Fermion masses and mixing from the double cover and metaplectic cover of the A_5 modular group

Chang-Yuan Yao[®],^{1,*} Xiang-Gan Liu,^{2,3,†} and Gui-Jun Ding^{2,3,‡} ¹School of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China ²Peng Huanwu Center for Fundamental Theory, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

³Interdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study and Department of Modern Physics,

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

(Received 9 December 2020; accepted 22 March 2021; published 17 May 2021)

We perform a comprehensive study of the homogeneous finite modular group A'_5 which is the double covering of A_5 . The integral weight and level 5 modular forms have been constructed up to weight 6 and they are decomposed into the irreducible representations of A'_5 . Then we perform a systematical analysis of the A'_5 modular models for lepton masses and mixing. The phenomenologically viable models with minimal number of free parameters and the results of fit are presented. We find out 15 models with 9 real free parameters which can accommodate the experimental data of lepton sector. After including generalized *CP* symmetry, 9 viable models with 7 free parameters are found out. We apply A'_5 modular symmetry to the quark sector, and a quark-lepton unification model is given. The framework of modular invariance is extended to include the rational weight modular forms of level 5. The ring of modular forms at level 5 can be generated by two algebraically independent weight 1/5 modular forms denoted by $F_1(\tau)$ and $F_2(\tau)$. We give the expressions of the rational weight modular forms of level 5 up to weight 3 and arrange them into the irreducible multiplets of finite metaplectic group $\tilde{\Gamma}_5 \cong A'_5 \times Z_5$. A neutrino mass model with $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$ modular symmetry is presented, and the phenomenological predictions of the model are analyzed numerically.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.103.095013

I. INTRODUCTION

The quark masses and charged lepton masses as well as the neutrino mass squared differences have been precisely measured, yet we still do not know the exact value of the lightest neutrino mass while it is constrained by the cosmology data and the direct neutrino mass measurement experiments through beta decay. From the smallest neutrino mass of order of a fraction of an eV to the top quark mass being 173 GeV, the range of fermion masses span over at least 12 orders of magnitudes. In addition, the quark and charged lepton masses exhibit a hierarchical pattern: $m_d/m_b \ge m_e/m_\tau \gg m_u/m_t$, $m_s/m_b \simeq m_\mu/m_\tau \gg m_c/m_t$. Moreover, the quark and lepton mixing angles also span several orders of magnitudes, the quark mixing angles are small, while the lepton sector has two large mixing angles θ_{12} , θ_{23} and one small mixing angle θ_{13} which is of the same order of magnitude as the quark Cabibbo mixing angle [1]. It is one of the greatest challenges in particle physics to understand the observed flavor structure of quarks and leptons from first principle in terms of few underlying parameters. In the Standard Model, the fermion masses and mixing matrices arise from the Yukawa interactions with Higgs. The flavor dependence of the Yukawa couplings is the origin of the fermion masses and the mixing matrices.

Several different scenarios have been proposed to understand quark and lepton masses and mixing angles as well as CP phases. In view that the symmetry principles have proven very successful in physics, one resorts to flavor symmetry to constrain the Yukawa interaction so that the fermion mass spectra and mixing matrices could be explained. In the last twenty years, the non-Abelian discrete flavor symmetry has been widely studied, and it is found particularly suitable to reproduce the large lepton mixing angles, see [2–8] for review. The flavor symmetry should be broken in a nontrivial way in discrete flavor symmetry models, and the vacuum expectation values (VEVs) of the flavons are frequently required to be oriented along certain directions in generation space. It is technically quite tricky to dynamically realize the desired vacuum alignment, thus

yaocy@nankai.edu.cn

hepliuxg@mail.ustc.edu.cn

dinggj@ustc.edu.cn

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

the traditional flavor models appear complicated. Although the flavor symmetry can constrain the mixing angles and CP violation phases, one generally cannot make quantitative predictions for fermion masses whose observed values are usually reproduced by tuning the available parameters.

Recently modular invariance as flavor symmetry has been proposed to address the flavor puzzle [9]. In this new framework, the Yukawa couplings transform nontrivially under the modular symmetry and they are modular forms which are holomorphic function of the complex modulus τ . In the simplest modular symmetry model, the vacuum expectation value of τ is the unique source of flavor symmetry breaking such that the vacuum alignment problem is simplified. Models with modular flavor symmetry can be quite predictive, and the neutrino masses and mixing parameters can be predicted in terms of few input parameters. The superpotential is completely fixed by modular symmetry in the limit of unbroken supersymmetry, however the Kähler potential is less constrained. Thus the predictive power of this framework could be reduced [10] by additional parameters in the general Kähler potential. This drawback can be overcome by incorporating the traditional flavor symmetry. Both group representations and modular weights of matter fields would be severely constrained in this new scheme, consequently the structure of the Kähler potential and superpotential becomes much more restrictive [11–15].

The inhomogeneous finite modular group Γ_N for N = 2[16-19], N = 3 [9,16,17,20-41], N = 4 [33,42-49], N = 5[47,50,51], and N = 7 [52] have been studied to construct models for neutrino masses and mixing, and the weights of the modular forms have to be even integers. The modular forms of general integral weights have been discussed in [53], and the finite modular group is enhanced to the homogeneous finite modular group Γ'_N which is the double covering of Γ_N . Some flavor models based on $\Gamma'_3 \cong T'$ [53,54], $\Gamma'_4 \cong S'_4$ [55,56], and $\Gamma'_5 \cong A'_5$ [57] have been proposed. Recently the modular invariance framework has been further extended to include rational weight modular forms [58]. It was found that the modular group should be extended to its metaplectic cover group, and the rational weight modular forms can be arranged into irreducible multiplets of the finite metaplectic group $\tilde{\Gamma}_N$ [58]. Furthermore, modular symmetry can be combined with generalized CP symmetry, and the consistency conditions between these two symmetries require $\tau \rightarrow -\tau^*$ up to a modular transformation under the action of CP [59]. A comprehensive analysis about flavor symmetry, CP symmetry and modular invariance in string theory has been given in [60,61]. From a top-down perspective, typical compactifications of extra dimensions give rise to low energy effective theories depending on several moduli. The modular invariant supersymmetric theories with single modulus has been extended to automorphic supersymmetric theory where several moduli can occur [62]. As an example, the so-called Siegel forms were investigated in detail, the direct product of multiple modular symmetry [44,46] is now embedded in this Siegel modular symmetry as a special case in which the moduli space is factorized into several independent tori.

The phenomenology of modular symmetry has been extensively discussed in the literature. Besides the fermion masses and flavor mixing, the modular symmetry has been applied to dark matter models [25,30] and leptogenesis [32,48,63]. The modular symmetry could naturally produce texture zeroes of fermion if odd weight modular forms are taken into account [54]. The modular symmetry has been implemented in SU(5) grand unification theory [18,22]. The dynamics of modular symmetry could potentially be tested at present and future neutrino oscillation experiments [39]. There are many papers on inhomogeneous finite modular groups Γ_N , but the homogeneous finite modular groups Γ'_N are less studied. In this work, we shall perform a comprehensive analysis of $\Gamma'_5 = A'_5$ which is double covering of A_5 group. The traditional flavor symmetry A'_5 has been studied in the literature [64-66] and it was spontaneously broken by the VEVs of some flavons. In the present work, no flavon other than the modulus τ is introduced. We construct the weight 1 modular forms of level 5 by two methods: the first one is from two weight 1/5modular forms $F_1(\tau)$ and $F_2(\tau)$, and the second one is by the Klein forms. These two methods give the same results for the weight 1 modular forms which can be arranged into a sextet of A'_5 , and the tensor products of weight 1 modular forms give modular forms of higher weight. According to the representations and weights of matter fields, a systematical classification of lepton model with A'_5 modular symmetry is performed. The neutrino masses are assumed to arise from effective Weinberg operator or type-I seesaw mechanism, both models with two right-handed neutrinos and three right-handed neutrinos are considered. We aim to find out all A'_5 modular models with minimal number of free parameters in this work. We numerically scan over the parameter space of each model, and find that 25 (including 15 models with 9 free parameters and 10 models with 10 parameters) models can explain the experimental data in lepton sector, as shown in Table IV. We incorporate in the generalized CP symmetry to further improve the predictive power of the models, all the coupling constants are constrained to be real in our working basis, and we find that 19 out of 25 models can be compatible with experimental data. Furthermore, we extend the A'_5 modular symmetry to quark sector, and give a typical model which can explain the experimental data of both guarks and leptons simultaneously.

Rational weight modular forms can be defined at level 5, and they can be arranged into irreducible representations of the 5-fold metaplectic cover group $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$ which is isomorphic to $A'_5 \times Z_5$. We find that the two weight 1/5 modular forms $F_1(\tau)$ and $F_2(\tau)$ furnish a doublet $Y_{2^4}^{(\frac{1}{5})}(\tau)$ of $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$. All integral weight modular forms of level 5 can be obtained from the tensor products of $Y_{2^4}^{(\frac{1}{5})}(\tau)$ with the Clebsch-Gordan (CG) coefficient of $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$, nevertheless it is not necessary to examine the constraints relating redundant higher weight modular multiplets in this manner. Furthermore, we present a concrete model with rational weight modular forms.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we briefly introduce the relevant concepts of modular symmetry and modular groups, the integral weight and level 5 modular forms are constructed and they are decomposed into irreducible multiplets of A'_5 . In Sec. III, we discuss the generalized *CP* symmetry compatible with A'_5 modular symmetry. In Sec. IV, we perform a systematical classification of A'_5 modular lepton models, the phenomenologically viable models with minimal number of free parameters and the numerical results of the fit are presented. In Sec. V, we extend the A'_5 modular symmetry to explain the quark masses and CKM mixing matrix, and a quark-lepton unified model is presented. The rational weight modular forms at level 5 are considered in Sec. VI, and they are arranged into irreducible multiplets of $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$. Then a benchmark lepton model involving rational weight modular forms is presented. Section VII is devoted to our conclusion and discussions. The group theory of A'_5 and the CG coefficients in our working basis are presented in the Appendix A. We give another approach of constructing modular forms of weight 1 and level 5 from Klein forms in Appendix B. We prove two identities between theta constants and Klein forms in Appendix C. The expressions of linearly independent higher integral weight modular forms with k = 4, 5, 6 and higher rational weight modular forms with $r = 6/5, 7/5, \dots, 14/5, 3$ are given in Appendix D.

II. MODULAR SYMMETRY AND MODULAR FORMS OF LEVEL 5

The two-dimensional special linear group $\Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ over the integers acts on the upper half complex plane by the linear fractional transformation

$$\tau \mapsto \gamma \tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \qquad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (1)$$

where *a*, *b*, *c*, *d* are integers fulfilling ad - bc = 1, and τ is the complex modulus with $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$. If $c \neq 0$ then -d/cmaps to ∞ and ∞ maps to a/c, and if c = 0 then ∞ maps to ∞ . Since γ and $-\gamma$ induce the same linear fractional transformation, the group of transformations in Eq. (1) is isomorphic to the projective special linear group $\overline{\Gamma} = PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong SL(2, \mathbb{Z})/\{I, -I\}$, which is the quotient of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ by its center $\{I, -I\}$ with *I* being 2-dimensional identity matrix. Γ and $\overline{\Gamma}$ are usually called homogeneous and inhomogeneous modular groups respectively. The group Γ has infinite elements and it can be generated by two matrices

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

The matrices S and T are often referred to as modular inversion and translation respectively,

$$S: \tau \mapsto -\frac{1}{\tau}, \qquad T: \tau \mapsto \tau + 1.$$
 (3)

We check immediately that in Γ we have the relations

$$S^2 = -I, \qquad S^4 = (ST)^3 = I, \qquad S^2T = TS^2$$
 (4)

and also $(TS)^3 = I$ which is equivalent to $(ST)^3 = I$. The corresponding relations in $\overline{\Gamma}$ are $S^2 = (ST)^3 = I$. The homogeneous modular group Γ has a series of infinite normal subgroups $\Gamma(N)$ (N = 1, 2, 3, ...) defined as,

$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}), \\ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}, \quad (5)$$

which is called the principal congruence subgroup of level N. We have $\Gamma = \Gamma(1)$ and the element T^N belongs to $\Gamma(N)$, i.e., $T^N \in \Gamma(N)$. For N = 1 and N = 2, we can define $\overline{\Gamma}(N) = \Gamma(N)/\{I, -I\}$. When N > 2, we have $\overline{\Gamma}(N) = \Gamma(N)$ because the element -I doesn't belong to $\Gamma(N)$. The homogeneous finite modular group Γ'_N and inhomogeneous finite modular group Γ'_N can be obtained from the modular groups Γ and $\overline{\Gamma}$ as the quotient groups $\Gamma'_N = \Gamma/\Gamma(N)$ and $\Gamma_N = \overline{\Gamma}/\overline{\Gamma}(N)$. Therefore we have $\Gamma'_2 \cong \Gamma_2$, and Γ_N is isomorphic to the quotient group $\Gamma'_N/\{I, -I\}$ for N > 2. The finite modular groups Γ'_N and Γ_N can also be obtained by imposing an additional condition $T^N = 1$, so that their defining relations in terms of the generators S and T are¹

$$\Gamma'_N: S^4 = (ST)^3 = T^N = 1, \qquad S^2T = TS^2, \qquad N \le 5,$$

$$\Gamma_N: S^2 = (ST)^3 = T^N = 1, \qquad N \le 5.$$
 (6)

Note that additional relations are necessary in order to render the groups Γ'_N and Γ_N finite for N > 5 [67]. For N taking small values, Γ_N and Γ'_N are isomorphic to permutation groups and their double covering groups, $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma'_2 \cong S_3$, $\Gamma_3 \cong A_4$, $\Gamma'_3 \cong T'$, $\Gamma_4 \cong S_4$, $\Gamma'_4 \cong S'_4$, $\Gamma_5 \cong A_5$ and $\Gamma'_5 \cong A'_5$ which will be studied in the present work.

Modular forms of integral weight k and level N are holomorphic functions $f(\tau)$ satisfying the modular transformation property,

¹The homogeneous finite modular group Γ'_N can also be equivalently presented as $S^2 = R, (ST)^3 = R^2 = T^N = 1, RT = TR$.

$$f(\gamma \tau) = (c\tau + d)^k f(\tau), \qquad \gamma \in \Gamma(N).$$
 (7)

Modular forms of weight k and level N span a linear space of finite dimension. It is always possible to choose a basis in this space such that a set of modular forms $f_i(\tau)$ are arranged into weight k modular form multiplet $Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}(\tau) =$ $(f_1(\tau), f_2(\tau), ...)^T$ in the irreducible representation **r** of the homogeneous finite modular group Γ'_N . Under the full modular group Γ , the modular multiplet $Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}(\tau)$ transforms as [53]

$$Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}(\tau) \mapsto Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^k \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(\gamma) Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}(\tau), \quad (8)$$

where γ denotes a representative element in Γ'_N , $(c\tau + d)^k$ is the automorphy factor of γ with modular weight *k*. If the finite modular group is Γ_N , the modular weight *k* should be even. It is sufficient to require that Eq. (8) holds for γ equal to the generators *S* and *T*,

$$Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}(-1/\tau) = (-\tau)^{k} \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(S) Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}(\tau),$$

$$Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}(\tau+1) = \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(T) Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}(\tau).$$
(9)

Applying Eq. (8) to $\gamma = S^2 = R$, we have

$$Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}(\tau) = (-1)^k \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(R) Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}(\tau), \qquad (10)$$

which implies

$$\rho_{\mathbf{r}}(R) = (-1)^k = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{even } k \\ -1, & \text{odd } k \end{cases}.$$
(11)

Hence the odd and even weight modular forms furnish irreducible representations of Γ'_N in which the element $R = S^2$ is represented by -1 and +1 respectively.

A. Integral weight modular forms of level 5

The linear space of weight k and level 5 modular forms has dimension 5k + 1, and it can be explicitly constructed in terms of the Dedekind eta function and Klein forms, as shown in Eq. (B1). In the following, we proceed to construct integral weight modular forms of level 5 by using weight 1/5 modular forms. It has been proved that the linear space of modular form of weight 1/5 and level 5 is spanned by two algebraically independent modular functions $F_1(\tau)$ and $F_2(\tau)$ with [68]

$$F_1(\tau) = e^{-\frac{\pi i}{10}} \frac{\theta_{(\frac{1}{10},\frac{1}{2})}(5\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^{3/5}}, \qquad F_2(\tau) = e^{-\frac{3\pi i}{10}} \frac{\theta_{(\frac{3}{10},\frac{1}{2})}(5\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^{3/5}},$$
(12)

where $\theta_{(m',m'')}(\tau)$ is the theta constant and $\eta(\tau)$ is the Dedekind eta function, see Sec. VI for more details about the definitions and properties of these two special functions. The transformation rules of $F_1(\tau)$ and $F_2(\tau)$ under the modular generators *S* and *T* are

$$F_{1}(\tau) \xrightarrow{S} (-\tau)^{1/5} e^{i\frac{\pi}{10}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{5\phi}}} [\phi F_{1}(\tau) + F_{2}(\tau)], \qquad F_{1}(\tau) \xrightarrow{T} F_{1}(\tau),$$

$$F_{2}(\tau) \xrightarrow{S} (-\tau)^{1/5} e^{i\frac{\pi}{10}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{5\phi}}} [F_{1}(\tau) - \phi F_{2}(\tau)], \qquad F_{2}(\tau) \xrightarrow{T} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{5}} F_{2}(\tau), \qquad (13)$$

with $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$. The modular space of level 5 can be generated by $F_1(\tau)$ and $F_2(\tau)$, and each modular form of integral weight *k* and level 5 can be written as a polynomial of degree 5*k* in $F_1(\tau)$ and $F_2(\tau)$:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{5k} c_i F_1^i(\tau) F_2^{5k-i}(\tau).$$
(14)

Because $F_1(\tau)$ and $F_2(\tau)$ are algebraically independent, all terms in above polynomial are linearly independent, and obviously the number of independent terms matches with the correct dimension 5k + 1. Without loss of generality, we can choose a set of basis vectors of the weight 1 modular space as

$$F_1^5(\tau), \quad F_1^4(\tau)F_2(\tau), \quad F_1^3(\tau)F_2^2(\tau), \quad F_1^2(\tau)F_2^3(\tau), F_1(\tau)F_2^4(\tau), \quad F_2^5(\tau).$$
(15)

Solving the decomposition equation of modular forms in Eq. (9), we find that these six modular functions can be organized into a six dimensional representation **6** of $\Gamma'_5 = A'_5$. In the representation basis collected in Table XI, the sextet modular form is given by

$$Y_{6}^{(1)}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} F_{1}^{5} + 2F_{2}^{5} \\ 2F_{1}^{5} - F_{2}^{5} \\ 5F_{1}^{4}F_{2} \\ 5\sqrt{2}F_{1}^{3}F_{2}^{2} \\ -5\sqrt{2}F_{1}^{2}F_{2}^{3} \\ 5F_{1}F_{2}^{4} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} Y_{1}(\tau) \\ Y_{2}(\tau) \\ Y_{3}(\tau) \\ Y_{4}(\tau) \\ Y_{5}(\tau) \\ Y_{6}(\tau) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(16)

The same result is obtained in Sec. VI, where the weight 1 modular forms are constructed from the tensor product of weight 1/5 modular forms by using the CG coefficient of

 $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$. Here $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$ is the 5-fold metaplectic covering of A_5 , and more details are explained in Sec. VI. The *q*-expansion of $Y_i(\tau)$ is given by Eq. (99).

The higher weight modular forms can be constructed from tensor products of Y_6 . For example, there are 11 independent weight 2 modular forms of level 5, which can be decomposed into two triplets and a quintet transforming in the **3**, **3'** and **5** irreducible representations of A'_5 . Without loss of generality we can choose the weight 2 modular forms as

$$Y_{3}^{(2)} = (Y_{6}^{(1)}Y_{6}^{(1)})_{3_{1,s}} = \begin{pmatrix} -2(Y_{1}Y_{2} + Y_{4}Y_{5} - Y_{3}Y_{6}) \\ \sqrt{2}(Y_{5}^{2} - 2Y_{2}Y_{3}) \\ -\sqrt{2}(Y_{4}^{2} + 2Y_{1}Y_{6}) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Y_{3'}^{(2)} = (Y_{6}^{(1)}Y_{6}^{(1)})_{3'_{1,s}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2(Y_{1}Y_{2} + Y_{3}Y_{6}) \\ 2Y_{5}Y_{6} - 2Y_{2}Y_{4} \\ -2(Y_{3}Y_{4} + Y_{1}Y_{5}) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Y_{5}^{(2)} = (Y_{6}^{(1)}Y_{6}^{(1)})_{5_{1,s}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{6}(Y_{1}^{2} + Y_{2}^{2}) \\ 2(Y_{5}^{2} + Y_{1}Y_{3} + Y_{2}Y_{3} + \sqrt{2}Y_{4}Y_{6}) \\ 2(Y_{3}^{2} + \sqrt{2}(Y_{2}Y_{4} + Y_{5}Y_{6})) \\ 2(Y_{6}^{2} + \sqrt{2}Y_{3}Y_{4} - \sqrt{2}Y_{1}Y_{5}) \\ 2(Y_{4}^{2} - \sqrt{2}Y_{3}Y_{5} + (Y_{2} - Y_{1})Y_{6}) \end{pmatrix}$$
(17)

We check that the *q*-expansions of $Y_3^{(2)}$, $Y_{3'}^{(2)}$ and $Y_5^{(2)}$ are identical with those of the weight 2 modular forms of level 5 reached in Refs. [50,51] up to some irrelevant overall constants. From the Kronecker product $\mathbf{6} \otimes \mathbf{6} = \mathbf{1}_a \oplus$ $\mathbf{3}_{1,s} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{2,s} \oplus \mathbf{3}'_{1,s} \oplus \mathbf{3}'_{2,s} \oplus \mathbf{4}_s \oplus \mathbf{4}_a \oplus \mathbf{5}_{1,s} \oplus \mathbf{5}_{2,a} \oplus \mathbf{5}_{3,a}$, we know that the contraction $Y_6^{(1)}Y_6^{(1)}$ can give rise to two additional weight two triplet modular forms $(Y_6^{(1)}Y_6^{(1)})_{\mathbf{3}_{1,s}}$. and $(Y_6^{(1)}Y_6^{(1)})_{3'_{2s}}$. From the *q*-expansion we see that they are proportional to $Y_3^{(2)}$ and $Y_{3'}^{(2)}$ respectively:

$$(Y_{6}^{(1)}Y_{6}^{(1)})_{\mathbf{3}_{2s}} = -\frac{3}{2}Y_{\mathbf{3}}^{(2)}, \qquad (Y_{6}^{(1)}Y_{6}^{(1)})_{\mathbf{3}_{2s}'} = \frac{3}{4}Y_{\mathbf{3}'}^{(2)}, \quad (18)$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} &2Y_1^2 + 3Y_1Y_2 - 2Y_2^2 - 3Y_3Y_6 - Y_4Y_5 = 0, \\ &3Y_5^2 + 4Y_1Y_3 - 2Y_2Y_3 - 4\sqrt{2}Y_4Y_6 = 0, \\ &3Y_4^2 + 4\sqrt{2}Y_3Y_5 + 2(Y_1 + 2Y_2)Y_6 = 0, \\ &2Y_1^2 + 3Y_1Y_2 - 2Y_2^2 + 4Y_4Y_5 + 7Y_3Y_6 = 0, \\ &2\sqrt{2}Y_3^2 + (4Y_1 - 7Y_2)Y_4 + 3Y_5Y_6 = 0, \\ &2\sqrt{2}Y_6^2 + (7Y_1 + 4Y_2)Y_5 + 3Y_3Y_4 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we find the modular forms $Y_i(\tau)$ (i = 1, ..., 6) satisfy the following constraints,

$$2Y_5^2 + 2(Y_2 - 2Y_1)Y_3 - \sqrt{2}Y_4Y_6 = 0,$$

$$\sqrt{2}Y_3^2 - (3Y_1 + Y_2)Y_4 - Y_5Y_6 = 0,$$

$$\sqrt{2}Y_6^2 + (Y_1 - 3Y_2)Y_5 - Y_3Y_4 = 0,$$

$$\sqrt{2}Y_4^2 - \sqrt{2}(Y_1 + 2Y_2)Y_6 + Y_3Y_5 = 0.$$
 (20)

Hence the contraction $(Y_6Y_6)_{4_s}$ is vanishing, i.e.,

$$(Y_6 Y_6)_{\mathbf{4}_c} = 0. (21)$$

The linear space of modular forms of weight k = 3 and level 5 has dimension 5k + 1 = 16, and they can be decomposed into a quartet and two sextets transforming as 4' and 6 under A'_{5} ,

TABLE I. Summary of integral weight modular forms of level N = 5 up to weight 6, the subscript **r** denote the transformation property under homogeneous finite modular group $SL(2, Z_5) \cong A'_5$.

Modular weight k	Modular forms $Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}$
k = 1	$Y_{\epsilon}^{(1)}$
k = 2	$Y_{3}^{(2)}, Y_{3'}^{(2)}, Y_{5'}^{(2)}$
k = 3	$Y_{4'}^{(3)}, Y_{6l}^{(3)}, Y_{6ll}^{(3)}$
k = 4	$Y_{1}^{(4)}, Y_{3}^{(4)}, Y_{3'}^{(4)}, Y_{4'}^{(4)}, Y_{5'}^{(4)}, Y_{5''}^{(4)}$
<i>k</i> = 5	$Y_{2}^{(5)}, Y_{2'}^{(5)}, Y_{4'}^{(5)}, Y_{6I}^{(5)}, Y_{6II}^{(5)}, Y_{6III}^{(5)}$
k = 6	$Y_{1}^{(6)}, Y_{3I}^{(6)}, Y_{3II}^{(6)}, Y_{3'I}^{(6)}, Y_{3'II}^{(6)}, Y_{3'II}^{(6)}, Y_{4I}^{(6)}, Y_{4II}^{(6)}, Y_{5I}^{(6)}, Y_{5II}^{(6)}$

$$\begin{split} Y_{4'}^{(3)} &= (Y_{6}^{(1)}Y_{3}^{(2)})_{4'} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{6}Y_{3}Y_{3,1}^{(2)} - \sqrt{3}Y_{6}Y_{3,2}^{(2)} + \sqrt{6}Y_{5}Y_{3,3}^{(2)} \\ -2Y_{4}Y_{3,1}^{(2)} + Y_{1}Y_{3,2}^{(2)} - 3Y_{2}Y_{3,2}^{(2)} + Y_{6}Y_{3,3}^{(2)} \\ -2Y_{5}Y_{3,1}^{(2)} - Y_{3}Y_{3,2}^{(2)} + (3Y_{1} + Y_{2})Y_{3,3}^{(2)} \\ -\sqrt{6}Y_{6}Y_{3,1}^{(2)} - \sqrt{6}Y_{4}Y_{3,2}^{(2)} + \sqrt{3}Y_{3}Y_{3',3}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_{6l}^{(3)} &= (Y_{6}^{(1)}Y_{3}^{(2)})_{6_{1}} = \begin{pmatrix} -Y_{1}Y_{3,1}^{(2)} - \sqrt{2}Y_{3}Y_{3,3}^{(2)} \\ Y_{3}Y_{3,1}^{(2)} - \sqrt{2}Y_{1}Y_{3,2}^{(2)} \\ \sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y_{3,3}^{(2)} - \sqrt{2}Y_{1}Y_{3,2}^{(2)} \\ \sqrt{2}Y_{2}Y_{3,3}^{(2)} - \sqrt{2}Y_{1}Y_{3,2}^{(2)} \\ \sqrt{2}Y_{2}Y_{3,3}^{(2)} - Y_{6}Y_{3,1}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_{6ll}^{(3)} &= (Y_{6}^{(1)}Y_{5}^{(2)})_{6_{3}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}Y_{1}Y_{5,1}^{(2)} + \sqrt{3}(Y_{6}Y_{5,2}^{(2)} - \sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y_{5,3}^{(2)} - \sqrt{2}Y_{4}Y_{5,4}^{(2)} + Y_{3}Y_{5,5}^{(2)} \\ \sqrt{2}Y_{2}Y_{5,1}^{(2)} + \sqrt{3}(Y_{6}Y_{5,2}^{(2)} - \sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y_{5,3}^{(2)} - \sqrt{2}Y_{4}Y_{5,4}^{(2)} + Y_{3}Y_{5,5}^{(2)} \\ \sqrt{3}(Y_{1}Y_{5,2}^{(2)} - Y_{2}Y_{5,2}^{(2)} + \sqrt{2}Y_{4}Y_{5,3}^{(2)} - 2\sqrt{2}Y_{3}Y_{5,1}^{(2)} \\ \sqrt{3}(\sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y_{5,1}^{(2)} - \sqrt{6}(Y_{1}Y_{5,2}^{(2)} + Y_{2}Y_{4}Y_{5,4}^{(2)} - Y_{6}Y_{5,5}^{(2)} \\ \sqrt{3}(\sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y_{5,2}^{(2)} - \sqrt{6}(Y_{1}Y_{5,4}^{(2)} + Y_{2}Y_{5,4}^{(2)} - Y_{6}Y_{5,5}^{(2)} \\ \sqrt{3}(\sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y_{5,2}^{(2)} + (Y_{1} + Y_{2})Y_{5,5}^{(2)} - 2\sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y_{5,1}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

$$(22)$$

where $Y_{3}^{(2)} \equiv (Y_{3,1}^{(2)}, Y_{3,2}^{(2)}, Y_{3,3}^{(2)})^T$, $Y_{3'}^{(2)} \equiv (Y_{3',1}^{(2)}, Y_{3',2}^{(2)}, Y_{3',3}^{(2)})^T$ and $Y_{5}^{(2)} \equiv (Y_{5,1}^{(2)}, Y_{5,2}^{(2)}, Y_{5,3}^{(2)}, Y_{5,4}^{(2)}, Y_{5,5}^{(2)})^T$ are denoted, and similar notations are adopted for the modular forms in this work. The explicit expressions of the higher weight modular forms are given in Appendix D. The structure of modular forms at level 5 is summarized in Table I up to weight 6.

III. COMBINING GENERALIZED *CP* WITH *A*[']₅ MODULAR SYMMETRY

It has been established that the modular symmetry group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ can be consistently combined with the generalized *CP* symmetry such that $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is enhanced to $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ [59], and the *CP* transformation is represented by the matrix

$$\mathscr{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{23}$$

The *CP* transformation has to act on the complex modulus τ as [59,60,69–71]

$$\tau \xrightarrow{\mathcal{CP}} -\tau^*.$$
 (24)

If we perform a CP transformation, followed by a modular transformation and subsequently an inverse CP transformation, we end up with

$$\tau \xrightarrow{\mathcal{CP}} -\tau^* \xrightarrow{\gamma} -\frac{a\tau^* + b}{c\tau^* + d} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{CP}^{-1}} \frac{a\tau - b}{-c\tau + d} = u(\gamma)\tau, \quad (25)$$

where

$$u(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ -c & d \end{pmatrix} = \mathscr{C}\gamma \mathscr{C}^{-1} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$
(26)

Obviously we have $u(S) = S^{-1}$ and $u(T) = T^{-1}$. Therefore the generalized *CP* transformation corresponds to an automorphism $u(\gamma)$ of the modular group. A second *CP* transformation *CP*₂ for the automorphism $u(S) = -S^{-1}$ and $u(T) = -T^{-1}$ can possibly be defined if the level *N* is even [56]. Obviously *CP*₂ is not allowed in the context of N = 5 which is an odd number. For a generic chiral supermultiplets φ , its transformation properties are characterized by *k* and $\rho_{\mathbf{r}}$, where -k is the modular weight of φ and $\rho_{\mathbf{r}}$ is an irreducible representation of the homogeneous finite modular group Γ'_N . Under a modular transformation γ , the multiplet $\varphi(x)$ transforms according to

$$\varphi(x) \xrightarrow{\gamma} (c\tau + d)^{-k} \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(\gamma) \varphi(x).$$
 (27)

The action of generalized *CP* symmetry on the multiplet φ is

$$\varphi(x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{CP}} X_{\mathbf{r}} \,\bar{\varphi} \,(x_{\mathcal{P}}), \tag{28}$$

where $x_{\mathcal{P}} = (t, -\vec{x})$, a bar denotes the Hermitian conjugate superfield, and $X_{\mathbf{r}}$ is a unitary matrix that acts on flavor space. Now we consider the same transformation chain in Eq. (25),

$$\varphi(x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{CP}} X_{\mathbf{r}} \,\bar{\varphi} \,(x_{\mathcal{P}}) \xrightarrow{\gamma} (c\tau^* + d)^{-k} X_{\mathbf{r}} \rho_{\mathbf{r}}^*(\gamma) \,\bar{\varphi} \,(x_{\mathcal{P}})$$
$$\xrightarrow{\mathcal{CP}^{-1}} (-c\tau + d)^{-k} X_{\mathbf{r}} \rho_{\mathbf{r}}^*(\gamma) X_{\mathbf{r}}^{-1} \varphi(x). \tag{29}$$

Consistency between the modular and *CP* transformations requires that the resulting transformation should be equivalent to the modular transformation $u(\gamma)$, i.e., $CP \circ \gamma \circ CP^{-1} = u(\gamma)$. One can read out the transformation of $\varphi(x)$ under $u(\gamma)$ as,

$$\varphi(x) \xrightarrow{u(\gamma)} (-c\tau + d)^{-k} \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(u(\gamma))\varphi(x).$$
(30)

Comparing Eq. (29) with Eq. (30), we reach the consistency condition [59],

$$X_{\mathbf{r}}\rho_{\mathbf{r}}^{*}(\gamma)X_{\mathbf{r}}^{-1} = \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(u(\gamma)).$$
(31)

For each irreducible representation **r** of Γ'_N , the above consistency condition fixes the generalized *CP* transformation $X_{\mathbf{r}}$ up to an overall phase. It is sufficient to require that Eq. (31) holds for γ equal to *S* and *T*,

$$X_{\mathbf{r}}\rho_{\mathbf{r}}^*(S)X_{\mathbf{r}}^{-1} = \rho_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger}(S), \qquad X_{\mathbf{r}}\rho_{\mathbf{r}}^*(T)X_{\mathbf{r}}^{-1} = \rho_{\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger}(T). \quad (32)$$

In our chosen representation basis in Table XI at level N = 5, both generators *S* and *T* are represented by symmetric matrices in all irreducible representations of A'_5 . Therefore the *CP* transformation X_r is exactly the canonical *CP*,

$$X_{\mathbf{r}} = 1. \tag{33}$$

Under the action of *CP*, the modular forms of weight 1 and level 5 transform as

$$Y_{6}^{(1)}(\tau) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{CP}} Y_{6}^{(1)}(-\tau^{*}) = [Y_{6}^{(1)}(\tau)]^{*}.$$
 (34)

All the CG coefficients in our working basis are real, consequently the identity $Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}(\tau) \xrightarrow{CP} Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}(-\tau^*) = [Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}(\tau)]^*$ is satisfied for any integral weight modular forms $Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}(\tau)$ at level 5, and *CP* invariance would enforce all coupling constants to be real. Once *CP* invariance is incorporated in, the symmetry of the theory would be enhanced. As a result, the homogeneous finite modular group A'_5 would be enlarged to the *CP* extended finite modular group Γ_5^* defined by [11]

$$\Gamma_5^*: S^4 = T^5 = (ST)^3 = \mathscr{C}^2 = 1, \qquad S^2T = TS^2, \qquad \mathscr{C}S\mathscr{C}^{-1} = S^{-1}, \qquad \mathscr{C}T\mathscr{C}^{-1} = T^{-1}.$$
(35)

IV. SYSTEMATICAL CLASSIFICATION OF MINIMAL LEPTON MODELS BASED ON A'_5 MODULAR SYMMETRY

In this section, we shall perform a systematical classification of all minimal lepton mass models with the A'_5 modular symmetry. We shall adopt the bottom-up approach of modular invariance in [9]. We let Φ_I to denote a set of chiral superfields, it transforms under the modular transformation in the following way,

$$\tau \to \gamma \tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \qquad \Phi_I \to (c\tau + d)^{-k_I} \rho_I(\gamma) \Phi_I, \qquad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}), \tag{36}$$

where $-k_I$ is the modular weight of Φ_I , and $\rho_I(\gamma)$ is the unitary representation of the representative element γ in Γ_N . There are no restrictions on the possible value of k_I since the supermultiplets Φ_I are not modular forms. In the present work, the Kähler potential is taken to be the minimal form [9],

$$\mathcal{K}(\Phi_I, \,_{\bar{\Phi}} I; \tau, \,_{\bar{\tau}}) = -h\Lambda^2 \log(-i\tau + i\,_{\bar{\tau}}) + \sum_I (-i\tau + i\,_{\bar{\tau}})^{-k_I} |\Phi_I|^2,$$
(37)

which gives rise to the kinetic terms for both the scalar and fermionic components of the supermultiplets Φ_I and the modulus superfield τ . The superpotential $\mathcal{W}(\Phi_I, \tau)$ can be expanded in power series of the involved supermultiplets Φ_I ,

$$\mathcal{W}(\Phi_I, \tau) = \sum_n Y_{I_1 \dots I_n}(\tau) \Phi_{I_1} \dots \Phi_{I_n},\tag{38}$$

where $Y_{I_1...I_n}$ is a modular multiplet of weight k_Y and it transforms in the presentation ρ_Y of Γ'_N ,

$$\tau \to \gamma \tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \qquad Y(\tau) \to Y(\gamma \tau) = (c\tau + d)^{k_Y} \rho_Y(\gamma) Y(\tau).$$
 (39)

Modular invariance entails that each term of the superpotential should be invariant under the action of Γ'_N and its modular weight should be vanishing, i.e.,

$$k_Y = k_{I_1} + \dots + k_{I_n}, \quad \rho_Y \otimes \rho_{I_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \rho_{I_n} \ni \mathbf{1}.$$
(40)

In the following, we shall perform a comprehensive and systematical study of possible quark and lepton models with the A'_5 modular symmetry. We shall utilize the advantage of the modular symmetry and no flavon fields other than τ is introduced. We assign the Higgs doublets H_u and H_d to two singlets **1** and their modular weights k_{H_u,H_d} are vanishing.

A. Charged lepton sector

We assume the three generations of left-handed lepton doublets $L \equiv (L_1, L_2, L_3)^T$ transform as a triplet **3** or **3'**, and the right-handed charged leptons $E_{1,2,3}^c$ are assigned to the direct product $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2} \oplus \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2'} \oplus \mathbf{1}$ or a triplet **3**, **3'**. The modular weights of L and $E_{1,2,3}^c$ are denoted as k_L and $k_{E_{1,2,3}^c}$ respectively. For each representation assignment, there are in principle infinite possible weight assignments for the fields, and the number of the independent couplings in the superpotential \mathcal{W}_e of the charged lepton mass terms generally increases with the weight of the involved modular forms. We consider modular forms up to weight 6 in the present work, the numbers of possible models in the charged lepton sector are shown in Table II. Due to limitation of space we will list the models for which \mathcal{W}_e contains at most four independent terms in the following.

(i) $\rho_L = 3, \rho_{E_{123}^c} = 1$

In this case, the three right-handed charged leptons are distinguished from each other by their

different modular weights. For the weight assignments fulfilling $k_{E_{1,2,3}^c} + k_L = 2, 4, 6$, the modular invariant superpotential is of the form

$$C_{1}^{i}: \mathcal{W}_{e} = \alpha (Y_{3}^{(2)} E_{1}^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{3}^{(4)} E_{2}^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{3I}^{(6)} E_{3}^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \delta (Y_{3II}^{(6)} E_{3}^{c} L H_{d})_{1}.$$

$$(41)$$

The charged lepton mass matrix can be straightforwardly read out and its explicit form is listed in the supplementary file [72].

(ii) $\rho_L = 3, \ \rho_{E_{1,2,3}^c} = 1$ For the lowest weight assignment $k_{E_{1,2,3}^c} + k_L = 2$, 4, 6, the charged lepton superpotential reads as

$$C_{1}^{ii}: \mathcal{W}_{e} = \alpha (Y_{3'}^{(2)} E_{1}^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{3'}^{(4)} E_{2}^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{3'I}^{(6)} E_{3}^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \delta (Y_{3'II}^{(6)} E_{3}^{c} L H_{d})_{1}.$$
(42)

For both models C_1^{ii} and C_2^{ii} , the phases of α , β , γ can be absorbed into the right-handed charged leptons and they can be taken to be real while the parameter δ is generally complex.

(iii) $\rho_L = 3$, $\rho_{E_D^c} = 2$, $\rho_{E_3^c} = 1$ The first two generations of the right-handed charged leptons are assigned to a doublet of A'_5 and we denote $E_D^c = (E_1^c, E_2^c)$. There are six possible values of modular weights for which up to four terms are involved in the charged lepton Yukawa couplings, and accordingly the superpotential is of the following form

TABLE II. The number (in bracket) of possible charged lepton models for different representation assignment of L and $E_{1,2,3}^c$ under the finite modular group A'_5 up to weight 6 modular forms. We don't count the cases which give degenerate charged lepton masses. We also list the number (without bracket) of models which contain up to four independent terms in the charged lepton superpotential W_e .

	C^i	C^{ii}	C^{iii}	C^{iv}	C^v	C^{vi}	C^{vii}	C^{viii}	C^{ix}	C^{x}
$\overline{\rho_L}$	3	3′	3	3	3′	3′	3	3	3′	3'
$ \rho_{E_i^c} $	$1\oplus 1\oplus 1$	$1\oplus 1\oplus 1$	$2 \oplus 1$	$\mathbf{2'} \oplus 1$	$2 \oplus 1$	$\mathbf{2'} \oplus 1$	3	3′	3	3′
# of models	1	1	6	8	8	6	2	3	3	2
(Total number)	(1)	(1)	(6)	(9)	(9)	(6)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)

$$C_{1}^{iii}: \mathcal{W}_{e} = \alpha(Y_{4'}^{(3)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta(Y_{3}^{(2)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 3, 2,$$

$$C_{2}^{iii}: \mathcal{W}_{e} = \alpha(Y_{4'}^{(3)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta(Y_{3}^{(4)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 3, 4,$$

$$C_{3}^{iii}: \mathcal{W}_{e} = \alpha(Y_{2}^{(5)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta(Y_{4'}^{(5)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma(Y_{3}^{(2)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 5, 2,$$

$$C_{4}^{iii}: \mathcal{W}_{e} = \alpha(Y_{2}^{(5)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta(Y_{4'}^{(5)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma(Y_{3}^{(4)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 5, 4,$$

$$C_{5}^{iii}: \mathcal{W}_{e} = \alpha(Y_{4'}^{(3)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta(Y_{3I}^{(6)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma(Y_{3II}^{(6)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 3, 6,$$

$$C_{6}^{iii}: \mathcal{W}_{e} = \alpha(Y_{2}^{(5)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta(Y_{4'}^{(5)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma(Y_{3I}^{(6)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \delta(Y_{3II}^{(6)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 3, 6,$$

$$C_{6}^{iii}: \mathcal{W}_{e} = \alpha(Y_{2}^{(5)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta(Y_{4'}^{(5)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma(Y_{3I}^{(6)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \delta(Y_{3II}^{(6)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 5, 6.$$

$$(43)$$

(iv) $\rho_L = 3$, $\rho_{E_D^c} = 2'$, $\rho_{E_3^c} = 1$ Similar to the previous case, the charged lepton Yukawa coupling can also take eight possible forms,

$$\begin{split} C_{1}^{iv}: \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha(Y_{6}^{(1)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta(Y_{3}^{(2)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 1, 2, \\ C_{2}^{iv}: \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha(Y_{6}^{(1)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta(Y_{3}^{(4)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 1, 4, \\ C_{3}^{iv}: \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha(Y_{61}^{(3)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta(Y_{611}^{(3)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma(Y_{3}^{(2)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 3, 2, \\ C_{4}^{iv}: \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha(Y_{61}^{(3)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta(Y_{611}^{(3)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma(Y_{3}^{(4)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 3, 4, \\ C_{5}^{iv}: \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha(Y_{61}^{(1)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta(Y_{611}^{(6)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma(Y_{311}^{(6)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 1, 6, \\ C_{6}^{iv}: \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha(Y_{61}^{(5)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta(Y_{611}^{(5)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma(Y_{611}^{(6)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \delta(Y_{31}^{(2)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 5, 2, \\ C_{7}^{iv}: \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha(Y_{61}^{(3)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta(Y_{611}^{(3)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma(Y_{611}^{(6)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \delta(Y_{31}^{(6)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 3, 6, \\ C_{8}^{iv}: \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha(Y_{61}^{(5)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta(Y_{611}^{(5)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma(Y_{611}^{(6)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \delta(Y_{31}^{(6)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 3, 6, \\ C_{8}^{iv}: \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha(Y_{61}^{(5)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta(Y_{611}^{(5)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma(Y_{611}^{(5)}E_{D}^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \delta(Y_{31}^{(4)}E_{3}^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 5, 4. \\ \end{split}$$

(v) $\rho_L = 3'$, $\rho_{E_D^c} = 2$, $\rho_{E_3^c} = 1$ Depending on the weights of lepton fields, we find that the charged lepton superpotential can be

$$\begin{split} C_{1}^{v} \colon \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha (Y_{6}^{(1)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{3'}^{(2)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 1, 2, \\ C_{2}^{v} \colon \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha (Y_{6}^{(1)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{3'}^{(4)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 1, 4, \\ C_{3}^{v} \colon \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha (Y_{6I}^{(3)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{6II}^{(3)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{3'}^{(2)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 3, 2, \\ C_{4}^{v} \colon \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha (Y_{6I}^{(3)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{6II}^{(3)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{3'}^{(4)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 3, 4, \\ C_{5}^{v} \colon \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha (Y_{6I}^{(1)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{6II}^{(6)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{3'II}^{(6)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 1, 6, \\ C_{6}^{v} \colon \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha (Y_{6I}^{(5)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{6II}^{(5)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{6III}^{(5)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \delta (Y_{3'I}^{(2)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 5, 2, \\ C_{7}^{v} \colon \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha (Y_{6I}^{(3)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{6II}^{(3)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{6III}^{(6)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \delta (Y_{3'I}^{(6)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 3, 6, \\ C_{8}^{v} \colon \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha (Y_{6I}^{(5)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{6II}^{(5)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{6III}^{(6)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \delta (Y_{3'}^{(4)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 3, 6. \\ C_{8}^{v} \colon \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha (Y_{6I}^{(5)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{6II}^{(5)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{6III}^{(5)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \delta (Y_{3'}^{(4)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 5, 4. \\ \end{split}$$

(vi) $\rho_L = 3', \rho_{E_D^c} = 2', \rho_{E_3^c} = 1$ In the same fashion as previous cases, we can read out the superpotential for charged lepton masses as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1}^{vi} \colon \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha (Y_{4'}^{(3)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{3'}^{(2)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1}, & \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 3, 2, \\ C_{2}^{vi} \colon \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha (Y_{4'}^{(3)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{3'}^{(4)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1}, & \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 3, 4, \\ C_{3}^{vi} \colon \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha (Y_{2'}^{(5)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{4'}^{(5)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{3'}^{(2)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1}, & \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 5, 2, \\ C_{4}^{vi} \colon \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha (Y_{2'}^{(5)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{4'}^{(5)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{3'}^{(4)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1}, & \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 5, 4, \\ C_{5}^{vi} \colon \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha (Y_{4'}^{(3)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{3'I}^{(6)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{3'II}^{(6)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1}, & \text{for } k_{E_{D,3}^{c}} + k_{L} = 3, 6, \\ C_{6}^{vi} \colon \mathcal{W}_{e} &= \alpha (Y_{2'}^{(5)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{4'}^{(5)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{3'I}^{(6)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \delta (Y_{3'II}^{(6)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{4'}^{(5)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{3'I}^{(6)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \delta (Y_{3'II}^{(6)} E_{3}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \delta (Y_{4'}^{(6)} E_{D}^{c} LH_{d})_{1} + \delta (Y_{3'II}^{(6)} E_{3}^{c} LH$$

For the cases $C_{1,2}^{iii,iv,v,vi}$, both α and β can be taken as real parameters, while for the cases $C_{3,4,5}^{iii,iv,v,vi}$, the phases of α and γ are unphysical, but the parameter β is complex. For the cases $C_6^{iii,vi}, C_7^{iv,v}, \alpha$ and γ are real parameters, β and δ are complex parameters. For the cases $C_{6.8}^{iv,v}$, α and δ are real parameters, β and γ are complex parameters.

(vii)
$$\rho_L = 3, \rho_{E^c} =$$

3

The superpotential for the charged lepton masses are given by

$$C_{1}^{vii}: W_{e} = \alpha (Y_{3}^{(2)}E^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{5}^{(2)}E^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{E^{c}} + k_{L} = 2$$

$$C_{2}^{vii}: W_{e} = \alpha (Y_{1}^{(4)}E^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{3}^{(4)}E^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{5I}^{(4)}E^{c}LH_{d})_{1} + \delta (Y_{5II}^{(4)}E^{c}LH_{d})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{E^{c}} + k_{L} = 4.$$
(47)

(viii) $\rho_L = 3, \rho_{E^c} = 3'$

There are three possible forms of the superpotential in this case,

$$C_{1}^{viii}: W_{e} = \alpha (Y_{5}^{(2)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{E^{c}} + k_{L} = 2.$$

$$C_{2}^{viii}: W_{e} = \alpha (Y_{4}^{(4)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{5I}^{(4)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{5I}^{(4)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{E^{c}} + k_{L} = 4.$$

$$C_{3}^{viii}: W_{e} = \alpha (Y_{4I}^{(6)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{4II}^{(6)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{5I}^{(6)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \delta (Y_{5II}^{(6)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{E^{c}} + k_{L} = 6.$$
(48)

(ix) $\rho_L = 3', \rho_{E^c} = 3$

In this case, the charged lepton superpotential can take three possible forms,

$$C_{1}^{ix}: W_{e} = \alpha (Y_{5}^{(2)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{E^{c}} + k_{L} = 2.$$

$$C_{2}^{ix}: W_{e} = \alpha (Y_{4}^{(4)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{5I}^{(4)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{5II}^{(4)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{E^{c}} + k_{L} = 4.$$

$$C_{3}^{ix}: W_{e} = \alpha (Y_{4I}^{(6)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{4II}^{(6)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{5I}^{(6)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \delta (Y_{5II}^{(6)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{E^{c}} + k_{L} = 6.$$
(49)

(x) $\rho_L = \mathbf{3}', \, \rho_{E^c} = \mathbf{3}'$

Similar to previous case, we find that the charged lepton superpotential can be

$$C_{1}^{x}: W_{e} = \alpha (Y_{3'}^{(2)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{5}^{(2)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{E^{c}} + k_{L} = 2$$

$$C_{2}^{x}: W_{e} = \alpha (Y_{1}^{(4)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \beta (Y_{3'}^{(4)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \gamma (Y_{5I}^{(4)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1} + \delta (Y_{5II}^{(4)} E^{c} L H_{d})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{E^{c}} + k_{L} = 4.$$
(50)

In the above four cases, the left-handed lepton L as well as the right-handed charged lepton fileds E^{c} are assigned to a triplet of A'_5 , there is only one freedom to absorb the complex phase. As a result, only the parameter α can be taken as real and all other parameters should be complex.

		*	*			* *				
	S^i	S^{ii}	S^{iii}	S^{iv}	T^i	T^{ii}	T^{iii}	T^{iv}	W^i	W^{ii}
ρ_L	3	3	3′	3'	3	3	3'	3'	3	3'
ρ_{N^c}	3	3′	3	3′	2	2′	2	2′	_	_
# of models	3	2	2	3	5	5	5	5	3	3
(Total number)	(15)	(12)	(12)	(15)	(6)	(9)	(9)	(6)	(3)	(3)

TABLE III. The number (in bracket) of possible neutrino models for different representation assignments of L and N^c under the finite modular group A'_5 up to weight 6 modular forms, where the case without modular forms is not counted. We also list the number (without bracket) of models which contain up to three independent terms in the neutrino superpotential W_{ν} .

B. Neutrino sector

In this work, neutrinos are assumed to be Majorana particles. Their masses can arise from the type I seesaw mechanism. We consider seesaw models with two and three right-handed neutrinos. The Weinberg operator can also induce the effective neutrino masses, where the right-handed neutrinos are absent. The numbers of possible neutrino model are listed in Table III for different assignments of lepton doublets L and right-handed neutrinos N^c . We are concerned with the phenomenological viable models with the smallest number of free parameters, and consequently in the following we give the explicit form of the neutrino superpotential for the cases that only two or three independent terms are present. For the models without right-handed neutrinos, similarly we consider the

cases with at most three independent terms in the superpotential.

1. Seesaw models with three right-handed neutrinos

If the three right-handed neutrinos are assigned to A_5 singlets or the direct sum $2^{(\prime)} \oplus 1$, at least four terms would be involved so that the resulting models are not so predictive. Hence we assign the three right-handed neutrinos as well as lepton doublets *L* to a triplet **3** or **3'** of A_5 .

(i)
$$\rho_L = 3, \, \rho_{N^c} = 3$$

We find three possible values of the modular weights k_{N^c} and k_L : $(k_{N^c}, k_L) = (1, -1), (0, 2), (1, 1)$, for which the superpotential of the seesaw Lagrangian has two or three modular invariant terms.

$$S_{1}^{i}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g(N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{5}^{(2)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N^{c}} = 1, k_{L} = -1,$$

$$S_{2}^{i}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g_{1}(Y_{3}^{(2)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + g_{2}(Y_{5}^{(2)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N^{c}} = 0, k_{L} = 2,$$

$$S_{3}^{i}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g_{1}(Y_{3}^{(2)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + g_{2}(Y_{5}^{(2)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{5}^{(2)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N^{c}} = 1, k_{L} = 1.$$
(51)

For the model S_1^i , the light neutrino mass matrix only depends on the complex modulus and an overall scale factor $g^2 v_u^2 / \Lambda$, while it also depends on another complex parameter g_2/g_1 for S_2^i and S_3^i . The predicted Dirac and Majorana neutrino mass matrices are given in the auxiliary file [72].

(ii)
$$\rho_L = 3, \, \rho_{N^c} = 3$$

For this kind of representation assignment, the neutrino superpotential can take the following two simple forms

$$S_{1}^{ii}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g(Y_{5}^{(2)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N^{c}} = 0, k_{L} = 2,$$

$$S_{2}^{ii}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g(Y_{5}^{(2)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{5}^{(2)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N^{c}} = 1, k_{L} = 1.$$
(52)

(iii) $\rho_L = 3', \rho_{N^c} = 3$

Similar to the previous case, the superpotential for neutrino masses can also take two possible forms

$$S_{1}^{iii}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g(Y_{5}^{(2)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{N^{c}} = 0, k_{L} = 2,$$

$$S_{2}^{iii}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g(Y_{5}^{(2)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{5}^{(2)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{N^{c}} = 1, k_{L} = 1.$$
(53)

Although the superpotentials in Eq. (52) and Eq. (53) appear the same, using the CG coefficient to expand the contractions, we find that they give rise to different terms and neutrino mass matrices. For the neutrino models $S_{1,2}^{ii,iii}$, the effective light neutrino mass matrices are completely determined by the modulus τ up to the overall scale factor $g^2 v_u^2 / \Lambda$.

(iv) $\rho_L = \mathbf{3}', \ \rho_{N^c} = \mathbf{3}'$ The neutrino superpotential in this case can be

$$S_{1}^{iv}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g(N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{5}^{(2)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N^{c}} = 1, k_{L} = -1,$$

$$S_{2}^{iv}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g_{1}(Y_{3'}^{(2)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + g_{2}(Y_{5}^{(2)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N^{c}} = 0, k_{L} = 2,$$

$$S_{3}^{iv}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g_{1}(Y_{3'}^{(2)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + g_{2}(Y_{5}^{(2)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{5}^{(2)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N^{c}} = 1, k_{L} = 1.$$
(54)

2. Seesaw models with two right-handed neutrinos

If the two right-handed neutrinos are assigned to A'_{5} singlets distinguished by modular weights, generally more modular invariant terms are involved. As a consequence, we assume that the two right-handed neutrinos transform as a doublet 2 or $\mathbf{2}'$ under A'_5 .

(i) $\rho_L = 3, \rho_{N^c} = 2$

We find that only five sets of values of the modular weights k_L and k_{N^c} such that there are two or three independent terms in the neutrino superpotential.

$$T_{1}^{i}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g(Y_{4'}^{(3)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{3}^{(2)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N} = 1, k_{L} = 2,$$

$$T_{2}^{i}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g(Y_{4'}^{(3)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{3}^{(4)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N} = 2, k_{L} = 1,$$

$$T_{3}^{i}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g_{1}(Y_{2}^{(5)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + g_{2}(Y_{4'}^{(5)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{3}^{(2)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N} = 1, k_{L} = 4,$$

$$T_{4}^{i}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g_{1}(Y_{2}^{(5)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + g_{2}(Y_{4'}^{(5)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{3}^{(4)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N} = 2, k_{L} = 3,$$

$$T_{5}^{i}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g(Y_{4'}^{(3)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda_{1}(Y_{3I}^{(6)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1} + \Lambda_{2}(Y_{3II}^{(6)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N} = 3, k_{L} = 0.$$
(55)

The light neutrino mass matrix is fixed by modulus τ and an overall mass scale for $T_{1,2}^i$, and it depends on a third complex parameter g_2/g_1 for $T_{3,4}^i$ and Λ_2/Λ_1 for T_5^i respectively.

(ii) $\rho_L = 3, \rho_{N^c} = 2'$

Analogously we also find five possible cases as follow,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{1}^{ii}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} &= g(Y_{6}^{(1)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{3'}^{(2)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{N^{c}} = 1, k_{L} = 0, \\ T_{2}^{ii}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} &= g(Y_{6}^{(1)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{3'}^{(4)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{N^{c}} = 2, k_{L} = -1, \\ T_{3}^{ii}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} &= g_{1}(Y_{6I}^{(3)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + g_{2}(Y_{6II}^{(3)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{3'}^{(2)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{N^{c}} = 1, k_{L} = 2, \\ T_{4}^{ii}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} &= g_{1}(Y_{6I}^{(3)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + g_{2}(Y_{6II}^{(3)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{3'}^{(4)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{N^{c}} = 2, k_{L} = 1, \\ T_{5}^{ii}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} &= g(Y_{6}^{(1)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda_{1}(Y_{3'I}^{(6)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1} + \Lambda_{2}(Y_{3'II}^{(6)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{N^{c}} = 3, k_{L} = -2. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) $\rho_L = 3', \rho_{N^c} = 2$

The neutrino superpotential in this case can be

$$\begin{split} T_{1}^{iii} \colon \mathcal{W}_{\nu} &= g(Y_{6}^{(1)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{3}^{(2)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{N^{c}} = 1, k_{L} = 0, \\ T_{2}^{iii} \colon \mathcal{W}_{\nu} &= g(Y_{6}^{(1)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{3}^{(4)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{N^{c}} = 2, k_{L} = -1, \\ T_{3}^{iii} \colon \mathcal{W}_{\nu} &= g_{1}(Y_{6I}^{(3)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + g_{2}(Y_{6II}^{(3)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{3}^{(2)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{N^{c}} = 1, k_{L} = 2, \\ T_{4}^{iii} \colon \mathcal{W}_{\nu} &= g_{1}(Y_{6I}^{(3)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + g_{2}(Y_{6II}^{(3)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{3}^{(4)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{N^{c}} = 2, k_{L} = 1, \\ T_{5}^{iii} \colon \mathcal{W}_{\nu} &= g(Y_{6}^{(1)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda_{1}(Y_{3I}^{(6)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1} + \Lambda_{2}(Y_{3II}^{(6)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \quad \text{for } k_{N^{c}} = 3, k_{L} = -2. \end{split}$$

(iv) $\rho_L = 3', \rho_{N^c} = 2'$

The modular weight k_L and k_{N^c} can also take five values: $(k_{N^c}, k_L) = (1, 2), (2, 1), (1, 4), (2, 3), (3, 0)$, and the superpotential for neutrino masses are given by

$$T_{1}^{iv}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g(Y_{4'}^{(3)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{3'}^{(2)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N^{c}} = 1, k_{L} = 2,$$

$$T_{2}^{iv}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g(Y_{4'}^{(3)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{3'}^{(4)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N^{c}} = 2, k_{L} = 1,$$

$$T_{3}^{iv}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g_{1}(Y_{2'}^{(5)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + g_{2}(Y_{4'}^{(5)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{3'}^{(2)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N^{c}} = 1, k_{L} = 4,$$

$$T_{4}^{iv}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g_{1}(Y_{2'}^{(5)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + g_{2}(Y_{4'}^{(5)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda(Y_{3'}^{(4)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N^{c}} = 2, k_{L} = 3,$$

$$T_{5}^{iv}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g(Y_{4'}^{(3)}N^{c}LH_{u})_{1} + \Lambda_{1}(Y_{3'I}^{(6)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1} + \Lambda_{2}(Y_{3'II}^{(6)}N^{c}N^{c})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{N^{c}} = 3, k_{L} = 0.$$
(58)

The explicit forms of the Dirac neutrino mass matrix and the right-handed Majorana neutrino mass matrix are given in the supplementary file [72].

3. Models without right-handed neutrino

The light neutrino masses are described by the effective Weinberg operator in this scenario. For the triplet assignment of the left-handed leptons $\rho_L \sim 3$, 3' under A'_5 , there are two classes of neutrino models.

(i) $\rho_L = 3$

We find that only three allowed values of the modular weights k_L such that at most three independent terms are present in the superpotential of neutrino masses.

$$W_{1}^{i}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{1}} (Y_{5}^{(2)} L^{2} H_{u}^{2})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{L} = 1,$$

$$W_{2}^{i}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{1}} (Y_{1}^{(4)} L^{2} H_{u}^{2})_{1} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_{2}} (Y_{5I}^{(4)} L^{2} H_{u}^{2})_{1} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_{3}} (Y_{5II}^{(4)} L^{2} H_{u}^{2})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{L} = 2,$$

$$W_{3}^{i}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{1}} (Y_{1}^{(6)} L^{2} H_{u}^{2})_{1} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_{2}} (Y_{5I}^{(6)} L^{2} H_{u}^{2})_{1} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_{3}} (Y_{5II}^{(6)} L^{2} H_{u}^{2})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{L} = 3.$$
(59)

The light neutrino mass matrix is fixed by modulus τ and an overall scale factor v_u^2/Λ_1 for W_1^i , and it depends on another two complex parameters Λ_1/Λ_2 and Λ_1/Λ_3 for $W_{2,3}^i$.

(ii) $\rho_L = 3'$

Analogously we also find three possible cases as follow,

$$W_{1}^{ii}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{1}} (Y_{5}^{(2)} L^{2} H_{u}^{2})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{L} = 1,$$

$$W_{2}^{ii}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{1}} (Y_{1}^{(4)} L^{2} H_{u}^{2})_{1} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_{2}} (Y_{5I}^{(4)} L^{2} H_{u}^{2})_{1} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_{3}} (Y_{5II}^{(4)} L^{2} H_{u}^{2})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{L} = 2,$$

$$W_{3}^{ii}: \mathcal{W}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{1}} (Y_{1}^{(6)} L^{2} H_{u}^{2})_{1} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_{2}} (Y_{5I}^{(6)} L^{2} H_{u}^{2})_{1} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_{3}} (Y_{5II}^{(6)} L^{2} H_{u}^{2})_{1}, \text{ for } k_{L} = 3.$$
(60)

The explicit form of the effective neutrino mass matrix can be straightforwardly read out and it is given in the supplementary file [72].

C. Numerical results for lepton masses and mixing

Combining the possible constructions of charged lepton sector listed in Table II with those of neutrino sector in Table III, we can obtain 720 possible lepton models with small number of free parameters based on the homogeneous finite modular group A'_5 . These 720 lepton models are named as $\mathcal{L}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{720}$, which can be found in Table 3 of the Supplemental Material [72]. In order to determine which models are compatible with the current experiment,

we perform a conventional χ^2 analysis to search for the optimal values of the input parameters and quantitatively evaluate how well a model can accommodate the experimental data. In order to facilitate the numerical analysis, we divide the input parameters of each model into dimensionless parameters and overall mass scales. The dimensionless parameters include the ratios of the coupling constants and the VEV of the complex modulus τ . As in Ref. [9], we shall not resort to certain modulus stabilization mechanism to dynamically select the value of the modulus τ , and it is freely varied to match the experimental data. The overall mass scales of the charged lepton and neutrino mass scales and the absolute scale of neutrino masses, and their

values can be fixed by the precisely measured electron mass and the neutrino mass squared difference Δm_{21}^2 . Therefore we construct the χ^2 function based on the neutrino mixing angles θ_{12} , θ_{13} , θ_{23} and the mass ratios m_e/m_μ , m_μ/m_τ , $\Delta m_{21}^2/\Delta m_{31}^2(\Delta m_{21}^2/\Delta m_{32}^2)$ for NO (IO) neutrino mass ordering. Since the leptonic Dirac *CP* phase δ_{CP}^l is not accurately measured and the indication of a preferred value of δ_{CP}^l from global data fit is quite weak,

we did not include the contribution of δ_{CP}^{l} in the χ^{2} function.

The experimental data of the neutrino oscillation parameters are taken from NuFIT v5.0 with Super-Kamiokanda atmospheric data [73]. For the normal ordering (NO) neutrino masses, the best fit values and the 1σ ranges of the three mixing angles, Dirac *CP* phase, and neutrino mass squared differences are as follows

$$\sin^{2}\theta_{12} = 0.304_{-0.012}^{+0.012}, \qquad \sin^{2}\theta_{13} = 0.02219_{-0.00063}^{+0.0062}, \qquad \sin^{2}\theta_{23} = 0.573_{-0.020}^{+0.016}, \\ \delta_{CP}^{l}/\pi = 1.0944_{-0.1333}^{+0.1500}, \qquad \frac{\Delta m_{21}^{2}}{10^{-5} \text{ eV}^{2}} = 7.42_{-0.20}^{+0.21}, \qquad \frac{\Delta m_{31}^{2}}{10^{-3} \text{ eV}^{2}} = 2.517_{-0.028}^{+0.026}, \tag{61}$$

For the inverted ordering (IO) mass spectrum, values of the oscillation parameters are given by

$$\sin^{2}\theta_{12} = 0.304^{+0.013}_{-0.012}, \qquad \sin^{2}\theta_{13} = 0.02238^{+0.00063}_{-0.00062}, \qquad \sin^{2}\theta_{23} = 0.575^{+0.016}_{-0.019}, \\ \delta^{l}_{CP}/\pi = 1.5667^{+0.1444}_{-0.1667}, \qquad \frac{\Delta m^{2}_{21}}{10^{-5} \text{ eV}^{2}} = 7.42^{+0.21}_{-0.20}, \qquad \frac{\Delta m^{2}_{32}}{10^{-3} \text{ eV}^{2}} = -2.498^{+0.028}_{-0.028}. \tag{62}$$

TABLE IV. The models that can accommodate the experimental data at 3σ level for normal ordering neutrino masses. The details of these models can be found in the Supplemental Material [72], which provides the complete results for all A'_5 modular lepton models with small number of free parameters. We have listed the number of real free parameters involved in each model in the second column. The constructions in the charged lepton and neutrino sectors are given in the third column. After generalized *CP* (gCP) is incorporated in these models, two more free parameters would be reduced and most of them can still accommodate the 3σ experimental data, which will be marked with " \checkmark " in the last column, otherwise it will be marked with " \checkmark ".

Models	#P	Combinations	$(ho_{E^c}, ho_L, ho_{N^c})$	k_{E^c}	k_L	k_{N^c}	With gCP
\mathcal{L}_2	10	C_1^i, S_2^i	$(1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1, 3, 3)$	0, 2, 4	2	0	1
\mathcal{L}_3	10	$C_{1}^{i}, S_{3}^{\overline{i}}$	$(1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1, 3, 3)$	1, 3, 5	1	1	\checkmark
\mathcal{L}_9	10	$C_1^{i\bar{i}}, S_2^{i\bar{v}}$	$(1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1, 3', 3')$	0, 2, 4	2	0	\checkmark
\mathcal{L}_{10}	10	$C_1^{ii}, S_3^{\overline{i}v}$	$(1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1, 3', 3')$	1, 3, 5	1	1	\checkmark
\mathcal{L}_{22}	9	C_3^{iii}, S_2^i	$(2 \oplus 1, 3, 3)$	3, 0	2	0	\checkmark
\mathcal{L}_{66}	9	$C_6^{iv}, S_1^{\tilde{i}}$	$(\mathbf{2'} \oplus 1, 3, 3)$	6, 3	-1	1	\checkmark
\mathcal{L}_{71}	9	C_7^{iv}, S_1^{i}	$(\mathbf{2'} \oplus 1, 3, 3)$	4,7	-1	1	×
\mathcal{L}_{76}	9	C_{8}^{iv}, S_{1}^{i}	$(\mathbf{2'} \oplus 1, 3, 3)$	6, 5	-1	1	×
\mathcal{L}_{94}	9	C_3^v, S_2^{iv}	$(2 \oplus 1, 3', 3')$	1, 0	2	0	\checkmark
\mathcal{L}_{95}	9	$C_3^{v}, S_3^{\overline{i}v}$	$(2 \oplus 1, 3', 3')$	2, 1	1	1	1
\mathcal{L}_{99}	9	C_4^v, S_2^{iv}	$(2 \oplus 1, 3', 3')$	1, 2	2	0	\checkmark
\mathcal{L}_{100}	9	$C_4^v, S_3^{\overline{i}v}$	$(2 \oplus 1, 3', 3')$	2, 3	1	1	\checkmark
\mathcal{L}_{105}	9	C_5^v, S_3^{iv}	$(2 \oplus 1, 3', 3')$	0, 5	1	1	×
\mathcal{L}_{108}	9	C_{6}^{v}, S_{1}^{iv}	$(2 \oplus 1, 3', 3')$	6, 3	-1	1	\checkmark
\mathcal{L}_{113}	9	C_{7}^{v}, S_{1}^{iv}	$(2 \oplus 1, 3', 3')$	4,7	-1	1	\checkmark
\mathcal{L}_{118}	9	C_{8}^{v}, S_{1}^{iv}	$(2 \oplus 1, 3', 3')$	6, 5	-1	1	1
\mathcal{L}_{134}	9	C_3^{vi}, S_2^{iv}	$(\mathbf{2'} \oplus 1, \mathbf{3'}, \mathbf{3'})$	3, 0	2	0	×
\mathcal{L}_{203}	10	$C_{1}^{i}, T_{3}^{\tilde{i}}$	$(1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1, 3, 2)$	-2, 0, 2	4	1	1
\mathcal{L}_{208}	10	C_{1}^{i}, T_{3}^{ii}	$(1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1, 3, 2')$	0, 2, 4	2	1	1
\mathcal{L}_{209}	10	C_1^i, T_4^{ii}	$(1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1, 3, 2')$	1, 3, 5	1	2	1
\mathcal{L}_{210}	10	C_{1}^{i}, T_{5}^{ii}	$(1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1, 3, 2')$	4, 6, 8	-2	3	1
\mathcal{L}_{213}	10	C_{1}^{ii}, T_{3}^{iii}	$(1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1, 3', 2)$	0, 2, 4	2	1	1
\mathcal{L}_{214}	10	C_{1}^{ii}, T_{4}^{iii}	$(1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1, 3', 2)$	1, 3, 5	1	2	1
\mathcal{L}_{310}	9	C_3^{iv}, T_5^{ii}	$(2'\oplus 1,3,2')$	5, 4	-2	3	×
\mathcal{L}_{654}	9	C_2^v, W_3^{ii}	$(2 \oplus 1, 3', -)$	-2, 1	3	_	×

The ratios of the charged lepton masses are taken from Ref. [74],

$$m_e/m_\mu = 0.004737 \pm 0.000040, \qquad m_\mu/m_\tau = 0.05857 \pm 0.00047.$$
 (63)

We have used the well-known package TMinuit developed by CERN to search for the global minimum of the χ^2 function. The absolute values of all dimensionless parameters are assumed to be uniformly distributed in the range of $[0, 10^7]$, their phases freely vary between 0 and 2π , and the VEV of modulus τ is restricted in the fundamental domain $\mathcal{D} = \{\tau | | \tau | \ge 1, -0.5 \le \text{Re}(\tau) \le 0.5, \text{Im}(\tau) > 0\}.$

We numerically diagonalize the charged lepton and neutrino mass matrices, and scan over the parameter space of each lepton model for both NO and IO. We find that 25 NO (49 IO) models with up to 10 parameters can accommodate the experimental data at 3σ level, all the fitting results of the 720 models are summarized in our Supplemental Material [72], and we label the models which are compatible with the experimental data at the 3σ level or better with a star " \star ". In the main text, we have summarized the 25 NO models in Table IV. It can be seen that there are 15 phenomenologically viable models with 9 real free parameters including $\text{Re}(\tau)$ and $\text{Im}(\tau)$, while the other 10 models use 10 free parameters to describe the experimental data. We see that the right-handed charged leptons transform as $2 \oplus 1$ or $2' \oplus 1$ for the minimal models with 9 free parameters. Notice that the homogeneous finite modular group was studied in the preprint [57] which appeared on the arXiv during the final preparations of this article, and an example model corresponding to our model \mathcal{L}_{210} with 10 parameters was constructed.

We have displayed the fit results of the 25 NO models in Tables V—VII, where we have presented the best fit values of the input parameters and the corresponding predictions for neutrino masses, mixing angles and CPviolating phases. Here we adopt the convention for lepton mixing angles and CP phases in the standard parametrization [1],

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{CP}} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & c_{13}s_{23} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}s_{13}c_{23}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}s_{13}c_{23}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & c_{13}c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \operatorname{diag}(1, e^{i\frac{\alpha_{21}}{2}}, e^{i\frac{\alpha_{31}}{2}}), \tag{64}$$

where $c_{ij} \equiv \cos \theta_{ij}, s_{ij} \equiv \sin \theta_{ij}, \delta_{CP}$ is Dirac *CP* violation phase, and α_{21} , α_{31} are called Majorana *CP* phases. In the models with two right-handed neutrinos, the lightest neutrino would be massless such that there is only one Majorana phase.² Then the phase matrix diag $(1, e^{i\frac{a_{21}}{2}}, e^{i\frac{a_{31}}{2}})$ should be replaced by diag $(1, e^{i\phi/2}, 1)$, where ϕ is the Majorana CP phase. Our numerical scan shows that almost all mixing angles for these 25 lepton models are predicted to fall within the 1σ ranges, except that $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ for the models $\mathcal{L}_{22}, \mathcal{L}_{95}, \mathcal{L}_{100}, \mathcal{L}_{105}, \mathcal{L}_{108}, \mathcal{L}_{113}, \mathcal{L}_{118}, \mathcal{L}_{208}, \mathcal{L}_{654},$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{12}$ for the models $\mathcal{L}_{113}, \mathcal{L}_{208}$ are beyond the 1σ region but within 3σ region. It is notable that the Dirac *CP* phase δ_{CP} is close to 1.5π in the models $\mathcal{L}_{9}, \mathcal{L}_{22}, \mathcal{L}_{71}, \mathcal{L}_{94}, \mathcal{L}_{99}, \mathcal{L}_{105}, \mathcal{L}_{134}, \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_{213}.$ Because the modular forms satisfy the identity $Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}(-\tau^*) = [Y_{\mathbf{r}}^{(k)}(\tau)]^*$, therefore both neutrino and charged lepton mass matrices which are functions of modular forms and coupling constants, would become their complex conjugate under the transformation $\tau \to -\tau^*, g_i \to g_i^*$. Hence the pair of input parameters $\{-\tau^*, g_i^*\}$ and $\{\tau, g_i\}$ lead to the same predictions for lepton mixing angles while the overall signs of CP violating phases are reversed. Therefore the numerical results in Tables V—VII should come in pair with opposite *CP* phases.

The right-handed charged leptons are usually assumed to transform as singlets under the finite modular group, such that at least one free parameter is introduced for each generation of charged leptons and hierarchical charged lepton masses can be accommodated. If both left-handed leptons L and right-handed charged leptons E^c are assigned to be irreducible triplets of the finite modular group, all the coupling constants in \mathcal{W}_{e} are generally relevant to the three charged lepton masses and thus some fine-tuning is necessary to reproduce the observed hierarchical masses. Indeed we notice that the models for triplet assignments $L, E^c \sim 3, 3'$ cannot accommodate the experimental data of lepton masses and mixing angles with less than eleven free parameters if neutrino mass spectrum is normal ordering. Nevertheless we are lucky enough to find a viable model \mathcal{L}_{546} with 10 parameters which can fit the experimental data well for inverted ordering neutrino masses. The representation assignment of the lepton fields are $L \sim 3, E^c \sim 3', N^c \sim 2'$, and the structure of the charged lepton and neutrino sectors are given by C_3^{viii} and T_1^{ii} respectively. By scanning the parameter space of this model, we identify the best fit point of the input parameters as follow:

²For example, the phase α_{31} is unphysical in the case of $m_3 = 0$.

TABLE V. The best fit values of the free parameters and the corresponding predictions for lepton mixing parameters and neutrino masses for the phenomenologically viable lepton models \mathcal{L}_2 , \mathcal{L}_3 , \mathcal{L}_9 , \mathcal{L}_{10} , \mathcal{L}_{203} , \mathcal{L}_{209} , \mathcal{L}_{213} , and \mathcal{L}_{214} . Here we only show the results for NO neutrino masses, similar results can be obtained for IO.

Model	\mathcal{L}_2	\mathcal{L}_3	\mathcal{L}_9	\mathcal{L}_{10}	\mathcal{L}_{203}	\mathcal{L}_{208}	\mathcal{L}_{209}	\mathcal{L}_{213}	\mathcal{L}_{214}
$Re(\tau)$	-0.4301	0.01635	-0.4744	-0.2201	0.1301	-0.1617	-0.4154	-0.2126	-0.4533
$\operatorname{Im}(\tau)$	1.5662	1.1309	1.5138	1.0857	1.0105	1.0261	1.3825	1.1659	1.0272
β/α	15.3310	16.4102	10.6903	17.0830	20.2623	15.2426	6.1506	310.8679	4.2487
$\gamma/lpha$	7.7360	30.0197	31.3607	174.0123	148.5829	34.0370	24.7109	16.1121	51.1912
$ \delta/\alpha $	36.8022	167.6753	6.9087	49.3413	250.3694	141.1909	28.6349	5.3042	3.5954
$\arg(\delta/\alpha)/\pi$	0.5159	-0.6076	1.9128	-0.04841	1.9887	-0.7929	-0.5655	-0.05895	0.06108
$ g_2/g_1 $	0.2085	0.4039	0.1511	0.1871	0.8829	0.1324	0.8124	1.3425	0.1275
$\arg(g_2/g_1)/\pi$	0.9580	-0.8764	1.8735	0.6548	0.4599	0.01922	0.5882	-0.6071	-0.9575
$\alpha v_d/{\rm MeV}$	0.2999	0.07157	1.0088	0.1832	0.04549	0.06238	0.3007	0.1732	0.6087
$(g_1^2 v_u^2 / \Lambda) / \text{meV}$	0.6347	6.4174	2.6552	13.2093	0.003466	0.3803	0.3326	0.03614	30.5547
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	0.02219	0.02219	0.02219	0.02219	0.02219	0.02222	0.02219	0.02219	0.02219
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	0.3040	0.3040	0.3040	0.3040	0.3040	0.3304	0.3040	0.3040	0.3040
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	0.5730	0.5730	0.5730	0.5730	0.5730	0.5268	0.5730	0.5730	0.5730
δ_{CP}/π	1.9530	1.1723	1.5987	1.2714	1.0917	1.8661	1.0537	1.5926	1.8659
α_{21}/π or ϕ/π	0.9819	1.9604	0.5319	1.8453	0.8918	1.8616	1.6567	0.1986	0.8342
α_{31}/π	0.2069	1.5915	0.3322	0.7125	_	_	_	_	_
m_1/meV	1.5466	2.6701	29.4704	8.5857	0	0	0	0	0
m_2/meV	8.7517	9.0183	30.7035	12.1620	8.6139	8.6139	8.6139	8.6139	8.6139
m_3/meV	50.1937	50.2408	58.1852	50.8989	50.1699	50.0286	50.1698	50.1698	50.1704
$\sum_i m_i / \text{meV}$	60.4920	61.9292	118.3591	71.6466	58.7838	58.6426	58.7838	58.7838	58.7843
m_{β}/meV	8.9611	9.2216	30.7638	12.3135	8.8266	8.9211	8.8266	8.8266	8.8267
$m_{\beta\beta}/\mathrm{meV}$	1.3783	3.8458	19.8973	10.0743	1.5008	3.2825	3.4644	2.4001	2.5732

$$\langle \tau \rangle = -0.499466 + 3.22253i, \qquad \beta/\alpha = 0.207601e^{-0.00419297i}, \qquad \gamma/\alpha = 0.1878e^{0.970918i}, \\ \delta/\alpha = 0.0310921e^{4.79438i}, \qquad \alpha v_d = 0.130959 \text{ MeV}, \qquad gv_u^2/\Lambda = 65.6432 \text{ meV}.$$
(65)

The corresponding predictions for masses and mixing parameters are determined to be

sin	$^{2}\theta_{13} = 0.02238,$	$\sin^2\theta_{12}=0.3040,$	$\sin^2\theta_{23}$	= 0.5750,	$\delta_{CP} = 1.4826\pi,$	
	$\alpha_{21} = 1.5621\pi,$	$\alpha_{31}=0.4328\pi,$	$m_e/m_\mu =$	0.004737,	$m_{\mu}/m_{ au} = 0.05857,$	
	$m_1 = 49.2324 \text{ meV}$	$m_2 = 49.9803$	3 meV,	$m_3 = 0 \text{ meV}$,	
\sum_{i}	$m_i = 99.2126 \text{ meV}$	$m_{\beta} = 48.9043$	3 meV,	$m_{\beta\beta} = 39.18$	69 meV,	(66)

TABLE VI. The best fit values of the free parameters and the corresponding predictions for lepton mixing parameters and neutrino masses for the phenomenologically viable lepton models \mathcal{L}_{22} , \mathcal{L}_{94} , \mathcal{L}_{95} , \mathcal{L}_{99} , \mathcal{L}_{100} , \mathcal{L}_{105} , \mathcal{L}_{134} , \mathcal{L}_{210} , and \mathcal{L}_{310} , Here we only show the results for NO spectrum, similar results can be obtained for IO.

Model	\mathcal{L}_{22}	\mathcal{L}_{94}	\mathcal{L}_{95}	\mathcal{L}_{99}	\mathcal{L}_{100}	\mathcal{L}_{134}
$\operatorname{Re}(\tau)$	-0.4910	0.3839	-0.4778	0.4747	-0.4668	0.4990
$\operatorname{Im}(\tau)$	1.1953	2.4844	1.1283	2.5061	0.8867	0.8858
$ \beta/\alpha $	1.4037	0.2154	0.2309	0.2183	0.01022	0.3598
γ/α	0.00786	0.001115	0.1315	0.0002241	0.00013	0.01207
$\arg(\beta/\alpha)/\pi$	0.9985	0.06929	1.0002	1.9289	0.01887	1.0296
$ g_2/g_1 $	0.1863	0.1603	0.2239	0.1582	0.1734	0.09765
$\arg(g_2/g_1)/\pi$	2.0000	0.6789	1.5045	1.3016	0.0618	0.6328
$\alpha v_d/{ m MeV}$	6.3649	84.0053	137.6646	83.5208	89.8671	7.6514

(Table continued)

Model	\mathcal{L}_{22}	\mathcal{L}_{94}	\mathcal{L}_{95}	\mathcal{L}_{99}	\mathcal{L}_{100}	\mathcal{L}_{134}
$(q_1^2 v_u^2 / \Lambda) / \text{meV}$	2.4388	3.5208	19.4457	3.5300	63.2376	4.6820
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	0.02218	0.02255	0.02219	0.02208	0.02214	0.02222
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	0.3049	0.2975	0.3040	0.3003	0.3036	0.3031
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	0.5139	0.5687	0.5730	0.5768	0.5261	0.5806
δ_{CP}/π	1.3747	1.3886	1.8457	1.4930	1.9651	1.5105
α_{21}/π	1.4775	0.2152	0.6612	0.2212	1.3186	0.6445
α_{31}/π	0.6216	0.9762	0.5052	1.3185	0.3786	1.4878
m_1/meV	30.5138	53.9796	27.9151	52.7775	72.9690	103.2841
m_2/meV	31.7063	54.6626	29.2139	53.4758	73.4756	103.6427
m_3/meV	58.7318	73.9091	57.4135	72.2129	88.5617	114.8190
$\sum_i m_i / \text{meV}$	120.9519	182.5514	114.5425	178.4662	235.0063	321.7459
m_{β}/meV	31.7657	54.7069	29.2773	53.4873	73.5001	103.6605
$m_{\beta\beta}/\mathrm{meV}$	23.4781	51.9764	16.0374	50.2940	41.6132	64.6318
Model	\mathcal{L}_{105}	Model		\mathcal{L}_{210}	Model	\mathcal{L}_{310}
$Re(\tau)$	0.4962	$\operatorname{Re}(\tau)$		-0.1451	$\operatorname{Re}(\tau)$	-0.1214
$Im(\tau)$	0.9177	$\operatorname{Im}(\tau)$		1.3560	$\operatorname{Im}(\tau)$	1.3386
β/α	0.2504	β/α		6.9431	$ \beta/\alpha $	0.6978
$ \gamma/\alpha $	0.1404	γ/α		43.2744	γ/α	53.2957
$\arg(\gamma/\alpha)/\pi$	1.2437×10^{-6}	$ \delta/lpha $		48.3050	$\arg(\beta/\alpha)/\pi$	1.0011
$ g_2/g_1 $	0.2464	$\arg(\delta/\alpha)$	/π	0.2958	$ \Lambda_2/\Lambda_1 $	0.4808
$\arg(g_2/g_1)/\pi$	0.5020	$ \Lambda_2/\Lambda_1 $		1.5523	$\arg(\Lambda_2/\Lambda_1)/\pi$	0.04589
$\alpha v_d/{\rm MeV}$	81.9172	$\arg(\Lambda_2/\Lambda_2)$	$(\Lambda_1)/\pi$	0.09099	$\alpha v_d/{ m MeV}$	3.6866
$(g_1^2 v_u^2/\Lambda)/\text{meV}$	31.1447	$\alpha v_d/MeV$	V	0.2300	$(g^2 v_u^2/\Lambda_1)/\text{meV}$	53.3074
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	0.02266	$(g^2 v_u^2 / \Lambda_1)$)/meV	486.6774	$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	0.02219
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	0.3036	$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$		0.02219	$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	0.3040
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	0.5898	$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$		0.3040	$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	0.5729
δ_{CP}/π	1.4790	$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$		0.5730	δ_{CP}/π	1.6779
α_{21}/π	1.9410	δ_{CP}/π		1.0114	ϕ/π	0.02598
α_{31}/π	0.9605	ϕ/π		1.9742	m_1/meV	0
m_1/meV	71.9302	m_1/meV		0	m_2/meV	8.6139
m_2/meV	72.4442	m_2/meV		8.6139	m_3/meV	50.1703
m_3/meV	87.5970	m_3/meV		50.1696	$\sum_{i} m_i / \text{meV}$	58.7842
$\sum_{i} m_i / \text{meV}$	231.9714	$\sum_{i}^{j} m_i / m_i$	neV	58.7835	m_{β}/meV	8.8266
m_{β}/meV	72.4748	$\overline{m_{\beta}}/\mathrm{meV}$		8.8266	$m_{\beta\beta}/\text{meV}$	2.3928
$m_{\beta\beta}/\mathrm{meV}$	72.1775	$m_{\beta\beta}/\mathrm{meV}$	1	3.6738		

TABLE VI. (Continued)

which are in the experimentally preferred 3σ ranges. It is remarkable that the light neutrino mass matrix only depends on the complex modulus τ besides the overall scale gv_u^2/Λ , and the parameters in the charged lepton superpotential are almost of the same order of magnitude to reproduce the hierarchical masses of charged leptons.

Furthermore, we notice that the predictions for the *CP* phases δ_{CP} , α_{21} and α_{31} (ϕ for $m_1 = 0$) scatter in wide ranges. The future long baseline neutrino experiments

DUNE and Hyper-Kamiokande, if running in both neutrino and antineutrino modes, will allow for a measurement of the Dirac *CP* phase with a certain precision and have thus the potential to rule out some of our model. The Majorana phase could be probed or at least constrained by neutrinoless double beta decay $(0\nu\beta\beta)$ experiments. The dependence of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay amplitude on the mixing parameters enter through the effective Majorana neutrino mass $m_{\beta\beta}$ with

$$m_{\beta\beta} = |m_1 \cos^2 \theta_{12} \cos^2 \theta_{13} + m_2 \sin^2 \theta_{12} \cos^2 \theta_{13} e^{i\alpha_{21}} + m_3 \sin^2 \theta_{13} e^{i(\alpha_{31} - 2\delta_{CP})}|,$$
(67)

which involves all mixing parameters except θ_{23} . If the lightest neutrino is massless, $m_{\beta\beta}$ takes a simpler form,

TABLE VII. The best fit values of the free parameters and the corresponding predictions for lepton mixing parameters and neutrino masses for the phenomenologically viable lepton models \mathcal{L}_{66} , \mathcal{L}_{71} , \mathcal{L}_{76} , \mathcal{L}_{108} , \mathcal{L}_{113} , \mathcal{L}_{118} , and \mathcal{L}_{654} . We only show the results for NO neutrino masses, similar results can be obtained for IO.

Model	\mathcal{L}_{66}	\mathcal{L}_{71}	\mathcal{L}_{76}	\mathcal{L}_{108}	\mathcal{L}_{113}	\mathcal{L}_{118}	Model	\mathcal{L}_{654}
$\operatorname{Re}(\tau)$	0.4294	-0.261	0.4294	-0.1348	-0.2062	-0.1348	$\operatorname{Re}(\tau)$	0.0007672
$\operatorname{Im}(\tau)$	0.9908	1.1280	0.9908	1.3023	0.9786	1.3023	$\operatorname{Im}(\tau)$	1.0033
$ \beta/\alpha $	0.5076	0.3257	0.5075	5.2661	0.2303	5.2670	β/α	1.5040
$ \gamma/\alpha $	0.7506	1.8503	0.7504	4.4250	1.8075	4.4257	$ \Lambda_1/\Lambda_2 $	0.0005601
$\delta/lpha$	0.009538	1.8444	0.0004353	0.01518	2.4523	0.004519	$ \Lambda_1/\Lambda_3 $	0.0007015
$\arg(\beta/\alpha)/\pi$	-0.9523	0.9492	-0.9525	1.8857	1.0011	1.8858	$\arg(\Lambda_1/\Lambda_2)/\pi$	0.9717
$\arg(\gamma/\alpha)/\pi$	-0.951	-0.1346	-0.9512	1.8899	0.00007229	1.8899	$\arg(\Lambda_1/\Lambda_3)/\pi$	-0.1754
$\alpha v_d/MeV$	13.6924	5.3249	13.6953	5.8555	6.9077	5.8545	αv_d /MeV	29.9295
$(g^2 v_u^2/\Lambda)/\mathrm{meV}$	452.8878	318.7155	452.9001	310.6406	299.6404	310.6424	$(v_u^2/\Lambda_1)/\text{meV}$	89.3207
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	0.0222	0.02213	0.0222	0.0222	0.02265	0.0222	$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	0.02211
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	0.3057	0.3086	0.3057	0.3072	0.2906	0.3072	$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	0.303
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	0.5731	0.5738	0.573	0.5324	0.5525	0.5324	$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	0.5191
δ_{CP}/π	1.0015	1.5379	1.0016	1.0370	1.0001	1.0369	δ_{CP}/π	1.7986
α_{21}/π	1.1130	1.4056	1.1130	1.1604	0.9998	1.1604	α_{21}/π	0.1196
α_{31}/π	0.4217	0.212	0.4219	0.5879	1.9994	0.5879	α_{31}/π	0.221
m_1/meV	14.8481	10.9731	14.8485	11.7901	8.7593	11.7902	m_1/meV	159.9097
m_2/meV	17.1658	13.9502	17.1662	14.6016	12.2852	14.6017	m_2/meV	160.1415
m_3 /meV	52.2585	51.3274	52.2591	51.8982	51.3966	51.8987	m_3 /meV	167.5600
$\sum_i m_i / \text{meV}$	84.2725	76.2507	84.2737	78.2899	72.4411	78.2906	$\sum_i m_i / \text{meV}$	487.6113
m_{β}/meV	17.2737	14.0865	17.2741	14.7647	12.4857	14.7648	m_{β}/meV	160.1515
$m_{\beta\beta}/\mathrm{meV}$	5.6017	6.8406	5.6022	4.2079	3.7470	4.2073	$m_{\beta\beta}/\mathrm{meV}$	153.1525

$$m_{\beta\beta} = \begin{cases} |m_2 \sin^2 \theta_{12} \cos^2 \theta_{13} e^{i\phi} + m_3 \sin^2 \theta_{13} e^{-i2\delta_{CP}}|, & m_1 = 0, \\ |m_1 \cos^2 \theta_{12} \cos^2 \theta_{13} + m_2 \sin^2 \theta_{12} \cos^2 \theta_{13} e^{i\phi}|, & m_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$
(68)

The most stringent bound on the effective Majorana neutrino mass is $m_{\beta\beta} < (61 \sim 165)$ meV from Kam-LAND-Zen [75]. We see that these viable models predict $m_{\beta\beta} < 80$ meV except the model \mathcal{L}_{654} which gives $m_{\beta\beta} = 153.1525$ meV. All these values of the effective Majorana neutrino mass $m_{\beta\beta}$ are below the upper bound of

KamLAND-Zen. In the two right-handed neutrino models, $m_{\beta\beta}$ is determined to be few meV and it is far below the sensitivity of future $0\nu\beta\beta$ experiments. It is well-known that neutrino masses can be directly probed by kinematic studies of weak-interaction processes such as β decay of tritium, and the effective neutrino mass measured in beta decay is

$$m_{\beta} = \sqrt{m_1^2 \cos^2 \theta_{12} \cos^2 \theta_{13} + m_2^2 \sin^2 \theta_{12} \cos^2 \theta_{13} + m_3^2 \sin^2 \theta_{13}},$$
(69)

which is independent of *CP* phases. The latest bound on m_{β} is $m_{\beta} < 1.1$ eV at 90% confidence level from KATRIN [76], and the sensitivity on m_{β} is expected to be improved by one order of magnitude down to 0.2 eV. We see that the KATRIN bound $m_{\beta} < 1.1$ eV is safely fulfilled in all these 25 models.

D. Lepton models with gCP

As shown in Sec. III, the generalized *CP* symmetry enforces all coupling constants to be real in our working basis. In other words, *CP* invariance requires the phases of coupling constants are equal to 0 or π . Thus the VEV of complex modulus τ would be the unique source of all *CP* violating phases. As a consequence, the free parameters of the 25 lepton models in Table IV are reduced by two after incorporating *CP* invariance. We find that only 6 models are excluded by the experimental data after gCP symmetry is imposed, and the remaining 19 models were still in good agreement with the experiments. The minimal models with gCP and A'_5 modular symmetry are \mathcal{L}_{22} , \mathcal{L}_{66} , \mathcal{L}_{94} , \mathcal{L}_{95} , \mathcal{L}_{99} , \mathcal{L}_{100} , \mathcal{L}_{108} , \mathcal{L}_{113} , and \mathcal{L}_{118} which use 7 real free parameters to describe the 12 observables including 3 charged lepton masses, 3 light neutrino masses, 3 lepton mixing angles and 3*CP* violation phases. The right-handed charged leptons transform as the direct sum of

doublet and singlet of A'_5 in all these minimal models. The numerical results are summarized in Tables VIII and IX, we see that the current bounds on both m_β and $m_{\beta\beta}$ are satisfied as well.

In the models \mathcal{L}_{66} , \mathcal{L}_{108} , \mathcal{L}_{113} , and \mathcal{L}_{118} , the charged lepton mass matrix depends on four coupling constants α , β , γ , and δ while the light neutrino mass matrix only depends on the modulus τ up to the overall scale $g^2 v_u^2 / \Lambda$ such that the neutrino mass ratios are completely

determined by the value of τ . For the models \mathcal{L}_{22} , \mathcal{L}_{94} , \mathcal{L}_{95} , \mathcal{L}_{99} , and \mathcal{L}_{100} , the charged lepton mass hierarchies rely on cancellation of the comparable α and β terms, this is a new feature in comparison with other modular models in the literature. It is notable that the VEVs of τ are very close to the *CP* conserved points $\text{Re}(\tau) = \pm 1/2$ in these models, and departure from $\text{Re}(\tau) = \pm 1/2$ leads to nontrivial *CP* violating phases. As regards the description of charged lepton masses, the model \mathcal{L}_{22} is superior to the others, since

TABLE VIII. The best fit values of the free parameters and the corresponding predictions for lepton mixing parameters and neutrino masses for the phenomenologically viable lepton models \mathcal{L}_2 , \mathcal{L}_3 , \mathcal{L}_9 , \mathcal{L}_{10} , \mathcal{L}_{22} , \mathcal{L}_{94} , \mathcal{L}_{95} , \mathcal{L}_{99} , \mathcal{L}_{100} , \mathcal{L}_{203} , \mathcal{L}_{208} , \mathcal{L}_{209} , \mathcal{L}_{210} , \mathcal{L}_{213} , and \mathcal{L}_{214} after *CP* invariance is incorporated. We only show the results for NO neutrino masses, similar results can be obtained for IO.

Model	\mathcal{L}_2	\mathcal{L}_3	\mathcal{L}_9	\mathcal{L}_{10}	\mathcal{L}_{203}	\mathcal{L}_{208}	\mathcal{L}_{209}	\mathcal{L}_{213}	\mathcal{L}_{214}
$Re(\tau)$	-0.2138	-0.3522	0.1065	-0.3594	0.1243	0.1652	-0.4151	-0.2433	-0.4476
$\operatorname{Im}(\tau)$	1.7278	1.1894	1.5264	0.9641	0.9922	0.9863	1.3481	1.2336	1.0189
β/α	10.9859	15.6084	29.5919	12.9879	19.7339	13.9606	6.3027	233.8248	5.4382
$\gamma/lpha$	17.4072	41.1052	418.1776	183.8761	144.6948	33.5498	63.8737	13.5794	69.6730
$\delta/lpha$	31.2268	-74.7463	202.3009	35.4668	245.0666	-118.8148	55.8680	3.9524	5.9153
g_2/g_1	-0.5546	0.2770	-0.1202	-0.1693	3.7779	0.1298	2.7708	-5.4091	-0.1275
$\alpha v_d/{ m MeV}$	0.4969	0.1067	0.2396	0.1714	0.04456	0.06268	0.2220	0.2418	0.4664
$(g_1^2 v_u^2/\Lambda)/\text{meV}$	0.2144	24.9728	2.2785	27.6420	0.0003282	0.3518	0.02329	0.002565	30.2048
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	0.02219	0.02219	0.02219	0.02219	0.02228	0.02222	0.02219	0.02219	0.02219
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	0.3040	0.3040	0.3040	0.3040	0.3004	0.3311	0.3040	0.3040	0.3040
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	0.5730	0.5730	0.5730	0.5730	0.5783	0.5277	0.5730	0.5730	0.5730
δ_{CP}/π	1.7651	1.5038	1.5499	1.4679	1.0000	2.0000	1.4054	1.2309	1.7173
α_{21}/π or ϕ/π	1.2072	1.6230	1.8248	1.8967	2.0000	0.	1.0998	0.3718	0.9520
α_{31}/π	1.1691	0.7682	0.05413	1.7955	_	_	_	_	_
m_1/meV	3.5140	7.9171	17.7241	21.1711	0	0	0	0	0
m_2/meV	9.3031	11.6996	19.7064	22.8564	8.6139	8.6139	8.6139	8.6139	8.6139
m_3/meV	50.2927	50.7906	53.2085	54.4539	50.1720	50.0307	50.1699	50.1699	50.1701
$\sum_i m_i / \text{meV}$	63.1098	70.4073	90.6391	98.4814	58.7859	58.6446	58.7838	58.7839	58.7840
$\overline{m_{\beta}}/\mathrm{meV}$	9.5004	11.8570	19.8003	22.9374	8.8248	8.9242	8.8266	8.8266	8.8266
$m_{\beta\beta}/\mathrm{meV}$	2.7678	8.5148	16.1483	19.8234	3.6479	3.9003	3.6433	1.6898	3.1280
Model	\mathcal{L}_{22}	\mathcal{L}_9	4	\mathcal{L}_{95}	\mathcal{L}_{99}	\mathcal{L}_{100}	Model		\mathcal{L}_{210}
$Re(\tau)$	-0.491	0.46	71	-0.4682	0.4672	0.4601	$\operatorname{Re}(\tau)$		0.1167
$Im(\tau)$	1.1952	2.75	28	0.8847	2.7493	0.8900	$\operatorname{Im}(\tau)$		1.3753
β/α	-1.4038	-0.2	449	0.01109	-0.2178	0.005634	β/α		6.4493
γ/α	0.00786	0.000	5718 (0.008922	0.0001079	0.0001036	γ/α		62.2329
g_2/g_1	0.1863	0.14	28	0.1713	0.1428	-0.1709	δ/α		65.1171
$\alpha v_d/MeV$	6.3631	157.9	035	89.2429	167.4996	92.0670	Λ_2/Λ_1		1.6840
$(q_1^2 v_u^2 / \Lambda) / \text{meV}$	2.4392	7.91	48	66.7014	7.8966	58.9994	$\alpha v_d/Me$	V	0.2222
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	0.0222	0.022	214	0.02218	0.02215	0.02216	$(q^2 v_u^2 / \Lambda)$	(1)/meV	531.2511
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	0.3045	0.30	39	0.3045	0.304	0.3043	$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	177	0.02219
$\sin^2 \theta_{22}$	0.5139	0.47	16	0.5336	0.4751	0.5239	$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$		0.3040
δ_{CP}/π	1.3742	1.49	22	1.7430	1.4925	1.4035	$\sin^2 \theta_{22}$		0.5730
α_{21}/π	1.4774	1.97	57	0.7991	1.9765	1.6386	δ_{CD}/π		1.7314
α_{21}/π	0.6209	0.98	33	1.6663	0.9839	0.7474	$\frac{\partial C_P}{\partial \pi}$		0.9433
m_1/meV	30.5220	96.40	560	75.2809	96.2227	64.9946	m_1/meV	7	0
m_2/meV	31.7142	96.84	498	75.7721	96.6074	65.5629	m_2/meV	7	8.6139
m_3/meV	58.7392	108.7	335	90.4731	108.5176	82.1105	m_3/meV	1	50.1698
$\sum_{i}^{j} m_i / \text{meV}$	120.9755	302.0	493 2	241.5261	301.3477	212.6681	$\sum_{i}^{j} m_i / r$	neV	58.7837
m_{β}/meV	31.7741	96.80	583	75.7967	96.6261	65.5910	m_{β}/meV	Ι	8.8266
$m_{\beta\beta}/\text{meV}$	23.4883	96.79	927	37.5455	96.5544	57.1477	$m_{\beta\beta}/\mathrm{me}$	V	3.0747

TABLE IX. The best fit values of the free parameters and the corresponding predictions for lepton mixing parameters and neutrino masses for the phenomenologically viable lepton models \mathcal{L}_{66} , \mathcal{L}_{108} , \mathcal{L}_{113} and \mathcal{L}_{118} after *CP* invariance is incorporated. We only show the results for NO neutrino masses, similar results can be obtained for IO.

Model	\mathcal{L}_{66}	\mathcal{L}_{108}	\mathcal{L}_{113}	\mathcal{L}_{118}
$\operatorname{Re}(\tau)$	-0.4473	-0.1018	0.2062	-0.1019
$Im(\tau)$	0.9923	1.3295	0.9785	1.3295
β/α	-0.4859	6.7614	-0.2299	6.7613
γ/α	-0.7149	5.6934	1.8047	5.6933
δ/α	0.01136	0.01965	2.4496	0.005307
$\alpha v_d/{ m MeV}$	14.4960	4.5445	6.9183	4.5446
$(g^2 v_u^2/\Lambda)/\text{meV}$	448.6740	315.4090	299.5957	315.4089
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	0.02238	0.02218	0.02261	0.02218
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	0.3238	0.3051	0.2903	0.3051
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	0.5918	0.5264	0.5521	0.5264
δ_{CP}/π	1.2289	1.2707	1.0000	1.2707
α_{21}/π	1.0148	1.2751	1.0000	1.2751
α_{31}/π	1.7057	0.5202	0.0000	0.5202
m_1/meV	14.7337	12.1497	8.7568	12.1496
m_2/meV	17.0670	14.8934	12.2834	14.8934
m_3/meV	51.3132	52.0157	51.3632	52.0157
$\sum_i m_i / \text{meV}$	83.1140	79.0588	72.4033	79.0588
$\overline{m_{\beta}}/\mathrm{meV}$	17.1650	15.0495	12.4761	15.0495
$m_{\beta\beta}/\mathrm{meV}$	3.6797	7.3807	3.7492	7.3807

the best fit value of the input parameter $\beta/\alpha = -1.4038$ is of order one. Moreover, the model \mathcal{L}_{22} predicts the neutrino mass sum $\sum_{i=1}^{3} m_i = 120.9755$ meV and the Dirac *CP* phase δ_{CP} around 1.5π . These predictions can be tested in future neutrino experiments.

In the following, we take the model \mathcal{L}_{22} as an example for illustration. The charged lepton mass term depends on three couplings α , β and γ while the neutrino superpotential depends on two coupling constants g_1 and g_2 besides the flavor scale Λ . Moreover, α , γ and g_1 can be taken real by field redefinition while the parameters β and g_2 are generically complex parameters without gCP and they become real once gCP is imposed. We use the parameter scan tool MultiNest [77,78] to efficiently explore the parameter space, and we use a χ^2 function defined as usual to serve as a test-statistic for the goodness-of-fit. The charged lepton masses, neutrino mass squared differences and the neutrino mixing angles are required to lie in 3σ regions. The correlations among input parameters and observables are plotted in Figure 1, where the green points and red points are for the scenarios without gCP and with gCP respectively. Obviously the allowed regions of the input parameters are reduced considerably after considering gCP, and accordingly the predictions for observables shrink to quite small regions.

V. UNIFIED DESCRIPTION OF ALL FERMIONS

As shown in previous section, one can construct predictive lepton models based on the homogeneous finite modular group A'_5 . In this section, we shall apply A'_5 to explain the quark masses and CKM mixing matrix. Analogous to what we have done for charged lepton sector in Sec. IVA, we can systematically analyze the possible quark models with A'_5 modular symmetry. We find that the measured quark masses and CKM mixing matrix can be explained in terms of a few free parameters if quark fields are embedded in doublet and singlet representations of A'_5 . We have made a systematic classification of quark models for this kind of quark arrangement and generalize the strategy of numerical analysis for leptons to the quark sector. The values of quark masses and CKM parameters are taken from [74],

$$\begin{split} m_u/m_c &= (1.9286 \pm 0.6017) \times 10^{-3}, \qquad m_c/m_t = (2.8213 \pm 0.1195) \times 10^{-3}, \\ m_d/m_s &= (5.0523 \pm 0.6191) \times 10^{-2}, \qquad m_s/m_b = (1.8241 \pm 0.1005) \times 10^{-2}, \\ m_t &= 87.4555 \text{ GeV}, \qquad m_b = 0.9682 \text{ GeV}, \qquad \delta^q_{CP}/\pi = 0.3845 \pm 0.0173, \\ \theta^q_{12} &= 0.22736 \pm 0.00073, \qquad \theta^q_{13} = 0.00349 \pm 0.00013, \qquad \theta^q_{23} = 0.04015 \pm 0.00064, \end{split}$$
(70)



FIG. 1. The predicted correlations among the input free parameters, neutrino mixing angles and *CP* violating phases in the lepton model \mathcal{L}_{22} with (red) and without (green) gCP symmetry.

which are calculated at the GUT scale with $\tan \beta = 10$ and the SUSY breaking scale $M_{\text{SUSY}} = 10$ TeV. In the end, we find four models out of 892 possibilities can describe the experimental data of quarks with 11 free parameters including $\text{Re}(\tau)$ and $\text{Im}(\tau)$. A systematic classification of the quark models as well as the numerical results are included in the Supplemental Material [72].

Furthermore, we combine the 25 viable lepton models collected in Table IV with the two candidate quark models

to give a unified description of quark and lepton in a single model. The crucial point is whether there exist common values of τ for which the experimental data of both quarks and leptons can be reproduced. It is remarkable that we really find a quark-lepton unification model: the lepton sector is the model \mathcal{L}_2 and the quark sector is \mathcal{Q}_3 which can be found in the supplementary material [72]. In the model \mathcal{Q}_3 , the quark fields and the Higgs fields transform under modular symmetry as follows,

$$\rho_Q = \mathbf{2}' \oplus \mathbf{1}, \qquad \rho_{U^c} = \rho_{D^c} = \mathbf{2}' \oplus \mathbf{1}, \qquad \rho_{H_u} = \rho_{H_d} = \mathbf{1}, \\
k_{Q_3} = k_{Q_D} - 5 = -k_{U_D^c} - 3 = -k_{U_3^c} = -k_{D_D^c} - 1 = -k_{D_3^c}, \qquad k_{H_u} = k_{H_d} = 0,$$
(71)

where we denote $Q_D \equiv (Q_1, Q_2)^T$, $U_D^c \equiv (U_1^c, U_2^c)^T$, $D_D^c \equiv (D_1^c, D_2^c)^T$, and the modular weight k_Q is a general integer. Thus the modular invariant superpotentials of quark sector are given by

$$\mathcal{W}_{u} = \alpha_{u} (Y_{\mathbf{3}'}^{(2)} U_{D}^{c} Q_{D} H_{u})_{\mathbf{1}} + \beta_{u} (Y_{\mathbf{2}'}^{(5)} U_{3}^{c} Q_{D} H_{u})_{\mathbf{1}} + \gamma_{u} (U_{3}^{c} Q_{3} H_{u})_{\mathbf{1}},$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{d} = \alpha_{d} (Y_{\mathbf{1}}^{(4)} D_{D}^{c} Q_{D} H_{d})_{\mathbf{1}} + \beta_{d} (Y_{\mathbf{3}'}^{(4)} D_{D}^{c} Q_{D} H_{d})_{\mathbf{1}} + \gamma_{d} (Y_{\mathbf{2}'}^{(5)} D_{3}^{c} Q_{D} H_{d})_{\mathbf{1}} + \delta_{d} (D_{3}^{c} Q_{3} H_{d})_{\mathbf{1}}.$$
(72)

The phases of α_u , β_u , γ_u , α_d , and γ_d are unphysical and they can be absorbed by quark fields, nevertheless the phases of β_d and δ_d can not be removed by field redefinition. The corresponding up and down quark masses matrices can be written as

$$M_{u} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{2}\alpha_{u}Y_{\mathbf{3}',3}^{(2)} & \alpha_{u}Y_{\mathbf{3}',1}^{(2)} & 0\\ \alpha_{u}Y_{\mathbf{3}',1}^{(2)} & \sqrt{2}\alpha_{u}Y_{\mathbf{3}',2}^{(2)} & 0\\ -\beta_{u}Y_{\mathbf{2}',2}^{(5)} & \beta_{u}Y_{\mathbf{2}',1}^{(5)} & \gamma_{u} \end{pmatrix} v_{u}, \qquad M_{d} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{2}\beta_{d}Y_{\mathbf{3}',3}^{(4)} & \beta_{d}Y_{\mathbf{3}',1}^{(4)} - \alpha_{d}Y_{\mathbf{1},1}^{(4)} & 0\\ \alpha_{d}Y_{\mathbf{1},1}^{(4)} + \beta_{d}Y_{\mathbf{3}',1}^{(4)} & \sqrt{2}\beta_{d}Y_{\mathbf{3}',2}^{(4)} & 0\\ -\gamma_{d}Y_{\mathbf{2}',2}^{(5)} & \gamma_{d}Y_{\mathbf{2}',1}^{(5)} & \delta_{d} \end{pmatrix} v_{d}.$$
(73)

Notice that both (13) and (23) entries of M_u and M_d vanish exactly. This model uses 15 real dimensionless parameters to describe the mixing angles, *CP* violation phases and the masses ratios of both quarks and leptons. The 4 overall scale factors αv_d , $g_1 v_u^2 / \Lambda$, $\alpha_u v_u$ and $\alpha_d v_d$ give the absolute masses scale of the charged leptons, neutrinos, up quarks and down quarks. By scanning the parameter space of the complete model, we identify the best fit point of the input parameters,

$$\langle \tau \rangle = -0.499996 + 0.894402i, \qquad \beta/\alpha = 24.5400, \qquad \gamma/\alpha = 654.9320, \qquad \delta/\alpha = 100.8429e^{4.3652i}, \\ g_2/g_1 = 0.3818e^{5.5110i}, \qquad \beta_u/\alpha_u = 32.6296, \qquad \gamma_u/\alpha_u = 11.1981, \qquad \beta_d/\alpha_d = 6.3229e^{0.00068i}, \\ \gamma_d/\alpha_d = 1.7462, \qquad \delta_d/\alpha_d = 1.9895e^{5.4463i}, \qquad \alpha v_d = 0.04361 \text{ MeV}, \qquad g_1 v_u^2/\Lambda = 1.0895 \text{ meV}, \\ \alpha_u v_u = 0.03432 \text{ GeV}, \qquad \alpha_d v_d = 0.00243 \text{ GeV}.$$
(74)

The corresponding predictions for masses and mixing parameters of quarks and leptons are determined to be

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 \theta_{13}^l &= 0.02231, \qquad \sin^2 \theta_{12}^l &= 0.3019, \qquad \sin^2 \theta_{23}^l &= 0.4570, \qquad \delta_{CP}^l &= 1.1671\pi, \\ \alpha_{21} &= 1.3593\pi, \qquad \alpha_{31} &= 0.4762\pi, \qquad m_e/m_\mu &= 0.00474, \qquad m_\mu/m_\tau &= 0.05857, \\ m_1 &= 77.2709 \text{ meV}, \qquad m_2 &= 77.7495 \text{ meV}, \qquad m_3 &= 92.1717 \text{ meV}, \\ \sum_i m_i &= 247.1921 \text{ meV}, \qquad m_\beta &= 77.7755 \text{ meV}, \qquad m_{\beta\beta} &= 48.9783 \text{ meV}, \\ \theta_{13}^q &= 0.003498, \qquad \theta_{12}^q &= 0.22764, \qquad \theta_{23}^q &= 0.04023, \qquad \delta_{CP}^q &= 69.1740^\circ, \\ m_u/m_c &= 0.00021, \qquad m_c/m_t &= 0.00282, \qquad m_d/m_s &= 0.03457, \qquad m_s/m_b &= 0.01769, \end{aligned}$$
(75)

which are in the experimentally preferred 3σ ranges. It is interesting to note that the common value of τ is very close to the fixed point $\tau_{ST} = e^{2\pi i/3}$ which preserves a Z_3^{ST} residual symmetry.

VI. EXTENSION TO RATIONAL WEIGHT MODULAR FORMS AT LEVEL 5

The modular weights could be rational numbers, as shown in the string construction [11,79,80]. It is interesting to study the fractional weight modular forms from the bottom-up modular invariance approach. To discuss rational weight modular forms, it is convenient to consider the metaplectic cover of the modular group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ [58]. For the concerned case, we should consider the 5-fold covering of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and it is denoted as $\tilde{\Gamma}$ with

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = \left\{ \tilde{\gamma} = (\gamma, \phi(\gamma, \tau)) | \gamma \in \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}), \\ \phi(\gamma, \tau)^5 = (c\tau + d) \right\}.$$
(76)

The action of $\tilde{\gamma}$ on τ is the same as that of γ , i.e., $\tilde{\gamma} \tau = \gamma \tau$. The group multiplication of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is defined as

$$(\gamma_1, \phi_1(\gamma_1, \tau))(\gamma_2, \phi_2(\gamma_2, \tau)) = (\gamma_1 \gamma_2, \phi_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \tau) \phi_2(\gamma_2, \tau)),$$
(77)

where $\phi_1 = \epsilon_1 (c\tau + d)^{1/5}$, $\phi_2 = \epsilon_2 (c\tau + d)^{1/5}$ and $\epsilon_{1,2} \in \{1, \omega_5, \omega_5^2, \omega_5^3, \omega_5^4\}$. The principal branch of the quintic root is chosen here. Obviously each element $\gamma \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ corresponds to five element $\tilde{\gamma} = (\gamma, \omega_5^j (c\tau + d)^{1/5})$ of the metaplectic group $\tilde{\Gamma}$ with $\omega_5 = e^{2\pi i/5}$ and j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. The group $\tilde{\Gamma}$ can be generated by

$$\tilde{S} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \omega_5^2(-\tau)^{1/5} \right), \quad \tilde{T} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right),$$
(78)

where $(-\tau)^{1/5}$ denotes the principal branch of quintic root, possessing positive real part. It follows that

$$\tilde{S}^2 = \tilde{R}, \qquad \tilde{R}^{10} = 1, \qquad (\tilde{S}\,\tilde{T})^3 = 1, \qquad (79)$$

with

$$\tilde{R} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, -\omega_5 \right).$$
(80)

Therefore the elements \tilde{S} and $\tilde{S}\tilde{T}$ are of orders 20 and 3 respectively while \tilde{T} is of infinite order. Obviously the element \tilde{R} generates the center of $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$. The metaplectic principal congruence subgroup at level 5 is defined as

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(5) = \{ \tilde{h} = (h, v_5(h)J_{1/5}(h, \tau)) | h \in \Gamma(5) \}, \quad (81)$$

where $J_{1/5}(h, \tau) = (c\tau + d)^{1/5}$, $v_5(h)$ is the multiplier of the weight 1/5 modular forms³ for $\Gamma(5)$, and the identity $v_5^5(h) = 1$ is fulfilled for all $h \in \Gamma(5)$. The explicit expression of $v_5(h)$ has been given in [58,68], it is too lengthy to present here. It is obvious that $\tilde{\Gamma}(5)$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(5)$, and it is a normal subgroup of $\tilde{\Gamma}$. In particular, the element \tilde{T}^5 belongs to $\tilde{\Gamma}(5)$. Taking the group quotient, we can obtain the finite metaplectic group $\tilde{\Gamma}_5 = \tilde{\Gamma}/\Gamma(5) \cong A'_5 \times Z_5$ which can be obtained by imposing another condition $\tilde{T}^5 = 1$. Thus the generator relations of $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$ are

$$\tilde{S}^2 = \tilde{R}, \quad \tilde{R}^{10} = 1, \quad (\tilde{S}\,\tilde{T})^3 = \tilde{T}^5 = 1, \quad \tilde{R}\,\tilde{T} = \tilde{T}\,\tilde{R}\,.$$
(82)

The group ID of $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$ in GAP system is [600, 54], and it is isomorphic to the direct product of A'_5 and Z_5 , i.e., $\tilde{\Gamma}_5 \cong A'_5 \times Z_5$. Hence it is more convenient to choose another set of generators *S*, *T* and *U* which obey the relations

$$S^{2} = R, \quad (ST)^{3} = T^{5} = R^{2} = 1, \quad U^{5} = 1,$$

 $US = SU, \quad UT = TU.$ (83)

Notice that the generators *S* and *T* generate a A'_5 subgroup, and *U* generates a Z_5 subgroup. The generators *S*, *T*, and *U* are related to \tilde{S} , \tilde{T} as follows

$$S = \tilde{R}^2 \tilde{S} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \omega_5^4 (-\tau)^{1/5} \right),$$
$$T = \tilde{R}^{-2} \tilde{T} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \omega_5^3 \right),$$
$$U = \tilde{S}^{12} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \omega_5 \right),$$
(84)

³The weight 1/5 modular forms for $\Gamma(5)$ are holomorphic functions satisfying $f(\gamma \tau) = v_5(\gamma)(c\tau + d)^{1/5}f(\tau)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma(5)$.

and vice versa

$$\tilde{S} = U^{-2}S, \qquad \tilde{T} = U^2T, \qquad \tilde{R} = UR.$$
 (85)

The irreducible representations of $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$ can be obtained from the tensor products of the irreducible representations of A'_5 and Z_5^U where the superscript U denotes the generator of the Z_5 subgroup. The irreducible representations of A'_5 are summarized in Table XI, and Abelian subgroup Z_5^U has five one-dimensional irreducible representations and the generator U is represented by ω_5^j with j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. We shall denote the irreducible representation of $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$ as \mathbf{r}^j which is the tensor product of the A'_5 irreducible representation \mathbf{r} with the Z_5^U representation ω_5^j . The generators S, T and Uare represented by

$$\mathbf{r}^{j}: \ \rho_{\mathbf{r}^{j}}(S) = \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(S), \quad \rho_{\mathbf{r}^{j}}(T) = \rho_{\mathbf{r}}(T), \quad \rho_{\mathbf{r}^{j}}(U) = \omega_{5}^{j},$$
(86)

where $\rho_{\mathbf{r}}$ is the representation matrix of the A'_5 irreducible representation \mathbf{r} as shown in Appendix A. The Kronecker products of $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$ can be easily obtained from those of A'_5 given in Eq. (A12) by including an extra index *j* for each A'_5 representation. For instance, we have $\mathbf{2}^3 \otimes \mathbf{3}^4 = \mathbf{2}^2 \oplus \mathbf{4}'^2$, and the corresponding Clebsch-Gordan coefficients remains the same as those of A'_5 , which are listed in the Appendix A.

It has been shown that the modular forms of weight k/5 (k: non-negative integers) can be constructed for the principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(5)$ [68], and a multiplier $v_5(\gamma)$ is necessary so that $v(\gamma)(c\tau + d)^{k/5}$ is the correct automorphy factor satisfying the cocycle relation. The graded ring of modular forms of weight k/5 at level 5 can be generated by two algebraically independent weight 1/5 modular forms [68]:

$$\mathcal{M}(\Gamma(5)) = \mathbb{C}[f_1(\tau), f_2(\tau)], \tag{87}$$

with

$$f_1(\tau) = \frac{\theta_{(\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{2})}(5\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^{3/5}}, \qquad f_2(\tau) = \frac{\theta_{(\frac{3}{10}, \frac{1}{2})}(5\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^{3/5}}.$$
 (88)

Notice that the theta constant is defined as [68]

$$\theta_{(m',m'')}(\tau) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i [\frac{1}{2}(m+m')^2 \tau + (m+m')m'']}, \qquad (89)$$

and $\eta(\tau)$ is the well-known Dedekind eta function given in Eq. (B2). Hence the linear space of the modular forms of weight k/5 and level 5 has dimension k + 1, and the linear independent basis vectors are k + 1 polynomials of f_1 and f_2 with degree k. Under the action of the generators S and T of the modular group, f_1 and f_2 transform as [68]

$$f_{1}(\tau) \xrightarrow{s} f_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \omega_{5}^{2}(-\tau)^{1/5} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} [(\omega_{5}^{3} - \omega_{5})f_{1}(\tau) + (\omega_{5}^{2} - \omega_{5})f_{2}(\tau)],$$

$$f_{2}(\tau) \xrightarrow{s} f_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \omega_{5}^{2}(-\tau)^{1/5} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} [(\omega_{5}^{3} - \omega_{5}^{2})f_{1}(\tau) + (\omega_{5} - \omega_{5}^{3})f_{2}(\tau)],$$

$$f_{1}(\tau) \xrightarrow{T} f_{1}(\tau + 1) = f_{1}(\tau), \qquad f_{2}(\tau) \xrightarrow{T} f_{2}(\tau + 1) = \omega_{5}f_{2}(\tau).$$
(90)

Analogous to the half-integral weight modular forms [58], the modular forms of weight r = k/5 and level 5 can be arranged into different irreducible representations $Y_{\mathbf{r}^{j}}^{(r)}(\tau)$ of the finite metaplectic group $\tilde{\Gamma}_{5}$. The modular multiplet $Y_{\mathbf{r}^{j}}^{(r)}(\tau)$ transform under $\tilde{\Gamma}_{5} = \tilde{\Gamma}/\tilde{\Gamma}(5)$ as

$$Y_{\mathbf{r}^{j}}^{(r)}(\tilde{\gamma}\tau) = \phi^{5r}(\gamma,\tau)\rho_{\mathbf{r}^{j}}(\tilde{\gamma})Y_{\mathbf{r}^{j}}^{(r)}(\tau),$$
(91)

where $\tilde{\gamma}$ stands for a representative element of $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$. Applying Eq. (91) to the element $\tilde{\gamma} = U$, we find that the constraint $5r + j = 0 \pmod{5}$ should be fulfilled. In the group representation basis chosen above, the two weight 1/5 modular forms can be arranged into a $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$ doublet 2^4 as follows,

$$Y_{2^{4}}^{(\frac{1}{5})}(\tau) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} F_{1}(\tau) \\ F_{2}(\tau) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad F_{1}(\tau) = e^{-\frac{\pi i}{10}} f_{1}(\tau), \qquad F_{2}(\tau) = e^{-\frac{3\pi i}{10}} f_{2}(\tau).$$
(92)

The q-series expressions of $F_1(\tau)$ and $F_2(\tau)$ are given by

$$F_1(\tau)\eta(\tau)^{3/5} = q^{1/40} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^m q^{(5m^2 + m)/2}, \qquad F_2(\tau)\eta(\tau)^{3/5} = q^{9/40} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^m q^{(5m^2 + 3m)/2}, \tag{93}$$

with $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$. Using the transformation rules in Eq. (90), we find that $Y_{2^4}^{(\frac{1}{5})}(\tau)$ really transforms in the two-dimensional representation 2^4 of $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$,

$$Y_{2^{4}}^{(\frac{1}{5})}(\tau) \xrightarrow{S} Y_{2^{4}}^{(\frac{1}{5})}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \omega_{5}^{4}(-\tau)^{1/5}\rho_{2^{4}}(S)Y_{2^{4}}^{(\frac{1}{5})}(\tau),$$

$$Y_{2^{4}}^{(\frac{1}{5})}(\tau) \xrightarrow{T} Y_{2^{4}}^{(\frac{1}{5})}(\tau+1) = \omega_{5}^{3}\rho_{2^{4}}(T)Y_{2^{4}}^{(\frac{1}{5})}(\tau),$$
(94)

and it is invariant under the generator *U*. Using the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$, we can construct the higher rational weight modular forms through the tensor products of $Y_{2^4}^{(\frac{1}{2})}(\tau)$. At weight r = 2/5, we have

$$Y_{\mathbf{3}^{3}}^{(\frac{2}{5})} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(Y_{\mathbf{2}^{4}}^{(\frac{1}{5})} Y_{\mathbf{2}^{4}}^{(\frac{1}{5})} \right)_{\mathbf{3}^{3}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}F_{1}F_{2} \\ -F_{2}^{2} \\ F_{1}^{2} \end{pmatrix},$$
(95)

where an overall constant $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ is multiplied to make the resulting expression relatively simple. Note that another contraction $(Y_{2^4}^{(\frac{1}{5})}Y_{2^4}^{(\frac{1}{5})})_{1^3} = 0$ because of the antisymmetric Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. In exactly the same fashion, other rational weight modular forms can be obtained, and we present linearly independent rational weight modular forms up to weight 1:

$$r = 3/5 \colon Y_{4^{\prime 2}}^{\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(Y_{2^4}^{\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)} Y_{3^3}^{\left(\frac{2}{5}\right)}\right)_{4^{\prime 2}} = \begin{pmatrix} F_1^3 \\ -\sqrt{3}F_1^2 F_2 \\ \sqrt{3}F_1 F_2^2 \\ F_2^3 \end{pmatrix},\tag{96}$$

$$r = 4/5: Y_{\mathbf{5}^{1}}^{(\frac{4}{5})} = \frac{1}{2} (Y_{\mathbf{2}^{4}}^{(\frac{1}{5})} Y_{\mathbf{4}^{2}}^{(\frac{3}{5})})_{\mathbf{5}^{1}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{6}F_{1}^{2}F_{2}^{2} \\ 2F_{1}F_{2}^{3} \\ F_{2}^{4} \\ F_{1}^{4} \\ -2F_{1}^{3}F_{2} \end{pmatrix},$$
(97)
$$r = 1: Y_{\mathbf{6}^{0}}^{(1)} = -(Y_{\mathbf{2}^{4}}^{(\frac{1}{5})} Y_{\mathbf{5}^{1}}^{(\frac{4}{5})})_{\mathbf{6}^{0}} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{1}^{5} + 2F_{2}^{5} \\ 2F_{1}^{5} - F_{2}^{5} \\ 5F_{1}^{4}F_{2} \\ 5\sqrt{2}F_{1}^{3}F_{2}^{2} \\ -5\sqrt{2}F_{1}^{2}F_{2}^{3} \\ 5F_{1}F_{2}^{4} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(98)

From Eqs. (93), (B2), we can read out the q-expansion of the $Y_{6^0}^{(1)}$ as follow,

$$Y_{\mathbf{6}^{0}}^{(1)}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 1+5q+10q^{3}-5q^{4}+5q^{5}+\cdots\\ 2+5q+10q^{2}+5q^{4}+5q^{5}+\cdots\\ 5q^{1/5}(1+2q+2q^{2}+q^{3}+2q^{4}+2q^{5}+\cdots)\\ 5\sqrt{2}q^{2/5}(1+q+q^{2}+q^{3}+2q^{4}+q^{6}+\cdots)\\ -5\sqrt{2}q^{3/5}(1+q^{2}+q^{3}+q^{4}-q^{5}+\cdots)\\ 5q^{4/5}(1-q+2q^{2}+2q^{6}-2q^{7}+\cdots) \end{pmatrix},$$
(99)

which is exactly identical with the *q*-expansion expressions of weight 1 modular form obtained from the Dedekind eta function and Klein forms in Eq. (B10). Furthermore, comparing Eq. (98) with Eq. (B8), we find that theta constants and the Klein forms are closely related as follows

$$\theta_{(\frac{1}{10},\frac{1}{2})}(\tau) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{10}} \eta^3(\tau) \mathbf{f}_{\frac{2}{5},0}(\tau), \qquad \theta_{(\frac{3}{10},\frac{1}{2})}(\tau) = e^{\frac{3\pi i}{10}} \eta^3(\tau) \mathbf{f}_{\frac{1}{5},0}(\tau). \tag{100}$$

These two identities can be proved by using the famous Jacobi triple product identity as shown in Appendix C. As a consequence, the basis vectors $e_{1,2,3,4,5,6}(\tau)$ of weight 1 modular form at level 5 are polynomials of degree 5 in $F_1(\tau)$ and $F_2(\tau)$,

$$e_{1}(\tau) = F_{1}^{5}(\tau), \qquad e_{2}(\tau) = F_{1}^{4}(\tau)F_{2}(\tau), \qquad e_{3}(\tau) = F_{1}^{3}(\tau)F_{2}^{2}(\tau), e_{4}(\tau) = F_{1}^{2}(\tau)F_{2}^{3}(\tau), \qquad e_{5}(\tau) = F_{1}(\tau)F_{2}^{4}(\tau), \qquad e_{6}(\tau) = F_{2}^{5}(\tau).$$
(101)

Because the two weight 1/5 modular forms $F_1(\tau)$ and $F_2(\tau)$ are algebraically independent, the use of $F_1(\tau)$ and $F_2(\tau)$ considerably simplifies the process of finding linearly independent modular multiplets, and it is not necessary to examine the constraints relating redundant higher weight multiplets when constructing modular forms in terms of $F_1(\tau)$ and $F_2(\tau)$. For instance, it is easy to check that the constraints Eqs. (19), (20) for the weight 1 modular forms are now trivially fulfilled.

A. A lepton model with rational weight modular forms at level 5

All A'_5 modular models, in particular the phenomenologically viable models discussed in sections IV and V, can be reproduced from the $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$ modular symmetry if the modular weights of both matter fields and modular forms are integral. Obviously the metaplectic covering group $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$ has more richer structure of modular forms which can be utilized to explain the flavor structure of lepton and quark in the bottom-up modular invariance approach. In a similar fashion as we have done for the A'_5 modular symmetry, one can systematically classify the modular invariant lepton and quark models based on $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$. As an example, we present a benchmark lepton model which involves rational weight modular forms at level 5.

In this model, the neutrino masses arises from the type-I seesaw mechanism. The three generations of left-handed

lepton *L* are assumed to transform as a triplet $\mathbf{3}^3$ under $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$, the right-handed charged leptons $E_{1,2,3}^c$ are assigned to be $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$ singlets $\mathbf{1}^2$, $\mathbf{1}^4$, and $\mathbf{1}^4$ respectively. Three right-handed neutrinos are introduced, and they transform as a triplet $\mathbf{3}'^0$. We summarize the modular transformation properties and weights assignment for the lepton and Higgs superfields as follow,⁴

$$\rho_L = \mathbf{3}^3, \qquad \rho_{E_{1,2,3}^c} = \mathbf{1}^2 \oplus \mathbf{1}^4 \oplus \mathbf{1}^4, \qquad \rho_{N^c} = \mathbf{3}^{\prime 0}, \qquad \rho_{H_u} = \rho_{H_d} = \mathbf{1}^0,$$

$$k_L = \frac{3}{5}, \qquad k_{E_1^c} = \frac{7}{5}, \qquad k_{E_2^c} = -\frac{1}{5}, \qquad k_{E_3^c} = \frac{9}{5}, \qquad k_{N^c} = 1, \qquad k_{H_u} = k_{H_d} = 0.$$
(102)

Thus we can read out the following modular invariant superpotential for charged leptons and neutrinos,

$$\mathcal{W}_{e} = \alpha (Y_{\mathbf{3}^{0}}^{(2)} E_{1}^{c} L H_{d})_{\mathbf{1}^{0}} + \beta (Y_{\mathbf{3}^{3}}^{(\frac{c}{5})} E_{2}^{c} L H_{d})_{\mathbf{1}^{0}} + \gamma (Y_{\mathbf{3}^{3}}^{(\frac{c}{5})} E_{3}^{c} L H_{d})_{\mathbf{1}^{0}},$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\nu} = g_{1} (Y_{\mathbf{4}^{2}}^{(\frac{c}{5})} N^{c} L H_{u})_{\mathbf{1}^{0}} + g_{2} (Y_{\mathbf{5}^{2}}^{(\frac{c}{5})} N^{c} L H_{u})_{\mathbf{1}^{0}} + \Lambda (Y_{\mathbf{5}^{0}}^{(2)} N^{c} N^{c})_{\mathbf{1}^{0}}.$$
 (103)

Hence the charged lepton and neutrino mass matrices are given by

$$M_{e} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha Y_{\mathbf{3}^{0},1}^{(2)} & \alpha Y_{\mathbf{3}^{0},3}^{(2)} & \alpha Y_{\mathbf{3}^{0},2}^{(2)} \\ \beta Y_{\mathbf{3}^{3},1}^{(\frac{2}{3})} & \beta Y_{\mathbf{3}^{3},2}^{(\frac{2}{3})} \\ \gamma Y_{\mathbf{3}^{3},1}^{(\frac{2}{3})} & \gamma Y_{\mathbf{3}^{3},3}^{(\frac{2}{3})} & \gamma Y_{\mathbf{3}^{3},2}^{(\frac{2}{3})} \end{pmatrix} v_{d}, \qquad M_{N} = \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} 2Y_{\mathbf{5}^{0},1}^{(2)} & -\sqrt{3}Y_{\mathbf{5}^{0},4}^{(2)} & -\sqrt{3}Y_{\mathbf{5}^{0},1}^{(2)} \\ -\sqrt{3}Y_{\mathbf{5}^{0},4}^{(2)} & \sqrt{6}Y_{\mathbf{5}^{0},2}^{(2)} & -Y_{\mathbf{5}^{0},1}^{(2)} \\ -\sqrt{3}Y_{\mathbf{5}^{0},3}^{(2)} & -Y_{\mathbf{5}^{0},1}^{(2)} & \sqrt{6}Y_{\mathbf{5}^{0},5}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3}g_{2}Y_{\mathbf{5}^{2},1}^{(\frac{8}{3})} & \sqrt{2}g_{1}Y_{\mathbf{4}^{2},4}^{(\frac{8}{3})} + g_{2}Y_{\mathbf{5}^{2},5}^{(\frac{8}{3})} & \sqrt{2}g_{1}Y_{\mathbf{4}^{2},1}^{(\frac{8}{3})} + g_{2}Y_{\mathbf{5}^{2},5}^{(\frac{8}{3})} \\ -\sqrt{2}g_{1}Y_{\mathbf{4}^{2},3}^{(\frac{8}{3})} + g_{2}Y_{\mathbf{5}^{2},4}^{(\frac{8}{3})} & -g_{1}Y_{\mathbf{4}^{2},2}^{(\frac{8}{3})} - \sqrt{2}g_{2}Y_{\mathbf{5}^{2},3}^{(\frac{8}{3})} & g_{1}Y_{\mathbf{4}^{2},4}^{(\frac{8}{3})} - \sqrt{2}g_{2}Y_{\mathbf{5}^{2},5}^{(\frac{8}{3})} \\ -\sqrt{2}g_{1}Y_{\mathbf{4}^{2},2}^{(\frac{8}{3})} + g_{2}Y_{\mathbf{5}^{2},3}^{(\frac{8}{3})} & g_{1}Y_{\mathbf{4}^{2},1}^{(\frac{8}{3})} - \sqrt{2}g_{2}Y_{\mathbf{5}^{2},3}^{(\frac{8}{3})} \\ -\sqrt{2}g_{1}Y_{\mathbf{4}^{2},2}^{(\frac{8}{3})} + g_{2}Y_{\mathbf{5}^{2},3}^{(\frac{8}{3})} & g_{1}Y_{\mathbf{4}^{2},1}^{(\frac{8}{3})} - \sqrt{2}g_{2}Y_{\mathbf{5}^{2},3}^{(\frac{8}{3})} \end{pmatrix} v_{u}.$$
(104)

The parameters α , β , γ , and g_1 can be taken real by redefining the unphysical phases of fields. Thus we have only two complex parameters τ and g_2 left. The charged lepton masses can be reproduced by adjusting the parameters α , β , and γ . The light neutrino mass matrix $m_{\nu} = -M_D^T M_N^{-1} M_D$ depends on a single complex parameter g_2/g_1 and an overall scale $g_1^2 v_u^2 / \Lambda$ besides the complex modulus τ . Hence this model effectively depends on 8 free real parameters at low energy. Numerically scanning over the parameter space, we find a good agreement between the model predictions and experimental data can be achieved for the following values of the input parameters

$$\langle \tau \rangle = -0.16639 + 1.09859i, \quad \beta/\alpha = 0.11310, \quad \gamma/\alpha = 0.000268,$$

 $g_2/g_1 = 0.17295 + 0.24924i, \quad \alpha v_d = 639.62166 \text{ MeV}, \quad \frac{g_1 v_u^2}{\Lambda} = 35.71373 \text{ meV}.$ (105)

Accordingly the predictions for the lepton mixing parameters and neutrino masses are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 \theta_{12} &= 0.30398, \qquad \sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.02219, \qquad \sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.57297, \qquad \delta_{CP} = 1.4454\pi, \\ \alpha_{21} &= 0.7135\pi, \qquad \alpha_{31} = 1.1178\pi, \qquad m_e/m_\mu = 0.00473, \qquad m_\mu/m_\tau = 0.05857, \\ m_1 &= 4.3331 \text{ meV}, \qquad m_2 = 9.6424 \text{ meV}, \qquad m_3 = 50.3562 \text{ meV}, \\ m_\beta &= 9.8328 \text{ meV}, \qquad m_{\beta\beta} = 3.5926 \text{ meV}, \end{aligned}$$
(106)

⁴Note that in order to ensure the consistency of the theory [58], the original modular transformation Eq. (36) should be adjusted to metaplectic modular transformation: $\tau \to \tilde{\gamma}\tau$, $\Phi_I \to \phi^{-5k_I}(\gamma, \tau)\rho_I(\tilde{\gamma})\Phi_I$, where $\phi(\gamma, \tau)^5 = c\tau + d$, $\tilde{\gamma} = (\gamma, \phi(\gamma, \tau)) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ and $-k_I$ is the modular weight of superfield Φ_I .

which are compatible with the experimental data at 1σ level [73]. The mass ordering is normal, and the Planck bound $\sum_i m_i < 120 \text{ meV}$ [81] is fulfilled. The effective masses m_β in beta decay and $m_{\beta\beta}$ in neutrinoless double beta decay are very tiny and consequently they are outside the reach of the next generation experiments. We comprehensively scan over the parameter space of this model, and all lepton masses and mixing angles are required to lie in 3σ regions [73]. Some interesting correlations between the input parameters and observables are shown in Fig. 2. It can

be seen that the three *CP* violating phases δ_{CP} , α_{21} and α_{31} are strongly correlated with each other. Moreover, the allowed range of the lightest neutrino mass m_1 is [3.61 meV, 6.75 meV] in this model.

VII. CONCLUSION

The inhomogeneous finite modular groups Γ_N together with even weight modular forms have been extensively studied in bottom-up flavor model building, while the homogeneous finite modular groups Γ'_N are less discussed.



FIG. 2. The predicted correlations among the input parameters, neutrino mixing angles and *CP* violating phases in the lepton model with rational weight modular forms at level 5.

Generally Γ_N is isomorphic to the quotient of Γ'_N modulo Z_2 subgroup generated by $R = S^2$, or we say Γ'_N is the double covering of Γ_N . The transformation of the general integral weight modular forms can be described by unitary representations of Γ'_N . In the present work, we perform a comprehensive study of the homogeneous finite modular group $\Gamma'_5 \cong A'_5$ at level 5. The vacuum alignment problem of traditional A'_5 flavor symmetry models [64–66] would be simplified considerably, and the flavor symmetry is spontaneously broken by the vacuum expectation value of τ .

The integral weight modular forms of level 5 can be written as a polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^{5k} c_i F_1^i(\tau) F_2^{5k-i}(\tau)$, where $F_1(\tau)$ and $F_2(\tau)$ are two algebraically independent weight 1/5 modular forms and they are expressed in terms of theta constants and Dedekind eta function. Hence the linear space of modular forms of weight kand level 5 has dimension 5k + 1. One can also construct level 5 modular forms with Klein form and Dedekind eta function. We find that both construction methods give the same results by using the identities Eq. (100) between theta constants and Klein form. There are six linearly independent lowest weight 1 and level 5 modular forms, and they can be arranged into a six dimensional representation of A'_5 . Moreover, we explicitly give the expressions of A'_5 multiplets of modular forms up to weight 6.

It is known that the generalized CP symmetry can be consistently combined with modular symmetry, and the complex modulus τ is required to transform as $\tau \to -\tau^*$ up to a modular transformation under the action of generalized CP. Accordingly the modular generators S and T are mapped to their inverse S^{-1} and T^{-1} respectively under CP, and we find that it is a class-inverting automorphism of A'_5 so that the corresponding CPsymmetry is indeed physically well defined in the context of A'_5 modular symmetry. In our representation basis, both S and T are represented by symmetric matrices in all irreducible representations of A'_5 , therefore the *CP* transformation for $\tau \to -\tau^*$ is the canonical one $X_r = 1$. Moreover all CG coefficients are real in our basis, consequently invariance under generalized CP symmetry requires all coupling constants real. As a consequence, the vacuum expectation value of τ would be the unique source of both modular and *CP* symmetry breaking if generalized CP symmetry is imposed on the A'_5 modular models.

According the representation assignments for the lepton fields, we systematically classify the lepton models based on A'_5 modular symmetry. The left-handed lepton doublets are assigned to a triplet of A'_5 , the right-handed charged leptons transform as singlets or the direct sum of a doublet and a singlet under A'_5 . The neutrino masses are generated by the type I seesaw mechanism, and both scenarios with two and three right-

handed neutrinos are considered. We aim to find out all A'_5 modular models with minimal number of free parameters in this work. Numerically minimizing the χ^2 function for each model, we find out 15 phenomenologically viable models with 9 real free parameters and 10 viable models with 10 real free parameters including the real and imaginary parts of τ , and the results of fit are listed in Tables V-VII. For all these 25 models, two more free parameters would be reduced by imposing CP invariance. We find 9 model with 7 free parameters and 10 models with 8 free parameters can accommodate the experimental data in lepton sector, as shown in Table VIII and Table IX. Moreover, we use the A'_5 modular symmetry to understand the quark masses and CKM mixing matrix, and a quark-lepton unification model is presented.

Furthermore, we extend the framework to include rational weight modular forms at level 5, and the modular group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ should be extended to its 5-fold metaplectic covering group. Rational weight modular forms of level 5 transform in representations of the finite metaplectic group $\tilde{\Gamma}_5 \cong A'_5 \times Z_5$. We find that the two weight 1/5 modular forms $F_1(\tau)$ and $F_2(\tau)$ furnish a doublet $\mathbf{2}^4$ of $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$ with $Y_{2^4}^{(\frac{1}{5})}(\tau) = (F_1(\tau), F_2(\tau))^T$. The ring of modular forms of level 5 is constructed through the tensor products of $Y_{2^4}^{(\frac{1}{5})}(\tau)$, and remarkably it is unnecessary to consider the constraints among high weight modular forms in this way because $F_1(\tau)$ and $F_2(\tau)$ and algebraically independent and they are the minimal set of functions to construct the modular space of level 5. Finally we provide a concrete lepton model based on $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$, the predictions for lepton masses, mixing angles and CP violating phases are studied numerically, and strong correlations between mixing parameters are found.

At lower levels N = 2, 3, 4, the homogeneous (inhomogeneous) finite modular groups Γ'_N (Γ_N) only have one-dimensional, two-dimensional and three-dimensional irreducible representations. Nevertheless, $\Gamma'_5 \cong A'_5$ at level 5 have four-dimensional, five-dimensional and sixdimensional irreducible representations besides the singlet, doublet and triplet representations, and the contractions among doublets and triplets can give rise to quartet, quintet and sextet such as $2 \otimes 3 = 2 \oplus 4'$, $2' \otimes 3' = 2' \oplus 4'$, $2\otimes 3'=2'\otimes 3=6,\ 3\otimes 3=1_s\oplus 3_a\oplus 5_s,\ 3'\otimes 3'=$ $1_s \oplus 3'_a \oplus 5_s$ and $3 \otimes 3' = 4 \oplus 5$. Hence level 5 opens up new model building possibilities not available for the levels 2, 3, 4, as shown in the paper. The three right-handed charged leptons are usually assigned to be singlets of the finite modular group such that one can tune the couplings of each charged lepton to easily reproduce the hierarchical charged lepton masses. As shown in Table IV, we can see that the right-handed charged leptons prefer to transform as $2 \oplus 1$ or $2' \oplus 1$ under A'_5 in the minimal lepton models which can explain data, this is a notable feature of A'_5

modular symmetry with respect to other modular invariant models of levels N = 2, 3, 4.

In summary, we have performed a comprehensive and systematical analysis of the homogeneous finite modular groups $\Gamma'_5 \cong A'_5$ and its metaplectic cover $\overline{\Gamma}_5 \cong$ $A'_5 \times Z_5$ in the present work. The integral modular forms at level N = 5 are expressed as polynomials of the weight 1/5 modular forms $F_1(\tau)$ and $F_2(\tau)$, this construction naturally bypasses the need to look for constraints relating redundant higher weight multiplets. The possible lepton and quark models are classified according to the representation assignments of the matter fields under the A'_5 modular group. For the first time, we consider the generalized CP symmetry in the context of A'_5 . We find out all the minimal A'_5 modular models which can explain the experimental data of lepton masses and mixing angles with/without CP symmetries. Furthermore, we construct a complete model for quarks and leptons based on A'_5 modular symmetry in which the observed masses and mixing patterns of both quarks and leptons can be reproduced for a common value of modulus τ . Motivated by the fact that some fields with fractional weights are required to be present in construction of string theory [11,79,80], we consider the modular forms of weight k/5 in the modular invariance approach, and a benchmark lepton model is constructed. The finite metaplectic group Γ_5 has five singlet representations denoted as 1^{j} with j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, thus it is more convenient to realize the quark and charged lepton mass hierarchies by assigning the right-handed matter fields to singlets of $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$, see the model in Sec. VIA.

In the present work, we have taken the approach of bottom-up flavor model building, it will be interesting to see how the modular symmetries A'_5 and $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$ together with the rational weight modular forms can be naturally derived in the top-down approach from string theory. Because both representations and modular weights of

matter fields and modular forms are not subject to any constraint in the current bottom-up approach, there are many possibilities for model constructions. We expect this drawback could be overcome from top-down perspective such as the eclectic flavor scheme [11–15] and thus the framework of modular invariance becomes more constrained and predictive.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

X. G. L. and G. J. D. are supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 11975224, No. 11835013, and No. 11947301. C. Y. Y. is supported in part by the Grants No. NSFC-11975130, No. NSFC-12035008, No. NSFC-12047533, by the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Grant No. 2017YFA0402200 and the China Post-doctoral Science Foundation under Grant No. 2018M641621.

APPENDIX A: GROUP THEORY OF A'_5

As shown in [53], the double covering of the icosahedral group A_5 can be generated by three generators *S*, *T* and *R* which obey the rules,

$$S^2 = R$$
, $T^5 = (ST)^3 = R^2 = 1$, $RT = TR$, (A1)

or equivalently

$$S^4 = T^5 = (ST)^3 = 1, \qquad S^2T = TS^2.$$
 (A2)

There are several different presentations for the group A'_5 in the literature. In the Shirai basis [64,82], all group elements can be expressed in terms of the order four generator U and the order ten generator V which satisfy the following multiplication rules,

$$U^{2} = V^{5} = R, (V^{2}UV^{3}UV^{-1}UVUV^{-1})^{3} = 1$$
 [or $((UV^{-1})^{2}U)^{3} = 1$], $R^{2} = 1$. (A3)

The generators S and T can be related to the Shirai generators U and V by

$$S = U$$
, $T = V^2$, and vice versa $U = S$, $V = T^{-2}R$. (A4)

In the presentation of Threlfall [83], A'_5 is also generated by two generators a and b with

$$a^3 = b^5 = (ab)^2 = R, \qquad R^2 = 1,$$
 (A5)

which are related to the modular generators S and T by

S = ab, $T = b^{-1}R$ and vice versa $a = ST^{-1}$, b = TR. (A6)

We would like to introduce another presentation as simple as Threlfall's, the two generators P and Q obey the relations

$$P^2 = Q^5 = (PQ)^3 = R, \qquad R^2 = 1,$$
 (A7)

which are related to S and T by

$$S = P, \quad T = QR, \quad \text{and vice versa} \quad P = S, \qquad Q = TR.$$
 (A8)

The presentation described in the work of Cummins and Patera [84], involves three generators $A_{1,2,3}$ satisfying

$$A_1^3 = A_2^2 = A_3^2 = R,$$
 $(A_1A_2)^3 = (A_1A_3)^2 = (A_2A_3)^3 = R,$ $R^2 = 1,$ (A9)

which are related to the modular generators as follows,

$$S = A_2$$
, $T = A_1 A_2 A_3^{-1}$, and vice versa $A_1 = TST^{-2}$, $A_2 = S$, $A_3 = ST^{-2}ST^2S$. (A10)

The group A'_5 has 120 elements which is twice as many elements as A_5 , and all the elements can be divided into the 9 conjugacy classes as follows:

where the number in front denotes the number of group elements contained in this class, and the subscript "*n*" of the notation C_n is the order of the class. The number of conjugacy classes is the same as the inequivalent irreducible representations. In addition to the five inequivalent irreducible representations 1, 3, 3', 4, 5 of the A_5 group, A'_5 has four inequivalent representations 2, 2', 4' and 6. The explicit forms of the generators *S*,

Classes	$1C_1$	$1C_{2}$	$20C_{3}$	$30C_{4}$	$12C_{5}$	$12C'_{5}$	$12C_{5}''$	$20C_{6}$	$12C_{10}$
G	1	R	ST	S	Т	T^2	S^3T	RT	T^2R
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	2	-2	-1	0	$-\phi$	$\frac{1}{\phi}$	1	ϕ	$-\frac{1}{\phi}$
2'	2	-2	-1	0	$\frac{1}{\phi}$	$-\phi$	1	$-\frac{1}{\phi}$	$\phi^{'}$
3	3	3	0	-1	$\stackrel{r}{\phi}$	$-\frac{1}{\phi}$	0	ϕ^{r}	$-\frac{1}{\phi}$
3′	3	3	0	-1	$-\frac{1}{\phi}$	$\phi^{'}$	0	$-\frac{1}{\phi}$	$\phi^{'}$
4	4	4	1	0	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
4′	4	-4	1	0	-1	-1	-1	1	1
5	5	5	-1	1	0	0	-1	0	0
6	6	-6	0	0	1	1	0	-1	-1

TABLE X. Character table of A'_5 , where $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ is the golden ratio and G stands for the representative element of each conjugacy class.

T, and *R* in each of the irreducible representations are summarized in Table XI. In the single-valued representations 1, 3, 3', 4 and 5, the generator *R* is represented by the identity matrix *I*, and the elements of A'_5 are described by the same matrices which represent the elements in A_5 , consequently the group A'_5 can not be distinguished from the group A_5 with these

representations. The generator R is -I in the doublevalued representations 2, 2', 4', and 6. Then one can easily read out the character table of the group A'_5 shown in Table X.

From the character table, one can straightforwardly calculate the multiplication rules of the irreducible representations as follows,

 $2\otimes 2=1_a\oplus 3_s,\qquad 2\otimes 2'=4,\qquad 2\otimes 3=2\oplus 4',\qquad 2\otimes 3'=2'\otimes 3=6,$ $2\otimes 4=2'\oplus 6,$ $2 \otimes 4' = 3 \oplus 5$, $2 \otimes 5 = 2' \otimes 5 = 4' \oplus 6$, $2 \otimes 6 = 3 \otimes 4 = 3' \oplus 4 \oplus 5,$ $2' \otimes 2' = 1_a \oplus 3'_s,$ $2' \otimes 3' = 2' \oplus 4',$ $2' \otimes 4 = 2 \oplus 6,$ $2' \otimes 4' = 3' \oplus 5,$ $2' \otimes 6 = 3' \otimes 4 = 3 \oplus 4 \oplus 5,$ $3 \otimes 3 = 1_s \oplus 3_a \oplus 5_s, \qquad 3 \otimes 3' = 4 \oplus 5, \qquad 3 \otimes 4' = 2 \oplus 4' \oplus 6,$ $3 \otimes 5 = 3' \otimes 5 = 3 \oplus 3' \oplus 4 \oplus 5, \qquad 3 \otimes 6 = 2' \oplus 4' \oplus 6_1 \oplus 6_2,$ $3' \otimes 3' = 1_s \oplus 3'_a \oplus 5_s, \qquad 3' \otimes 4' = 2' \oplus 4' \oplus 6, \qquad 3' \otimes 6 = 2 \oplus 4' \oplus 6_1 \oplus 6_2,$ $4 \otimes 4 = 1_s \oplus 3_a \oplus 3'_a \oplus 4_s \oplus 5_s, \qquad 4 \otimes 4' = 4' \oplus 6_1 \oplus 6_2,$ $4\otimes 5=3\oplus 3'\oplus 4\oplus 5_1\oplus 5_2, \qquad 4\otimes 6=2\oplus 2'\oplus 4_1'\oplus 4_2'\oplus 6_1\oplus 6_2,$ $4'\otimes 4'=1_{\mathbf{a}}\oplus 3_{\mathbf{s}}\oplus 3'_{\mathbf{s}}\oplus 4_{\mathbf{s}}\oplus 5_{\mathbf{a}}, \qquad 4'\otimes 5=2\oplus 2'\oplus 4'\oplus 6_1\oplus 6_2,$ $4' \otimes 6 = 3 \oplus 3' \oplus 4_1 \oplus 4_2 \oplus 5_1 \oplus 5_2$ $5 \otimes 5 = 1_s \oplus 3_a \oplus 3'_a \oplus 4_s \oplus 4_a \oplus 5_{1s} \oplus 5_{2s}$ $5 \otimes 6 = 2 \oplus 2' \oplus 4'_1 \oplus 4'_2 \oplus 6_1 \oplus 6_2 \oplus 6_3$ $\mathbf{6}\otimes\mathbf{6}=\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{a}}\oplus\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{s}}\oplus\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2},\mathbf{s}}\oplus\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{s}}'\oplus\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2},\mathbf{s}}'\oplus\mathbf{4}_{\mathbf{s}}\oplus\mathbf{4}_{\mathbf{a}}\oplus\mathbf{5}_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{s}}\oplus\mathbf{5}_{\mathbf{2},\mathbf{a}}\oplus\mathbf{5}_{\mathbf{3},\mathbf{a}},$ (A12)

where the subscripts **s** and **a** denote symmetric and antisymmetric combinations respectively. For the product decomposition $\mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{6} = \mathbf{2}' \oplus \mathbf{4}' \oplus \mathbf{6}_1 \oplus \mathbf{6}_2$, $\mathbf{6}_1$ and $\mathbf{6}_2$ refer to the two sextet representations appearing in the tensor products of **3** and **6**, and similar notations are adopted for other tensor products. We now list the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients which are quite useful in model construction. we use α_i to indicate the elements of the first representation of the product and β_i to indicate those of the second representation.

$\frac{2 \otimes 2 = 1_{\mathbf{a}} \oplus 3_{\mathbf{s}}}{1_{\mathbf{a}} = \alpha_2 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_2}$	$egin{aligned} 2 \otimes 2' &= 4 \ 4 &= egin{pmatrix} lpha_1 eta_2 \ lpha_2 eta_2 \ -lpha_1 eta_1 \ lpha_2 eta_1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$
$3_{\mathbf{s}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_2 \rho_1 - \alpha_1 \rho_2 \\ \sqrt{2} \alpha_2 \beta_2 \\ -\sqrt{2} \alpha_1 \beta_1 \end{pmatrix}$	
$ \begin{array}{l} \boxed{2 \otimes 3 = 2 \oplus 4'} \\ 2 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_1\beta_1 \\ \alpha_2\beta_1 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ 4' = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{3}\alpha_1\beta_3 \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_1 + \alpha_2\beta_3 \\ \alpha_1\beta_2 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_1 \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_2\beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{array} $	$6 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \otimes 3' = 6 \\ -\alpha_1 \beta_3 \\ -\alpha_2 \beta_2 \\ \alpha_2 \beta_3 \\ -\alpha_1 \beta_1 \\ -\alpha_2 \beta_1 \\ -\alpha_1 \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$
$egin{aligned} 2 \otimes 4 &= 2' \oplus 6 \ 2' &= egin{pmatrix} lpha_2 eta_3 + lpha_1 eta_4 \ lpha_1 eta_2 - lpha_2 eta_1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$	$egin{aligned} 2 \otimes 4' &= 3 \oplus 5 \ \sqrt{2}lpha_2eta_2 + \sqrt{2}lpha_1eta_3 \ lpha_2eta_3 - \sqrt{3}lpha_1eta_4 \ -\sqrt{3}lpha_2eta_1 - lpha_1eta_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$
$6 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \beta_3 - \alpha_2 \beta_2 \\ -\alpha_2 \beta_2 - \alpha_1 \beta_3 \\ \alpha_2 \beta_3 - \alpha_1 \beta_4 \\ \sqrt{2} \alpha_2 \beta_4 \\ \sqrt{2} \alpha_1 \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$	$5 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_3 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_2\\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_2\beta_3 + \alpha_1\beta_4\\ 2\alpha_2\beta_4\\ 2\alpha_1\beta_1\\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 \end{pmatrix}$
	$ \boxed{ 2 \otimes 6 = 3' \oplus 4 \oplus 5 } 3' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \beta_4 - \alpha_1 \beta_5 \\ \alpha_2 \beta_6 - \alpha_1 \beta_2 \\ \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_3 \end{pmatrix} $
$6 = \begin{pmatrix} -2\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} - \alpha_{1}\beta_{4} \\ \alpha_{2}\beta_{3} - 2\alpha_{1}\beta_{4} \\ 2\alpha_{1}\beta_{5} - \alpha_{2}\beta_{4} \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{5} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{1}\beta_{1} \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_{2}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{2} \\ -2\alpha_{2}\beta_{2} - \alpha_{1}\beta_{3} \end{pmatrix}$	$4 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{5} - \alpha_{1}\beta_{6} \\ \alpha_{1}\beta_{1} + \alpha_{1}\beta_{2} + \alpha_{2}\beta_{6} \\ \alpha_{2}\beta_{1} - \alpha_{2}\beta_{2} - \alpha_{1}\beta_{3} \\ -\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{4} \end{pmatrix}$ $5 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3}\alpha_{2}\beta_{4} + \sqrt{3}\alpha_{1}\beta_{5} \\ -\sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{5} - 2\alpha_{1}\beta_{6} \\ \alpha_{1}\beta_{2} + \alpha_{2}\beta_{6} - 2\alpha_{1}\beta_{1} \\ \alpha_{2}\beta_{1} + 2\alpha_{2}\beta_{2} - \alpha_{1}\beta_{3} \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{4} - 2\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} \end{pmatrix}$
$\frac{2' \otimes 2' = 1_{\mathbf{a}} \oplus 3'_{\mathbf{s}}}{1_{\mathbf{a}} = \alpha_2 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_2}$	$\mathbf{3'_s} = egin{pmatrix} lpha_2eta_1+lpha_1eta_2\ -\sqrt{2}lpha_1eta_1\ \sqrt{2}lpha_2eta_2\end{pmatrix}$
	$\mathbf{2' \otimes 3' = 2' \oplus 4'}$ $\mathbf{2' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \beta_1 + \sqrt{2} \alpha_2 \beta_2 \\ \sqrt{2} \alpha_1 \beta_3 - \alpha_2 \beta_1 \end{pmatrix}}$ $\mathbf{4' = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} \alpha_1 \beta_1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2 \\ \sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \beta_3 \\ -\sqrt{3} \alpha_1 \beta_2 \\ \sqrt{2} \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_3 \end{pmatrix}$

$ \frac{2' \otimes 4 = 2 \oplus 6}{2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_1 \beta_1 - \alpha_2 \beta_3 \\ \alpha_2 \beta_4 - \alpha_1 \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}} $	$egin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}' \otimes 4' &= 3' \oplus 5 \ \mathbf{M}' &= \left(egin{aligned} \sqrt{2}lpha_2 eta_1 &- \sqrt{2}lpha_1 eta_4 \ lpha_1 eta_1 &- \sqrt{3} lpha_2 eta_3 \ lpha_2 eta_4 &- \sqrt{3} lpha_1 eta_2 \end{aligned} ight) \end{aligned}$
$6 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_1 \\ -\sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_4 \\ -\sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_2 \\ \alpha_1\beta_1 - \alpha_2\beta_3 \\ \alpha_1\beta_2 + \alpha_2\beta_4 \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_3 \end{pmatrix}$	$5 = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{4} \\ -2\alpha_{2}\beta_{2} \\ -\sqrt{3}\alpha_{1}\beta_{1} - \alpha_{2}\beta_{3} \\ \alpha_{1}\beta_{2} + \sqrt{3}\alpha_{2}\beta_{4} \\ -2\alpha_{1}\beta_{3} \end{pmatrix}$
$ \frac{2' \otimes 5 = 4' \oplus 6}{4' = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3}\alpha_2\beta_3 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_1 \\ -2\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_4 \\ 2\alpha_2\beta_5 - \alpha_1\beta_3 \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_1 + \sqrt{3}\alpha_1\beta_4 \end{pmatrix}} $	$3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2' \otimes 6 = 3 \oplus 4 \oplus 5 \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_3 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_6 \\ \alpha_1\beta_1 + \alpha_1\beta_2 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_4 \\ \alpha_2\beta_1 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_5 - \alpha_2\beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$
$6 = \begin{pmatrix} 3\alpha_1\beta_5 - \alpha_2\beta_2 \\ 3\alpha_2\beta_2 + \alpha_1\beta_5 \\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_1\beta_1 + 2\alpha_2\beta_3 \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_2 - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_4 \\ 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_3 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_5 \\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_2\beta_1 - 2\alpha_1\beta_4 \end{pmatrix}$	$4 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \beta_4 - \sqrt{2} \alpha_1 \beta_1 \\ \sqrt{2} \alpha_1 \beta_3 + \alpha_2 \beta_5 \\ \alpha_1 \beta_4 + \sqrt{2} \alpha_2 \beta_6 \\ -\sqrt{2} \alpha_2 \beta_2 - \alpha_1 \beta_5 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{6} \alpha_1 \beta_6 - \sqrt{6} \alpha_2 \beta_3 \\ 3 \alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_1 \beta_1 - \sqrt{2} \alpha_2 \beta_4 \end{pmatrix}$
	$5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\alpha_1\beta_3 - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_5 \\ 2\alpha_2\beta_6 - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_4 \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_5 - 3\alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_2\beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$
$3 \otimes 3 = 1_s \oplus 3_a \oplus 5_s$	$3\otimes3'=4\oplus5$
$\mathbf{\overline{1_s}} = \alpha_1 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 + \alpha_2 \beta_3$	$\sqrt{\sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_1+\alpha_3\beta_2}$
$\mathbf{3_a} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2\\ \alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1\\ \alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3 \end{pmatrix}$	$4 = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_3\beta_3 \\ -\alpha_2\beta_2 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_3 \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_1 + \alpha_2\beta_3 \end{pmatrix}$
$5_{\mathbf{s}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha_1\beta_1 - \alpha_3\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_3\\ -\sqrt{3}\alpha_2\beta_1 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_1\beta_2\\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_2\beta_2\\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_3\beta_3\\ -\sqrt{3}\alpha_3\beta_1 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_1\beta_3 \end{pmatrix}$	$5 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3}\alpha_1\beta_1 \\ \alpha_2\beta_1 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_2 \\ \alpha_1\beta_2 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_3 \\ \alpha_1\beta_3 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_2 \\ \alpha_3\beta_1 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_3 \end{pmatrix}$
$ \begin{array}{l} \underline{3} \otimes \underline{4} = \underline{3'} \oplus \underline{4} \oplus \underline{5} \\ \\ 3' = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_1 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_4 \\ \alpha_3\beta_3 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 \\ \alpha_2\beta_2 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_4 \end{pmatrix} \end{array} $	$2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \otimes 4' = 2 \oplus 4' \oplus 6 \\ \alpha_3 \beta_3 + \sqrt{2} \alpha_1 \beta_2 - \sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 \beta_2 + \sqrt{3} \alpha_3 \beta_4 - \sqrt{2} \alpha_1 \beta_3 \end{pmatrix}$
$4 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \beta_1 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_3 \beta_2 \\ -\sqrt{2}\alpha_2 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_2 \\ \alpha_1 \beta_3 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_3 \beta_4 \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_2 \beta_3 - \alpha_1 \beta_4 \end{pmatrix}$	$4' = \begin{pmatrix} -3\alpha_1\beta_1 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_3\beta_2 \\ -2\sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_3 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_2 \\ \alpha_1\beta_3 + \sqrt{6}\alpha_3\beta_4 - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_2 \\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_2\beta_3 + 3\alpha_1\beta_4 \end{pmatrix}$
$5 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{6\alpha_2\beta_4} - \sqrt{6\alpha_3\beta_1} \\ 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_1 + 2\alpha_3\beta_2 \\ 3\alpha_3\beta_3 + \alpha_2\beta_1 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_2 \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_3 - 3\alpha_2\beta_2 - \alpha_3\beta_4 \\ -2\alpha_2\beta_3 - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_4 \end{pmatrix}$	$6 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{4} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{1} \\ -2\sqrt{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{4} \\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_{3}\beta_{2} - 2\alpha_{1}\beta_{1} \\ \alpha_{2}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{1}\beta_{2} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{3}\beta_{3} \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_{2}\beta_{2} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{1}\beta_{3} + \alpha_{3}\beta_{4} \\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} - 2\alpha_{1}\beta_{4} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{y} = 3 \oplus \mathbf{y} \oplus$$

(Continued)

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{3}' &= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} \alpha_3 \beta_1 + \sqrt{3} \alpha_1 \beta_2 - 2\alpha_1 \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \sqrt{3} \alpha_1 \beta_3 - \sqrt{6\alpha_3} \beta_5 \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 + \sqrt{3} \alpha_1 \beta_3 - \sqrt{6\alpha_3} \beta_5 \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 + \sqrt{3} \alpha_1 \beta_3 - \sqrt{6\alpha_3} \beta_5 \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 - 2\alpha_2 \beta_1 \\ 3\alpha_3 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 - 2\alpha_3 \beta_1 \\ 2\sqrt{2\alpha_1 \beta_2 + 3\alpha_3 \beta_3 - 2\alpha_3 \beta_1} \\ 2\alpha_2 \beta_3 - 3\alpha_3 \beta_2 - 2\sqrt{2\alpha_1 \beta_1} \\ \alpha_2 \beta_3 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 - 2\sqrt{2\alpha_1 \beta_1} \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 - 2\sqrt{2\alpha_1 \beta_1} \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 - 2\sqrt{2\alpha_1 \beta_1} \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 - 2\sqrt{2\alpha_1 \beta_1} \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 - 2\sqrt{2\alpha_1 \beta_1} \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 - 2\sqrt{2\alpha_1 \beta_1} \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 - \sqrt{2\alpha_1 \beta_3} \\ \mathbf{5} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \beta_4 - \sqrt{3} \alpha_3 \beta_3 \\ 2\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \sqrt{2\alpha_3 \beta_1} \\ -\sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_2 - \sqrt{2\alpha_3 \beta_1} \\ -\sqrt{3} \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 \\ \sqrt{3\alpha_3 \beta_1} + \sqrt{2\alpha_3 \beta_2} - \alpha_3 \beta_2 \\ \sqrt{3\alpha_3 \beta_1} + \sqrt{2\alpha_3 \beta_2} - \alpha_3 \beta_2 \\ \sqrt{2\alpha_3 \beta_3} - 2\alpha_1 \beta_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{6}_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_4 + \alpha_3 \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 - \alpha_3 \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 - \sqrt{2\alpha_3 \beta_1} \\ \alpha_2 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 - \sqrt{2\alpha_3 \beta_1} \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 - \sqrt{2\alpha_3 \beta_1} \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 \\ \sqrt{2\alpha_3 \beta_3} - \alpha_3 \beta_2 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{6}_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 \\ \alpha_1 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 \\ \alpha_2 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_1 & \alpha_3 \beta_2 \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 - \alpha_3 \beta_1 \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_1 & \alpha_3 \beta_2 \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_1 & \alpha_3 \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{6}_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_3 \beta_3 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 & \alpha_3 \beta_1 \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_1 \\ \alpha_4 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_1 \\ \alpha_4 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_1 & \alpha_3 \beta_2 \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 & -\sqrt{3\alpha_3 \beta_1} \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{6}_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_3 \beta_2 - \alpha_3 \beta_1 \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_1 \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 \\ \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_3$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{4} \otimes \mathbf{5} &= \mathbf{3} \oplus \mathbf{3}' \oplus \mathbf{4} \oplus \mathbf{5}_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \mathbf{5}_{\mathbf{2}} \\ \mathbf{3} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{3} + 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{5} - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{2} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{4} \\ 2\alpha_{2}\beta_{5} + 3\alpha_{3}\beta_{4} - \alpha_{4}\beta_{3} - \sqrt{6}\alpha_{1}\beta_{1} \\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_{4}\beta_{1} + \alpha_{1}\beta_{4} - 2\alpha_{3}\beta_{2} - 3\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{3}' &= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{5} + 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{4} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{2} - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{3} \\ 2\alpha_{4}\beta_{4} + 3\alpha_{1}\beta_{2} - \sqrt{6}\alpha_{2}\beta_{1} - \alpha_{3}\beta_{5} \\ \alpha_{2}\beta_{2} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{3}\beta_{1} - 2\alpha_{1}\beta_{3} - 3\alpha_{4}\beta_{5} \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{4} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3}\alpha_{1}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{4} - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{3} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{5} \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{4} + 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{5} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{2}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{2} \\ 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{2} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{3} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{3}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{5} \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_{4}\beta_{1} - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{4} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{5}_{\mathbf{1}} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{5} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{2} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{4} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{3} \\ -\sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{4}\beta_{3} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{3}\beta_{4} \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_{3}\beta_{5} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{3}\alpha_{1}\beta_{2} \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{3}\alpha_{2}\beta_{2} + \sqrt{3}\alpha_{4}\beta_{5} \\ -\sqrt{3}\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{1}\beta_{4} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

(Table continued)

(Continued)

$5_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 4\alpha_{3}\beta_{3} + 2\alpha_{1}\beta_{5} + 2\alpha_{4}\beta_{2} + 4\alpha_{2}\beta_{4} \\ 4\alpha_{1}\beta_{1} + 2\sqrt{6}\alpha_{2}\beta_{5} \\ 2\sqrt{6}\alpha_{4}\beta_{4} + 2\alpha_{2}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{6}\alpha_{1}\beta_{2} - \sqrt{6}\alpha_{3}\beta_{5} \\ 2\alpha_{3}\beta_{1} + 2\sqrt{6}\alpha_{1}\beta_{3} - \sqrt{6}\alpha_{2}\beta_{2} - \sqrt{6}\alpha_{4}\beta_{5} \\ 4\alpha_{4}\beta_{1} + 2\sqrt{6}\alpha_{3}\beta_{2} \end{pmatrix}$	
$4 \otimes 6 - 2 \otimes 2' \otimes 4' \otimes 4' \otimes 6 \otimes 6$	
$ \frac{4 \otimes 6 = 2 \oplus 2 \oplus 4_1 \oplus 4_2 \oplus 6_1 \oplus 6_2}{2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \sqrt{2} \alpha_4 \beta_5 + \alpha_3 \beta_6 - \alpha_2 \beta_2 - \alpha_1 \beta_3 \\ \sqrt{2} \alpha_1 \beta_4 + \alpha_4 \beta_6 + \alpha_2 \beta_3 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 - \alpha_3 \beta_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ 2' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_4 \beta_4 + \alpha_3 \beta_5 + \sqrt{2} \alpha_2 \beta_6 - \sqrt{2} \alpha_1 \beta_2 \\ \sqrt{2} \alpha_4 \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_5 - \sqrt{2} \alpha_3 \beta_3 - \alpha_2 \beta_4 \end{pmatrix} $	$\mathbf{4_1'} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2\beta_6 - \alpha_1\beta_2 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_4\beta_4 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_5 \\ -\sqrt{3}\alpha_2\beta_1 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_1\beta_3 \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_3\beta_2 + \sqrt{3}\alpha_4\beta_6 \\ \alpha_3\beta_3 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_5 - \alpha_4\beta_1 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_4 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathbf{4'_2} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3}\alpha_1\beta_1 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_1\beta_2 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_3\beta_5 \\ \alpha_2\beta_2 + 2\alpha_3\beta_6 - \alpha_2\beta_1 - 2\alpha_1\beta_3 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_4\beta_5 \\ \alpha_3\beta_1 + 2\alpha_4\beta_6 + \alpha_3\beta_2 + 2\alpha_2\beta_3 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_4 \\ -\sqrt{3}\alpha_4\beta_1 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_4\beta_2 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_2\beta_4 \end{pmatrix}$	
$\mathbf{6_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2\beta_5 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_4\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_4 \\ -\alpha_3\beta_4 - \alpha_2\beta_5 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_6 \\ \alpha_4\beta_4 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_1 - \alpha_3\beta_5 \\ \alpha_1\beta_3 + \alpha_3\beta_6 - \alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_2\beta_2 \\ \alpha_3\beta_1 + \alpha_4\beta_6 - \alpha_3\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_3 \\ \alpha_1\beta_5 + \alpha_2\beta_4 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_4\beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\mathbf{6_2} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2\beta_5 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_4\beta_3 + \alpha_3\beta_4 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_6 \\ \alpha_2\beta_5 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_6 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_4\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_4 \\ \alpha_3\beta_5 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_4\beta_4 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_6 \\ 2\alpha_2\beta_2 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_4\beta_5 \\ -2\alpha_3\beta_1 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_4 \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_4\beta_1 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_3 - \alpha_2\beta_4 - \alpha_1\beta_5 \end{pmatrix}$
$ \begin{array}{l} 4^{\prime} \otimes 4^{\prime} = \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{a}} \oplus 3_{\mathbf{s}} \oplus 3_{\mathbf{s}} \oplus 4_{\mathbf{s}} \oplus 5_{\mathbf{a}} \\ 1_{\mathbf{a}} = \alpha_{4}\beta_{1} - \alpha_{1}\beta_{4} + \alpha_{3}\beta_{2} - \alpha_{2}\beta_{3} \end{array} $	
$\mathbf{3_s} = \begin{pmatrix} 3\alpha_4\beta_1 + 3\alpha_1\beta_4 + \alpha_3\beta_2 + \alpha_2\beta_3\\ 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_3 + \sqrt{6}\alpha_4\beta_2 + \sqrt{6}\alpha_2\beta_4\\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_3\beta_1 + \sqrt{6}\alpha_1\beta_3 - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}_{\mathbf{s}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{4}\beta_{1} + \alpha_{1}\beta_{4} - 3\alpha_{3}\beta_{2} - 3\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} \\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_{4}\beta_{3} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{3}\beta_{4} - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{1} \\ 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{4} - \sqrt{6}\alpha_{2}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{6}\alpha_{1}\beta_{2} \end{pmatrix}$
$4_{\mathbf{s}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_4 \beta_2 + \alpha_2 \beta_4 - \sqrt{3} \alpha_3 \beta_3 \\ \sqrt{3} \alpha_1 \beta_1 + \alpha_4 \beta_3 + \alpha_3 \beta_4 \\ \sqrt{3} \alpha_4 \beta_4 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 \\ -\sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \beta_2 - \alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\mathbf{5_a} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_4 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_4 + \alpha_2 \beta_3 - \alpha_3 \beta_2 \\ \sqrt{2} \alpha_2 \beta_4 - \sqrt{2} \alpha_4 \beta_2 \\ \sqrt{2} \alpha_4 \beta_3 - \sqrt{2} \alpha_3 \beta_4 \\ \sqrt{2} \alpha_1 \beta_2 - \sqrt{2} \alpha_2 \beta_1 \\ \sqrt{2} \alpha_1 \beta_3 - \sqrt{2} \alpha_3 \beta_1 \end{pmatrix}$
$ \begin{array}{l} \underline{4' \otimes 5} = \underline{2 \oplus 2' \oplus 4' \oplus 6_1 \oplus 6_2} \\ \underline{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_1 + \alpha_1\beta_2 - 2\alpha_4\beta_4 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_3\beta_5 \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_2\beta_2 + \alpha_4\beta_5 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_1 + 2\alpha_1\beta_3 \end{pmatrix} \end{array} $	
$2' = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3}\alpha_4\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_4 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_1 - 2\alpha_2\beta_5\\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_4\beta_1 + \sqrt{3}\alpha_1\beta_4 + 2\alpha_3\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_3 \end{pmatrix}$	$oldsymbol{4}'=egin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}lpha_2eta_5-lpha_1eta_1-\sqrt{2}lpha_3eta_4\ \sqrt{2}lpha_4eta_4+lpha_2eta_1+\sqrt{2}lpha_1eta_2\ \sqrt{2}lpha_4eta_5+lpha_3eta_1-\sqrt{2}lpha_1eta_3\ \sqrt{2}lpha_3eta_2+\sqrt{2}lpha_2eta_3-lpha_4eta_1\end{pmatrix}$
$\mathbf{6_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_4 + \sqrt{6}\alpha_1\beta_5 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_3 \\ -\sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_3 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_4\beta_2 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_4 \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_5 + \sqrt{6}\alpha_4\beta_3 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_4 \\ \alpha_3\beta_5 + \sqrt{6}\alpha_2\beta_1 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_1\beta_2 \\ \alpha_2\beta_2 + \sqrt{3}\alpha_4\beta_5 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_3\beta_1 \\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_1\beta_4 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_3 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\mathbf{6_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha_4\beta_2 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_2\beta_4 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_3\beta_3\\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_3\beta_3 + 2\alpha_1\beta_5 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_2\beta_4\\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_3\beta_4 - \alpha_4\beta_3 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_1\beta_1\\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_4\beta_4 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_2 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_3\beta_5\\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_3 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_4\beta_5 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_2\beta_2\\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_2\beta_3 + \sqrt{6}\alpha_4\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_4 \end{pmatrix}$

$4'\otimes 6=3\oplus 3'\oplus 4_1\oplus 4_2\oplus 5_1\oplus 5_2$

$$\mathbf{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha_{1}\beta_{6} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{3}\beta_{4} - 2\alpha_{4}\beta_{3} - \sqrt{6}\alpha_{2}\beta_{5} \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{2} + \alpha_{4}\beta_{4} + \sqrt{3}\alpha_{3}\beta_{5} - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{6}\alpha_{2}\beta_{6} \\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_{3}\beta_{3} + \sqrt{3}\alpha_{2}\beta_{4} - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{2} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{1} - \alpha_{1}\beta_{5} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{3}' = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{6}\alpha_{4}\beta_{3} + 2\alpha_{3}\beta_{4} - 2\alpha_{2}\beta_{5} - \sqrt{6}\alpha_{1}\beta_{6} \\ 3\alpha_{2}\beta_{1} + \alpha_{2}\beta_{2} + \sqrt{3}\alpha_{1}\beta_{3} - \sqrt{6}\alpha_{4}\beta_{5} - \alpha_{3}\beta_{6} \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_{4}\beta_{6} + 3\alpha_{3}\beta_{2} - \alpha_{2}\beta_{3} - \alpha_{3}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{6}\alpha_{1}\beta_{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{4}_{\mathbf{1}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{4} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{2}\beta_{6} \\ -\sqrt{3}\alpha_{2}\beta_{2} - \alpha_{1}\beta_{3} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{5} \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{4} + \alpha_{4}\beta_{6} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{3}\beta_{1} \\ -\alpha_{4}\beta_{2} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{3}\beta_{3} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{5} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{5}_{\mathbf{1}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{6}\alpha_{1}\beta_{2} + \alpha_{3}\beta_{5} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{6} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{4}\beta_{4} \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{2} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{6} - \sqrt{6}\alpha_{1}\beta_{3} \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{4}\beta_{6} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{2} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} \\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_{4}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{3} - \alpha_{2}\beta_{4} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{1}\beta_{5} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{5}_{\mathbf{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha_{1}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{3} - \alpha_{2}\beta_{4} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{3}\beta_{5} \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_{2}\beta_{2} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{2}\beta_{1} - \alpha_{1}\beta_{3} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{5} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{3}\beta_{6} \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_{3}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{4} + \alpha_{4}\beta_{6} + \sqrt{3}\alpha_{3}\beta_{2} + \sqrt{3}\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{5} - 2\alpha_{4}\beta_{2} - \sqrt{6}\alpha_{2}\beta_{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{4_2} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_5 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_1\beta_2 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_4\beta_4 - \alpha_2\beta_6\\ \alpha_2\beta_1 - 2\alpha_2\beta_2 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_1\beta_3 - 2\alpha_3\beta_6\\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_4\beta_6 + 2\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2 - 2\alpha_3\beta_1\\ -\sqrt{3}\alpha_4\beta_1 - \alpha_3\beta_3 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_4 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_1\beta_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{\overline{5} \otimes 5} &= \mathbf{1}_{s} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{a} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{a}' \oplus \mathbf{4}_{s} \oplus \mathbf{4}_{a} \oplus \mathbf{5}_{1,s} \oplus \mathbf{5}_{2,s} \\ \mathbf{1}_{s} &= \alpha_{1}\beta_{1} + \alpha_{5}\beta_{2} + \alpha_{2}\beta_{5} + \alpha_{4}\beta_{3} + \alpha_{3}\beta_{4} \\ \mathbf{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{4} - 2\alpha_{4}\beta_{3} + \alpha_{2}\beta_{5} - \alpha_{5}\beta_{2} \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_{2}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{1}\beta_{2} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{5} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{5}\beta_{3} \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{4} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{2} + \sqrt{3}\alpha_{1}\beta_{5} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{5}\beta_{1} \\ \mathbf{3}'_{a} &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{4}\beta_{3} - \alpha_{3}\beta_{4} + 2\alpha_{2}\beta_{5} - 2\alpha_{5}\beta_{2} \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_{1}\beta_{3} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{3}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{5} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{5}\beta_{4} \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_{4}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{1}\beta_{4} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{2} \\ \mathbf{4}_{s} &= \begin{pmatrix} 4\alpha_{4}\beta_{4} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{2}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{1}\beta_{2} - \alpha_{5}\beta_{3} - \alpha_{3}\beta_{5} \\ 4\alpha_{2}\beta_{2} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{3}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{1}\beta_{4} - \alpha_{3}\beta_{2} - \alpha_{2}\beta_{3} \\ 4\alpha_{3}\beta_{3} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{5}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{1}\beta_{5} - \alpha_{4}\beta_{2} - \alpha_{2}\beta_{4} \\ 4\alpha_{3}\beta_{3} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{5}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{1}\beta_{5} - \alpha_{4}\beta_{2} - \alpha_{2}\beta_{4} \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{3} + \sqrt{3}\alpha_{4}\beta_{5} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{5}\beta_{3} \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_{3}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{3} + \sqrt{3}\alpha_{3}\beta_{5} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{5}\beta_{3} \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{3} + \sqrt{3}\alpha_{4}\beta_{5} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{5}\beta_{4} \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_{4}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{4} + \sqrt{3}\alpha_{3}\beta_{2} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_{4}\beta_{2} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{2}\beta_{4} + \sqrt{2}\alpha_{1}\beta_{5} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{5}\beta_{1} \\ \mathbf{5}_{1,s} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha_{1}\beta_{1} + \alpha_{5}\beta_{2} + \alpha_{2}\beta_{5} - 2\alpha_{4}\beta_{3} - 2\alpha_{3}\beta_{4} \\ \alpha_{2}\beta_{1} + \alpha_{1}\beta_{2} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{5}\beta_{3} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{3}\beta_{5} \\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_{2}\beta_{2} - 2\alpha_{3}\beta_{1} - 2\alpha_{1}\beta_{4} \\ \alpha_{5}\beta_{1} + \alpha_{1}\beta_{5} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{4}\beta_{2} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{2}\beta_{4} \\ \mathbf{5}_{2,s} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha_{1}\beta_{1} + \alpha_{4}\beta_{3} + \alpha_{3}\beta_{4} - 2\alpha_{5}\beta_{2} - 2\alpha_{2}\beta_{5} \\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_{4}\beta_{4} - 2\alpha_{2}\beta_{1} - 2\alpha_{1}\beta_{4} \\ \alpha_{5}\beta_{1} + \alpha_{1}\beta_{3} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{5}\beta_{4} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{4}\beta_{5} \\ \alpha_{4}\beta_{1} + \alpha_{1}\beta_{4} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{3}\beta_{2} + \sqrt{6}\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} \\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_{3}\beta_{3} - 2\alpha_{5}\beta_{1} - 2\alpha_{1}\beta_{5} \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathbf{5}\otimes \mathbf{6} = \mathbf{2}\oplus \mathbf{2}'\oplus \mathbf{4}_1'\oplus \mathbf{4}_2'\oplus \mathbf{6}_1\oplus \mathbf{6}_2\oplus \mathbf{6}_3$ $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{3}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{3}\alpha_{1}\beta_{4} + \alpha_{4}\beta_{6} + 2\alpha_{3}\beta_{2} - 2\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{5}\beta_{5} \\ 2\alpha_{4}\beta_{1} + \alpha_{3}\beta_{3} + 2\alpha_{5}\beta_{6} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_{1}\beta_{5} - \alpha_{4}\beta_{2} - \sqrt{2}\alpha_{2}\beta_{4} \end{pmatrix}$ **2** = $\left(3\alpha_2\beta_1+\alpha_2\beta_2+\sqrt{6}\alpha_1\beta_3+\sqrt{2}\alpha_5\beta_4+2\sqrt{2}\alpha_4\beta_5-2\alpha_3\beta_6\right)$ 2' = $\begin{cases} 3a_{2}\rho_{1} + a_{2}\rho_{2} + \sqrt{6a_{1}\rho_{3}} + \sqrt{2a_{3}\rho_{4}} + 2\sqrt{2a_{4}\rho_{5}} - 2a_{3}\rho_{6} \\ \sqrt{6a_{1}\beta_{6}} + 3a_{5}\beta_{2} + \sqrt{2}a_{2}\beta_{5} + 2a_{4}\beta_{3} - 2\sqrt{2}a_{3}\beta_{4} - a_{5}\beta_{1} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{6a_{1}\beta_{3}} + a_{3}\beta_{6} + \sqrt{2}a_{5}\beta_{4} - \sqrt{2}a_{4}\beta_{5} - 2a_{2}\beta_{2} \\ \sqrt{3}a_{3}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{3}a_{3}\beta_{2} + \sqrt{6}a_{5}\beta_{5} - \sqrt{3}a_{4}\beta_{6} \\ \sqrt{3}a_{4}\beta_{1} + \sqrt{6}a_{2}\beta_{4} - \sqrt{3}a_{4}\beta_{2} - \sqrt{3}a_{3}\beta_{3} \\ a_{4}\beta_{3} - \sqrt{2}a_{3}\beta_{4} - 2a_{5}\beta_{1} - \sqrt{2}a_{2}\beta_{5} - \sqrt{6}a_{1}\beta_{6} \end{pmatrix}$ $4'_1 =$ $\mathbf{4'_2} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_2 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_3 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_1 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_3\beta_6 \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_2 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_3 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_1 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_1\beta_4 - \alpha_5\beta_5 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_4\beta_6 \end{pmatrix}$ $\sqrt{2}\alpha_4\beta_1 + \sqrt{6}\alpha_1\beta_5 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_5\beta_6 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_4\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_4 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_3\beta_3$ $\sqrt{6\alpha_5\beta_2} - \sqrt{3}\alpha_2\beta_5 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_4\beta_3$ $\alpha_1\beta_1 + \sqrt{3}\alpha_4\beta_4 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_2\beta_6$ $\alpha_1\underline{\beta}_2 + \sqrt{6}\alpha_5\beta_3 + \sqrt{3}\alpha_3\beta_5$ $\begin{array}{c} \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \sqrt{6\alpha_5 \beta_3} + \sqrt{5\alpha_3 \beta_5} \\ \sqrt{6\alpha_2 \beta_2} + \alpha_1 \beta_3 - \sqrt{3\alpha_4 \beta_5} \\ \sqrt{3\alpha_3 \beta_1} + \sqrt{3\alpha_4 \beta_6} - 2\alpha_1 \beta_4 \\ \sqrt{3\alpha_4 \beta_2} - \sqrt{3\alpha_3 \beta_3} - 2\alpha_1 \beta_5 \\ \sqrt{3\alpha_3 \beta_4} + \alpha_1 \beta_6 - \sqrt{6\alpha_5 \beta_1} \end{array}$ $\sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_1 + \sqrt{3}\alpha_2\beta_6 + \sqrt{3}\alpha_5\beta_3 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_3\beta_5 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_4\beta_4$ $\sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_2 + \sqrt{6}\alpha_4\beta_4 + \sqrt{3}\alpha_2\beta_6 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_5\beta_3 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_3\beta_5$ $\sqrt{3}\alpha_2\beta_1 + \sqrt{6}\alpha_5\beta_4 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_2\beta_2 - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_1\beta_3$ **6**₃ = $\sqrt{2\alpha_1\beta_4} + \sqrt{6\alpha_3\beta_2} + \sqrt{6\alpha_2\beta_3} - \sqrt{6\alpha_3\beta_1}$ $\sqrt{2\alpha_1\beta_5} + \sqrt{6\alpha_5\beta_6} - \sqrt{6\alpha_4\beta_1} - \sqrt{6\alpha_4\beta_2}$ $\sqrt{3\alpha_5\beta_1} + \sqrt{3\alpha_5\beta_2} + \sqrt{6\alpha_2\beta_5} - 2\sqrt{2\alpha_1\beta_6}$

$$\mathbf{6_2} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3}\alpha_1\beta_1 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_5\beta_3 - 2\alpha_3\beta_5 - \alpha_4\beta_4 \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_1\beta_2 + 2\alpha_4\beta_4 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_6 - \alpha_3\beta_5 \\ \sqrt{2}\alpha_2\beta_1 + 2\alpha_5\beta_4 - \alpha_4\beta_5 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_1\beta_3 \\ \alpha_4\beta_6 + 2\alpha_3\beta_2 + 2\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_1 \\ 2\alpha_5\beta_6 - 2\alpha_4\beta_1 - \alpha_4\beta_2 - \alpha_3\beta_3 \\ \alpha_3\beta_4 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_5\beta_2 + 2\alpha_2\beta_5 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_1\beta_6 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\mathbf{6} \otimes \mathbf{6} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{a}} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{s}} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2},\mathbf{s}} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2},\mathbf{s}} \oplus \mathbf{4}_{\mathbf{s}} \oplus \mathbf{4}_{\mathbf{a}} \oplus \mathbf{5}_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{s}} \oplus \mathbf{5}_{\mathbf{2},\mathbf{a}} \oplus \mathbf{5}_{\mathbf{3},\mathbf{a}} \\ & \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{a}} = a_2\beta_1 - a_1\beta_2 + a_6\beta_3 - a_3\beta_6 + a_5\beta_4 - a_4\beta_5 \\ & \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{s}} = \begin{pmatrix} a_6\beta_3 + a_3\beta_6 - a_2\beta_1 - a_1\beta_2 - a_5\beta_4 - a_4\beta_5 \\ \sqrt{2}a_5\beta_5 - \sqrt{2}a_3\beta_2 - \sqrt{2}a_2\beta_3 \\ -\sqrt{2}a_4\beta_4 - \sqrt{2}a_6\beta_1 - \sqrt{2}a_1\beta_6 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2},\mathbf{s}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2a_2\beta_2 + 2a_5\beta_4 + 2a_4\beta_5 - 2a_1\beta_1 \\ \sqrt{2}a_6\beta_1 + \sqrt{2}a_1\beta_6 - \sqrt{2}a_6\beta_2 - \sqrt{2}a_2\beta_6 - 2a_5\beta_3 - 2a_3\beta_5 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1},\mathbf{s}} = \begin{pmatrix} a_2\beta_1 + a_1\beta_2 + a_6\beta_3 + a_3\beta_6 \\ a_6\beta_5 + a_5\beta_6 - a_4\beta_2 - a_2\beta_4 \\ -a_5\beta_1 - a_1\beta_5 - a_4\beta_3 - a_3\beta_4 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2},\mathbf{s}} = \begin{pmatrix} a_2\beta_2 - a_1\beta_1 - a_6\beta_3 - a_3\beta_6 - a_5\beta_4 - a_4\beta_5 \\ a_4\beta_2 + a_2\beta_4 - \sqrt{2}a_3\beta_3 - a_4\beta_1 - a_1\beta_4 \\ \sqrt{2}a_6\beta_6 + a_5\beta_1 + a_1\beta_5 + a_5\beta_2 + a_2\beta_5 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathbf{4}_{\mathbf{s}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\sqrt{2}a_3\beta_3 - 3a_4\beta_1 - 3a_1\beta_4 - a_4\beta_2 - a_2\beta_4 - a_6\beta_5 - a_5\beta_6 \\ 2\sqrt{2}a_6\beta_6 + a_5\beta_1 + a_1\beta_5 - 3a_5\beta_2 - 3a_2\beta_5 - \sqrt{2}a_3\beta_2 - \sqrt{2}a_2\beta_3 \\ 2\sqrt{2}a_6\beta_1 + \sqrt{2}a_1\beta_6 + 2\sqrt{2}a_6\beta_2 + 2\sqrt{2}a_2\beta_6 - 2\sqrt{2}a_4\beta_4 - a_5\beta_3 - a_3\beta_5 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \mathbf{4}_{\mathbf{a}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}a_2\beta_3 - \sqrt{2}a_3\beta_2 + a_4\beta_6 - a_6\beta_4 \\ a_4\beta_1 - a_1\beta_4 + a_2\beta_4 - a_4\beta_2 + a_6\beta_5 - a_5\beta_6 \\ a_5\beta_1 - a_1\beta_5 + a_5\beta_2 - a_2\beta_5 + a_3\beta_4 - a_4\beta_3 \\ \sqrt{2}a_6\beta_1 - \sqrt{2}a_1\beta_6 + a_3\beta_5 - a_5\beta_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ & -\sqrt{6}a_1\beta_1 - \sqrt{6}a_2\beta_2 \\ 2a_5\beta_5 + a_3\beta_1 + a_1\beta_3 + a_3\beta_2 + a_2\beta_3 + \sqrt{2}a_6\beta_4 + \sqrt{2}a_4\beta_6 \\ 2a_3\beta_3 + \sqrt{2}a_4\beta_2 + \sqrt{2}a_2\beta_4 + \sqrt{2}a_5\beta_5 + \sqrt{2}a_3\beta_6 \\ 2a_6\beta_6 + \sqrt{2}a_4\beta_3 + \sqrt{2}a_3\beta_4 - \sqrt{2}a_5\beta_1 - \sqrt{2}a_3\beta_5 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

(Table continued)

(Continued)

$$\mathbf{5_{2,a}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \beta_1 + 2\alpha_5 \beta_4 - 2\alpha_4 \beta_5 + \alpha_3 \beta_6 - \alpha_6 \beta_3 \\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_1 \beta_3 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_3 \beta_1 \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_4 \beta_2 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_2 \beta_4 + \sqrt{3}\alpha_6 \beta_5 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_5 \beta_6 \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_3 \beta_4 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_4 \beta_3 + \sqrt{3}\alpha_1 \beta_5 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_5 \beta_1 \\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_2 \beta_6 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_6 \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{5_{3,a}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\alpha_2 \beta_1 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_1 \beta_2 + \sqrt{2}\alpha_5 \beta_4 - \sqrt{2}\alpha_4 \beta_5 + 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_3 \beta_6 - 2\sqrt{2}\alpha_6 \beta_3 \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_1 \beta_3 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_3 \beta_1 + \sqrt{3}\alpha_2 \beta_3 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_3 \beta_2 + \sqrt{6}\alpha_6 \beta_4 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_4 \beta_6 \\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_4 \beta_1 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_1 \beta_4 + \sqrt{6}\alpha_4 \beta_2 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_2 \beta_4 \\ \sqrt{6}\alpha_5 \beta_2 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_2 \beta_5 + \sqrt{6}\alpha_1 \beta_5 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_5 \beta_1 \\ \sqrt{3}\alpha_6 \beta_1 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_1 \beta_6 + \sqrt{6}\alpha_5 \beta_3 - \sqrt{6}\alpha_3 \beta_5 + \sqrt{3}\alpha_2 \beta_6 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_6 \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

APPENDIX B: CONSTRUCT WEIGHT 1 AND LEVEL 5 MODULAR FORMS WITH KLEIN FORM

where the expression of the eta-function $\eta(\tau)$ is [86–88],

$$\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n), \qquad q \equiv e^{i2\pi\tau}.$$
 (B2)

The linear space $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma(5))$ of modular forms of positive integral weight *k* and level 5 has been explicitly constructed through the Dedekind eta-function and Klein form as follow [85]

$$\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma(5)) = \bigoplus_{a+b=5k,a,b\geq 0} \mathbb{C} \frac{\eta^{15k}(5\tau)}{\eta^{3k}(\tau)} \mathbf{f}^a_{\frac{1}{5},\frac{0}{5}}(5\tau) \mathbf{f}^b_{\frac{2}{5},\frac{0}{5}}(5\tau), \quad (B1)$$

The Klein form
$$\mathbf{t}_{(r_1,r_2)}(\tau)$$
 in Eq. (B1) is a holomorphic function which has no zeros and poles on the upper half complex plane. The Klein form can be written into an infinite product expansion [88–91]:

$$\mathbf{f}_{(r_1,r_2)}(\tau) = q_z^{(r_1-1)/2} (1-q_z) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n q_z) (1-q^n q_z^{-1}) (1-q^n)^{-2},$$
(B3)

where $q_z = e^{2\pi i z}$ with $z = r_1 \tau + r_2$. From Eq. (B1) we see that the modular forms of weight k and level 5 spans a linear space of dimension 5k + 1. For the weight k = 1 modular forms, the modular space $\mathcal{M}_1(\Gamma(5))$ has dimension 6 and the basis vectors can be chosen to be

Γ

$$e_{1}(\tau) = \frac{\eta^{15}(5\tau)}{\eta^{3}(\tau)} \mathbf{f}_{\frac{2}{5},0}^{5}(5\tau), \qquad e_{2}(\tau) = \frac{\eta^{15}(5\tau)}{\eta^{3}(\tau)} \mathbf{f}_{\frac{1}{5},0}^{1}(5\tau) \mathbf{f}_{\frac{2}{5},0}^{4}(5\tau),$$

$$e_{3}(\tau) = \frac{\eta^{15}(5\tau)}{\eta^{3}(\tau)} \mathbf{f}_{\frac{1}{5},0}^{2}(5\tau) \mathbf{f}_{\frac{2}{5},0}^{3}(5\tau), \qquad e_{4}(\tau) = \frac{\eta^{15}(5\tau)}{\eta^{3}(\tau)} \mathbf{f}_{\frac{1}{5},0}^{3}(5\tau) \mathbf{f}_{\frac{2}{5},0}^{2}(5\tau),$$

$$e_{5}(\tau) = \frac{\eta^{15}(5\tau)}{\eta^{3}(\tau)} \mathbf{f}_{\frac{1}{5},0}^{4}(5\tau) \mathbf{f}_{\frac{2}{5},0}^{2}(5\tau), \qquad e_{6}(\tau) = \frac{\eta^{15}(5\tau)}{\eta^{3}(\tau)} \mathbf{f}_{\frac{1}{5},0}^{5}(5\tau). \qquad (B4)$$

The above basis vectors are linearly independent and they span the weight 1 modular space $\mathcal{M}_1(\Gamma(5))$. Any modular function of weight 1 and level 5 can be expressed as a linear combination of the basis elements e_i with i = 1, 2, ..., 6. Under the action of the generator *T*, they transform as

$$\begin{split} e_{1}(\tau) &\to e_{1}(\tau), \qquad e_{2}(\tau) \to e^{i\frac{2\pi}{5}}e_{2}(\tau), \qquad e_{3}(\tau) \to e^{i\frac{4\pi}{5}}e_{3}(\tau), \\ e_{4}(\tau) &\to e^{i\frac{6\pi}{5}}e_{4}(\tau), \qquad e_{5}(\tau) \to e^{i\frac{8\pi}{5}}e_{5}(\tau), \qquad e_{6}(\tau) \to e_{6}(\tau). \end{split}$$
(B5)

Furthermore, we find the following transformation properties under another generator S,

$$\begin{split} e_{1}(\tau) &\to -\tau \frac{\sqrt{5}i}{\sqrt{5\sqrt{5}\phi}} \left\{ \frac{\phi^{3}}{5} e_{1}(\tau) + \phi^{2} e_{2}(\tau) + 2\phi e_{3}(\tau) + 2e_{4}(\tau) + \frac{1}{\phi} e_{5}(\tau) + \frac{1}{5\phi^{2}} e_{6}(\tau) \right\}, \\ e_{2}(\tau) &\to -\tau \frac{i}{\sqrt{5\sqrt{5}\phi}} \left\{ \frac{\phi^{2}}{\sqrt{5}} e_{1}(\tau) + e_{2}(\tau) - 2e_{3}(\tau) - 2\phi e_{4}(\tau) - \phi e_{5}(\tau) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}\phi} e_{6}(\tau) \right\}, \\ e_{3}(\tau) &\to -\tau \frac{i}{\sqrt{5\sqrt{5}\phi}} \left\{ \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{5}} e_{1}(\tau) - e_{2}(\tau) - \phi e_{3}(\tau) + e_{4}(\tau) + \phi e_{5}(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} e_{6}(\tau) \right\}, \\ e_{4}(\tau) &\to -\tau \frac{i}{\sqrt{5\sqrt{5}\phi}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} e_{1}(\tau) - \phi e_{2}(\tau) + e_{3}(\tau) + \phi e_{4}(\tau) - e_{5}(\tau) - \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{5}} e_{6}(\tau) \right\}, \\ e_{5}(\tau) &\to -\tau \frac{i}{\sqrt{5\sqrt{5}\phi}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}\phi} e_{1}(\tau) - \phi e_{2}(\tau) + 2\phi e_{3}(\tau) - 2e_{4}(\tau) - e_{5}(\tau) + \frac{\phi^{2}}{\sqrt{5}} e_{6}(\tau) \right\}, \\ e_{6}(\tau) &\to -\tau \frac{\sqrt{5}i}{\sqrt{5\sqrt{5}\phi}} \left\{ \frac{1}{5\phi^{2}} e_{1}(\tau) - \frac{1}{\phi} e_{2}(\tau) + 2e_{3}(\tau) - 2\phi e_{4}(\tau) + \phi^{2} e_{5}(\tau) - \frac{\phi^{3}}{5} e_{6}(\tau) \right\}, \end{split}$$
(B6)

where $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ is the golden ratio. We see that the basis vectors e_i are closed under S and T up to multiplicative factors, and each element is exactly mapped into itself under the action of S^4 , $(ST)^3$ and T^5 . Therefore we conclude that e_i really span the whole modular space $\mathcal{M}_1(\Gamma(5))$. As shown in [9,53], the modular form space of weight k and level N can always be decomposed into different irreducible representations of Γ'_N . We can perform linear combinations of the six basis vectors $e_{1,2,3,4,5,6}$ to form a six dimensional representation **6** of A'_5 ,

$$Y_{6}(\tau) = (Y_{1}(\tau), Y_{2}(\tau), Y_{3}(\tau), Y_{4}(\tau), Y_{5}(\tau), Y_{6}(\tau))^{T},$$
(B7)

with

$$\begin{split} Y_1(\tau) &= e_1(\tau) + 2e_6(\tau), \qquad Y_2(\tau) = 2e_1(\tau) - e_6(\tau), \qquad Y_3(\tau) = 5e_2(\tau), \\ Y_4(\tau) &= 5\sqrt{2}e_3(\tau), \qquad Y_5(\tau) = -5\sqrt{2}e_4(\tau), \qquad Y_6(\tau) = 5e_5(\tau). \end{split} \tag{B8}$$

Under the actions of the modular symmetry generators S and T, the modular form $Y_6(\tau)$ transforms as

$$Y_{6}(-1/\tau) = -\tau \rho_{6}(S)Y_{6}(\tau), \qquad Y_{6}(\tau+1) = \rho_{6}(T)Y_{6}(\tau), \tag{B9}$$

where the six dimensional representation matrices $\rho_6(S)$ and $\rho_6(T)$ are given in Table XI. Furthermore, we can read out the *q*-expansion of the modular forms Y_i as

$$\begin{split} Y_{1}(\tau) &= 1 + 5q + 10q^{3} - 5q^{4} + 5q^{5} + 10q^{6} + 5q^{9} + \cdots, \\ Y_{2}(\tau) &= 2 + 5q + 10q^{2} + 5q^{4} + 5q^{5} + 10q^{6} + 10q^{7} - 5q^{9} + \cdots, \\ Y_{3}(\tau) &= 5q^{1/5}(1 + 2q + 2q^{2} + q^{3} + 2q^{4} + 2q^{5} + 2q^{6} + q^{7} + 2q^{8} + 2q^{9} + \cdots), \\ Y_{4}(\tau) &= 5\sqrt{2}q^{2/5}(1 + q + q^{2} + q^{3} + 2q^{4} + q^{6} + q^{7} + 2q^{8} + q^{9} + \cdots), \\ Y_{5}(\tau) &= -5\sqrt{2}q^{3/5}(1 + q^{2} + q^{3} + q^{4} - q^{5} + 2q^{6} + q^{8} + q^{9} + \cdots), \\ Y_{6}(\tau) &= 5q^{4/5}(1 - q + 2q^{2} + 2q^{6} - 2q^{7} + 2q^{8} + q^{9} + \cdots). \end{split}$$
(B10)

Rep.	$\rho_{\mathbf{r}}(S)$	$\rho_{\mathbf{r}}(T)$	$\rho_{\mathbf{r}}(R)$
1 2	$i\sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}\phi}}\begin{pmatrix}\phi & 1\\ 1 & -\phi\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ \phi_5^2 & 0\\ 0 & \phi^3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
2′	$\phi_{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}\phi}}}\begin{pmatrix}1&\phi\\\phi&-1\end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi_5 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} \omega_5 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_5^4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
3	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} \\ -\sqrt{2} & -\phi & \frac{1}{\phi} \\ -\sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{\phi} & -\phi \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_5^4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
3′	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & -\frac{1}{\phi} & \phi \\ \sqrt{2} & \phi & -\frac{1}{\phi} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_5^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_5^3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
4	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\phi} & \phi & -1 \\ \frac{1}{\phi} & -1 & 1 & \phi \\ \phi & 1 & -1 & \frac{1}{\phi} \\ -1 & \phi & \frac{1}{\tau} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_5^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_5^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_5^4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
4′	$i\sqrt{\frac{1}{5\sqrt{5}\phi}} \begin{pmatrix} -\phi^2 & \sqrt{3}\phi & -\sqrt{3} & -\frac{1}{\phi} \\ \sqrt{3}\phi & \frac{1}{\phi} & -\phi^2 & -\sqrt{3} \\ -\sqrt{3} & -\phi^2 & -\frac{1}{\phi} & -\sqrt{3}\phi \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2}\phi & \frac{42}{\phi} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_5^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_5^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_5^4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
5	$ \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\phi} & -\sqrt{3} & -\sqrt{3}\phi & \phi^2 \end{pmatrix} $ $ \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \sqrt{6} & \sqrt{6} & \sqrt{6} & \sqrt{6} \\ \sqrt{6} & \frac{1}{\phi^2} & -2\phi & \frac{2}{\phi} & \phi^2 \\ \sqrt{6} & -2\phi & \phi^2 & \frac{1}{\phi^2} & \frac{2}{\phi} \\ \sqrt{6} & \frac{2}{\phi} & \frac{1}{\phi^2} & \phi^2 & -2\phi \\ \sqrt{6} & \phi^2 & \frac{2}{\tau} & -2\phi & \frac{1}{\tau^2} \end{pmatrix} $	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_5^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_5^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_5^4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
6	$i\sqrt{\frac{1}{5\sqrt{5}\phi}}\begin{pmatrix} -1 & \phi & \frac{1}{\phi} & \sqrt{2}\phi & \sqrt{2} & \phi^2\\ \phi & 1 & \phi^2 & \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2}\phi & -\frac{1}{\phi}\\ \frac{1}{\phi} & \phi^2 & 1 & -\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2}\phi & -\phi\\ \sqrt{2}\phi & \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} & -\phi & -1 & \sqrt{2}\phi\\ \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2}\phi & \sqrt{2}\phi & -1 & \phi & \sqrt{2}\\ \phi^2 & -\frac{1}{\phi} & -\phi & \sqrt{2}\phi & \sqrt{2} & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_5^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_5^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_5^4 \end{pmatrix}$	$ \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} $

TABLE XI. The representation matrices of the generators *S*, *T* and *R* in different irreducible representations of A'_5 , where ω_5 is the quintic unit root $\omega_5 = e^{2\pi i/5}$.

APPENDIX C: PROVE THE IDENTITIES IN EQ. (100)

In Sec. VI, we find the identities between the theta constants and Kelin forms in Eq. (100) which is checked numerically. We will prove them by using the famous Jacobi triple product identity. Given the definition of eta function, Klein form and theta constant in Eqs. (B2), (B3), (93), the identities in Eq. (100) become the following formulas,

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{(5n^2+n)/2} = (1-q^2)$$
$$\times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^{5n})(1-q^{5n-2})(1-q^{5n+2}), \quad (C1)$$

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{(5n^2+3n)/2}$$

= $(1-q) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^{5n})(1-q^{5n+1})(1-q^{5n-1}).$ (C2)

Notice that these formulas relate the infinite triple product with infinite summation, this reminds us of the famous Jacobi triple product identity which is the special case of the more general Macdonald identity [92,93]:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x^{n^2} y^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n})(1 + x^{2n-1}y)(1 + x^{2n-1}y^{-1}),$$
(C3)

where $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|x| < 1, y \neq 0$. Taking $x = q^{5/2}$ and $y = -q^{1/2}$ in the above Jacobi triple product identity, we can straightforwardly obtain Eq. (C1), and similarly Eq. (C2) follows from $x = q^{5/2}$ and $y = -q^{3/2}$.

APPENDIX D: HIGHER WEIGHT MODULAR FORMS OF LEVEL 5

The weight 4 modular multiplets can be generated from the product of Y_6 and the modular forms of weight 3. We find there are 21 linearly independent modular forms which can be taken to be

$$\begin{split} Y_{1}^{(4)} &= (Y_{6}^{(1)}Y_{6l}^{(3)})_{\mathbf{1}_{a}} = \begin{pmatrix} -Y_{2}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} - Y_{1}Y_{6l,2}^{(3)} + Y_{6}Y_{6l,3}^{(3)} - Y_{5}Y_{6l,4}^{(3)} - Y_{4}Y_{6l,5}^{(3)} + Y_{3}Y_{6l,6}^{(3)} \\ &-\sqrt{2}(Y_{3}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} + Y_{4}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} - Y_{5}Y_{6l,5}^{(3)}) \\ &-\sqrt{2}(Y_{6}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} + Y_{4}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} + Y_{1}Y_{6l,6}^{(3)}) \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_{3}^{(4)} &= (Y_{6}^{(1)}Y_{6ll}^{(3)})_{\mathbf{3}_{1,s}} = \begin{pmatrix} -Y_{1}Y_{6l,2}^{(3)} - Y_{2}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} + Y_{3}Y_{6l,6}^{(3)} - Y_{4}Y_{6l,5}^{(3)} - Y_{5}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} + Y_{6}Y_{6l,5}^{(3)} \\ &-\sqrt{2}Y_{2}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} - \sqrt{2}Y_{3}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} - \sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} \\ &-\sqrt{2}Y_{1}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} - \sqrt{2}Y_{4}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} - \sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} \\ &-\sqrt{2}Y_{1}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} - \sqrt{2}Y_{4}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} - \sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} \\ &Y_{4}^{(4)} &= (Y_{6}^{(1)}Y_{6l}^{(3)})_{\mathbf{3}_{2,s}} = \begin{pmatrix} -Y_{1}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} + Y_{2}Y_{6l,2}^{(3)} - Y_{6}Y_{6l,3}^{(3)} - Y_{5}Y_{6l,3}^{(3)} - Y_{5}Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} \\ &Y_{4}(Y_{6l,2}^{(3)} - Y_{6l,1}^{(3)}) - \sqrt{2}Y_{3}Y_{6l,3}^{(3)} + (Y_{2} - Y_{1})Y_{6l,4}^{(3)} \\ &Y_{5}(Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} + Y_{6l,2}^{(3)}) + (Y_{1} + Y_{2})Y_{6l,5}^{(3)} + \sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y_{6l,5}^{(3)} \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_{4}^{(4)} &= (Y_{6}^{(1)}Y_{6l}^{(3)})_{\mathbf{4}_{s}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{2}Y_{3}Y_{6l,2}^{(3)} + \sqrt{2}Y_{2}Y_{6l,3}^{(3)} - Y_{5}Y_{6l,3}^{(3)} + (Y_{2} - Y_{1})Y_{6l,6}^{(3)} \\ &Y_{5}(Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} + Y_{6l,2}^{(3)}) - Y_{1}Y_{6l,4}^{(3)} + Y_{2}Y_{6l,5}^{(3)} + \sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y_{6l,5}^{(3)} \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_{4}^{(4)} &= (Y_{6}^{(1)}Y_{6l}^{(3)})_{\mathbf{4}_{s}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{2}Y_{3}Y_{6l,2}^{(3)} + \sqrt{2}Y_{2}Y_{6l,3}^{(3)} + Y_{2}Y_{6l,3}^{(3)} - Y_{2}Y_{6l,5}^{(3)} \\ &Y_{5}(Y_{6l,1}^{(3)} + Y_{6l,2}^{(3)}) - Y_{1}Y_{6l,3}^{(3)} + Y_{2}Y_{6l,3}^{(3)} + Y_{2}Y_{6l,5}^{(3)} \end{pmatrix}, \\ &Y_{2}^{(4)} &= (Y_{6}^{(1)}Y_{6l}^{(3)})_{\mathbf{5}_{1,s}} = \begin{pmatrix} -Y_{1}Y_{6l,3}^{(3)} + Y_{2}Y_{6l,3}^{(3)} + Y_{2}Y_{6l,3}^{(3)} + Y_{2}Y_{6l,3}^{(3)} + Y_{2}Y_{6l,5}^{(3)} + Y_{2}Y_{6l,5}^{(3)} \end{pmatrix}, \\ &Y_{2}(Y_{6}^{(3)} + Y_{6}^{(3)})_{\mathbf{5}_{1,s}} = \begin{pmatrix} -Y_{1}Y_{6}^{(3)} + Y_{2}Y_{6}^{(3)} + Y_{2}Y_{6}^{(3)} + Y_{2}Y_{6}^{(3)} + Y_{2}Y_{6}^{(3)} + Y_{2}Y_{6}^{($$

$$Y_{5II}^{(4)} = (Y_{6}^{(1)}Y_{6I}^{(3)})_{5_{2,a}} = \begin{pmatrix} -Y_2Y_{6I,1}^{(3)} + Y_1Y_{6I,2}^{(3)} - Y_6Y_{6I,3}^{(3)} + 2Y_5Y_{6I,4}^{(3)} - 2Y_4Y_{6I,5}^{(3)} + Y_3Y_{6I,6}^{(3)} \\ \sqrt{6}(Y_1Y_{6I,3}^{(3)} - Y_3Y_{6I,1}^{(3)}) \\ \sqrt{3}(Y_4Y_{6I,2}^{(3)} - Y_2Y_{6I,4}^{(3)} + Y_6Y_{6I,5}^{(3)} - Y_5Y_{6I,6}^{(3)}) \\ \sqrt{3}(-Y_5Y_{6I,1}^{(3)} - Y_4Y_{6I,3}^{(3)} + Y_3Y_{6I,4}^{(3)} + Y_1Y_{6I,5}^{(3)}) \\ \sqrt{6}(Y_2Y_{6I,6}^{(3)} - Y_6Y_{6I,2}^{(3)}) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(D1)

Using the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients listed in Appendix A, we can express each entry of these weight four modular forms as quartic polynomial of Y_i . In the same fashion, we can obtain the modular multiplets of weight 5 as follow,

$$\begin{split} Y^{(5)}_{2} &= (Y^{(1)}_{6}Y^{(4)}_{5ll})_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3}Y_{4}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,1} - 2Y_{3}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,2} + Y_{1}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} + 2Y_{2}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} + Y_{6}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,4} - \sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,5} \\ -\sqrt{3}Y_{5}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,1} - \sqrt{2}Y_{4}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,2} + Y_{3}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} + 2Y_{1}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} + 2Y_{2}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} + 2Y_{2}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,4} + 2Y_{6}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,4} + 2Y_{6}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,5} \\ Y^{(5)}_{2} &= (Y^{(1)}_{6}Y^{(4)}_{5ll})_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{6}Y_{3}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,1} + 3Y_{1}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,2} + 2_{2}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,2} + 2_{2}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} + 2\sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,4} + \sqrt{2}Y_{4}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,5} \\ \sqrt{6}Y_{6}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,1} + \sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,2} - 2\sqrt{2}Y_{4}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} + 2\sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,4} + \sqrt{2}Y_{4}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,5} \\ \sqrt{6}Y_{6}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,1} + \sqrt{2}Y_{2}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,2} - 2\sqrt{2}Y_{4}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} + \sqrt{2}Y_{4}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,5} + 3Y_{2}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,5} \\ \sqrt{3}(\sqrt{2}Y_{4}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} + Y_{2}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} - Y_{6}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,4} + \sqrt{2}Y_{3}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,5} \\ \sqrt{3}(\sqrt{2}Y_{4}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} - Y_{3}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} + Y_{2}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} + Y_{2}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} + Y_{2}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} + Y_{2}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,4} \\ -\sqrt{6}F_{6}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,1} + \sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,2} - \sqrt{2}Y_{4}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} + Y_{3}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,4} - 2Y_{1}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,5} \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y^{(5)}_{6l} &= (Y^{(4)}_{1}Y^{(1)}_{6})_{6} &= \begin{pmatrix} Y_{1}Y^{(4)}_{1,1} \\ Y_{2}Y^{(4)}_{1,1} \\ Y_{3}Y^{(4)}_{1,1} \\ Y_{3}Y^{(4)}_{1,1} \\ Y_{3}Y^{(4)}_{1,1} \\ Y_{6}Y^{(4)}_{1,1} + \sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y^{(4)}_{1,1} - Y_{4}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,2} - Y_{5}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} + 2Y_{4}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,5} \\ \sqrt{3}Y_{2}Y^{(4)}_{2ll,1} + \sqrt{2}Y_{5}Y^{(4)}_{5l,2} - Y_{5}Y^{(4)}_{5l,3} + 2Y_{4}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,4} \\ Y_{6}Y^{(4)}_{2,2} - Y_{6}Y^{(4)}_{1,1} \\ -\sqrt{3}Y_{3}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,1} + \sqrt{2}Y_{1}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,2} - Y_{5}Y^{(4)}_{5l,3} + Y_{6}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,5} \\ \sqrt{3}Y_{2}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,1} + \sqrt{2}Y_{1}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,2} - Y_{5}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,3} + Y_{6}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,5} \\ -\sqrt{3}Y_{3}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,1} + 2Y_{3}Y^{(4)}_{5ll,2} -$$

Finally we report the linearly independent weight 6 modular multiplets,

$$\begin{split} & Y_{1}^{(6)} = (Y_{0}^{(1)}Y_{0}^{(3)})_{1,2} = Y_{2}Y_{0,1}^{(3)} - Y_{1}Y_{0,12}^{(3)} + Y_{6}Y_{0,13}^{(3)} + Y_{3}Y_{0,13}^{(3)} - Y_{3}Y_{0,13}^{(3)} + Y_{3}Y_{0,13}^$$

We see that the linear space of modular forms of level 5 and weight 6 has dimension 31 which matches with the dimension formula $5k + 1 = 5 \times 6 + 1 = 31$.

1. Higher rational weight modular forms of level 5

In the following, we report the higher rational weight modular forms at level 5, and they are organized into irreducible multiplets of $\tilde{\Gamma}_5$.

$$\begin{split} Y_{\mathbf{3}'^4}^{(\underline{\S})} &= \frac{1}{2} (Y_{\mathbf{2}^4}^{(\underline{\S})} Y_{\mathbf{6}^0}^{(1)})_{\mathbf{3}'^4} = \begin{pmatrix} 5\sqrt{2}F_1^3F_2^3\\ 3F_1F_2^5 - F_1^6\\ F_2^6 + 3F_1^5F_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_{\mathbf{4}^4}^{(\underline{\S})} &= -\frac{1}{3} (Y_{\mathbf{2}^4}^{(\underline{\S})} Y_{\mathbf{6}^0}^{(1)})_{\mathbf{4}^4} = \begin{pmatrix} 5F_1^2F_2^4\\ -F_1^6 - 2F_2^5F_1\\ 2F_1^5F_2 - F_2^6\\ 5F_1^4F_2^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_{\mathbf{2}'^3}^{(\underline{\S})} &= (Y_{\mathbf{2}^4}^{(\underline{\S})} Y_{\mathbf{4}^4}^{(\underline{\S})})_{\mathbf{2}'^3} = \begin{pmatrix} 7F_1^5F_2^2 - F_1^2\\ -F_1^2(F_1^5 + 7F_2^5) \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_{\mathbf{6}^3}^{(\underline{\S})} &= (Y_{\mathbf{2}^4}^{(\underline{\S})} Y_{\mathbf{3}'^4}^{(\underline{\S})})_{\mathbf{6}^3} = \begin{pmatrix} -F_1(F_2^6 + 3F_1^5F_2)\\ F_1F_2(F_1^5 - 3F_2^5)\\ F_1^7 + 3F_1^5F_2^2\\ -5\sqrt{2}F_1^4F_2^3\\ -5\sqrt{2}F_1^3F_2^4\\ F_1^7 - 3F_1^2F_2^5 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_{\mathbf{4}^2}^{(\underline{\S})} &= -(Y_{\mathbf{2}^4}^{(\underline{\S})} Y_{\mathbf{6}^3}^{(\underline{\S})})_{\mathbf{4}^2} = \begin{pmatrix} F_1^3(F_1^5 + 7F_2^5)\\ F_1^2F_2(F_1^5 + 7F_2^5)\\ 7F_1^6F_2^2 - F_1F_2^7\\ F_2^8 - 7F_1^5F_2^3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_{\mathbf{5}^2}^{(\underline{\S})} &= -\frac{1}{2} (Y_{\mathbf{2}^4}^{(\underline{\S})} Y_{\mathbf{6}^5}^{(\underline{S})})_{\mathbf{5}^2} = \begin{pmatrix} 5\sqrt{6}F_1^4F_2^4\\ F_1^8 - 8F_1^3F_2^5\\ 2F_1^2F_2(F_1^5 + 2F_2^5)\\ 2F_1^2F_2(F_1^5 + 2F_2^5)\\ F_2^8 + 8F_1^5F_2^3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_{\mathbf{4}'^1}^{(\underline{\S})} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (Y_{\mathbf{2}'}^{(\underline{\S})} Y_{\mathbf{5}^2}^{(\underline{\S})})_{\mathbf{4}'^1} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3}(3F_1F_2^8 + 4F_1^6F_2^3)\\ F_2^9 + 18F_1^5F_2^4\\ 18F_1^4F_2^5 - F_1^9\\ \sqrt{3}(3F_1^8F_2 - 4F_1^3F_2^6) \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & Y_{6^{i}}^{(\underline{3})} = (Y_{2^{i}}^{(\underline{3})}Y_{4^{i}}^{(\underline{3})})_{6^{i}} = \begin{pmatrix} 6F_{1}^{7}F_{2}^{2} - 8F_{1}^{2}F_{1}^{2} \\ -8F_{2}^{2}F_{1}^{2} - 6F_{2}^{2}F_{1}^{2} \\ 14F_{1}^{6}F_{2}^{3} - 2F_{1}F_{2}^{8} \\ \sqrt{2}F_{2}(F_{2}^{8} - 7F_{1}^{5}F_{2}^{3}) \\ \sqrt{2}F_{1}^{4}(F_{1}^{5} + 7F_{2}^{5}) \\ 2F_{1}^{3}F_{2}(F_{1}^{5} + 7F_{2}^{5}) \\ 2F_{1}^{3}F_{2}(F_{1}^{5} + 7F_{2}^{5}) \\ \end{pmatrix}, \\ & Y_{3^{0}}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Y_{2^{i}}^{(\underline{3})}Y_{6^{i}}^{(\underline{3})})_{3^{0}} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{1}^{10} - 36F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{5} - F_{2}^{10} \\ 5\sqrt{2}F_{1}F_{2}^{4}(3F_{1}^{5} + F_{2}^{5}) \\ 5\sqrt{2}F_{1}F_{2}^{4}(3F_{1}^{5} + F_{2}^{5}) \\ 5\sqrt{2}F_{1}F_{2}^{4}(3F_{1}^{5} + F_{2}^{5}) \\ -5\sqrt{2}(2F_{1}^{7}F_{2}^{3} - F_{1}^{2}F_{2}^{6}) \\ -5\sqrt{2}(2F_{1}^{7}F_{2}^{3} - F_{1}^{7}F_{2}^{6}) \\ -5\sqrt{2}(F_{1}^{4} - F_{2}^{4}F_{2}^{5}) \\ -5\sqrt{2}(2F_{1}^{7}F_{2}^{4}(3F_{1}^{5} + F_{2}^{5}) \\ -6F_{1}F_{2}^{4}(F_{2}^{5} - 7F_{1}^{5}) \\ -6F_{1}F_{2}^{4}(F_{2}^{5} - 7F_{1}^{5}) \\ -6F_{1}F_{2}^{4}(F_{2}^{5} - 7F_{1}^{5}) \\ -6F_{1}F_{2}^{4}(F_{2}^{5} - 7F_{1}^{5}) \\ -7\sqrt{2}F_{1}^{4}F_{2}^{4}(F_{1}^{5} - 3F_{2}^{5}) \\ -6F_{1}F_{2}^{4}(F_{1}^{5} - 3F_{2}^{5}) \\ -5\sqrt{2}F_{1}^{3}F_{2}^{4}(2F_{1}^{5} - 3F_{2}^{5}) \\ -F_{1}^{11} - 14F_{2}^{5}F_{2}^{6} + 4F_{1}^{10}F_{2} \\ -5\sqrt{2}F_{1}^{3}F_{2}^{4}(F_{1}^{5} - 2F_{1}^{5}) \\ -F_{1}^{11} - 14F_{2}^{5}F_{2}^{6} - F_{1}^{10}F_{2} \\ -5\sqrt{2}F_{1}^{4}F_{2}^{4}(F_{1}^{5} - 5F_{2}^{5}) \\ -F_{1}^{11} - 14F_{2}^{5}F_{2}^{6} - F_{1}^{10}F_{2} \\ -F_{1}^{12} - 66F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{7} - 11F_{1}^{10}F_{2}^{2} \\ -F_{1}^{12} - 66F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{7} - 11F_{1}^{10}F_{2}^{2} \\ -F_{1}^{12} - 66F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{7} - 1F_{2}^{10}F_{1} \\ -F_{1}^{12} - 14F_{2}^{5}F_$$

,

,

$$\begin{split} Y_{\mathbf{4}^{3}}^{(\frac{12}{5})} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (Y_{2^{4}}^{(\frac{1}{5})}Y_{6^{4}}^{(\frac{11}{5})})_{\mathbf{4}^{3}} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{2}^{12} - 24F_{1}^{5}F_{2}^{7} - 6F_{1}^{10}F_{2}^{2} \\ 5(4F_{2}^{3}F_{1}^{9} + 3F_{2}^{8}F_{1}^{4}) \\ 5(3F_{1}^{8}F_{2}^{4} - 4F_{1}^{3}F_{2}^{9}) \\ F_{1}^{12} + 24F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{7} - 6F_{2}^{10}F_{1}^{2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_{\mathbf{2}^{2}}^{(\frac{13}{5})} &= (Y_{2^{4}}^{(\frac{1}{5})}Y_{1^{3}}^{(\frac{12}{5})})_{\mathbf{2}^{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{1}^{2}F_{2}(F_{1}^{10} - 11F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{5} - F_{2}^{10}) \\ F_{1}F_{2}^{2}(F_{1}^{10} - 11F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{5} - F_{2}^{10}) \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_{\mathbf{4}^{\prime 2}}^{(\frac{13}{5})} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (Y_{\mathbf{2}^{4}}^{(\frac{1}{5})}Y_{\mathbf{3}^{3}}^{(\frac{12}{5})})_{\mathbf{4}^{\prime 2}} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{1}^{13} - 66F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{8} - 11F_{2}^{10}F_{1}^{3} \\ \sqrt{3}F_{1}^{2}F_{2}(3F_{1}^{5} + F_{2}^{5})(F_{1}^{5} + 7F_{2}^{5}) \\ \sqrt{3}(-3F_{1}F_{2}^{12} + 22F_{1}^{6}F_{2}^{7} - 7F_{1}^{11}F_{2}^{2}) \\ F_{2}^{13} + 66F_{1}^{5}F_{2}^{8} - 11F_{1}^{10}F_{2}^{3} \\ F_{1}^{13} + 66F_{1}^{5}F_{2}^{8} - 11F_{1}^{10}F_{2}^{3} \\ F_{1}^{3}(F_{1}^{5} + 2F_{2}^{5})(F_{1}^{5} + 7F_{2}^{5}) \\ -\sqrt{2}F_{1}^{4}F_{2}^{4}(F_{1}^{5} + 7F_{2}^{5}) \\ -\sqrt{2}F_{1}^{2}F_{2}(F_{1}^{10} + 24F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{5} - 6F_{2}^{10}) \\ \sqrt{2}F_{1}(-F_{2}^{12} + 24F_{1}^{5}F_{2}^{7} + 6F_{1}^{10}F_{2}^{2}) \\ -F_{2}^{3}(14F_{1}^{10} - 9F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{5} + F_{2}^{10}) \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_{\mathbf{2}^{\prime 2}}^{(\frac{13}{5})} &= (Y_{2^{4}}^{(\frac{1}{5})}Y_{\mathbf{4}^{\frac{13}{5}}})_{\mathbf{2}^{\prime 2}} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{1}^{13} + 39F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{8} - 26F_{1}^{10}F_{2}^{3} \\ -F_{2}^{13} + 39F_{1}^{5}F_{2}^{8} + 26F_{1}^{10}F_{2}^{3} \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} Y_{\mathbf{3}^{1}}^{(\underline{4})} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (Y_{\mathbf{2}^{4}}^{(\underline{1})} Y_{\mathbf{2}^{2}}^{(\underline{1})})_{\mathbf{3}^{1}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}F_{1}^{2}F_{2}^{2}(-F_{1}^{10}+11F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{5}+F_{2}^{10}) \\ F_{1}F_{2}^{3}(F_{1}^{10}-11F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{5}-F_{2}^{10}) \\ -F_{2}F_{1}^{13}+11F_{2}^{6}F_{1}^{8}+F_{2}^{11}F_{1}^{3} \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_{\mathbf{5}^{1}}^{(\underline{4})} &= \frac{1}{2} (Y_{\mathbf{2}^{4}}^{(\underline{5})} Y_{\mathbf{4}^{2}}^{(\underline{1})})_{\mathbf{5}^{1}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}F_{1}^{2}F_{2}^{2}(F_{1}^{10}+F_{2}^{10}) \\ -4F_{1}F_{2}^{13}+66F_{1}^{6}F_{2}^{8}-16F_{1}^{11}F_{2}^{3} \\ F_{2}^{14}+66F_{1}^{5}F_{2}^{9}-11F_{1}^{10}F_{2}^{4} \\ F_{1}^{14}-66F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{9}-11F_{2}^{10}F_{1}^{4} \\ 4F_{2}F_{1}^{13}+66F_{2}^{6}F_{1}^{8}+16F_{2}^{11}F_{1}^{3} \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y_{\mathbf{3}^{\prime1}}^{(\underline{4})} &= -(Y_{\mathbf{2}^{4}}^{(\underline{5})} Y_{\mathbf{6}^{2}}^{(\underline{3})})_{\mathbf{3}^{\prime1}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}F_{1}^{2}F_{2}^{2}(7F_{1}^{5}-F_{2}^{5})(F_{1}^{5}+7F_{2}^{5}) \\ F_{2}^{4}(F_{2}^{5}-7F_{1}^{5})^{2} \\ -F_{1}^{4}(F_{1}^{5}+7F_{2}^{5})^{2} \\ -F_{1}^{4}(F_{1}^{5}+7F_{2}^{5})^{2} \\ -F_{1}^{4}(F_{1}^{10}+39F_{1}^{5}F_{2}^{9}+26F_{1}^{11}F_{2}^{3} \\ -F_{1}^{4}(F_{1}^{10}+39F_{2}^{5}F_{2}^{5}-26F_{2}^{10}) \\ F_{1}^{3}F_{2}(F_{1}^{10}+39F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{5}-26F_{2}^{10}) \\ F_{1}^{3}F_{2}(F_{1}^{10}-11F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{5}-F_{2}^{10}) \\ \sqrt{3}F_{1}^{2}F_{2}^{3}(F_{1}^{10}-11F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{5}-F_{2}^{10}) \\ \sqrt{3}F_{1}^{2}F_{2}^{3}(F_{1}^{10}-11F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{5}-F_{2}^{10}) \\ F_{1}F_{2}^{4}(F_{1}^{10}-11F_{2}^{5}F_{1}^{5}-F_{2}^{10}) \\ \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} Y^{(3)}_{\mathbf{6}^0I} &= -(Y^{(\frac{1}{5})}_{\mathbf{2}^4}Y^{(\frac{14}{5})}_{\mathbf{5}^1})_{\mathbf{6}^0} = \begin{pmatrix} F^{15}_1 - 88F^5_2F^{10}_1 + 121F^{10}_2F^5_1 + 2F^{15}_2 \\ 2F^{15}_1 - 121F^5_2F^{10}_1 - 88F^{10}_2F^5_1 - F^{15}_2 \\ -F^4_1F_2(7F^{10}_1 + 198F^5_2F^5_1 + 43F^{10}_2) \\ -\sqrt{2}(19F^2_2F^{13}_1 + 66F^7_2F^8_1 + 31F^{12}_2F^3_1) \\ \sqrt{2}(19F^2_1F^{13}_2 - 66F^7_1F^8_2 + 31F^{12}_1F^2_2) \\ -7F_1F^{14}_2 + 198F^6_1F^9_2 - 43F^{11}_1F^4_2 \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}, \\ Y^{(3)}_{\mathbf{6}^0II} &= -(Y^{(\frac{1}{5})}_{\mathbf{2}^4}Y^{(\frac{14}{5})}_{\mathbf{4}^1})_{\mathbf{6}^0} = \begin{pmatrix} F^{15}_1 + 65F^5_2F^{10}_1 + 13F^{10}_2F^5_1 - F^{15}_2 \\ -F^{15}_1 - 13F^5_2F^{10}_1 + 65F^{10}_2F^5_1 - F^{15}_2 \\ 2F^4_1F_2(F^{10}_1 + 39F^5_2F^5_1 - 26F^{10}_2) \\ -\sqrt{2}F^3_1F^2_2(F^{10}_1 + 39F^5_2F^5_1 - 26F^{10}_2) \\ \sqrt{2}F^2_1F^2_3(-26F^{10}_1 - 39F^5_2F^5_1 + F^{10}_2) \\ 2F_1F^{14}_2 - 78F^6_1F^9_2 - 52F^{11}_1F^4_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

- P. Zyla *et al.* (Particle Data Group Collaboration), Review of particle physics, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2020**, 083C01 (2020).
- [2] G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, Discrete flavor symmetries and models of neutrino mixing, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 2701 (2010).
- [3] H. Ishimori, T. Kobayashi, H. Ohki, Y. Shimizu, H. Okada, and M. Tanimoto, Non-Abelian discrete symmetries in particle physics, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 183, 1 (2010).
- [4] S. F. King and C. Luhn, Neutrino mass and mixing with discrete symmetry, Rep. Prog. Phys. 76, 056201 (2013).
- [5] S. F. King, A. Merle, S. Morisi, Y. Shimizu, and M. Tanimoto, Neutrino mass and mixing: From theory to experiment, New J. Phys. 16, 045018 (2014).
- [6] S. F. King, Models of neutrino mass, mixing and CP violation, J. Phys. G 42, 123001 (2015).
- [7] S. F. King, Unified models of neutrinos, flavour and CP violation, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 94, 217 (2017).
- [8] F. Feruglio and A. Romanino, Neutrino flavour symmetries, arXiv:1912.06028.
- [9] F. Feruglio, Are neutrino masses modular forms?, in *From My Vast Repertoire ...: Guido Altarelli's Legacy*, edited by A. Levy, S. Forte, and G. Ridolfi (World Scientific Publishing Co Pte Ltd, Singapore, 2019), pp. 227–266.
- [10] M.-C. Chen, S. Ramos-Sánchez, and M. Ratz, A note on the predictions of models with modular flavor symmetries, Phys. Lett. B 801, 135153 (2020).
- [11] H. P. Nilles, S. Ramos-Sánchez, and P. K. Vaudrevange, Eclectic flavor groups, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2020) 045.
- [12] H. P. Nilles, S. Ramos-Sanchez, and P. K. Vaudrevange, Lessons from eclectic flavor symmetries, Nucl. Phys. B957, 115098 (2020).

- [13] H. P. Nilles, S. Ramos-Sánchez, and P. K. Vaudrevange, Eclectic flavor scheme from ten-dimensional string theory—I. Basic results, Phys. Lett. B 808, 135615 (2020).
- [14] A. Baur, M. Kade, H. P. Nilles, S. Ramos-Sanchez, and P. K. Vaudrevange, The eclectic flavor symmetry of the \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2021) 018.
- [15] H. P. Nilles, S. Ramos-Sánchez, and P. K. Vaudrevange, Eclectic flavor scheme from ten-dimensional string theory—II. Detailed technical analysis, arXiv:2010.13798.
- [16] T. Kobayashi, K. Tanaka, and T. H. Tatsuishi, Neutrino mixing from finite modular groups, Phys. Rev. D 98, 016004 (2018).
- [17] T. Kobayashi, Y. Shimizu, K. Takagi, M. Tanimoto, T. H. Tatsuishi, and H. Uchida, Finite modular subgroups for fermion mass matrices and baryon/lepton number violation, Phys. Lett. B **794**, 114 (2019).
- [18] T. Kobayashi, Y. Shimizu, K. Takagi, M. Tanimoto, and T. H. Tatsuishi, Modular S_3 invariant flavor model in SU(5) GUT, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2020**, 053B05 (2020).
- [19] H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Modular S₃ symmetric radiative seesaw model, Phys. Rev. D 100, 115037 (2019).
- [20] J. C. Criado and F. Feruglio, Modular invariance faces precision neutrino data, SciPost Phys. 5, 042 (2018).
- [21] T. Kobayashi, N. Omoto, Y. Shimizu, K. Takagi, M. Tanimoto, and T. H. Tatsuishi, Modular A_4 invariance and neutrino mixing, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2018) 196.
- [22] F. J. de Anda, S. F. King, and E. Perdomo, SU(5) grand unified theory with A_4 modular symmetry, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 015028 (2020).
- [23] H. Okada and M. Tanimoto, *CP* violation of quarks in A₄ modular invariance, Phys. Lett. B **791**, 54 (2019).

- [24] P. Novichkov, S. Petcov, and M. Tanimoto, Trimaximal neutrino mixing from modular A_4 invariance with residual symmetries, Phys. Lett. B **793**, 247 (2019).
- [25] T. Nomura and H. Okada, A modular A_4 symmetric model of dark matter and neutrino, Phys. Lett. B **797**, 134799 (2019).
- [26] H. Okada and M. Tanimoto, Towards unification of quark and lepton flavors in A_4 modular invariance, Eur. Phys. J. C 81, 52 (2021).
- [27] T. Nomura and H. Okada, A two loop induced neutrino mass model with modular A₄ symmetry, arXiv:1906.03927.
- [28] G.-J. Ding, S. F. King, and X.-G. Liu, Modular A₄ symmetry models of neutrinos and charged leptons, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2019) 074.
- [29] H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, A radiative seesaw model in modular A₄ symmetry, arXiv:1907.13520.
- [30] T. Nomura, H. Okada, and O. Popov, A modular A_4 symmetric scotogenic model, Phys. Lett. B **803**, 135294 (2020).
- [31] T. Kobayashi, Y. Shimizu, K. Takagi, M. Tanimoto, and T. H. Tatsuishi, A_4 lepton flavor model and modulus stabilization from S_4 modular symmetry, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 115045 (2019).
- [32] T. Asaka, Y. Heo, T. H. Tatsuishi, and T. Yoshida, Modular A_4 invariance and leptogenesis, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2020) 144.
- [33] G.-J. Ding, S. F. King, X.-G. Liu, and J.-N. Lu, Modular S_4 and A_4 symmetries and their fixed points: New predictive examples of lepton mixing, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2019) 030.
- [34] D. Zhang, A modular A₄ symmetry realization of two-zero textures of the Majorana neutrino mass matrix, Nucl. Phys. B952, 114935 (2020).
- [35] T. Nomura, H. Okada, and S. Patra, An inverse Seesaw model with *A*₄-modular symmetry, arXiv:1912.00379.
- [36] X. Wang, Lepton flavor mixing and *CP* violation in the minimal type-(I + II) Seesaw model with a modular A_4 symmetry, Nucl. Phys. **B957**, 115105 (2020).
- [37] T. Kobayashi, T. Nomura, and T. Shimomura, Type II seesaw models with modular A_4 symmetry, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 035019 (2020).
- [38] S. J. King and S. F. King, Fermion mass hierarchies from modular symmetry, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2020) 043.
- [39] G.-J. Ding and F. Feruglio, Testing moduli and flavon dynamics with neutrino oscillations, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2020) 134.
- [40] H. Okada and M. Tanimoto, Quark and lepton flavors with common modulus τ in A_4 modular symmetry, arXiv: 2005.00775.
- [41] T. Nomura and H. Okada, A linear seesaw model with A_4 -modular flavor and local $U(1)_{B-L}$ symmetries, arXiv: 2007.04801.
- [42] J. Penedo and S. Petcov, Lepton masses and mixing from modular S₄ symmetry, Nucl. Phys. B939, 292 (2019).
- [43] P. Novichkov, J. Penedo, S. Petcov, and A. Titov, Modular S₄ models of lepton masses and mixing, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2019) 005.
- [44] I. de Medeiros Varzielas, S. F. King, and Y.-L. Zhou, Multiple modular symmetries as the origin of flavor, Phys. Rev. D 101, 055033 (2020).

- [45] T. Kobayashi, Y. Shimizu, K. Takagi, M. Tanimoto, and T. H. Tatsuishi, New A_4 lepton flavor model from S_4 modular symmetry, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2020) 097.
- [46] S. F. King and Y.-L. Zhou, Trimaximal TM_1 mixing with two modular S_4 groups, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 015001 (2020).
- [47] J. C. Criado, F. Feruglio, and S. J. King, Modular invariant models of lepton masses at levels 4 and 5, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2020) 001.
- [48] X. Wang and S. Zhou, The minimal seesaw model with a modular S_4 symmetry, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2020) 017.
- [49] X. Wang, A systematic study of Dirac neutrino mass models with a modular S_4 symmetry, Nucl. Phys. **B962**, 115247 (2021).
- [50] P. Novichkov, J. Penedo, S. Petcov, and A. Titov, Modular A₅ symmetry for flavour model building, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2019) 174.
- [51] G.-J. Ding, S. F. King, and X.-G. Liu, Neutrino mass and mixing with A_5 modular symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 100, 115005 (2019).
- [52] G.-J. Ding, S. F. King, C.-C. Li, and Y.-L. Zhou, Modular invariant models of leptons at level 7, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2020) 164.
- [53] X.-G. Liu and G.-J. Ding, Neutrino masses and mixing from double covering of finite modular groups, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2019) 134.
- [54] J.-N. Lu, X.-G. Liu, and G.-J. Ding, Modular symmetry origin of texture zeros and quark lepton unification, Phys. Rev. D 101, 115020 (2020).
- [55] X.-G. Liu, C.-Y. Yao, and G.-J. Ding, Modular invariant quark and lepton models in double covering of S_4 modular group, arXiv:2006.10722.
- [56] P. Novichkov, J. Penedo, and S. Petcov, Double cover of modular S_4 for flavour model building, Nucl. Phys. **B963**, 115301 (2021).
- [57] X. Wang, B. Yu, and S. Zhou, Double covering of the modular A_5 group and lepton flavor mixing in the minimal Seesaw model, arXiv:2010.10159.
- [58] X.-G. Liu, C.-Y. Yao, B.-Y. Qu, and G.-J. Ding, Halfintegral weight modular forms and application to neutrino mass models, Phys. Rev. D 102, 115035 (2020).
- [59] P. P. Novichkov, J. T. Penedo, S. T. Petcov, and A. V. Titov, Generalised *CP* symmetry in modular-invariant models of flavour, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2019) 165.
- [60] A. Baur, H. P. Nilles, A. Trautner, and P. K. S. Vaudrevange, Unification of flavor, *CP*, and modular symmetries, Phys. Lett. B **795**, 7 (2019).
- [61] A. Baur, H. P. Nilles, A. Trautner, and P. K. Vaudrevange, A string theory of flavor and *CP*, Nucl. Phys. **B947**, 114737 (2019).
- [62] G.-J. Ding, F. Feruglio, and X.-G. Liu, Automorphic forms and fermion masses, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2021) 037.
- [63] M. K. Behera, S. Mishra, S. Singirala, and R. Mohanta, Implications of A_4 modular symmetry on neutrino mass, mixing and leptogenesis with linear Seesaw, arXiv:2007 .00545.
- [64] L. L. Everett and A. J. Stuart, The double cover of the icosahedral symmetry group and quark mass textures, Phys. Lett. B 698, 131 (2011).

- [65] K. Hashimoto and H. Okada, Lepton flavor model and decaying dark matter in the binary icosahedral group symmetry, arXiv:1110.3640.
- [66] C.-S. Chen, T. W. Kephart, and T.-C. Yuan, Binary icosahedral flavor symmetry for four generations of quarks and leptons, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2013, 103B01 (2013).
- [67] R. de Adelhart Toorop, F. Feruglio, and C. Hagedorn, Finite modular groups and lepton mixing, Nucl. Phys. B858, 437 (2012).
- [68] T. Ibukiyama, Modular forms of rational weights and modular varieties, in *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg* (Springer, New York, 2000), Vol. 70, p. 315.
- [69] B. S. Acharya, D. Bailin, A. Love, W. A. Sabra, and S. Thomas, Spontaneous breaking of *CP* symmetry by orbifold Moduli, Phys. Lett. B **357**, 387 (1995); Erratum, Phys. Lett. B **407**, 451 (1997).
- [70] T. Dent, *CP* violation and modular symmetries, Phys. Rev. D 64, 056005 (2001).
- [71] J. Giedt, CP violation and Moduli stabilization in heterotic models, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 17, 1465 (2002).
- [72] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.095013 for the details of all models and corresponding numerical results.
- [73] I. Esteban, M. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, and A. Zhou, The fate of hints: Updated global analysis of three-flavor neutrino oscillations, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2020) 178.
- [74] S. Antusch and V. Maurer, Running quark and lepton parameters at various scales, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2013) 115.
- [75] A. Gando *et al.* (KamLAND-Zen Collaboration), Search for Majorana Neutrinos Near the Inverted Mass Hierarchy Region with KamLAND-Zen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 082503 (2016); Frratum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 109903 (2016).
- [76] M. Aker *et al.* (KATRIN Collaboration), Improved Upper Limit on the Neutrino Mass from a Direct Kinematic Method by KATRIN, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 221802 (2019).
- [77] F. Feroz and M. P. Hobson, Multimodal nested sampling: An efficient and robust alternative to MCMC methods for astronomical data analysis, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 384, 449 (2008).

- [78] F. Feroz, M. P. Hobson, and M. Bridges, MultiNest: An efficient and robust Bayesian inference tool for cosmology and particle physics, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **398**, 1601 (2009).
- [79] L. E. Ibanez and D. Lust, Duality anomaly cancellation, minimal string unification and the effective low-energy Lagrangian of 4-D strings, Nucl. Phys. B382, 305 (1992).
- [80] Y. Olguín-Trejo and S. Ramos-Sánchez, Kähler potential of heterotic orbifolds with multiple Kähler moduli, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 912, 012029 (2017).
- [81] N. Aghanim *et al.* (Planck Collaboration), Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters, Astron. Astrophys. 641, A6 (2020).
- [82] K. Shirai, The basis functions and the matrix representations of the single and double icosahedral point group, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 61, 2735 (1992).
- [83] H. Coxeter and W. Moser, Generators and Relations for Discrete Groups, 3rd ed. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972).
- [84] C. J. Cummins and J. Patera, Polynomial icosahedral invariants, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) 29, 1736 (1988).
- [85] D. Schultz, Notes on modular forms, https://faculty.math .illinois.edu/~schult25/ModFormNotes.pdf, 2015.
- [86] F. Diamond and J. M. Shurman, A First Course in Modular Forms, Vol. 228 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics (Springer, New York, 2005).
- [87] J. H. Bruinier, G. V. D. Geer, G. Harder, and D. Zagier, *The 1-2-3 of Modular Forms* (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Universitext, 2008).
- [88] S. Lang, Introduction to Modular Forms, Vol. 222 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics (Springer, New York, 2012).
- [89] D. S. Kubert and S. Lang, Modular Units, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 244 (Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1981).
- [90] S. Lang, *Elliptic Functions*, Vol. 112 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics (Springer, New York, 1987).
- [91] I. S. Eum, J. K. Koo, and D. H. Shin, A modularity criterion for Klein forms, with an application to modular forms of level 13, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 375, 28 (2011).
- [92] G. Köhler, *Eta Products and Theta Series Identities* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011).
- [93] I. G. Macdonald, Affine root systems and Dedekind's η -function, Inventiones Mathematicae **15**, 91 (1971).