass around while the low-mass state $D_s(2P_1)$ may have a mass around $M = 3050$ MeV. To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D_s(2P'_1)$ on its mass, we also plot the main
partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. [7.](#page-19-0) It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D_s(2P'_1)$ varies in the range $\approx 120 \text{ MeV} - 180 \text{ MeV}$ ∼120 MeV–180 MeV.

In 2009, the $D_{sJ}(3040)^+$ resonance with mass $M =$ $3044 \pm 8^{+30}_{-5}$ MeV and width $\Gamma = 239 \pm 35^{+46}_{-42}$ MeV was
observed in the $D^* K$ channel by the *BABAR* Collaboration observed in the D^*K channel by the BABAR Collaboration [\[10\]](#page-35-9). The $D_{sJ}(3040)^+$ may be favor the 2P mixed states in the D_s -meson family [[28](#page-35-32),[31](#page-35-29),[41](#page-35-17)[,44](#page-36-9)[,60,](#page-36-22)[70,](#page-36-32)[72\]](#page-36-0). Comparing our predicted mass and decay properties with the data, we find that the $D_{sJ}(3040)$ seems to more favor the low-mass state $D_s(2P_1)$, however, the assignment of the $D_s(2P_1)$ state $D_s(2T_1)$, nowever, the assignment of the $D_s(2T_1)$
cannot be excluded due to the large uncertainties of the data. The partial width ratio between D^*K and DK^* can be

	$D(1^3D_1)$ [2754]		$D(1^3D_3)$ as $D_3^*(2750)$		$D(1D_2)$ [2755]		$D(1D_2')$ as D_2 (2740)	
Channel	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$
$D\pi$	138.9	29.9	18.5	39.3				
$D_s K$	33.3	7.2	1.0	2.1				
$D\eta$	18.3	3.9	0.8	1.7				
$D^*\pi$	45.1	9.7	17.5	37.2	91.6	35.4	30.4	53.0
D_s^*K	6.8	1.5	0.3	0.6	17.5	6.8	0.9	1.6
$D^*\eta$	4.7	1.0	0.2	0.4	12.0	4.6	0.8	1.4
$D_0(2550)\pi$	0.01	2×10^{-3}	5×10^{-4}	1×10^{-3}	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\qquad \qquad -$		
$D(2^3S_1)(2627)\pi$			3×10^{-7}	6×10^{-7}				
$D_0^*(2300)\pi$					0.02	8×10^{-3}	8.1	14.1
$D_2^*(2460)\pi$	1.4	0.3	3.3	7.0	117.1	45.3	4.4	7.7
$D_1(2430)\pi$	26.4	5.7	5.0	10.6	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2
$D_1(2420)\pi$	189.6	40.6	0.03	0.06	0.2	0.08	5.2	9.1
$D\rho$	0.2	0.04	0.4	0.8	15.2	5.9	5.8	10.1
$D\omega$	0.04	9×10^{-3}	0.09	0.2	4.3	1.7	1.7	3.0
Total	464.7	100	47.1	100	258.4	100	57.4	100

TABLE XIII. Partial decay widths and their branching fractions for the 1D-wave charmed mesons.

used to test the nature of $D_{sJ}(3040)^+$. For the $D_s(2P_1)$ assignment, the partial width ratio is predicted to be

$$
R = \frac{\Gamma(D^*K)}{\Gamma(DK^*)} \simeq 1.28,\tag{48}
$$

which is different from the value $R \simeq 14.9$ for the $D_s(2P_1')$ which is different from the value $K \cong 14.9$ for the $D_s(2T_1)$
assignment. It should be emphasized that the $D_{sJ}(3040)^+$
observed in the D^*K channel may be contributed by both observed in the D^*K channel may be contributed by both $D_s(2P_1)$ and $D_s(2P'_1)$, since these two states have similar masses and dominantly decay into D^*K channel with a masses and dominantly decay into D^*K channel with a large branching fraction of $∼(40 - 60)%$. To establish the $D_s(2P_1)$ and $D_s(2P'_1)$ states and uncover the nature of $D_s(3040)^+$ more accurate observations in these dominant $D_{sJ}(3040)^+$, more accurate observations in these dominant channels, such as D^*K , $D_s^*\eta$, and DK^* , are needed in future experiments.

F. 1D-wave states

1. 1^3D_1

In the D-meson family, our predicted mass of $D(1^3D_1)$,
= 2754 MeV is consistent with that predicted in $M = 2754$ MeV, is consistent with that predicted in Refs. [[25](#page-35-25),[29](#page-35-18),[37](#page-35-23)[,76\]](#page-36-25). From Table [XIII,](#page-21-0) it is found that the $D(1^3D_1)$ may be a broad state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 460 \text{ MeV},\tag{49}
$$

and dominantly decays into the $D_1(2420)\pi$ and $D\pi$ channels with branching fractions ∼41% and ∼30%, respectively. Furthermore, the decay rates into $D_s K$, $D^* \pi$, and $D_1(2430)\pi$ are also notable, their branching fractions can reach up to

~8%. In the literature, the $D(1^3D_1)$ state is also predicted to be a broad state with a width of $\Gamma \approx 300 \text{ MeV} \approx 550 \text{ MeV}$ be a broad state with a width of $\Gamma \simeq 300 \text{ MeV } \sim 550 \text{ MeV}$ [\[29](#page-35-18)[,30,](#page-35-35)[43](#page-36-5),[51](#page-36-8),[79](#page-36-28)].

In 2015, the LHCb Collaboration observed a $J^P = 1^$ resonance $D_1^*(2760)$ in the $D^+\pi^-$ channel by using
the $B^-\to D^+K^-\pi^-$ process [3]. The resonance mass and the $B^- \to D^+ K^- \pi^-$ process [\[3](#page-35-2)]. The resonance mass and width are determined to be $M = 2781 \pm 31$ MeV and $\Gamma = 177 \pm 53$ MeV, respectively. From the point of view of the mass, J^P numbers and decay modes, the $D_1^*(2760)$
favors the $D(13D_1)$ assignment, however, our predicted favors the $D(1^3D_1)$ assignment, however, our predicted
width is about two times larger than the measured one. The width is about two times larger than the measured one. The $2³S₁ - 1³D₁$ mixing may overcome this problem, which will be further discussed later.

In the D_s -meson sector, the mass of $D_s(1^3D_1)$ is
edicted to be $M = 2843$ MeV which is comparable with predicted to be $M = 2843$ MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in Refs. [\[31,](#page-35-29)[41,](#page-35-17)[74](#page-36-24),[76](#page-36-25)]. Our predicted strong decay properties are shown in Table [XIV.](#page-22-0) It is found that the $D_s(1^3D_1)$ may have a medium width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 210 \text{ MeV},\tag{50}
$$

and mainly decays into DK, D^*K , and $D_s\eta$ channels with branching fractions 64%, 21%, and 12%, respectively. Our predicted strong decay properties are in good agreement with the predictions with the ${}^{3}P_0$ model in Refs. [\[31](#page-35-29)[,41,](#page-35-17)[53](#page-36-14)].

In 2014, the LHCb Collaboration observed a new J^P = 1⁻ resonance $D_{s1}^{*}(2860)$ in the $\bar{D}^{0}K^{-}$ final state by using the $B_{0}^{0} \rightarrow \bar{D}^{0}K^{-} \pi^{+}$ process [12]. Its measured mass and width $B_s^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^- \pi^+$ process [[12](#page-35-11)]. Its measured mass and width are $M = 2859 \pm 12 \pm 24$ MeV and $\Gamma = 159 \pm 23 \pm 77$ MeV, respectively. From the point of view of the mass, J^P

Channel	$D_s(1^3D_1)$ as D_{s1}^* (2860)		$D_s(1^3D_3)$ as D_{s3}^* (2860)		$D_s(1D_2)$ [2857]		$D_s(1D_2')$ [2911]	
	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$
DK	132.4	63.7	11.8	54.4				
$D_s\eta$	25.3	12.2	0.8	3.7				
D^*K	43.7	21.0	8.6	39.6	107.1	81.5	28.4	64.5
$D_s^*\eta$	6.4	3.1	0.3	1.4	10.5	8.0	1.8	4.1
$D_0^*(2300)K$		$\overline{}$			6×10^{-3}	5×10^{-3}	3.2	7.3
DK^*	0.1	0.05	0.2	0.9	13.8	10.5	10.6	24.1
Total	207.9	100	21.7	100	131.4	100	44.0	100

TABLE XIV. Partial decay widths and their branching fractions for the 1D-wave charmed-strange mesons.

numbers, decay modes and width, the $D_{s1}^{*}(2860)$ favors the $D_{s1}(1^{3}D_{s})$ assignment. It should be mentioned that the $D_s(1^3D_1)$ assignment. It should be mentioned that the nossibility of the $D^*(2860)$ resonance as a mixed state possibility of the $D_{s1}^{*}(2860)$ resonance as a mixed state
between $2^{3}S_{s}$ and $1^{3}D_{s}$ cannot be excluded which will be between 2^3S_1 and 1^3D_1 cannot be excluded, which will be further discussed later.

2. 1^3D_3

In the D-meson family, the mass of $D(1^3D_3)$ is predicted
be $M = 2782$ MeV which is consistent with that to be $M = 2782$ MeV, which is consistent with that predicted in Refs. [\[29,](#page-35-18)[31,](#page-35-29)[74\]](#page-36-24). From Table [XIII](#page-21-0), it is found that the $D(1^3D_3)$ state is narrow state with a width of $\Gamma \sim 47$ MeV and dominantly decays into the $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ $\Gamma \simeq 47$ MeV, and dominantly decays into the $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ final states. The partial width ratio between $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ channels is predicted to be

$$
R = \frac{\Gamma(D\pi)}{\Gamma(D^*\pi)} \simeq 1.1. \tag{51}
$$

Our predicted width and dominant decay modes for $D(1^3D_3)$ are consistent with the predictions in the literature
131.37.45.48.51.521, while our predicted partial ratio $R =$ [\[31](#page-35-29)[,37](#page-35-23),[45](#page-36-33),[48](#page-36-12),[51](#page-36-8),[52](#page-36-11)], while our predicted partial ratio $R =$ $\Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D^*\pi) \simeq 1.1$ is similar to the predictions in Refs. [[29](#page-35-18)–[31,](#page-35-29)[37](#page-35-23),[51](#page-36-8)].

The $D_3^*(2750)$ resonance listed in RPP [\[7](#page-35-6)] favors the example was first observed assignment of $D(1^3D_3)$. This resonance was first observed
in the $D\pi$ and/or $D^*\pi$ channels by the *BARAR* in the $D\pi$ and/or $D^*\pi$ channels by the BABAR Collaboration in 2010 [[1\]](#page-35-0), and confirmed by the LHCb Collaboration by using the $p p$ collision processes [\[2](#page-35-1)] and B decay processes [[4](#page-35-3)–[6\]](#page-35-5). The spin-parity numbers are determined to be $J^P = 3⁻$ by the LHCb Collaboration [\[6\]](#page-35-5). The average measured mass and width of $D_3^*(2750)$ are $M_{\text{exp}} =$
2763 1 + 3.2 MeV, and $\Gamma = 66 \pm 5$ MeV, [7], As the 2763.1 \pm 3.2 MeV and $\Gamma_{\rm exp}$ = 66 \pm 5 MeV [[7\]](#page-35-6). As the assignment of $D(1^3D_3)$, the mass and width of $D_3^*(2750)$
are in good agreement with the theoretical predictions are in good agreement with the theoretical predictions. However, our predicted ratio $R = \Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D^*\pi) \simeq 1.1$ is notably larger than the measured value $R_{\text{exp}} = 0.42 \pm 0.16$ at *BABAR* [\[1\]](#page-35-0). To confirm the nature of $D_3^*(2750)$, the narrial width ratio $R = \Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D^*\pi)$ is expected to be partial width ratio $R = \Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D^*\pi)$ is expected to be further measured in future experiments.

In the D_s -meson sector, the mass of $D_s(1^3D_3)$ is
edicted to be $M = 2882$ MeV while the mass gan predicted to be $M = 2882$ MeV, while the mass gap between $D_s(1^3D_3)$ and $D(1^3D_3)$ is estimated to be $\Delta M \approx 100$ MeV Our predictions are consistent with those $\Delta M \simeq 100$ MeV. Our predictions are consistent with those predicted in Refs. [[41,](#page-35-17)[52,](#page-36-11)[74](#page-36-24)[,76\]](#page-36-25). The $D_s(1^3D_3)$ state may
be a very narrow state with a width of $\Gamma \approx 22$ MeV and be a very narrow state with a width of $\Gamma \simeq 22$ MeV, and mainly decays into DK and D^*K final states. The strong decay properties predicted in this work are consistent with our previous predictions with SHO wave functions [[59](#page-36-16)] and other predictions in the literature [\[31,](#page-35-29)[51](#page-36-8)[,52](#page-36-11)[,62,](#page-36-34)[64](#page-36-20),[83](#page-36-27)]. It should be mentioned that there are obvious model dependencies in the predictions of the partial width ratio $\Gamma(D*K)/\Gamma(DK)$ between DK and D^{*}K, which scatters in the range of ∼0.4–0.7.

In 2006, the BABAR Collaboration observed a new charmed-strange meson structure $D_{sJ}(2860)$ in the DK channel with mass of $M_{\text{exp}} = 2856.6 \pm 6.5 \text{ MeV}$ and a width of $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 47 \pm 17$ MeV [\[8\]](#page-35-7), which is consistent with the resonance observed in the D^*K channel in 2009 [[10](#page-35-9)]. In 2014, the LHCb Collaboration further studied the structure around 2.86 GeV in the $B_s^0 \to \bar{D}^0 K^-\pi^+$ decay [\[12](#page-35-11)[,13\]](#page-35-12). They found two overlapping spin-1 resonance $\overline{D}_{33}^*(2860)$ and
spin 3 resonance $\overline{D}^*(2860)$ in the $\overline{D}^{0}\overline{K}$ final state. The spin-3 resonance $D_{s3}^{*}(2860)$ in the $\bar{D}^{0}K^{-}$ final state. The resonance parameters of $D^{*}(2860)$ $M = 2860.5 + 2.6 +$ resonance parameters of $D_{33}^*(2860)$, $M_{\text{exp}} = 2860.5 \pm 2.6 \pm 2.5 \pm 6.0$ MeV and $\Gamma = 53 + 7 + 4 + 6$ MeV extracted by 2.5 ± 6.0 MeV and $\Gamma_{\rm exp} = 53\pm7\pm4\pm6$ MeV extracted by LHCb [[12](#page-35-11)[,13\]](#page-35-12), are consistent with those of $D_{sJ}(2860)$ extracted by *BABAR*. The spin-3 resonance $D_{s3}^{*}(2860)$
can be assigned to the charmed strange state $D_{s}(13D_{s})$ can be assigned to the charmed-strange state $\overline{D_s}(1^3D_3)$.
As this assignment, both the mass and decay properties of As this assignment, both the mass and decay properties of $D_{33}^*(2860)$ can be reasonably understood within the quark
model. It should be mentioned that the DK channel is the model. It should be mentioned that the DK channel is the optimal channel for establishing spin-1 state $D_s(1^3D_1)$ and
spin-3 state $D_s(1^3D_1)$ due to no contributions from the other spin-3 state $D_s(1^3D_3)$ due to no contributions from the other
two 1 D-wave states with $I^P - 2^$ two 1D-wave states with $J^P = 2^-$.

3. $1D_2$ and $1D'_2$

The physical states $1D_2$ and $1D'_2$ are mixed states between states $1^{1}D_2$ and $1^{3}D_2$ via the following mixing scheme,

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1D_2 \\ 1D'_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{1D} & \sin \theta_{1D} \\ -\sin \theta_{1D} & \cos \theta_{1D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1^1 D_2 \\ 1^3 D_2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (52)

In this work, the $1D_2$ and $1D'_2$ correspond to the low-mass and high-mass mixed states, respectively.

In the D-meson family, the masses of the two mixed states $D(1D_2)$ and $D(1D'_2)$ are predicted to be $M = 2755$ MeV and $M = 2827$ MeV respectively. The 2755 MeV and $M = 2827$ MeV, respectively. The mass splitting between $D(1D_2)$ and $D(1D_2')$ is estimated
to be $\Delta M \approx 70$ MeV, which is slightly smaller that to be $\Delta M \simeq 70$ MeV, which is slightly smaller that of $\Delta M \simeq 86$ MeV predicted in [[37](#page-35-23)], however, is about a factor of 2 larger than $\Delta M \sim 40$ MeV predicted in Refs. [[25](#page-35-25)[,30](#page-35-35)[,31,](#page-35-29)[74](#page-36-24)[,76\]](#page-36-25). Our predicted mixing angle between $D(1D_2)$ and $D(1D'_2)$, $\theta_{1D} = -40.2^{\circ}$, is similar
to the angle determined within the relativized quark model to the angle determined within the relativized quark model [\[25](#page-35-25)[,30\]](#page-35-35). The predicted strong decay properties of both $D(1D_2)$ and $D(1D_2)$ are listed in Table [XIII.](#page-21-0) It is found that the low-mass state $D(1D_2)$ may be a broad state with a the low-mass state $D(1D_2)$ may be a broad state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 260 \text{ MeV},\tag{53}
$$

and dominantly decays into the $D^*\pi$ and $D^*(2460)\pi$
channels with branching fractions $\sim 35\%$ and $\sim 45\%$ channels with branching fractions ∼35% and ∼45%, respectively. While the high-mass state $D(1D'_2)$ may have a narrow width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 60 \text{ MeV},\tag{54}
$$

and dominantly decays into the $D^*\pi$ channel.

Some evidence of the mixed states $D(1D_2)$ and $D(1D_2')$ Some evidence of the finxed states $D(1D_2)$ and $D(1D_2)$
may have been observed in experiments. In 2010, the BABAR Collaboration observed a new resonance $D(2750)^{0}$ with a mass of $M_{\text{exp}} = 2752.4 \pm 4.4$ MeV and a width of $\Gamma_{\rm exp} = 71 \pm 17$ MeV in the $D^{*+}\pi^-$ channel [\[1](#page-35-0)]. In 2013, the LHCb Collaboration observed an unnatural parity state $D_J(2740)^0$ in the $D^{*+}\pi^-$ channel. The measured mass and width $M = 2737.0 \pm 3.5 \pm 11.2$ MeV and $\Gamma =$ $73.2 \pm 13.4 \pm 25.0$ MeV at LHCb [\[2](#page-35-1)] are consistent with the observations of $D(2750)^{0}$ at BABAR. The spin-parity numbers are identified as $J^P = 2⁻$. In 2019, the LHCb Collaboration carried out a determination of quantum numbers for several excited charmed mesons by using the $B^- \to D^{*+}\pi^-\pi^-$ decays [[5](#page-35-4)]. In this experiment, the spin-parity numbers of $D_J(2740)⁰$ [denoted by $D₂(2740)⁰$] was confirmed to be $J^P = 2^-$, while the measured mass $M = 2751 \pm 3 \pm 7$ MeV and width $\Gamma = 102 \pm 6 \pm 7$ 26 MeV are slightly different from their previous measurements [\[2](#page-35-1)].

In our previous work [\[43](#page-36-5)[,44\]](#page-36-9), we predicted that $D(2750)^{0}/D_{2}(2740)^{0}$ is most likely to be the high-mass mixed state $D(1D'_2)$, which is consistent with the prediction
in Ref. [29] Considering $D(2740)^0$ as $D(1D')$ our in Ref. [[29](#page-35-18)]. Considering $D_2(2740)^0$ as $D(1D'_2)$, our predicted decay width $\Gamma \approx 57$ MeV is in agreement with predicted decay width $\Gamma \simeq 57$ MeV is in agreement with the PDG average data $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} \simeq 88 \pm 19$ MeV [\[7\]](#page-35-6), however, our predicted mass $M = 2827$ MeV is about 70 MeV larger than the data. On the other hand, considering $D_2(2740)^0$ as the low-mass state $D(1D_2)$, we find that although the predicted mass $M = 2754$ MeV is consistent with the observations, our predicted width $\Gamma \approx 250$ MeV is too broad to comparable with the data. It should be mentioned that two LHCb experiments [\[2](#page-35-1),[5](#page-35-4)] do not give very stable resonance parameters for $D_2(2740)^0$. This indicates
that the structure around $D_2(2740)^0$ observed in the $D^{*+}\pi^$ that the structure around D_2 (2740)⁰ observed in the D^{*+} π [−] invariant mass spectrum may be contributed by both the broad state $D(1D_2)$ and the relatively narrow state $D(1D_2)$ broad state $D(1D_2)$ and the relatively harrow state $D(1D_2)$
at the same time. To distinguish $D(1D_2)$ and $D(1D_2')$ and
establish them finally more observations of the $D^*(2460)\pi$ establish them finally, more observations of the $D_2^*(2460)\pi$,
 D^*K and D^*n are suggested to be carried out in future $D_s^* K$ and $D^* \eta$ are suggested to be carried out in future experiments.

In the D_s -meson sector, our predicted masses of $D_s(1D_2)$ and $D_s(1D_2')$ are $M = 2857$ MeV and $M = 2911$ MeV respectively which are close the predictions 2911 MeV, respectively, which are close the predictions in the literature [[31](#page-35-29),[74](#page-36-24),[76](#page-36-25)]. Our determined mixing angle $\theta_{1D} = -40.7^{\circ}$ is similar to that for the D-meson sector. The splitting between $D_s(1D_2)$ and $D_s(1D_2')$, $\Delta M \approx 54$ MeV,
is similar to that predicted in Ref. [37] however, is a factor is similar to that predicted in Ref. [\[37](#page-35-23)], however, is a factor of ∼2 larger than that predicted in Refs. [\[25,](#page-35-25)[31](#page-35-29)[,76\]](#page-36-25). The predicted strong decay properties of both $D_s(1D_2)$ and $D_s(1D_2)$ are listed in Table [XIV.](#page-22-0) It is found that the low-mass state $D_s(1D_s)$ has a width of low-mass state $D_s(1D_2)$ has a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 130 \text{ MeV},\tag{55}
$$

and dominantly decays into the D^*K and DK^* channels with branching fractions ∼82% and ∼11%, respectively. While the high-mass state $D_s(1D'_2)$ may have a relatively narrow width of narrow width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 44 \text{ MeV},\tag{56}
$$

and dominantly decays into the D^*K and DK^* channels with branching fractions ∼65% and ∼24%, respectively. The decay properties predicted with genuine wave functions extracted from potential model in this work are consistent with those predicted with the SHO wave functions in our previous works [\[44,](#page-36-9)[59](#page-36-16)]. The D^*K as the main decay channel of the $D_s(1D_2)$ state has also been predicted within the ${}^{3}P_0$ model [\[31](#page-35-29)[,41\]](#page-35-17), however, for the high-mass state $D_s(1D_2^{\check{}})$, their predicted decay rates into D^*K is tiny D^*K is tiny.

Our previous studies [[44](#page-36-9),[59](#page-36-16)] indicates that the 1^1D_2 – $1³D₂$ mixing might be crucial to uncover the longstanding puzzle about the narrow structure $D_{sJ}(2860)$ in the charmed-strange meson family, which was first observed in the DK channel, then confirmed in the D^*K channels by the BABAR Collaboration [[8](#page-35-7),[10](#page-35-9)]. Many people believe that the $D_{sJ}(2860)$ might be the $1^{3}D_{3}$ state due to its narrow
width However, considering the $D_{s}(2860)$ as the $1^{3}D_{s}$ width. However, considering the $D_{sJ}(2860)$ as the $1^{3}D_{3}$

state only, one cannot well understand the partial width ratio of $R = \Gamma(DK)/\Gamma(D*K) = 1.1 \pm 0.34$ measured by BABAR [\[10\]](#page-35-9). To overcome the puzzle about the measured radio, in Refs. [\[44,](#page-36-9)[59\]](#page-36-16) we proposed that the $J^P = 2^-$ mixed state $D_s(1D'_2)$ mainly decaying into D^*K might highly
overlap with the $D_s(1^3D_s)$ state around the mass region overlap with the $D_s(1^3D_3)$ state around the mass region 2.86 GeV which is compatible with the theoretical analyses 2.86 GeV, which is compatible with the theoretical analyses in Refs. [\[63,](#page-36-35)[65](#page-36-21)]. In 2014, the LHCb Collaboration observed two overlapping spin-1 resonance $D_{s1}^{*}(2860)$
and spin-3 resonance $D_{s}^{*}(2860)$ in the $\bar{D}^{0}K$ -final state by and spin-3 resonance $D_{33}^*(2860)$ in the \bar{D}^0 K⁻ final state by
analyzing the $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0$ K⁻ π ⁺ decay [12,13]. The mea analyzing the $B_s^0 \to \bar{D}^0 K^- \pi^+$ decay [[12](#page-35-11)[,13](#page-35-12)]. The measured partial width ratio $R = \Gamma(DK)/\Gamma(D*K) \simeq 1.1 \pm$ 0.34 is considered to belong to the $D_{s1}(2860)$ resonance by PDG [[7](#page-35-6)]. In fact, for $D_{s1}(2860)$ our predicted partial width ratio $\Gamma(DK)/\Gamma(D*K) \simeq 3$ is still inconsistent with the measured value at BABAR [\[10](#page-35-9)]. Since the structure around 2.86 GeV in the DK invariant mass spectrum can be contributed by both $D_{s_1}^*(2860)$ and $D_{s_2}^*(2860)$,
we may expect that the structure around 2.86 GeV in the we may expect that the structure around 2.86 GeV in the $D*K$ invariant mass spectrum observed at BABAR [[10\]](#page-35-9) can be contributed by all of the 1D-wave states with $J^P = 1, 2, 3, 3$, due to their large decay rates. This is also proposed in Refs. [[34,](#page-35-33)[59](#page-36-16),[65\]](#page-36-21).

To uncover the longstanding puzzle about $D_{sJ}(2860)$, searches for the missing $D_s(\hat{1}D_2)$ and $D_s(\hat{1}D_2)$ are
urgently needed to be carried out in experiments. The urgently needed to be carried out in experiments. The $D*K$ channel may be the optimal channel for future observations.

G. 2D-wave states

1. 2^3D_1

In the D-meson family, the mass of the $D(2^3D_1)$ state is
edicted to be $M = 3143$ MeV, which is comparable predicted to be $M = 3143$ MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in Refs. [[29](#page-35-18),[31](#page-35-29)[,37](#page-35-23),[74\]](#page-36-24). Our predicted strong decay properties for these high 2D-wave states are listed in Table [XV.](#page-24-0) It is found that the $D(2^3D_1)$ state is a very broad state with a width of $\Gamma \sim 830$ MeV. This state very broad state with a width of $\Gamma \simeq 830$ MeV. This state

TABLE XV. Partial decay widths and their branching fractions for the 2D-wave charmed mesons.

	$D(2^3D_1)$ [3143]		$D(2^3D_3)$ [3202]		$D(2D_2)$ [3168]		$D(2D'_2)$ [3221]	
Channel	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$
$D\pi$	192.1	23.2	34.4	20.6		$\overline{}$		
$D_s K$	55.7	6.7	5.0	3.0				
$D\eta$	24.9	3.0	2.5	1.5				
$D\eta'$	12.7	1.5	0.2	0.1				
$D^*\pi$	44.9	5.4	26.6	15.9	152.3	24.3	40.4	24.8
D_s^*K	10.6	1.3	2.5	1.5	45.2	7.2	4.9	3.0
$D^*\eta$	5.0	0.6	1.3	0.8	20.9	3.3	2.3	1.4
$D^*\eta'$	0.8	0.1	0.01	6×10^{-3}	7.5	1.2	0.4	$0.2\,$
$D_0(2550)\pi$	50.6	6.1	5.5	3.3	$\overline{}$	$\qquad \qquad -$	-	-
$D_s(2649)(2^1S_0)K$	2×10^{-3}	2×10^{-4}	0.02	0.01				
$D_0(2550)\eta$	1.8	0.2	0.04	0.02				
$D(2^3S_1)(2627)\pi$	20.1	2.4	7.8	4.7	61.2	9.8	22.6	13.9
$D_{s1}^*(2700)K$			3×10^{-5}	2×10^{-7}	—	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.1	0.06
$D(2^3S_1)(2627)\eta$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	1×10^{-6}	6×10^{-7}		$\overline{}$	0.1	0.06
$D(3^1S_0)(3029)\pi$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	6×10^{-4}	4×10^{-4}		$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$
$D_0^*(2300)\pi$					6.7	1.1	7.3	4.5
$D_s(1^3P_0)(2409)K$					0.5	0.08	0.6	0.4
$D_0^*(2300)\eta$	$\overline{}$		$\overline{}$		0.3	0.05	0.3	0.2
$D(2^3P_0)(2849)\pi$	$\qquad \qquad -$				0.1	0.02	5.5	3.4
$D_2^*(2460)\pi$	0.5	0.06	8.6	5.1	105.3	16.8	14.3	8.8
$D_{s2}^*(2573)K$	0.1	0.01	0.03	0.02	14.0	2.2	0.6	0.4
$D_2^*(2460)\eta$	0.2	0.02	0.03	0.02	9.5	1.5	0.8	0.5
$D(2^3P_2)(2955)\pi$	0.1	0.01	1.3	0.8	63.6	10.2	3.9	2.4
$D_1(2430)\pi$	38.2	4.6	4.3	2.6	6.2	1.0	1.4	0.9
$D_s(1P_1)(2528)K$	3.9	0.5	0.01	6×10^{-3}	0.2	0.03	0.2	0.1
$D_1(2430)\eta$	10.4	1.3	0.02	0.01	0.1	0.02	0.2	0.1

(Table continued)

TABLE XVI. Partial decay widths and their branching fractions for the 2D-wave charmed-strange mesons.

	$D_s(2^3D_1)$ [3233]			$D_s(2^3D_3)$ [3267]		$D_s(2D_2)$ [3267]		$D_s(2D'_2)$ [3306]	
Channel	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	
DK	157.0	30.9	19.0	26.6					
$D_s\eta$	33.2	6.5	2.0	2.8					
$D_s\eta'$	15.6	3.1	0.2	0.3					
D^*K	34.7	6.8	11.6	16.2	130.7	31.0	19.8	28.8	
$D_s^*\eta$	6.3	1.2	4.3	6.0	28.7	6.8	2.0	2.9	
$D_s^*\eta'$	0.8	0.2	1.7	2.4	5.6	1.3	0.3	0.4	
$D_0(2550)K$	47.5	9.4	2.2	3.1					
$D_s(2^1S_0)(2649)\eta$	1.6	0.3	0.03	0.04					
$D(2^3S_1)(2627)K$	14.7	2.9	1.4	2.0	53.7	12.7	7.5	10.9	
$D_{s1}^*(2700)\eta$	$\overline{}$	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.02	0.1	0.1	
$D_0^*(2300)K$			-	$\qquad \qquad -$	3.1	0.7	4.9	7.1	
$D_s(1^3P_0)(2409)\eta$					0.4	0.1	0.2	0.3	
$D_2^*(2460)K$	2.4	0.5	1.1	1.5	99.2	23.5	7.3	10.6	
$D_{s2}^*(2573)\eta$	0.2	0.04	0.02	0.03	26.0	6.2	1.3	1.9	
$D_1(2430)K$	25.2	5.0	0.2	0.3	3.2	0.8	1.2	1.7	
$D_s(1P_1)(2528)\eta$	2.4	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.02	0.2	0.3	
$D_1(2420)K$	146.2	28.8	1.7	2.4	1.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	
$D_{s1}(2535)\eta$	13.8	2.7	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.02	0.01	0.01	
$D(1^3D_1)(2754)K$			1×10^{-4}	1×10^{-4}	0.02	5×10^{-3}	2.4	3.5	
$D_3^*(2760)K$		—	0.03	0.04	1.6	0.4	0.2	0.3	
$D(1D_2)(2755)K$	$\overline{}$		2.8	3.9	0.1	0.02	0.3	0.4	
DK^*	0.7	0.1	6.4	9.0	43.9	10.4	5.5	8.0	
$D_s\phi$	0.02	4×10^{-3}	0.4	0.6	6.4	1.5	2.2	3.2	
D^*K^*	5.1	1.0	14.3	20.0	17.5	4.1	12.2	17.7	
$D_s^*\phi$	0.2	0.04	1.7	2.4	0.7	0.2	$1.1\,$	1.6	
Total	507.6	100	71.4	100	422.3	100	68.8	100	

may be difficult to observe in experiments due to its broad width. It should be mentioned that the width of $D(2^3D_1)$
predicted within our chiral quark model is about a factor predicted within our chiral quark model is about a factor of 4–6 larger than the predictions within the ${}^{3}P_0$ models in Refs. [\[29](#page-35-18),[31](#page-35-29)].

In the D_s -meson family, the mass of $D_s(2^3D_1)$ is
edicted to be $M = 3233$ MeV which is comparable predicted to be $M = 3233$ MeV, which is comparable with those in Refs. [\[31](#page-35-29),[74](#page-36-24)]. Our predicted strong decay properties for these high 2D-wave states are listed in Table [XVI](#page-25-0). It is found that the $D_s(2^3D_1)$ state is a very
broad state with a width of $\Gamma \approx 510$ MeV, and may be broad state with a width of $\Gamma \approx 510$ MeV, and may be difficult to be observed in experiments due to its broad width. It should be mentioned that the width of $D_s(2^3D_1)$
predicted within our chiral quark model are a factor of ~ 3 predicted within our chiral quark model are a factor of ∼3 larger than the predictions within the ${}^{3}P_0$ models in Refs. [\[31](#page-35-29),[41](#page-35-17)].

2. 2^3D_3

In the D-meson family, the mass of the $D(2^3D_3)$ state is equided to be $M = 3202$ MeV which is comparable with predicted to be $M = 3202$ MeV, which is comparable with those predicted in Refs. [\[29,](#page-35-18)[31,](#page-35-29)[37](#page-35-23)[,74\]](#page-36-24). The $D(2^3D_3)$ state is
a relatively narrow state with a width of a relatively narrow state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 167 \text{ MeV},\tag{57}
$$

and have relatively large decay rates into $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ channels with branching fractions ∼21% and ∼16%, respectively. More details of the decay properties can be seen in Table [XV.](#page-24-0) To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D(2^3D_3)$ on its mass, we also plot the main
partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. [8.](#page-26-0) It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D(2^{3}D_3)$ varies in the range
 \sim 110 MeV–220 MeV. A relatively narrow width of ∼110 MeV–220 MeV. A relatively narrow width of $D(2^3D_3)$ is also predicted in Ref. [[29](#page-35-18)], although their
predicted width $\Gamma \sim 30$ MeV is about a factor of five predicted width $\Gamma \simeq 30$ MeV is about a factor of five smaller than ours. To establish the missing $D(2^3D_3)$ state,
the $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ channels are worth observing in future the $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ channels are worth observing in future experiments. However, it should be pointed out that in Refs. [\[29](#page-35-18)[,31\]](#page-35-29), the $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ are not predicted to be the dominant decay modes of $D(2^3D_3)$.
In the D -meson family the mass of

In the D_s -meson family, the mass of the $D_s(2^3D_3)$ state is
edicted to be $M = 3267$ MeV, which is comparable with predicted to be $M = 3267$ MeV, which is comparable with those of Refs. [[29,](#page-35-18)[31](#page-35-29),[37](#page-35-23),[74](#page-36-24)]. The $D_s(2^3D_3)$ state has a narrow width of narrow width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 71 \text{ MeV},\tag{58}
$$

which is in agreement with the prediction of Ref. [[41](#page-35-17)]. The $D_s(2^3D_3)$ state dominantly decays into DK, D^*K^* and D^*K channels with branching fractions $\sim 27\%$ $\sim 20\%$ and D ^{*}K channels with branching fractions ∼27%, ∼20% and ∼16%, respectively. To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D_s(2^3D_3)$ on its mass, we also plot the main

FIG. 8. Total decay widths and the main partial decay widths for $D(2D'_2)$, $D_s(2D'_2)$, $D(2^3D_3)$ and $D_s(2^3D_3)$ as functions of their masses their masses.

partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. [8.](#page-26-0) It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D_s(2^3D_3)$ varies in the range
 \sim 50 MeV, 90 MeV. To establish the missing D (2³D) ~50 MeV–90 MeV. To establish the missing $D_s(2^3D_3)$
state the DK and D^{*}K channels are worth observing in state, the DK and D^*K channels are worth observing in future experiments.

3. $2D_2$ and $2D'_2$

The physical states $2D_2$ and $2D'_2$ are mixed states between states $2^{1}D_2$ and $2^{3}D_2$ via the following mixing scheme,

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 2D_2 \ 2D_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{2D} & \sin \theta_{2D} \\ -\sin \theta_{2D} & \cos \theta_{2D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2^1 D_2 \\ 2^3 D_2 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{59}
$$

In this work, the $2D_2$ and $2D'_2$ correspond to the low-mass and high-mass mixed states, respectively.

In the D-meson family, the masses of the two mixed states $D(2D_2)$ and $D(2D'_2)$ are predicted to be $M = 3168$ MeV and $M = 3221$ MeV respectively. Our predicted 3168 MeV and $M = 3221$ MeV, respectively. Our predicted masses are comparable with those in Refs. [\[31](#page-35-29)[,37,](#page-35-23)[74](#page-36-24)]. The mass splitting between $D(2D_2)$ and $D(2D_2')$ is estimated to the AM \sim 53 MeV, which is in agreement with that of be $\Delta M \simeq 53$ MeV, which is in agreement with that of $\Delta M \simeq 52$ MeV predicted in Ref. [[25](#page-35-25)]. Our predicted mixing angle, $\theta_{2D} = -40.2^{\circ}$, is similar to that determined within the relativized quark model [[30](#page-35-35),[31](#page-35-29)]. The predicted strong decay properties of both $D(2D_2)$ and $D(2D_2')$ strong decay properties of both $D(2D_2)$ and $D(2D_2)$
are listed in Table [XV.](#page-24-0) It is found that the low-mass state $D(2D_2)$ may be a broad state with a width of $\Gamma \simeq 620$ MeV, and have large decay rates into the $D^*\pi$ and $D_2^*(2460)\pi$ channels with branching fractions ~24%
and ~17% respectively. The $D(2D_2)$ state may be difficult and ~17%, respectively. The $D(2D_2)$ state may be difficult to be observed in experiments due to its too broad width. While the high-mass state $D(2D_2')$ may have a relatively narrow width of narrow width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 163 \text{ MeV},\tag{60}
$$

and dominantly decays into $D^*\pi$, $D_1^*(2600)\pi$ and $D^*\rho$
channels with branching fractions $\sim 25\%$ $\sim 14\%$ and channels with branching fractions ∼25%, ∼14%, and ∼11%, respectively. To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D(2D_2')$ on its mass, we also plot the main
partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. [8.](#page-26-0) It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D(2D_2')$ varies in the range
 $\sim 90 \text{ MeV} - 200 \text{ MeV}$. The narrow width nature of $D(2D')$ ~90 MeV–200 MeV. The narrow width nature of $D(2D_2)$ \approx 50 Me v-200 Me v. The narrow widdle hadded $D(2D_2)$
is also predicted by Song *et al.* in Ref. [\[29\]](#page-35-18), although their predicted width $\Gamma \sim 30$ MeV is a factor of ~5 smaller than ours.

In the D_s -meson family, the masses of the two mixed states $D_s(2D_2)$ and $D_s(2D_2')$ are predicted to be $M=3267$ MeV and $M=3306$ MeV respectively. Our predicted 3267 MeV and $M = 3306$ MeV, respectively. Our predicted masses are comparable with those in Refs. [\[31](#page-35-29)[,37,](#page-35-23)[74](#page-36-24)]. The mass splitting between $D_s(2D_2)$ and $D_s(2D_2')$ is estimated
to be $\Delta M \approx 39$ MeV, which is comparable with that to be $\Delta M \simeq 39$ MeV, which is comparable with that predicted in [\[31](#page-35-29)[,37,](#page-35-23)[74](#page-36-24)]. Our predicted mixing angle, $\theta_{2D} = -41.3^{\circ}$, is similar to that determined within the relativized quark model [[30](#page-35-35),[31](#page-35-29)]. The predicted strong decay properties of both $\overline{D}_s(2D_2)$ and $\overline{D}_s(2D_2')$ are listed
in Table XVI. It is found that the low-mass state D (2D₂) in Table [XVI.](#page-25-0) It is found that the low-mass state $D_s(2D_2)$ may be a broad state with a width of $\Gamma \simeq 420$ MeV, and have large decay rates into the D^*K and $D_2^*(2460)K$
channels with branching fractions $\sim 31\%$ and $\sim 23\%$ channels with branching fractions ∼31% and ∼23%, respectively. The $D_s(2D_2)$ state may be difficult to be observed in experiments due to its broad width. It should be mentioned that the width of $D_s(2D_2)$ predicted within our chiral quark model is a factor of ∼3 larger than the predictions within the ${}^{3}P_0$ models in Refs. [\[31,](#page-35-29)[41](#page-35-17)]. While the high-mass state $D_s(2D'_2)$ may have a narrow width of width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 69 \text{ MeV},\tag{61}
$$

which is consistent with the prediction of Ref. [\[41\]](#page-35-17). The $D_s(2D_2')$ dominantly decays into D^*K , D^*K^* , $D(2^3S_1)K$
and $D^*(2460)K$ channels with branching fractions $\sim 29\%$ and D_2^{\ast} (2460)*K* channels with branching fractions ~29%,
≈18% ≈11% and ≈11% respectively To see the depend-∼18%, ∼11%, and ∼11%, respectively. To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D_s(2D'_2)$ on its mass, we
also plot the main partial widths and the total width as also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. [8](#page-26-0). It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D_s(2D_2')$ varies in the range ∼45 MeV–110 MeV. The bigh-mass state $D_s(2D_1')$ may have a large potential to be high-mass state $D_s(2D'_2)$ may have a large potential to be
established in forthcoming experiments. The D^*K channel established in forthcoming experiments. The D^*K channel may be the optimal channel for future observations.

H. 1F-wave states

$$
1. 13F2
$$

In the D-meson family, our predicted mass for $D(1^3F_2)$,
- 3096 MeV is comparable with the predictions in $M = 3096$ MeV, is comparable with the predictions in Refs. [\[29](#page-35-18)[,74\]](#page-36-24). From Table [XVII](#page-28-0), it is found that the $D(1^3F_2)$ state might be a very broad state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 720 \text{ MeV},\tag{62}
$$

and dominantly decays into $D_1(2420)\pi$, $D(1D_2)\pi$, $D(1D'_2)\pi$, and $D^*\rho$. The decay properties predicted in this work roughly agree with those predicted with the SHO work roughly agree with those predicted with the SHO wave functions in our previous work [[44](#page-36-9)], however, is notably (a factor of 2–4) broader than those predicted within the ³ P_0 models in Refs. [[29](#page-35-18)[,31](#page-35-29)]. The $D(1^3F_2)$ may
be too broad to be observed in experiments according to our be too broad to be observed in experiments according to our present predictions.

In the D_s -meson sector, the predicted masses for $D_s(1^3F_2)$ is 3176 MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in Refs [31.41.74]. From table Table XVIII it predictions in Refs. [\[31](#page-35-29)[,41](#page-35-17)[,74\]](#page-36-24). From table Table [XVIII,](#page-29-0) it is found that the $D_s(1^3F_2)$ state might have a very broad width of width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 410 \text{ MeV},\tag{63}
$$

and dominantly decays into $D_1(2420)K$ and D^*K^* with branching fractions ∼46% and ∼17%, respectively. The $D_s(1^3F_2)$ state is also predicted to be a broad state with a width of $\approx 300 \text{ MeV} - 400 \text{ MeV}$ in Refs [29.31.44]. The width of ∼300 MeV–400 MeV in Refs. [[29](#page-35-18),[31](#page-35-29),[44](#page-36-9)]. The $D_s(1^3F_2)$ state may be difficult to be established in
experiments due to its too broad width experiments due to its too broad width.

2. 1^3F_4

In the D-meson family, our predicted mass for $D(1^3F_4)$,
- 3034 MeV is comparable with the predictions in $M = 3034$ MeV, is comparable with the predictions in Refs. [\[29](#page-35-18)[,74\]](#page-36-24). From Table [XVII](#page-28-0), it is found that the $D(1^3F_4)$ is a fairly narrow state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 65 \text{ MeV},\tag{64}
$$

and dominantly decays into the $D\pi$, $D^*\pi$ and $D_1(2430)\pi$ channels with a comparable branching fraction ∼20%. To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D(1^3F_4)$ on
its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. [9.](#page-29-1) It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the

mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D(1^3F_4)$ varies in the range ∼50 MeV–110 MeV. The parrow width of $D(1^3F_4)$ and its relatively large decay rates narrow width of $D(1^3F_4)$ and its relatively large decay rates
into $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ are also predicted within the ³P₂ models into $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ are also predicted within the ³ P_0 models in Refs. [[29](#page-35-18),[31](#page-35-29)]. The $D(1^3F_4)$ might have a large potential
to be observed in the $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ final states due to its to be observed in the $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ final states due to its narrow width.

It is interesting to find that the $D_{\tau}^{*}(3000)$ resonance with natural parity observed in the D_{τ} channel by the IHCb a natural parity observed in the $D\pi$ channel by the LHCb Collaboration in 2013 [\[2\]](#page-35-1) might be a good candidate of $D(1^3F_4)$. Our predicted mass $M = 3034$ MeV is consistent
with the measured value $M = 3008$ 1 + 4.0 MeV of with the measured value $M_{\text{exp}} = 3008.1 \pm 4.0 \text{ MeV}$ of $D_J^*(3000)$. Taking $D_J^*(3000)$ as the $D(1^3F_4)$ state, we find
that $D^*(3000)$ has a large decay rate into the $D\pi$ channel that $D_J^*(3000)$ has a large decay rate into the D_{π} channel

TABLE XVIII. Partial decay widths and their branching fractions for the 1F-wave charmed-strange mesons.

	$D_s(1^3F_2)$ [3176]		$D_s(1^3F_4)$ [3134]		$D_s(1F)$ [3123]		$D_s(1F')$ [3205]	
Channel	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$	Γ_i (MeV)	Br $(\%)$
DK	33.3	8.1	8.1	26.3				
$D_s\eta$	7.4	1.8	0.7	2.3				
$D_s\eta'$	3.2	0.8	0.03	0.1				
$D^{\ast}K$	21.7	5.3	8.8	28.6	40.3	19.3	26.8	37.4
$D_s^*\eta$	4.4	1.1	0.6	1.9	7.6	3.6	2.3	3.2
$D_s^*\eta'$	0.5	0.1	5×10^{-4}	2×10^{-3}	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
$D_0(2550)K$	$1.0\,$	0.2	0.01	0.03				
$D(2^3S_1)(2627)K$	0.1	0.02	6×10^{-6}	2×10^{-5}	4×10^{-4}	2×10^{-4}	0.02	0.03
$D_0^*(2300)K$					0.5	0.2	6.5	9.1
$D_s(1^3P_0)(2409)\eta$					5×10^{-5}	2×10^{-5}	0.4	0.6
$D_2^*(2460)K$	17.8	4.3	2.0	6.5	142.4	68.3	12.7	17.7
$D_{s2}^*(2573)\eta$	0.9	0.2	5×10^{-5}	2×10^{-4}	1.8	0.9	0.3	0.4
$D_1(2430)K$	39.5	9.6	3.9	12.7	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1
$D_s(1P_1)(2528)\eta$	3.5	0.9	0.01	0.03	4×10^{-4}	2×10^{-4}	5×10^{-3}	7×10^{-3}
$D_1(2420)K$	190.2	46.3	0.04	0.1	0.02	0.01	7.5	10.5
$D_{s1}(2535)\eta$	15.6	3.8	2×10^{-5}	7×10^{-5}	5×10^{-5}	2×10^{-5}	0.1	0.1
DK^*	1.4	0.3	1.2	3.9	10.5	5.0	2.0	2.8
$D_s\phi$	0.03	7×10^{-3}	0.01	0.03	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.6
$D^{\ast}K^{\ast}$	69.9	17.0	5.4	17.5	4.4	2.1	12.0	16.8
$D_s^*\phi$	0.4	0.1	4×10^{-5}	1×10^{-4}			0.4	0.6
Total	410.8	100	30.8	100	208.5	100	71.6	100

FIG. 9. Total decay widths and the main partial decay widths for $D(1F'_3)$, $D_s(1F'_3)$, $D(1^3F_4)$, and $D_s(1^3F_4)$ as functions of their masses their masses.

with a branching fraction of ∼17%, which is consistent with the observations. The predicted width $\Gamma \simeq 65$ MeV is also comparable with the data $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 110.5 \pm 11.5 \text{ MeV}.$ Considering $D_J^*(3000)$ as the $D({}^{13}F_4)$ state, it also has a large decay rate into the $D^*\pi$ channel, the partial width ratio large decay rate into the $D^*\pi$ channel, the partial width ratio between $D^*\pi$ and $D\pi$ is predicted to be

$$
R = \frac{\Gamma(D^*\pi)}{\Gamma(D\pi)} \simeq 1.2,\tag{65}
$$

which may be useful to test the nature of $D_J^*(3000)$.
In the D₋meson sector the predicted mass for D (1)

In the \vec{D}_s -meson sector, the predicted mass for $D_s(1^3F_4)$,
3134 MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in is 3134 MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in Refs. [[31](#page-35-29),[41](#page-35-17),[74](#page-36-24)]. Our predicted strong decay properties are listed in Table [XVIII](#page-29-0). It is found that the $D_s(1^3F_4)$ may be a narrow state with a width of narrow state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 31 \text{ MeV},\tag{66}
$$

and dominantly decays into the DK, D^*K , and D^*K^* channels with branching fractions ∼26%, ∼29%, and ∼18%, respectively. To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D_s(1^3F_4)$ on its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. [9.](#page-29-1) It is found that the partial and total decay widths

increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D_s(1^3F_4)$ varies in the range \sim 20 MeV–50 MeV. The *DK D^{*}K* and *D^{*}K^{*}* as the main ~20 MeV–50 MeV. The DK, D^*K , and D^*K^* as the main decay channels are also predicted in Refs. [\[29](#page-35-18)[,31,](#page-35-29)[44](#page-36-9)], however, the predicted width in these works are much broader than ours. The $D_s(1^3F_4)$ might have a large
potential to be observed in the dominant DK and D^*K potential to be observed in the dominant DK and D^*K channels.

3. $1F_3$ and $1F'_3$

The physical states $1F_3$ and $1F'_3$ are mixed states between 1^1F_3 and 1^3F_3 via the following mixing scheme,

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1F_3 \\ 1F'_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{1F} & \sin \theta_{1F} \\ -\sin \theta_{1F} & \cos \theta_{1F} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1^1 F_3 \\ 1^3 F_3 \end{pmatrix} .
$$
 (67)

In this work, the $1F_3$ and $1F'_3$ correspond to the low-mass and high-mass mixed states, respectively.

In the D-meson family, the predicted masses for the two mixed F-wave states $D(1F_3)$ and $D(1F_3')$ are $M = 3022$
and 3129 MeV respectively which are comparable with the and 3129 MeV, respectively, which are comparable with the predictions in Refs. [\[29,](#page-35-18)[74\]](#page-36-24). The mixing angle is determined to be $\theta_{1F} = -41^{\circ}$, which is similar to that determined within the relativized quark model [[30](#page-35-35)[,31](#page-35-29)]. Our predicted strong decay properties are listed in Table [XVII](#page-28-0). It is found that the low-mass mixed state $D(1F_3)$ is a fairly broad state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 340 \text{ MeV},\tag{68}
$$

and dominantly decays into $D_2^*(2460)\pi$, $D_3^*(2760)\pi$, and $D^*\pi$ with branching fractions $\sim 50\%$ $\sim 26\%$ and $\sim 12\%$ D[∗]π with branching fractions ~50%, ~26%, and ~12%, respectively. The decay properties of $D(1F_3)$ predicted in this work are roughly consistent with those predicted with the SHO wave functions in our previous work [[44](#page-36-9)], however, is notably (a factor of \sim 2) broader than those predictions within the ${}^{3}P_0$ models in Refs. [[29](#page-35-18),[31](#page-35-29)]. While the high-mass mixed state $D(1F_3)$ is a relatively narrow state with a width of state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 170 \text{ MeV},\tag{69}
$$

and dominantly decays into $D^*\pi$, $D_2^*(2460)\pi$, $D_1(2420)\pi$,
and $D(13D)$ π with branching fractions $\approx 25\%$, $\approx 21\%$ and $D(1^3D_1)\pi$ with branching fractions ~25%, ~21%,
~12% and ~11% respectively To see the dependence of ∼12%, and ∼11%, respectively. To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D(1F_3)$ on its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. [9](#page-29-1). It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D(1F_3')$ varies in the range $\approx 140 \text{ MeV} - 260 \text{ MeV}$. The decay width of the range ∼140 MeV–260 MeV. The decay width of $D(1F_3')$ predicted in this work is comparable with our previous prediction with the SHO wave functions in previous prediction with the SHO wave functions in Ref. [[44](#page-36-9)], however, is about a factor of ∼2 larger than that predicted in Ref. [[29](#page-35-18)]. To look for the missing $D(1F_3)$ and $\overline{D}(1F_3')$ states, the $\overline{D^*}\pi$ and $\overline{D_2^*}(2460)\pi$ final states are
worth to observing in future experiments worth to observing in future experiments.

In the D_s -meson family, the predicted masses for the two mixed F-wave states $D_s(1F_3)$ and $D_s(1F_3)$ are $M = 3123$
and 3205 MeV respectively which are comparable with the and 3205 MeV, respectively, which are comparable with the predictions in Refs. [\[29,](#page-35-18)[74\]](#page-36-24). The mixing angle is determined to be $\theta_{1F} = -40.7^{\circ}$, which is similar to that determined within the relativized quark model [\[30,](#page-35-35)[31](#page-35-29)]. Our predicted strong decay properties are listed in Table [XVIII.](#page-29-0) It is found that the low-mass mixed state $D_s(1F_3)$ may be a fairly broad state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 210 \text{ MeV},\tag{70}
$$

and dominantly decays into $D_2^*(2460)K$ and D^*K with
branching fractions $\sim 68\%$ and $\sim 19\%$ respectively. The branching fractions ∼68% and ∼19%, respectively. The dominant decay channels of $D_2^*(2460)K$ and D^*K pre-
dicted in this work are consistent with the predictions in dicted in this work are consistent with the predictions in Refs. [\[29](#page-35-18)[,44\]](#page-36-9), although there are large uncertainties in the predictions of the total width. The high-mass mixed state $D_s(1F_3)$ is a narrow state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \simeq 72 \text{ MeV},\tag{71}
$$

and dominantly decays into D^*K , $D_2^*(2460)K$ and D^*K^*
with branching fractions $\sim 37\%$ $\sim 18\%$ and $\sim 17\%$ respecwith branching fractions ∼37%, ∼18%, and ∼17%, respectively. To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D_s(1F_3)$ on its mass, we also plot the main partial widths
and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. 9. It is and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. [9](#page-29-1). It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D_s(1F_3')$ varies in the range \sim 40 MeV–100 MeV. The decay width predicted in this ∼40 MeV–100 MeV. The decay width predicted in this work is notably narrower than those predicted in Refs. [[29](#page-35-18)[,31,](#page-35-29)[44\]](#page-36-9). To look for the missing $D_s(1F_3)$ and $D_s(1F_3)$ states, the D^*K and $D_2^*(2460)K$ final states are
worth observing in future experiments worth observing in future experiments.

I. The $2^3S_1 - 1^3D_1$ mixing

In Sec. [IV B 2](#page-11-1), we have considered the possibility of the $D_1^*(2600)$ and $D_{s1}^*(2700)$ as the candidates of the pure 2^3S_1
states in the D_2 and D_3 meson families, respectively. It is states in the D - and D_s -meson families, respectively. It is found that with these assignments, our predicted widths are too narrow to be comparable with the data. In our previous works [\[43,](#page-36-5)[59](#page-36-16)], we have studied the strong decay properties of the $D_1^*(2600)$ and $D_{s1}^*(2700)$ with an SHO approxima-
tion According to our analysis both $D^*(2600)$ and tion. According to our analysis, both $D_1^*(2600)$ and $D^*(2700)$ could be explained as the mixed state $D_{s1}^{*}(2700)$ could be explained as the mixed state $|(SD)_1\rangle_L$ via the $2^3S_1 - 1^3D_1$ mixing,

$$
\begin{pmatrix} |(SD)_1\rangle_L \\ |(SD)'_1\rangle_H \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi & \sin\phi \\ -\sin\phi & \cos\phi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2^3S_1 \\ 1^3D_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (72)
$$

FIG. 10. Decay properties of $D(\vert (SD)_{1}\rangle_L)$ as functions of the mixing angle ϕ . The horizontally shaded region stands for the measured width $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 93 \pm 6 \pm 13$ MeV at *BABAR* [\[1\]](#page-35-0). The longitudinally shaded region represents the possible range of the mixing angle $\phi \simeq -(27 \pm 8)^\circ$.

where $|(SD)_1\rangle_L$ and $|(SD)'_1\rangle_H$ are assigned to the low-mass
and high-mass mixed states, respectively. The mixing angle and high-mass mixed states, respectively. The mixing angle for the charmed sector is $\phi \simeq -(36 \pm 6)^\circ$, while that for charmed-strange sector is $\phi = (-54 \pm 7)^\circ$. To explain the strong decay properties of the $D_1^*(2600)$ and/or $D_{s1}^*(2700)$,
configuration, mixing, between 2^{35} and $1^{3}D_{s}$ is also configuration mixing between 2^3S_1 and 1^3D_1 is also suggested in the literature [\[37,](#page-35-23)[38,](#page-35-26)[41](#page-35-17)[,42](#page-36-4)[,50,](#page-36-3)[51](#page-36-8),[62](#page-36-34),[81](#page-36-17)]. In this work we restudy the $D_1^*(2600)$ and $D_{s1}^*(2700)$ reso-
nances as the mixed states via the $2^3S - 1^3D$, mixing by nances as the mixed states via the $2^3S_1 - 1^3D_1$ mixing by using the genuine wave functions calculated from our potential model.

Considering $D_1^*(2600)$ as the low-mass mixed state (D) . $\vert (SD)_1 \rangle_L$, we plot the strong decay properties as functions of the mixing angle ϕ in Fig. [10.](#page-31-0) It is found that if we take a mixing angle $\phi \simeq -(27 \pm 8)^\circ$, the theoretical width can be consistent with the data $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 96 \pm 6 \pm 13$ MeV mea-sured by the BABAR Collaboration [\[1](#page-35-0)]. The mixing angle $\phi \simeq -(27 \pm 8)$ ° determined in this work is similar to $\phi \simeq$ $-(36 \pm 6)$ ° determined in our previous work [[43](#page-36-5)]. The D π and $D^*\pi$ are the two dominant decay channels of $|(SD)_1\rangle_L$, which can explain why $D_1^*(2600)$ has been first observed
in these two channels. However, the ratio between $D\pi$ in these two channels. However, the ratio between $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$

$$
R = \frac{\Gamma(D\pi)}{\Gamma(D^*\pi)} \simeq 7.3 \pm 1.4,\tag{73}
$$

FIG. 11. Decay properties of $D(|(SD)'_1\rangle_H)$ as functions of the mixing angle ϕ . The horizontally shaded region stands for the mixing angle ϕ . The horizontally shaded region stands for the measured width $\Gamma_{\rm exp} \simeq 177 \pm 53$ MeV of $D_1^*(2760)$ at LHCb [[3\]](#page-35-2).
Within the possible mixing angle range $A \simeq -(27 + 8)$ ° the Within the possible mixing angle range $\phi \simeq -(27 \pm 8)^\circ$, the width is determined to $\Gamma \simeq 325 \pm 53$ MeV, which is shown by longitudinally shaded region.

is too large to be comparable with the data $\Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D^*\pi) = 0.32 \pm 0.11$ measured by the BABAR Collaboration [\[1\]](#page-35-0). The ratio predicted with the genuine wave functions determined from the potential model in this work is about a factor of 11 larger than that predicted with the SHO wave functions in our previous work [\[43\]](#page-36-5). The ratio is very sensitive to the details of the wave function of $2³S₁$ due to the nodal effects. Thus, the partial width ratio $\Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D^*\pi)$ is hard to be accurately predicted in theory.

If the $D_1^*(2600)$ is the low-mass mixed state $|(SD)_1\rangle_L$
deed the high-mass mixed state $|(SD)'_1\rangle_L$ might be indeed, the high-mass mixed state $|(SD)'_1\rangle_H$ might be
observed in experiments as well. It is interesting to find observed in experiments as well. It is interesting to find that the $J^P = 1^-$ resonance $D_1^*(2760)$ observed in the $D^+\pi^-$ channel by the LHCb Collaboration [3] might be a $D^+\pi^-$ channel by the LHCb Collaboration [[3](#page-35-2)] might be a candidate of the high-mass mixed state $\left| \frac{\langle SD \rangle_1}{\mu} \right|$ in the $D^*(2760)$ as the D-meson family. Considering the $D_1^*(2760)$ as the $1(SD)'$ assignment, the strong decay properties as $|(SD)'_1)_H$ assignment, the strong decay properties as
functions of the mixing angle are plotted in Fig. 11. It functions of the mixing angle are plotted in Fig. [11.](#page-31-1) It is found that within the range of the mixing angle $\phi \simeq$ $-(27 \pm 8)$ ° determined by the $D_1^*(2600)$, the width of $D_1^*(2760)$ is predicted to be $\Gamma \approx 270$ MeV-380 MeV $D_1^*(2760)$ is predicted to be $\Gamma \simeq 270$ MeV–380 MeV,
which is close to the upper limit of the measured width which is close to the upper limit of the measured width $\Gamma = 177 \pm 53$ MeV. As the high-mass mixed state, $D_1^*(2760)$ should dominantly decay into the $D_1(2420)\pi$,
 $D_1(2430)\pi$ and $D\pi$ channels. To confirm the nature of $D_1(2430)\pi$ and $D\pi$ channels. To confirm the nature of $D_1^*(2760)$, both the $D_1(2420)\pi$ and the $D_1(2430)\pi$ chan-
nels are worth observing in future experiments nels are worth observing in future experiments.

In the D_s -meson family, considering $D_{s1}^*(2700)$
the low-mass mixed state $|(SD)_{\cdot}\rangle$, we plot the strong as the low-mass mixed state $\vert (SD)_{1}\rangle_{L}$, we plot the strong decay properties as functions of the mixing angle ϕ in Fig. [12](#page-32-1). One sees that if we take the mixing angle with $\phi \simeq -(27 \pm 8)^{\circ}$, the decay width $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 113^{+41}_{-37}$ MeV and partial width ratio $R = \Gamma(D*K) / \Gamma(DK) \simeq 0.91 \pm 0.25$ partial width ratio $R_{\text{exp}} = \Gamma(D^*K)/\Gamma(DK) \simeq 0.91 \pm 0.25$

FIG. 12. Decay properties of $D_s(|(SD)_1\rangle_L)$ as functions of the mixing angle ϕ . The horizontally shaded region stands for the measured width $\Gamma_{\rm exp} = 108 \pm 23^{+36}_{-31}$ MeV at Belle [\[9\]](#page-35-8). Within
the possible mixing angle range $\phi \sim (27 + 8)$ ° the width is the possible mixing angle range $\phi \simeq -(27 \pm 8)^\circ$, the width is determined to $\Gamma \simeq 61 \pm 18$ MeV, which is shown by longitudinally shaded region.

of $D_{s1}^{*}(2700)$ measured by the *BABAR* Collaboration
[10.16] can be well described within the uncertainties [\[10](#page-35-9)[,16\]](#page-35-15) can be well described within the uncertainties.

If the $D_{s1}^{*}(2700)$ is the low-mass mixed state $|(SD)|_k$ might be indeed, the high-mass mixed state $|(SD)'_1\rangle_H$ might be
observed in experiments as well. The $I^P - 1$ resonance observed in experiments as well. The $J^P = 1^-$ resonance $D_{s1}^{*}(2860)$ observed in the $\bar{D}^{0}K^{-}$ final state by the LHCb Collaboration [12] might be a candidate of the LHCb Collaboration [[12](#page-35-11)] might be a candidate of the high-mass mixed state $|(SD)'_1)_H$ in the D_s-meson family.
Considering D^{*} (2860) as the $|(SD)'_2|$ assignment, the Considering $D_{s1}^{*}(2860)$ as the $|(SD)'_1\rangle_H$ assignment, the strong decay properties as functions of the mixing angle strong decay properties as functions of the mixing angle are plotted in Fig. [13.](#page-32-2) It is found that if we take mixing angle $\phi \approx -(27 \pm 8)^{\circ}$, the predicted width of $D_{s1}^{*}(2860)$,
 $\Gamma \sim 112 + 32$ MeV is consistent with the measured width $\Gamma \approx 112 \pm 32$ MeV, is consistent with the measured width of $\Gamma = 159 \pm 23 \pm 77$ MeV [[12\]](#page-35-11). The partial width ratio between D^*K and DK channels is predicted to be

$$
R = \frac{\Gamma(D^*K)}{\Gamma(DK)} \simeq 0.38 \pm 0.03,\tag{74}
$$

which can be used to test the nature of $D_{s1}^{*}(2860)$.
As a whole, our underestimation of the decay w

As a whole, our underestimation of the decay widths of $D_1^*(2600)$ and $D_{s_1}^*(2700)$ as a pure 2^3S_1 configuration
can be overcome by mixing with some 1^3D_{∞} can be overcome by mixing with some $1³D₁$ -wave components. Meanwhile, the widths of the $J^P = 1^-$ resonances $D_1^*(2760)$ and $D_{s1}^*(2860)$ observed by the LHCb
Collaboration, can be reasonably explained with the Collaboration can be reasonably explained with the

FIG. 13. Decay properties of $D_s(|(SD)'_1)_H$ as functions of the mixing angle ϕ . The horizontally shaded region stands for the mixing angle ϕ . The horizontally shaded region stands for the average measured width $\Gamma_{\rm exp} \simeq 159 \pm 80$ MeV of $D_{s1}^*(2860)$
from PDG [7] Within the possible mixing angle range from PDG [[7\]](#page-35-6). Within the possible mixing angle range $\phi \approx -(27\pm 8)^\circ$, the width is determined to $\Gamma \approx 112\pm 32$ MeV, which is shown by longitudinally shaded region.

high-mass mixed states $D(|(SD)'_1\rangle_H)$ and $D_s(|(SD)'_1\rangle_H)$,
respectively However the measured ratio $\Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D^*\pi)$ respectively. However, the measured ratio $\Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D^*\pi)$ 0.32 ± 0.11 for $D_1^*(2600)$ is inconsistent with our predic-
tions. To clarify the natures of these $I^P - 1$, charmed and tions. To clarify the natures of these $J^P = 1^-$ charmed and charmed-strange meson resonances and test various model predictions, (i) both $D_1^*(2760)$ and $D_{s1}^*(2860)$ are waiting to be confirmed by other experiments (ii) the partial width be confirmed by other experiments, (ii) the partial width ratio $\Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D^*\pi)$ for $D_1^*(2600)$ and $\Gamma(DK)/\Gamma(D^*K)$ for $D^*(2700)$ are waiting to be confirmed by other experi- $D_{s1}^*(2700)$ are waiting to be confirmed by other experiments and (iii) the resonance parameters of $D^*(2600)$ and ments, and (iii) the resonance parameters of $D_1^*(2600)$ and $D^*(2700)$ are waiting to be accurately measured in future $D_{s1}^{*}(2700)$ are waiting to be accurately measured in future experiments.

V. SUMMARY

In this work we systematically calculate the mass spectra of charmed and charmed-strange meson states up to the 2D excitations with a semirelativistic potential model. Our results are in good agreement with other quark model predictions, although there are some model dependencies in the predicted masses for the higher 2Dand 1F-wave states. The strong decay properties are further analyzed with a chiral quark model by using the numerical wave functions obtained from the potential model. To well understand the 1P-wave states, we also systematically consider the coupled-channel effects on the masses of the 1P-wave states by using the strong decay amplitudes obtained within the chiral quark model. Based on our good descriptions of the mass and decay properties for the low-lying well-established states $D_1(2420)$, $D_1(2430), D_2(2460), D_{s1}(2536)$, and $D_{s2}(2573)$, we give a quark model classification for the high-mass resonances observed in recent years. Our main conclusions are summarized as follows:

- (a) There are notable couple-channel corrections to the bare masses for the $D(\hat{1}^3P_0)$, $D_s(1^3P_0)$, and $D_s(1P_1)$
states The $D^*(2317)$ and $D_s(2460)$ can be explained states. The $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ can be explained
with the dressed states $D_{s1}(38)$ and $D_{s1}(18)$ by the with the dressed states $D_s(1^3P_0)$ and $D_s(1P_1)$ by the DK and D^*K loops respectively. The physical mass DK and D^*K loops, respectively. The physical mass for the dressed $D(1^3P_0)$ state is predicted to be \sim 2253 MeV which is about 50 MeV lower than ∼2253 MeV, which is about 50 MeV lower than the PDG average mass of $D_0^*(2300)$.
The $D_2(2550)$ resonance can be c
- (b) The $D_0(2550)$ resonance can be classified as the $D(2^{1}S_{0})$ state. Considering the newly observed
 $D_{0}(2590)$ as the flavor partner of $D_{0}(2550)$ the $D_{s0}(2590)$ as the flavor partner of $D_0(2550)$, the physical mass of $D_s(2^1S_0)$, $M_{\text{phy}} = 2581 \text{ MeV}$, is close to the observed mass by including the countedclose to the observed mass by including the coupledchannel effects, however, our predicted width is much smaller than the observed one.
- (c) $D_3^*(2750)$ and $D_2(2740)$ can be classified as the 1D-wave states with the assignments $D(1^3D_2)$ and 1D-wave states with the assignments $D(1^3D_3)$ and $D(1D_2)$ respectively. The $D^*(2860)$ resonance $D(1D'_2)$, respectively. The $D_{33}^*(2860)$ resonance
should be the flavor partner of $D_2^*(2750)$ and correshould be the flavor partner of $\vec{D}_{3}^{*}(2750)$, and corre-
spond to the D (1³D_c) state. The D (1D') state as the spond to the $D_s(1^3D_3)$ state. The $D_s(1D_2)$ state, as the flavor partner of $D_s(2740)$ is most likely to be flavor partner of $D_2(2740)$, is most likely to be observed in the D^*K channel due to its narrow width nature.
- (d) $D_J^*(3000)$ is more favor a candidate of $D(1^3F_4)$
or $D(2^3P_5)$ As the $D(1^3F_5)$ assignment the predicted or $D(2^3P_2)$. As the $D(1^3F_4)$ assignment the predicted
width is about a factor of 2 smaller than the width is about a factor of 2 smaller than the observation, while as the $D(2^3P_2)$ assignment the predicted width is about a factor of 2.5 larger than predicted width is about a factor of 2.5 larger than the observation.
- (e) $D_I(3000)$ may favor the 2P-wave high-mass mixed state $D(2P'_1)$. The $D_{sJ}(3040)$ resonance also favor the $2P_{\text{c}}$ mixed state $D_2(2P_1)$ or $D_3(2P'_1)$. Consider-2P-wave mixed state $D_s(2P_1)$ or $D_s(2P'_1)$. Consider-
ing D. (3040) as D. (2P.) the predicted width is ing $D_{sJ}(3040)$ as $D_s(2P_1)$, the predicted width is close to the upper limit of the data, while as the $D_S(2P'_1)$ assignment the predicted width is close to the lower limit of the data. The $D_S(3040)$ may be lower limit of the data. The $D_{sJ}(3040)$ may be contributed to by both $D_s(2P_1)$ and $D_s(2P'_1)$.
 D^* (2700) and D^* (2860) may favor the mixed
- (f) $D_{s1}^{*}(2700)$ and $D_{s1}^{*}(2860)$ may favor the mixed states $|(SD) \rangle$ and $|(SD)' \rangle$ via the $2^{3}S_{-1}^{*}3D$, mixing $|(SD)_1\rangle_L$ and $|(SD)'_1\rangle_H$ via the $2^3S_1-1^3D_1$ mixing, respectively.
- (g) There still exist questions for understanding the natures of $D_1^*(2600)$ and $D_1^*(2760)$. Considering
 $D_1^*(2600)$ and $D_1^*(2760)$ as $D(23S_1)$ and $D(13D_1)$ $D_1^*(2600)$ and $D_1^*(2760)$ as $D(2^3S_1)$ and $D(1^3D_1)$,
respectively the predicted widths are inconsistent respectively, the predicted widths are inconsistent with the data. While considering them as the mixed states $|(SD)_1\rangle_L$ and $|(SD)_1'\rangle_H$, their widths are rea-
sonably consistent with the data however the ratio sonably consistent with the data, however, the ratio $R = (D\pi)/(D^*\pi)$ for $D_1^*(2600)$ is inconsistent with
the observation the observation.
- (h) Many missing excited D and D_s -meson states, such as $D_s(1D_2)$, $D_s(1D'_2)$, $D_s(2^3P_2)$, $D(2^3D_3)/D_s(2^3D_3)$,
 $D(2D')/D_s(2D')$, $D(1^3F)$, $D(1^3F)$, and $D(1F')/D_s(2^3D_s)$ $D(2D_2')/D_s(2D_2')$, $D(1^3F_4)/D_s(1^3F_4)$, and $D(1F_3')/D_s(1F_4')$, have a relatively narrow width they are most $D_s(1\overline{F_3})$, have a relatively narrow width, they are most
likely to be observed in their dominant decay channels likely to be observed in their dominant decay channels in future experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Prof. Xiang Liu and Dr. Zhi Yang for very helpful discussions. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. U1832173, No. 11775078, and No. 12175065).

APPENDIX: COUPLED-CHANNEL MODEL

In this appendix, we give the details of the model including the coupled-channel effects on the charmed and charmed-strange meson mass spectra. This simple coupled-channel model has been widely adopted in the literature [\[95,](#page-37-7)[96](#page-37-8)[,114](#page-37-12),[134](#page-38-9)[,135](#page-38-10)[,141](#page-38-17)–[146](#page-38-18)].

A bare meson state $|A\rangle$ in the quark model can couple to the two-hadron continuum BC by hadronic loops as shown in Fig. [14.](#page-33-0) The experimentally observed state may be an admixture between the bare state and continuum components, thus, the wave function of the physical state is given by

$$
|\psi\rangle = c_A|A\rangle + \sum_{BC} \int c_{BC}(\mathbf{p})|BC, \mathbf{p}\rangle d^3\mathbf{p}, \quad (A1)
$$

where $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_B = -\mathbf{p}_C$ is the final two-hadron relative momentum in the initial hadron static system, c_A and $c_{BC}(\mathbf{p})$ denote the probability amplitudes of the bare valence state $|A\rangle$ and $|BC, \mathbf{p}\rangle$ continuum components, respectively.

The full Hamiltonian of this mixed system $|\psi\rangle$ can be written as

$$
\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_0 & \hat{H}_I \\ \hat{H}_I & \hat{H}_c \end{pmatrix} .
$$
 (A2)

In the above equation, \hat{H}_0 is the Hamiltonian of the bare meson state $|A\rangle$ in the potential model, while \hat{H}_c is the Hamiltonian for the continuum state $|BC, \mathbf{p}\rangle$. Neglecting the interaction between the hadrons B and C , one has

$$
\hat{H}_c|BC, \mathbf{p}\rangle = E_{BC}|BC, \mathbf{p}\rangle, \tag{A3}
$$

where $E_{BC} = \sqrt{m_B^2 + p^2} + \sqrt{m_C^2 + p^2}$ represents the percy of *BC* continuum components. The mixing between energy of BC continuum components. The mixing between

FIG. 14. The BC hadronic loop coupled to a bare meson state $|A\rangle$.

 $|A\rangle$ and $|BC, \mathbf{p}\rangle$ is caused by the Hamiltonian \hat{H}_I , which can be borrowed from our chiral quark model.

The Schrödinger equation of a mixed system can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}\n&\left(\begin{array}{cc}\n\hat{H}_0 & \hat{H}_I \\
\hat{H}_I & \hat{H}_c\n\end{array}\right)\n\begin{pmatrix}\nc_A|A\rangle \\
\sum_{BC}\int c_{BC}(\mathbf{p})|BC, \mathbf{p}\rangle d^3\mathbf{p}\n\end{pmatrix} \\
&= M\left(\sum_{BC}\int c_{BC}(\mathbf{p})|BC, \mathbf{p}\rangle d^3\mathbf{p}\right).\n\end{aligned} \tag{A4}
$$

From Eq. [\(A4\),](#page-34-1) we have

$$
\langle A|\hat{H}|\psi\rangle = c_A M
$$

= $c_A M_A + \sum_{BC} \int c_{BC}(\mathbf{p}) \langle A|\hat{H}_I|BC, \mathbf{p} \rangle d^3 \mathbf{p}$, (A5)

$$
\langle BC, \mathbf{p} | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle = c_{BC}(\mathbf{p})M
$$

= $c_{BC}(\mathbf{p})E_{BC} + c_A \langle BC, \mathbf{p} | \hat{H}_I | A \rangle$. (A6)

Deriving $c_{BC}(\mathbf{p})$ from Eq. [\(A6\),](#page-34-2) and substituting it into Eq. [\(A5\)](#page-34-3), we get a coupled-channel equation

$$
M = M_A + \Delta M(M), \tag{A7}
$$

where the mass shift $\Delta M(M)$ is given by

$$
\Delta M(M) = \text{Re} \sum_{BC} \int_0^\infty \frac{|\langle BC, \mathbf{p} | \hat{H}_I | A \rangle|^2}{(M - E_{BC})} p^2 dp, \quad (A8)
$$

and M_A is the bare mass of the meson state $|A\rangle$ obtained from the potential model. From Eq. [\(A7\)](#page-34-0) and Eq. [\(A8\)](#page-34-4), the physical mass M and the bare-state mass shift ΔM can be determined simultaneously.

It should be mentioned that when we calculate the mass shift ΔM by using the Eq. [\(A8\),](#page-34-4) the nonphysical contributions from higher p region may be involved. To know the whole momentum region contributions, as an example, considering the DK loop, in Fig. [15](#page-34-5) we plot the mass shift $\Delta M(\mathbf{p})$ of $D_s(1^3P_0)$ [i.e., the integral function in Eq. (A8)] as a function of the momentum $p = |\mathbf{n}|$ It is Eq. [\(A8\)\]](#page-34-4) as a function of the momentum $p = |\mathbf{p}|$. It is found that two regions contribute to the mass shift. The main contribution region is the low p region dominated by the pole. In the higher **p** region of $p \approx 1.2-4$ GeV, a small bump structure exists. This bump contribution may

FIG. 15. The integral function $\Delta M(\mathbf{p}) \equiv \frac{|\langle BC, \mathbf{p}|\hat{H}_I|A\rangle|^2}{(M-E_{BC})} p^2$ in
Eq. (A8) for the D (1³P_c) state varies with the momentum Eq. [\(A8\)](#page-34-4) for the $D_s(1^3P_0)$ state varies with the momentum
 $p = |\mathbf{n}|$. The thin line stands for the results with a suppressed $p = |\mathbf{p}|$. The thin line stands for the results with a suppressed factor $e^{-p^2/(2\Lambda^2)}$ ($\Lambda = 0.84$ GeV) as that adopted in Ref. [\[96\]](#page-37-8), while the thick line stands for the results without the suppressed factor.

be nonphysical, because the quark pair production rates via the nonperturbative interaction \hat{H}_I should be strongly suppressed in the high-momentum region [[147](#page-38-19),[148\]](#page-38-20). It should be mentioned that in the chiral quark model the chiral interaction \hat{H}_I is only applicable to the low **p** region.

To soften the hard vertices \hat{H}_I in the higher momentum region, and reasonably describe the mass shifts, an additional factor is suggested to be introduced into the two-body decay amplitude $\langle BC, \mathbf{p} | \hat{H}_I | A \rangle$ [\[147](#page-38-19)]. Adopting suppressed factor $e^{-p^2/(2\Lambda^2)}$ with $\Lambda = 0.84$ GeV as that used in Ref. [\[96\]](#page-37-8), we also plot the mass shift $\Delta M(\mathbf{p})$ of $D_s(1^3P_0)$ as a function of the momentum $p = |\mathbf{p}|$ in Fig. 15. It is found that the fector $e^{-p^2/(2\Lambda^2)}$ indeed Fig. [15](#page-34-5). It is found that the factor $e^{-p^2/(2\Lambda^2)}$ indeed eliminates the contributions from the high momentum region. To eliminate the nonphysical contributions, in our calculations we cut off the momentum p at the inflection point in $\Delta M(\mathbf{p})$ function as shown in Fig. [15](#page-34-5). It should be pointed out that the cutoff momentum for each meson states is different due to the different position of the inflection point. With this momentum cutoff approach, our predicted mass shifts due to coupled-channel effects for the D and/or D_s meson states are consistent with the predictions in the literature [\[95,](#page-37-7)[96](#page-37-8)[,134](#page-38-9),[135](#page-38-10)].

- [1] P. del Amo Sanchez et al. (BABAR Collaboration), Observation of new resonances decaying to $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ in inclusive e^+e^- collisions near $\sqrt{s} = 10.58$ GeV, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.111101)
Rev. D. 82, 111101 (2010) Rev. D 82[, 111101 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.111101).
- [2] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Study of D_J meson decays to $D^+\pi^-$, $D^0\pi^+$ and $D^{*+}\pi^-$ final states in pp collision, [J. High Energy Phys. 09 \(2013\) 145.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2013)145)
- [3] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), First observation and amplitude analysis of the $B^- \rightarrow D^+ K^- \pi^-$ decay, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.092002) Rev. D 91[, 092002 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.092002); Erratum, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.119901) 93, [119901 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.119901)
- [4] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Amplitude analysis of $B^{-} \to D^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{-}$ decays, Phys. Rev. D 94[, 072001 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.072001)
- [5] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Determination of quantum numbers for several excited charmed mesons observed in $B^- \to D^{*+} \pi^- \pi^-$ decays, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.032005) 101, [032005 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.032005)
- [6] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Dalitz plot analysis of $B^0 \to \bar{D}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays, Phys. Rev. D **92**[, 032002 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.032002).
- [7] P. A. Zyla et al. (Particle Data Group), Review of particle physics, [Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptaa104) 2020, 083C01 (2020).
- [8] B. Aubert et al. (BABAR Collaboration), Observation of a New D_s Meson Decaying to DK at a Mass of 2.86 GeV/ c^2 , Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**[, 222001 \(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.222001).
- [9] J. Brodzicka et al. (Belle Collaboration), Observation of a New D_{sJ} Meson in $B^+ \to \bar{D}^0 D^0 K^+$ Decays, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.092001) Lett. 100[, 092001 \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.092001).
- [10] B. Aubert *et al.* (*BABAR* Collaboration), Study of D_{sJ} decays to D^*K in inclusive e^+e^- interactions, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.092003) 80[, 092003 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.092003)
- [11] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Study of D_{sJ} decays to $D^+K^0_S$ and D^0K^+ final states in pp collisions, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)151) [Energy Phys. 10 \(2012\) 151.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)151)
- [12] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Observation of Overlapping Spin-1 and Spin-3 $\bar{D}^0 K^-$ Resonances at Mass 2.86 GeV/ c^2 , Phys. Rev. Lett. 113[, 162001 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.162001).
- [13] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Dalitz plot analysis of $B_s^0 \to \bar{D}^0 K^- \pi^+$ decays, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.072003) 90, 072003 [\(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.072003)
- [14] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Study of $D_{sJ}^{(*)+}$ mesons decaying to $D^{*+}K_S^0$ and $D^{*0}K^+$ final states, [J. High Energy Phys. 02 \(2016\) 133.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2016)133)
- [15] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Observation of a New Excited D_s^+ Meson in $B^0 \to D^-D^+K^+\pi^-$ Decays, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.122002) Rev. Lett. 126[, 122002 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.122002).
- [16] J.P. Lees et al. (BABAR Collaboration), Dalitz plot analyses of $B^0 \to D^- D^0 K^+$ and $B^+ \to \bar{D}^0 D^0 K^+$ decays, Phys. Rev. D 91[, 052002 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.052002).
- [17] J. K. Chen, Regge trajectories for the mesons consisting of different quarks, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6134-0) 78, 648 (2018).
- [18] M. Allosh, Y. Mustafa, N. Khalifa Ahmed, and A. Sayed Mustafa, Ground and excited state mass spectra and properties of heavy-light mesons, [Few-Body Syst.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00601-021-01608-1) 62, [26 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00601-021-01608-1).
- [19] V. Patel, R. Chaturvedi, and A. K. Rai, Spectroscopic properties of D-meson using screened potential, [Eur. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-020-01039-7) J. Plus 136[, 42 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-020-01039-7).
- [20] J. B. Liu and M. Z. Yang, Spectrum of the charmed and b-flavored mesons in the relativistic potential model, [J. High Energy Phys. 07 \(2014\) 106.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2014)106)
- [21] J. B. Liu and M. Z. Yang, Spectrum of higher excitations of B and D mesons in the relativistic potential model, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.094004) Rev. D 91[, 094004 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.094004).
- [22] J. B. Liu and C. D. Lü, Spectra of heavy-light mesons in a relativistic model, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4867-9) 77, 312 (2017).
- [23] J. B. Liu and M. Z. Yang, Heavy-light mesons in a relativistic model, Chin. Phys. C 40[, 073101 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/40/7/073101)
- [24] A. M. Badalian and B. L. G. Bakker, Higher excitations of the *D* and D_s mesons, Phys. Rev. D **84**[, 034006 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.034006)
- [25] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin, Heavy-light meson spectroscopy and Regge trajectories in the relativistic quark model, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1233-6) 66, 197 (2010).
- [26] A. Zhang, Implications to $c\bar{s}$ assignments of $D_{s1}(2700)^{+-}$ and $D_{sJ}(2860)$, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2011.02.004) **A856**, 88 (2011).
- [27] D. Zhou, E. L. Cui, H. X. Chen, L. S. Geng, X. Liu, and S. L. Zhu, D-wave heavy-light mesons from QCD sum rules, Phys. Rev. D 90[, 114035 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.114035).
- [28] B. Chen, D. X. Wang, and A. Zhang, Interpretation of $D_{sJ}(2632) + D_{s1}(2700)^{+-}$, $D_{sJ}^*(2860)^{+}$ and $D_{sJ}(3040)^{+}$,
Phys. Rev. D. 80, 071502.(2009) Phys. Rev. D 80[, 071502 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.071502).
- [29] Q. T. Song, D. Y. Chen, X. Liu, and T. Matsuki, Higher radial and orbital excitations in the charmed meson family, Phys. Rev. D 92[, 074011 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.074011).
- [30] Q. F. Lü and D. M. Li, Understanding the charmed states recently observed by the LHCb and BABAR Collaborations in the quark model, Phys. Rev. D 90[, 054024 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.054024)
- [31] S. Godfrey and K. Moats, Properties of excited charm and charm-strange mesons, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.034035) 93, 034035 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.034035)
- [32] V. Kher, N. Devlani, and A. K. Rai, Excited state mass spectra, decay properties and Regge trajectories of charm and charm-strange mesons, [Chin. Phys. C](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/41/7/073101) 41, 073101 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/41/7/073101)
- [33] J. Ferretti and E. Santopinto, Open-flavor strong decays of open-charm and open-bottom mesons in the $\bar{3}P_0$ model, Phys. Rev. D 97[, 114020 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.114020).
- [34] J. Segovia, D. R. Entem, and F. Fernandez, Charmedstrange meson spectrum: Old and new problems, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.094020) Rev. D 91[, 094020 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.094020).
- [35] K. Gandhi and A. K. Rai, Strong decays analysis of excited nonstrange charmed mesons: Implications for spectroscopy, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-020-00332-4) 57, 23 (2021).
- [36] Y. Sun, X. Liu, and T. Matsuki, Newly observed $D_J(3000)^{+.0}$ and $D_J^*(3000)^0$ as 2P states in D meson
family Phys. Bey D 88, 094020 (2013) family, Phys. Rev. D 88[, 094020 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.094020)
- [37] D. M. Li, P. F. Ji, and B. Ma, The newly observed opencharm states in quark model, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1582-9) 71, 1582 [\(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1582-9)
- [38] H. Yu, Z. Zhao, and A. Zhang, Dynamical mixing between $2³S₁$ and $1³D₁$ charmed mesons, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.054013) **102**, 054013 [\(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.054013)
- [39] W. I. Eshraim, F. Giacosa, and D. H. Rischke, Phenomenology of charmed mesons in the extended Linear Sigma Model, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2015-15112-2) 51, 112 (2015).
- [40] M. Shah, B. Patel, and P. C. Vinodkumar, Mass spectra and decay properties of D_s . Meson in a relativistic Dirac formalism, Phys. Rev. D 90[, 014009 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.014009).
- [41] Q. T. Song, D. Y. Chen, X. Liu, and T. Matsuki, Charmedstrange mesons revisited: Mass spectra and strong decays, Phys. Rev. D 91[, 054031 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.054031).
- [42] Z. F. Sun, J. S. Yu, X. Liu, and T. Matsuki, Newly observed $D(2550)$, $D(2610)$, and $D(2760)$ as 2S and 1D charmed mesons, Phys. Rev. D 82[, 111501 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.111501).
- [43] X. H. Zhong, Strong decays of the newly observed $D(2550)$, $D(2600)$, $D(2750)$, and $D(2760)$, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.114014) D **82**[, 114014 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.114014)
- [44] L. Y. Xiao and X. H. Zhong, Strong decays of higher excited heavy-light mesons in a chiral quark model, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.074029) Rev. D 90[, 074029 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.074029).
- [45] G.L. Yu, Z.G. Wang, and Z.Y. Li, Analysis of the charmed mesons $D_1^*(2680)$, $D_2^*(2760)$ and $D_2^*(3000)$,
Phys. Rev. D.94, 074024, (2016) Phys. Rev. D 94[, 074024 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.074024).
- [46] Z.G. Wang, Analysis of strong decays of the charmed mesons $D(2550)$, $D(2600)$, $D(2750)$ and $D(2760)$, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.014009) Rev. D 83[, 014009 \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.014009).
- [47] Z. G. Wang, Analysis of strong decays of the charmed mesons $D_J(2580), D_J^*$
 $D_J(3000), D_{\perp}^*(3000),$ Phys $J_J^*(2650), D_J(2740), D_J^*(2760),$
Rev. D. **88** 114003 (2013) $D_J(3000), D_J^*(3000),$ Phys. Rev. D 88[, 114003 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.114003).
G L Yu Z G Wang Z Y Li and G O Meng System
- [48] G. L. Yu, Z. G. Wang, Z. Y. Li, and G. Q. Meng, Systematic analysis of the $D_J(2580)$, $D_J^*(2650)$, $D_J(2740)$,
 $D^*(2760)$, $D_J(3000)$ and $D^*(3000)$ in D meson family $D_J^*(2760)$, $D_J(3000)$ and $D_J^*(3000)$ in D meson family,
Chin Phys. C 39, 063101 (2015) Chin. Phys. C 39[, 063101 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/39/6/063101)
- [49] P. Gupta and A. Upadhyay, Analysis of strong decays of charmed mesons $D_2^*(2460)$, $D_0(2560)$, $D_2(2740)$,
 $D_1(3000)$, $D_1^*(3000)$ and their spin partners $D_1^*(2680)$ $D_1(3000)$, $D_2^*(3000)$ and their spin partners $D_1^*(2680)$,
 $D_2^*(2760)$ and $D_2^*(3000)$ Phys. Rev. D. 97, 014015 $D_0^*(2760)$ and $D_0^*(3000)$, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.014015) **97**, 014015 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.014015)
- [50] B. Chen, L. Yuan, and A. Zhang, Possible 2S and 1D charmed and charmed-strange mesons, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.114025) 83, [114025 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.114025)
- [51] B. Chen, X. Liu, and A. Zhang, Combined study of 2S and 1D open-charm mesons with natural spin-parity, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.034005) Rev. D 92[, 034005 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.034005).
- [52] T. Wang, Z. H. Wang, Y. Jiang, L. Jiang, and G. L. Wang, Strong decays of $D_3^*(2760)$, $D_{s3}^*(2860)$, B_3^* , and B_{s3}^* , [Eur.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4611-5)
Phys. I. C. 77, 38.(2017) Phys. J. C 77[, 38 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4611-5)
- [53] Q. T. Song, D. Y. Chen, X. Liu, and T. Matsuki, $D_{s1}^*(2860)$
and $D^*(2860)$: Candidates for 1D charmed-strange mesand $D_{s3}^*(2860)$: Candidates for 1D charmed-strange mes-
ons. Fur. Phys. I. C. 75, 30.(2015) ons, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3265-4) 75, 30 (2015).
- [54] Z. G. Wang, $D_{s3}^{*}(2860)$ and $D_{s1}^{*}(2860)$ as the 1D $c\bar{s}$ states,
Fur, Phys. J. C. 75, 25, (2015) [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3246-z) 75, 25 (2015).
- [55] Q. Li, Y. Jiang, T. Wang, H. Yuan, G. L. Wang, and C. H. Chang, Study of the excited 1[−] charm and charm-strange mesons, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4865-y) 77, 297 (2017).
- [56] S. C. Zhang, T. Wang, Y. Jiang, Q. Li, and G. L. Wang, Strong decays of 2^+ charm and charm-strange mesons, [Int.](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X17500221) J. Mod. Phys. A 32[, 1750022 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X17500221)
- [57] X. Z. Tan, T. Wang, Y. Jiang, S. C. Li, Q. Li, G. L. Wang, and C. H. Chang, Strong decays of the orbitally excited scalar D_0^* mesons, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6054-z) 78, 583 (2018).
- [58] X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Strong decays of heavy-light mesons in a chiral quark model, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.014029) 78, 014029 [\(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.014029)
- [59] X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Strong decays of newly observed D_{sI} states in a constituent quark model with effective Lagrangians, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.014031) 81, 014031 [\(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.014031)
- [60] Z. F. Sun and X. Liu, Newly observed $D_{sJ}(3040)$ and the radial excitations of P-wave charmed-strange mesons, Phys. Rev. D 80[, 074037 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.074037).
- [61] Z. G. Wang, Analysis of the strong decays $D_{s3}^*(2860) \rightarrow DK \cdot D^*K$ with OCD sum rules. Fur, Phys. J. A. 52, 303 DK , $D*K$ with QCD sum rules, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2016-16303-y) 52, 303 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2016-16303-y)
- [62] D. M. Li and B. Ma, Implication of BABAR's new data on the $D_{s1}(2710)$ and $D_{sJ}(2860)$, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.014021) **81**, 014021 [\(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.014021)
- [63] S. Godfrey and I. T. Jardine, Nature of the $D_{s1}^{*}(2710)$ and $D_{s2}^{*}(2860)$ mesons. Phys. Rev. D. 89. 074023 (2014) $D_{sJ}^{*}(2860)$ mesons, Phys. Rev. D 89[, 074023 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.074023).
S. Godfrey and K. Moats. The $D_{s}^{*}(2860)$ mesons
- [64] S. Godfrey and K. Moats, The $D_{sJ}^{*}(2860)$ mesons as
excited D-wave $c\bar{s}$ states Phys Rev D 90, 117501 (2014) excited D-wave $c\bar{s}$ states, Phys. Rev. D 90[, 117501 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.117501)
- [65] K. Gandhi, A. K. Rai, and T. Matsuki, Identifying $D_{sJ}^{*}(2860)$
as a four resonance states through strong decay analysis as a four resonance states through strong decay analysis, [arXiv:2003.00487.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2003.00487)
- [66] G. L. Wang, W. Li, T. F. Feng, Y. L. Wang, and Y. B. Liu, The newly observed state $D_{s0}(2590)^{+}$, [arXiv:2107.01751.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2107.01751)
- [67] Y. Tian, Z. Zhao, and A. Zhang, Study of radially excited $D_s(2^1S_0)$ and $D_s(3P)$, Chin. Phys. C 41[, 083107 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/41/8/083107)
Z H. Wang, Y. Zhang, T. h. Wang, Y. Jiang, O. Li, and
- [68] Z. H. Wang, Y. Zhang, T. h. Wang, Y. Jiang, Q. Li, and G. L. Wang, Strong decays of P-wave mixing heavy-light 1^+ states, Chin. Phys. C 42[, 123101 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/42/12/123101)
- [69] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, F. Giannuzzi, and S. Nicotri, New meson spectroscopy with open charm and beauty, Phys. Rev. D 86[, 054024 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.054024).
- [70] P. Colangelo and F. De Fazio, Open charm meson spectroscopy: Where to place the latest piece of the puzzle, Phys. Rev. D 81[, 094001 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.094001).
- [71] Z. Zhao, Y. Tian, and A. Zhang, Hadronic production of $D(2550), D^*(2600), D(2750), D_1^*(2760)$ and $D_3^*(2760),$
Phys. Rev. D.94, 114035 (2016) Phys. Rev. D 94[, 114035 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.114035).
- [72] S. C. Li, T. Wang, Y. Jiang, X. Tan, Q. Li, G. L. Wang, and C. H. Chang, Strong decays of $D_I(3000)$ and $D_{sI}(3040)$, Phys. Rev. D 97[, 054002 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.054002).
- [73] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Mesons in a relativized quark model with chromodynamics, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.32.189) 32, 189 [\(1985\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.32.189)
- [74] J. Zeng, J. W. Van Orden, and W. Roberts, Heavy mesons in a relativistic model, Phys. Rev. D 52[, 5229 \(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.52.5229)
- [75] S. N. Gupta and J. M. Johnson, Quantum chromodynamic potential model for light heavy quarkonia and the heavy quark effective theory, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.51.168) 51, 168 (1995).
- [76] T. A. Lahde, C. J. Nyfalt, and D. O. Riska, Spectra and M1 decay widths of heavy light mesons, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(00)00154-8) A674, [141 \(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(00)00154-8).
- [77] M. Di Pierro and E. Eichten, Excited heavy-light systems and hadronic transitions, Phys. Rev. D 64[, 114004 \(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.64.114004)
- [78] S. Godfrey, Properties of the charmed P-wave mesons, Phys. Rev. D 72[, 054029 \(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.054029).
- [79] F. E. Close and E. S. Swanson, Dynamics and decay of heavy-light hadrons, Phys. Rev. D 72[, 094004 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.094004)
- [80] J. Vijande, F. Fernandez, and A. Valcarce, Open-charm meson spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. D 73[, 034002 \(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.034002); Erratum, Phys. Rev. D 74[, 059903 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.059903)
- [81] F. E. Close, C. E. Thomas, O. Lakhina, and E. S. Swanson, Canonical interpretation of the $D_{sJ}(2860)$ and $D_{sJ}(2690)$, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.01.052) 647, 159 (2007).
- [82] D. M. Li, B. Ma, and Y. H. Liu, Understanding masses of $c\bar{s}$ states in Regge phenomenology, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-007-0286-7) 51, 359 [\(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-007-0286-7)
- [83] B. Zhang, X. Liu, W. Z. Deng, and S. L. Zhu, $D_{sI}(2860)$ and $D_{sJ}(2715)$, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-007-0221-y) 50, 617 (2007).
- [84] W. Wei, X. Liu, and S. L. Zhu, D wave heavy mesons, Phys. Rev. D 75[, 014013 \(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.014013).
- [85] D. Mohler and R.M. Woloshyn, D and D_s meson spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. D 84[, 054505 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.054505)
- [86] G. Moir, M. Peardon, S. M. Ryan, C. E. Thomas, and L. Liu, Excited spectroscopy of charmed mesons from lattice QCD, [J. High Energy Phys. 05 \(2013\) 021.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2013)021)
- [87] M. Kalinowski and M. Wagner, Masses of D mesons, D_s mesons and charmonium states from twisted mass lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 92[, 094508 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.094508)
- [88] K. Cichy, M. Kalinowski, and M. Wagner, Continuum limit of the D meson, D_s meson and charmonium spectrum from $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ twisted mass lattice QCD, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.094503) Rev. D 94[, 094503 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.094503).
- [89] M. L. Du, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, B. Kubis, and U. G. Meißner, Where Is the Lightest Charmed Scalar Meson?, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126[, 192001 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.192001)
- [90] M. Albaladejo, P. Fernandez-Soler, F. K. Guo, and J. Nieves, Two-pole structure of the $D_0^*(2400)$, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.02.036)
B. 767 465 (2017) B 767[, 465 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.02.036)
- [91] M. L. Du, M. Albaladejo, P. Fernández-Soler, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U. G. Meißner, J. Nieves, and D. L. Yao, Towards a new paradigm for heavy-light meson spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. D 98[, 094018 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.094018)
- [92] L. Gayer, N. Lang, S. M. Ryan, D. Tims, C. E. Thomas, and D. J. Wilson (Hadron Spectrum Collaboration), Isospin-1/2D π scattering and the lightest D_0^* resonance from lattice QCD, [J. High Energy Phys. 07 \(2021\) 123.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2021)123)
- [93] B. Aubert et al. (BABAR Collaboration), Observation of a Narrow Meson Decaying to $D_s^+\pi^0$ at a Mass of 2.32-GeV/ c^2 , Phys. Rev. Lett. 90[, 242001 \(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.242001).
- [94] D. Besson et al. (CLEO Collaboration), Observation of a narrow resonance of mass $2.46\text{-GeV}/c^2$ decaying to D_s^* pi0 and confirmation of the $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$ state, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.032002)
Rev. D. 68, 032002, (2003): Frequency Beys, Rev. D. 75. Rev. D 68[, 032002 \(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.032002); Erratum, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.119908) 75, [119908 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.119908)
- [95] Z. Yang, G. J. Wang, J. J. Wu, M. Oka, and S. L. Zhu, Novel coupled channel framework connecting quark model and lattice QCD: An investigation on near-threshold Ds states, [arXiv:2107.04860](https://arXiv.org/abs/2107.04860).
- [96] P. G. Ortega, J. Segovia, D. R. Entem, and F. Fernandez, Molecular components in P-wave charmed-strange mesons, Phys. Rev. D 94[, 074037 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.074037).
- [97] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, Y. R. Liu, and S. L. Zhu, A review of the open charm and open bottom systems, [Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/aa6420) Prog. Phys. 80[, 076201 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/aa6420).
- [98] L. Y. Xiao and X. H. Zhong, Ξ baryon strong decays in a chiral quark model, Phys. Rev. D 87[, 094002 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.094002)
- [99] M. S. Liu, K. L. Wang, Q. F. Lü, and X. H. Zhong, Ω baryon spectrum and their decays in a constituent quark model, Phys. Rev. D 101[, 016002 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.016002).
- [100] L. Y. Xiao and X. H. Zhong, Possible interpretation of the newly observed $Ω(2012)$ state, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.034004) 98, 034004 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.034004)
- [101] X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Charmed baryon strong decays in a chiral quark model, Phys. Rev. D 77[, 074008 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.074008)
- [102] L. H. Liu, L. Y. Xiao, and X. H. Zhong, Charm-strange baryon strong decays in a chiral quark model, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.034024) 86[, 034024 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.034024)
- [103] Y. X. Yao, K. L. Wang, and X. H. Zhong, Strong and radiative decays of the low-lying D-wave singly heavy baryons, Phys. Rev. D 98[, 076015 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.076015)
- [104] K. L. Wang, Y. X. Yao, X. H. Zhong, and Q. Zhao, Strong and radiative decays of the low-lying S- and P-wave singly heavy baryons, Phys. Rev. D 96[, 116016 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.116016)
- [105] H. Nagahiro, S. Yasui, A. Hosaka, M. Oka, and H. Noumi, Structure of charmed baryons studied by pionic decays, Phys. Rev. D 95[, 014023 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.014023).
- [106] K. L. Wang, L. Y. Xiao, X. H. Zhong, and O. Zhao, Understanding the newly observed Ω_c states through their decays, Phys. Rev. D 95[, 116010 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.116010)
- [107] L. Y. Xiao, K. L. Wang, M. S. Liu, and X. H. Zhong, Possible interpretation of the newly observed Ω_b states, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7823-z) 80, 279 (2020).
- [108] K. L. Wang, Q. F. Lü, and X. H. Zhong, Interpretation of the newly observed $\Lambda_b(6146)^0$ and $\Lambda_b(6152)^0$ states in a chiral quark model, Phys. Rev. D 100[, 114035 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.114035)
- [109] K. L. Wang, O. F. Lü, and X. H. Zhong, Interpretation of the newly observed $\Sigma_b(6097)^{\pm}$ and $\Xi_b(6227)^{-}$ states as the P-wave bottom baryons, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.014011) 99, 014011 [\(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.014011)
- [110] L. Y. Xiao and X. H. Zhong, Toward establishing the lowlying P-wave Σ_b states, Phys. Rev. D 102[, 014009 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.014009)
- [111] K. L. Wang, L. Y. Xiao, and X. H. Zhong, Understanding the newly observed Ξ_c^0 states through their decays, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.034029) Rev. D 102[, 034029 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.034029)
- [112] L. Y. Xiao, K. L. Wang, Q. f. Lü, X. H. Zhong, and S. L. Zhu, Strong and radiative decays of the doubly charmed baryons, Phys. Rev. D 96[, 094005 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.094005)
- [113] Q. li, R. H. Ni, and X. H. Zhong, Towards establishing an abundant B and B_s spectrum up to the second orbital excitations, Phys. Rev. D 103[, 116010 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.116010).
- [114] E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, K. D. Lane, and T. M. Yan, Charmonium: The model, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.17.3090) 17, [3090 \(1978\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.17.3090) Erratum, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.21.313.2) 21, 313 (1980).
- [115] T. Barnes, S. Godfrey, and E. S. Swanson, Higher charmonia, Phys. Rev. D 72[, 054026 \(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.054026).
- [116] S. Godfrey, Spectroscopy of B_c mesons in the relativized quark model, Phys. Rev. D 70[, 054017 \(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.054017).
- [117] E. Hiyama, Y. Kino, and M. Kamimura, Gaussian expansion method for few-body systems, [Prog. Part. Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6410(03)90015-9) Phys. 51[, 223 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6410(03)90015-9)
- [118] W. J. Deng, H. Liu, L. C. Gui, and X. H. Zhong, Spectrum and electromagnetic transitions of bottomonium, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.074002) Rev. D 95[, 074002 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.074002).
- [119] W. J. Deng, H. Liu, L. C. Gui, and X. H. Zhong, Charmonium spectrum and their electromagnetic transitions with higher multipole contributions, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.034026) 95, 034026 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.034026)
- [120] Q. Li, M. S. Liu, Q. F. Lü, L. C. Gui, and X. H. Zhong, Canonical interpretation of $Y(10750)$ and $\Upsilon(10860)$ in the ϒ family, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7626-2) 80, 59 (2020).
- [121] O. Li, M. S. Liu, L. S. Lu, O. F. Lü, L. C. Gui, and X. H. Zhong, Excited bottom-charmed mesons in a nonrelativistic quark model, Phys. Rev. D 99[, 096020 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.096020).
- [122] Q. Li, L. C. Gui, M. S. Liu, Q. F. Lü, and X. H. Zhong, Mass spectrum and strong decays of strangeonium in a constituent quark model, Chin. Phys. C 45[, 023116 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/abcf22)
- [123] A. Manohar and H. Georgi, Chiral quarks and the nonrelativistic quark model, Nucl. Phys. B234[, 189 \(1984\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(84)90231-1).
- [124] Z.P. Li, The Threshold pion photoproduction of nucleons in the chiral quark model, Phys. Rev. D 50[, 5639 \(1994\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.50.5639)
- [125] Z. P. Li, H. X. Ye, and M. H. Lu, An Unified approach to pseudoscalar meson photoproductions off nucleons in the quark model, Phys. Rev. C 56[, 1099 \(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.56.1099).
- [126] Q. Zhao, J. S. Al-Khalili, Z. P. Li, and R. L. Workman, Pion photoproduction on the nucleon in the quark model, Phys. Rev. C 65[, 065204 \(2002\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.65.065204)
- [127] Q. Zhao, Z. P. Li, and C. Bennhold, Vector meson photoproduction with an effective Lagrangian in the quark model, Phys. Rev. C 58[, 2393 \(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.58.2393).
- [128] Q. Zhao, Nucleonic resonance excitations with linearly polarized photon in $\gamma p \to \omega p$, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.63.025203) 63, 025203 [\(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.63.025203)
- [129] Q. Zhao, J. S. Al-Khalili, and C. Bennhold, Quark model predictions for the K^* photoproduction on the proton, Phys. Rev. C 64[, 052201 \(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.64.052201)
- [130] R. Koniuk and N. Isgur, Baryon decays in a quark model with chromodynamics, Phys. Rev. D 21[, 1868 \(1980\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.21.1868); Erratum, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.23.818) 23, 818 (1981).
- [131] J.L. Goity and W. Roberts, A relativistic chiral quark model for pseudoscalar emission from heavy mesons, Phys. Rev. D 60[, 034001 \(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.034001).
- [132] S. Capstick and W. Roberts, Quark models of baryon masses and decays, [Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6410(00)00109-5) 45, S241 (2000).
- [133] Z. H. Wang, G. L. Wang, J. M. Zhang, and T. H. Wang, The productions and strong decays of $D_q(2S)$ and $B_q(2S)$, J. Phys. G 39[, 085006 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/39/8/085006).
- [134] J. M. Xie, M. Z. Liu, and L. S. Geng, $D_{s0}(2590)$ as a dominant cs state with a small D^*K component, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.094051) D 104[, 094051 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.094051)
- [135] P. G. Ortega, J. Segovia, D. R. Entem, and F. Fernandez, The D_{s0} (2590)⁺ as the dressed $c\bar{s}$ (2¹S₀) meson in a coupled-channels calculation arXiv:2111,00023 coupled-channels calculation, [arXiv:2111.00023.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2111.00023)
- [136] K. Abe et al. (Belle Collaboration), Study of B[−] → $D^{**0}\pi^{-}(D^{**0} \to D^{(*)+}\pi^{-}$ decays, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.112002) 69, 112002 [\(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.112002)
- [137] B. Aubert et al. (BABAR Collaboration), Dalitz plot analysis of $B^- \to D^+\pi^-\pi^-$, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.112004) 79, 112004 [\(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.112004)
- [138] J. M. Link et al. (FOCUS Collaboration), Measurement of masses and widths of excited charm mesons D_2^* and evidence for broad states, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.02.017) 586, 11 [\(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.02.017)
- [139] L. Liu, K. Orginos, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, and U. G. Meissner, Interactions of charmed mesons with light pseudoscalar mesons from lattice QCD and implications on the nature of the $D_{s0}^{*}(2317)$, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.014508) 87, 014508 (2013) [\(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.014508)
- [140] N. Isgur, Spin orbit inversion of excited heavy quark mesons, Phys. Rev. D 57[, 4041 \(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.57.4041)
- [141] Y.S. Kalashnikova, Coupled-channel model for charmonium levels and an option for X(3872), [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.034010) 72, [034010 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.034010)
- [142] Y. Lu, M. N. Anwar, and B. S. Zou, Coupled-channel effects for the bottomonium with realistic wave functions, Phys. Rev. D 94[, 034021 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.034021).
- [143] Y. Lu, M. N. Anwar, and B. S. Zou, How large is the contribution of excited mesons in coupled-channel effects?, Phys. Rev. D 95[, 034018 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.034018)
- [144] J.F. Liu and G.J. Ding, Bottomonium spectrum with coupled-channel effects, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1981-6) 72, 1981 [\(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1981-6)
- [145] S. Q. Luo, B. Chen, Z. W. Liu, and X. Liu, Resolving the low mass puzzle of $\Lambda_c(2940)^+$, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7874-1) 80, 301 [\(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7874-1)
- [146] S. Q. Luo, B. Chen, X. Liu, and T. Matsuki, Predicting a new resonance as charmed-strange baryonic analog of $D_{s0}^*(2317)$, Phys. Rev. D 103[, 074027 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.074027).
D. Morel and S. Canstick, Baryon meson loss
- [147] D. Morel and S. Capstick, Baryon meson loop effects on the spectrum of nonstrange baryons, [arXiv:nucl-th/](https://arXiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0204014) [0204014.](https://arXiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0204014)
- [148] Y. Tan and J. Ping, $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ in an unquenched quark model ar $Xiv:2111.04677$ unquenched quark model, [arXiv:2111.04677.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2111.04677)