D^+D^- hadronic atom and its production in pp and pp collisions

Pan-Pan Shi \cdot ^{1,[2,*](#page-0-0)} Zhen-Hua Zhang \cdot ^{1,2,[†](#page-0-1)} Feng-Kun Guo \cdot ^{1,2,[‡](#page-0-2)} and Zhi Yang \cdot ^{[3](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4371-1032)[,§](#page-0-3)}

 1 CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China ²

 2 School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences,

Beijing 100049, China
³School of Physics, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China,

Chengdu 610054, China

(Received 6 December 2021; accepted 27 January 2022; published 23 February 2022)

There must be Coulomb bound states of a pair of hadrons, which are stable against the strong interaction, with opposite electric charges. Such bound states are hadronic atoms. We study the properties and the production of the ground-state D^+D^- hadronic atom $A_{D^+D^-}$, called dionium, with quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$. Using a nonrelativistic effective field theory for the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ - D^+D^- coupled-channel system, the mass of the ground-state dionium is predicted to be (3739.3 ± 0.1) MeV, with the binding energy reduced by about 10% compared to the Coulomb binding energy due to the strong interaction. Its width for the decay into the neutral $D^0\bar{D}^0$ channel is predicted to be 1.8^{+1.4} keV using lattice inputs for the $D\bar{D}$ strong interaction. The cross section for the inclusive prompt production of the dionium at CMS and LHCb and that for the direct production $p\bar{p} \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-}$ at PANDA are estimated at an order-of-magnitude level. In particular, we expect that $\mathcal{O}(10^3-10^5)$ events of the reaction chain $p\bar{p} \to A_{D^+D^-} \to D^0\bar{D}^0 \to K^-\pi^+K^+\pi^$ can be collected at PANDA, and valuable information on the charmed meson interaction and on understanding charmoniumlike states will be obtained.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.105.034024](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.034024)

I. INTRODUCTION

Since 2003, many charmoniumlike states have been reported at various experiments, e.g., the $X(3872)$ and $Z_c(3900)^{\pm}$ with quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ and $Z_c(3900)^{\pm}$ with quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ and $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$ $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$ $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$, respectively [1–[4\]](#page-9-1), which, being close to the $D\bar{D}^*$ thresholds, are good candidates of hadronic molecules (composite systems of hadrons bound together through the strong interaction) although there are other interpretations in the market (for recent reviews, see Refs. [\[5](#page-9-2)–[13](#page-9-3)]). To understand these charmoniumlike structures, it is important to study the interactions between a pair of charmed and anticharmed mesons.

By making use of the approximate heavy quark spin symmetry (HQSS), spin partners of the $X(3872)$ as a DD^* hadronic molecule have been predicted [\[14](#page-9-4)–[16\]](#page-9-5) (see also Refs. [\[17](#page-9-6)–[20\]](#page-9-7)). In particular, an isoscalar $D^*\bar{D}^*$ bound state with $J^{PC} = 2^{++}$ was predicted to be just below the $D^* \bar{D}^*$ threshold with a width of the order of a few MeV to tens of MeV [[21](#page-9-8),[22](#page-9-9)]. The prediction recently got support from the resonant structure observed by Belle in $\gamma \psi(2S)$ [[23](#page-9-10)], which has a mass of $(4014.4 \pm 4.1 \pm 0.5)$ MeV, though the global significance is only 2.8σ .

Recently, a lattice QCD (LQCD) calculation of the DD¯ and $D_s\bar{D}_s$ scattering in the energy region from slightly below 3.73 up to 4.13 GeV indicates a possible $D\bar{D}$ bound state with $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ and a binding energy of $E_B \equiv 2m_D M = 4.0^{+5.0}_{-3.7}$ MeV with $m_D(M)$ the mass of the D meson (the bound state), which has also been predicted by several phenomenology models [\[14](#page-9-4)[,15,](#page-9-11)[18,](#page-9-12)[20](#page-9-7),[24](#page-9-13)–[26\]](#page-9-14). Various methods have been proposed to search for this state in experiments [[27](#page-9-15)–[30\]](#page-9-16).

Regardless of whether the isoscalar $D\bar{D}$ bound state exists, a D^+D^- hadronic atom (composite system of hadrons bound together mainly through the electric Coulomb interaction), to be called dionium and denoted as $A_{D^+D^-}$ in the following, must exist and may be found in the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ invariant mass distribution from some highenergy reactions. It is a composite state of the D^+ and $D^$ mesons formed mainly through the Coulomb interaction. The Bohr radius of the dionium is

[^{*}](#page-0-4) shipanpan@itp.ac.cn

[[†]](#page-0-4) zhangzhenhua@itp.ac.cn

[[‡]](#page-0-4) fkguo@itp.ac.cn

[[§]](#page-0-4) zhiyang@uestc.edu.cn

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

$$
r_B = \frac{1}{\alpha \mu_c} = (6.82 \text{ MeV})^{-1} = 28.91 \text{ fm}, \quad (1)
$$

with $\alpha = 1/137$ the fine structure constant and μ_c the reduced mass of the D^+D^- mesons, much larger than $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{-1} \sim \mathcal{O}(1/300 \text{ MeV}^{-1})$, the typical scale of strong interaction. Therefore, the strong interaction between D^+ and D[−] can be treated as higher-order corrections in the dionium, and the nonrelativistic effective field theory (NREFT) can be applied to the dionium. Hadronic atoms formed by light hadrons have been extensively studied in the NREFT framework in order to measure the scattering lengths of light-hadron scattering; for reviews, see Refs. [\[31](#page-9-17)[,32\]](#page-9-18). In the charmonium energy region, a hadronic atom formed by charmed mesons D^{\pm} and $D^{*\mp}$, called the X atom, is proposed and investigated in Ref. [\[33\]](#page-9-19); its binding energy is $E_{B_A} = 22.92$ keV, and the production rates in B decays and prompt pp collisions relative to the those of the $X(3872)$ are predicted, in a parameter-free way, to be $\geq 1 \times 10^{-3}$, where the suppression is due to the Coulomb character of the wave function. An experimental search of the X atom can provide crucial information toward resolving the debates regarding the nature and production mechanism of the $X(3872)$.

In this work, we study the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ - D^+D^- coupled-channel scattering and derive the binding energy, decay width of the dionium, and coupling constants between the dionium and $D\overline{D}$ meson pairs. Because of the possible existence of an isoscalar *DD* hadronic molecular state mentioned above, the strong interaction between the $D\bar{D}$ should be treated nonperturbatively, different from the pionic atoms $[31,32]$ $[31,32]$ $[31,32]$ $[31,32]$,¹ and the binding energy of the $D\bar{D}$ molecular bound state from LQCD can be used as an input to fix the low-energy constant in the NREFT. Analogous to the X atom, a search of the dionium may also provide useful information in understanding the heavy-meson interaction and thus the nature of the charmoniumlike states. To search for the dionium, we estimate the cross sections for inclusive productions of the ground state, to be denoted as $A_{D^+D^-}$, at LHC, and for direct exclusive productions at PANDA. The inclusive production rate of this hadronic atom, $\sigma(pp \to A_{D^+D^-} + \text{all})$, at LHC is estimated by the following strategy: we first employ a Monte Carlo (MC) event generator, PYTHIA8 [\[39\]](#page-9-20), to simulate the inclusive production of the D^+D^- mesons in pp collisions, and then the production of the dionium from the final-state interaction (FSI) of the D^+D^- pair is handled by NREFT. For the production of the dionium at PANDA through the process $p\bar{p} \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-}$, we make use of the flavor SU(4) model to estimate the D^+D^- pair production rate, and the dionium production from the FSI is same as that in pp collisions.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. [II,](#page-1-0) the properties of the dionium, including its binding energy, decay width, and coupling to $D\bar{D}$, are investigated. The production rates of the dionium in pp collisions are discussed in Sec. [III](#page-4-0). The prediction for the $p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ $A_{D^+D^-}$ cross section is given in Sec. [IV.](#page-5-0) The results of our work are summarized in Sec. [V.](#page-7-0) Appendix contains the derivation of the production cross section of $p\bar{p} \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-}$.

II. D⁺D[−] HADRONIC ATOM

In this section, by considering the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ - D^+D^- coupledchannel system, we derive the binding energy and decay width of the dionium in terms of the $D\bar{D}$ scattering lengths, which is known as the Deser-Goldberger-Baumann-Thirring (DGBT) formula [[31,](#page-9-17)[32](#page-9-18),[40](#page-9-21)] for hadronic atoms, and evaluate their numerical value and the couplings between the dionium and $D\bar{D}$ mesons in the presence of an isoscalar $D\bar{D}$ hadronic molecular bound state.

We consider the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ - D^+D^- coupled-channel system because the dionium and the $D\bar{D}$ molecular bound state can be treated simultaneously in this framework. Both the $D\bar{D}$ hadronic molecule and the energy levels of the dionium will appear as poles of the coupled channel T matrix, and the decay widths of the dionium to $D^0\bar{D}^0$ can be derived from the imaginary part of the dionium poles.

For the $D^0\overline{D}{}^0$ - D^+D^- coupled-channel system, the thresholds of the two channels are denoted as $\Sigma_0 =$ $2m_{D^0}$ and $\Sigma_c = 2m_{D^{\pm}}$, respectively, with $m_{D^{\pm}}$ the mass of the D^{\pm} mesons and m_{D^0} ($m_{\bar{D}^0}$) the mass of the D^0 (\bar{D}^0) meson, and the difference between these two thresholds is $\Delta = \Sigma_c - \Sigma_0$. The initial energy relative to the $D^+D^$ threshold is defined as $E = \sqrt{s} - \Sigma_c$, with \sqrt{s} the total initial energy of the system. The scattering amplitude is given as [\[41\]](#page-9-22)

$$
\mathbf{T}(E) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T_c(E) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & W_c(E) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{T}_s(E) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & W_c(E) \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(2)

where $T_c(E)$ is the S-wave Coulomb scattering amplitude between D^+ and D^- ,

$$
T_c(E) = \frac{\pi}{\mu_c \kappa_c(E)} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1-\eta)}{\Gamma(1+\eta)} - 1 \right),\tag{3}
$$

with $\kappa_c(E) = \sqrt{-2\mu_c E}$ and $\eta = \alpha\mu_c/\kappa_c(E)$. Besides, $T_s(E)$ contains the strong interaction contribution to $T(E)$ as discussed in Ref. [\[41\]](#page-9-22), and $W_c(E)$ denotes the

¹There are also hadronic atoms in the light-hadron sector that involve nonperturbative strong interactions in the near-threshold region, such as the kaonium $(K^+K^-$ atom) [\[34](#page-9-23)–[37](#page-9-24)] and the protonium ($p\bar{p}$ atom; for a review, see Ref. [\[38\]](#page-9-25)). These systems are usually treated theoretically by solving the Schrödinger equation with both the Coulomb and strong interaction potentials. Different from the dionium to be discussed, for these two systems, the neutral channels, $K^0\bar{K}^0$ for kaonium and $n\bar{n}$ for protonium, have thresholds higher than the charged ones and thus do not contribute to their decay widths.

amplitude for the Coulomb photon exchange between the initial and final D^+D^- states,

$$
W_c(E) = \left(\frac{2\pi i\eta}{1 - e^{-2\pi i\eta}} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \eta)}{\Gamma(1 + \eta)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$
 (4)

At leading order (LO), one can take a contact term [\[15\]](#page-9-11) as the potential of the strong interaction,

$$
V_{LO} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} C_{0a} + C_{1a} & C_{0a} - C_{1a} \\ C_{0a} - C_{1a} & C_{0a} + C_{1a} \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (5)

where C_{0a} and C_{1a} denote the isoscalar and isovector interactions, respectively. Then, the $T_s(E)$ in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-1) is given by the Lippmann-Schwinger equation (LSE),

$$
\mathbf{T}_s(E) = \mathbf{V}_{\text{LO}}^{\Lambda} + \mathbf{V}_{\text{LO}}^{\Lambda} \mathbf{G}^{\Lambda}(E) \mathbf{T}_s(E), \tag{6}
$$

where $G^{\Lambda}(E)$ is the Green's function regularized by a sharp cutoff Λ [[41](#page-9-22)–[43\]](#page-9-26),

$$
\mathbf{G}^{\Lambda}(E) = \begin{pmatrix} G_0^{\Lambda}(E) & 0 \\ 0 & G_c^{\Lambda}(E) \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (7)

The Green's functions for the neutral and charged channels are denoted as $G_0^{\Lambda}(E)$ and $G_c^{\Lambda}(E)$, respectively,

$$
G_0^{\Lambda}(E) = -\frac{\mu_0 \Lambda}{\pi^2} + J_0(E),
$$
\n(8)

$$
G_c^{\Lambda}(E) = -\frac{\mu_c \Lambda}{\pi^2} - \frac{\alpha \mu_c^2}{\pi} \left(\ln \frac{\Lambda}{\alpha \mu_c} - \gamma_E \right) + J_c(E), \quad (9)
$$

with

$$
J_0(E) = \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} \sqrt{-2\mu_0(E+\Delta)},
$$
\n(10)

$$
J_c(E) = -\frac{\alpha \mu_c^2}{\pi} \left[\ln \eta + \frac{1}{2\eta} - \psi(-\eta) \right],\tag{11}
$$

where μ_0 is the reduced mass of $D^0 \bar{D}^0$, $\psi(x) = \Gamma'(x)/\Gamma(x)$ is the digamma function, and $\gamma_E = 0.577$ is the Euler constant. The scattering amplitude $T_s(E)$ can be solved from the LSE in Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-0) as

$$
\mathbf{T}_{s}^{-1}(E) = (\mathbf{V}_{\text{LO}}^{\Lambda})^{-1} - \mathbf{G}^{\Lambda}(E)
$$

= $\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{C_{0a}} + \frac{1}{C_{1a}} & \frac{1}{C_{0a}} - \frac{1}{C_{1a}} \\ \frac{1}{C_{0a}} - \frac{1}{C_{1a}} & \frac{1}{C_{0a}} + \frac{1}{C_{1a}} + \delta \end{pmatrix}$
- $\begin{pmatrix} G_{0}^{\Lambda}(E) \\ G_{c}^{\Lambda}(E) \end{pmatrix}$ (12)

$$
= \begin{pmatrix} w_+ - J_0(E) & -w_- \\ -w_- & w_+ - J_c(E) + O\left(\frac{a\mu_c}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}\right) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (13)
$$

with

 \equiv

$$
w_{+} = \frac{1}{2C_{1a}^{R}} + \frac{1}{2C_{0a}^{R}}, \qquad w_{-} = \frac{1}{2C_{1a}^{R}} - \frac{1}{2C_{0a}^{R}},
$$

where C_{0a}^R , C_{1a}^R are the renormalized coupling constants, and an additional counterterm δ is added to count for the isospin breaking effect between the two channels and to absorb the corresponding logarithmic UV divergence. After renormalization, the isospin breaking effect from the UV divergence gives a higher-order correction that can be neglected at LO. Thus, the LO $T_s(E)$ is

$$
\mathbf{T}_s(E) = \frac{1}{\det} \begin{pmatrix} w_+ - J_c(E) & w_- \\ w_- & w_+ - J_0(E) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (14)
$$

with

$$
\det = [w_+ - J_0(E)][w_+ - J_c(E)] - w_-^2. \tag{15}
$$

At the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ threshold, the T_s matrix elements can be written as

$$
\mathbf{T}_{s00}(E=-\Delta) \equiv -\frac{2\pi}{\mu_0}(a_0 + a_1) = \frac{w_+ - J_c(-\Delta)}{w_+ [w_+ - J_c(-\Delta)] - w_-^2},
$$

$$
\mathbf{T}_{s01}(E=-\Delta) \equiv -\frac{2\pi}{\mu_0}(a_0 - a_1) = \frac{w_-}{w_+ [w_+ - J_c(-\Delta)] - w_-^2},
$$
(16)

where a_0 and a_1 are the DD S-wave scattering lengths with isospin $I = 0$ and $I = 1$, respectively, and the renormalized coupling constants can be expressed in terms of the scattering lengths

$$
C_{1a}^{R} \simeq \frac{-\frac{4\pi}{\mu_0}a_1}{1 + \frac{2\pi}{\mu_c}(a_0 - a_1)J_c(-\Delta)},
$$

$$
C_{0a}^{R} \simeq \frac{-\frac{4\pi}{\mu_0}a_0}{1 - \frac{2\pi}{\mu_c}(a_0 - a_1)J_c(-\Delta)}.
$$
 (17)

The Coulomb binding energy of the D^+D^- ground state is

$$
E_1 = \frac{\alpha^2 \mu_c}{2} \simeq 24.9 \text{ keV}.
$$
 (18)

The ground-state binding energy receives corrections from the strong interactions and will be different from the above value. The ground state is the lowest pole of $T_s(E)$, and thus the binding energy E_A satisfies

$$
\det|_{E=-E_A} = 0. \tag{19}
$$

Separating the Coulomb pole of the ground state from the Coulomb propagator $J_c(E)$, it can be reexpressed as [[42](#page-9-27)]

$$
J'_{c}(E) = -\frac{\alpha \mu_{c}^{2}}{\pi} \left[\ln \eta - \frac{1}{2\eta} - \frac{1}{\eta + 1} + 2 - \psi(2 - \eta) \right] + \frac{\alpha^{3} \mu_{c}^{3}}{\pi [E - (-E_{1})]};
$$
\n(20)

then, Eq. [\(19\)](#page-2-1) is rewritten as

$$
\frac{w_-^2}{w_+ - J_0(-E_A)} = w_+ - J_c'(-E_A). \tag{21}
$$

The LO ground energy level shift and the width of the decay into the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ channel can be solved from Eq. [\(21\)](#page-3-0) as

$$
\Delta E_1^{\text{str}} - i \frac{\Gamma_1}{2} = -E_A + E_1
$$

=
$$
\frac{\alpha^3 \mu_c^3}{\pi} \frac{w_+(1 - R) - i \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} \sqrt{2\mu_0 \Delta R}}{w_+^2 (1 - R)^2 + (\frac{\mu_0}{2\pi})^2 2\mu_0 \Delta R^2}
$$

+
$$
\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\alpha^5 \mu_c^{5/2}}{\Delta^{3/2}}\right),
$$
 (22)

which is the well-known DGBT formula [\[31,](#page-9-17)[32,](#page-9-18)[40\]](#page-9-21) for hadronic atoms, where w_+ and $w_-\$ can be expressed in terms of the $D\bar{D}$ scattering lengths as

$$
w_{+} = -\frac{\frac{\mu_{0}}{2\pi}(a_{0} + a_{1}) + (a_{0} - a_{1})^{2}J_{c}(-\Delta)}{4a_{0}a_{1}}, \quad (23)
$$

$$
w_{-} = -\frac{\frac{\mu_0}{2\pi}(a_0 - a_1) + (a_0^2 - a_1^2)J_c(-\Delta)}{4a_0 a_1}, \qquad (24)
$$

with

$$
J_c(-\Delta) = -\frac{\alpha \mu_c^2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{\alpha \mu_c}{\sqrt{2\mu_c \Delta}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\mu_c \Delta}}{2\alpha \mu_c} - \psi \left(\frac{\alpha \mu_c}{\sqrt{2\mu_c \Delta}} \right) \right],\tag{25}
$$

and the quantity R in Eq. (22) is defined as

$$
R \equiv \frac{w_{-}^{2}}{w_{+}^{2} + (\frac{\mu_{0}}{2\pi})^{2} 2\mu_{0} \Delta}.
$$
 (26)

The real part of Eq. [\(22\)](#page-3-1) gives the LO strong energy level shift ΔE_1^{str} , and the LO decay width for the dionium decay to the neutral $D^0\bar{D}^0$ pair is given by the imaginary part,

$$
\Gamma_1 = \frac{2\alpha^3 \mu_c^3}{\pi} \frac{\frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} R \sqrt{2\mu_0 \Delta}}{w_+^2 (1 - R)^2 + (\frac{\mu_0}{2\pi})^2 2\mu_0 \Delta R^2}.
$$
 (27)

In the presence of a shallow isoscalar $D\bar{D}$ bound state with $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ predicted in the LQCD calculation [\[44\]](#page-10-0)

and several phenomenological models (for a review, see Ref. [\[20\]](#page-9-7)), the energy level shift may receive further simplification. Since no isovector *DD* bound state is predicted, the contact potential for the isovector coupling is significantly weak as deduced from the properties of the $X(3872)$ with the help of HQSS, i.e., $|C_{0a}^R| \gg |C_{1a}^R|$ [[21](#page-9-8)]. We take $C_{1a}^R = 0$, and then, at LO, the scattering matrix in Eq. [\(14\)](#page-2-2) is reduced to

$$
\mathbf{T}_s(E) = \frac{1}{\frac{2}{C_{0a}^R} - J_0(E) - J_c(E)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (28)
$$

which is in line with the scattering amplitude in Ref. [[33](#page-9-19)]. The $D\bar{D}$ bound state appears as a pole of $T_s(E)$ located at $E = -\Delta - E_B = -\Delta_B$, with E_B the binding energy of the bound state, and the renormalized coupling constant C_{0a}^R can be determined by the pole location,

$$
\frac{2}{C_{0a}^R} - J_0(E = -\Delta_B) - J_c(E = -\Delta_B) = 0. \tag{29}
$$

The energy level shift in Eq. [\(22\)](#page-3-1) can be simplified as

$$
\Delta E_1^{\text{str}} - i \frac{\Gamma_1}{2} = -E_A + E_1
$$

$$
\simeq \frac{\alpha^3 \mu_c^3}{\pi} \frac{\frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} \sqrt{2\mu_0 E_B} + J_c(-\Delta_B) - i \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} \sqrt{2\mu_0 \Delta}}{\left[\frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} \sqrt{2\mu_0 E_B} + J_c(-\Delta_B)\right]^2 + \left(\frac{\mu_0}{2\pi}\right)^2 2\mu_0 \Delta}.
$$
(30)

The LQCD calculation in Ref. [\[44\]](#page-10-0) was performed without isospin symmetry breaking. Here, we take the $D\bar{D}$ threshold to be that of the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ pair to avoid the situation that the bound state may be able to decay into the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ channel within the LQCD uncertainties. Thus, setting $E_B =$ $4.0^{+5.0}_{-3.7}$ MeV [\[44\]](#page-10-0), we can extract the binding energy and decay width of the ground-state dionium to the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ pair.

$$
ReE_A = E_1 - \Delta E_1^{\text{str}} \simeq 22.9_{-0.4}^{+0.3} \text{ keV},
$$

\n
$$
\Gamma_1 \simeq 1.8_{-0.6}^{+1.4} \text{ keV},
$$
 (31)

where the uncertainties come from those of E_B . Note that the binding energy for the dionium is approximately equal to that of the X atom, while their decay widths are largely different [[33](#page-9-19)] because of the D^* decay width involved in the X atom.

The total decay width of the dionium receives additional contributions from channels other than the $D^0\bar{D}^0$, such as $J/\psi \pi \pi$ and purely-light-hadron channels. Such channels are expected to be subleading as the transitions from $D^+D^$ to them would involve the exchange of a charmed meson. Thus, they are expected to be suppressed relative to the charge-exchange transition $D^+D^- \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0$, which may proceed through the exchange of light mesons (such as the f_0 , ρ , and ω). The $c\bar{c}$ pair annihilation is also orders of magnitude suppressed in comparison with that for a charmonium, as can be seen from the tiny ratio of the radial wave functions at the origin of the dionium $(R_{00}(0) = 2\sqrt{\alpha^3 \mu_c^3} \simeq 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^{3/2} \text{ for the ground}$ state) to that of a charmonium (about 1 $\text{GeV}^{3/2}$ for the ground state [[45](#page-10-1)]). Physically, this is because the c and \bar{c} in the dionium are tens of fm apart from each other, see Eq. [\(1\),](#page-0-5) a distance much larger than the typical scale of the strong interactions. Therefore, the partial width given in Eq. [\(31\)](#page-3-2) is expected to give a good estimate of the total width of the ground-state dionium.

The effective couplings of D^+D^- to the ground-state dionium (g_{str}) and $D^0\bar{D}^0$ to the molecular bound state (g_0) can be extracted from the residues of T_{s22} and T_{s11} ,

$$
g_{\rm str}^2 = \lim_{E \to -E_A} (E + E_A) \mathbf{T}_{s22}(E) \simeq [3.2^{+2.1}_{-2.8} - (3.5^{+4.0}_{-1.5})i]
$$

× 10⁻⁸ MeV⁻¹,

$$
g_0^2 = \lim_{E \to -\Delta_B} (E + \Delta_B) \mathbf{T}_{s11}(E) \simeq (3.9^{+1.4}_{-2.5}) \times 10^{-4} \text{ MeV}^{-1},
$$
(32)

where the uncertainties come from the uncertainties of E_B , and the uncertainties of the charmed meson masses are negligible. Since $|g_{\text{str}}^2/g_0^2| \sim O(10^{-4})$, the production rate for the dionium is much smaller than that of the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ bound state with the same kinematics.

III. PRODUCTION RATE OF THE DIONIUM IN pp COLLISIONS

The production of a hadronic atom A in the $p p$ collision is shown in Fig. [1](#page-4-1). The production of the HH' pair is a short-distance process, and the hadronic atom arises from long-distance FSI of the HH' pair. The scattering amplitude of this production is [[46](#page-10-2),[47](#page-10-3)]

$$
\mathcal{M}[A + \text{all}] = \mathcal{M}[HH' + \text{all}] \times G \times T_A, \qquad (33)
$$

where $M[HH' + all]$ denotes the amplitude for the inclusive production of charged hadrons H and H' in the

FIG. 1. The inclusive production of the hadronic atom made of the charged hadron pair HH' in pp collisions. The other hadrons produced in this process are generally denoted by "all."

short-range interaction, G is the Green's function of the HH' pair [\[31,](#page-9-17)[33\]](#page-9-19), and T_A is the amplitude for producing the hadronic atom in the process $HH' \rightarrow A$. For a shallow bound A , T_A is approximately equal to the coupling constant g_A for the hadronic atom A to HH' pair [[48](#page-10-4)].

The general differential cross section for the inclusive production of HH' in the MC event generator is expressed as [[46](#page-10-2),[48](#page-10-4)]

$$
d\sigma[HH' + \text{all}]_{\text{MC}} = \frac{1}{2} K_{HH'} \frac{1}{\text{flux}} \sum_{\text{all}} \int d\phi_{HH'+\text{all}}
$$

$$
\times |\mathcal{M}[HH' + \text{all}]|^2 \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\mu}, \tag{34}
$$

where k is the relative 3-momentum of the HH' pair in the center-of-mass frame and μ is the reduced mass of the HH' pair. Note that the factor $1/2$ is a Jacobian derived from coordinate transformation and the $K_{HH'}$ factor parametrizes the overall difference between the MC simulation and experimental data. In this work, we roughly take $K_{HH'} \simeq 1$ for an order-of-magnitude estimate. The total cross section for the A production in proton-proton collisions can be derived from Eqs. [\(33\)](#page-4-2) and [\(34\)](#page-4-3),

$$
\sigma[A+all] \simeq \frac{m_A}{m_H m_{H'}} |Gg_A|^2 \left(\frac{d\sigma[HH'+all]}{dk}\right)_{MC} \frac{4\pi^2 \mu}{k^2}, \quad (35)
$$

where m_H and $m_{H'}$ are, respectively, the masses of hadrons H and H' and m_A is the mass of the hadronic atom A.

For the production of the dionium, HH' denotes the D^+D^- pair. The Green's function for the D^+D^- mesons is given by the loop function $G = G_c^{\Lambda}$, where Λ is taken as a typical hadronic scale, 0.5–1.0 GeV, for an estimate, and the coupling constant $g_A = g_{str}$; they are given in Eqs. [\(9\)](#page-2-3) and [\(32\),](#page-4-4) respectively.

The differential cross section for the production of D^+D^- mesons at short distances can be estimated by the MC event generator, PYTHIA8 [\[39\]](#page-9-20). Following the parameters setting at the CMS in the $X(3872)$ searching process [\[49\]](#page-10-5), we generate partonic events in a full $2 \rightarrow 2$ QCD process with a transverse momentum $10 < p_T <$ 50 GeV and then hadronize these events to produce hadrons in pp collisions. Consequently, we simulate 4×10^9 pp collisions with pp center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s_{pp}} = 7$ TeV and select the $\overline{D}{}^{+}\overline{D}{}^{-}$ pair with a relative 3-momentum $k < 0.4$ GeV. The obtained differential cross section $d\sigma/dk$ (in units of μ b/GeV) for the inclusive production of D^+D^- pairs is shown in Fig. [2](#page-5-1). Considering a constant production amplitude, which is a good approximation in the small k region, the differential cross section is proportional to k^2 . Thus, fitting the differential cross section generated using PYTHIA8, such an expectation is confirmed, and one has

FIG. 2. The differential cross section $d\sigma/dk$ (in units of μ b/GeV) for the inclusive production of D⁺D[−] in pp collisions with $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. Here, k is the relative 3-momentum of D^+D^- pair.

$$
\left(\frac{d\sigma[D^+D^-]}{dk}\right)_{\text{MC}} \simeq 3.34 \times 10^6 \frac{(k/\mu_c)^2}{s_{pp}(m_{D^+} + m_{D^-})}.\tag{36}
$$

Finally, the integrated cross sections for $pp \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-}$ + all with different cutoffs are listed in Table [I](#page-5-2). The prompt cross section for the production of the dionium at LHC is about 1(49) pb with the sharp cutoff $\Lambda = 0.5(1.0)$ GeV. Comparing the cross section for $pp \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-} +$ all and that of $pp \rightarrow X(3872) + \text{all predicted in Ref. [46] at}$ $pp \rightarrow X(3872) + \text{all predicted in Ref. [46] at}$ $pp \rightarrow X(3872) + \text{all predicted in Ref. [46] at}$ $\sqrt{s_{pp}} = 7$ TeV, $\mathcal{O}(10 \text{ nb})$, the predicted production rate for $A_{D^+D^-}$ relative to $X(3872)$ is at the 10⁻³ level, which is the same order of magnitude as the ratio of the production rates for the X atom and the $X(3872)$ [\[33\]](#page-9-19). Therefore, at $\sqrt{s_{pp}} = 7$ TeV, the cross section of $pp \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-} +$ all and $pp \rightarrow X_{atom} + all$ are at the same order of magnitude, as one would naturally expect.

Assuming the dionium mainly decays to $D^0\bar{D}^0$, i.e., $Br[A_{D^+D^-} \to D^0\bar{D}^0] \simeq 1$, the cross section for the process $p p \to A_{D^+D^-} + \text{all} \to D^0\bar{D}^0 + \text{all}$ reaches $p \, p \rightarrow A_{D^+ D^-} + {\rm all} \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 + {\rm all}$ $\mathcal{O}(10 \text{ pb})$ with the CMS kinematics in Ref. [[49](#page-10-5)], where the inclusive production cross section for the $X(3872)$ was measured to be $\sigma_{X(3872)}Br[X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-}]$ = $(1.06 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.15)$ nb. The LHCb experiment has advantages in detecting the neutral D mesons in

TABLE I. Order-of-magnitude estimates of the integrated cross section for $pp \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-} + \text{all}$ at $\sqrt{s_{pp}} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ with $10 < p_T < 50$ GeV. In the first row, Λ is the sharp cutoff used to regularize the Green's function in Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-3).

Λ (GeV)	0.5	1.0
$\sigma(p \, p \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-} + \text{all})$ (pb)		

comparison with the CMS. Thus, in the following, we make an estimate of the prompt inclusive production rate at LHCb.

The inclusive production cross section for the $X(3872)$ at LHCb was measured to be $\sigma_{X(3872)}Br[X(3872) \rightarrow$ $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ = (5.4 ± 1.3 ± 0.8) nb [\[50\]](#page-10-6), which is about five times larger than that at CMS [\[49\]](#page-10-5). Since the longdistance part of the production of both the $X(3872)$ and the dionium is universal at both experiments and calculable in NREFT, the difference between the productions of both states at CMS and LHCb then lies in the short-distance part, and one expects

$$
\left(\frac{\sigma_{A_{D^+D^-}}}{\sigma_{X(3872)}}\right)_{\text{CMS}} \sim \left(\frac{\sigma_{A_{D^+D^-}}}{\sigma_{X(3872)}}\right)_{\text{LHCb}},\tag{37}
$$

where $\sigma_{A_{D^+ D^-}}$ and $\sigma_{X(3872)}$ are the inclusive cross sections for the productions of the dionium and the $X(3872)$, respectively. Thus, $\sigma(pp \to A_{D^+D^-} + \text{all} \to D^0\bar{D}^0 + \text{all})$ should be of $\mathcal{O}(0.1 \text{ nb})$ at LHCb with the kinematics in Ref. [[50](#page-10-6)], i.e., $\sqrt{s_{pp}} = 7$ TeV, the transverse momentum $5 < p_T < 20$ GeV, and the rapidity $2.5 < y < 4.5$. Thus, the observation of the dionium at LHCb is promising. Next, we estimate the production rate of the dionium at PANDA, which has a high-energy resolution and thus is able to measure the properties with a better precision if the production rate is high enough.

IV. PRODUCTION RATE OF THE DIONIUM IN $p\bar{p}$ COLLISIONS

The dionium can be produced directly at the $p\bar{p}$ collisions, as shown in Fig. [3](#page-5-3). The D^+D^- pair is produced at short distances in $p\bar{p}$ collisions, and the dionium is formed from the long-distance FSI of this meson pair. The short-distance process $p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^+D^-$ may be estimated by exchanging the Σ_c^{++} and Σ_c^{*++} in a *t*-channel scattering. Because the coupling constant between p and $\Sigma_c^* \bar{D}$ is small [\[51](#page-10-7)[,52\]](#page-10-8), the contribution from the exchange of Σ_c^{*++} is largely suppressed. Therefore, we only count the contribution of the Σ_c^{++} exchange in the process $p\bar{p} \to D^+D^-$

FIG. 3. The Feynman diagram for the $p\bar{p} \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-}$ process. Here, A denotes the dionium $A_{D⁺D⁻}$. Besides the strong interaction, the electric Coulomb interaction is present in the $D^+D^$ loop, where the analytic form of this mesons loop is shown in Eq. [\(9\).](#page-2-3)

FIG. 4. The charged charm mesons production from $p\bar{p}$ with the exchange of Σ_c^{++} .

shown in Fig. [4](#page-6-0). Of course, there can also be exchanges of excited Σ_c^{++} baryons. Here, we only aim at an order-ofmagnitude estimate of the production cross section and thus will not consider other possible exchanges.

The effective Lagrangian free of derivative for the interaction between p and $\Sigma_c\bar{D}$ can be constructed as

$$
\mathcal{L}_{N\Sigma_c D} = g_s \bar{\psi}_N \gamma^5 \phi_D \psi_{\Sigma_c} + \text{H.c.},\tag{38}
$$

where ψ_N , ψ_{Σ_c} , and ϕ_D are the fields of the nucleon, the Σ_c , and the D meson, respectively, and the coupling constant $g_s = 2.69$ from the flavor SU(4) model [[53](#page-10-9),[54](#page-10-10)]. As shown in Fig. [4](#page-6-0), the transition amplitude for the $p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^+D^$ channel with the Σ_c exchange is expressed as

$$
i\mathcal{M}_{p\bar{p}\to D^{+}D^{-}} = \bar{v}(p')g_{s}\gamma^{5}\frac{iF_{p\Sigma_{c}D}^{2}}{p-\cancel{K}-m_{\Sigma_{c}}}g_{s}\gamma^{5}u(p), \quad (39)
$$

where u and \bar{v} are the spinors of the proton and antiproton, respectively; m_{Σ_c} is the mass of Σ_c ; and $F_{p\Sigma_cD}$ is the form factor. Following Refs. [[55](#page-10-11),[56](#page-10-12)], we take the form factor as

$$
F_{p\Sigma_c D}^2 = \frac{\Lambda_1^4}{[(p-k)^2 - m_{\Sigma_c}^2]^2 + \Lambda_1^4},\tag{40}
$$

where k is the 4-momentum of D^- and the cutoff Λ_1 is taken to be $\Lambda_1 = 2.0$ GeV as in Refs. [\[55,](#page-10-11)[56](#page-10-12)].

To estimate the production rate, we set the total initial energy of $p\bar{p}$ at the mass of the dionium, \sqrt{s} = 3739.3 MeV, to maximize the cross section of $p\bar{p} \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-}$ with subsequent $A_{D^+D^-}$ decays into final states such as $D^0\bar{D}^0$. For such an initial energy, the value of $(p - k)^2 / m_{\Sigma_c}^2$ is small in the center-of-mass frame,

$$
\frac{(p-k)^2}{m_{\Sigma_c}^2} \simeq -\frac{(\mathbf{p-k})^2}{m_{\Sigma_c}^2} \simeq -0.4.
$$
 (41)

So, one may expand the propagator in [\(39\)](#page-6-1) in powers of $1/m_{\Sigma_c}$ and keep only the leading term for an estimate,

which should suffice since we aim at an order-of-magnitude estimate. The amplitude for $p\bar{p} \rightarrow D^+D^-$ can be reduced to

$$
i\mathcal{M}'_{p\bar{p}\to p^+p^-} \simeq -\bar{v}(p')g_s\gamma^5 \frac{iF_{p\Sigma_cD}^2}{m_{\Sigma_c}}g_s\gamma^5 u(p) + \mathcal{O}(m_{\Sigma_c}^{-2}).
$$
\n(42)

The reduced amplitude for $p\bar{p} \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-}$ shown in Fig. [3](#page-5-3) is

$$
i\mathcal{M}_{A_{D^+D^-}} = i\mathcal{M}_{\text{ISI}}\mathcal{M}'_{p\bar{p}\to D^+D^-}\frac{\mathcal{N}G_c^{\Lambda}g_{\text{str}}}{4m_{D^+}m_{D^-}},\qquad(43)
$$

where $\mathcal{N} = \sqrt{8m_{A_{D^+D^-}}m_{D^+}m_{D^-}}$ accounts for the normalization of the heavy particle fields, G_c^{Λ} is the Coulomb propagator shown in Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-3), and g_{str} is effective coupling between the dionium and D^+D^- in Eq. [\(32\).](#page-4-4) Here, \mathcal{M}_{ISI} is a factor derived from the $p\bar{p}$ initial-state interaction [\[55](#page-10-11)[,57,](#page-10-13)[58](#page-10-14)]. The factor $|\mathcal{M}_{\text{ISI}}|^2$ slowly varies from 0.27 to 0.32 with the increase of \sqrt{s} from 5 GeV up to 8 GeV [\[55\]](#page-10-11). In this work, the interesting energy region is lower, at around 3.74 GeV, and we set $|\mathcal{M}_{ISI}|^2 \approx 0.2$ for an order-ofmagnitude estimation of the production rate of the dionium at PANDA. According to the general cross section formula Eq. [\(A4\)](#page-8-0) for the $2 \rightarrow 1$ process, the cross section for $p\bar{p} \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-}$ is

$$
\sigma_{A_{D^{+}D^{-}}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{A_{D^{+}D^{-}}}\sqrt{s\lambda(s, m_{p}^{2}, m_{p}^{2})}} |\mathcal{M}_{A_{D^{+}D^{-}}}|^{2}
$$

=
$$
\frac{(E_{p}^{2} - m_{p}^{2})|\mathcal{M}_{\text{ISI}}|^{2}}{\Gamma_{A_{D^{+}D^{-}}}\sqrt{s\lambda(s, m_{p}^{2}, m_{p}^{2})}} \frac{m_{A_{D^{+}D^{-}}}g_{s}^{4}F_{p\Sigma_{c}D}^{4}}{m_{D^{+}}m_{D^{-}}m_{\Sigma_{c}}^{2}} |G_{c}^{\Lambda}g_{\text{str}}|^{2},
$$
(44)

where E_p and m_p are the energy in the center-ofmass frame and mass of the proton, respectively; $\lambda(x, y, z) \equiv x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 2xy - 2yz - 2zx$; and $\Gamma_{A_{\text{pt}}-}$ is the decay width of the dionium. Since the dionium mainly decays to $D^0\overline{D}^0$, i.e., $Br[A_{D^+D^-} \to D^0\overline{D}^0] \simeq 1$, the decay width of the dionium $\Gamma_{A_{p+1}}$ is approximately equal to the partial width Γ_1 in Eq. [\(31\).](#page-3-2) Because the decay width of the dionium Γ_1 is tiny in comparison with any hadronic scale,

FIG. 5. The Feynman diagram for the $p\bar{p} \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-} \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0$ process. A denotes the dionium.

TABLE II. Order-of-magnitude estimates of the integrated cross section for $p\bar{p} \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-}$. In the first row, the cutoff Λ originated from the regularized Green's function in Eq. [\(9\)](#page-2-3).

Λ (GeV)		
$\sigma(p\bar{p}\rightarrow A_{D^+D^-})$ (µb)	$0.002_{-0.002}^{+0.013}$	$0.1_{0.1}^{+0.2}$

the general $2 \rightarrow 1$ cross section formula Eq. [\(A4\)](#page-8-0) is valid for $p\bar{p} \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-}$. Moreover, in Appendix, we show that

$$
\sigma_{A_{D^+D^-}} Br[A_{D^+D^-} \to D^0 \bar{D}^0] = \sigma_{D^0 \bar{D}^0}, \tag{45}
$$

where $\sigma_{D^0\bar{D}^0}$ is the cross section of $p\bar{p} \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-} \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0$ shown in Fig. [5](#page-6-2). From this relation, we confirm that the general cross section [\(A4\)](#page-8-0) for the $2 \rightarrow 1$ process can be applied to $p\bar{p} \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-}$.

Results for the $p\bar{p} \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-}$ cross section, as estimated using Eq. [\(44\)](#page-6-3), at $\sqrt{s} = 3739.3$ MeV with different cutoffs Λ are demonstrated in Table [II.](#page-7-1) For the cutoff being $\Lambda = 0.5(1.0)$ GeV, the cross section for $p\bar{p} \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-}$, as well as for $p\bar{p} \to A_{D^+D^-} \to D^0\bar{D}^0$, is $0.002(0.1)$ μ b. From Ref. [[59](#page-10-15)], the integrated luminosity of PANDA at $\sqrt{s} = 3872 \text{ MeV}$ is about 2 fb⁻¹ in five months. Assuming the same integrated luminosity at 3739.3 MeV, where the production rate is maximal, the above estimated cross sections translate into $0.04(2.0) \times 10^8$ events. Reconstructing the neutral D^0 and \bar{D}^0 mesons using the $K^{\pm} \pi^{\pm}$ mode, which has a branching fraction of about 4% [\[60\]](#page-10-16), there will still be $\mathcal{O}(10^3-10^5)$ events. Therefore, we expect that the dionium can be detected at PANDA.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have predicted the binding energy and the partial width to $D^0\overline{D}^0$ of the dionium, the ground-state $D^+D^$ hadronic atom with $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$, and estimated its production cross sections (inclusive and exclusive, respectively) in pp and $p\bar{p}$ colliders.

The binding energy and the decay width of the dionium were derived from the pole of the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ - D^+D^- coupledchannel scattering amplitude, where the potential for the strong interaction is a contact term derived from HQSS. In the presence of a $D^0\bar{D}^0$ bound state predicted in the LQCD calculation [\[44\]](#page-10-0), we have predicted that the mass of the dionium $A_{D^+D^-}$ is (3739.3 ± 0.1) MeV, and the decay width for $A_{D^+D^-} \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0$ is 1.8^{+1.4} keV. The latter is expected to be a good estimate for the total width of the ground-state dionium, corresponding to a life time of order 10[−]¹⁸ sec.

We have discussed the inclusive process $p p \rightarrow D^+ D^- +$ all with the MC event generator PYTHIA8 and produced the dionium from the D^+D^- FSI to explore an order-ofmagnitude estimate of the dionium production cross section at LHC experiments. Our result shows that the cross section for $pp \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-}$ + all is at the $\mathcal{O}(10)$ pb level at CMS with $\sqrt{s_{pp}}$ = 7 TeV, which is 3 orders of magnitude smaller than the cross section for the production of $X(3872)$ predicted in Ref. [\[46\]](#page-10-2) and measured in Ref. [\[49\]](#page-10-5) and a few times larger at LHCb with $\sqrt{s_{pp}} = 7$ TeV.

The cross section for $p\bar{p} \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-}$ was also estimated at the energy 3739.3 MeV where the production rate should be maximized. The cross section for $p\bar{p} \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-}$ is at the 10^{-1} µb level, and we expect that $\mathcal{O}(10^3-10^5)$ events of the reaction chain $p\bar{p} \to A_{D^+D^-} \to D^0\bar{D}^0 \to K^-\pi^+K^+\pi^-$ can be collected. A study of the hadronic atom suggested here at PANDA will be able to provide crucial information in understanding the interaction between charmed mesons and have influence in understanding the charmoniumlike states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Part of the numerical calculations was done at the HPC Cluster of ITP-CAS. This work is supported in part by the Chinese Academy of Sciences under Grants No. XDB34030000, No. XDPB15, and No. QYZDB-SSW-SYS013; by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grants No. 12125507, No. 11835015, No. 12047503, and No. 11961141012; and by the NSFC and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the funds provided to the Sino-German Collaborative Research Center TRR110 "Symmetries and the Emergence of Structure in QCD" (NSFC Grant No. 12070131001, DFG Project-ID 196253076).

APPENDIX: ONE-BODY PHASE SPACE AND THE DIONIUM LINE SHAPE

For the process $AB \rightarrow C$, the one-body phase space is

$$
\int d\Pi_1 = \int \frac{dp_c^3}{(2\pi)^3 2E_C} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_A + p_B - p_C)
$$

=
$$
\frac{2\pi \delta (E_A + E_B - E_C)}{2(E_A + E_B)}.
$$
 (A1)

The Dirac δ function in Eq. [\(A1\)](#page-7-2) can be approximately rewritten as

$$
\delta(\sqrt{s} - E_C) = \frac{1}{i\pi} \lim_{\Gamma \to 0} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s} - E_C - i\Gamma/2} \right)
$$

$$
\approx \frac{1}{i\pi} \left[\frac{\sqrt{s} - E_C + i\Gamma/2}{(\sqrt{s} - E_C)^2 + \Gamma^2/4} \right]_{\sqrt{s} \to E_C}
$$

$$
= \frac{2}{\pi \Gamma}, \tag{A2}
$$

with Γ the decay width of the C particle, which should be small for the approximation to work. The one-body phase space with the narrow-width approximation for the final state can be rewritten as

$$
\int d\Pi_1 = \frac{2}{\Gamma(E_A + E_B)}.\tag{A3}
$$

Applying the narrow-width approximation, the cross section of $AB \rightarrow C$ in the center-of-mass frame is

$$
\sigma_C = \frac{1}{2E_A 2E_B |v_A - v_B|} \int \frac{dp_C^2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_C} |\mathcal{M}_{AB \to C}|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (p_A + p_B - p_C)
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{4|E_B \mathbf{p} + E_A \mathbf{p}|} \frac{2\pi \delta (E_A + E_B - E_C)}{2(E_A + E_B)} |\mathcal{M}_{AB \to C}|^2
$$

\n
$$
\simeq \frac{1}{\Gamma \sqrt{s\lambda(s, m_A^2, m_B^2)}} |\mathcal{M}_{AB \to C}|^2,
$$
\n(A4)

where $s = (E_A + E_B)^2$ and **p** is the 3-momentum of initial particles in the center-of-mass frame, $|\mathbf{p}| = \sqrt{\lambda(s, m_A^2, m_B^2)}/(2\sqrt{s})$. Equation [\(A4\)](#page-8-0) can be used to calculate the cross section of the $2 \rightarrow 1$ process $AB \rightarrow C$ at $\sqrt{s} = m_C$ for a narrow Γ.

For $\sqrt{s} > m_C$ or a large decay width of C, one needs to consider the cross section for $p\bar{p} \to A_{D^+D^-} \to D^0\bar{D}^0$ shown in Fig. [5](#page-6-2). The amplitude for this process is

$$
i\mathcal{M}_{D^0\bar{D}^0} = i\mathcal{M}_{\text{ISI}}\mathcal{M}'_{p\bar{p}\to D^+D^-}\frac{\mathcal{N}'G_c^{\Lambda}g_{\text{str}}}{2m_{D^+}2m_{D^-}}\frac{g_{\text{str}}}{2m_{A_{p+p^-}}(\sqrt{s}-m_{A_{p+p^-}}+i\Gamma_{A_{p+p^-}}/2)},\tag{A5}
$$

where $\mathcal{N}' = \sqrt{8m_{A_{D^+D^-}}m_{D^+}m_{D^-}}\sqrt{8m_{A_{D^+D^-}}m_{D^0}m_{\bar{D}^0}}$ accounts for the normalization of the heavy particle fields and $\mathcal{M}'_{p\bar{p}\to p+p}$ is the amplitude shown in Eq. [\(42\)](#page-6-4). The Coulomb propagator G_c^{Λ} and the effective coupling constant g_{str} are given in Eqs. [\(9\)](#page-2-3) and [\(32\),](#page-4-4) respectively. The cross section for $p\bar{p} \rightarrow A_{D^+D^-} \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0$ is

$$
\sigma_{D^0\bar{D}^0} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4E_p E_{\bar{p}} |v_p - v_{\bar{p}}|} \int d\Omega \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{(2\pi)^2 4\sqrt{s}} |\mathcal{M}_{D^0\bar{D}^0}|^2
$$

=
$$
\frac{(E_p^2 - m_p^2)}{8\pi s} |\mathcal{M}_{\text{ISI}}|^2 \sqrt{\frac{\lambda(s, m_{D^0}^2, m_{\bar{D}^0}^2)}{\lambda(s, m_p^2, m_{\bar{p}}^2)} \frac{m_{D^0} m_{\bar{D}^0} g_s^4 F_{p\Sigma_c D}^4}{m_{D^+} m_{D^-} m_{\Sigma_c}^2} \frac{|G_c^{\Lambda} g_{\text{SII}}^2|^2}{(\sqrt{s} - m_{A_{D^+D^-}})^2 + \Gamma_{A_{D^+D^-}}^2/4}.
$$
(A6)

In the center-of-mass frame, one has $s = (E_p + E_{\bar{p}})^2 = (E_{D^0} + E_{\bar{D}^0})^2$ and $p \cdot p' = p^0 p'^0 - p \cdot p' = p^0 p'^0$ $E_p^2 + (E_p^2 - m_p^2) = 2E_p^2 - m_p^2$, with E_p ($E_{\bar{p}}$) and m_p ($m_{\bar{p}}$) the energy and mass of the proton (antiproton), respectively. At LO, the decay width for $A_{D^+D^-} \to D^0\bar{D}^0$ is

$$
\Gamma_{D^0\bar{D}^0} \simeq \frac{1}{2m_{A_{D^+D^-}}} \frac{|\mathbf{k}||g_{\rm str}|^2}{4\pi m_{A_{D^+D^-}}} 8m_{A_{D^+D^-}} m_{D^0} m_{\bar{D}^0} = \frac{m_{D^0} m_{\bar{D}^0} |g_{\rm str}|^2}{\pi m_{A_{D^+D^-}}} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda(s, m_{D^0}^2, m_{\bar{D}^0}^2)}}{2\sqrt{s}},\tag{A7}
$$

and the cross section for $p\bar{p} \to A_{D^+D^-} \to D^0 \bar{D}^0$ at $\sqrt{s} = m_{A_{D^+D^-}}$ can be written as

$$
\sigma_{D^0 \bar{D}^0} \simeq \frac{(E_p^2 - m_p^2)}{4\sqrt{s\lambda(s, m_p^2, m_p^2)}} |\mathcal{M}_{\text{ISI}}|^2 \frac{m_{A_{D^+ D^-}} g_s^4 F_{p\Sigma_c D}^4}{m_{D^+} m_{D^-} m_{\Sigma_c}^2} |G_c^{\Lambda} g_{\text{str}}|^2 \frac{\Gamma_{A_{D^+ D^-}}}{(\sqrt{s} - m_{A_{D^+ D^-}})^2 + \Gamma_{A_{D^+ D^-}}^2/4} \frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_{A_{D^+ D^-}}}} = \sigma_{A_{D^+ D^-}} \text{Br}[A_{D^+ D^-} \to D^0 \bar{D}^0], \tag{A8}
$$

which indicates that at $\sqrt{s} = m_{A_{D^+ D^-}}$ the cross section $\sigma_{A_{D^+ D^-}}$ for $p\bar{p} \to A_{D^+ D^-}$ in Eq. [\(44\)](#page-6-3) is equal to $\sigma_{D^0\bar{D}^0}/Br[A_{D^+D^-}\to D^0\bar{D}^0]$. Moreover, the $\sigma_{D^0\bar{D}^0}$ computed by Eq. [\(A6\)](#page-8-1) can be applied to explore the line shape of $A_{D^+D^-}$ in the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ invariant-mass distribution, while Eq. [\(A4\)](#page-8-0) just estimates the cross section at $\sqrt{s} = m_{A_{D^+D^-}}$.

- [1] S. K. Choi et al. (Belle Collaboration), Observation of a Narrow Charmonium-Like State in Exclusive $B^{\pm} \rightarrow$ $K^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\psi$ Decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91[, 262001 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.262001)
- [2] M. Ablikim et al. (BESIII Collaboration), Observation of a Charged Charmoniumlike Structure in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$ at \sqrt{s} = 4.26 GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110[, 252001 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.252001)
- [3] Z.Q. Liu *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Study of $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ and Observation of a Charged Charmoniumlike State at Belle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110[, 252002 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.252002); Erratum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111[, 019901 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.019901).
- [4] M. Ablikim et al. (BESIII Collaboration), Observation of a Charged $(D\bar{D}^*)^{\pm}$ Mass Peak in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi D\bar{D}^*$ at $\sqrt{s} = 4.26$ GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112[, 022001 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.022001)
- [5] H.-X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, The hiddencharm pentaquark and tetraquark states, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2016.05.004) 639, 1 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2016.05.004)
- [6] A. Hosaka, T. Iijima, K. Miyabayashi, Y. Sakai, and S. Yasui, Exotic hadrons with heavy flavors: X, Y, Z, and related states, [Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. \(](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptw045)2016), 062C01.
- [7] R. F. Lebed, R. E. Mitchell, and E. S. Swanson, Heavyquark QCD exotica, [Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2016.11.003) 93, 143 (2017).
- [8] A. Esposito, A. Pilloni, and A. D. Polosa, Multiquark resonances, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2016.11.002) 668, 1 (2017).
- [9] F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meißner, Q. Wang, Q. Zhao, and B.-S. Zou, Hadronic molecules, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.015004) 90, [015004 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.015004)
- [10] S. L. Olsen, T. Skwarnicki, and D. Zieminska, Nonstandard heavy mesons and baryons: Experimental evidence, [Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.015003) Mod. Phys. 90[, 015003 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.015003)
- [11] Y.-R. Liu, H.-X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, Pentaquark and tetraquark states, [Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2019.04.003) 107[, 237 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2019.04.003).
- [12] N. Brambilla, S. Eidelman, C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev, C.-P. Shen, C. E. Thomas, A. Vairo, and C.-Z. Yuan, The XYZ states: Experimental and theoretical status and perspectives, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2020.05.001) 873, 1 (2020).
- [13] F.-K. Guo, X.-H. Liu, and S. Sakai, Threshold cusps and triangle singularities in hadronic reactions, [Prog. Part. Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2020.103757) Phys. 112[, 103757 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2020.103757)
- [14] J. Nieves and M. P. Valderrama, The heavy quark spin symmetry partners of the $X(3872)$, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.056004) 86, 056004 [\(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.056004)
- [15] C. Hidalgo-Duque, J. Nieves, and M. P. Valderrama, Light flavor and heavy quark spin symmetry in heavy meson molecules, Phys. Rev. D 87[, 076006 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.076006).
- [16] F.-K. Guo, C. Hidalgo-Duque, J. Nieves, and M. P. Valderrama, Consequences of heavy quark symmetries for hadronic molecules, Phys. Rev. D 88[, 054007 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.054007)
- [17] N. A. Törnqvist, From the deuteron to deusons, an analysis of deuteron-like meson meson bound states, [Z. Phys. C](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01413192) 61, [525 \(1994\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01413192).
- [18] C.-Y. Wong, Molecular states of heavy quark mesons, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.69.055202) Rev. C 69[, 055202 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.69.055202)
- [19] E. S. Swanson, $D\bar{D}^*$ and D^*D^* molecules, [J. Phys. Conf.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/9/1/012) Ser. 9[, 79 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/9/1/012)
- [20] X.-K. Dong, F.-K. Guo, and B.-S. Zou, A survey of heavyantiheavy hadronic molecules, [Prog. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.13725/j.cnki.pip.2021.02.001) 41, 65 (2021).
- [21] M. Albaladejo, F.-K. Guo, C. Hidalgo-Duque, J. Nieves, and M. P. Valderrama, Decay widths of the spin-2 partners of the $X(3872)$, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3753-6) 75, 547 (2015).
- [22] V. Baru, E. Epelbaum, A. A. Filin, C. Hanhart, U.-G. Meißner, and A. V. Nefediev, Heavy-quark spin symmetry partners of the $X(3872)$ revisited, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.10.008) 763, 20
- [23] X. L. Wang *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), The study of $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow$ $\gamma\psi(2S)$ at Belle, [arXiv:2105.06605](https://arXiv.org/abs/2105.06605).

[\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.10.008)

- [24] D. Gamermann, E. Oset, D. Strottman, and M. J. Vicente Vacas, Dynamically generated open and hidden charm meson systems, Phys. Rev. D 76[, 074016 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.074016)
- [25] Y.-J. Zhang, H.-C. Chiang, P.-N. Shen, and B.-S. Zou, Possible S-wave bound-states of two pseudoscalar mesons, Phys. Rev. D 74[, 014013 \(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.014013).
- [26] X. Liu, Z.-G. Luo, Y.-R. Liu, and S.-L. Zhu, $X(3872)$ and other possible heavy molecular states, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1020-4) 61, [411 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1020-4).
- [27] L. R. Dai, J.-J. Xie, and E. Oset, $B^0 \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}{}^0 K^0$, $B^+ \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 K^+$, and the scalar $D\bar{D}$ bound state, [Eur. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3946-7) J. C 76[, 121 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3946-7)
- [28] L. Dai, G. Toledo, and E. Oset, Searching for a $D\overline{D}$ bound state with the ψ (3770) $\rightarrow \gamma D^0 \bar{D}^0$ decay, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8058-8) 80, [510 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8058-8).
- [29] E. Wang, H.-S. Li, W.-H. Liang, and E. Oset, Analysis of the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ reaction and the $D\bar{D}$ bound state, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.054008) 103[, 054008 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.054008)
- [30] C. W. Xiao and E. Oset, Three methods to detect the predicted $D\overline{D}$ scalar meson $X(3700)$, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2013-13052-5) 49, [52 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2013-13052-5)
- [31] J. Gasser, V. E. Lyubovitskij, and A. Rusetsky, Hadronic atoms in $QCD + QED$, Phys. Rep. 456[, 167 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2007.09.006)
- [32] J. Gasser, V. E. Lyubovitskij, and A. Rusetsky, Hadronic atoms, [Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.010909.083806) 59, 169 (2009).
- [33] Z.-H. Zhang and F.-K. Guo, $D^{\pm}D^{*\mp}$ Hadronic Atom as a Key to Revealing the $X(3872)$ Mystery, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.012002) 127[, 012002 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.012002)
- [34] O. Dumbrajs, The $(\pi^+\pi^-)$, (π^+K^-) , $(\pi^-\Sigma^+)$, (K^+K^-) , and $(\Sigma^+\Sigma^+)$ atomic states, Z. Phys. A 321[, 297 \(1985\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01493451).
- [35] B. Kerbikov, The Interplay of the K^+K^- atom and the $f_0(975)$ resonance, Z. Phys. A 353[, 113 \(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01297733)
- [36] S. Krewald, R. H. Lemmer, and F. P. Sassen, Lifetime of kaonium, Phys. Rev. D 69[, 016003 \(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.016003).
- [37] S. P. Klevansky and R. H. Lemmer, Decay of kaonium in a chiral approach, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.07.002) 702, 235 (2011).
- [38] E. Klempt, F. Bradamante, A. Martin, and J. M. Richard, Antinucleon nucleon interaction at low energy: Scattering and protonium, Phys. Rep. 368[, 119 \(2002\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(02)00144-8)
- [39] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen, and P. Z. Skands, An introduction to PYTHIA8.2, [Comput. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024) Commun. 191[, 159 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024).
- [40] S. Deser, M. L. Goldberger, K. Baumann, and W. E. Thirring, Energy level displacements in pi mesonic atoms, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.96.774) Rev. 96[, 774 \(1954\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.96.774)
- [41] E. Braaten, E. Johnson, and H. Zhang, Zero-range effective field theory for resonant wino dark matter. Part II. Coulomb resummation, [J. High Energy Phys. 02 \(2018\) 150.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2018)150)
- [42] X. Kong and F. Ravndal, Coulomb effects in low-energy proton proton scattering, Nucl. Phys. A665[, 137 \(2000\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(99)00406-6)
- [43] S. König, H. W. Grießhammer, H. W. Hammer, and U. van Kolck, Effective theory of ${}^{3}H$ and ${}^{3}He$, [J. Phys. G](https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/43/5/055106) 43, [055106 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/43/5/055106)
- [44] S. Prelovsek, S. Collins, D. Mohler, M. Padmanath, and S. Piemonte, Charmonium-like resonances with $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$, 2^{++} in coupled $D\bar{D}$, $D_s\bar{D}_s$ scattering on the lattice, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2021)035) [Energy Phys. 06 \(2021\) 035.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2021)035)
- [45] E. J. Eichten and C. Quigg, Quarkonium wave functions at the origin, Phys. Rev. D 52[, 1726 \(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.52.1726)
- [46] F.-K. Guo, U.-G. Meißner, W. Wang, and Z. Yang, Production of the bottom analogs and the spin partner of the $X(3872)$ at hadron colliders, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3063-4) 74, 3063 (2014).
- [47] F.-K. Guo, U.-G. Meißner, W. Wang, and Z. Yang, Production of charm-strange hadronic molecules at the LHC, [J.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2014)138) [High Energy Phys. 05 \(2014\) 138.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2014)138)
- [48] P. Artoisenet and E. Braaten, Production of the $X(3872)$ at the Tevatron and the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 81[, 114018 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.114018)
- [49] S. Chatrchyan et al. (CMS Collaboration), Measurement of the $X(3872)$ production cross section via decays to $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2013)154) [Phys. 04 \(2013\) 154.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2013)154)
- [50] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Observation of $X(3872)$ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1972-7) 72, 1972 (2012).
- [51] B. Holzenkamp, K. Holinde, and J. Speth, A meson exchange model for the hyperon nucleon interaction, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(89)90223-6) Phys. A500[, 485 \(1989\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(89)90223-6).
- [52] J. Haidenbauer and G. Krein, Production of charmed pseudoscalar mesons in antiproton-proton annihilation, Phys. Rev. D 89[, 114003 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.114003).
- [53] J. Haidenbauer, G. Krein, U.-G. Meißner, and L. Tolos, DN interaction from meson exchange, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2011-11018-3) 47, 18 [\(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2011-11018-3)
- [54] R. Shyam and H. Lenske, $\bar{p}p$ annihilation into $\bar{D}D$ meson pair within an effective Lagrangian model, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.034016) 93, [034016 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.034016)
- [55] Y. Dong, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Role of the hadron molecule $\Lambda_c(2940)$ in the $p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ $pD^0\bar{\Lambda}_c(2286)$ annihilation reaction, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.094001) 90, [094001 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.094001)
- [56] S. Sakai, H.-J. Jing, and F.-K. Guo, Possible precise measurements of the $X(3872)$ mass with the $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $\pi^{0}\gamma X(3872)$ and $p\bar{p} \to \gamma X(3872)$ reactions, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.114041) 102[, 114041 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.114041)
- [57] J. Haidenbauer and G. Krein, The reaction $p\bar{p} \to \bar{\Lambda}_c^- \Lambda_c^+$ close to threshold, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.03.065) 687, 314 (2010).
- [58] Y. Dong, A. Faessler, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Description of heavy exotic resonances as molecular states using phenomenological Lagrangians, [Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2017.01.002) 94, 282 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2017.01.002)
- [59] G. Barucca et al. (PANDA Collaboration), Precision resonance energy scans with the PANDA experiment at FAIR: Sensitivity study for width and line-shape measurements of the $X(3872)$, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2019-12718-2) 55, 42 (2019).
- [60] P. Zyla et al. (Particle Data Group), Review of particle physics, [Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. \(](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptaa104)2020), 083C01, and 2021 [update.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptaa104)