Heavy quark transport in an anisotropic hot QCD medium: Collisional and radiative processes

Jai Prakash \bullet ,^{1[,*](#page-0-0)} Manu Kurian,^{2,[†](#page-0-1)} Santosh K. Das,^{1,[‡](#page-0-2)} and Vinod Chandra^{2[,§](#page-0-3)} ¹School of Physical Science, Indian Institute of Technology Goa, Ponda-403401, Goa, India
²Indian Institute of Technology Candhingsay Candhingsay 282355, Cuiarat India

 $I²$ Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar, Gandhinagar-382355, Gujarat, India

(Received 17 February 2021; accepted 21 April 2021; published 12 May 2021)

The impact of momentum anisotropy on the heavy quark transport coefficients due to collisional and radiative processes in the QCD medium has been studied within the ambit of kinetic theory. Anisotropic aspects (momentum) are incorporated into the heavy quark dynamics through the nonequilibrium momentum distribution function of quarks, antiquarks, and gluons. These nonequilibrium distribution functions that encode the physics of momentum anisotropy and turbulent chromo-fields are obtained by solving the ensemble-averaged diffusive Vlasov-Boltzmann equation. The momentum dependence of heavy quark transport coefficients in the medium is seen to be sensitive to the strength of the anisotropy for both collisional and radiative processes. In addition, the collisional and radiative energy loss of the heavy quark in the anisotropic hot QCD medium is analyzed. The effects of anisotropy on the drag and diffusion coefficients are observed to have a visible impact on the nuclear suppression factor both at the RHIC and LHC.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.103.094009](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.094009)

I. INTRODUCTION

The vibrant experimental programs on heavy-ion collisions pursued at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) have strongly suggested the existence of a hot and dense phase of nuclear matter, known as the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) [1–[5\]](#page-9-0). The space-time evolution of the QGP medium is successfully studied within the framework of relativistic viscous hydrodynamics [\[6](#page-9-1)–8]. Experimental observations along with the hydrodynamical description suggest that the QGP behaves more like a near-perfect fluid with a tiny value for the shear viscosity to entropy ratio η/s (except for the regions very close to the transition temperature T_c where the bulk viscosity to entropy ratio ζ/s may have a larger value) and most vortical fluid too [\[9,10\].](#page-9-2) The impact of nonzero ζ/s on the QGP evolution has also been explored recently [\[11\]](#page-9-3).

Among reliable signatures from experimental observations, heavy quarks, especially charm and bottom quarks, are identified as the effective probes to study the properties of the QGP [\[12](#page-9-4)–16]. Heavy quarks are mostly created in the initial stages of the collisions and undergo Brownian motion in the QGP medium owing to their large mass in comparison with the temperature of the medium, i.e., $M_{HO} \gg T$ (where M_{HO} and T denote the heavy quark mass and temperature of the thermalized medium, respectively). Heavy quarks witness the expansion of the created hot fireball and can carry information about the hotter phases of the matter and the initial conditions of the heavyion collisions. While traveling through the medium, heavy quarks lose their energy due to the interactions with the constituent medium particles, and its dynamics can be described within the Fokker-Planck approach [\[17,18\]](#page-9-5). The interactions of the heavy quarks with the medium particles are embedded through the drag and diffusion coefficients of the heavy quark. Hence, the study of heavy quark transport coefficients in the QGP medium is a field of high contemporary interest; see Refs. [\[19](#page-9-6)–23] for the recent studies. The two dominant processes that contribute to the energy loss of heavy quarks in the medium are namely, the collisional (elastic interaction) and the inelastic interactions like gluon bremsstrahlung [24–[30\].](#page-9-7) The heavy quark transport, its energy loss, and the associated physical observables such as the nuclear suppression factor R_{AA} , flow coefficients, etc. have been investigated in several works in the literature [29–[45\].](#page-9-8) Recently, the drag and diffusion coefficients of a heavy quark undergoing radiative loss by soft gluon emission along with the collisional interactions have been studied in Ref. [\[46\].](#page-10-0) However, many estimations considered the QGP as a thermalized static

[^{*}](#page-0-4) jai183212001@iitgoa.ac.in

[[†]](#page-0-4) manu.kurian@iitgn.ac.in

[[‡]](#page-0-4) santosh@iitgoa.ac.in

[[§]](#page-0-4) vchandra@iitgn.ac.in

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

medium. It is an interesting direction to investigate the impact of momentum anisotropy of the medium on the radiative and collisional processes of heavy quarks as it may reflect the final stage observables.

The effect of nonequilibrium dynamics of the QGP has already been explored in photon production, dilepton emission, heavy quarkonia, and other associated physical observables at the RHIC and LHC [47–[52\].](#page-10-1) Recently, some works have been done to analyze the effects of shear and bulk viscous coefficients on heavy quark transport in the expanding medium [\[21,53](#page-9-9)–56].

The momentum anisotropy present in the QGP medium may induce instability in the Yang-Mills fields (termed the Chromo-Weibel instability). Studies have shown that the physics of the Chromo-Weibel instability may have a significant role in understanding the properties of the QGP and its evolution in heavy-ion collision experiments [\[57](#page-10-2)–60]. Such instabilities in the Yang-Mills field equations in the rapidly expanding QGP may lead to plasma turbulence as described in Ref. [\[61\].](#page-10-3) In Refs. [61–[63\],](#page-10-3) the authors realized that the instability while coupled with the rapid expansion of the medium leads to the anomalous transport in the medium along similar lines as that argued long ago by Dupree [\[64\]](#page-10-4) in the case of QED plasma. The anomalous transport processes due to the turbulent fields can be explored by analyzing various signals emitted during the fireball expansion and may provide a possible explanation of the nearly perfect liquidity of the QCD medium created in the heavy-ion collisions [\[61,62\]](#page-10-3). The physics of anisotropy and related aspects of anomalous transport could be captured in the non(near)-equilibrium distribution functions of quarks, antiquarks and gluons [\[52\]](#page-10-5). In Ref. [\[65\],](#page-10-6) the impact of the Chromo-Weibel instability on the heavy quark transport coefficients for the collisional process in the hot QCD medium has been investigated. Recently nonequilibrium calculations have shown significant dependence on momentum broadening, energy loss, and the associated observables in the heavy-ion collisions; see Refs. [\[66](#page-10-7)–71] for details. The current focus is to explore the heavy quark dynamics in the anisotropic (momentum) hot QCD medium while considering both the collisional and radiative processes of heavy quarks in the medium by incorporating the effects of anisotropy along the lines of Ref. [\[65\]](#page-10-6). This is perhaps the first attempt where the physics of momentum anisotropy leading to anomalous transport processes has been incorporated in the heavy-quark dynamics. The anisotropic aspects of the QGP medium have been observed to play a prominent role in the heavy quark transport coefficients, its energy loss and the associated nuclear suppression factor R_{AA} .

The manuscript is organized as follows. Section [II](#page-1-0) is devoted to the description of near-equilibrium distribution functions of quarks and gluons in the anisotropic medium. The mathematical formulation of the heavy quark transport in the medium while considering the collisional and radiative processes is discussed in Sec. [III.](#page-2-0) The results and the follow-up discussions are presented in Sec. [IV.](#page-5-0) Finally, in Sec. [V,](#page-8-0) we summarize the present analysis with an outlook.

A. Notations and conventions

The subscript k in the analysis represents the particle species, i.e., $k = (g, \tilde{q})$, where \tilde{q} and g denote quarks and gluons, respectively. The quantity $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric tensor and u^{μ} represents the normalized fluid four-velocity such that $u^{\mu}u_{\mu} = 1$. The traceless symmetric velocity gradient can be defined as $\Delta u_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{\mu} u_{\nu} + \nabla_{\nu} u_{\mu}) - \frac{1}{3} g_{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\gamma} u^{\gamma}$. We have $u = \frac{z}{\tau}$ and $\Delta u_{ij} = \frac{1}{3} \text{diag}(-1, -1, 2)$, where τ denotes the proper time of expansion, for the boostinvariant $1 + 1$ -dimensional Bjorken flow.

II. MOMENTUM DISTRIBUTIONS OF QUARKS AND GLUONS IN ANISOTROPIC MEDIUM

An adequate modeling of the momentum distribution functions of quarks and gluons is necessary to encode the thermal medium effects in the analysis of heavy quark transport in the QGP. The realistic equation of state (EoS) effects are incorporated in the effective fugacity quasiparticle model (EQPM) description of the QCD medium via effective fugacities of quarks and gluons, $z_{\tilde{q}}$ and z_g respectively [\[72,73\]](#page-10-8). In equilibrium, the EQPM momentum distribution function has the form,

$$
f_k^0 = \frac{z_k \exp\left(-\beta E_q\right)}{1 - a_k z_k \exp\left(-\beta E_q\right)},\tag{1}
$$

with $a_g = 1$ for gluons and $a_q = -1$ for quarks, and $E_q =$ $|\mathbf{q}| \equiv q$ for quarks (massless limit) and gluons. The fugacity parameter modifies the single-particle dispersion relation as,

$$
\omega_k = E_q + \delta \omega_k, \qquad \delta \omega_k = T^2 \partial_T \ln(z_k). \tag{2}
$$

The term $\delta \omega_k$ is the modified part of the energy dispersion and is related to the quasiparticle collective excitation in the medium. The temperature dependence of the quark and gluon fugacity parameters can be described from the realistic $(2 + 1)$ -flavor lattice QCD EoS [\[74\]](#page-10-9). To obtain the nonequilibrium distribution functions of quarks and gluons in a rapidly expanding medium with an anisotropy, one needs to solve the Vlasov-Boltzmann equation in the presence of turbulent color fields. To that end, we consider the near-equilibrium distribution function as,

$$
f_k(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) = f_k^0 + \delta f_k, \qquad \delta f_k = (1 + a_k f_k^0) f_k^1(\mathbf{q}), \qquad (3)
$$

where f_k^1 is the linear perturbation to the distribution function of the kth species. We employ the following ansatz for the linear perturbation for the quasiparticles:

$$
f_k^1(\mathbf{q}) = -\frac{\bar{\Delta}_k(\mathbf{q})}{\omega_k T^2 \tau} \left(q_z^2 - \frac{q^2}{3} \right),\tag{4}
$$

where the quantity $\bar{\Delta}(\mathbf{q})$ denotes the strength of momentum anisotropy in the QGP medium. In general, the evolution of the particle momentum distribution function in the medium can be described by setting up the Vlasov-Boltzmann equation as follows [\[75\]:](#page-10-10)

$$
v^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{q}, t) + g \mathbf{F}^{a} \cdot \nabla_{q} f^{a}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{q}, t) = 0, \qquad (5)
$$

where $\mathbf{F}^a = \mathbf{E}^a + (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}^a)$ is the color Lorentz force. The quasiparticle distribution and the color-octet distribution function $f^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{q}, t)$ can be defined as the moments of the distribution function $\tilde{f}^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{q}, \mathcal{Q}, t)$ in an extended phase space that includes the color sector as follows:

$$
f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{q}, t) = \int d\mathcal{Q} \tilde{f}^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{q}, \mathcal{Q}, t), \tag{6}
$$

$$
f^{a}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{q}, t) = \int d\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q}^{a} \tilde{f}^{a}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{q}, \mathcal{Q}, t), \qquad (7)
$$

where Q is the color charge. In the anisotropic QGP medium, the color field is turbulent, and its action on the quasiparticles can be described by taking an ensemble average. This analysis was initially done in Refs. [\[61,63\]](#page-10-3) for the ultrarelativistic gas of quarks/antiquarks and gluons, and later extended to the interacting QGP within the EQPM [\[65\]](#page-10-6). Following the same formalism, the ensembleaveraged diffusive Vlasov-Boltzmann equation takes the following form:

$$
v^{\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}\bar{f} - \mathcal{F}_{A}\bar{f} = 0, \qquad (8)
$$

where \bar{f} represents the ensemble-averaged distribution of the particles and in the current analysis, we have $\bar{f} \equiv f_k$. The force term can be defined in terms of color-averaged chromo-electromagnetic fields as,

$$
\mathcal{F}_{A}\bar{f} = -\frac{g^2 C_2}{3(N_c^2 - 1)\omega_k^2} \langle E^2 + B^2 \rangle_k \tau_m
$$

$$
\times \mathcal{L}^2 f_k^0 (1 + a_k f_k^0) q_i q_j \Delta u_{ij}, \tag{9}
$$

where τ_m quantifies the time scale of instability in the QGP medium and C_2 is the Casimir invariant $SU(N_c)$ theory. Here, the operator \mathcal{L}^2 takes the following form:

$$
\mathcal{L}^2 = |\mathbf{q} \times \partial_{\mathbf{q}}|^2 - |\mathbf{q} \times \partial_{\mathbf{q}}|_{z}^2.
$$
 (10)

The contribution to the system dynamics from the leadingorder collisional processes can be quantified in terms of the collision kernel in the transport equation. Note that the focus of the current study is on the anomalous contributions to the quasiparticle momentum distribution functions. To that end, we will not consider the collisional effects within the bulk medium in the analysis. This assumption is also based on the fact that anomalous transport is the dominant mechanism and leads to significant suppression of the transport coefficients in the expanding medium [\[51\].](#page-10-11) We intend to work on the interplay of collisional and anomalous processes in the QGP medium in the near future. Employing the form of the equilibrium quasiparticle distribution function as defined in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-1) and following the same formalism as in Ref. [\[61\]](#page-10-3), one can obtain the form of $\bar{\Delta}(\mathbf{q})$ by solving the Boltzmann equation as,

$$
\bar{\Delta}(\mathbf{q}) = 2(N_c^2 - 1) \frac{\omega_k T}{3g^2 C_2 \langle E^2 + B^2 \rangle_k \tau_m}.
$$
 (11)

The unknown factors in the denominator of Eq. [\(11\)](#page-2-1) can be related to the jet quenching parameter \hat{q} in both the quark and gluonic sectors [\[62\].](#page-10-12) The shear viscosity and jet quenching parameter are the two crucial coefficients that may get a significant impact from the turbulent fields. In Ref. [\[76\]](#page-10-13), the authors realized that the parameter \hat{q} is proportional to the mean momentum square per unit length on the particle imparted by turbulent color fields. The unknown factor $\langle E^2 + B^2 \rangle_k \tau_m$ can be related to the jet quenching parameter as [\[62,77\],](#page-10-12)

$$
\hat{q} = \frac{2g^2 C_{g/f}}{3(N_c^2 - 1)} \langle E^2 + B^2 \rangle \tau_m, \qquad (12)
$$

where $C_g = N_C$ for gluons and $C_f = \frac{N_C^2 - 1}{2N_C}$ for the quark sector. Substituting Eq. (11) and Eq. (12) into Eq. (4) , we obtain the near-equilibrium distribution function as,

$$
f_k(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) = f_k^0 - (1 + a_k f_k^0) \frac{4\omega_k}{9\hat{q}_k T \tau} \left(q_z^2 - \frac{q^2}{3} \right). \tag{13}
$$

Let us now proceed to the investigation of the heavy quark drag and diffusion coefficients due to the collisional and radiative processes in the anisotropic QGP medium.

III. FORMALISM: HEAVY QUARK DRAG AND MOMENTUM DIFFUSION

In the present analysis, we adopt the formalism developed by Svetitsky [\[17\]](#page-9-5) such that the evolution of heavy quarks in the medium can be considered as Brownian motion. The dynamics of heavy quarks can be described in terms of the distribution function within the framework of transport theory as,

$$
p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_{HQ} = \left(\frac{\partial f_{HQ}}{\partial t}\right)_{int},\tag{14}
$$

where f_{HO} is the heavy quark momentum distribution. The elastic and inelastic processes of the heavy quark in the medium modify the distribution function, and the rate of change of f_{HQ} due to the interactions can be quantified in terms of the collision term $\left(\frac{\partial f_{HQ}}{\partial t}\right)_{int}$ as follows:

$$
\left(\frac{\partial f_{HQ}}{\partial t}\right)_{int} = \int d^3 \mathbf{k} [\omega(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) f_{HQ}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}) - \omega(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}) f_{HQ}(\mathbf{p})],
$$
\n(15)

where $w(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k})$ denotes the rate of collision for heavy quarks with the constituent particles in the medium such that its momentum changes from \bf{p} to $\bf{p-k}$. Owing to the large mass of the heavy quark, the Boltzmann equation can be simplified by considering the Landau approximation, i.e., the momentum transfer of the heavy quark is soft $(|{\bf p}| \gg |{\bf k}|)$. Now, expending $w({\bf p} + {\bf k}, {\bf k}) f({\bf p}, {\bf k})$ up to second order in k, we have

$$
\omega(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) f_{HQ}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}) \approx \omega(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}) f_{HQ}(\mathbf{p}) + \mathbf{k} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} (\omega f_{HQ}) + \frac{1}{2} k_i k_j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} (\omega f_{HQ}).
$$
 (16)

Incorporating Eq. [\(16\)](#page-3-0) into Eq. [\(15\)](#page-3-1), the relativistic nonlinear transport equation reduces to the Fokker-Planck equation as follows:

$$
\frac{\partial f_{HQ}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \left[A_i(\mathbf{p}) f_{HQ} + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} (B_{ij}(\mathbf{p}) f_{HQ}) \right], \quad (17)
$$

where A_i and B_{ij} respectively quantify the drag force and momentum diffusion of the heavy quarks in the medium due to the interactions.

A. Collisional process

For the elastic two-body collisional process $HO(P)$ + $l(Q) \rightarrow HQ(P') + l(Q')$, where l represents constituent particles in the medium (quarks, antiquarks, and gluons) and P, Q are the four-momentum of the heavy quark and constituent particle before the collision, the heavy quark drag and momentum diffusion can be described as,

$$
A_i = \frac{1}{2E_p} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_q} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{q'}} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{p'}} \frac{1}{\gamma_{HQ}}
$$

\n
$$
\times \sum |\mathcal{M}_{2\to 2}|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (P + Q - P' - Q') f_k(\mathbf{q})
$$

\n
$$
\times (1 + a_k f_k(\mathbf{q}')) [(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')]
$$

\n
$$
= \langle (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')_i \rangle,
$$
\n(18)

$$
B_{ij} = \frac{1}{2E_p} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_q} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{q'}} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{p'}} \frac{1}{\gamma_{HQ}} \times \sum |\mathcal{M}_{2\to 2}|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (P + Q - P' - Q') f_k(\mathbf{q}) \times (1 + a_k f_k(\mathbf{q}')) \frac{1}{2} [(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')_i (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')_j] = \frac{1}{2} \langle \langle (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')_i (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')_j \rangle \rangle, \tag{19}
$$

respectively. Note that the delta function ensures the energy-momentum conservation and f_k is the nearequilibrium phase space distribution for the light quarks and gluons as described in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-3). Here, γ_{HO} denotes the statistical degeneracy factor of the heavy quark and $|\mathcal{M}_{2\rightarrow 2}|$ represents the matrix element for the two-body elastic collisions of heavy quarks with light quarks, antiquarks, and gluons [\[17\]](#page-9-5). It is important to emphasize that the heavy quark drag quantifies the thermal average of the momentum transfer, whereas the momentum diffusion measures the square of the momentum transfer due to the interaction. As A_i depends on the momentum, we have the following decomposition of the heavy quark drag:

$$
A_i = p_i A(p^2), \qquad A = \langle \langle 1 \rangle \rangle - \frac{\langle \langle \mathbf{p} . \mathbf{p}' \rangle \rangle}{p^2}, \qquad (20)
$$

where $p^2 = |\mathbf{p}|^2$ and A is the drag coefficient of the heavy quark. Similarly, the momentum diffusion B_{ii} can be decomposed in terms of longitudinal and transverse components as follows:

$$
B_{i,j} = \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p^2}\right) B_0(p^2) + \frac{p_i p_j}{p^2} B_1(p^2), \quad (21)
$$

with the transverse and longitudinal diffusion coefficients respectively taking the forms

$$
B_0 = \frac{1}{4} \left[\langle \langle p'^2 \rangle \rangle - \frac{\langle \langle (\mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 \rangle \rangle}{p^2} \right],
$$
 (22)

$$
B_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle \langle (\mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 \rangle \rangle}{p^2} - 2 \langle \langle (\mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{p}) \rangle \rangle + p^2 \langle \langle 1 \rangle \rangle \right]. \quad (23)
$$

In the current analysis, the effect of anisotropy enters through the momentum distribution function of the effective degrees of freedom. Incorporating the definition of the distribution function as described in Eq. [\(3\),](#page-1-3) the thermal average of a function $F(p')$ can be decomposed as follows:

$$
\langle F(p')\rangle = \langle F(p')\rangle_0 + \langle F(p')\rangle_a, \qquad (24)
$$

where the isotropic and anisotropic parts respectively take the forms

and

$$
\langle \langle F(p') \rangle \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2E_p} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_q} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{q'}} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{p'}}
$$

$$
\times \frac{1}{\gamma_{HQ}} \sum |\mathcal{M}_{2\to 2}|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (P + Q - P' - Q')
$$

$$
\times f_k^0(\mathbf{q})(1 + a_k f_k^0(\mathbf{q}')) F(p'), \qquad (25)
$$

and,

$$
\langle \langle F(p') \rangle \rangle_a = \frac{1}{2E_p} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_q} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{q'}} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{p'}}
$$

$$
\times \frac{1}{\gamma_{HQ}} \sum |\mathcal{M}_{2\to 2}|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (P + Q - P' - Q')
$$

$$
\times [\delta f_k(\mathbf{q})(1 + a_k f_k^0(\mathbf{q}')) + a_k f_k^0(\mathbf{q}) \delta f_k(\mathbf{q}')] \times F(p'). \tag{26}
$$

Substituting Eq. (24) into Eqs. (20) – (23) , we obtain the heavy quark transport coefficients due to the elastic collisions in the anisotropic medium as,

$$
X_c = X_{c0} + X_{ca}, \t\t(27)
$$

where X_{c0} is the transport coefficient in the equilibrated medium within the EQPM description. The term X_{ca} denotes the nonequilibrium corrections to the heavy quark transport coefficients due to the anisotropy in the QGP medium. In general, the heavy quark transport coefficient due to elastic scattering can be schematically described as,

$$
X_c = \int \text{phase space} \times \text{interaction} \times \text{transport part.} \quad (28)
$$

It is important to note that the thermal medium interactions are embedded in the analysis through the effective fugacities. The EQPM description modifies the interaction strength through the effective coupling in the medium while defining the scattering matrix [\[78\]](#page-10-14). The integrals described in Eq. [\(25\)](#page-3-5) and Eq. [\(26\)](#page-4-0) can be further simplified and solved in the center-of-momentum frame of the colliding particles and have been well investigated; see Refs. [\[17,18\]](#page-9-5) for detailed discussions.

B. Radiative processes

Now, we consider the contribution of the radiative process of the heavy quarks in the medium to the transport coefficients. We consider the radiative process

 $HQ(P) + l(Q) \rightarrow HQ(P') + l(Q') + g(K_5)$, where $K_5 =$ (E_5, k_{\perp}, k_z) is the four-momentum of the emitted gluons. To evaluate the transport coefficients due to the radiative process, the two-body phase space and the matrix element of the elastic collisional process in Eq. [\(28\)](#page-4-1) need to be replaced with the three-body counterparts, and hence we have $[46]$,

$$
X_{r} = \frac{1}{2E_{p}} \int \frac{d^{3} \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^{3} 2E_{q}} \int \frac{d^{3} \mathbf{q}'}{(2\pi)^{3} 2E_{q'}} \int \frac{d^{3} \mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^{3} 2E_{p'} \gamma_{HQ}} \times \int \frac{d^{3} \mathbf{k}_{5}}{(2\pi)^{3} 2E_{5}} \sum |\mathcal{M}|_{2\to 3}^{2} (2\pi)^{4} \delta^{4} (P + Q - P' - Q' - K_{5}) \times f_{k}(\mathbf{q}) (1 + a_{k} f_{k}(\mathbf{q}')(1 + \hat{f}(E_{5})) \theta_{1} (\tau - \tau_{F}) \theta_{2} (E_{p} - E_{5}),
$$
\n(29)

where $\hat{f}(E_5) = \frac{1}{\exp(\beta E_5) - 1}$ is the distribution of the emitted gluon. Here, the theta functions impose restrictions on the gluon radiation. The function $\theta(E_p - E_5)$ imposes the constraint that the energy of the emitted gluon should be less than the energy of the heavy quarks. Similarly, the theta function $\theta_1(\tau - \tau_F)$ denotes that the formation time of the gluon (τ_F) should be less than the scattering time (τ) that accounts for the Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal effect [\[79,80\]](#page-10-15). Note that the current focus is on the soft gluon emission i.e., $K_5 \rightarrow 0$. The invariant amplitude for radiative processes (2 \rightarrow 3 process) $|\mathcal{M}|^2_{2\rightarrow3}$ can be described in terms of $|\mathcal{M}|^2_{2\to 2}$ for the collisional process, the dead cone factor, and the transverse momentum of the emitted gluon (k_+) as follows [\[81\]:](#page-10-16)

$$
|\mathcal{M}|_{2\to 3}^2 = |\mathcal{M}|_{2\to 2}^2 \times 12g^2 \frac{1}{k_\perp^2} \left(1 + \frac{M_{HQ}^2}{s} e^{2\eta}\right)^{-2},\qquad(30)
$$

where $s = (P + Q)^2$ is the Mandelstam variable and η is the rapidity of emitted massless gluons. The term $(1 + \frac{M_{HQ}^2}{s}e^{2\eta})^{-2}$ is the suppression factor due to the dead cone effect [\[82\].](#page-10-17) Substituting Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-3) into Eq. [\(29\)](#page-4-2), we obtain the radiative counterpart of the heavy quark transport coefficients as follows:

$$
X_r = X_{r0} + X_{ra},
$$
 (31)

where the equilibrium and anisotropic parts respectively take the forms

$$
X_{r0} = \frac{1}{2E_p} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_q} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{q'}} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{p'}} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}_5}{(2\pi)^3 2E_5} \frac{1}{\gamma_{HQ}} \sum |\mathcal{M}|^2_{2\to 3} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (P + Q - P' - Q' - K_5) \times f_k^0(\mathbf{q}) (1 + a_k f_k^0(\mathbf{q'})(1 + \hat{f}(E_5)) \theta_1 (\tau - \tau_F) \theta_2(E_p - E_5),
$$
\n(32)

$$
X_{ra} = \frac{1}{2E_p} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_q} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{q'}} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{p'}} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}_5}{(2\pi)^3 2E_5} \frac{1}{\gamma_{HQ}} \sum |\mathcal{M}|^2_{2\to 3} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (P + Q - P' - Q' - K_5) \times \left[\delta f_k(\mathbf{q})(1 + a_k f_k^0(\mathbf{q}')) + a_k f_k^0(\mathbf{q}) \delta f_k(\mathbf{q}')] (1 + \hat{f}(E_5)) \theta_1 (\tau - \tau_F) \theta_2(E_p - E_5).
$$
\n(33)

Substituting Eq. [\(30\)](#page-4-3) into Eq. [\(29\),](#page-4-2) we can represent the radiative counterpart of the heavy quark transport coefficients in terms of the collisional part as follows:

$$
X_r = X_c \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}_5}{(2\pi)^3 2E_5} 12g^2 \frac{1}{k_\perp^2} \left(1 + \frac{M_{HQ}^2}{s} e^{2\eta}\right)^{-2}
$$

× $(1 + \hat{f}(E_5))\theta_1(\tau - \tau_F)\theta_2(E_p - E_5).$ (34)

Equation [\(34\)](#page-5-1) can be further simplified by converting the massless gluon four-momentum in terms of the rapidity variable, and we have

$$
E_5 = k_\perp \cosh \eta, \qquad k_z = k_\perp \sinh \eta, \tag{35}
$$

with $d^3k_5 = d^2k_\perp dk_z = 2\pi k_\perp^2 dk_\perp \cosh \eta d\eta$. The interaction time is related to the interaction rate Λ and the function $\theta_1(\tau - \tau_F)$ imposes the constraint [\[83\]](#page-10-18)

$$
\tau = \Lambda^{-1} > \tau_F = \frac{\cosh \eta}{k_\perp},\tag{36}
$$

which indicates $k_{\perp} > \Lambda \cosh \eta = (k_{\perp})_{\text{min}}$, where $(k_{\perp})_{\text{min}}$ denotes the minimum value of k_{\perp} . Further, from the function $\theta_2(E_p - E_5)$, we have,

$$
E_p > E_5 = k_\perp \cosh \eta, \qquad (k_\perp)_{\text{max}} = \frac{E_p}{\cosh \eta}.
$$
 (37)

For the case of soft gluon emission of the heavy quarks in the medium, we have $E_5 = k_{\perp} \cosh \eta \ll T$ such that the distribution function of the emitted massless gluons can be approximated as,

$$
1 + \hat{f}(E_5) = 1 + \frac{T}{k_{\perp} \cosh \eta} \approx \frac{T}{k_{\perp} \cosh \eta}.
$$
 (38)

The equilibrium and anisotropic parts of the heavy quark transport coefficients can be obtained by solving Eq. [\(32\)](#page-4-4) and Eq. [\(33\)](#page-5-2) within the above approximations. The effective drag and diffusion coefficients of the heavy quarks due to the collisional and radiative processes in the anisotropic medium can be obtained by adding the collisional and radiative parts assuming that the elastic collision and soft gluon emission take place independently in the QGP. Hence, from Eq. [\(27\)](#page-4-5) and Eq. [\(31\)](#page-4-6), the effective transport coefficient within the EQPM takes the following form:

$$
X = X_0 + X_a,\tag{39}
$$

where X_0 is the net equilibrium part and X_a is the total anisotropic contribution to the radiative and collisional parts. Hence, we have

$$
X_0 = X_{c0} + X_{r0}, \qquad X_a = X_{ca} + X_{ra}.
$$
 (40)

We shall now proceed to investigate the effect of thermal medium interactions and anisotropy of the medium on the heavy quark transport coefficients and energy loss while including the collisional and radiative processes.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Heavy quark radiative and collisional processes in the anisotropic medium

We initiate the discussions with the momentum dependence of the heavy quark drag and diffusion coefficients in the equilibrated medium while incorporating the thermal medium interactions. The EQPM description of the heavy quark transport coefficients is described in Eq. [\(39\)](#page-5-3). The drag and diffusion coefficients of heavy quarks in the noninteracting QGP [the medium described by an ultrarelativistic gas of quarks/antiquarks and gluons (ideal EoS)] has been initially studied by including collisional interactions [\[17\]](#page-9-5) and later with the radiative process in the medium [\[46\]](#page-10-0). In the current analysis, the EoS effects are incorporated through the momentum distribution function of the effective degrees of freedom via effective fugacities and through the effective coupling. The momentum behavior of the drag and diffusion coefficients is depicted in Fig. [1](#page-6-0) for the LHC energy and in Fig. [2](#page-6-1) for the RHIC energy. The effect of thermal medium interactions on the heavy quark transport coefficients has already been studied in Ref. [\[54\]](#page-10-19). In the asymptotic limit, the EQPM results reduce back to the results of noninteracting QGP. The impact of the gluon radiation by heavy quarks on the drag and diffusion coefficients is prominent throughout the chosen range of momentum for the RHIC and LHC energies. This indicates that the inclusion of the radiative counterpart is essential for the analysis of measured observables from collision experiments at the RHIC and LHC. It is observed that the collisional part exceeds the radiative part within the EQPM for low heavy quark momentum, especially in the higher temperature regimes. However, in higher momentum regimes, the radiative contribution to the transport coefficients is dominant over the collisional counterparts.

FIG. 1. Momentum dependence of the heavy quark drag coefficient (left panel), diffusion coefficient B_0 (middle panel), and B_1 (right panel) for the LHC energy at $T = 480$ MeV in an anisotropic QGP medium.

FIG. 2. Momentum dependence of the heavy quark drag coefficient (left panel), diffusion coefficient B_0 (middle panel), and B_1 (right panel) for the RHIC energy at $T = 360$ MeV in an anisotropic QGP medium.

We have incorporated the effects of anisotropy on the heavy quark transport through the momentum distribution functions of the constituent particles in the medium. The heavy quark collisional and radiative processes are sensitive to the anisotropy in the medium, and the effect can be quantified in terms of the drag and diffusion coefficients. The momentum dependence of the drag and diffusion coefficients is depicted in an anisotropic medium in Fig. [1](#page-6-0) for the LHC energy at $T = 480$ MeV and in Fig. [2](#page-6-1) for the RHIC energy at $T = 360$ MeV. The effect of instability and hence the anisotropy in the medium is related to the phenomenologically known jet quenching parameter in the collision experiments. For the quantitative estimation, we choose $\hat{q}_k = 3.7T^3$ [\[84\],](#page-10-20) the thermalization time $\tau = 0.6$ fm for the LHC energy, and $\hat{q}_k = 4.6T^3$ [\[84\]](#page-10-20), $\tau = 0.9$ fm for the RHIC energy in the current analysis. The impact of anisotropy on the collisional process has been investigated in Ref. [\[65\]](#page-10-6). It is observed that the radiative process of the heavy quark significantly modifies the transport coefficients in the anisotropic medium. The impact of anisotropy on the drag coefficient due to the elastic and inelastic processes for the LHC energy is shown

in Fig. [1](#page-6-0) (left panel). We observed that the instability creates a lesser hindrance for the heavy quark motion in the QGP while emitting soft gluon radiation at low momentum. However, the collisional and radiative contributions of the drag coefficient increase with the anisotropy for the heavy quark momentum above $p = 5$ GeV at the LHC energies. Notably, the effect of anisotropy is more prominent in the radiative process in comparison with the collisional process of heavy quarks in the medium. Further, we verified that the same observation of the effect of anisotropy on the heavy quark coefficient holds true for the RHIC energies too.

The heavy quark diffusion coefficients B_0 and B_1 are plotted as a function of momentum in Fig. [1](#page-6-0) (middle and right panels) for the LHC energy and in Fig. [2](#page-6-1) (middle and right panels) for the RHIC energy. The radiative process is seen to have a dominant contribution to the heavy quark momentum diffusion in comparison with the collisional process in the medium, especially for the high-momentum regimes. In contrast to the drag coefficient, the anisotropy has a weaker dependence on B_0 and B_1 for the lowmomentum regime. As expected, the momentum anisotropy of the medium has different ramifications on the heavy quark transport in various directions. This is reflected in the momentum dependence of B_0 and B_1 in the anisotropic medium. It is observed that the anisotropy in the medium suppresses the diffusion coefficient B_0 , whereas B_1 shows the opposite behavior in the anisotropic medium.

B. Heavy quark collisional and radiative energy loss

A heavy quark travels through the medium and may lose its energy by elastic collisions with the constituent particles in the medium and by radiating gluons in the medium. The net energy loss of the heavy quark in the medium can be quantified in terms of the drag force that offers resistance to the heavy quark's motion. The differential heavy quark energy loss can be defined as follows [\[18\]](#page-9-10):

$$
-\frac{dE}{dL} = A(p^2, T)p.
$$
\n(41)

The energy loss of a heavy quark due to the hard and soft collision processes in the medium has been investigated in Ref. [\[25\]](#page-9-11). Further, the additional mechanism of soft gluon radiation enhances the energy loss. In Fig. [3](#page-7-0), the heavy quark energy loss in the medium due to elastic and inelastic processes is plotted as a function of its momentum for the LHC and RHIC energies. The realistic EoS effects suppress the collisional and radiative energy loss of the heavy quark in the interacting medium. Notably, the EoS effects on the heavy quark energy loss will be negligible in the asymptotic limit of the temperature as the medium behaves as an ultrarelativistic noninteracting system at very high temperatures. The heavy quark's energy loss in the QGP medium critically depends on its momentum and the temperature of the medium. In Ref. [\[21\],](#page-9-9) the authors have reported that the nonequilibrium corrections such as shear and bulk viscous corrections have a weaker dependence on the heavy quark energy loss in the QGP medium. In the current analysis, we studied the effect of the momentum anisotropy that induces instability in the QGP medium leading to anomalous transport process. It is observed that the momentum anisotropy of the medium has a visible impact on the heavy quark energy loss. This observation holds true for both the LHC and RHIC energies. The collisional and radiative energy loss of a heavy quark for the RHIC energy is reduced by approximately 30% in comparison with that for the LHC energy. It is also important to emphasize that the radiative contribution to the energy loss dominates the collisional part above $p = 5$ GeV in the isotropic and anisotropic QGP medium. However, in the low-momentum regions, the collisional energy loss is higher than that from the soft gluon radiation of heavy quark in the medium for both the LHC and RHIC energies.

C. Nuclear modification factor R_{AA}

To study the impact of anisotropy by heavy quarks in the medium on the experimental observable, we have estimated the nuclear suppression factor R_{AA} , employing the charm quark distribution functions at initial time $t = \tau_i$ and final time $t = \tau_f$ as $R_{AA} = \frac{f_{\tau_f}(p)}{f_{\tau_i}(p)}$. This requires adequate knowledge of heavy quark dynamics in the QGP medium. The standard approach to obtain the heavy quark momentum evolution in the medium is to solve the Fokker-Plank equation stochastically using the Langevin equations. The Langevin equations of motion for heavy quarks take the following forms [\[22,85\]:](#page-9-12)

$$
dx_i = \frac{p_i}{E} dt, \tag{42}
$$

$$
dp_i = -Ap_i dt + C_{ij} \rho_j \sqrt{dt}, \qquad (43)
$$

where dx_i and dp_i are respectively the position and momentum shift in each time interval dt . Here, A is the drag force and C_{ij} denotes the covariance matrix that

FIG. 3. Momentum dependence of collisional and radiative energy loss of the heavy quark in the medium for the LHC energy at $T = 480$ MeV (left panel) and the RHIC energy at $T = 360$ MeV (right panel).

FIG. 4. The nuclear suppression factor (R_{AA}) as a function of p_T for a charm quark for the LHC energy at $T = 480$ MeV (left panel) and the RHIC energy at $T = 360$ MeV (right panel).

describes the stochastic force in terms of independent Gaussian-normal-distributed random variables ρ_i with $\langle \rho_i \rho_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ and $\langle \rho_i \rangle = 0$. The matrix C_{ij} is related to the heavy quark momentum diffusion coefficients as follows:

$$
C_{ij} = \sqrt{2B_0} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p^2} \right) + \sqrt{2B_1} \frac{p_i p_j}{p^2} B_1. \quad (44)
$$

In the limit $B_0 = B_1 = D$, we have $C_{ij} = \sqrt{2D\delta_{ij}}$. It is important to note that this assumption is strictly valid for the static limit ($p \to 0$), and is also usually employed at finite momentum for heavy quark motion in the QGP medium [\[12,31,34,40,85,86\]](#page-9-4). In the momentum space, at τ_i , the charm quarks are distributed according to the fixed-order+next-to-leading-log calculations, taken from Refs. [\[87,88\]](#page-10-21). Our aim is to highlight the impact of the anisotropy presented in this manuscript on R_{AA} . We have computed the R_{AA} in a static medium at a fixed temperature for both the LHC and RHIC energies at the level of charm quarks. To study the heavy quark momentum evolution within Langevin dynamics, we implemented both the drag and diffusion coefficients presented in Fig. [1](#page-6-0) and Fig. [2](#page-6-1). In this present calculation we consider $\tau_f = 6$ fm/c which can be roughly taken as the typical lifetime of QGP produced at RHIC and LHC energies. In a future effort, we will study the heavy quarks observable in an expanding medium, including hadronization mechanics and the possible impact of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem.

The momentum dependence of R_{AA} is estimated in the anisotropic medium while including the collisional and radiative processes of heavy quarks in the QGP within the Langevin dynamics. The effects of anisotropy and soft gluon emission of heavy quarks in the medium enter through the drag and diffusion coefficients, adding both collisional and radiative drag and diffusion coefficients [\[83,89,90\]](#page-10-18). An alternative approach to include the radiative energy loss in the Langevin framework can be found in Refs. [\[29,86\].](#page-9-8)

In Fig. [4](#page-8-1), R_{AA} is plotted as a function of p_T at the LHC (left panel) and RHIC energies (right panel). The gluon emission by heavy quarks in the QGP medium substantially modifies the nuclear suppression factor. We observe a strong suppression (small R_{AA}) with the inclusion of the radiative process along with the elastic collisional process of heavy quarks in the QGP medium. Notably, in the high p_T regimes, the R_{AA} due to the radiative process is smaller than that due to the elastic interaction. We observe a similar trend for R_{AA} for both the LHC and RHIC energies. The impact of anisotropy of the medium on R_{AA} is further displayed in Fig. [4](#page-8-1). The effects of momentum anisotropy on the heavy quark transport coefficients seem to have a visible impact on R_{AA} for the LHC as well as RHIC energies. In the higher p_T regimes, the anisotropic effects decrease R_{AA} leading to a stronger suppression. This observation is a consequence of the fact that the instability (momentum anisotropy) offers a larger hindrance for the charm quark motion in the QGP at high momentum.

V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

In conclusion, we have investigated the dynamics of heavy quarks undergoing radiative energy loss along with the elastic collisions with the constituent particles in an anisotropic hot QCD medium. The elastic and inelastic (soft gluon radiation) interactions of the heavy quark with the medium have been studied in terms of the drag and diffusion coefficients within the framework of the Fokker-Planck approach. The thermal QCD medium interactions were incorporated into the analysis through the temperature-dependent quark, antiquark, and gluonic effective fugacities within the EQPM description. We have observed that the gluon radiation emission has a significant contribution to the heavy quark drag and diffusion coefficients in the QGP medium.

We have conducted a systematic analysis on the momentum dependence of the heavy quark in an anisotropic (momentum) hot QCD medium. The momentum anisotropy that may lead to the Chromo-Weibel instability leads to an effective Boltzmann-Vlasov equation. The nonequilibrium momentum distribution of the effective degrees of freedom was obtained by solving the ensemble-averaged diffusive Vlasov-Boltzmann equation. The effects of momentum anisotropy on the heavy quark transport coefficients were seen to be quite significant for both the collisional and radiative processes in the medium. Moreover, these anisotropic corrections induced by the instabilities in the QCD medium are essential to maintaining theoretical consistency in the description of heavy quark transport in the nearequilibrium medium. Further, we have studied the impact of momentum anisotropy on the collisional and radiative energy losses of the heavy quark in the hot QCD medium. The effects of anisotropy and gluon emission by heavy quarks in the medium were found to have noticeable effects on the momentum dependence of the nuclear suppression factor R_{AA} both at the RHIC and LHC energies.

The effects of the realistic EoS and momentum anisotropy on the heavy quark transport coefficients may have a significant impact on flow coefficients of heavy mesons at the LHC and RHIC. We intend to investigate these phenomenological aspects in the near future. The drag and diffusion coefficients, while including the radiative and collisional processes of the heavy quark in the expanding medium within the framework of dissipative hydrodynamics, will be taken up for investigation in the future. We intend to work on the gluon emission by the charm quark in a magnetized medium soon in follow-up work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M. K. would like to acknowledge Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar for an Institute postdoctoral fellowship. We are thankful to Jan-e-Alam and Trambak Bhattacharyya for useful discussions. This work is conducted under the SERB (Science and Engineering Research Board) Core Research Grant: CRG/2020/002320.

- [1] J. Adams et al. (STAR Collaboration), [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.085) A757, [102 \(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.085).
- [2] K. Adcox et al. (PHENIX Collaboration), [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.086) A757[, 184 \(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.086).
- [3] B. Back et al. (PHOBOS Collaboration), [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.084) A757, [28 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.03.084)
- [4] I. Arsene et al. (BRAHMS Collaboration), [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.02.130) A757[, 1 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.02.130)
- [5] K. Aamodt et al. (ALICE Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.252301) 105[, 252301 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.252301)
- [6] C. Gale, S. Jeon, and B. Schenke, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X13400113) 28, [1340011 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X13400113)
- [7] U. Heinz and R. Snellings, [Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-nucl-102212-170540) 63, [123 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-nucl-102212-170540).
- [8] A. Jaiswal and V. Roy, [Adv. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/9623034) 2016, 1 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/9623034)
- [9] L. Adamczyk et al. (STAR Collaboration), [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23004) 548[, 62 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23004)
- [10] P. Romatschke and U. Romatschke, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.172301) 99, [172301 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.172301)
- [11] S. Ryu, J. F. Paquet, C. Shen, G. S. Denicol, B. Schenke, S. Jeon, and C. Gale, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115[, 132301 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.132301).
- [12] A. Beraudo et al., [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2018.09.002) **A979**, 21 (2018).
- [13] X. Dong and V. Greco, [Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2018.08.001) **104**, 97 [\(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2018.08.001)
- [14] F. Prino and R. Rapp, J. Phys. G 43[, 093002 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/43/9/093002).
- [15] G. Aarts et al., [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2017-12282-9) 53, 93 (2017).
- [16] A. Andronic et al., [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3819-5) **76**, 107 (2016).
- [17] B. Svetitsky, Phys. Rev. D 37[, 2484 \(1988\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.37.2484)
- [18] M. Golam Mustafa, D. Pal, and D. Kumar Srivastava, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.57.889) Rev. C 57[, 889 \(1998\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.57.889) 57[, 3499\(E\) \(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.57.3499).
- [19] S. Cao et al., Phys. Rev. C 99[, 054907 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.99.054907)
- [20] Y. Xu et al., Phys. Rev. C 99[, 014902 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.99.014902)
- [21] M. Kurian, M. Singh, V. Chandra, S. Jeon, and C. Gale, Phys. Rev. C 102[, 044907 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.102.044907)
- [22] S. K. Das, F. Scardina, S. Plumari, and V. Greco, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.044901) C 90[, 044901 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.044901)
- [23] D. Banerjee, S. Datta, R. Gavai, and P. Majumdar, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.014510) Rev. D 85[, 014510 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.014510).
- [24] M. G. Mustafa, Phys. Rev. C 72[, 014905 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.72.014905)
- [25] E. Braaten and M.H. Thoma, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.R2625) 44, R2625 [\(1991\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.R2625)
- [26] S. Sarkar, C. Chattopadhyay, and S. Pal, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.97.064916) 97, [064916 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.97.064916)
- [27] R. Abir, U. Jamil, M. G. Mustafa, and D. K. Srivastava, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2012.07.044) 715, 183 (2012).
- [28] S. Y. F. Liu and R. Rapp, [J. High Energy Phys. 08 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2020)168) [168.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2020)168)
- [29] S. Cao, G.-Y. Qin, and S. A. Bass, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.044907) 88, 044907 [\(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.88.044907)
- [30] D. Zigic, I. Salom, J. Auvinen, M. Djordjevic, and M. Djordjevic, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.02.020) 791, 236 (2019).
- [31] H. van Hees, V. Greco, and R. Rapp, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.73.034913) 73, [034913 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.73.034913)
- [32] P. B. Gossiaux and J. Aichelin, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.78.014904) 78, 014904 [\(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.78.014904)
- [33] S. K. Das, F. Scardina, S. Plumari, and V. Greco, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.06.003) B 747[, 260 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.06.003).
- [34] F. Scardina, S. K. Das, V. Minissale, S. Plumari, and V. Greco, Phys. Rev. C 96[, 044905 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.96.044905)
- [35] S. Cao, T. Luo, G.-Y. Qin, and X.-N. Wang, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.94.014909) 94[, 014909 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.94.014909)
- [36] T. Song, H. Berrehrah, D. Cabrera, J. M. Torres-Rincon, L. Tolos, W. Cassing, and E. Bratkovskaya, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.92.014910) 92, [014910 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.92.014910)
- [37] A. Adare et al. (PHENIX Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.172301) 98[, 172301 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.172301)
- [38] S. S. Adler et al. (PHENIX Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.032301) 96[, 032301 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.032301)
- [39] W. M. Alberico, A. Beraudo, A. De Pace, A. Molinari, M. Monteno, M. Nardi, F. Prino, and M. Sitta, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2481-z) 73[, 2481 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2481-z).
- [40] H. van Hees, M. Mannarelli, V. Greco, and R. Rapp, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.192301) Rev. Lett. 100[, 192301 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.192301)
- [41] S. Li, C. Wang, R. Wan, and J. Liao, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.99.054909) 99, [054909 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.99.054909)
- [42] M. Y. Jamal and B. Mohanty, [arXiv:2101.00164](https://arXiv.org/abs/2101.00164).
- [43] Y. Akamatsu, T. Hatsuda, and T. Hirano, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.79.054907) 79, [054907 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.79.054907)
- [44] J. Uphoff, O. Fochler, Z. Xu, and C. Greiner, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.024908) 84[, 024908 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.024908)
- [45] H.-j. Xu, X. Dong, L.-j. Ruan, Q. Wang, Z.-b. Xu, and Y.-f. Zhang, Phys. Rev. C 89[, 024905 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.89.024905)
- [46] S. Mazumder, T. Bhattacharyya, and J.-e. Alam, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.014002) D 89[, 014002 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.014002).
- [47] C. Shen, J.-F. Paquet, U. Heinz, and C. Gale, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.014908) 91[, 014908 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.014908)
- [48] K. Dusling and S. Lin, Nucl. Phys. **A809**[, 246 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2008.06.007)
- [49] G. Vujanovic, C. Young, B. Schenke, R. Rapp, S. Jeon, and C. Gale, Phys. Rev. C 89[, 034904 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.89.034904).
- [50] L. Thakur, N. Haque, and Y. Hirono, [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2020)071) [06 \(2020\) 071.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2020)071)
- [51] V. Chandra, M. Kurian, L. J. Naik, and V. Sreekanth, [arXiv:2003.13645.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2003.13645)
- [52] V. Chandra and V. Sreekanth, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4992-5) 77, 427 (2017).
- [53] T. Song, P. Moreau, J. Aichelin, and E. Bratkovskaya, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.101.044901) Rev. C 101[, 044901 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.101.044901)
- [54] S. K. Das, V. Chandra, and J.-e. Alam, [J. Phys. G](https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/41/1/015102) 41, [015102 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/41/1/015102)
- [55] B. Singh and H. Mishra, Phys. Rev. D 101[, 054027 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.054027)
- [56] M. Kurian, V. Chandra, and S. K. Das, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.094024) 101, [094024 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.094024)
- [57] S. Mrowczynski, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(93)91330-P) 314, 118 (1993).
- [58] J. Randrup and S. Mrowczynski, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.68.034909) 68, 034909 [\(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.68.034909)
- [59] S. Mrowczynski, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(88)90124-4) 214, 587 (1988); 656[, 273\(E\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.039) [\(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.039)
- [60] P. Romatschke and M. Strickland, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.036004) 68, 036004 [\(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.036004)
- [61] M. Asakawa, S. A. Bass, and B. Muller, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.252301) 96, [252301 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.252301)
- [62] A. Majumder, B. Muller, and X.-N. Wang, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.192301) 99[, 192301 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.192301)
- [63] M. Asakawa, S. A. Bass, and B. Muller, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.116.725) 116[, 725 \(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.116.725).
- [64] T.H. Dupree, Phys. Fluids 9[, 1773 \(1966\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1761932).
- [65] V. Chandra and S. K. Das, Phys. Rev. D **93**[, 094036 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.094036)
- [66] S. K. Das, M. Ruggieri, F. Scardina, S. Plumari, and V. Greco, J. Phys. G 44[, 095102 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6471/aa815a).
- [67] S. Mrowczynski, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2018-12478-5) 54, 43 (2018).
- [68] M. Ruggieri and S. K. Das, Phys. Rev. D 98[, 094024 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.094024)
- [69] K. Boguslavski, A. Kurkela, T. Lappi, and J. Peuron, [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2020)077) [Energy Phys. 09 \(2020\) 077.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2020)077)
- [70] M. E. Carrington, A. Czajka, and S. Mrowczynski, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2020.121914) Phys. A1001[, 121914 \(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2020.121914).
- [71] Y. Sun, G. Coci, S. K. Das, S. Plumari, M. Ruggieri, and V. Greco, Phys. Lett. B 798[, 134933 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.134933)
- [72] V. Chandra and V. Ravishankar, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.074013) 84, 074013 [\(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.074013)
- [73] V. Chandra and V. Ravishankar, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1126-8) 64, 63 [\(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1126-8)
- [74] S. Borsanyi, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, S. D. Katz, S. Krieg, and K. K. Szabo, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.01.007) 730, 99 (2014).
- [75] U.W. Heinz, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.51.351) **51**, 351 (1983).
- [76] M. Asakawa, S. A. Bass, and B. Muller, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2010.07.013) A854, [76 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2010.07.013)
- [77] V. Chandra, Phys. Rev. D **84**[, 094025 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.094025)
- [78] S. Mitra and V. Chandra, Phys. Rev. D 94[, 034025 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.034025)
- [79] M. Gyulassy and X.-n. Wang, Nucl. Phys. B420[, 583 \(1994\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(94)90079-5)
- [80] S. Klein, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.71.1501) 71, 1501 (1999).
- [81] R. Abir, C. Greiner, M. Martinez, M. G. Mustafa, and J. Uphoff, Phys. Rev. D 85[, 054012 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.054012).
- [82] Y. L. Dokshitzer and D. E. Kharzeev, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01130-3) 519, 199 [\(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01130-3)
- [83] S. K. Das, J.-e. Alam, and P. Mohanty, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.014908) 82, [014908 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.014908)
- [84] K. M. Burke et al. (JET Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.014909) 90, [014909 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.90.014909)
- [85] G.D. Moore and D. Teaney, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.71.064904) 71, 064904 [\(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.71.064904)
- [86] S. Cao, G.-Y. Qin, and S. A. Bass, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.92.024907) 92, 024907 [\(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.92.024907)
- [87] M. Cacciari, P. Nason, and R. Vogt, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.122001) 95, [122001 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.122001)
- [88] M. Cacciari, S. Frixione, N. Houdeau, M. L. Mangano, P. Nason, and G. Ridolfi, [J. High Energy Phys. 10 \(2012\) 137.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2012)137)
- [89] S. Mazumder, T. Bhattacharyya, J.-e. Alam, and S. K. Das, Phys. Rev. C 84[, 044901 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.044901)
- [90] P. B. Gossiaux, V. Guiho, and J. Aichelin, [J. Phys. G](https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/32/12/S44) 32, [S359 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/32/12/S44)