


Weighing the vacuum energy

Enrique Alvarez^{⊗,*}, Jesus Anero[†] and Raquel Santos-Garcia^{⊗‡}

*Departamento de Física Teórica and Instituto de Física Teórica, IFT-UAM/CSIC,
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco 28049, Madrid, Spain*

 (Received 8 January 2021; accepted 22 March 2021; published 19 April 2021)

We discuss the weight of vacuum energy in various contexts. First, we compute the vacuum energy for flat spacetimes of the form $\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, where \mathbb{T}^3 stands for a general 3-torus. We discover a quite simple relationship between energy at radius R and energy at radius $\frac{r_0}{R}$. Then we consider quantum gravity effects in the vacuum energy of a scalar field in $\mathbb{M}_3 \times S^1$ where \mathbb{M}_3 is a general curved spacetime, and the circle S^1 refers to a spacelike coordinate. We compute it for general relativity and generic transverse diffeomorphisms theories. In the particular case of unimodular gravity vacuum energy does not gravitate.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.103.084032](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.084032)

I. INTRODUCTION

The existence of vacuum energy is a prediction of quantum field theory (QFT), although explicit computations usually yield a divergent value for this observable. This is not a problem whenever the gravitational interaction can be neglected, because then the zero-point energy is physically irrelevant and some normal ordering can be imposed which renormalizes the vacuum energy to zero. This situation changes, however, once the effects of the gravitational field are taken into account. Then the vacuum energy weighs, and its renormalization is physically relevant.

There are different senses in which we can speak about vacuum energy (cf. the seminal paper on the Casimir effect [1] and related comments in [2]).¹ These ambiguities are not unrelated with recent concerns on how the said Casimir energy falls in an external gravitational field; that is, whether or not it violates the equivalence principle (see [6,7] and references therein). The main issue follows from the use of the energy-momentum tensor to infer the vacuum energy via the following variational formula:

$$\delta W = -\frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{|g|} d^n x T^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (1)$$

Ambiguities arise because the computed energy-momentum tensor is not conserved. This means that the above expression

is not gauge invariant. In fact, in almost all treatments known to us, the gravitational field is considered as a background field and the Casimir effect is encapsulated in some energy-momentum tensor (vacuum energy density). The treatment in [8–12] is an exception as it is an example of how to compute the gauge invariant Vilkovisky-DeWitt effective action of quantum gravity.

It is worth pointing out the work of Jaffe and co-workers [13,14] that claim (rightly so in our opinion) that the experiments made up to now do not test the reality of the *vacuum energy*, but rather of the *Casimir force* which can be computed (as they do) using standard scattering techniques. Nevertheless, these experiments by themselves do not tell us anything about the weight (if any) of the vacuum energy. Incidentally, one of the first persons to worry about this subject, namely Pauli [15], denied the physical relevance of the vacuum energy and claimed that it should be subtracted from the total energy-momentum of the system.

Our definition of vacuum energy stems from the background field approach in QFT. When the gravitational field is treated as a gauge field then the effective action, when all background matter fields are taken to be zero, contains a leading term of the form

$$W_0 = \int \sqrt{|g|} d^n x \mathcal{E}_0, \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{E}_0 is the *constant vacuum energy density*, that is, the *cosmological constant*, the field-independent piece of the effective potential. With this definition, the engineering dimension of \mathcal{E}_0 is n . Other definitions are often used in the literature, and it is usually easy to relate them to our W_0 . In particular, we shall sometimes use the notation E_0 for one such quantity with mass dimension one.

*enrique.alvarez@uam.es

†jesusanero@gmail.com

‡raquel.santosg@uam.es

¹We refer to [3,4] for reviews as well as to [5] for a theoretical treatment.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

It is also interesting to consider some modifications of general relativity, namely *transverse theories* in which the volume element is changed to

$$d_T(vol) \equiv f(g)d^n x, \quad (3)$$

where $f(g)$ is an arbitrary function of the determinant of the metric tensor. We shall eventually comment on the particular case of unimodular gravity, in which $f(g) = 1$, so that the same term reads

$$W = \int d^n x \mathcal{E}_0. \quad (4)$$

As a consequence, the vacuum energy density does not weigh through a direct coupling with the gravitational field. A similar coupling is indeed necessary owing to self-consistency (i.e., Bianchi identity), but the point is that its effect is *not* proportional to the constant \mathcal{E}_0 .

Let us now summarize the contents of this paper. After reviewing the standard treatment of vacuum energy in flat space in our language, we generalize it to more general (still background; that is, neglecting backreaction) flat manifolds of the type $\mathbb{T}_3 \times \mathbb{R}$, where the three-dimensional manifold is a general torus. In this simple situation, we can unveil some relationship between the vacuum energy at radius R and at radius l_s^2/R , in a sense to be clarified later. Then we proceed to study the quantum gravity effects. We assume that the background spacetime remains of the form $\mathbb{M}_3 \times S^1$ (where \mathbb{M}_3 is not necessarily flat) even after quantum corrections. Our treatment is gauge invariant from the very beginning, because when all interactions (including gravity) are quantized and integrated upon in the path integral, there is no other room for ambiguity than the renormalization conditions to be imposed on finite parts once appropriate counterterms are included at each order in the loop expansion.

In that sense, as we have already pointed out, for us the vacuum energy is related to the constant term in the effective Lagrangian, which in Einstein's general relativity couples directly to gravity only through the term $\sqrt{|g|}$. This means that its effect on the energy-momentum tensor is proportional to the background spacetime metric

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{vac} \sim f(x)g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (5)$$

assuming there are no boundaries in the spacetime. This procedure circumvents the nasty task of defining *energy* in an arbitrary background spacetime, $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$, although it is true that the name is only appropriate in some simple cases in which the total energy can be properly defined.

A. Review of known results

Let us start with a brief review of known results in flat space. The standard treatment in our language, as found in [16] (and references therein) reads as follows. Consider the heat kernel for a free scalar of mass m in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times S^1$. Let us denote coordinates as $x^\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ where $(\mu = 0, \dots, n-2)$ and $y \equiv \theta R_0 \in S^1$. We have denoted the radius of the compact dimension by R_0 in order to avoid confusion with the scalar curvature which we denote by R . With this, the boundary conditions we need to impose are

$$\phi(x, y) = \phi(x, y + 2\pi R_0) = \phi(x, y + L). \quad (6)$$

To implement this periodicity, we can expand the fields in modes as

$$\phi = \sum_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \phi_k(x) e^{i2\pi k y/L} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R_0}} \sum_k \phi_k(x) e^{iky/R_0}. \quad (7)$$

It should be remarked that whereas the dimension $[\phi] = \frac{n-2}{2}$ (that is 1 in $n = 4$ dimensions), the dimension of $[\phi_k] = \frac{n-3}{2}$ (1/2 in four dimensions).

Let us take the simple example of a massive scalar field with a $\lambda\phi^4$ interaction in a four-dimensional space where one of the coordinates is compactified on a circle. Expanding the scalar field as a background value and a perturbation, the quadratic piece in the perturbation reads

$$S_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \int d(vol)_4 \phi(x, y) (\square_4 + M^2) \phi(x, y), \quad (8)$$

where $M^2 \equiv m^2 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\phi}^2$ with $\partial_\mu \bar{\phi} = 0$.

The *effective potential* is defined as the approximation to the effective action in which $\bar{\phi}$ is constant. This is the first term in an expansion of the background field in derivatives. Going back to the spacetime decomposition we can write the quadratic operator as

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3 x \sqrt{|\bar{g}|^{(3)}} \int_0^L dy \frac{1}{L} \sum_k \sum_l \phi_k(x) \left[\square^{(3)} + \left(\frac{2\pi k}{L} \right)^2 + M^2 \right] \phi_l(x) e^{i(2\pi/L)(k+l)y} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3 x \sqrt{|\bar{g}|^{(3)}} \sum_l \phi_l(x) \left[\square^{(3)} + \left(\frac{2\pi l}{L} \right)^2 + M^2 \right] \phi_{-l}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where we clearly see that the effect of integrating in the compact dimension is a shift in the effective mass of the scalar field. At this point, we are working with real scalar fields so we have $\phi_{-l}(x) = \phi_l(x)$.

Were it not for the fact that one of the dimensions is a circle, we would have that the effective action reads

$$\begin{aligned} W &= - \int d^n x \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} (4\pi\tau)^{-n/2} e^{-m^2\tau} \\ &= - \frac{V_n}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} (m^2)^{n/2} \Gamma(-n/2) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

which is divergent for $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$. Taking the precise case of (9), the effective action corresponds to $n - 1$ dimensions really, owing to the fact that one of the spatial dimensions is compactified being thus equivalent to a Kaluza-Klein tower of momentum states. Using the effective mass of (9) we get

$$W = - \frac{V_{n-1}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right) \frac{1}{L^{n-1}} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} (M^2 L^2 + 4l^2 \pi^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}. \quad (11)$$

This is then the effective potential in our case, including the quartic interaction in the effective potential approximation; that is, constant $\bar{\phi}$. In the massless case, for $n = 4$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \frac{W}{V_3} = - \frac{\pi^2}{45L^3}. \quad (12)$$

This result corresponds to the usual Casimir energy per unit volume computed in [16]. The remarkable fact is that it is negative definite, not the most natural thing to be for an energy density.

In the case of $M \neq 0$, we focus in the summation of (11) defining the sum

$$\begin{aligned} S(M) &\equiv \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} [M^2 L^2 + 4l^2 \pi^2]^{(n-1)/2} \\ &= (ML)^{n-1} + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (2l\pi)^{n-1} \left[1 + \left(\frac{ML}{2l\pi} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{n-1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Using the generalized binomial theorem² and the definition of the zeta function the sum reads

²We have that

$$(x+y)^\lambda = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_k}{k!} x^{\lambda-k} y^k, \quad (14)$$

where we need $|x| > |y|$ and where $(\lambda)_k \equiv \lambda(\lambda-1)\dots(\lambda-k+1)$ is the definition of Pochhammer's symbol (falling factorial) and $(\lambda)_0 = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} S(M) &= (ML)^{n-1} + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{n-1}{k}}{k!} (ML)^{2k} \zeta(2k+1-n) \\ &\quad \times (2\pi)^{n-1-2k}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Let us note that the $k = 0$ term reproduces the previous massless case

$$S(0) = (2\pi)^{n-1} \zeta(1-n). \quad (16)$$

To get the result for a complex scalar for Dirichlet boundary conditions at $y = 0$ and $y = 2\pi R_0$, we have to replace

$$L \rightarrow 2L. \quad (17)$$

We would like to emphasize that we have not attempted to compute the vacuum energy of the full flat space; rather our renormalization condition is precisely

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} W_0 = 0. \quad (18)$$

That is, we define the vacuum energy of the full flat space as zero and refer all other energies to it.

II. VACUUM ENERGY INDUCED IN THREE-DIMENSIONAL TORI

The purpose of this section is to study the vacuum energy of quantum field theory in a background space-time of the form

$$\mathbb{F}_3 \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (19)$$

where \mathbb{F}_3 is a flat 3-manifold and \mathbb{R} represents time. There are four-dimensional flat manifolds which fail to be in this class, but we prefer to stick to (19) for simplicity. These manifolds have been completely classified by Wolf in [17].

Let us dwell in more detail in the particular case of $\mathbb{F}^3 = \mathbb{T}^3 = \frac{\mathbb{R}^3}{\Gamma}$ where Γ is a three-dimensional lattice and the flat manifold corresponds to a general three-torus (computations on similar backgrounds have been carried out in [18]). The mathematical definition of a *lattice* [19] is the set of points in \mathbb{R}^3 of the form

$$\Gamma \equiv \{\mathbb{Z}\vec{e}_a\}. \quad (20)$$

The three dimensional vectors \vec{e}_a ($a = 1\dots 3$) are the *generators* of the lattice. Accordingly, the *dual lattice* Γ^* is the set of points $w \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that, $w \cdot v \in \mathbb{Z}$, for all points $v \in \Gamma$. Now we can define the metric in this space as

$$g_{ab} \equiv \vec{e}_a \cdot \vec{e}_b \quad a, b = 1\dots 3, \quad (21)$$

which we will assume to be nondegenerate and positive definite. The dual lattice Γ^* is generated by the vectors \vec{e}_a^* such that

$$\vec{e}_a^* \cdot \vec{e}_b = \delta_{ab}. \quad (22)$$

We shall define the *volume* of the lattice by $\text{Vol}(\Gamma) \equiv \det g_{ab}$ and dub the lattice as *unimodular* if $\text{Vol}(\Gamma) = 1$.

In a 3-torus $\mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3/\Gamma$ points are identified under

$$x^i = x^i + \sum_{a=1}^{a=3} n^a 2\pi R_a e_a^i, \quad (23)$$

where the subindex in R_a indicates a different radius for each direction. We can now define some new coordinates using (22), live in circles, $z_a \equiv R_a \theta_a$, and are defined as

$$z_a \equiv \vec{x} \vec{e}_a^* = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{e}_a^* + 2\pi n_a R_a, \quad (24)$$

with the periodicity property $z_a = z_a + 2\pi n_a R_a$. In these coordinates, the corresponding spacetime metric will be

$$ds^2 \equiv f_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = dt^2 - \sum_{a,b=1}^3 g_*^{ab} dz_a dz_b. \quad (25)$$

After describing the needed coordinates and metric for the precise spacetime, let us introduce an interacting quantum field in $\mathbb{F}_3 \times \mathbb{R}$. The action we consider has the following form:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{|f|} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} f^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{4!} \lambda \phi^4 \right\}, \quad (26)$$

where the metric has been defined in (25). Taking again the one-loop effective potential approximation, the piece of the Lagrangian quadratic in the quantum fields would read

$$S_2 = \bar{S} + \int d^4x \sqrt{|f|} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} f^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - \frac{1}{2} \bar{M}^2 \phi^2 \right\}, \quad (27)$$

where the mass matrix is defined as $\bar{M}^2 \equiv m^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \bar{\phi}^2$. Notice that we keep assuming that $\partial_\mu \bar{\phi} = 0$. The heat equation reads

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} K(x - x' | \tau) = -[\square_E + \bar{M}^2] K(x - x' | \tau) \quad (28)$$

where $x = (t, z_a)$ as before and the \square_E operator stands for the Euclidean³ version of the Laplacian associated with the metric (25). Periodicity of the heat kernel in all the space of

³We are working with the mostly minus signature so that $\square_E = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_a^2}$.

the z coordinates is assured by construction as the solution is related to Riemann's theta function [20]

$$\Theta(x - x' | \Omega) \equiv \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g} e^{i\pi n^2 \Omega + 2\pi i n \cdot (x - x')} \quad (29)$$

where $x \in \mathbb{C}^g$ and Ω is a $g \times g$ complex matrix such that $\text{Im} \Omega > 0$. In our case we need $g = 3$, see Appendix C for more details. In particular, we make the following ansatz for the spatial part of the heat kernel:

$$K(z_a - z'_a | \Omega \tau) = \Theta \left(\frac{z_a - z'_a}{2\pi R_a} \middle| \Omega \tau \right). \quad (30)$$

Note that the Riemann theta function is periodic, see Appendix C 3. Taking the τ derivative we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} K(z_a - z'_a | \Omega \tau) = \left[\pi i \sum_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{\mu\nu} n_\mu n_\nu \right] K(z_a - z'_a | \Omega \tau), \quad (31)$$

which has to be equal to the spatial part of the heat kernel equation (28), namely, $g_*^{ab} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_a \partial z_b} K(z_a - z'_a | \Omega \tau)$. This forces

$$\Omega^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{\pi R_\mu R_\nu} g_*^{\mu\nu}, \quad (32)$$

where the repeated indices do not indicate summation in this case.

We can finally write the total heat kernel as

$$\begin{aligned} K(t - t', z_a - z'_a | \Omega \tau) \\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\tau}} e^{-\frac{(t-t')^2}{4\tau} - \bar{M}^2 \tau} \Theta \left(\frac{z_a - z'_a}{2\pi R_a} \middle| \frac{i\tau g_*^{\mu\nu}}{\pi R_\mu R_\nu} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

so that the effective potential energy reads

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= - \int \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\tau}} e^{-\tau \sum_{ab} g_*^{ab} \frac{n_a n_b}{R_a R_b} - \bar{M}^2 \tau} \\ &= \left[\sum_{ab} g_*^{ab} \frac{n_a n_b}{R_a R_b} + \bar{M}^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

A. Duality property

After the preliminary computation of the induced vacuum energy on the three-dimensional tori, let us focus on the relation between this potential for radius R and for radius $\tilde{R} = \frac{l_s^2}{R}$ (where at this point l_s is just a constant with dimensions of length), similar to the T-duality property in string theory (cf. [21] and references therein). The key point in finding this relation is the modular property of the theta function, see Appendix C 3, which in the case of interest takes the form

$$\Theta\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{z}\left|\right|-\frac{1}{\tau}\Omega^{-1}\right) = \sqrt{\det(-\Omega\tau)}e^{\frac{i\mathbf{z}\Omega^{-1}\mathbf{z}}{\tau}}\Theta(\mathbf{z}|\Omega\tau). \quad (35)$$

Using (32) we have that for our case

$$\Omega_{\mu\nu}^{-1} = -i\pi R_\mu R_\nu g_{\mu\nu}^*, \quad (36)$$

where again no summation is implicit. Taking the form of the spatial coordinates appearing in (33) together with (36), we find the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta\left(\frac{i}{2\tau}R_\mu\sum_\alpha g_{\mu\alpha}^*(z_\alpha - z'_\alpha)\left|\right|\frac{i}{\tau}\pi R_\mu R_\nu g_{\mu\nu}^*\right) \\ &= \sqrt{\det\left(-\frac{i\tau g_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}}{\pi R_\alpha R_\beta}\right)}e^{\frac{i}{4\tau}\sum_{\rho\sigma}(z'_\rho - z'_\sigma)g_{\rho\sigma}^*(z^\sigma - z'^\sigma)} \\ & \times \Theta\left(\frac{z_\mu - z'_\mu}{2\pi R_\mu}\left|\right|\frac{i\tau g_{\mu\nu}^*}{\pi R_\mu R_\nu}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

This entails some relationship between theories compactified on R_a and those compactified on $\frac{1}{R_a}$, as we can use (37) to relate the spatial part of the heat kernel at each of the radius as

$$\begin{aligned} & K\left(\frac{\tilde{z}_\mu - \tilde{z}'_\mu}{2\pi\tilde{R}_\mu}\left|\right|\tilde{\Omega}\tilde{\tau}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\tilde{\tau}}}e^{-M^2\tilde{\tau}}\Theta\left(\frac{\tilde{z}_\mu - \tilde{z}'_\mu}{2\pi\tilde{R}_\mu}\left|\right|\tilde{\Omega}\tilde{\tau}\right) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{\tau}}e^{-M^2(\tilde{\tau}-\tau)}\sqrt{\det\left(-\frac{i\tau g_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}}{\pi R_\alpha R_\beta}\right)}e^{\frac{i}{4\tau}\sum_{\rho\sigma}(z'_\rho - z'_\sigma)g_{\rho\sigma}^*(z^\sigma - z'^\sigma)} \\ & \times K\left(\frac{z_\mu - z'_\mu}{2\pi R_\mu}\left|\right|\Omega\tau\right) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where the tilde variables corresponding to the inverse radius⁴ read

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau} &= \frac{\pi^2 l_s^4}{\tau}, & \tilde{R}_\mu &\equiv \frac{l_s^2}{R_\mu}, \\ \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}^* &\equiv g_{\mu\nu}^*, & \tilde{z}_\mu &= \frac{i\pi l_s^2}{\tau}\sum_\alpha g_{\mu\alpha}^* z_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Here l_s is a (at this point arbitrary) length scale that is introduced to keep engineering dimensions right. Let us

⁴There is another possibility given by

$$\tilde{\tau} = \tau, \quad \tilde{R}_\mu \equiv \frac{\tau}{\pi R_\mu}, \quad \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}^* \equiv g_{\mu\nu}^*, \quad \tilde{z}_\mu = i\sum_\alpha g_{\mu\alpha}^* z_\alpha. \quad (39)$$

Nevertheless it is not clear whether the τ dependence of \tilde{R} interferes with its physical meaning.

note that for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ this relations map $z_a \in \mathbb{R}$ into $\tilde{z}_a \in \mathbb{C}$, but the coordinates z_a remain real for τ imaginary.

Finally, we can compute the effective potential energy, which reads

$$\begin{aligned} E_0(R_a) &= -\int \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \text{tr}K(\tau) \\ &= -\int \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\tau}} e^{-M^2\tau} \Theta\left(0\left|\right|\frac{i\tau g_{\mu\nu}^{ab}}{\pi R_a R_b}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

and we can invert (41) to write the theta function in terms of the effective potential as

$$\frac{1}{\tau\sqrt{4\pi\tau}}\Theta\left(0\left|\right|\frac{i\tau g_{\mu\nu}^{ab}}{\pi R_a R_b}\right) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C d\mu^2 E_0(R_a) e^{\mu^2\tau}. \quad (42)$$

The circuit C is the one corresponding to $Re \mu^2 = c > 0$ in the complex μ^2 plane (c being an arbitrary positive constant).

In a similar way, we can compute the potential energy \widetilde{E}_0 corresponding to \widetilde{R}_a , which is itself a function of R_a and τ . This potential energy then is going to depend on the normal radius R_a and we can write it as a function of $E_0(R_a)$ using (38) as

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{E}_0(R_a) &= -\int \frac{d\tilde{\tau}}{\tilde{\tau}} \text{tr}K(\tilde{\tau}) \\ &= -\int \frac{d\tilde{\tau}}{\tilde{\tau}} \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{\tau}} e^{-M^2(\tilde{\tau}-\tau)} e^{\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{\tau}} \left(\frac{\tau}{\pi}\right)^{3/2} \frac{\sqrt{g_*}}{R_1 R_2 R_3} \text{tr}K(\tau) \\ &= \int d\tau \frac{\tau}{2i\pi^2 l_s^2} e^{\frac{\tilde{\tau}}{\tau}} \left(\frac{\tau}{\pi}\right)^{3/2} \frac{\sqrt{g_*}}{R_1 R_2 R_3} e^{-M^2\pi^2 l_s^4/\tau} \\ & \times \int_C d\mu^2 E_0(R_a) e^{\mu^2\tau}, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where we have used (40). This nonlocal integral relationship between the potential and its dual is at variance with the situation in string theory (see e.g., [21] and references therein), where the relationship between the effective potentials for dual tori is much simpler (they are actually proportional).

III. THE EFFECT OF DYNAMICAL GRAVITY ON THE VACUUM ENERGY

Let us now turn to the study of another aspect of vacuum energy, namely, the quantum gravity corrections to the Casimir effect (cf. [22] and references therein). We aim to study the possible changes introduced by graviton fluctuations. Once dynamical gravity is considered, there is no ambiguity related to the energy-momentum tensor and the effective action retains all of the gauge invariance.

In order to analyze the changes in the Casimir energy brought by dynamical gravitons, we start with the following simple action:

$$S = \int \sqrt{|g|} d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} R + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \Phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \Phi^4 \right\}. \quad (44)$$

We are going to work on a manifold of the form $M_4 \equiv M_3 \times S^1$, where M_3 represents an arbitrary three-dimensional manifold with Minkowskian signature and the remaining spatial dimension is compactified on a circle. In order to compute the one-loop effective action and the effective potential, we use the background field technique [23]. We expand the fields in their background value and a perturbation as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu} &\equiv \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \kappa h_{\mu\nu}, \\ \Phi &\equiv \bar{\phi} + \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Let us note that in order to be able to compare with the usual Casimir effect in a nondynamical background, we take the following form of the background metric:

$$\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \sum_{n=0}^2 \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}(x) dx^\alpha dx^\beta + dy^2, \quad (46)$$

where $dy^2 = R_0^2 d\theta^2$. It is important to notice at this point that we are giving up some of the background gauge invariance. Instead of $\text{Diff}(M_4)$ we will have $\text{Diff}(M_3) \times SO(2)$ with linear generators

$$\xi = \sum_{i=0,1,2} \xi^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}. \quad (47)$$

This means that we are neglecting certain quantum fluctuations to keep our background metric form invariant. Nevertheless, we will stick to this type of background to make the computations physically sensible.

With the expansion (45) and after gauge fixing, the quadratic piece of the action takes the form

$$S_{2+gf} = \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} d^4x \Phi^A \Delta_{AB} \Phi^B, \quad (48)$$

where we have defined the generalized field

$$\Phi^A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} h^{\alpha\beta} \\ \phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (49)$$

and the operator has the symbolic form given by

$$\Delta_{AB} = -g_{AB} \bar{\square} + Y_{AB}. \quad (50)$$

The details of the computation can be found in Appendix A (cf. also [24]). In a previous paper [25], we studied the two possible viewpoints that can be considered when

renormalizing Kaluza-Klein theories. The first one consists of renormalizing the higher dimensional theory first and expanding the resulting higher dimensional effective theory (including counterterms) in harmonics afterward. The other viewpoint consists of first expanding in harmonics the classical theory and renormalizing the resulting four-dimensional theory. The two viewpoints are in agreement for free theories [26], but not anymore when interactions are considered.

We shall stick here to the lower dimensional point of view, that is, the later alternative. We expand the fields in modes as

$$\Phi^A = \sum_k \Phi_k^A(x) e^{ik2\pi y/L}, \quad (51)$$

where $L = 2\pi R_0$. We can integrate the periodic coordinate and get

$$S_{2+gf} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \sqrt{|\bar{g}|^{(3)}} \sum_k (\Phi_k^A \Delta_{AB}^k \Phi_k^B), \quad (52)$$

where we have used (46) and $\Phi_k^A = \Phi_{-k}^A$.

For the Casimir energy, we need to compute the finite part of the effective action. In order to do that, we are going to separate the contribution coming from the compact dimension, that is, the mode number dependence, as

$$\Delta_{AB}^k = -g_{AB} \bar{\square}^{(3)} - \left(\frac{2k\pi}{L} \right)^2 g_{AB} + Y_{AB}. \quad (53)$$

Now, we know that using the heat kernel method the effective action reads

$$W = - \int d^3x \sqrt{|\bar{g}|^{(3)}} \text{Tr} \left\{ \int \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \sum_k K_k(x, x', \tau) \right\}, \quad (54)$$

with

$$K_k(x, x', \tau) = \frac{1}{(4\pi\tau)^{n/2}} e^{-M_{AB}^k \tau} \sum_p a_p(\Delta_{AB}^k) \tau^p. \quad (55)$$

Note that we have defined the ‘‘mass matrix’’ as the part containing the induced masses coming from the compactification of the fourth dimension. In Appendix B we show the equivalence between different ways of treating the mass term. In this case, we have

$$M_{AB}^k = \left(\frac{2k\pi}{L} \right)^2 g_{AB}. \quad (56)$$

It is a fact that given the simple form of the matrix, it is possible to keep it in the exponential and treat it exactly without having to use the small proper time expansion. Nevertheless, the rest of the operator cannot be treated

exactly so that we use the small proper time approximation for the remaining operator

$$\Delta_{AB}^k = -g_{AB} \bar{\square}^{(3)} + Y_{AB}. \quad (57)$$

Integrating (54) over τ yields

$$W = - \int d^3x \sqrt{|\bar{g}|^{(3)}} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{3/2}} \times \text{tr} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (a_p)_B^A [(M^k)^{3/2-p}]_A^B \Gamma\left(p - \frac{3}{2}\right). \quad (58)$$

We see that we need to multiply the matrix of the heat kernel coefficients with the mass matrix. Before going on, let us note that the mass matrix has a very simple form when we raise one of the generalized indices A , this is done using the internal metric defined in Appendix A, namely,

$$(M^k)_B^A = \left(\frac{2k\pi}{L}\right)^2 \delta_B^A, \quad (59)$$

so that any power of this matrix equals the identity matrix. Taking this into account and taking the sum of the first three heat kernel coefficients we get

$$W = - \int d^3x \sqrt{|\bar{g}|^{(3)}} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{3/2}} \times \text{tr} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{2k\pi}{L}\right)^3 \Gamma\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) a_0(\Delta)_A^A + \left(\frac{2k\pi}{L}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) a_1(\Delta)_A^A + \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{L}{2k\pi}\right) a_2(\Delta)_A^A \right]. \quad (60)$$

In order to extract the finite part of the mode sums, we use here the zeta function regularization given near $s = 1$ by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \frac{1}{s-1} + \gamma_E - \gamma_1(s-1) + \mathcal{O}(s-1)^2, \quad (61)$$

so that we take the γ_E as the finite part of $\zeta(1)$ (the details of the regularization can be found in Appendix D). We also need the values of $\zeta(-1)$ and $\zeta(-3)$ which are well known. With all of this, the effective action finally reads

$$W = - \int d^3x \sqrt{|\bar{g}|^{(3)}} \left\{ \frac{\pi^2}{15L^3} + \frac{1}{24L} \left[2m^2 + (10m^2\kappa^2 + \lambda)\bar{\phi}^2 + \frac{5}{6}\kappa^2\lambda\bar{\phi}^4 - 11\kappa^2\bar{\nabla}_\nu\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}^\nu\bar{\phi} \right] + \frac{L}{4\pi^2}\gamma_E \left[\frac{m^4}{4} + \left(-\frac{13}{6}m^4\kappa^2 + \frac{m^2}{4}\lambda\right)\bar{\phi}^2 + \left(-\frac{57}{40}m^4\kappa^4 - \frac{55}{72}m^2\kappa^2\lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{16}\right)\bar{\phi}^4 - \frac{\kappa^2\lambda}{80}(19m^2\kappa^2 + 5\lambda)\bar{\phi}^6 - \frac{19}{1920}\kappa^4\lambda^2\bar{\phi}^8 - \kappa^2\left(2m^2 + \frac{1}{3}\lambda\bar{\phi}^2\right)\bar{\phi}\bar{\square}\bar{\phi} - \frac{11}{12}m^2\kappa^2\bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}^\mu\bar{\phi} + \left(\frac{57}{40}m^2\kappa^4 - \frac{11}{24}\kappa^2\lambda\right)\bar{\phi}^2\bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}^\mu\bar{\phi} + \frac{19}{160}\kappa^4\lambda\bar{\phi}^4\bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}^\mu\bar{\phi} + \kappa^2\bar{\square}\bar{\phi}\bar{\square}\bar{\phi} + \frac{203}{80}\kappa^2\bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}^\mu\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}_\nu\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}^\nu\bar{\phi} \right] \right\}. \quad (62)$$

Taking $\kappa = 0$ in our result does not yield directly the purely scalar part of it. Instead, we get the sum of the contributions of the scalar field in a fixed background and the purely gravitational part. This result can be understood by noticing that the $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ limit is equivalent to the decoupling limit of gravity. In this limit, there is no interaction between the gravitons and the scalar field and the result is the independent sum of the contributions of the different fields.

For massless scalars, in flat space, the well-known result is given in Eq. (12). Taking the $1/L^3$ contribution in (62), and splitting it as the sum of the pure gravitational piece (which contains the contribution of the ghost Lagrangian)

and the piece coming from the scalar field in the gravitational background, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = -\frac{\pi^2}{15L^3} = \frac{1}{V_3}(W_g + W_\phi) = -\frac{2\pi^2}{45L^3} - \frac{\pi^2}{45L^3}. \quad (63)$$

The purely scalar part matches the Casimir energy found in (12). It is worth highlighting the fact that the contribution of gravitons to the vacuum energy is exactly *twice* the one of a single scalar. This is what happens in flat spacetime (for an incomplete list of references see [27–32]), but we see here that it stays true even in our quite general spacetime backgrounds. We can now compute the one-loop “energy-momentum tensor” given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{|\hat{g}|^{(3)}}} \frac{\delta W}{\delta \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}} \\
&= -\frac{\pi^2}{15L^3} \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{12L} \left\{ \left[m^2 + \left(5m^2\kappa^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) \bar{\phi}^2 + \frac{5}{12} \kappa^2 \lambda \bar{\phi}^4 - \frac{11}{2} \kappa^2 \bar{\nabla}_\lambda \bar{\phi} \bar{\nabla}^\lambda \bar{\phi} \right] \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} + 11\kappa^2 \bar{\nabla}^\mu \bar{\phi} \bar{\nabla}^\nu \bar{\phi} \right\} \\
&\quad - \frac{L}{8\pi^2} \gamma \left\{ \left[\frac{m^4}{2} + \frac{1}{6} (-26m^4\kappa^2 + 3m^2\lambda) \bar{\phi}^2 + \left(-\frac{57}{20} m^4\kappa^4 - \frac{55}{36} m^2\kappa^2\lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{8} \right) \bar{\phi}^4 \right. \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{\kappa^2\lambda}{40} (19m^2\kappa^2 + 5\lambda) \bar{\phi}^6 - \frac{19}{960} \kappa^4 \lambda^2 \bar{\phi}^8 + \frac{13}{6} m^2 \kappa^2 \bar{\nabla}_\lambda \bar{\phi} \bar{\nabla}^\lambda \bar{\phi} + \frac{1}{60} (171m^2\kappa^4 - 55\kappa^2\lambda) \bar{\phi}^2 \bar{\nabla}_\lambda \bar{\phi} \bar{\nabla}^\lambda \bar{\phi} \\
&\quad + \frac{19}{80} \kappa^4 \lambda \bar{\phi}^4 \bar{\nabla}_\lambda \bar{\phi} \bar{\nabla}^\lambda \bar{\phi} + 2\kappa^2 \bar{\phi} \bar{\square} \bar{\phi} + \frac{203}{40} \kappa^2 \bar{\nabla}_\rho \bar{\phi} \bar{\nabla}^\rho \bar{\phi} \bar{\nabla}_\sigma \bar{\phi} \bar{\nabla}^\sigma \bar{\phi} \left. \right] \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{13}{3} m^2 \kappa^2 \bar{\nabla}^\mu \bar{\phi} \bar{\nabla}^\nu \bar{\phi} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{30} (171m^2\kappa^4 - 55\kappa^2\lambda) \bar{\phi}^2 \bar{\nabla}^\mu \bar{\phi} \bar{\nabla}^\nu \bar{\phi} - \frac{19}{40} \kappa^4 \lambda \bar{\phi}^4 \bar{\nabla}^\mu \bar{\phi} \bar{\nabla}^\nu \bar{\phi} - \frac{203}{10} \kappa^2 \bar{\nabla}^\mu \bar{\phi} \bar{\nabla}^\lambda \bar{\phi} \bar{\nabla}_\lambda \bar{\phi} \bar{\nabla}^\nu \bar{\phi} \\
&\quad \left. + +8\kappa^2 \bar{\nabla}^\mu \bar{\phi} \bar{\square} \bar{\phi} \bar{\nabla}^\nu \bar{\phi} \right\}. \tag{64}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\bar{\phi}$ constant, the result for massless scalars with no interaction reduces to

$$T^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\pi^2}{15L^3} \bar{g}^{\mu\nu}, \tag{65}$$

which is in agreement with the classical Refs. [6,33] to the extent that they can be compared. They are mainly interested in the parallel plates situation; whereas we are computing the change in vacuum energy due to compactification in a circle.

IV. DYNAMICAL TRANSVERSE GRAVITY

When we functionally integrate over unimodular metrics *only* (which is of course not the same thing as GR in the gauge $|\hat{g}| = 1$) then the background-field-independent term in the effective action does not couple at all to the graviton (just because $\sqrt{|\hat{g}|} = 1$). Nevertheless in this case a curious thing happens. Namely, the invariance under transverse diffeomorphisms (TDiff) with generators that obey

$$\partial_\mu \xi^\mu = 0 \tag{66}$$

is not enough to imply conservation of the energy-momentum tensor corresponding to the background fields, but only guarantees the existence of some spacetime function $T(x)$ such that

$$\nabla_\alpha \frac{\partial S}{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}} = \partial^\beta T(x). \tag{67}$$

As is well known, Bianchi identities allow now for an *arbitrary* cosmological constant, which appears here as an integration constant in the background equations of motion. But the role of this integration constant seems to be

somewhat mysterious in the sense that it does not couple with the graviton at all. It could even be that this means that only the zero value for this constant is fully consistent.

In this section we want to perform the same computation we did for GR but restricted to the unimodular theory. The unimodular action corresponding to a scalar field minimally coupled to the gravitational field can be written in terms of an unconstrained metric $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{UG} &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^n x |\hat{g}|^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\hat{R} + 2\Lambda + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{4n^2} \frac{\hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \hat{g} \partial_\nu \hat{g}}{\hat{g}^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \kappa^2 \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi \right), \tag{68}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have written the original unimodular metric as $g_{\mu\nu} = \hat{g}^{-1/n} \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$. This action however has a complicated symmetry sector because of the artificial Weyl invariance that we have introduced when writing the theory in terms of an unconstrained metric (the theory is invariant under $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \Omega^2 \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$). In order to be able to carry out the computation, we shall employ a trick first devised in [34]. Let us go through their arguments to introduce the framework we will use.

We can first generalize the unimodular action by incorporating some arbitrary functions of the determinant of the metric in front of the invariant measure

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^n x \sqrt{|\hat{g}|} (f(\hat{g}) \hat{R} + 2\Lambda f_\Lambda(\hat{g}) \\
&\quad + f_\phi(\hat{g}) \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \hat{g} \partial_\nu \hat{g} - \kappa^2 f_\phi(\hat{g}) \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi). \tag{69}
\end{aligned}$$

In this way, we now have the most general transverse diffeomorphism invariant action, and the unimodular action

(68) is then a particular case of (69) for the following values of the functions:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= x^{\frac{2-n}{2n}}, \\ f_\varphi(x) &= \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2n^2} x^{\frac{2-5n}{2n}}, \\ f_\phi(x) &= x^{\frac{2-n}{2n}}, \\ f_\Lambda(x) &= x^{\frac{2-n}{2n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

The action (69) is only invariant under the diffeomorphisms that leave the determinant unchanged. Nevertheless, we can now introduce a *compensator field* $C(x)$ such that

$$\hat{\sigma}(x) \equiv \hat{g}C^2(x) \quad (71)$$

transforms as a true scalar, and then, we restore full diffeomorphism invariance (at the cost of introducing a new degree of freedom). The TDiff invariant action corresponds to the *unitary gauge* $C = 1$. The generalized action then reads

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^n x \sqrt{|\hat{g}|} (f(\hat{\sigma})\hat{R} + 2\Lambda f_\Lambda(\hat{\sigma}) \\ &\quad + f_\varphi(\hat{\sigma})\hat{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\hat{\sigma}\partial_\nu\hat{\sigma} - \kappa^2 f_\phi(\hat{\sigma})\hat{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi). \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

As a final step, we want to change to the Einstein frame so that the kinetic term of the graviton takes the canonical form. We start by performing a Weyl rescaling

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu} &\equiv \Omega^2 \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}, \\ \sigma &\equiv \Omega^{2n} \hat{\sigma}, \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

where the conformal factor Ω is such that $\Omega^{n-2} = f(\hat{\sigma})$. In this way, the gravitational piece of the action is written in Einstein's frame, so that we have

$$\sqrt{|\hat{g}|}f(\hat{\sigma})\hat{R} = \sqrt{|g|}R + \dots \quad (74)$$

After this Weyl transformation, the action transforms into

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^n x \sqrt{|g|} [R + 2\Lambda F_\Lambda(\Omega) - \kappa^2 f_\phi(f^{-1}(\Omega^{n-2}))\Omega^{2-n}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^n x \sqrt{|g|} \left[\frac{2(n-1)(n-2)}{\Omega^2} - \Omega^{2-n}f_\varphi(f^{-1}(\Omega^{n-2})) \left(\frac{\partial f^{-1}(\Omega^{n-2})}{\partial\Omega} \right)^2 \right] g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\Omega\partial_\nu\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

where we have defined $F_\Lambda(\Omega) \equiv \Omega^n f_\Lambda(f^{-1}(\Omega^{n-2}))$. We can now make one final redefinition given by

$$\left[\frac{2(n-1)(n-2)}{\Omega^2} - \Omega^{2-n}f_\varphi(f^{-1}(\Omega^{n-2})) \left(\frac{\partial f^{-1}(\Omega^{n-2})}{\partial\Omega} \right)^2 \right] g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\Omega\partial_\nu\Omega \equiv \kappa^2 g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\varphi\partial_\nu\varphi. \quad (76)$$

After all these steps we finally arrive at a quite simple action for gravity coupled to two scalar degrees of freedom, one of them with a nonminimal coupling, which reads

$$S = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^n x \sqrt{|g|} [R + 2\Lambda F_\Lambda(\varphi) - \kappa^2 g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\varphi\partial_\nu\varphi - \kappa^2 F_\phi(\varphi)g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi]. \quad (77)$$

In this formula, we have also defined $F_\phi(\varphi) = f_\phi(f^{-1}(\Omega^{n-2}))\Omega^{2-n}$.

As an important remark, let us mention however that the preceding set of transformations are not strictly valid in the unimodular case because (76) vanishes when particularizing it for (70). This means that there is no way of writing $\Omega(\varphi)$ if the kinetic term vanishes for the new field. This leads to the noninvertibility of the Weyl transformations so that we cannot go back to the Jordan frame. In other words, there is no way to implement Einstein's frame in unimodular gravity via a Weyl transformation. Nonetheless, there is some evidence based upon the results in [34], that computing for general $f(x)$ and particularizing at the end to the value $f(x) \rightarrow x^{\frac{2-n}{2n}}$ one gets the correct result, at least for

the divergent piece of the effective action. In particular, it was shown there that whenever

$$2(n-1)f'(x)^2 - (n-2)f(x)f_\varphi(x) = 0, \quad (78)$$

the theory is on-shell one-loop finite [34]. Unimodular gravity corresponds to

$$f_\varphi(x) = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2n^2} x^{\frac{2-5n}{2n}}, \quad (79)$$

that is, it saturates this equality. It is quite remarkable that the only transverse theories which are on-shell one-loop

finite are precisely Einstein's general relativity and unimodular gravity.

In this section, we carry on with the computation for a general transverse theory. In order to make the computation feasible, we will expand the scalar fields around constant backgrounds (so that the kinetic term of the real scalar field is just $-F_\phi(\bar{\varphi})\phi\bar{\square}\phi$ and the nondiagonal terms with derivatives vanish). The scalar equation of motion implies that $\bar{\phi}$ constant is a solution only in the massless and non-self-interacting case. This is the reason why we take this simple example instead of the massive interacting scalar field of the previous section.

Let us start with the computation of this simple model. We have two scalar fields with constant backgrounds plus the graviton. Taking the quadratic piece after the expansion (45), together with the gauge fixing action, we have

$$S_{2+gf} = \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} d^4x \Phi^A \Delta_{AB} \Phi^B, \quad (80)$$

where the generalized field is now

$$\Phi^A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} h^{\alpha\beta} \\ \phi \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (81)$$

Again, the operator has the symbolic form

$$\Delta_{AB} = - \begin{pmatrix} C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F_\phi(\varphi) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \bar{\square} + Y_{AB}. \quad (82)$$

The details of the computation can be found in Appendix A. Performing the same mode expansion as before (51) we then have

$$S_{2+gf} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \sqrt{|\bar{g}|^{(3)}} \sum_k (\Phi_k^A \Delta_{AB}^k \Phi_k^B), \quad (83)$$

where we can separate the contribution coming from the compact dimension and define

$$\Delta_{AB}^k = - \begin{pmatrix} C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F_\phi(\varphi) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \bar{\square}^{(3)} - \left(\frac{2k\pi}{L}\right)^2 \begin{pmatrix} C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F_\phi(\varphi) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + Y_{AB}. \quad (84)$$

Taking the same definitions of the previous section we then have

$$W = - \int d^3x \sqrt{|\bar{g}|^{(3)}} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{3/2}} \text{tr} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (a_p)_B^A [(M^k)^{3/2-p}]_A^B \times \Gamma\left(p - \frac{3}{2}\right). \quad (85)$$

We need to compute the heat kernel coefficients of the operator that we obtain when we subtract the part of the masses involving the mode number. Again, the mass matrix has a very simple form when we raise one of the generalized indices A , namely,

$$(M^k)_B^A = \left(\frac{2k\pi}{L}\right)^2 \delta_B^A, \quad (86)$$

so that any power of this matrix just yields the identity matrix.

Taking this into account and taking the sum of the first three heat kernel coefficients we get

$$W = - \int d^3x \sqrt{|\bar{g}|^{(3)}} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{3/2}} \text{tr} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{2k\pi}{L}\right)^3 \Gamma\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) a_0(\Delta)_A^A + \left(\frac{2k\pi}{L}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) a_1(\Delta)_A^A + \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{L}{2k\pi}\right) a_2(\Delta)_A^A \right]. \quad (87)$$

Finally, using the gravitational equation of motion,

$$\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + F_\Lambda(\varphi) \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \Lambda, \quad (88)$$

the on-shell effective action reads

$$W = \int d^3x \sqrt{|\bar{g}|^{(3)}} \left[-\frac{4\pi^2}{45L^3} - \frac{\Lambda}{L} \left(\frac{7}{9} F_\Lambda(\varphi) + \frac{F''_\Lambda(\varphi)}{12\kappa^2} \right) + \frac{L\gamma_E \Lambda^2}{\pi^2} \left(-\frac{F''_\Lambda(\varphi)^2}{16\kappa^4} + \frac{F_\Lambda(\varphi) F''_\Lambda(\varphi)}{12\kappa^2} + \frac{F'_\Lambda(\varphi)^2}{2\kappa^2} + \frac{7}{5} F_\Lambda(\varphi)^2 \right) \right]. \quad (89)$$

Let us comment now on the result we obtain. First of all, we focus on the leading term, which is four times the energy of a scalar field, as we could already anticipate from the counting of the degrees of freedom. But this result cannot be correct in the unimodular limit, as there is no arbitrary function that prevents the coupling of this volume term to gravity. This is due to the singular limit mentioned at the beginning of the computation. When (76) vanishes, there is no kinetic term for the new field φ and that leads to a noninvertible internal metric C_{AB} . The volume term is special because it is only dependent on the trace of the identity given by the product of the internal metric with its inverse, so this clearly fails in the unimodular limit because of the singular character of this matrix.

Second, we see that the subleading terms depend on the cosmological constant and the arbitrary function in front of the original term in the action. Taking the unimodular limit, this function has to be able to cancel the square root of the determinant of the metric so that the cosmological constant does not couple to gravity in the unimodular case, as it is well known. Nevertheless, as the unimodular limit turns out to be singular (there is no way of going to the Einstein frame), we cannot trust these results in that limit either. However, it is fortunate that at this point we can rely on an independent calculation of the vacuum energy in unimodular gravity by two different groups [35,36]. Both groups show that in that case, the vacuum energy does not couple to the gravitational field, that is, it does not weigh in the same sense as all other forms of energy.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have discussed the quantum field vacuum energy in several contexts. In the background field formalism that we use all along, vacuum energy appears as the field-independent term of the effective potential, that is, a cosmological constant. This is true no matter whether the gravitational field is considered as a nondynamical background, or else as a quantized dynamical entity. In that sense the weight of the vacuum energy is guaranteed *ab initio* to be the same as any other form of energy and no ambiguity should arise.

We have studied spacetime manifolds of the type $\mathbb{T}_3 \times \mathbb{R}$ (where the real line represents time), which are particularly interesting from the physical point of view. The general case corresponding to manifolds of the form $\mathbb{F}_3 \times \mathbb{R}$, \mathbb{F}_3 being flat, were completely classified by Wolf in his famous book [17]. For the sake of brevity, we have only derived a general formula for the effective potential density of $\mathbb{T}_3 \times \mathbb{R}$ manifolds, although we conjecture that our calculation could be easily extended to the other flat manifolds in Wolf's list. We find a quite simple (albeit nonlocal) relationship between physics at radius R and physics at radius l_s^2/R . This relationship, which ultimately stems from Poisson's summation formula and the magic of Riemann's theta functions, is somewhat similar to the one appearing in string theory. The

difference is that the free energy and its dual are not proportional but rather related through an integral transform.

We have also studied quantum gravity corrections to the vacuum energy and find an unambiguous energy-momentum tensor for the vacuum energy. This tells us how vacuum energy *weighs*, in agreement with the equivalence principle, as we argued earlier on. It is also remarkable that the contribution of gravitons to the vacuum energy is twice the one stemming from scalars. This was already known in flat spacetime but we have showed that it remains true for quite general backgrounds.

Finally, we have extended our calculation to transverse gravity, invariant under transverse diffeomorphisms only (those are the ones such that its generating vector field is transverse, that is, $\partial_\mu \xi^\mu = 0$). Unfortunately our techniques fail in the most interesting case, which is the case of unimodular gravity. General arguments however guarantee that vacuum energy does *not* weigh in this case. In fact this is not exactly true, owing to self-consistency imposed by Bianchi identities, but at any rate the weigh should remain independent of \mathcal{E}_0 .

This is a physical prediction, which could be verified in a laboratory. This allows unimodular gravity to be disproved. We are aware of the difficulties of such an experiment, but hopefully precision measurements would be carried out in the future years. One should never underestimate the ingenuity of our experimental colleagues.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been partially supported by the Spanish Research Agency (Agencia Estatal de Investigacion) through the PID2019-108892RB-I00/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 grant as well as the IFT Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa SEV-2016-0597 one, and the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grants Agreement No. 674896 and No. 690575. R.S.G. is supported by the Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities of Spain Grant No. FPU16/01595.

APPENDIX A: SOME DETAILS OF THE COMPUTATIONS

1. The effect of dynamical gravity on the vacuum energy

For the first computation, we take the following action:

$$S = \int d^n x \sqrt{|g|} \left[-\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} R + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4 \right], \quad (\text{A1})$$

together with the classical background expansion

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu} &= \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \kappa h_{\mu\nu}, \\ \phi &= \bar{\phi} + \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

The equations of motion for this action then read

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{R} + \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{\nabla}^\rho\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}_\rho\bar{\phi} - \kappa^2\bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}_\nu\bar{\phi} \\ + \kappa^2\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\left(-\frac{m^2}{2}\bar{\phi}^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!}\bar{\phi}^4\right) = 0, \\ -\bar{\square}\bar{\phi} - m^2\bar{\phi} - \frac{\lambda}{6}\bar{\phi}^3 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

We use a generalized De Donder gauge given by

$$S_{\text{GF}} = \int d^n x \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} \frac{1}{4} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \chi^\mu \chi^\nu \quad (\text{A4})$$

with

$$\chi^\nu = \bar{\nabla}_\mu h^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\nabla}^\nu h - 2\kappa\phi\bar{\nabla}^\nu\bar{\phi}. \quad (\text{A5})$$

The quadratic piece of the action, after gauge fixing, takes the form

$$S_{2+gf} = \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} d^4 x \Phi^A \Delta_{AB} \Phi^B \quad (\text{A6})$$

where

$$\Delta_{AB} = -g_{AB}\bar{\square} + Y_{AB} \quad (\text{A7})$$

and

$$\psi^A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} h^{\alpha\beta} \\ \phi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A8})$$

The internal metric takes the form

$$g_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A9})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= \frac{1}{8}(\bar{g}_{\mu\rho}\bar{g}_{\nu\sigma} + \bar{g}_{\mu\sigma}\bar{g}_{\nu\rho} - \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{g}_{\rho\sigma}), \\ C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= 2\left(\bar{g}^{\mu\rho}\bar{g}^{\nu\sigma} + \bar{g}^{\mu\sigma}\bar{g}^{\nu\rho} - \frac{2}{n-2}\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\bar{g}^{\rho\sigma}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

The components of Y_{AB} are also detailed below

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{AB}^{hh} &= \frac{1}{8}(\bar{g}_{\alpha\mu}\bar{g}_{\beta\nu} + \bar{g}_{\alpha\nu}\bar{g}_{\beta\mu} - \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu})\bar{R} + \frac{1}{4}(\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8}(\bar{g}_{\alpha\mu}\bar{R}_{\beta\nu} + \bar{g}_{\alpha\nu}\bar{R}_{\beta\mu} + \bar{g}_{\beta\mu}\bar{R}_{\alpha\nu} + \bar{g}_{\beta\nu}\bar{R}_{\alpha\mu}) - \frac{1}{4}(\bar{R}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} + \bar{R}_{\nu\alpha\mu\beta}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\kappa^2}{4}(\bar{g}_{\alpha\mu}\bar{\nabla}_\beta\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}_\nu\bar{\phi} + \bar{g}_{\alpha\nu}\bar{\nabla}_\beta\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\phi} + \bar{g}_{\beta\mu}\bar{\nabla}_\alpha\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}_\nu\bar{\phi} + \bar{g}_{\beta\nu}\bar{\nabla}_\alpha\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\phi}) \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa^2}{4}(\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}_\nu\bar{\phi} + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{\nabla}_\alpha\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}_\beta\bar{\phi}) \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa^2}{4}(\bar{g}_{\alpha\mu}\bar{g}_{\beta\nu} + \bar{g}_{\alpha\nu}\bar{g}_{\beta\mu} - \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu})\left(\frac{1}{2}\bar{\nabla}_\rho\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}^\rho\bar{\phi} - \frac{m^2}{2}\bar{\phi}^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!}\bar{\phi}^4\right), \\ Y_{AB}^{h\phi} &= Y_{AB}^{\phi h} = 2\kappa\left(\frac{1}{2}\bar{\nabla}_\alpha\bar{\nabla}_\beta\bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{4}\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\square}\bar{\phi} - \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}\frac{m^2}{4}\bar{\phi} - \frac{\lambda}{4!}\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\phi}^3\right), \\ Y_{AB}^{\phi\phi} &= -m^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\bar{\phi}^2 + 2\kappa^2\bar{\nabla}_\rho\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}^\rho\bar{\phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A11})$$

The contribution coming from the ghost loops is also needed. The ghost Lagrangian is obtained performing a variation on the gauge fixing term

$$\delta\chi_\nu = \frac{1}{\kappa}(\bar{\square}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - 2\kappa^2\bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}_\nu\bar{\phi})\xi^\mu, \quad (\text{A12})$$

plus terms that give operators cubic in fluctuations and therefore are irrelevant at one loop. The ghost Lagrangian then reads

$$S_{gh} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^n x \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} \frac{1}{2} V_\mu^* (-\bar{\square}\bar{g}^{\mu\nu} - \bar{R}^{\mu\nu} + 2\kappa^2\bar{\nabla}^\mu\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}^\nu\bar{\phi}) V_\nu. \quad (\text{A13})$$

With these, we can compute the traces of the different total heat kernel coefficients, where we also include the ghost contribution (the extra factor is coming from the fermion loop and from the complex character of the ghosts)

$$\text{tr}[(a_0(\Delta) - 2a_0^{\text{ghost}}(\Delta))(g_{AB})^{3/2}] = 3,$$

$$\text{tr}[(a_1(\Delta) - 2a_1^{\text{ghost}}(\Delta))(g_{AB})^{1/2}] = m^2 + \left(5m^2\kappa^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)\bar{\phi}^2 + \frac{5}{12}\kappa^2\lambda\bar{\phi}^4 - \frac{11}{2}\kappa^2\bar{\nabla}_\nu\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}^\nu\bar{\phi},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}[(a_2(\Delta) - 2a_2^{\text{ghost}}(\Delta))(g_{AB})^{-1/2}] &= \frac{m^4}{2} + \frac{1}{6}(-26m^4\kappa^2 + 3m^2\lambda)\bar{\phi}^2 \\ &+ \left(-\frac{57}{20}m^4\kappa^4 - \frac{55}{36}m^2\kappa^2\lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{8}\right)\bar{\phi}^4 - \frac{\kappa^2\lambda}{40}(19m^2\kappa^2 + 5\lambda)\bar{\phi}^6 - \frac{19}{960}\kappa^4\lambda^2\bar{\phi}^8 \\ &- \kappa^2\left(4m^2 + \frac{2}{3}\lambda\bar{\phi}^2\right)\bar{\phi}\bar{\square}\bar{\phi} - \frac{11}{6}m^2\kappa^2\bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}^\mu\bar{\phi} + \frac{1}{60}(171m^2\kappa^4 - 55\kappa^2\lambda)\bar{\phi}^2\bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}^\mu\bar{\phi} \\ &+ \frac{19}{80}\kappa^4\lambda\bar{\phi}^4\bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}^\mu\bar{\phi} + 2\kappa^2\bar{\square}\bar{\phi}\bar{\square}\bar{\phi} + \frac{203}{40}\kappa^2\bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}^\mu\bar{\phi}\bar{\square}\bar{\phi}\bar{\square}\bar{\phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A14})$$

2. Dynamical transverse gravity

For the computation regarding TDiff invariant theories, the starting point is the action given by

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^n x \sqrt{|g|} [R + 2\Lambda F_\Lambda(\varphi) \\ &- \kappa^2 g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial_\nu \varphi - \kappa^2 F_\phi(\varphi) g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A15})$$

For this computation, we consider that the background value of the two scalar fields is constant and we expand the graviton in the usual way

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu} &= \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \kappa h_{\mu\nu}, \\ \phi &= \bar{\phi} + \phi, \\ \varphi &= \bar{\varphi} + \varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A16})$$

Then, the quadratic piece of the action after gauge fixing (the De Donder gauge is enough here as the scalar fields have constant backgrounds) takes the form

$$S_{2+gf} = \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} d^4 x \Phi^A \Delta_{AB} \Phi^B, \quad (\text{A17})$$

where now the generalized field contains the extra scalar field

$$\Phi^A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} h^{\alpha\beta} \\ \phi \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A18})$$

and the operator has again the symbolic form

$$\Delta_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} -C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}\bar{\square} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -F_\phi(\varphi)\bar{\square} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\bar{\square} \end{pmatrix} + Y_{AB}. \quad (\text{A19})$$

In this case, the components of Y_{AB} are

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{AB}^{hh} &= \frac{1}{8}(\bar{g}_{\alpha\mu}\bar{g}_{\beta\nu} + \bar{g}_{\alpha\nu}\bar{g}_{\beta\mu} - \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu})\bar{R} + \frac{1}{4}(\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta}) \\ &- \frac{1}{8}(\bar{g}_{\alpha\mu}\bar{R}_{\beta\nu} + \bar{g}_{\alpha\nu}\bar{R}_{\beta\mu} + \bar{g}_{\beta\mu}\bar{R}_{\alpha\nu} + \bar{g}_{\beta\nu}\bar{R}_{\alpha\mu}) \\ &- \frac{1}{4}(\bar{R}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} + \bar{R}_{\nu\alpha\mu\beta}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}(\bar{g}_{\alpha\mu}\bar{g}_{\beta\nu} + \bar{g}_{\alpha\nu}\bar{g}_{\beta\mu} - \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu})\Lambda F_\Lambda[\varphi], \end{aligned}$$

$$Y_{AB}^{h\varphi} = Y_{AB}^{\varphi h} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa}\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}\Lambda F'_\Lambda(\bar{\varphi}),$$

$$Y_{AB}^{\varphi\varphi} = -\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\Lambda F''_\Lambda(\bar{\varphi}). \quad (\text{A20})$$

Finally, the trace of the heat kernel coefficients reads

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}[(a_0(\Delta) - 2a_0^{\text{ghost}}(\Delta))(g_{AB})^{3/2}] &= 4, \\ \text{tr}[(a_1(\Delta) - 2a_1^{\text{ghost}}(\Delta))(g_{AB})^{1/2}] &= \frac{28}{3}\Lambda F_\Lambda[\varphi] + \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa^2}F''_\Lambda[\varphi], \\ \text{tr}[(a_2(\Delta) - 2a_2^{\text{ghost}}(\Delta))(g_{AB})^{-1/2}] &= -\frac{56}{5}\Lambda F_\Lambda^2[\varphi] - \frac{4\Lambda^2}{\kappa^2}F'_\Lambda[\varphi]^2 - \frac{2\Lambda^2}{3\kappa^2}F_\Lambda[\varphi]F''_\Lambda[\varphi] \\ &+ \frac{\Lambda^2}{2\kappa^4}F''_\Lambda[\varphi]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A21})$$

APPENDIX B: THE DUAL ROLE OF THE MASSES

Consider the operator \mathcal{O} given by

$$\mathcal{O} \equiv -(\square - m^2), \quad (\text{B1})$$

with constant m . The heat kernel coefficients can be found in the literature so that the divergent piece (in $n = 4$) of the operator reads

$$\frac{1}{2} \log \det \Delta = \frac{1}{n-4} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left[-\frac{1}{6} \bar{R} M^2 + \frac{1}{2} M^4 + \frac{1}{360} (5\bar{R}^2 - 2\bar{R}_{\mu\nu}^2 + 2\bar{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^2) \right]. \quad (\text{B2})$$

There is however another way of computing the same divergent piece of the determinant, namely, integrating the mass independently

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \log \det \Delta &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \frac{1}{(4\pi\tau)^{n/2}} e^{-m^2\tau} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p \tau^p \\ &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p m^{n-2p} \Gamma\left(p - \frac{n}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3})$$

so that all of the mass dependence is treated exactly. In $n = 4 - \epsilon$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \log \det \Delta = \frac{1}{n-4} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} [a_2(\bar{\square}) - M^2 a_1(\bar{\square}) + \frac{1}{2} M^4 a_0(\bar{\square})]. \quad (\text{B4})$$

The difference here is that $a_p(-\square)$ is independent of m and taking the values of the various heat kernel coefficients from the literature we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(\bar{\square}) &= 1, \\ a_1(\bar{\square}) &= \frac{1}{6} \bar{R}, \\ a_2(\bar{\square}) &= \frac{1}{360} (5\bar{R}^2 - 2\bar{R}_{\mu\nu}^2 + 2\bar{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B5})$$

We see that we obtain the same result using both methods.

APPENDIX C: THETA FUNCTIONS

Let us summarize the definitions and the principal properties of theta functions that are used in the paper (for an exhaustive exposition, see the classical text of Mumford [20]).

1. Poisson summation formula

Many of the most interesting properties of the theta functions are a simple consequence of Poisson's summation formula which states that the sum over the integers of a function and of its Fourier transform is the same,

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f(m) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}(n), \quad (\text{C1})$$

provided we define the Fourier transform as

$$\tilde{f}(p) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-2\pi i x p} f(x). \quad (\text{C2})$$

In order to prove Poisson's formula, let us define a new function

$$h(x) \equiv \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x+q). \quad (\text{C3})$$

It can be expanded in a Fourier series as

$$h(x) \equiv \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} c_m e^{2\pi i m x}, \quad (\text{C4})$$

with coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} c_m &\equiv \int_0^1 dx h(x) e^{-2\pi i m x} = \int_0^1 dx \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x+q) e^{-2\pi i m x} \\ &= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_q^{q+1} dy f(y) e^{2\pi i m (y-q)} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy f(y) e^{2\pi i m y} = \tilde{f}(-m). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C5})$$

Now we have by definition

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f(m) = h(0), \quad (\text{C6})$$

and

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}(-m) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} c_m = h(0). \quad (\text{C7})$$

Let us now apply Poisson's formula to the function

$$f(x) = e^{\pi x^2 \tau} \quad (\text{C8})$$

whose Fourier transform reads

$$\tilde{f}(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} e^{-\frac{\pi p^2}{\tau}}. \quad (\text{C9})$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi x^2 \tau} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\frac{\pi p^2}{\tau}} \quad (\text{C10})$$

which is the basis of the modular properties of all theta functions.

2. Jacobi's theta function

Jacobi's theta functions is defined as

$$\vartheta(z|\tau) \equiv \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i n^2 \tau + 2\pi i n z}, \quad (\text{C11})$$

and obeys the differential equation given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \vartheta(z|\tau) = \frac{i}{4\pi} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \vartheta(z|\tau). \quad (\text{C12})$$

This is nothing but the heat equation with proper time

$$\tau_{\text{proper}} = \frac{i}{4\pi} \tau. \quad (\text{C13})$$

Moreover, taking the small proper time limit we obtain

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \vartheta(z|\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i n z} = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(z - p). \quad (\text{C14})$$

A very important property of this function is the modular property. Consider $(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and such that $ad - bc = 1$. Then

$$\vartheta\left(\frac{z}{c\tau + d} \middle| \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = \zeta(c\tau + d)^{1/2} e^{\pi i z^2 \frac{c}{c\tau + d}} \vartheta(z|\tau). \quad (\text{C15})$$

This is quite simple to prove for $\theta(0|i\tau)$ by using Poisson's summation formula, presented in the previous section, Appendix C 1. As a particular case we have

$$\vartheta\left(0 \middle| -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \tau^{1/2} \vartheta(0|\tau). \quad (\text{C16})$$

3. Riemann theta function

The Riemann theta function is a generalization of the Jacobi theta function. Taking

$$\mathbb{H}_n = \{F \in M(n, \mathbb{C}) | F = F^T \text{Im } F > 0\} \quad (\text{C17})$$

to be the set of symmetric square matrix whose imaginary part is positive definite, and given $\Omega \in \mathbb{H}_n$ the Riemann theta function is defined as

$$\Theta(z|\Omega) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp\left(2\pi i \left(\frac{1}{2} m^T \Omega m + m^T z\right)\right). \quad (\text{C18})$$

Here, $z \in \mathbb{C}^g$ is a g -dimensional complex vector, and the superscript T denotes the transpose. By construction, the Riemann theta function is periodic in $(z - z')$

$$\Theta(z - z'|\Omega) = \Theta(z - z' + m|\Omega) \quad (\text{C19})$$

for arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{Z}^g$.

The modular property reads [20]

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta([\![C\Omega\tau + D]\!]^{-1})^T \cdot \mathbf{z} [\![A\Omega\tau + B]\!] [C\Omega\tau + D]^{-1} \\ &= t_\gamma \sqrt{\det[C\Omega\tau + D]} e^{\pi i \mathbf{z} \cdot [\![C\Omega\tau + D]\!]^{-1} \mathbf{z}} \Theta(\mathbf{z}|\Omega\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C20})$$

where $(t_\gamma)^8 = 1$ and $\gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(4, \mathbb{Z})$.

4. Dimensional reduction and oxidation

Consider a scalar field in a gravitational background as the one considered previously

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \phi(x, y) \square_4 \phi(x, y). \quad (\text{C21})$$

Working on a manifold of the form $M_4 \equiv M_3 \times S^1$, we can expand in the field in harmonics

$$\phi(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \phi_n(x) e^{in2\pi y/L}, \quad (\text{C22})$$

so that the quadratic part of the action reads (after the integration of the compact dimension)

$$S = \sum_n \int d^3x \sqrt{|g|^{(3)}} \phi_n(x) \left[\square_3 + \left(\frac{2\pi n}{L}\right)^2 \right] \phi_n(x). \quad (\text{C23})$$

Our aim is to show that when $L \rightarrow 0$ (reduction) the theory reduces to a three-dimensional one, and that when $L \rightarrow \infty$ the theory cannot be told apart from the ordinary four-dimensional one (oxidation). The heat kernel we are interested in can be factorized as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} K_{M_3 \times S^1}(\tau) &= \text{tr} K_{M_3}(\tau) \vartheta\left(0 \middle| \frac{i\tau}{\pi L^2}\right) \\ &= \text{tr} K_{M_3}(\tau) \left(\frac{i\pi L^2}{\tau}\right)^{1/2} \vartheta\left(0 \middle| i\pi \frac{L^2}{\tau}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C24})$$

where we have used the property (C16) in the last equality.

The problem is how to recover four-dimensional results out of three-dimensional ones. Reduction is easy, because

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow 0} \vartheta\left(0 \middle| \frac{i\tau}{\pi L^2}\right) = 1, \quad (\text{C25})$$

$$\text{tr} K_{M_3 \times S^1}(\tau) = \text{tr} K_{M_3}. \quad (\text{C26})$$

Oxidation is also clear, just because we also have

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta(0|i\pi L^2) = 1, \quad (\text{C27})$$

and then,

$$\text{tr} K_{M_3 \times S^1}(\tau) = \text{tr} K_{M_3}(\tau) \left(\frac{i\pi L^2}{\tau}\right)^{1/2}. \quad (\text{C28})$$

It would be interesting to discover the physical interpretation of the factor $(\frac{i\pi L^2}{\tau})^{1/2}$.

APPENDIX D: REGULARIZATION OF $\zeta(1)$

Throughout the text, we make use of the zeta function regularization in various computations. In this appendix we go through some of the details used for the $\zeta(1)$ case. We take as the starting point the sum given by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{n=\infty} \frac{1}{n} \equiv \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=1}^{n=\infty} \frac{1}{n} e^{-\epsilon n}, \quad (\text{D1})$$

and define

$$S(\epsilon) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{n=\infty} \frac{1}{n} e^{-\epsilon n}. \quad (\text{D2})$$

Taking a first derivative of this function we obtain

$$\frac{dS(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} = - \sum_{n=1}^{n=\infty} e^{-\epsilon n} = \frac{1}{1 - e^\epsilon}, \quad (\text{D3})$$

so that we can further write

$$S(\epsilon) = \epsilon - \log(e^\epsilon - 1) + C. \quad (\text{D4})$$

Taking the limit when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we finally get

$$S(\epsilon) \sim \log |\epsilon| + C. \quad (\text{D5})$$

Let us note that we have implemented the boundary condition

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow \infty} S(\epsilon) = 0. \quad (\text{D6})$$

We can now determine the constant C taking

$$S(0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \zeta(1) = \infty \quad (\text{D7})$$

which does not seem to help. Nevertheless, near $s = 1$ on the real axis

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + \gamma_E - \gamma_1(s-1) + O(s-1)^2 \quad (\text{D8})$$

where $\gamma_E = 0.5772$ is Euler's Gamma constant and $\gamma_1 = -0.0728$ is Stieljes's constant. This is also true going along the imaginary axis

$$\zeta(1 + i\epsilon) = \frac{1}{i\epsilon} + \gamma_E - i\gamma_1\epsilon + O(\epsilon^2), \quad (\text{D9})$$

so that we can take the finite value of $\zeta(1) = \gamma_E$.

-
- [1] H. B. G. Casimir and D. Polder, The influence of retardation on the London-van der Waals forces, *Phys. Rev.* **73**, 360 (1948).
- [2] S. Blau, M. Visser, and A. Wipf, Zeta functions and the Casimir energy, *Nucl. Phys.* **B310**, 163 (1988).
- [3] G. Plunien, B. Muller, and W. Greiner, The Casimir effect, *Phys. Rep.* **134**, 87 (1986).
- [4] M. Bordag, U. Mohideen, and V. Mostepanenko, New developments in the Casimir effect, *Phys. Rep.* **353**, 1 (2001).
- [5] S. Fulling, Aspects of quantum field theory in curved space-time, *Lond. Math. Soc. Student Texts* **17**, 1 (1989); L. Ford, Quantum vacuum energy in general relativity, *Phys. Rev. D* **11**, 3370 (1975).
- [6] S. A. Fulling, K. A. Milton, P. Parashar, A. Romeo, K. Shajesh, and J. Wagner, How does Casimir energy fall? *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 025004 (2007).
- [7] K. A. Milton, S. A. Fulling, P. Parashar, A. Romeo, K. Shajesh, and J. A. Wagner, Gravitational and inertial mass of Casimir energy, *J. Phys. A* **41**, 164052 (2008).
- [8] I. L. Buchbinder, P. M. Lavrov, and S. D. Odintsov, Unique effective action in Kaluza-Klein quantum theories and spontaneous compactification, *Nucl. Phys.* **B308**, 191 (1988).
- [9] S. R. Huggins, G. Kunstatter, H. P. Leivo, and D. J. Toms, The Vilkovisky-de Witt effective action for quantum gravity, *Nucl. Phys.* **B301**, 627 (1988).
- [10] I. L. Buchbinder, E. N. Kirillova, and S. D. Odintsov, The Vilkovisky effective action in the even dimensional quantum gravity, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **04**, 633 (1989).
- [11] I. L. Buchbinder and S. D. Odintsov, Effective action in multidimensional (super)gravities and spontaneous compactification (quantum aspects of Kaluza-Klein theories), *Fortschr. Phys.* **37**, 225 (1989).
- [12] H. Cho and R. Kantowski, Vilkovisky-DeWitt effective action for Einstein gravity on Kaluza-Klein space-times $M^4 \times S^N$, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 124003 (2000).
- [13] R. Jaffe, The Casimir effect and the quantum vacuum, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 021301 (2005).
- [14] N. Graham, R. Jaffe, V. Khemani, M. Quandt, O. Schroeder, and H. Weigel, The Dirichlet Casimir problem, *Nucl. Phys.* **B677**, 379 (2004).
- [15] W. Pauli, *Selected Topics in Field Quantization: Volume 6 of Pauli Lectures on Physics* (Dover Books on Physics, New York, 1973).

- [16] L. E. Parker and D. Toms, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2009), <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511813924>.
- [17] Joseph A. Wolf, *Spaces of Constant Curvature* (AMS, Providence, RI, 2000).
- [18] I. L. Buchbinder, S. D. Odintsov, and O. A. Fonarev, Two loop approximation in quantum gravitation, *Phys. Lett. B* **245**, 365 (1990).
- [19] J. P. Serre, *Cours d'Arithmétique* (PUF, 1994), ISBN 978-2-13-041835-1.
- [20] D. Mumford, *Tata Lectures on Theta I* (Springer, New York, 2007) <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-4577-9>.
- [21] E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume, and Y. Lozano, An introduction to T duality in string theory, *Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl.* **41**, 1 (1995).
- [22] E. Alvarez, Windows on quantum gravity, *Fortschr. Phys.* **69**, 2000080 (2021).
- [23] B. S. DeWitt, *The global approach to quantum field theory. Vol. 1, 2*, Int. Ser. Monogr. Phys. 114 (2003), p. 1–1042; L. F. Abbott, Introduction to the background field method, *Acta Phys. Pol. B* **13**, 33 (1982).
- [24] P. B. Gilkey, *Invariance Theory, the Heat Equation and the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem* (Publish or Perish Inc., USA, 1984), ISBN 0-914098-20-9.
- [25] E. Alvarez and A. F. Faedo, Renormalized Kaluza-Klein theories, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2006) 046.
- [26] M. J. Duff and D. J. Toms, Divergences and anomalies in Kaluza-Klein theories, Report No. CERN-TH-3248, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/136419>.
- [27] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dubovsky, A. Nicolis, and G. Villadoro, Quantum horizons of the standard model landscape, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2007) 078.
- [28] J. M. Arnold, B. Fornal, and M. B. Wise, Standard model vacua for two-dimensional compactifications, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2010) 083.
- [29] B. Fornal and M. B. Wise, Standard model with compactified spatial dimensions, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2011) 086.
- [30] L. E. Ibanez, V. Martin-Lozano, and I. Valenzuela, Constraining neutrino masses, the cosmological constant and BSM physics from the weak gravity conjecture, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2017) 066.
- [31] Y. Hamada and G. Shiu, Weak gravity conjecture, multiple point principle and the standard model landscape, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2017) 043.
- [32] E. Gonzalo, A. Herráez, and L. E. Ibáñez, AdS-phobia, the WGC, the standard model and supersymmetry, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2018) 051.
- [33] L. S. Brown and G. J. Maclay, Vacuum stress between conducting plates: An image solution, *Phys. Rev.* **184**, 1272 (1969).
- [34] E. Alvarez, A. F. Faedo, and J. Lopez-Villarejo, Ultraviolet behavior of transverse gravity, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2008) 023.
- [35] E. Álvarez, S. González-Martín, M. Herrero-Valea, and C. P. Martín, Quantum corrections to unimodular gravity, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2015) 078.
- [36] R. Percacci, Unimodular quantum gravity and the cosmological constant, *Found. Phys.* **48**, 1364 (2018).