Uniqueness of static spacetime with conformal scalar in higher dimensions

Keisuke Izumi $\mathbf{Q}^{1,2}$ $\mathbf{Q}^{1,2}$ $\mathbf{Q}^{1,2}$ Yoshimune Tomikawa \mathbf{Q}^{3} and Tetsuya Shiromizu $\mathbf{Q}^{2,1}$

 1 Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan k^2 Department of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan $\frac{3}{B}$ Faculty of Fconomics, Matsuyama Hniversity, Matsuyama 790-8578, Japan Faculty of Economics, Matsuyama University, Matsuyama 790-8578, Japan

(Received 9 August 2021; accepted 19 October 2021; published 9 November 2021)

We discuss the uniqueness of asymptotically flat and static spacetimes in the n -dimensional Einsteinconformal scalar system. This theory potentially has a singular point in the field equations where the effective Newton constant diverges. We will show that the static spacetime with the conformal scalar field outside a certain surface S_p associated with the singular point is unique.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.104.104025](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.104025)

I. INTRODUCTION

The Einstein-conformal system is regarded as a toy model of a low-energy effective theory of string theory. It is known that there is a static, spherically symmetric, and asymptotically flat exact solution in the n -dimensional Einstein-conformal scalar system [[1](#page-4-0),[2](#page-4-1)]. For $n = 4$, the curvature is finite at the Killing horizon and it is a black hole solution which is known as the Bocharova-Bronnikov-Melnikov-Bekenstein (BBMB) black hole [\[1](#page-4-0)], whereas for $n > 4$, the solution is not a black hole because the curvature diverges at the Killing horizon [[2](#page-4-1)]. The field equation has a singular point where the effective Newton constant diverges. It appears in the exact solutions (the corresponding surface will be denoted by S_p later) but all the physical quantities such as the curvature and the scalar field are regular there. For $n = 4$, it is natural to ask if the uniqueness of the black hole solution holds. The current authors addressed this issue and then proved the uniqueness of the static spacetime outside S_p [\[3](#page-4-2)–[5\]](#page-4-3). Since the proof in Refs. [[3](#page-4-2),[5](#page-4-3)] relies on the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, as black hole cases [\[6,](#page-4-4)[7\]](#page-4-5), it works only for four dimensions. By contrast, the proof based on the conformal transformation and positive mass theorem in Ref. [\[4](#page-4-6)] may be able to work in higher dimensions too as black hole cases [[8](#page-4-7)–[10\]](#page-4-8).

In this paper, we will address the uniqueness of the static, asymptotically flat exact solution in the Einstein-conformal scalar system. The exact solution for $n > 4$ has the curvature singularity on the Killing horizon, but it does not appear in the region between S_p and the spatial infinity. Therefore, the uniqueness of the solution outside S_p would be worth investigating. As a consequence, following Refs. [\[9,](#page-4-9)[10\]](#page-4-8), we shall prove the uniqueness of static spacetime outside S_p .

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. [II](#page-0-0), we will present the setup, describe the basic features of static spacetime, and discuss the boundary conditions. In Sec. [III,](#page-1-0) we show that a certain relation between the lapse function and scalar field holds. The proof will be shown in Sec. [IV.](#page-3-0) Finally, we will give the summary and discussion in Sec. [V.](#page-3-1)

II. SETUP

In this section, we describe the Einstein-conformal scalar system in n dimensions and discuss some feature of the static cases. We suppose that n is larger than four. The case for $n = 4$ has been investigated in Refs. [[3](#page-4-2),[4\]](#page-4-6).

We begin with the action for the Einstein-conformal scalar system in n dimensions,

$$
S = \int \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa} R - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 - \frac{\xi}{2} R \phi^2 \right] \sqrt{-g} d^n x, \qquad (1)
$$

where

$$
\xi := \frac{1}{4} \frac{n-2}{n-1}.
$$
 (2)

One can then obtain the field equations,

$$
(1 - \kappa \xi \phi^2) G_{\mu\nu}
$$

= $\kappa \left[\nabla_{\mu} \phi \nabla_{\nu} \phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (\nabla \phi)^2 + \xi (g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^2 - \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu}) \phi^2 \right],$ (3)

where $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the *n*-dimensional Einstein tensor, and

$$
(\nabla^2 - \xi R)\phi = 0.
$$
 (4)

The trace of Eqs. [\(3\)](#page-0-1) and [\(4\)](#page-0-2) show us

$$
R = 0.\t\t(5)
$$

This simplifies the field equations as

$$
(1 - \kappa \xi \phi^2) R_{\mu\nu}
$$

= $\kappa \left[\nabla_{\mu} \phi \nabla_{\nu} \phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (\nabla \phi)^2 + \xi (g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^2 - \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu}) \phi^2 \right]$
(6)

and

$$
\nabla^2 \phi = 0. \tag{7}
$$

Note that the front factor of the left-hand side in Eq. [\(6\)](#page-1-1) tells us that the equation is singular at $\phi = \phi_p := \pm 1/\sqrt{\kappa \xi}$. In the exact solutions found in Ref. [2] however, the space the exact solutions found in Ref. [[2\]](#page-4-1), however, the spacetime is regular at S_p which denotes surface(s) satisfying $\phi = \phi_p$, although it is singular on the Killing horizon for higher dimensions than four, which is different from the case of four dimensions [[1](#page-4-0)].

From now on, we will focus on static and asymptotically flat spacetimes. The metric can be written as

$$
ds^{2} = -V^{2}(x^{i})dt^{2} + g_{ij}(x^{k})dx^{i}dx^{j}.
$$
 (8)

The Einstein equation [\(6\)](#page-1-1) give us

$$
(1 - \kappa \xi \phi^2) D^2 V = \kappa \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\xi \right) V (D\phi)^2 + 2\xi \phi D^i V D_i \phi \right]
$$
\n(9)

and

$$
(1 - \kappa \xi \phi^2) \left((n-1)R_{ij} - \frac{1}{V} D_i D_j V \right)
$$

= $\kappa \left[(1 - 2\xi)D_i \phi D_j \phi - \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\xi \right) (D\phi)^2 g_{ij} - 2\xi \phi D_i D_j \phi \right],$
(10)

where D_i is the covariant derivative with respect to g_{ij} and $(n-1)R_{ij}$ is the $(n-1)$ -dimensional Ricci tensor. The equation for the scalar field becomes

$$
D^{i}(VD_{i}\phi) = 0.
$$
 (11)

We impose the asymptotic boundary conditions at spatial infinity $(r \to \infty)$,

$$
V = 1 - \frac{m}{r^{n-3}} + O(1/r^{n-2}),\tag{12}
$$

$$
g_{ij} = \left(1 + \frac{2}{n-3} \frac{m}{r^{n-3}}\right) \delta_{ij} + O(1/r^{n-2}).\tag{13}
$$

For the scalar field, an asymptotic condition $\phi =$ $O(1/r^{n-3})$ is assumed because Eq. [\(11\)](#page-1-2) with vanishing boundary condition of ϕ implies it.¹ Equation [\(11\)](#page-1-2) tells us that ϕ is a monotonic function, and thus ϕ is regular in the region whose boundaries are composed of the surface S_p and the spatial infinity, while the Einstein equation is singular at S_p . Therefore, we shall consider only the region Σ enclosed by S_p and the spatial infinity. Here, we consider the case where ϕ has the same sign everywhere on S_p , i.e., $\phi_p = 1/\sqrt{\kappa \xi}$. Note that our current argument can work for $\phi_p = 1/\sqrt{\kappa \xi}$ too, but does not for the asses that S, is $\phi_p = -1/\sqrt{\kappa \xi}$ too, but does not for the cases that S_p is
composed of the multicomponents with both values (see composed of the multicomponents with both values (see Ref. [[4\]](#page-4-6) for details in four dimensions).

III. ϕ− V RELATION AND FOLIATION ON Σ

In this section, we will show the relation between the conformal scalar field ϕ and V. The relation gives us a harmonic function v on Σ and the foliation on Σ is taken by v . We derive some formulas for geometrical quantities expressed with the $(n - 2)$ -dimensional ones, which are useful for the proof of the uniqueness of static spacetime outside the surface S_p .

The combination of Eqs. [\(9\)](#page-1-3) and [\(11\)](#page-1-2) gives

$$
D^{i}[(1-\varphi)(1+\varphi)^{\frac{n-4}{n-2}}D_{i}\{(1+\varphi)^{\frac{2}{n-2}}V\}]=0, \quad (14)
$$

where $\varphi = \sqrt{\kappa \xi} \phi$. The integration over Σ gives us

$$
0 = \int_{\Sigma} D^{i} [(1 - \varphi)(1 + \varphi)^{\frac{n-4}{n-2}} D_{i} \{(1 + \varphi)^{\frac{2}{n-2}} V\}] d\Sigma
$$

=
$$
\int_{S_{\infty}} D_{i} \{(1 + \varphi)^{\frac{2}{n-2}} V\} dS^{i}.
$$
 (15)

In the second equality, we used the Gauss theorem and the fact that the boundary term from S_p vanishes because of $\varphi|_{S_p} = 1$. Next, we multiply $(1 + \varphi)^{\frac{2}{n-2}}V$ to Eq. [\(14\)](#page-1-4) and
then teles its integration given Σ . Einelly, under the gymnatic then take its integration over Σ . Finally, under the current boundary conditions, we have

$$
0 = \int_{\Sigma} \left\{ (1+\varphi)^{\frac{2}{n-2}} V \right\} D^{i} ((1-\varphi)(1+\varphi)^{\frac{n-4}{n-2}} \times [D_{i} \left\{ (1+\varphi)^{\frac{2}{n-2}} V \right\}]) d\Sigma = \int_{S_{\infty}} \left\{ (1+\varphi)^{\frac{2}{n-2}} V \right\} (1-\varphi)(1+\varphi)^{\frac{n-4}{n-2}} [D_{i} \left\{ (1+\varphi)^{\frac{2}{n-2}} V \right\}] dS^{i} - \int_{\Sigma} (1-\varphi)(1+\varphi)^{\frac{n-4}{n-2}} [D \left\{ (1+\varphi)^{\frac{2}{n-2}} V \right\}]^{2} d\Sigma, \tag{16}
$$

where we arranged the integration in the same manner as Eq. [\(15\)](#page-1-5). The surface intergal term over S_{∞} in Eq. [\(16\)](#page-1-6) has

¹As discussed in Ref. [[4](#page-4-6)], the cases with nonvanishing boundary condition may be related to the current case. Therefore, for simplicity, we impose the vanishing boundary condition for the scalar field in this paper.

the same value as that in Eq. [\(15\)](#page-1-5) because of $V \rightarrow 1$ and $\varphi \to 0$ at infinity. This means that it is zero, and then the volume integral term over Σ in the last line of Eq. [\(16\)](#page-1-6) vanishes. It occurs only if $D_i\{(1+\varphi)^{\frac{2}{n-2}}V\}=0$ holds, i.e., $(1 + \varphi)^{\frac{2}{n-2}}V$ is constant. The constant value can be fixed
by checking its behavior at infinity and we can see by checking its behavior at infinity and we can see $(1 + \varphi)^{\frac{2}{n-2}}V = 1$. For convenience, we rearrange the relation as² lation as^2

$$
\varphi = V^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} - 1. \tag{17}
$$

This relation significantly makes the analysis simple. Using this relation, Eq. [\(9\)](#page-1-3) and the trace of Eq. [\(10\)](#page-1-7) imply

$$
D^2 V = \frac{n - 2}{2} \frac{(DV)^2}{V}
$$
 (18)

and

$$
^{(n-1)}R = (n-2)\frac{(DV)^2}{V^2}.
$$
 (19)

For $n \geq 5$, we define v as $v := V^{-\frac{n-4}{2}}$, and then Eq. [\(18\)](#page-2-0) becomes a simple harmonic equation for v ,

$$
D^2 v = 0.\t\t(20)
$$

This leads to the fact that v is a monotonically decreasing function toward the spatial infinity.³ Bearing this in mind, we introduce the unit normal vector to $v = constant$ surface S_v in Σ as

$$
n_i = -\rho D_i v,\tag{21}
$$

where $\rho = (D^i v D_i v)^{-1/2}$. The extrinsic curvature, k_{ij} of S_v , is defined by $k_{ij} = h_i^k D_k n_j$, where $h_{ij} := g_{ij} - n_i n_j$ is the induced metric of S. For later discussions, we present the induced metric of S_v . For later discussions, we present the trace of the extrinsic curvature

$$
k = D^{i} n_{i} = -D^{i} (\rho D_{i} v) = -D^{i} \rho D_{i} v = -\frac{1}{\rho} n^{i} D_{i} \rho \qquad (22)
$$

and the second derivative of v

$$
D_i D_j v = -\frac{1}{\rho} k_{ij} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} (n_i \mathcal{D}_j \rho + n_j \mathcal{D}_i \rho) + \frac{k}{\rho} n_i n_j, \quad (23)
$$

where \mathcal{D}_i is the covariant derivative with respect to h_{ij} .

Let us check the regularity of the curvature invariant at S_n . We express the curvature invariant by the $(n-2)$ dimensional geometrical quantities,

$$
R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} = R_{00}R^{00} + R_{ij}R^{ij}
$$

=
$$
\frac{4(n-2)^2}{(n-4)^4} \frac{1}{v^4\rho^4} + \frac{4(n-2)^2}{(n-4)^4} \frac{1}{v^4\rho^4} \frac{1}{(2v^{-\frac{n-2}{n-4}}-1)^2} [\{(n-3)+2v^{-\frac{n-2}{n-4}}-(n-4)v(1-v^{-\frac{n-2}{n-4}})\rho k\}^2
$$

+
$$
\{(n-4)v(1-v^{-\frac{n-2}{n-4}})\rho k_{ij} - h_{ij}\}^2 + 2(n-4)^2v^2(1-v^{-\frac{n-2}{n-4}})^2(D\rho)^2].
$$
 (24)

Equation [\(17\)](#page-2-1) shows that V and v have the following values at S_n :

$$
V = V_p := 2^{-\frac{2}{n-2}}
$$
 and $v = v_p := 2^{\frac{n-4}{n-2}}$. (25)

The second one of the above indicates that the curvature invariant has a vanishing factor in a denominator, which naively leads to a curvature singularity. This singularity can be avoided only if

$$
k_{ij}|_{S_p} = \frac{2^{\frac{2}{n-2}}}{n-4} \frac{1}{\rho_p} h_{ij}|_{S_p},
$$
\n(26)

where $\rho_p := \rho|_{S_p}$ and

$$
\mathcal{D}_i \rho|_{S_p} = 0 \tag{27}
$$

hold. They mean that S_p is totally umbilic and that ρ is constant on S_p . We should also check the behavior of the Kretschmann invariant, which is decomposed as

$$
R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 4R_{0i0j}R^{0i0j} + R_{ijkl}R^{ijkl}.
$$
 (28)

The first term on the right-hand side becomes

$$
R_{0i0j}R^{0i0j} = \frac{4}{V^2}D_iD_jVD^iD^jV = \frac{4}{(n-4)^2}V^{n-4}\left[\frac{1}{\rho^2}k_{ij}k^{ij} + \frac{2}{\rho^4}(\mathcal{D}\rho)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho^2}\left(k - \frac{n-2}{n-4\rho v}\right)^2\right].
$$
 (29)

²When S_p has the multicomponents with $\varphi = \pm 1$ both, this argument dose not work.

³For the $n = 4$ case, v is defined by $v := \ln V$. See Refs. [\[3](#page-4-2)[,4](#page-4-6)].

The second term in the right-hand side of Eq. [\(28\)](#page-2-2) is composed of the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Weyl tensor square and Ricci tensor square. The Ricci tensor square has the same singular behavior as Eq. [\(24\).](#page-2-3) As will be seen in the next section, Eqs. [\(26\)](#page-2-4) and [\(27\)](#page-2-5) are sufficient for the proof of the spherical symmetry and the uniqueness. After the spherical symmetry is shown, we know that the Weyl tensor vanishes. Therefore, the form of Weyl tensor square is not important in our proof and we do not show it explicitly.

Note that, at the Killing horizon ($V = 0$ or $v \to \infty$), the curvature invariant does not imply the constraint on the geometry.

IV. PROOF FOR UNIQUENESS

Now we are ready to prove the uniqueness of static spacetime outside S_p in the *n*-dimensional Einsteinconformal scalar system. The procedure for the proof follows Refs. [\[9](#page-4-9)[,10\]](#page-4-8).

Let us consider the following two conformal transformations for g_{ij} :

$$
\tilde{g}_{ij}^{\pm} = \Omega_{\pm}^2 g_{ij},\tag{30}
$$

where

$$
\Omega_+ = V^{\frac{1}{n-3}},\tag{31}
$$

$$
\Omega_{-} = \frac{1}{V} (1 - V^{\frac{n-2}{2}})^{\frac{2}{n-3}}.
$$
 (32)

Then we have two manifolds $(\tilde{\Sigma}^{\pm}, \tilde{g}_{ij}^{\pm})$.
It is easy to see that the Biggi scalar

It is easy to see that the Ricci scalar for \tilde{g}^{\pm}_{ij} vanishes,

$$
\Omega_{\pm}^{2} {}^{(n-1)}\tilde{R}_{\pm} = {}^{(n-1)}R - 2(n-2)D^{2} \ln \Omega_{\pm}
$$

$$
- (n-3)(n-2)(D \ln \Omega_{\pm})^{2}
$$

$$
= 0. \tag{33}
$$

The metrics \tilde{g}^{\pm}_{ij} on S_p coincide with each other,

$$
\tilde{g}_{ij}^+|_{S_p} = \tilde{g}_{ij}^-|_{S_p} = 2^{-\frac{4}{(n-2)(n-3)}} g_{ij}|_{S_p}.
$$
 (34)

The extrinsic curvature of $v =$ constant surface in $\tilde{\Sigma}^{\pm}$ is related to that in Σ as related to that in Σ as

$$
\tilde{k}_{ij}^{\pm} = \Omega_{\pm} k_{ij} + \frac{2}{n-4} v^{\frac{n-2}{n-4}} \frac{d\Omega_{\pm}}{\rho} h_{ij}.
$$
 (35)

Substituting Eqs. (26) , (31) , and (32) into Eq. (35) , we can see

$$
\tilde{k}_{ij}^+|_{S_p} = -\tilde{k}_{ij}^-|_{S_p} = \frac{n-2}{(n-3)(n-4)} 2^{\frac{2(n-4)}{(n-2)(n-3)}} \frac{1}{\rho_p} h_{ij}|_{S_p}, \quad (36)
$$

where $\rho_p := \rho|_{S_p}$. The coincidence of the metric and the relation of the extrinsic curvature at S_p allow us to glue $\tilde{\Sigma}^+$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}^-$ without discontinuity of the extrinsic curvature. The glued manifold $\tilde{\Sigma}^+ \cup \tilde{\Sigma}^-$ is denoted by $(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{g}_{ij})$.

Here we examine the asymptotic behavior of \tilde{g}^{\pm}_{ij} . After a short calculation, we have

$$
\tilde{g}_{ij}^{+} = \delta_{ij} + O(1/r^{n-2}).
$$
\n(37)

This implies that the mass vanishes. For \tilde{g}_{ij}^- , by introducing the new radial coordinate χ as

$$
\chi := \left(\frac{n-2}{2}m\right)^{\frac{2}{n-3}}r^{-1},\tag{38}
$$

the neighborhood of $r \to \infty$ ($\chi = 0$) can be approximated by

$$
\tilde{g}_{ij}^{-}dx^{i}dx^{j} \simeq d\chi^{2} + \chi^{2}d\Omega_{n-2}^{2},\tag{39}
$$

where $d\Omega_{n-2}^2$ is the metric of the $(n-2)$ -dimensional round sphere. This means that the spatial infinity in Σ corresponds to a point in Σ . Therefore, adding one point $\{q\}$ corresponding to $\chi = 0$, we have a complete manifold $\tilde{\Sigma} \cup q$, which is asymptotically flat space with the zero mass and zero Ricci scalar. Now we can apply the positive mass theorem for (Σ, \tilde{g}_{ij}) and then $(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{g}_{ij})$ is flat space, that is, $\tilde{g}_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$. This shows us that Eq. [\(18\)](#page-2-0) is rewritten as

$$
\Delta_{\delta} V^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} = 0, \qquad (40)
$$

where Δ_{δ} is the flat Laplacian. Since we have already shown in Eq. [\(36\)](#page-3-5) that S_p is totally umbilic in $\hat{\Sigma}$, S_p in $\hat{\Sigma}$ is spherically symmetric because of the flatness of $\tilde{\Sigma}$. The fact that the solution to Eq. [\(40\)](#page-3-6) with the spherically symmetric boundary condition is spherically symmetric leads to the result that every $v = constant$ surface $\{S_v\}$ in $\tilde{\Sigma}^+$ is also spherically symmetric. Thus, (Σ, g_{ij}) is spherically symmetric because the conformal factor Ω_{\pm} depends only on V.
Then the results in Ref. [2] show us that the solution is Then the results in Ref. [\[2\]](#page-4-1) show us that the solution is unique outside S_p .

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we proved the uniqueness of static spacetime outside the surface S_p , where the field equations are singular, in the n -dimensional Einstein-conformal scalar system. Therefore, as the event horizon in the vacuum Einstein, the regularity on the surface S_p could constrain the geometry. This is the crucial first step to prove the uniqueness. In the end, we could prove it.

Our uniqueness theorem says nothing for whole spacetime. In contrast to the four-dimensional cases, the exact solution of static, spherically symmetric spacetime is singular at the Killing horizon [\[2\]](#page-4-1). It would be difficult to resolve the singularities and obtain any constraints for the geometry of the Killing horizon. Yet, even if some geometrical quantities such as the extrinsic curvature diverge at the Killing horizon, the curvature invariant may be finite. This is rather impressive because all geometrical quantities at the Killing horizon are finite in four dimensions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

K. I. and T. S. are supported by Grant-Aid for Scientific Research from Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan (No. JP17H01091, No. JP21H05182, and No. JP21H05189). K. I. is also supported by JSPS Grantsin-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (No. JP20H01902). T. S. is also supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. JP21K03551).

- [1] N. M. Bocharova, K. A. Bronnikov, and V. N. Melnikov, Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Ser. 3: Fiz., Astron. 6, 706 (1970); J. D. Bekenstein, [Ann. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(74)90124-9) 82, 535 (1974).
- [2] B. C. Xanthopoulos and T. E. Dialynas, [J. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.529723) (N.Y.) 33[, 1463 \(1992\);](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.529723) C. Klimcik, [J. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.530146) 34, [1914 \(1993\);](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.530146) C. Martinez and M. Nozawa, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.024003) 103[, 024003 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.024003)
- [3] Y. Tomikawa, T. Shiromizu, and K. Izumi, [Prog. Theor.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptx033) Exp. Phys. 2017[, 033E03 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptx033)
- [4] Y. Tomikawa, T. Shiromizu, and K. Izumi, [Classical](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aa7906) [Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aa7906) 34, 155004 (2017).
- [5] T. Shinohara, Y. Tomikawa, K. Izumi, and T. Shiromizu, [Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptab107) 2021, 093E02 (2021).
- [6] W. Israel, Phys. Rev. 164[, 1776 \(1967\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.164.1776)
- [7] D. C. Robinson, [Gen. Relativ. Gravit.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00756322) 8, 695 (1977).
- [8] G. L. Bunting and A. K. M. Masood-ul-Alam, [Gen. Relativ.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00770326) Gravit. 19[, 147 \(1987\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00770326).
- [9] G. W. Gibbons, D. Ida, and T. Shiromizu, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.041101) 89[, 041101 \(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.041101); [Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.148.284) 148, 284 [\(2002\).](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.148.284)
- [10] D. Ida, A. Ishibashi, and T. Shiromizu, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.189.52) Suppl. 189[, 52 \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.189.52).