

## Erratum: Effective field theory for double heavy baryons at strong coupling [Phys. Rev. D **102**, 014013 (2020)]

Joan Soto and Jaume Tarrús Castellà

(Received 10 August 2021; published 8 September 2021)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.104.059901](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.059901)

In Sec. IV when discussing the hyperfine splittings for the  $l = 2$  multiplets, off diagonal terms mixing  $j = 3/2, 5/2$  with  $\ell = 3/2$  and  $\ell = 5/2$  were overlooked. To correct this omission the following changes should be made:

(a) The text from Eq. (42) to the end of Sec. 4 should be replaced by:

For  $j = 1/2$  and  $7/2$  the contributions are

$$M_{n_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2}}{m_Q} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2}}{m_Q} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2}}{m_Q}, \quad (1)$$

$$M_{n_{\frac{7}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2}}{m_Q} - \frac{2}{21} \frac{\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2}}{m_Q} + \frac{\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2}}{m_Q}. \quad (2)$$

For  $j = 3/2, 5/2$  we have the mixing matrices for  $\ell = 3/2$  and  $\ell = 5/2$  states

$$M_{n_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{m_Q} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{5} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2} - \frac{2}{15} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2} - \frac{3}{2} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2} & \frac{3}{5} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2} + \frac{1}{10} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2} \\ \frac{3}{5} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2} + \frac{1}{10} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2} & -\frac{7}{10} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2} + \frac{2}{15} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2} + \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

$$M_{n_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{m_Q} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{3}{10} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2} + \frac{1}{5} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2} - \frac{3}{2} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2} & \frac{\sqrt{14}}{5} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2} + \frac{1}{15} \sqrt{\frac{7}{2}} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{14}}{5} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2} + \frac{1}{15} \sqrt{\frac{7}{2}} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2} & -\frac{1}{5} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2} + \frac{4}{105} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2} + \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

We diagonalize to obtain the physical masses

$$M_{n_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \pm}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{4m_Q} \left\{ \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2} + \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2} \pm \frac{1}{3} [81(\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2})^2 + 4(\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2})^2 + 225(\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2})^2 - 6\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2}(27\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2} - 8\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2})]^{1/2} \right\}, \quad (5)$$

$$M_{n_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \pm}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{84m_Q} \left\{ 21\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2} - 10\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2} + 21\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2} \pm [3969(\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2})^2 + 156(\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2})^2 + 11025(\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2})^2 + 126\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2}(10\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2} + 7\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2}) - 1428\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2}\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2}]^{1/2} \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Let us consider the following hyperfine splittings among  $l = 2$  which are linear in the expectation values of the potentials

---

*Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published articles title, journal citation, and DOI.*

$$M_{n_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}+}} + M_{n_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}-}} - M_{n_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}+}} - M_{n_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}-}} = \frac{5}{21m_Q} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2}, \quad (7)$$

$$M_{n_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}^{\frac{3}{2}}}} - \frac{1}{2}(M_{n_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}+}} + M_{n_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}-}}) = \frac{1}{12m_Q} (9\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2} - 4\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2} - 15\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2}), \quad (8)$$

$$M_{n_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{2}{2}^{\frac{3}{2}}}} - \frac{1}{2}(M_{n_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}+}} + M_{n_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}-}}) = \frac{1}{m_Q} \left( \frac{3}{4} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2} - \frac{2}{21} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2} + \frac{5}{4} \langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2} \right). \quad (9)$$

These formulas fix  $\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2}$ ,  $\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2}$  and  $\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2}$  in terms of physical masses. Then, we have the following model-independent predictions

$$M_{n_{\frac{3}{2}}^{(1)+}} - M_{n_{\frac{3}{2}}^{(1)-}} = -\frac{1}{6m_Q} [81(\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2})^2 + 4(\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2})^2 + 225(\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2})^2 - 6\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2}(27\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2} - 8\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2})]^{1/2}, \quad (10)$$

$$M_{n_{\frac{3}{2}}^{(1)+}} - M_{n_{\frac{3}{2}}^{(1)-}} = -\frac{1}{42m_Q} [3969(\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2})^2 + 156(\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2})^2 + 11025(\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2})^2 + 126\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s1} \rangle_{n2} \times (10\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2} + 7\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2}) - 1428\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^{s2} \rangle_{n2}\langle V_{(1/2)^\pm}^l \rangle_{n2}]^{1/2}. \quad (11)$$

(b) In the third paragraph of Sec. V replace the following sentence:

“Both formulas turn out to be fulfilled extremely well, within 2 MeV.”

by

“Both formulas are compatible with the lattice masses of Ref. [36]. Recall however that the uncertainties in the masses of the states involved are large.”

(c) From Sec. VI (the Conclusions) remove the last sentence of the fifth paragraph:

“The data of Ref. [36] also allows us to check the relations in Eqs. (54) and (55) with agreement under 2 MeV.”

Furthermore, the following misprints should also be corrected:

- (1) In the second paragraph of the introduction “quark-antiquark duality” should be changed to “quark-diquark duality”.
- (2) In Eqs. (2), (3), and (4):  $q^l(t, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow q^l(t, \mathbf{R})$  and the  $P_+$  in the left-hand sides should be removed.
- (3) In the text below Eq. (4) and above Eq. (5)  $q_\alpha^l(t, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow q_\alpha^l(t, \mathbf{R})$ .
- (4) In Table 2 the entry for  $\eta_P$  for  $\kappa^P = (1/2)^\pm$  and  $l = 3$  should read as  $\mp$  instead of  $\pm$ .
- (5) In the third line of Sec. 5:  $M_{\Xi_{cc}^{*++}} \rightarrow M_{\Xi_{cc}^*}$ .
- (6) In Eq. (18) replace  $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ , remove its dependence on  $\mathbf{r}$ , change the final dot for a comma and add following sentence after it:  
“where  $\mathcal{Q}_{\kappa^P}$  is the light-quark piece of the interpolating operator  $\mathcal{O}_{\kappa^P}$ .”
- (7) Below Eq. (18) replace “ $r \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ ” with “ $r \gg 1/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ ”.
- (8) In Eq. (19) replace “ $\sigma_{\mathcal{Q}Qq}$ ” with “ $\sigma$ ”.