Search for a $D\bar{D}$ bound state in the $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda D\bar{D}$ process

Le-Le Wei $\mathbf{0}^{1}$ Hong-Shen Li,¹ En Wang $\mathbf{0}^{1,*}$ $\mathbf{0}^{1,*}$ $\mathbf{0}^{1,*}$ Ju-Jun Xie,^{2,3,1,[†](#page-0-1)} De-Min Li,¹ and Yu-Xiao Li¹

¹School of Physics and Microelectronics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, China
²Ingtitute of Modern Physics, Chinage Agadamy of Sciences, Langhay 720000, China

²Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China

 3 School of Nuclear Sciences and Technology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences,

Beijing 101408, China

(Received 15 February 2021; accepted 18 May 2021; published 9 June 2021)

We investigate the process of $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D\bar{D}$, by taking into account the contributions from the s-wave $D\bar{D}$ interaction within the coupled-channel unitary approach, and the intermediate $\psi(3770)$ resonance. In addition to the peak of the $\psi(3770)$, an enhancement near the $D\bar{D}$ mass threshold is found in the $D\bar{D}$ invariant mass distributions, which should be the reflection of the $D\bar{D}$ bound state. We encourage our experimental colleagues to measure the $D\bar{D}$ invariant mass distribution of the $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D\bar{D}$ process, which is crucial to search for the $D\bar{D}$ bound state and to understand the heavy-hadron heavy-hadron interactions.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.103.114013](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.114013)

I. INTRODUCTION

Although the quark model was proposed by Gell-Mann and Zweig more than half century ago [\[1,2\],](#page-6-0) it is still valid in classifying most of the known hadrons by now. Since the $X(3872)$ was observed by the Belle Collaboration in 2003 [\[3\]](#page-6-1), many charmoniumlike states were reported experimentally [\[4\]](#page-6-2), and most of them cannot be explained as the conventional mesons $(q\bar{q})$ or baryons (qqq) [\[5,6\]](#page-6-3). There are many explanations about those states, such as tetraquark states, molecular states, the conventional $c\bar{c}$ mesons, or the mixing between different components [7–[11\]](#page-6-4). However, it is surprising that many resonant structures are observed around the thresholds of a pair of heavy hadrons, such as $X(3872)$ and $Z_c(3900)^{\pm}$ around the $D\bar{D}^*$ threshold, $Z_{cs}(3985)$ around the $\overline{D}_s D^*$ and $\overline{D}_s^* D$ thresholds, and $X(3930)$ around $D_s \bar{D}_s$ threshold. As discussed in Ref. [\[12\],](#page-6-5) such structures should appear at any threshold of a pair of heavy-quark and heavy-antiquark hadrons which have attractive interaction at threshold. Thus, the experimental information about the threshold structures is crucial to deeply understand the heavy-hadron heavyhadron interactions, and the internal structures of the hidden-charm states [\[13,14\]](#page-6-6).

In Ref. [\[15\],](#page-6-7) one new hidden charm resonance with mass around 3700 MeV [denoted as $X(3700)$ in this article] is

[*](#page-0-2) wangen@zzu.edu.cn

predicted within the coupled channel unitary approach involving the D^+D^- , $D^0\bar{D}^0$, $D_s\bar{D}_s$, K^+K^- , $K^0\bar{K}^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^{0}\pi^{0}$, $\eta\eta$, and $\pi^{0}\eta$ channels. Later it was suggested to search for this predicted $D\bar{D}$ bound state in several processes, such as $B \to D\bar{D}K$ [\[16\],](#page-6-8) $\psi(3770) \to \gamma X(3700) \to \gamma \eta \eta'$, $\psi(4040) \rightarrow \gamma X(3700) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta'$, and $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X(3700) \rightarrow$ $J/\psi\eta\eta'$ [\[17\]](#page-6-9). According to the studies of Refs. [\[18,19\],](#page-6-10) the experimental data of $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi D\bar{D}$ measured by the Belle Collaboration [\[20,21\]](#page-6-11) are compatible with the existence of such a $D\bar{D}$ bound state around 3700 MeV, though other possibilities cannot be discarded due to the present quality of the Belle data. In Ref. [\[24\]](#page-6-12), we have performed a global fit to the data of $\gamma \gamma \to D\bar{D}$ [\[22,23\]](#page-6-13) and the $e^+e^- \to$ $J/\psi D\bar{D}$ [\[21\]](#page-6-14), by taking into account the s-wave $D\bar{D}$ final state interactions. Our results are consistent with the experimental data considering the uncertainties of the fitted parameters, and the modulus squared of the amplitude $|t_{D\bar{D}\rightarrow D\bar{D}}|^2$ show a peak around 3710–3740 MeV [\[24\]](#page-6-12). Recently, a $D\bar{D}$ bound state with binding energy $B =$ $4.0^{+5.0}_{-3.7}$ MeV was also predicted according to the lattice calculation in Ref. [\[25\].](#page-6-15) Furthermore, the potential proposed in Ref. [\[26\]](#page-6-16) for a pair of heavy-antiheavy hadrons predicts the $X(3872)$ as an isoscalar $\bar{D}D^*$ molecular state, simultaneously, the existence of an isoscaler $\bar{D}D$ bound state is also predicted. Thus, it is crucial to search for the signal of this predicted state.

On the other hand, the decay of Λ_b is one of the important tools to study the hidden charm resonances [\[27\],](#page-6-17) such as the processes of $\Lambda_b \to J/\psi \Lambda$, $\Lambda_b \to \psi(2S)\Lambda$ [\[28](#page-6-18)–30]. The process $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda X_c^0$ ($X_c^0 \equiv c\bar{c}u\bar{u}(d\bar{d}), c\bar{c}s\bar{s}$) is also proposed to search for the XYZ states in Ref. [\[31\].](#page-6-19) In this work, we will propose to search for the signal of the $D\bar{D}$ bound state in the process of $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda DD$, which has not been measured

[[†]](#page-0-2) xiejujun@impcas.ac.cn

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

experimentally. It should be pointed out that the $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D\bar{D}$ process, involving the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix element $V_{bc}V_{cs}$, is expected to be larger than the one of the process $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda K^+ K^-$, involving $V_{bu}V_{us}$, with the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b \to \Lambda K^+ K^-) = (15.9 \pm 10^{-4})$ $1.2 \pm 1.2 \pm 2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ measured by the LHCb Collaboration [\[32\].](#page-6-20)

Since the predicted mass of the $D\bar{D}$ bound state is lower than the $D\bar{D}$ threshold, it will manifest itself as an enhancement near the $D\bar{D}$ threshold, similarly as found in Refs. [\[16,33\]](#page-6-8). For instance, a peak observed in the $\phi\omega$ threshold in the $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \phi \omega$ reaction [\[34\]](#page-6-21) was interpreted as the manifestation of the $f_0(1710)$ resonance below the $\phi\omega$ threshold [\[35\]](#page-6-22). In Ref. [\[36\]](#page-6-23) the BESIII Collaboration has seen a bump structure close to threshold in the $K^{*0} \bar{K}^{*0}$ mass distribution of the $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta K^{*0} \bar{K}^{*0}$ decay, which can be interpreted as a signal of the formation of an h_1 resonance [\[37,38\]](#page-6-24). We expect there will be an enhancement near the threshold in the DD invariant mass distribution in the $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda D\bar{D}$ decay. On the other hand, since the $\psi(3770)$, with a mass close to the $D\bar{D}$ threshold, mainly decays into $D\bar{D}$ in the p wave, we will take into account the contribution from the ψ (3770).

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. [II,](#page-1-0) we introduce our model for the process $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D\bar{D}$. Numerical results for the $D\overline{D}$ invariant mass distribution and discussions are given in Sec. [III](#page-4-0), and a short summary is given in the last section.

II. FORMALISM

In analogy to Refs. [\[39](#page-7-0)–43], the mechanism of the decay $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D\bar{D}$ ($D\bar{D} \equiv D^0 \bar{D}^0, D^+ D^-$) can happen via three steps: the weak decay, hadronization, and the final state interaction. In the first step as depicted in Fig. [1,](#page-1-1) the b quark of the initial Λ_b weakly decays into a c quark and a W[−] boson, followed by the W^- boson decaying into a $\bar{c}s$ quark pair,

 d

FIG. 1. The quark level diagram for the weak decay $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda c\bar{c}$.

where we take the flavor wave functions $\Lambda_b =$ $b(ud - du)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\Lambda = s(ud - du)/\sqrt{2}$, and V_p is the strength of the production vertex that contains all dynamical factors.

In order to give rise to the final state $D^0\bar{D}^0\Lambda$ (or $D^+D^-\Lambda$), the quark c and antiquark c need to hadronize together with the $\bar{q}q \; (\equiv \bar{u}u + \bar{d}d + \bar{s}s)$ created from the vacuum with $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$, which could be expressed as the mechanisms of the internal W[−] emission and external W[−] emission, respectively shown in Figs. [2\(a\)](#page-1-2) and [2\(b\).](#page-1-2) Thus, we have

$$
|H\rangle^{\text{in}} = V_p \left| c(\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d + \bar{s}s) \bar{c}s \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (ud - du) \right\rangle
$$

= $V_p (D^0 \bar{D}^0 + D^+ D^- + D_s^+ D_s^-) \Lambda,$ (2)

for the internal W[−] emission mechanism of Fig. [2\(a\),](#page-1-2) and

$$
|H\rangle^{\text{ex}} = V_p \times C \times D_s^+ D_s^- \Lambda,\tag{3}
$$

for the external W[−] emission mechanism of Fig. [2\(b\).](#page-1-2) Here the color factor C accounts for the relative weight of the external W[−] emission with respect to the internal W[−] emission, and we take $C = 3$ in the case of color number $N_c = 3$ [44–[46\].](#page-7-1)

FIG. 2. The mechanisms of (a) the internal W[−] emission and (b) the external W⁻ emission for the weak decay Λ_b and the hadronization of the $c\bar{c}$ through $\bar{q}q$ created from the vacuum.

The final states can also undergo the interaction of the DD and ΛD , which may generate dynamically the resonances. The interaction of the coupled channels including ΛD was studied within a unitary coupled-channel approach which incorporates heavy-quark spin symmetry, and two resonances $\Xi_c(2790)$ and $\Xi_c(2815)$ are identified as the dynamically generated resonances [\[47\].](#page-7-2) Since their masses are about 150–200 MeV below the ΛD threshold, their contributions do not affect the structure close to the $D\bar{D}$ threshold, which can be easily understood from the Dalitz plot of Fig. [3](#page-2-0). Thus, we neglect the ΛD interaction in this work, because only the $D\bar{D}$ invariant mass distribution near the threshold is relevant for the $D\bar{D}$ bound state.

The next step is to consider the final state interaction of these channels to give $D^0\overline{D}^0$ (or D^+D^-) at the end. We can have the final states of $D^0\bar{D}^0$ (or D^+D^-) through the direct production in the Λ_b decay, or the rescattering of the primarily produced channels $D^0\overline{D}^0$, D^+D^- , or $D_s^+D_s^-$, as shown in Figs. [4\(a\)](#page-2-1) and [4\(b\)](#page-2-1), respectively. Apart from the three coupled channels $D^0\bar{D}^0$, D^+D^- , and $D_s^+D_s^-$, we only consider one light channel $\eta\eta$ to account for the width of the $D\bar{D}$ bound state, as in Refs. [16–[18,24\]](#page-6-8).

Then, the total amplitudes for the $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^0 \bar{D}^0$ and $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^+ D^-$ can be expressed as

$$
t_{\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^0 \bar{D}^0}^{s-wave} = V_p [1 + G_{D^+ D^-} t_{D^+ D^- \to D^0 \bar{D}^0} + G_{D^0 \bar{D}^0} t_{D^0 \bar{D}^0 \to D^0 \bar{D}^0} + (1 + C) G_{D_s^+ D_s^-} t_{D_s^+ D_s^- \to D^0 \bar{D}^0}], \qquad (4)
$$

$$
t_{\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^+ D^-}^{s \text{-wave}} = V_p [1 + G_{D^+ D^-} t_{D^+ D^- \to D^+ D^-} + G_{D^0 \bar{D}^0} t_{D^0 \bar{D}^0 \to D^+ D^-} + (1 + C) G_{D_s^+ D_s^-} t_{D_s^- \to D^+ D^-}], \qquad (5)
$$

where G_l is the loop function for the two-meson propagator in the *lth* channel,

FIG. 4. The decays $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^0 \bar{D}^0$ and $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^+ D^-$, (a) direct production, (b) the rescattering of the channels $D^0\bar{D}^0$, D^+D^- , or $D_s^+D_s^-$.

$$
G_{l} = i \int \frac{d^{4}q}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{q^{2} - m_{1}^{2} + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{(P - q)^{2} - m_{2}^{2} + i\epsilon}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{16\pi^{2}} \left[\alpha_{l} + \ln \frac{m_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}} + \frac{m_{2}^{2} - m_{1}^{2} + s}{2s} \ln \frac{m_{2}^{2}}{m_{1}^{2}} + \frac{p}{\sqrt{s}} \times \left(\ln \frac{s - m_{2}^{2} + m_{1}^{2} + 2p\sqrt{s}}{-s + m_{2}^{2} - m_{1}^{2} + 2p\sqrt{s}} + \ln \frac{s + m_{2}^{2} - m_{1}^{2} + 2p\sqrt{s}}{-s - m_{2}^{2} + m_{1}^{2} + 2p\sqrt{s}} \right) \right],
$$
 (6)

with the subtraction constant $\alpha_l = -1.3$ (l = 1, 2, 3, 4 correspond to the channels $D^0 \overline{D}^0$, D^+D^- , $D_s^+D_s^-$, and $\eta\eta$, respectively) and $\mu = 1500$ MeV as Ref. [\[15\]](#page-6-7). $\sqrt{s} = M_{D\bar{D}}$ is the invariant mass of the two mesons in the lth channel. m_1 and m_2 are the masses of the two mesons in the *l*th channel. In Eq. (6) , p is the momentum of the meson in the center of mass frame of the meson-meson system,

$$
p = \frac{\lambda^{1/2}(s, m_1^2, m_2^2)}{2\sqrt{s}},
$$
\n(7)

FIG. 3. The Dalitz plot for the $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D\bar{D}$. The green band stands for the region of 3710–3740 MeV that the predicted $D\bar{D}$ bound state lies in.

with the Källen function $\lambda(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 2xy 2yz - 2zx$.

With the isospin doublets $(D^+,-D^0)$, (\bar{D}^0, D^-) , we have,

$$
|D^+D^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|D\bar{D}, I = 0, I_3 = 0\rangle
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|D\bar{D}, I = 1, I_3 = 0\rangle,
$$
 (8)

$$
|D^0 \bar{D}^0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |D\bar{D}, I = 0, I_3 = 0\rangle
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |D\bar{D}, I = 1, I_3 = 0\rangle.
$$
 (9)

Taking the averaged mass of D meson in Eqs. [\(4\)](#page-2-3) and [\(5\)](#page-2-4), it is easy to find that only the isospin $I = 0$ component of the $D\overline{D}$ has the contribution to the $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D\overline{D}$ process,¹

$$
G_{D^+D^-}t_{D^+D^-\to D^0\bar{D}^0} + G_{D^0\bar{D}^0}t_{D^0\bar{D}^0\to D^0\bar{D}^0}
$$

= $G_{D\bar{D}}t_{D\bar{D}\to D\bar{D}}^{I=0}$, (10)

$$
G_{D^+D^-}t_{D^+D^-\to D^+D^-} + G_{D^0\bar{D}^0}t_{D^0\bar{D}^0\to D^+D^-}
$$

= $G_{D\bar{D}}t_{D\bar{D}\to D\bar{D}}^{I=0}$. (11)

The scattering matrices $t_{i\rightarrow j}$ in Eqs. [\(4\)](#page-2-3) and [\(5\)](#page-2-4) are obtained by solving the Bethe-Salpeter equation in coupled channels,

$$
t = [1 - VG]^{-1}V, \tag{12}
$$

where the elements of the diagonal matrix G are the loop functions of Eq. [\(6\),](#page-2-2) and the matrix element $V_{i,j}$ is the transition potential of the ith channel to the jth channel. The transition potentials $V_{i,j}$ ($i, j = D^0 \overline{D}^0, D^+ D^-, D_s^+ D_s^-$) are tabulated in the Appendix A of Ref. [\[15\]](#page-6-7). We introduce the potentials of $\eta \eta \to D^0 \bar{D}^0$ and $\eta \eta \to D^+ D^-$ with a dimensionless strength $a = 50$ to give the width of the $D\bar{D}$ bound state, and the transition potentials of $\eta\eta \to \eta\eta$ and $\eta \eta \to D_s^+ D_s^-$ are not relevant and are taken as zero [16–[18,24\]](#page-6-8). Both the G_l and $t_{i\rightarrow j}$ in Eqs. [\(4\)](#page-2-3) and [\(5\)](#page-2-4) are the functions of the $D\bar{D}$ invariant mass $M_{D\bar{D}}$.

The obtained modulus squared of the transition amplitude $|t_{D^+D^-\to D^+D^-}|^2$ and $|t_{D^+D^-\to D_s^+D_s^-}|^2$ are shown in Fig. [5](#page-3-0), and one can find a peak around 3720 MeV, which could be associated to the $D\bar{D}$ bound state. On the other hand, from Fig. [5,](#page-3-0) the $|t_{D^+D^-\to D^+D^-}|^2$ is two times larger than $|t_{D^+D^-\to D_s^+D_s^-}|^2$, which indicates that the $X(3700)$ state couples mostly to $D\bar{D}$ channel.

In addition, we also take into account the decays $\Lambda_b \rightarrow$ $\Lambda D^0 \bar{D}^0$ and $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^+ D^-$ via the intermediate resonance $\psi(3770)$, which is depicted in Fig. [6.](#page-3-1) The amplitude can be

FIG. 5. The modulus squared of the transition amplitudes $|t_{D^+D^-\to D^+D^-}|^2$ and $|t_{D^+D^-\to D_s^+D_s^-}|^2$ calculated with Eq. [\(12\).](#page-3-2)

FIG. 6. The microscopic diagram for the decays $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^0 \bar{D}^0$ and $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^+ D^-$.

written as

$$
t^{p\text{-wave}} = \frac{\beta V_p \times M_{\psi(3770)} \tilde{p}_D}{M_{D\bar{D}}^2 - M_{\psi(3770)}^2 + i M_{\psi(3770)} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\psi(3770)}},\qquad(13)
$$

where the normalization factor V_p is the same as the one in Eqs. [\(4\)](#page-2-3) and [\(5\),](#page-2-4) and we introduce the parameter β to account for the relative weight of the ψ (3770) strength with respect to the s-wave contribution of Eqs. [\(4\)](#page-2-3) and [\(5\)](#page-2-4). \tilde{p}_D is the momentum of the D^0 (or D^+) in the rest frame of the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ (or D^+D^-) system,

$$
\tilde{p}_D = \frac{\lambda^{1/2} (M_{D\bar{D}}^2, M_D^2, M_{\bar{D}}^2)}{2M_{D\bar{D}}}.
$$
\n(14)

We take the width for $\psi(3770)$ energy dependent, which is given by

$$
\tilde{\Gamma}_{\psi(3770)} = \Gamma_{\psi(3770)} \times \frac{\sqrt{M_{D\bar{D}}^2 - 4M_D^2}}{\sqrt{M_{\psi(3770)}^2 - 4M_D^2}},\qquad(15)
$$

with $M_{\psi(3770)} = 3773.7 \text{ MeV}, \Gamma_{\psi(3770)} = 27.2 \text{ MeV}, \text{ and}$ $M_D = (M_{D^+} + M_{D^0})/2 = 1867.24$ MeV [\[4\]](#page-6-2).

¹One can also see this conclusion from Figs. $2(a)$ and [\(b\)](#page-1-2), since $c\bar{c}$ has isospin $I = 0$ and no has $D_s\bar{D}_s$.

With the amplitudes of Eqs. (4) , (5) , and (13) , we can write the differential decay width for the decays $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^0 \bar{D}^0$ and $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^+ D^-$,

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}M_{D^0\bar{D}^0}} = \frac{\tilde{p}_{D^0}p_{\Lambda}M_{\Lambda}M_{\Lambda_b}}{(2\pi)^3 M_{\Lambda_b}^2} \left[|t^{s\text{-wave}}|^2 + |t^{p\text{-wave}}|^2\right],\tag{16}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}M_{D^+D}} = \frac{\tilde{p}_{D^+}p_{\Lambda}M_{\Lambda}M_{\Lambda_b}}{(2\pi)^3 M_{\Lambda_b}^2} \left[|t^{s\text{-wave}}|^2 + |t^{p\text{-wave}}|^2\right],\tag{17}
$$

with

$$
p_{\Lambda} = \frac{\lambda^{1/2} (M_{\Lambda_b}^2, M_{\Lambda}^2, M_{D\bar{D}}^2)}{2M_{\Lambda_b}}.
$$
 (18)

Here we distinguish the masses of D^0 and D^{\pm} when the momenta \tilde{p}_{D^0} and \tilde{p}_{D^+} are calculated with Eq. [\(14\).](#page-3-4)

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In our model, we have three free parameters, the global normalization V_p , the color factor C, and β . V_p is a global factor and its value does not affect the shapes of the $D^0\bar{D}^0$

FIG. 7. The $D^0\overline{D}^0$ (a) and D^+D^- (b) invariant mass distributions of the processes $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^0 \bar{D}^0$ and $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^+ D^-$. The blue dashed curve shows the contribution from the meson-meson interaction in the s wave, the green dash-dotted curve corresponds to the results for the intermediate meson $\psi(3770)$, and the red solid curve shows the total contributions.

and D^+D^- invariant mass distributions. β represents the relative weight of the ψ (3770) strength with respect to the one of the s waves, and we take its value $\beta = 0.15$ to give the contributions from the s-wave $D\bar{D}$ interaction and the $\psi(3770)$ with the same order of magnitude. Next, we show the results with the color factor $C = 3$ and $V_p = 1$, and will present the results for different values of C and β .

We show the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ and D^+D^- invariant mass distributions in Fig. [7](#page-4-1). One can find a clear enhancement near the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ threshold in the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ invariant mass distribution of the $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^0 \bar{D}^0$, due to the presence of the $X(3700)$ resonance below the $D\bar{D}$ threshold. The enhancement structure near the threshold is a little weaker for the D^+D^- invariant mass distribution of the $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^+D^-$, because the D^+D^- threshold is higher than the $D^0\bar{D}^0$ one and farther away from the peak of $X(3700)$.

In Fig. [8,](#page-4-2) we show the $D^0\overline{D}^0$ and D^+D^- invariant mass distributions with the different values of color factor $C = 3.0, 2.5, 2.0$. One can find that the mass distributions near the threshold do not change too much, since the value of color factor C only affects the contribution from the $D_s^+ D_s^-$ loop of Fig. [4\(b\),](#page-2-1) which is smaller than the contributions from the D^+D^- and $D^0\bar{D}^0$. On the other hand, the $s\bar{s}$ production in vacuum of Fig. [2\(a\)](#page-1-2) is slightly suppressed with respect to the $u\bar{u}$ and $d\bar{d}$ production, when

FIG. 8. The $D^0\overline{D}^0$ (a) and D^+D^- (b) invariant mass distributions of the processes $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^0 \bar{D}^0$ and $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^+ D^-$ with different values of $C = 3.0, 2.5, 2.0$.

FIG. 9. The $D^0\overline{D}^0$ (a) and D^+D^- (b) invariant mass distributions of the processes $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^0 \bar{D}^0$ and $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^+ D^-$ with different values of $\beta = 0.30, 0.15, 0.10$.

However, the suppressed effect of the $s\bar{s}$ production can be absorbed by changing the value of C , which almost does not affect the $D\bar{D}$ invariant mass distribution close to the $D\bar{D}$ threshold.

We also present our results for the different values of $\beta = 0.30, 0.15, 0.10$ in Fig. [9](#page-5-0). One can see that the enhancement near the threshold will be identified difficultly for the larger value of β . Indeed, the ψ (3770) would provide the dominant contribution for the $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda D\bar{D}$ process; however, it is still expected to find an enhancement near the $D\bar{D}$ threshold, especially the $D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}$ one, if the $D\bar{D}$ bound state do exist, as predicted in Refs. [\[15,25\]](#page-6-7). Furthermore, since the ψ (3770) state couples to $D\bar{D}$ in the *p* wave, the partial wave analysis of this reaction would be helpful to test the existence of the $D\bar{D}$ bound state.

As we known, the absolute branching fraction is useful. In our model, the exact value of V_p is difficult to be obtained even in terms of the effective Hamiltonian [\[48\]](#page-7-3), which inevitably introduces additional unknown parameters. As we discussed in the introduction, the branching fraction of this process is expected to be larger than the one of $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda K^+ K^-$, and could be precisely measurable by the updated LHCb. At present, the LHCb Collaboration has accumulated a large number of Λ_b events, thus, we would like to call the attention of the experimentalists to measure the $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda D\bar{D}$ decay, which should be useful to confirm the existence of $X(3700)$ and to understand its nature.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The study of the charmoniumlike states is crucial to understand the heavy-hadron heavy-hadron interactions, and also the internal structures of the hidden-charm states. One $D\bar{D}$ bound state around 3700 MeV was predicted within the coupled channel unitary approach [\[15\]](#page-6-7), and also the lattice investigation of the $D\bar{D}$ and $D_s\bar{D}_s$ scattering [\[24\]](#page-6-12). Although our previous studies on the $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $J/\psi D\bar{D}$ and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ data support the existence of the $D\bar{D}$ bound state, the other possibilities cannot be discarded due to the present quality of the experimental data [\[18,24\]](#page-6-10). Investigating the processes involving the s-wave $D\bar{D}$ system could provide the information about the existence of the $D\bar{D}$ bound state.

In this paper, we have investigated the processes $\Lambda_b \rightarrow$ $\Lambda D^0 \bar{D}^0$ and $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D^+ D^-$ within the coupled channel unitary approach, by taking into account the s-wave mesonmeson interactions and the contribution from the intermediate resonance ψ (3770). The $D^{0} \bar{D}^{0}$ and $D^{+} D^{-}$ invariant mass distributions in the $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda D\bar{D}$ reaction are investigated, and our results show an enhancement structure near the $D\bar{D}$ threshold, which should be the reflection of the $D\bar{D}$ bound state. Therefore, we strongly encourage our experimental colleagues to measure the $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda D\bar{D}$ process, which would be crucial to confirm the existence the $X(3700)$ resonance, and to understand the heavyhadron heavy-hadron interactions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 12075288, No. 11735003, and No. 11961141012. It is also supported by the Key Research Projects of Henan Higher Education Institutions under No. 20A140027, Training Plan for Young Key Teachers in Higher Schools in Henan Province (2020GGJS017), the Academic Improvement Project of Zhengzhou University, the Fundamental Research Cultivation Fund for Young Teachers of Zhengzhou University (JC202041042), and the Youth Innovation Promotion Association CAS.

- [1] M. Gell-Mann, A schematic model of baryons and mesons, Phys. Lett. 8[, 214 \(1964\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9163(64)92001-3)
- [2] G. Zweig, An $SU(3)$ model for strong interaction symmetry and its breaking. Version 2, Report No. CERN-TH-412, Developments in the quark theory of hadrons, edited by D. Lichtenberg and S. Rosen (1964), Vol. 1, pp. 22–101.
- [3] S. K. Choi et al. (Belle Collaboration), Observation of a narrow charmoniumlike state in exclusive $B^{\pm} \rightarrow$ $K^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\psi$ decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**[, 262001 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.262001)
- [4] P. A. Zyla et al. (Particle Data Group), Review of particle physics, [Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptaa104) 2020, 083C01 (2020).
- [5] N. Brambilla, S. Eidelman, C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev, C. P. Shen, C. E. Thomas, A. Vairo, and C. Z. Yuan, The XYZ states: Experimental and theoretical status and perspectives, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2020.05.001) 873, 1 (2020).
- [6] S. L. Olsen, T. Skwarnicki, and D. Zieminska, Nonstandard heavy mesons and baryons: Experimental evidence, [Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.015003) Mod. Phys. 90[, 015003 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.015003)
- [7] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, and S. L. Zhu, The hiddencharm pentaquark and tetraquark states, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2016.05.004) 639, 1 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2016.05.004)
- [8] Y. R. Liu, H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, and S. L. Zhu, Pentaquark and tetraquark states, [Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2019.04.003) 107[, 237 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2019.04.003).
- [9] A. Hosaka, T. Iijima, K. Miyabayashi, Y. Sakai, and S. Yasui, Exotic hadrons with heavy flavors: X, Y, Z, and related states, [Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptw045) 2016, 062C01 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptw045)
- [10] F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U. G. Meißner, Q. Wang, Q. Zhao, and B. S. Zou, Hadronic molecules, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.015004) 90, [015004 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.015004)
- [11] W. Hao, G. Y. Wang, E. Wang, G. N. Li, and D. M. Li, Canonical interpretation of the $X(4140)$ state within the ³ P_0 model, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8187-0) 80, 626 (2020).
- [12] X. K. Dong, F. K. Guo, and B. S. Zou, Explaining the Many Threshold Structures in the Heavy-Quark Hadron Spectrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126[, 152001 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.152001)
- [13] E. Wang, J. J. Xie, L. S. Geng, and E. Oset, The $X(4140)$ and $X(4160)$ resonances in the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \phi$ reaction, Chin. Phys. C 43[, 113101 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/43/11/113101)
- [14] E. Wang, J. J. Xie, L. S. Geng, and E. Oset, Analysis of the $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K^+$ data at low $J/\psi \phi$ invariant masses and the $X(4140)$ and $X(4160)$ resonances, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.014017) 97, 014017 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.014017)
- [15] D. Gamermann, E. Oset, D. Strottman, and M. J. V. Vacas, Dynamically generated open and hidden charm meson systems, Phys. Rev. D 76[, 074016 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.074016)
- [16] L. R. Dai, J. J. Xie, and E. Oset, $B^0 \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D}^0 K^0$, $B^+ \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0 K^+$, and the scalar $D\bar{D}$ bound state, [Eur. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3946-7) J. C 76[, 121 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3946-7)
- [17] C. W. Xiao and E. Oset, Three methods to detect the predicted $D\bar{D}$ scalar meson $X(3700)$, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2013-13052-5) 49, [52 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2013-13052-5)
- [18] E. Wang, W. H. Liang, and E. Oset, Analysis of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $J/\psi D\bar{D}$ reaction close to the threshold concerning claims of a $\chi_{c0}(2P)$ state, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00351-9) 57, 38 (2021).
- [19] D. Gamermann and E. Oset, Hidden charm dynamically generated resonances and the $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi D\bar{D}$, $J/\psi D\bar{D}^*$ reactions, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2007-10580-5) 36, 189 (2008).
- [20] P. Pakhlov et al. (Belle Collaboration), Production of new charmoniumlike states in $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi D^{(*)} \bar{D}^{(*)}$ at $s^{1/2} \sim 10$ GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100[, 202001 \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.202001).
- [21] K. Chilikin et al. (Belle Collaboration), Observation of an alternative $\chi_{c0}(2P)$ candidate in $e^+e^- \to J/\psi D\bar{D}$, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.112003) Rev. D 95[, 112003 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.112003).
- [22] S. Uehara *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Observation of a χ'_{c2} Candidate in $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ Production at BELLE, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.082003) Lett. 96[, 082003 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.082003)
- [23] B. Aubert et al. (BABAR Collaboration), Observation of the $\chi_{c2}(2P)$ Meson in the Reaction $\gamma\gamma \to D\bar{D}$ at BABAR, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.092003) Rev. D 81[, 092003 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.092003).
- [24] E. Wang, H. S. Li, W. H. Liang, and E. Oset, Analysis of the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ reaction and the $D\bar{D}$ bound state, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.054008) 103[, 054008 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.054008)
- [25] S. Prelovsek, S. Collins, D. Mohler, M. Padmanath, and S. Piemonte, Charmoniumlike resonances with $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$, 2^{++} in coupled $D\bar{D}$, $D_{s}\bar{D}_{s}$ scattering on the lattice, [arXiv:2011.02542.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2011.02542)
- [26] X. K. Dong, F. K. Guo, and B. S. Zou, A survey of heavy-antiheavy hadronic molecules, [Prog. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.13725/j.cnki.pip.2021.02.001) 41, 65 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.13725/j.cnki.pip.2021.02.001)
- [27] E. Oset, W. H. Liang, M. Bayar, J. J. Xie, L. R. Dai, M. Albaladejo, M. Nielsen, T. Sekihara, F. Navarra, L. Roca et al., Weak decays of heavy hadrons into dynamically generated resonances, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. E](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218301316300010) 25, 1630001 (2016).
- [28] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Measurement of the ratio of branching fractions of the decays $\Lambda_b^0 \to \psi(2S) \Lambda$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \Lambda$, [J. High Energy Phys. 03 \(2019\) 126.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2019)126)
- [29] V. M. Abazov et al. (D0 Collaboration), Measurement of the production fraction times branching fraction $f(b \to \Lambda_b) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b \to J/\psi \Lambda)$, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.031102) 84, 031102 [\(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.031102)
- [30] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), Measurement of the branching ratio $\Gamma(\Lambda_b^0 \to \psi(2S)\Lambda^0)/\Gamma(\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi \Lambda^0)$ with the ATLAS detector, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.10.009) 751, 63 (2015).
- [31] Y. K. Hsiao, P. Y. Lin, L. W. Luo, and C. Q. Geng, Fragmentation fractions of two-body b-baryon decays, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.10.013) Lett. B **751**[, 127 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.10.013)
- [32] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Observations of $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow$ $\Lambda K^+\pi^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+K^-$ decays and searches for other Λ_b^0 and Ξ_b^0 decays to $\Lambda h^+ h'^-$ final states, [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2016)081) [Phys. 05 \(2016\) 081.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2016)081)
- [33] G. Y. Wang, M. Y. Duan, E. Wang, and D. M. Li, Enhancement near the $\bar{p}\Lambda$ threshold in the $\chi_{c0} \to \bar{p}K^+\Lambda$ reaction, Phys. Rev. D 102[, 036003 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.036003).
- [34] M. Ablikim et al. (BES Collaboration), Observation of a Near-Threshold Enhancement in the $\omega\phi$ Mass Spectrum from the Doubly OZI Suppressed Decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \omega \phi$, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.162002) Rev. Lett. 96[, 162002 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.162002)
- [35] A. M. Torres, K. P. Khemchandani, F. S. Navarra, M. Nielsen, and E. Oset, The role of $f_0(1710)$ in the $\phi\omega$ threshold peak of $J/\Psi \rightarrow \gamma \phi \omega$, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.01.036) 719, 388 (2013).
- [36] M. Ablikim et al. (BES Collaboration), Study of J/ψ decays into $\eta K^{*0} \bar{K}^{*0}$, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.01.063) 685, 27 (2010).
- [37] L. S. Geng and E. Oset, Vector meson-vector meson interaction in a hidden gauge unitary approach, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.074009) Rev. D 79[, 074009 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.074009).
- [39] J. X. Lu, E. Wang, J. J. Xie, L. S. Geng, and E. Oset, The $\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\psi K^0 \Lambda$ reaction and a hidden-charm pentaquark state with strangeness, Phys. Rev. D 93[, 094009 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.094009)
- [40] E. Wang, H. X. Chen, L. S. Geng, D. M. Li, and E. Oset, Hidden-charm pentaquark state in $\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi p \pi^-$ decay, Phys. Rev. D 93[, 094001 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.094001).
- [41] H. S. Li, L. L. Wei, M. Y. Duan, E. Wang, and D. M. Li, Search for the scalar meson $a_0(980)$ in the single Cabibbosuppressed process $\Lambda_c \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta p$, [arXiv:2009.08600](https://arXiv.org/abs/2009.08600).
- [42] Z. Wang, Y. Y. Wang, E. Wang, D. M. Li, and J. J. Xie, The scalar $f_0(500)$ and $f_0(980)$ resonances and vector mesons in the single Cabibbo-suppressed decays $\Lambda_c \rightarrow pK^+K^-$ and $p\pi^+\pi^-$, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8347-2) 80, 842 (2020).
- [43] W. Y. Liu, W. Hao, G. Y. Wang, Y. Y. Wang, E. Wang, and D. M. Li, Resonances $X(4140)$, $X(4160)$, and $P_{cs}(4459)$ in

the decay of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\psi \Lambda \phi$, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.034019) 103, 034019 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.034019)

- [44] M. Y. Duan, J. Y. Wang, G. Y. Wang, E. Wang, and D. M. Li, Role of scalar $a_0(980)$ in the single Cabibbo suppressed process $D^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0 \eta$, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-08630-3) 80, 1041 (2020).
- [45] Y. Zhang, E. Wang, D. M. Li, and Y. X. Li, Search for the $D^*\bar{D}^*$ molecular state $Z_c(4000)$ in the reaction $B^- \to J/\psi \rho^0 K^-$, Chin. Phys. C 44[, 093107 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/44/9/093107)
- [46] L. R. Dai, G. Y. Wang, X. Chen, E. Wang, E. Oset, and D. M. Li, The $B^+ \rightarrow J/w\omega K^+$ reaction and $D^*\bar{D}^*$ molecular states, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2019-12706-6) 55, 36 (2019).
- [47] O. Romanets, L. Tolos, C. Garcia-Recio, J. Nieves, L. L. Salcedo, and R. G. E. Timmermans, Charmed and strange baryon resonances with heavy-quark spin symmetry, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.114032) Rev. D 85[, 114032 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.114032).
- [48] H.Y. Cheng and C.K. Chua, Bottom baryon decays to pseudoscalar meson and pentaquark, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.096009) 92, [096009 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.096009)