Perturbative method to estimate meson masses in the framework of the Bethe-Salpeter equation beyond its dominant interaction

Shu-Sheng $Xu^{1,*}$ $Xu^{1,*}$ $Xu^{1,*}$ and Chao Shi $\mathbf{D}^{2,*}$ $\mathbf{D}^{2,*}$ $\mathbf{D}^{2,*}$

 1 School of Science, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications (NJUPT),

Nanjing 210023, China
²Department of Nuclear Science and Technology, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, China

(Received 20 July 2020; accepted 4 October 2020; published 3 December 2020)

We propose a novel method to calculate the meson mass in the framework of Dyson-Schwinger equation and Bethe-Salpeter equation (BSE), once their dominant interactions are identified. The method is based on the perturbation theory of matrix, which is widely used in quantum mechanics. Taking interactions other than the dominant ones as perturbations, we derive the first order correction of quark propagator. Implementing the perturbation on BSE, the mass correction at first order is then given. We exemplify this method with the well-known rainbow-ladder (RL) truncation, and go beyond the RL using a simple model, i.e., the Munczek-Nemirovsky (MN) model, by studying the pion and ρ mesons mass shift. The results are all in good agreement with those obtained by fully solving the BSE beyond RL. Our perturbative method therefore can be used to give a semiquantitative estimate of meson mass correction in cases when the BSEs are complicated by interactions that go beyond the dominant one.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.102.114008](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.114008)

I. INTRODUCTION

The bound state problem is important in QCD since all hadrons are composite particles constituted by elementary particles as quarks and gluons. The mass spectrum of the hadrons encode information of their substructure. Many approaches have been developed to study the hadron spectrum, such as the constituent quark model [\[1](#page-6-0)–6], Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model [\[7,8\],](#page-6-1) functional renormalization group [\[9,10\],](#page-6-2) lattice QCD [11–[16\]](#page-6-3) and Bethe-Salpeter equation (BSE) [17–[25\].](#page-6-4) Among them, the BSE coupled with Dyson-Schwinger equation (DSE) provides an efficient tool. The BSE-DSE approach starts with the quark and gluon degrees of freedom, and preserves the symmetries of QCD, such as the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry and $U_A(1)$ chiral symmetry [\[26\].](#page-6-5) In practice, this is realized by implementing truncations (on vertices and interaction kernels) that respect the vector Ward-Takahashi identity (WTI) and axial-vector WTI [\[27,28\]](#page-6-6). During the last two decades, the rainbow-ladder (RL) truncation achieved great success in describing $J^P = 0^-$, 1⁻ ground state mesons

[*](#page-0-2) xuss@njupt.edu.cn [†](#page-0-2) cshi@nuaa.edu.cn

[\[23,28](#page-6-7)–31] and $\frac{1}{2}$ ⁺, $\frac{3}{2}$ ⁺ ground state baryons [\[32,33\]](#page-6-8), which are regarded as orbital angular momentum $L = 0$ dominant states. However, in the study of radially excited pseudoscalar and vector mesons, the RL truncation generally underestimates their masses, implying the necessity of going beyond RL truncation [\[34\].](#page-6-9)

It is a subtle work to construct dressed quark-gluon vertex and quark-anti-quark interaction kernel beyond RL truncation. There are mainly two approaches. One is to use explicit diagrammatic representation to the DSE of the dressed quark-gluon vertex [\[17,21,35](#page-6-4)–41]. In Ref. [\[35\]](#page-6-10), the first model study of meson BSEs beyond RL truncation is given by considering subleading Abelian correction for quark-gluon vertex and quark-antiquark kernel, followed by a number of further studies [\[17,21,36,42\].](#page-6-4) The non-Abelian quark-gluon vertex is discussed in Ref. [\[37\],](#page-6-11) and the meson spectrum are calculated [\[39\]](#page-6-12). Pion exchange between quarks was also considered [\[40,43\]](#page-6-13). Another approach to go beyond RL truncation is to construct the tensor structures of the dressed quark-gluon vertex and thereafter to build the quark-antiquark interaction kernel under the constrain of axial-vector WTI [44–[48\].](#page-6-14)

In this paper, we propose a new method to estimate the meson mass correction, once the dominant interaction is identified. This includes the case of RL truncation, given the success of RL truncation in describing the properties of ground state hadrons, e.g., the masses and the decay constants of pion and ρ mesons [\[20,23,49\].](#page-6-15) Our starting point is to treat the interaction terms beyond the dominant

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

term as perturbations, i.e., their contribution to the DSE and BSE are small as compared to the dominant term. As compared to fully solving the DSE-BSE, our method requires much less computational effort. Furthermore, as we will show below, it serves as a general method which can be conveniently applied to any interaction kernel.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. [II](#page-1-0), we give a brief introduction to DSE-BSE approach. In Sec. [III](#page-2-0), we introduce our new perturbative method. The formula of quark propagator and the meson mass correction at the first order is derived in detail. In Sec. [IV,](#page-3-0) we employ a simple model, the Munczek-Nemirovsky (MN) model, and revisit the pion and ρ meson study with our new method. In Sec. [V,](#page-5-0) we summarize this work.

II. DYSON-SCHWINGER EQUATION AND BETHE-SALPETER EQUATION

The elementary degrees of freedom in QCD are quarks and gluons. The quark DSE describes the equation of motion of the quark propagator, revealing how quark propagator is determined by its interaction with gluons. For a given flavor of quark, the quark DSE is

$$
S^{-1}(p) = Z_2(i\gamma \cdot p + Z_m m) + \Sigma(p), \tag{1}
$$

$$
\Sigma(p) = Z_1 \int_q g^2 D_{\mu\nu}(k) \gamma_\mu \frac{\lambda^a}{2} S(q) \Gamma_\nu^a(p,q), \qquad (2)
$$

where $S(p)$ is the dressed quark propagator. The \int_q is the abbreviation of $\int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}$. Here the *m* is the current quark mass, and $\Sigma(p)$ is the quark self-energy. $D_{\mu\nu}(k)$ is the dressed gluon propagator with $k = p - q$, λ^a , $(a = 1...8)$ are Gell-Mann matrices, and $\Gamma_{\mu}^{\alpha}(p, q)$ is the dressed quark-
pluon vertex. The Z, Z₂ and Z₃ are the renormalization gluon vertex. The Z_1 , Z_2 , and Z_m are the renormalization constants of the quark-gluon vertex, quark wave function, and quark mass respectively. The dressed gluon propagator and the dressed quark-gluon vertex satisfy their own DSEs, which are related to higher-point Green functions. Therefore the quark DSE is not closed. In practical study, truncations and ansatz for effective interaction must be employed so that the quark DSE gets closed and solvable.

The dressed quark propagator has the general structure

$$
S(p) = -i\gamma \cdot p\sigma_V(p^2) + \sigma_S(p^2),\tag{3}
$$

and the structure of the inverse of the dressed quark propagator is

$$
S^{-1}(p) = i\gamma \cdot pA(p^2) + B(p^2).
$$
 (4)

The scalar functions are related by

$$
\sigma_V(p^2) = \frac{A(p^2)}{p^2 A^2(p^2) + B^2(p^2)},\tag{5}
$$

$$
\sigma_S(p^2) = \frac{B(p^2)}{p^2 A^2(p^2) + B^2(p^2)}.
$$
\n(6)

On the other hand, the quark-antiquark two-body bound state is governed by the Bethe-Salpeter equation,

$$
\Gamma_M(p, P) = \int_q K(p, q, P) \chi_M(q, P), \tag{7}
$$

$$
\chi_M(q, P) = S(q_+) \Gamma_M(q, P) S(q_-),\tag{8}
$$

where $\Gamma_M(p, P)$ is the Bethe-Salpeter amplitude (BSA) of meson. The χ_M is the meson wave function, $q_{\pm} = q \pm \eta_{\pm} P$
with $n_{\pm} + n_{\pm} = 1$ and $K(n, q, P)$ is the interaction kernel. with $\eta_+ + \eta_- = 1$, and $K(p, q, P)$ is the interaction kernel.
The general structure of Bethe-Salpeter amplitude for

The general structure of Bethe-Salpeter amplitude for different J^P meson is different. Take pseudoscalar meson as an example, i.e., $J^p = 0^-$, the most general amplitude reads

$$
\Gamma_{0^{-}}(p, P) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} T_{0^{-}}^{i}(p, P) F_{0^{-}}^{i}(p^{2}, p \cdot P), \qquad (9)
$$

with

$$
T_0^i(p, P) = \{ \gamma_5, \gamma_5 \gamma \cdot P, \gamma_5 \gamma \cdot p, \gamma_5 [\gamma \cdot p, \gamma \cdot P] \}, \qquad (10)
$$

and $F_0^i(p^2, p \cdot P)$ are scalar functions. Inserting the general BSA into the BSE which is a homogeneous general BSA into the BSE, which is a homogeneous equation. The determination of meson mass can further be transformed into an eigenvalue problem, e.g.,

$$
\lambda(P^2)\Gamma_M(p,P) = \int_q K(p,q,P)\chi_M(q,P). \tag{11}
$$

The calculated meson mass is located at m_M with $\lambda(-m_M^2) = 1.$
The BSF

The BSE contains on one hand the dressed quark propagator, which is the solution to quark DSE Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-1), and on the other hand the kernel should be constructed together with the dressed quark-gluon vertex, i.e., they are constrained by vector and axial-vector WTIs,

$$
iP_{\mu}\Gamma_{\mu}^{\gamma}(k,P) = S^{-1}(k_{+}) - S^{-1}(k_{-}), \qquad (12)
$$

$$
P_{\mu}\Gamma_{5\mu}(k,P) + 2im\Gamma_5(k,P) = S^{-1}(k_+)i\gamma_5 - i\gamma_5 S^{-1}(k_-).
$$
\n(13)

Here $\Gamma_{\mu}^{\gamma}(k, P)$ is the photon-quark vertex. The vector WTI quarantees the gauge symmetry $U(1)$ and the axial-vector guarantees the gauge symmetry $U(1)$, and the axial-vector WTI guarantees the chiral symmetry of the QCD. Combined with the inhomogeneous BSEs of the vector and axial-vector vertex and the quark gap equation, one can relate the kernel to the dressed quark-gluon vertex as [\[50\]](#page-6-16)

$$
\int_{q} K_{\alpha\alpha'\beta'\beta}[S(q_{-}) - S(q_{+})]_{\alpha'\beta'}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{q} D_{\mu\nu}(k-q)\gamma_{\mu}[S(q_{+})\Gamma_{\nu}(q_{+},k_{+}) - S(q_{-})\Gamma_{\nu}(q_{-},k_{-})], \qquad (14)
$$

$$
\int_{q} K_{\alpha\alpha'\beta'\beta}[S(q_{+})\gamma_{5} + \gamma_{5}S(q_{-})]_{\alpha'\beta'}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{q} D_{\mu\nu}(k-q)\gamma_{\mu}[S(q_{+})\Gamma_{\nu}(q_{+},k_{+})\gamma_{5}
$$
\n
$$
-\gamma_{5}S(q_{-})\Gamma_{\nu}(q_{-},k_{-})]. \tag{15}
$$

The above equations must be satisfied in constructing the kernel for a specified dressed quark-gluon vertex and vice versa.

III. GO BEYOND THE DOMINANT INTERACTION PERTURBATIVELY IN DSE AND BSE

Without loss of generality, one can write the dressed quark-gluon vertex and quark-anti-quark interaction kernel as

$$
g^2 D_{\mu\nu}(k) \Gamma_{\nu}(p,q) = \mathcal{G}(k^2) D_{\mu\nu}^{\text{free}}(k) (\Gamma_{\nu}^0 + \epsilon \Gamma_{\nu}^I(p,q)), \quad (16)
$$

and

$$
K_{\alpha\alpha',\beta'\beta}(p,q,P) = \mathcal{G}(k)D_{\mu\nu}^{\text{free}}(k)(K_{\alpha\alpha',\beta'\beta}^{0\mu\nu} + \epsilon K_{\alpha\alpha',\beta'\beta}^{I\mu\nu}),
$$
\n(17)

where $D_{\mu\nu}^{\text{free}}(k) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - (1 - \xi) \frac{k_{\mu}k_{\nu}}{k^2}$ is the free gluon propa-
gator. The ξ is the gauge parameter and we use I and
gator. gator. The ξ is the gauge parameter and we use Landau gauge $\xi = 0$ in this work. $\mathcal{G}(k)$ is the effective interaction in both Eqs. [\(16\)](#page-2-1) and [\(17\),](#page-2-2) which absorbs the coupling q^2 , the dressing function of gluon propagator and some momentum dependence of the dressed quark-gluon vertex. We then assume the $\Gamma_{\nu}^0(p,q)$ and $K_{\alpha\alpha',\beta'\beta}^{0\mu\nu}$ are the dominant part of quark-gluon vertex and the quark-antiquark kernel, the $\Gamma_{\nu}^{I}(p,q)$ and $K^{I\mu\nu}_{\alpha\alpha'\beta'\beta}$ can be regarded as perturbation, denoted by the small expansion parameter ϵ .

A. Quark DSE

Denoting $S_0(p)$ as the solution to quark DSE within dominant truncation, the quark propagator with the full quark-gluon vertex $S(p)$ can be written as

$$
S(p) = S_0(p) + \epsilon S_1(p) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \tag{18}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
S^{-1}(p) = S_0^{-1}(p) + \epsilon S_1^{-1}(p) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).
$$
 (19)

The scalar functions take the expansion analogously

$$
\sigma_V(p^2) = \sigma_{V0}(p^2) + \epsilon \sigma_{V1}(p^2) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \qquad (20)
$$

$$
\sigma_S(p^2) = \sigma_{S0}(p^2) + \epsilon \sigma_{S1}(p^2) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \qquad (21)
$$

$$
A(p^2) = A_0(p^2) + \epsilon A_1(p^2) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2),\tag{22}
$$

$$
B(p^{2}) = B_{0}(p^{2}) + \epsilon B_{1}(p^{2}) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2}).
$$
 (23)

Based on their relations, i.e., Eqs. [\(5\)](#page-1-2) and [\(6\),](#page-1-3) one obtains

$$
\sigma_{V1}(p^2) = \frac{B_0^2 A_1 - 2A_0 B_0 B_1 - p^2 A_0^2 A_1}{(p^2 A_0^2 + B_0^2)^2},
$$
 (24)

$$
\sigma_{S1}(p^2) = \frac{p^2 A_0^2 B_1 - 2p^2 A_0 B_0 A_1 - B_0^2 B_1}{(p^2 A_0^2 + B_0^2)^2}.
$$
 (25)

Analogously, the quark DSE can also be expanded in ϵ , which reads at the first order,

$$
S_0^{-1}(p) + \epsilon S_1^{-1}(p) = iZ_2\gamma \cdot p + Z_4m + \Sigma_0(p) + \epsilon \Sigma_1(p),
$$
\n(26)

the $\Sigma_0(p)$ is the quark self-energy Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-4) in dominant truncation, and $\Sigma_1(p)$ is

$$
\Sigma_1(p) = \int_q \mathcal{G}(k^2) D_{\mu\nu}^{\text{free}}(k) \gamma_\mu(S_0(q) \Gamma_\nu^1(p,q) + S_1(q) \gamma_\nu).
$$
\n(27)

The renormalization constants Z_2 , Z_4 are

$$
Z_2 = Z_{20} + \epsilon Z_{21} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2),\tag{28}
$$

$$
Z_4 = Z_{40} + \epsilon Z_{41} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2),\tag{29}
$$

with

$$
Z_{21} = -\frac{1}{3p^2} \int_q \mathcal{G}(k^2) D_{\mu\nu}^{\text{free}}(k) \text{Tr}[\cancel{p}\gamma_{\mu} S_0(q) \Gamma_{\nu}^I(p,q) + \cancel{p}\gamma_{\mu} S_1(q) \Gamma_{\nu}^0(p,q)]|_{p^2 = \mu^2}.
$$
 (30)

$$
Z_{41} = -\frac{1}{3m} \int_{q} \mathcal{G}(k^{2}) D_{\mu\nu}^{\text{free}}(k) \text{Tr}[\gamma_{\mu} S_{0}(q) \Gamma_{\nu}^{I}(p, q) + \gamma_{\mu} S_{1}(q) \Gamma_{\nu}^{0}(p, q)]|_{p^{2} = \mu^{2}}.
$$
\n(31)

As the first order expansion in ϵ , one has,

$$
S_1^{-1}(p) = iZ_{21}\gamma \cdot p + Z_{41}m + \Sigma_1(p). \tag{32}
$$

The above equation can be converted into coupled equations of $A_1(p^2)$ and $B_1(p^2)$, a unique solution can be found because of its linearity.

B. The meson BSE

We now turn to the BSE, Eq. [\(11\)](#page-1-5). The BS amplitude $\Gamma_M(p, P)$ and the eigenvalue $\lambda(P^2)$ of Eq. [\(11\)](#page-1-5) depend on the small parameter ϵ , so they be expanded in the same way as the quark propagator,

$$
\Gamma_M(p, P) = \Gamma_{M0}(p, P) + \epsilon \Gamma_{M1}(p, P) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \quad (33)
$$

$$
\lambda(P^2) = \lambda_0(P^2) + \epsilon \lambda_1(P^2) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2). \tag{34}
$$

Here the $\Gamma_{M0}(p, P)$ and $\lambda_0(P^2)$ are the results within the dominant truncation. Inserting Eqs. [\(18\)](#page-2-3), [\(33\)](#page-3-1), and [\(34\)](#page-3-2) into Eq. [\(11\)](#page-1-5), one obtains

$$
(\lambda_0 + \epsilon \lambda_1)(\Gamma_{M0} + \epsilon \Gamma_{M1})
$$

=
$$
\int_q (K_0 + \epsilon K^I)(S_0^+ + \epsilon S_1^+)(\Gamma_{M0} + \epsilon \Gamma_{M1})(S_0^- + \epsilon S_1^-),
$$

(35)

where $S_{0,1}^{\pm} = S_{0,1}(q \pm P/2)$.
With the help of the zeroth

With the help of the zeroth order of BSE, the first ordered BSE reads

$$
\lambda_0 \Gamma_{M1} - \int_q K_0 S_0^+ \Gamma_{M1} S_0^- \n= \int_q (K^I S_0^+ \Gamma_{M0} S_0^- + K_0 S_1^+ \Gamma_{M0} S_0^- + K_0 S_0^+ \Gamma_{M0} S_1^-) \n- \lambda_1 \Gamma_{M0}.
$$
\n(36)

Multiply $S_0^- \overline{\Gamma}_{M0} S_0^+$ on the left and take trace for both sides of Eq. [\(36\),](#page-3-3) the left-hand side vanishes with the help of conjugated BSE. The first order perturbation of eigenvalue is

$$
\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_0} \text{Tr} \left[\int_{\ell} S_0^- \bar{\Gamma}_{M0} S_0^+ \int_q (K^I S_0^+ \Gamma_{M0} S_0^- + K_0 S_1^+ \Gamma_{M0} S_0^- + K_0 S_0^+ \Gamma_{M0} S_1^-) \right].
$$
\n(37)

$$
\mathcal{N}_0 = \text{Tr} \int_{\ell} S_0^- \bar{\Gamma}_{M0} S_0^+ \Gamma_{M0}. \tag{38}
$$

All these elements are known from zeroth quark DSE, meson BSE and the first order quark DSE. One can search the meson mass m_M so that $\lambda(P^2 = -m_M^2) = \lambda_0 + \epsilon \lambda_1 = 1$.
However a critical remedy of Eq. (37) is needed if we

However, a critical remedy of Eq. [\(37\)](#page-3-4) is needed, if we consider the case of the pion. As we know, the pion is the Goldstone boson of chiral symmetry. It is massless in the exact chiral limit $m = 0$. But the expansion Eqs. [\(33\)](#page-3-1) and [\(34\)](#page-3-2) cannot automatically preserve this property. Additional constraints should be taken into consideration. In the chiral limit, the eigenvalue of pion BSE satisfies the same expansion as Eq. [\(34\),](#page-3-2) and $\lambda^{\pi CL}(P^2 = 0) =$ $\lambda_0^{\pi CL}(P^2 = 0) = 1$ because of the dominant truncation and full interaction both preserve chiral symmetry, the superscript "CL" refers to "chiral limit." Hence

$$
0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^i \lambda_i^{\pi CL} (P^2 = 0).
$$
 (39)

Subtracting Eq. [\(39\)](#page-3-5) from Eq. [\(34\)](#page-3-2), the eigenvalue of meson BSE can be expanded as

$$
\lambda(P^2) = \lambda_0(P^2) + \epsilon \lambda_1^R(P^2) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2),\tag{40}
$$

with

$$
\lambda_1^R(P^2) = \lambda_1(P^2) - \lambda_1^{\pi CL}(0). \tag{41}
$$

This is our modified (and final) result concerning λ . It is obvious that the pion is massless at every order of ϵ in the chiral limit. Both of the $\lambda_1(P^2)$ and $\lambda_1^{\pi CL}(0)$ can be calculated by Eqs. (37) and (38) calculated by Eqs. [\(37\)](#page-3-4) and [\(38\).](#page-3-6)

IV. GO BEYOND THE RL TRUNCATION PERTURBATIVELY IN DSE AND BSE

In this section we exemplify our perturbative approach with a specific calculation of the pion and ρ meson by going beyond the RL truncation. We consider the quarkgluon vertex

$$
\Gamma_{\nu}(p,q) = \gamma_{\nu} + \frac{1}{6} \int_{\ell} g^2 D_{\rho\sigma}(\ell) \gamma_{\rho} S(p-\ell) \gamma_{\nu} S(q-\ell) \gamma_{\sigma}, \tag{42}
$$

which is also diagrammatic represented as in Fig. [1](#page-3-7). The corresponding quark-antiquark interaction kernel is shown in Fig. [2](#page-3-8).

FIG. 1. The quark gluon-vertex on the next leading order.

FIG. 2. The quark-antiquark interaction kernel on the next leading order.

Apparently, the first terms on the right-hand side of Figs. [1](#page-3-7) and [2](#page-3-8) constitute the RL truncation. It is known that the RL truncation dominates in the case of pion and ρ , so we take it as the leading term, with the rest terms as perturbations.

In this section, we employ the Munczek-Nemirovsky (MN) model [\[51\]](#page-7-0) to illustrate how to use the perturbative method, and analysis the numerical results. The MN model for the effective interaction is

$$
\mathcal{G}(k) = G(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(k),\tag{43}
$$

where $G = 0.281$ GeV² in this work, and we use current quark mass $m = 0.012$ GeV [\[35\].](#page-6-10) It has the dynamical mass generation effect built in by imposing a strong interaction strength. In terms of ground π and ρ masses, the availability of MN model has been tested [\[35\]](#page-6-10). However, it is worth to stress that the MN model is a much simplified model. The delta function implies zero momentum exchange between quarks, which precludes explicit gauge sector interactions, such as the three-gluon vertex effects. In mesons where non-Abelian interactions are important, finding the appropriate dominant contribution becomes an important task.

Given Eq. [\(43\),](#page-4-0) the zeroth ordered quark DSE then reads

$$
S_0^{-1}(p) = i\gamma \cdot p + m + G\gamma_\mu S_0(p)\gamma_\mu, \qquad (44)
$$

Note the renormalization constants $Z_2 = 1$ and $Z_m = 1$ because of the effective interaction is strongly suppressed in the ultraviolet. One can derive the coupled equations for $A_0(p^2)$ and $B_0(p^2)$ as

$$
A_0(p^2) = 1 + 2G \frac{A_0(p^2)}{p^2 A_0^2(p^2) + B_0^2(p^2)},
$$
 (45)

$$
B_0(p^2) = m + 4G \frac{B_0(p^2)}{p^2 A_0^2(p^2) + B_0^2(p^2)}.
$$
 (46)

They have several unphysical solutions, e.g., the mass function of some solutions are negative. The physical solution is displayed as A_0 , B_0 in Fig. [3](#page-4-1), for which we constrain the mass function to be positive definite and $A_0(\infty) = 1$, $B_0(\infty) = m$. The B_0 function has an evident rapid enhancement in the infrared region, as well as for the mass function $M_0(p^2) = B_0(p^2)/A_0(p^2)$, which is a clear sign of the dynamical chiral symmetry breaking.

The quark-antiquark interaction kernel is illustrated in Fig. [2](#page-3-8): the first term is the ladder approximation, and the second line is regarded as the first order in ϵ . The homogeneous BSE of meson in the ladder approximation with MN model is

$$
\Gamma_{M0}(p, P) = -G\gamma_{\mu}S_0(p_+) \Gamma_{M0}(p, P)S_0(p_-)\gamma_{\mu}. \tag{47}
$$

The δ function in the effective interaction entails that the bound state have zero relative momentum, so the BSA is relative momentum independent.

For numerical convenience, we introduce projector of Dirac-Lorentz structures, $\bar{T}_{J^P}^i(P)$, so that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}[\overline{T}_{J^P}^i(P)T_{J^P}^j(P)] = \delta_{ij}.\tag{48}
$$

For $J^P = 0^-$ meson

$$
T_{0^{-}}^{1} = i\gamma_{5}, \qquad T_{0^{-}}^{2} = P\gamma_{5}, \qquad (49)
$$

$$
\bar{T}_{0^{-}}^{1} = \frac{-i}{4} \gamma_{5}, \qquad \bar{T}_{0^{-}}^{2} = -\frac{1}{4P^{2}} \bar{P} \gamma_{5}, \qquad (50)
$$

and for $J^P = 1^-$ meson,

$$
T_{1^{-}}^{1\mu} = \gamma_{\mu} - \frac{PP_{\mu}}{P^2}, \qquad T_{1^{-}}^{2\mu} = \sigma_{\mu\nu} P_{\nu}.
$$
 (51)

$$
\bar{T}_{1^-}^{1\mu} = \frac{1}{12} \left(\gamma_\mu - \frac{P P_\mu}{P^2} \right), \qquad \bar{T}_{1^-}^{2\mu} = \frac{1}{12P^2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} P_\nu. \tag{52}
$$

The general structure of π and ρ meson are

$$
\Gamma_{\pi 0}(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} T_{0^{-}}^{i} F_{\pi 0}^{1}(P^{2}), \qquad (53)
$$

for pion, and

$$
\Gamma^{\mu}_{\rho 0}(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} T^{\mu}_{1^-} F^i_{\rho 0}(P^2), \qquad (54)
$$

for ρ meson.

Inserting Eq. [\(53\)](#page-4-2) into Eq. [\(47\)](#page-4-3), multiply \bar{T}_{0}^i and take trace on both sides, one can write the pion BSE as an eigenvalue equation,

FIG. 3. The scalar functions of quark propagator as function of p^2 in three cases: rainbow truncation $A_0(B_0)$, perturbative results up to the first order, $A_0 + A_1(B_0 + B_1)$ and nonperturbative results $A(B)$.

$$
\lambda_0(P^2) \binom{F_{\pi 0}^1}{F_{\pi 0}^2} = \binom{\mathcal{K}_{011}^{\pi} & \mathcal{K}_{012}^{\pi} \\ \mathcal{K}_{021}^{\pi} & \mathcal{K}_{022}^{\pi} \binom{F_{\pi 0}^1}{F_{\pi 0}^2}, \quad (55)
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{K}_{011}^{\pi} = G(4\sigma_{50}^{+}\sigma_{50}^{-} - P^{2}\sigma_{V0}^{+}\sigma_{V0}^{-}), \tag{56}
$$

$$
\mathcal{K}_{012}^{\pi} = -2GP^2(\sigma_{V0}^+ \sigma_{S0}^- + \sigma_{V0}^- \sigma_{S0}^+),\tag{57}
$$

$$
\mathcal{K}_{021}^{\pi} = -G(\sigma_{V0}^{+}\sigma_{S0}^{-} + \sigma_{V0}^{-}\sigma_{S0}^{+}), \qquad (58)
$$

$$
\mathcal{K}_{022}^{\pi} = G(P^2 \sigma_{V0}^+ \sigma_{V0}^- - 2 \sigma_{S0}^+ \sigma_{S0}^-),\tag{59}
$$

where $\sigma_{V0, S0}^{\pm} = \sigma_{V0, S0}(P^2/4)$.
The eigenvalue and eigenvalue

The eigenvalue and eigenvector of \mathcal{K}_0^{π} can be calculated straightforwardly. The eigenvalues of π and ρ BSEs varying with $M = \sqrt{-P^2}$ are displayed as $\lambda_{\pi 0}$ and $\lambda_{\rho 0}$ in Fig. [4](#page-5-1). We obtain $M_{\odot} = 0.140$ GeV and $M_{\odot} = 0.767$ GeV obtain $M_{\pi 0} = 0.140 \text{ GeV}$ and $M_{\rho 0} = 0.767 \text{ GeV}$.

Using the solutions of leading order, we calculate the first order of quark propagator S_1 with Eq. [\(32\),](#page-2-4) and display the numerical results in Fig. [3.](#page-4-1) We can see from Fig. [3](#page-4-1) that the first order correction for quark propagator is small as compared to A_0 and B_0 . We remind this justifies our assumption on the beyond RL truncation term as a perturbation. To check our calculation further, we also calculated the full result, which is denoted by unlabeled A and B functions. These full results are obtained by aligning the DS Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-1) and BS Eq. [\(7\)](#page-1-6) with full vertex Eq. [\(42\)](#page-3-9) and kernel K displayed in Fig. [2.](#page-3-8) This is usually computational expensive but much simplified with MN model. From Fig. [3](#page-4-1), we see our perturbative technique gives results close to the full results, i.e., $A_0 + A_1 \approx A$ and $B_0 + B_1 \approx B$.

We further calculate the first order correction of eigenvalue for both π and ρ mesons using Eq. [\(37\),](#page-3-4) which consist of two terms. Given the S_0 , S_1 , and Γ_{M0} we have, the calculation is straightforward. In Fig. [4,](#page-5-1) we display

FIG. 4. The eigenvalues in three cases: $\lambda_{\pi 0, \rho 0}$ is the zeroth order case, $\lambda_{\pi 0,\rho 0} + \lambda_{\pi 1,\rho 1}^R$ is the correction up to the first order case, and $\lambda_{\pi,\rho}$ is the nonperturbative results beyond RL truncation.

eigenvalues for three cases, i.e., the RL results λ_{M0} , $(M = \pi, \rho)$, the perturbative results up to the first order $\lambda_{M0} + \lambda_{M1}^R$, and the full results λ_M . Again, the λ_M is obtained by fully solving the DSE and RSE with the full obtained by fully solving the DSE and BSE with the full interaction. In the Fig. $4(a)$, we can see that the pion obtains a positive mass correction, the perturbative result is highly quantitatively coincidence with the full result. The results of the ρ meson can be seen in Fig. [4\(b\).](#page-5-1) The first order correction is positive, which agrees with the full result semiquantitatively. To conclude, we find that our perturbative method gives a semiqualitatively consistent correction for the meson mass beyond RL truncation. It is convenient to use. For interaction kernels that are too complicated to compute, this may provide a first possible estimate over the mass shift beyond dominant truncation.

V. SUMMARY

We propose a novel method to calculate the mass correction beyond dominant truncation in the framework of meson Bethe-Salpeter equation together with quark Dyson-Schwinger equation. Based on the zeroth approximation, all the elements, such as dressed quark propagator, dressed quark-gluon vertex, meson BSA and quarkantiquark interaction kernel, are expanded up to the first order of ϵ . Thereafter, the equation of the first order perturbative quark propagator is derived, a unique solution can be found due to its linearity. According to the perturbative theory of matrix, the first order correction of the eigenvalue of the BSE is derived. For the special case of pion, we rearrange the expansion of the $\lambda(\epsilon)$ so that the pion is massless at every order in the chiral limit, respecting the pion's Goldstone boson nature.

Employing Munczek-Nemirovsky model, we calculate the dressed propagator, π and ρ meson mass beyond RL truncation with our method. Our perturbatively obtained results are all in semiquantitative agreement with the full solutions. Our method can therefore be used to give a quick estimate of meson mass beyond RL truncation. Meanwhile, it is a general method, which potentially allows the analysis of meson BSE with complicated interaction kernels if the dominant part are specified. We remind that although the RL truncation is dominant in ground state pseudoscalar and vector mesons, even the ground state baryon, in other cases it is not and the RL is not representative of QCD-like truncations. In that case treating the rival interaction terms perturbatively would allow a qualitative and preliminary estimate of the mass shift.

Finally, our method can be generalized to the baryon study, since the three-body bound state equation, i.e., the Faddeev equation, can also be converted into an eigenvalue problem of matrix. We note that beyond-RL truncation studies on baryon within a genuine three-body approach has been pioneered by Refs. [52–[54\].](#page-7-1)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (under Grants No. 11905107 and No. 11905104), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (under Grant No. BK20190721), Natural Science Research of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China (under Grant No. 19KJB140016), Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications (under Grant No. NY129032), Innovation Team of Jiangsu Province.

- [1] C. Amsler and N. A. Trnqvist, Phys. Rep. 389[, 61 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2003.09.003)
- [2] W. Roberts and M. Pervin, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X08041219) 23, 2817 [\(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X08041219)
- [3] J. Vijande, F. Fernández, and A. Valcarce, [J. Phys. G](https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/31/5/017) 31, 481 [\(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/31/5/017)
- [4] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.014027) 67, [014027 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.014027)
- [5] D. Ebert, R. Faustov, and V. Galkin, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1233-6) 66, 197 [\(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1233-6)
- [6] T. Branz, A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.034010) 81, 034010 [\(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.034010)
- [7] R. Alkofer and I. Zahed, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(90)91711-J) 238, 149 (1990).
- [8] W. Clemens and N. Evans, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.05.027) 771, 1 (2017).
- [9] R. Alkofer, A. Maas, W. A. Mian, M. Mitter, J. París-López, J. M. Pawlowski, and N. Wink, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.054029) 99, 054029 [\(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.054029)
- [10] A. K. Cyrol, M. Mitter, J. M. Pawlowski, and N. Strodthoff, Phys. Rev. D 97[, 054006 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.054006).
- [11] F. Karsch, K. Redlich, and A. Tawfik, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2003-01228-y) 29, [549 \(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2003-01228-y).
- [12] J. J. Dudek, R. G. Edwards, N. Mathur, and D. G. Richards, Phys. Rev. D 77[, 034501 \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.034501).
- [13] J. J. Dudek, R. G. Edwards, M. J. Peardon, D. G. Richards, and C. E. Thomas (for the Hadron Spectrum Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 103[, 262001 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.262001)
- [14] A. Dougall, R. Kenway, C. Maynard, and C. McNeile, *[Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2003.07.017)* Lett. B 569[, 41 \(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2003.07.017).
- [15] J. Bulava, R. G. Edwards, E. Engelson, B. Joó, H.-W. Lin, C. Morningstar, D. G. Richards, and S. J. Wallace (Hadron Spectrum Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 82[, 014507 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.014507)
- [16] A. Majumder and B. Müller, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.252002) 105, 252002 [\(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.252002)
- [17] P. Watson, W. Cassing, and P. Tandy, [Few-Body Syst.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00601-004-0067-x) 35, [129 \(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00601-004-0067-x).
- [18] A. Krassnigg and M. Blank, Phys. Rev. D **83**[, 096006 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.096006)
- [19] A. A. El-Hady, M. A. K. Lodhi, and J. P. Vary, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.094001) 59[, 094001 \(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.094001)
- [20] P. Maris and C. D. Roberts, Phys. Rev. C 56[, 3369 \(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.56.3369)
- [21] H. H. Matevosyan, A. W. Thomas, and P. C. Tandy, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.75.045201) Rev. C 75[, 045201 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.75.045201)
- [22] D. Jarecke, P. Maris, and P.C. Tandy, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.67.035202) 67, [035202 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.67.035202)
- [23] P. Maris and P. C. Tandy, Phys. Rev. C 60[, 055214 \(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.60.055214)
- [24] A. Höll, A. Krassnigg, and C. D. Roberts, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.70.042203) 70, [042203\(R\) \(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.70.042203).
- [25] S.-X. Qin, L. Chang, Y.-X. Liu, C. D. Roberts, and D. J. Wilson, Phys. Rev. C 85[, 035202 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.035202)
- [26] M. Mitter, J. M. Pawlowski, and N. Strodthoff, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.054035) 91[, 054035 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.054035)
- [27] H.J. Munczek, Phys. Rev. D **52**[, 4736 \(1995\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.52.4736).
- [28] P. Maris, C. D. Roberts, and P. C. Tandy, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(97)01535-9) 420, [267 \(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(97)01535-9).
- [29] R. Williams, Phys. Lett. B **798**[, 134943 \(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.134943).
- [30] R. Williams, C. S. Fischer, and W. Heupel, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.034026) 93, [034026 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.034026)
- [31] M. Gómez-Rocha, T. Hilger, and A. Krassnigg, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.074010) D 93[, 074010 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.074010).
- [32] G. Eichmann, R. Alkofer, A. Krassnigg, and D. Nicmorus, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104[, 201601 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.201601)
- [33] H. Sanchis-Alepuz, G. Eichmann, S. Villalba-Chávez, and R. Alkofer, Phys. Rev. D 84[, 096003 \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.096003).
- [34] A. Krassnigg, Proc. Sci., CONFINEMENT8 (2008) 075 [[arXiv:0812.3073](https://arXiv.org/abs/0812.3073)].
- [35] A. Bender, C. Roberts, and L. Smekal, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(96)00372-3) 380, 7 [\(1996\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(96)00372-3)
- [36] M. S. Bhagwat, A. Höll, A. Krassnigg, C. D. Roberts, and P. C. Tandy, Phys. Rev. C 70[, 035205 \(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.70.035205).
- [37] R. Alkofer, C. S. Fischer, F. J. Llanes-Estrada, and K. Schwenzer, [Ann. Phys. \(Amsterdam\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2008.07.001) 324, 106 (2009).
- [38] C. S. Fischer, D. Nickel, and J. Wambach, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.094009) 76, [094009 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.094009)
- [39] C. S. Fischer and R. Williams, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.122001) **103**, 122001 [\(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.122001)
- [40] C. S. Fischer and R. Williams, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.074006) **78**, 074006 [\(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.074006)
- [41] M. Gómez-Rocha, T. Hilger, and A. Krassnigg, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.054030) D **92**[, 054030 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.054030).
- [42] A. Bender, W. Detmold, A. W. Thomas, and C. D. Roberts, Phys. Rev. C 65[, 065203 \(2002\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.65.065203)
- [43] R. Williams, in EPJ Web of Conferences, Vol. 3 (EDP Sciences, Paris, France, 2010), p. 03005.
- [44] C. S. Fischer, P. Watson, and W. Cassing, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.094025) 72, [094025 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.094025)
- [45] L. Chang, Y.-X. Liu, and C. D. Roberts, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.072001) 106[, 072001 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.072001)
- [46] L. Chang and C. D. Roberts, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.052201) **85**, 052201(R) [\(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.052201)
- [47] L. Chang and C. D. Roberts, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.081601) **103**, 081601 [\(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.081601)
- [48] D. Binosi, L. Chang, J. Papavassiliou, S.-X. Qin, and C. D. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D 93[, 096010 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.096010).
- [49] S.-X. Qin, L. Chang, Y.-X. Liu, C.D. Roberts, and D.J. Wilson, Phys. Rev. C 84[, 042202\(R\) \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.042202).
- [50] S.-X. Qin, in *EPJ Web of Conferences*, Vol. 113 (EDP Sciences, Paris, France, 2016), p. 05024.
- [51] H. J. Munczek and A. M. Nemirovsky, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.28.181) 28, 181 [\(1983\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.28.181)
- [52] H. Sanchis-Alepuz, C. S. Fischer, and S. Kubrak, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.04.031) B 733[, 151 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.04.031).
- [53] H. Sanchis-Alepuz and C. S. Fischer, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.096001) 90, [096001 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.096001)
- [54] H. Sanchis-Alepuz and R. Williams, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.08.067) 749, 592 [\(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.08.067)