# Analytical solution of Balitsky-Kovchegov equation with homogeneous balance method

<span id="page-0-3"></span>Xiaopeng Wang,<sup>1,2,3[,\\*](#page-0-0)</sup> Yirui Yang,<sup>[3,\\*](#page-0-0)</sup> Wei Kou $\bullet$ ,<sup>1,2</sup> Rong Wang $\bullet$ ,<sup>1,2,[†](#page-0-1)</sup> and Xurong Chen<sup>1,2,4,[‡](#page-0-2)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China  $\frac{2I}{N}$ 

 $10^2$ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

<sup>3</sup>Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

 ${}^{4}$ Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Institute of Quantum Matter,

South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China

 $\odot$ (Received 1 October 2020; accepted 17 February 2021; published 12 March 2021)

Nonlinear QCD evolution equations are essential tools in understanding the saturation of partons at small Bjorken  $x_B$ , as they are supposed to restore an upper bound of unitarity for the cross section of high-energy scattering. In this paper, we present an analytical solution of the Balitsky-Kovchegov equation using the homogeneous balance method. The obtained analytical solution is similar to the solution of a traveling wave. By matching the gluon distribution in the dilute region which is determined from the global analysis of experimental data (CT14 analysis), we get a definitive solution of the dipole-proton forward scattering amplitude in the momentum space. Based on the acquired scattering amplitude and the behavior of geometric scaling, we present also a new estimated saturation scale  $Q_s^2(x)$ .

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.103.056008](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.056008)

## I. INTRODUCTION

The powerful and sharpest way to resolve the proton structure is by lepton deep inelastic scattering (DIS) off the proton at high energy. The current experiments show that the gluon distribution grows rapidly toward smaller  $x_B$ . The fast growth of gluons is described by the well-established Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) equation [\[1](#page-5-0)–3], which is derived with perturbative quantum chromodynamics (pQCD) by resumming the leading logarithmic contribution  $[\ln(1/x)]$  to the scattering off the proton. However, under the assumption of Regge-like growth of the gluon distribution as  $x_B$  decrease, the unitarity limit [\[4,5\]](#page-5-1) of the  $\gamma^*$ -p cross section eventually is broken. To restore the unitarity upper bound in QCD theory is an interesting physics, which has been discussed for decades. The first idea is to include the parton-parton recombination process [6–[10\],](#page-5-2) which will cease the growth of gluon distribution when the scattering happens in the high-density region.

<span id="page-0-2"></span><span id="page-0-1"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span>[\\*](#page-0-3) These authors contributed equally to this work. [†](#page-0-3) rwang@impcas.ac.cn [‡](#page-0-3) xchen@impcas.ac.cn

An interesting and successful theory which permits gluon saturation is the Jalilian-Marian-Iancu-McLerran-Weigert-Leonidov-Kovner (JIMWLK) equation [11–[14\],](#page-5-3) in which the nonlinear correction of the strong field is considered with the Wilson renormalization group approach. The saturation state predicted by the JIMWLK equation is called color glass condensate (CGC) [\[13,15](#page-5-4)–17]. However, JIMWLK is a complex partial derivative functional equation, and it is hard for one to solve. Another nonlinear evolution equation is the Balitsky-Kovchegov (BK) equation [18–[21\],](#page-5-5) in which the correction due to the resuming of the fan diagrams (two Pomerons merge into one Pomeron) are added to the standard BFKL evolution process. In the JIMWLK equation, the quantum fluctuation is added to the evolution for the strong gluon field at small  $x$ , while in the BK equation, the quantum corrections from resumming multiple rescatterings is implemented for the dipole forward amplitude. Both equations are derived in the framework of the quantum evolution process. In a simple view, the BK equation is regarded as the mean-field approximation of the JIMWLK equation. The nonsaturating regime and the saturating regime are well connected by BK equation, and the unitarization of the highenergy hadron scattering can be realized as well. Moreover, the BK equation can be solved easily compared to the JIMWLK equation, at least numerically [\[22](#page-5-6)–25]. It is an integro-differential equation, which can be transformed into a partial derivative equation in the momentum space. Recently, some analytical solutions of the BK equation are proposed [\[26](#page-5-7)–30] from different approaches with some minor approximations. These solutions provide some interesting insights

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

on the nonlinear corrections to the BFKL evolution and the phenomenological applications at high-energy hadron scattering. The applications of the BK equation in explaining the experimental results are important for us to understand the small- $x$  physics and the parton saturation.

The relation between the BK equation and the Fisher-Kolmogorov-Petrovsky-Piscounov (FKPP) equation has been found [26–[28\].](#page-5-7) The FKPP equation is a famous reaction-diffusion equation in statistical physics [\[31](#page-5-8)–34], which can be simulated easily using a Monte Carlo technique. Analytically, the geometric scaling [\[35\]](#page-5-9) observed at small  $x$ can be explained with the traveling wave solution of the FKPP equation. It is shown in a pioneering work that the transition to the parton saturation region in high-energy QCD is identical to the formation of the front of a traveling wave [\[26,27\]](#page-5-7). Successful applications have been made in explaining the DIS data at HERA collider, with a parametrization of the traveling wave solution [\[36,37\].](#page-5-10) In this work, we present a general solution of the FKPP equation from the homogeneous balance method. With some transformations and by matching to the gluon distribution in the nonsaturating region, we provide a definitive solution of the BK equation.

The organization of the paper is as follows. The BK equation and the FKPP equation are reviewed in Sec. [II](#page-1-0). The analytical solutions of the FKPP equation are introduced in Sec. [III](#page-1-1). The definitive and analytical solutions of the BK equation are shown in Sec. [IV,](#page-2-0) for the physical forward dipole-proton scattering amplitude in the momentum space. At the end, some discussions and a summary are given in Sec. [V.](#page-4-0)

#### <span id="page-1-0"></span>II. BK EQUATION AND FKPP EQUATION

In the dipole picture, the DIS cross section of a virtual photon is factorized into the photon wave function Ψ splitting into a color dipole  $q\bar{q}$  and the forward dipoleproton scattering amplitude  $N$  [\[38](#page-5-11)–40]. In the leading logarithm approximation, the cross section is written as [\[39\]](#page-5-12)

$$
\sigma^{\gamma^*p} = \int_0^\infty r dr \int_0^1 dz |\Psi(z, rQ)|^2 N(r, Y), \qquad (1)
$$

in which  $z$  is the longitudinal momentum fraction carried by the quark of the virtual photon,  $r$  is the size of the dipole, and  $Y = \ln(1/x)$  is the total rapidity.

The BK equation is a QCD evolution equation for describing the rapidity dependence of the imaginary part of the scattering between a dipole and the proton. For the scattering amplitude  $\mathcal{N}(Y, k)$  in the momentum space, the BK equation is given by [\[20\]](#page-5-13)

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}(k, Y)}{\partial Y} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} \chi \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln k^2} \right) \mathcal{N}(k, Y) \n- \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} \mathcal{N}^2(k, Y),
$$
\n(2)

where

$$
\chi(\lambda) = \psi(1) - \frac{1}{2}\psi\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\psi\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \tag{3}
$$

is the BFKL kernel with  $\psi(\lambda) = \Gamma'(\lambda)/\Gamma(\lambda)$ . In  $\psi(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda =$ <br> $-\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln k^2}$  is a differential operator acting on  $\mathcal{N}(X, k)$  $-\partial/\partial \ln k^2$  is a differential operator acting on  $\mathcal{N}(Y, k)$ , which is a way of writing the integral kernel of the BK equation in coordinate space as the differential operator in the momentum space after a Fourier transform. Note that the original BK equation is an integro-differential equation in the coordinate space.

For a commonly used approximation and defining  $L = \ln(k^2/k_0^2)$ , Munier and Peschanski suggest an expansion of the BEKI kernel to the second order around sion of the BFKL kernel to the second order around  $\lambda = 1/2$  [\[26\]](#page-5-7):

$$
\bar{x}\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial L}\right) = x\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{\chi''(\frac{1}{2})}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial L} + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2.
$$
 (4)

With the above expansion and the following transformations of the variables as

$$
s = (1 - \gamma) \left( L + \frac{\bar{\alpha} \chi''(\frac{1}{2})}{2} Y \right),
$$
  
\n
$$
t = \frac{\bar{\alpha} \chi''(\frac{1}{2})}{2} (1 - \gamma)^2 Y,
$$
  
\n
$$
u(s, t) = \frac{2}{\chi''(\frac{1}{2})(1 - \gamma)^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \mathcal{N} \left( \frac{s}{1 - \gamma} - \frac{t}{(1 - \gamma)^2}, \frac{2t}{\bar{\alpha} \chi''(\frac{1}{2})(1 - \gamma)^2} \right),
$$
  
\n
$$
\bar{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi},
$$
  
\n
$$
\gamma = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + 8\chi \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) / \chi'' \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)},
$$
\n(5)

<span id="page-1-2"></span>the BK equation turns into the FKPP equation for  $u(s, t)$ , which is written as [\[26\]](#page-5-7)

$$
\partial_t u(s,t) = \partial_s^2 u(s,t) + u(s,t) - u^2(s,t). \tag{6}
$$

Thus, seeking for the analytical solution of the BK equation becomes a problem of finding the analytical solution of the FKPP equation. The FKPP equation is a famous nonlinear reaction-diffusion equation in statistical physics, which has already been studied with some systematical methods.

## <span id="page-1-1"></span>III. SOLUTIONS OF FKPP EQUATION WITH HOMOGENEOUS BALANCE METHOD

To solve the FKPP equation [\[41\],](#page-5-14) we begin with a heuristic solution as

$$
u(s,t) = \sum_{m+n=1}^{N} c_{m+n} \frac{\partial^{m+n} f(\omega(s,t))}{\partial^m s \partial^n t}.
$$
 (7)

According to the partial balance principle, the power of  $\partial \omega/\partial s$  should be balanced, and the power of  $\partial \omega/\partial t$ should also be balanced. Hence, we obtain the following constraint:

$$
N=2.\t(8)
$$

The heuristic solution now is written as

$$
u(s,t) = f''(\omega) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\omega\right)^2 + f'(\omega) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 s}\omega + c_1 f'(\omega) \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\omega + c_0.
$$
\n(9)

Applying the above solution into the FKPP equation [Eq. [\(6\)\]](#page-1-2) again and applying the homogeneous balance principle, we get a differential equation of  $f(\omega)$ :

$$
(f''(\omega))^2 - f^{(4)}(\omega) = 0.
$$
 (10)

A particular solution for  $f$  is then solved to be

$$
f(\omega) = -6\ln\omega.\tag{11}
$$

Using the FKPP equation and the homogeneous balance principle once again, we get a differential equation of  $\omega(s, t)$ . By solving the differential equation of  $\omega$ , we get a traveling wave solution as a solitary wave:

$$
\omega(s,t) = 1 + e^{\kappa s + \beta t + \theta}.\tag{12}
$$

Inserting the solution into the FKPP equation, we get the following constraints for the coefficients in the solution:

$$
c_0 = 0, 1,
$$
  
\n
$$
c_1 = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}},
$$
  
\n
$$
\kappa = \frac{2c_0 - 1}{6c_1},
$$
  
\n
$$
\beta = -5c_1\kappa,
$$
\n(13)

while  $\theta$  is still a free parameter. We choose  $c_0 = 0$  and  $c_1 = 1/\sqrt{6}$ , in order to meet the physical result that there is<br>strong absorption for the scattering amplitude at very large strong absorption for the scattering amplitude at very large rapidity. Finally, we get an analytical solution for the FKPP equation, which is written as [\[41\]](#page-5-14)

$$
u(s,t) = \left[\frac{e^{-s/\sqrt{6}+5t/6+\theta}}{1+e^{-s/\sqrt{6}+5t/6+\theta}}\right]^2.
$$
 (14)

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

FIG. 1. The traveling wave solution of the FKPP equation from the homogeneous balance method, with the coefficients  $c_0 = 0$ ,  $c_1 = 1/\sqrt{6}$ , and  $\theta = 0$ .

Figure [1](#page-2-1) shows the obtained analytical solution for the FKPP equation as a function of s and t.

## <span id="page-2-0"></span>IV. DEFINITIVE SOLUTION OF BK EQUATION BY MATCHING NONSATURATING GLUON DISTRIBUTION

Before going onto the solution of the BK equation, let us have a look at the simplification of the BK equation again. Fixing the running strong coupling constant and expanding the BFKL kernel  $\chi(\lambda)$  at  $\lambda = \lambda_0$  [\[42\]](#page-5-15), we get

$$
A_0 \mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}^2 - \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial Y} - A_1 \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial L} + \sum_{p=2}^P (-1)^p A_p \frac{\partial^p \mathcal{N}}{\partial^p L} = 0,
$$
\n(15)

<span id="page-2-2"></span>with the coefficients derived as [\[42\]](#page-5-15)

$$
A_p = \sum_{i=0}^{P-p} (-1)^i \frac{\chi^{(i+p)}(\lambda_0)}{i!p!} \lambda_0^i.
$$
 (16)

In the so-called diffusive approximation (keeping the first three terms of the expansion), the BK equation turns into the FKPP equation as suggested by Munier and Peschanski. With  $P = 2$ , we get the simplified BK equation [\[42\]](#page-5-15)

$$
A_0 \mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}^2 - \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial Y} - A_1 \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}}{\partial L} + A_2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{N}}{\partial^2 L} = 0. \quad (17)
$$

Note that some variations of the values of  $A_p$  [Eq. [\(16\)](#page-2-2)] are allowed, as the expansion point  $\lambda_0$  is arbitrary, the strong coupling  $\alpha_s(k^2)$  is slightly running, and the truncation of the diffusive approximation may introduce some corrections.

Based on the analytical solution of the FKPP equation discussed in the above section, we obtain an analytical solution of the BK equation through some variable transformations, which is written as

$$
\mathcal{N}(L, Y) = \frac{A_0 e^{5A_0 Y/3}}{\left[e^{5A_0 Y/6} + e^{[\theta + \sqrt{A_0/6A_2}(L - A_1 Y)]}\right]^2}.
$$
 (18)

The geometric scaling of the virtual photon-proton cross section is expressed as  $\sigma^{\gamma^*p}(Y, Q^2) = \sigma^{\gamma^*p}(\tau)$ , which is a function of only one dimensionless variable  $\tau = Q^2 R^2$  in function of only one dimensionless variable  $\tau = Q^2 R_0^2$  in<br>the  $r < 0.01$  region, where  $R_2$  is the saturation radius and the  $x < 0.01$  region, where  $R_0$  is the saturation radius and  $R_0 = 1/Q_s$ . In terms of the forward scattering amplitude, the scaling property at low  $k$  takes the form

$$
\mathcal{N}(L = \ln(k^2/k_0^2), Y) = \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{k^2}{Q_s^2(Y)}\right),\tag{19}
$$

where the unit of Y is  $\bar{\alpha}$ . Rewriting the solution  $\mathcal{N}(L, Y)$  as  $\mathcal{N}(k^2/Q_\mathrm{s}^2),$ 

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{k^2}{Q_s^2(Y)}\right) = \frac{A_0}{\left[1 + e^{\theta} \left(\frac{k^2}{k_0^2 e^{(A_1 + 5\sqrt{A_2 A_0/6})Y}}\right)^{\sqrt{A_0/6A_2}}\right]^2},\quad(20)
$$

then we extract the saturation scale to be

$$
Q_s^2(Y) = k_0^2 e^{(A_1 + 5\sqrt{A_0 A_2/6})Y}.
$$
 (21)

In the following analysis, we take  $k_0^2$  to be  $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2 =$  0.04 GeV<sup>2</sup> as the reference point  $0.04$  GeV<sup>2</sup> as the reference point.

In order to get the definitive solution of the BK equation for proton structure at small x, the coefficients  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$ , and  $A_2$  should be determined. By matching the dipole-proton cross section to the normal DIS cross section in the parton model, the dipole scattering amplitude is connected to the gluon distribution via [\[42\]](#page-5-15)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{N}(k, Y) = \frac{4\pi\alpha_s}{N_c R_p^2} \int_k^{\infty} \frac{dp}{p} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial p^2} x g(x, p^2) \right] \ln\left(\frac{p}{k}\right)
$$

$$
= \frac{\pi\alpha_s}{N_c R_p^2} \int_{k^2}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^2} x g(x, t) \ln\left(\frac{t}{ek^2}\right). \tag{22}
$$

With the above formula, we can fix the values of  $A_p$ , by performing the fits between the dipole scattering amplitude and the widely used global analyses of the proton gluon distributions in the high- $k^2$  ( $> 5$  GeV<sup>2</sup>) region. The relation in Eq. [\(22\)](#page-3-0) is based on an approximation that the derivative of gluon distribution contains the information of the unintegrated gluon distribution function. And by a fit to the gluon distributions of CT14(NNLO), we get the coefficients for the BK solution to be  $A_0 = 33.3$ ,  $A_1 = -58.3$ ,  $A_2 = 26.2$ , and  $\theta = -3.09$ . The fit to the CT14 parton distribution functions (PDFs) is shown in Fig. [2](#page-3-1), which displays a good fitting quality.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

FIG. 2. The BK forward scattering amplitude is fitted by the gluon distributions of CT14(NNLO) at high  $k^2$ . The curves show the fitted BK solutions, and the various markers show the calculations from CT14(NNLO) gluon distributions.

With the definitive solution of the BK equation determined above, we present the dipole-proton forward scattering amplitude in the full momentum range. Figure [3](#page-3-2) shows the BK scattering amplitude at different rapidities constrained by CT14 PDFs. It is very clear to see that these solutions exhibit the saturation behavior at large rapidities. To demonstrate where the saturation region is, the saturation scale  $Q_s^2(Y = \ln(1/x))$  is usually used, which is rapidity dependent. The saturation scale  $Q^2$  is viewed as the rapidity dependent. The saturation scale  $Q_s^2$  is viewed as the boundary connecting the saturation domain and the nonsaturation domain of the partons. Figure [4](#page-4-1) shows the saturation scales extracted by the BK solution determined in this work. Our prediction indicates a very similar domain of the parton saturation to the Golec-Biernat-Wusthoff parametrization (GBW parametrization). Our prediction gives  $Q_s^2(x) \propto (1/x)^{0.386}$ , and the GBW prediction gives  $Q_s^2(x) \propto (1/x)^{0.29}$ . The existence of the parton saturation  $Q_s^2(x) \propto (1/x)^{0.29}$ . The existence of the parton saturation

<span id="page-3-2"></span>

FIG. 3. The BK forward amplitude in the wide  $k$  range at different rapidities, with the parameters  $A_0 = 33.3, A_1 = -58.3$ ,  $A_2 = 26.2$ , and  $\theta = -3.09$  by matching to CT14 PDFs in the nonsaturation region.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

FIG. 4. The saturation scales extracted from the solution of the BK equation in this work (dashed line), compared to the prediction by the GBW model (solid line) [43–[45\].](#page-5-24)

inside a proton is understandable in the framework of the nonlinear evolution equation, and it is a well-established mechanism for the geometric scaling phenomenon observed in experiment.

To test our obtained saturation scale  $Q_s^2(x)$ , we demon-<br>ate the geometric scaling of the DIS cross section with our strate the geometric scaling of the DIS cross section with our solution. The total  $\gamma^* p$  cross section can be written as [\[35\]](#page-5-9)

$$
\sigma_{T,L}(x,Q^2) = \int d^2 \mathbf{r} \int_0^1 dz |\Psi_{T,L}(r,z,Q^2)|^2 \hat{\sigma}(r,x), \quad (23)
$$

where  $\Psi_{TL}$  is the wave function of a longitudinal or a transverse virtual photon splitting into a dipole and  $\hat{\sigma}$  is the dipole cross section. Respectively,  $\Psi_{TL}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}$  can be written as [\[35\]](#page-5-9)

$$
|\Psi_T|^2 = \frac{3\alpha_{em}}{2\pi^2} \sum_f e_f^2 \{ [z^2 + (1-z)^2] \bar{Q}_f^2 K_1^2 (\bar{Q}_f r) + m_f^2 K_0^2 (\bar{Q}_f r) \},
$$
  

$$
|\Psi_L|^2 = \frac{3\alpha_{em}}{2\pi^2} \sum_f e_f^2 \{ 4Q^2 z^2 (1-z)^2 K_0^2 (\bar{Q}_f r) \},
$$
  

$$
\hat{\sigma}(r, x) = \sigma_0 g \left( \frac{r}{R_0(x)} \right),
$$
 (24)

in which  $\overline{Q}_f^2 = z(1-z)Q^2 + m_f^2$ ,  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  are the modified Bossel functions and m is the quark mass [25] modified Bessel functions, and  $m_f$  is the quark mass [\[35\]](#page-5-9).  $\sigma_0$  is a free parameter of normalization. Then the geometric scaling [\[35,46,47\]](#page-5-9) of the virtual photon-proton cross section is derived as

$$
\sigma^{\gamma^* p}(x, Q^2) = \bar{\sigma}_0[\gamma_E + \Gamma(0, \xi) + \ln \xi], \quad (25)
$$

where  $\xi = a/\tau^b$ ,  $\tau = Q^2/Q_s^2$ ,  $\gamma_E$  is the Euler constant, and<br> $\Gamma(0, \xi)$  is the incomplete  $\Gamma$  function. By matching the ZEUS Γ $(0, \xi)$  is the incomplete Γ function. By matching the ZEUS data [\[48,49\]](#page-5-16) in small  $x_B$  (< 0.01) and high- $Q^2$  region

<span id="page-4-2"></span>

FIG. 5. Comparison between our fit with the obtained saturation scale  $Q<sub>s</sub>$  (solid curve) and the experimental data of ZEUS [\[48,49\]](#page-5-16) (red dots) for the  $\gamma^* p$  DIS cross section as a function of  $\tau$ .

 $(2.7 \text{ GeV}^2 \le Q^2 \le 120 \text{ GeV}^2)$ , we get the parameters  $a = 2.246$  and  $b = 0.745$  with  $\bar{\sigma}_0$  fixed at 40.56  $\mu$ b [\[46,47\].](#page-5-17) The comparison of our prediction with the ZEUS data is shown in Fig. [5.](#page-4-2)

#### V. DISCUSSIONS AND SUMMARY

<span id="page-4-0"></span>We have shown the analytical solution of the nonlinear BK equation, with the application of a mathematical method of the homogeneous balance principle. The homogeneous balance method would have some broad applications in solving the nonlinear evolution equations in high-energy QCD. By fitting to the gluon distributions in the nonsaturation region (high  $Q^2$ ), we have obtained the physical BK solution for the proton. The solution in this work is similar to the traveling wave front introduced by Munier and Peschanski [26–[28\].](#page-5-7) The saturation is clearly shown in the scattering amplitude solution in the momentum space. The saturation scales  $Q_s^2(Y)$  are also provided<br>from our analysis. This solution with saturation behavior is from our analysis. This solution with saturation behavior is an important dynamical mechanism to explain the observed geometric scaling of the proton structure function at small x. The BK equation with the truncation of the BFKL kernel is successful in interpreting the deep inelastic scattering data at current and closed accelerator facilities.

The solution of the BK equation determined in this work is useful for the phenomenological studies on the unitarization of high-energy cross section, parton saturation, and small- $x$  physics. Our results may be applied in deep inelastic scattering [\[50\],](#page-5-18) the diffractive process [\[33,51,52\]](#page-5-19), and hadron collisions at high energy [\[16\]](#page-5-20), where the high parton density effect should be considered [\[17\]](#page-5-21). In the United States, the future Electron-Ion Collider [\[53\]](#page-5-22) will be an important machine to study the phenomenology of parton saturation physics. The saturation-scale predictions can be evaluated with future experiments. For the proposed low-energy electron-ion collider in China [\[54,55\]](#page-5-23), the DIS cross section measurement at low  $Q^2$  and

small  $x_B$  is also helpful for us to understand the saturation phenomenon of partons inside a proton or nucleus. The future high-energy accelerator facilities are needed for a precise understanding of the complex dynamics of strong interaction and the high-energy QCD frontiers.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Professors Kong-Qing Yang, Wei Zhu, Fan Wang, and Yaping Xie for suggestions and fruitful discussions. This work is supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences under Grant No. XDB34030301.

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[1] L. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **23**, 338 (1976).
- [2] E. Kuraev, L. Lipatov, and V. S. Fadin, Sov. Phys. JETP 45, 199 (1977).
- [3] I. Balitsky and L. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 28, 822 (1978).
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[4] M. Froissart, *Phys. Rev.* **123**[, 1053 \(1961\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.123.1053).
- [5] A. Martin, Phys. Rev. **129**[, 1432 \(1963\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.129.1432).
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[6] L. Gribov, E. Levin, and M. Ryskin, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(81)90007-9) B188, 555 [\(1981\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(81)90007-9)
- [7] L. Gribov, E. Levin, and M. Ryskin, *[Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(83)90022-4)* **100**, 1 (1983).
- [8] A. H. Mueller and J.-w. Qiu, Nucl. Phys. **B268**[, 427 \(1986\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(86)90164-1)
- [9] A. H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. **B335**[, 115 \(1990\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(90)90173-B)
- [10] W. Zhu and J.-h. Ruan, Nucl. Phys. **B559**[, 378 \(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00461-7)
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[11] I. Balitsky, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00638-9) **B463**, 99 (1996).
- [12] J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, A. Leonidov, and H. Weigert, Nucl. Phys. B504[, 415 \(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00440-9)
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[13] E. Iancu, A. Leonidov, and L. D. McLerran, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(01)00642-X) A692[, 583 \(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(01)00642-X).
- [14] H. Weigert, Nucl. Phys. **A703**[, 823 \(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(01)01668-2).
- [15] E. Iancu, A. Leonidov, and L. D. McLerran, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00524-X) 510[, 133 \(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00524-X).
- <span id="page-5-20"></span>[16] J. Jalilian-Marian and Y.V. Kovchegov, [Prog. Part. Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2005.07.002) Phys. 56[, 104 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2005.07.002)
- <span id="page-5-21"></span>[17] F. Gelis, E. Iancu, J. Jalilian-Marian, and R. Venugopalan, [Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.010909.083629) 60, 463 (2010).
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[18] I. Balitsky, [AIP Conf. Proc.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.53693) 407, 953 (1997).
- [19] Y. V. Kovchegov, Phys. Rev. D 60[, 034008 \(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.034008)
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[20] Y. V. Kovchegov, Phys. Rev. D 61[, 074018 \(2000\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.61.074018)
- [21] I. Balitsky, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01041-3) **518**, 235 (2001).
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[22] N. Armesto and M. Braun, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100520100685) **20**, 517 (2001).
- [23] K. J. Golec-Biernat, L. Motyka, and A. Stasto, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.074037) 65[, 074037 \(2002\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.074037)
- [24] R. Enberg and R. B. Peschanski, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.12.012) A767, 189 [\(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.12.012)
- [25] C. Marquet and G. Soyez, Nucl. Phys. A760[, 208 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.05.198)
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[26] S. Munier and R. B. Peschanski, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.232001) 91, 232001 [\(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.232001)
- [27] S. Munier and R. B. Peschanski, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.034008) 69, 034008 [\(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.034008)
- [28] S. Munier and R. B. Peschanski, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.077503) 70, 077503 [\(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.077503)
- [29] W. Xiang, S. Cai, and D. Zhou, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.116009) 95, 116009 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.116009)
- [30] W. Xiang, Y. Cai, M. Wang, and D. Zhou, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.076005) 101, [076005 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.076005)
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[31] E. Iancu, A. Mueller, and S. Munier, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.12.009) **606**, 342 [\(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.12.009)
- [32] S. Munier, [Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11433-015-5666-7) 58, 81001 [\(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11433-015-5666-7)
- <span id="page-5-19"></span>[33] A. Mueller and S. Munier, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.082001) **121**, 082001 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.082001)
- [34] R. Enberg, [AIP Conf. Proc.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2122043) **792**, 307 (2005).
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[35] A. Stasto, K. J. Golec-Biernat, and J. Kwiecinski, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.596) Lett. 86[, 596 \(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.596).
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[36] J. de Santana Amaral, M. Betemps, M. Gay Ducati, and G. Soyez, [Braz. J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-97332007000400032) 37, 648 (2007).
- [37] J. de Santana Amaral, M. Gay Ducati, M. Betemps, and G. Soyez, Phys. Rev. D 76[, 094018 \(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.094018).
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[38] A. H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. **B415**[, 373 \(1994\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(94)90116-3)
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[39] A. H. Mueller and B. Patel, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(94)90284-4) B425, 471 [\(1994\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(94)90284-4)
- [40] A. H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. **B437**[, 107 \(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(94)00480-3)
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>[41] Y. Yang, W. Kou, X. Wang, and X. Chen, [arXiv:2009](https://arXiv.org/abs/2009.11378) [.11378.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2009.11378)
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>[42] C. Marquet, R. B. Peschanski, and G. Soyez, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2005.09.035) 628[, 239 \(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2005.09.035).
- <span id="page-5-24"></span>[43] K. J. Golec-Biernat and M. Wusthoff, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.014017) 59, [014017 \(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.014017)
- [44] J. Bartels, K. J. Golec-Biernat, and H. Kowalski, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.014001) D **66**[, 014001 \(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.014001).
- [45] H. Kowalski and D. Teaney, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.114005) 68, 114005 [\(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.68.114005)
- <span id="page-5-17"></span>[46] N. Armesto, C. A. Salgado, and U. A. Wiedemann, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.022002) Rev. Lett. 94[, 022002 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.022002)
- [47] F. G. Ben, M. V. T. Machado, and W. K. Sauter, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.054015) D 96[, 054015 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.054015).
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>[48] J. Breitweg et al. (ZEUS Collaboration), [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00793-0) 487, [53 \(2000\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00793-0)
- [49] S. Chekanov et al. (ZEUS Collaboration), [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100520100749) 21[, 443 \(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100520100749)
- <span id="page-5-18"></span>[50] K. Golec-Biernat and S. Sapeta, [J. High Energy Phys. 03](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2018)102) [\(2018\) 102.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2018)102)
- [51] R. Enberg, [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732304015956) 19, 2655 (2004).
- [52] Y. Cai, W. Xiang, M. Wang, and D. Zhou, [Chin. Phys. C](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/44/7/074110) 44, [074110 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/44/7/074110)
- <span id="page-5-22"></span>[53] A. Accardi *et al.*, [Eur. Phys. J. A](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2016-16268-9) **52**, 268 (2016).
- <span id="page-5-23"></span>[54] X. Chen, Proc. Sci., DIS2018 (2018) 170 [\[arXiv:1809](https://arXiv.org/abs/1809.00448) [.00448\]](https://arXiv.org/abs/1809.00448).
- [55] X. Chen, F.-K. Guo, C. D. Roberts, and R. Wang, [Few Body](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00601-020-01574-0) Syst. 61[, 43 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00601-020-01574-0)