# Null geodesics, quasinormal modes, and the correspondence with shadows in high-dimensional Einstein-Yang-Mills spacetimes

Yang Guo<sup>†</sup> and Yan-Gang Miao<sup>\*</sup>

School of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

(Received 28 July 2020; accepted 2 October 2020; published 26 October 2020)

Null geodesics, quasinormal modes of a massless scalar field perturbation, and the correspondence with shadow radii are investigated in the background spacetime of high-dimensional Einstein-Yang-Mills black holes. Based on the properties of null geodesics, we obtain the connection between the radius of a photon sphere and the radius of a horizon in the five- and six-dimensional Einstein-Yang-Mills spacetimes. Especially in the five-dimensional case, there exist two branches for the radius of a photon sphere, but only the branch outside the event horizon satisfies the condition of circular null geodesics. Moreover, we find no reflecting points of shadow radii and no spiral-like shapes on the complex plane of quasinormal frequencies and verify the correspondence between the quasinormal modes in the eikonal limit and shadow radii in high-dimensional Einstein-Yang-Mills spacetimes.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.102.084057

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Quasinormal modes (QNMs) are usually used to depict the stability of black holes that are perturbed by an external field or by the metric of black hole spacetimes, and they also contain the information of gravitational waves. The successful detection of gravitational waves by the LIGO Scientific and Virgo collaborations [1] opens a new window for the future astronomy, the so-called gravitational wave astronomy. In addition, the images of M87 observed by the Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration [2] show the shadow of the supermassive black hole. The two remarkable achievements mark the beginning of the new era of gravitational wave astronomy, which in turn greatly facilitates the studies of QNMs and shadow radii and their correspondence.

Based on the general relativity and alternative theories of gravity, QNMs have been studied in a wide range of issues [3–8]. Most of the research of QNMs rely on numerical computations, but only a few on analytical computations. For the latter, the lowest QNM frequencies of a vector-type field perturbation have been computed [9] analytically for a generic black hole with a translationally invariant horizon, where the QNM frequencies approach

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

[10] a large imaginary number plus  $\ln 3/(8\pi G_N M)$ . Moreover, an analytic computation of highly damped QNMs has been made [11] in terms of a monodromy technique.

Now we make a brief review on the correspondence between QNMs and other important issues, such as spacelike geodesics, phase transitions, and null geodesics, which naturally gives our motivation and aim of the present paper. It has been proposed [12,13] that the QNM frequencies in the large black hole mass limit are determined by the spacelike geodesics with the boundary of the Penrose diagram, based on which the quantum aspect of gravity behind horizons can be probed in the context of the gauge/gravity duality. For the four-dimensional Reissner-Nordström (RN) black hole, a spiral-like shape has been shown [14] on the complex plane of QNM frequencies when the black hole evolves to its second order phase transition point. This relation between QNMs and phase transitions gives an opportunity to probe [15] the thermodynamics and dynamics of black holes. Moreover, it has been proposed [16] that the real and imaginary parts of QNMs in the eikonal limit have a compact connection to the angular velocity and Lyapunov exponent of unstable circular null geodesics. Such a correspondence was later extended [17] to investigate the connection between QNMs and the gravitational lensing. However, it has been pointed out [18] for the Einstein-Lovelock theory that the QNM frequencies determined by the angular velocity and Lyapunov exponent deviate from those by the WKB method, which implies that the correspondence between QNMs and null geodesics is violated in the Einstein-Lovelock theory.

Recently, the correspondence between the real part of QNMs in the eikonal limit and shadow radii of black holes

miaoyg@nankai.edu.cn

guoy@mail.nankai.edu.cn

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

has been suggested [19,20] and applied to a black hole spacetime surrounded by the perfect fluid dark matter, where an interesting discovery is the existence of a reflecting point of shadow radii that corresponds to the maximal value of the real part of QNM frequencies. The connection between QNMs and shadow radii may, alternatively, provide a physical picture at the semiclassical level that the gravitational waves can be understood as such a phenomenon that a massless particle propagates along an outmost and unstable orbit of null geodesics and spreads transversely out to infinity. Based on the correspondence of Refs. [19,20] plus a subleading contribution derived from the WKB method [21], a modified correspondence between QNMs and shadow radii has been proposed [22] and verified to be in good agreement with the WKB method in the eikonal limit for a D-dimensional Tangherlini black hole and a fourdimensional spherically symmetric black hole surrounded by anisotropic fluids. As stated in our previous work [23], the Einstein-Yang-Mills (EYM) theory [24] is more challenging in finding analytic solutions than the Einstein-Maxwell theory due to the former's intrinsic properties related to QNMs and phase transitions. Therefore, we are interested in whether the modified correspondence between QNMs in the eikonal limit and shadow radii works well or not and also eager for probing the related issues, such as whether a reflecting point of shadow radii and a spiral-like shape on the complex plane of QNM frequencies exist or not in the context of the EYM theory, which aims at our main purpose in this paper. That is, we shall investigate such a correspondence between QNMs in the eikonal limit and shadow radii by dealing with the circular null geodesics of a massless particle around the EYM black holes with the SO(D-1) gauge group. The significance of our issue lies in enriching the relationship between gravitational waves and shadows of black holes, the two amazing achievements in the new century.

The outline of the present paper is as follows. In Sec. II we investigate the circular null geodesics of the EYM spacetimes in D = 5 and D = 6 as an example of D > 5 to find the connection between photon sphere radii and horizon radii. In order for this paper to be self-contained, we review shortly in Sec. III the derivation of the perturbation equations of a massless scalar field and the calculation of the corresponding QNMs for different values of multiple numbers and overtone numbers by the improved WKB method in a static spherically symmetric EYM spacetime. In Sec. IV we focus on the correspondence between the QNMs in the eikonal limit and shadow radii and the related issues. Finally, we give our conclusions in Sec. V. We adopt the geometric unit throughout this paper as usual.

#### **II. NULL GEODESICS**

For a *D*-dimensional static and spherically symmetric spacetime, the metric can be described by

$$ds^{2} = -f(r)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{f(r)} + r^{2}d\Omega_{D-2}^{2}, \qquad (1)$$

where  $d\Omega_{D-2}^2$  is the line element of the unit sphere  $S^{D-2}$  with the usual angular coordinates  $\theta_i \in [0, \pi]$ , i = 1, 2, ..., D-3, and  $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$ . A freely falling massless particle moving along a null geodesic satisfies the equation,

$$g_{\mu\nu}\frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} = 0, \qquad (2)$$

where the greek indices,  $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, ..., D - 1$ , describe the *D*-dimensional spacetime, and  $\lambda$  is an affine parameter of the null geodesic. In order to give the condition satisfied by circular null geodesics in the above static and spherically symmetric spacetime, Eq. (1), we shall consider a free massless particle orbiting in the equatorial hyperplane  $(\theta_i = \pi/2)$ . Without loss of generality, one has the Lagrangian of a massless particle,

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -f(r)\dot{t}^2 + \frac{\dot{r}^2}{f(r)} + r^2 \dot{\phi}^2 \right],$$
 (3)

where the dot stands for the differentiation with respect to an affine parameter, and there exist two Killing vector fields  $\partial/\partial t$  and  $\partial/\partial \varphi$  in this spacetime. Correspondingly, one obtains the energy and the angular momentum of the massless particle,

$$E = f(r)\dot{t}, \qquad L = r^2\dot{\varphi}.$$
 (4)

Given these two conserved quantities, one can rewrite the null geodesic equation as follows,

$$\dot{r}^2 = V(r),\tag{5}$$

with the effective potential

$$V(r) = E^2 - \frac{L^2}{r^2} f(r).$$
 (6)

For a circular null geodesic, the effective potential satisfies [25] the following conditions,

$$V(r) = 0, \qquad \frac{dV(r)}{dr} = 0, \qquad \frac{d^2V(r)}{dr^2} > 0, \qquad (7)$$

which can be used to determine the radius of a photon sphere and the instability of the bound circular orbits.

For the five-dimensional Einstein-Yang-Mills black hole [24], its metric takes the form

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{M}{r^2} - \frac{2Q^2}{r^2} \ln(r),$$
(8)



FIG. 1. The radii of photon spheres and radii of horizons on the Q - r plane for four different values of black hole masses, M = 0, 0.5, 1, 2, n the five-dimensional EYM spacetime.

where M denotes the black hole mass and Q the only nonzero gauge charge, and its horizon has two branches as follows:

$$r_{-} = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2Q^{2}}\left[M + Q^{2}W_{0}\left(-\frac{1}{Q^{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{M}{Q^{2}}\right)\right)\right]\right\},$$
(9)
$$r_{+} = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2Q^{2}}\left[M + Q^{2}W_{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{Q^{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{M}{Q^{2}}\right)\right)\right]\right\},$$
(10)

where  $r_{-}$  means the Cauchy horizon radius and  $r_{+}$  the event horizon radius. Here  $W_k(x)$ ,  $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$ , are called Lambert's W functions [26], where  $W_0(x)$  is referred to as the principal branch. By using Eq. (7), we derive the two branches of the radius of a photon sphere,<sup>1</sup>

$$r_{\rm ps}^{-} = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4Q^2} \left[2M\right] + 2Q^2 W_0 \left(-\frac{1}{2Q^2} \exp\left(\frac{Q^2 - 2M}{2Q^2}\right)\right) - Q^2\right]\right\}, \quad (11)$$

$$r_{\rm ps}^{+} = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4Q^2} \left[2M\right] + 2Q^2 W_{-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2Q^2} \exp\left(\frac{Q^2 - 2M}{2Q^2}\right)\right) - Q^2\right]\right\}. \quad (12)$$

<sup>1</sup>At first, we obtain the two solutions from  $\frac{dV(r)}{dr}|_{r=r_{ps}} = 0$ , and then verify whether they satisfy  $V(r_{ps}) = 0$  and  $\frac{d^2V(r)}{dr^2}|_{r=r_{ps}} > 0$ . For the six-dimensional EYM black hole [24], its metric has the form

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{M}{r^3} - \frac{3Q^2}{r^2},$$
(13)

and its horizon has only one branch, i.e., the event horizon,

$$r_{+} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 4M + 4\sqrt{M^{2} - 4Q^{6}} \right)^{1/3} + \frac{2Q^{2}}{\left( 4M + 4\sqrt{M^{2} - 4Q^{6}} \right)^{1/3}}.$$
 (14)

Similarly, we derive only one radius of a photon sphere by using Eq. (7),

$$r_{\rm ps}^{+} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 10M + 2\sqrt{25M^2 - 128Q^6} \right)^{1/3} + \frac{4Q^2}{\left( 10M + 2\sqrt{25M^2 - 128Q^6} \right)^{1/3}}.$$
 (15)

For the sake of intuition, we plot the radii of photon spheres and horizons with respect to the gauge charge Q in Figs. 1 and 2, in which we can see the connection between the radii of photon spheres and the radii of horizons.

For the five-dimensional EYM black hole, we can see from Fig. 1 that the position of one branch (blue line for  $r_{ps}^-$ ) of photon sphere radii is located between the Cauchy horizon (purple line for  $r_-$ ) and the event horizon (orange line for  $r_+$ ), while the position of the other (red line for  $r_{ps}^+$ ) is outside the event horizon. In addition, we find that the branch of photon sphere radii ( $r_{ps}^-$ ) does not satisfy the



FIG. 2. The radii of photon spheres and radii of horizons on the Q - r plane for four different values of black hole masses, M = 0, 0.5, 1, 2, n in the six-dimensional EYM spacetime.

first and third conditions of Eq. (7). Such properties of photon sphere radii are consistent with the assumption [27] that there exists one photon sphere whose radius satisfies the range of values,  $r_{ps}^+ \in (r_+, \infty)$ , which supports the existence of unstable circular null geodesics in the five-dimensional EYM spacetime.

For the six-dimensional EYM black hole, we can see from Fig. 2 that there is only one photon sphere whose radius (red line for  $r_{ps}^+$ ) is located outside the event horizon (blue line for  $r_{\perp}$ ).

As a common property of the five- and six-dimensional EYM spacetimes, it is clear from the two figures that both the radius of a photon sphere and the radius of a horizon are mass independent for a large value of the charge.

## III. QUASINORMAL MODES OF A MASSLESS SCALAR FIELD PERTURBATION

The massless scalar field  $\Phi$  propagating in a curved spacetime is described by the following equation,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\nu}\Phi) = 0, \qquad (16)$$

where  $g^{\mu\nu}$  denotes the inverse of  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and g the determinant of  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . Substituting the following decomposition of variables,

$$\Phi(t, r, \Theta, \varphi) = \sum_{l,m} e^{-i\omega t} \frac{\psi(r)}{r^{(D-2)/2}} Y_{lm}(\Theta, \varphi), \quad (17)$$

into Eq. (16), where  $\Theta$  stands for  $\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_{D-3}$  and  $Y_{lm}(\Theta, \varphi)$  the spherical harmonics of D-2 degrees, and

defining the "tortoise" coordinate by the relation,  $dr_* = dr/f(r)$ , we get the following radial equation in its standard form,

$$[\partial_{r_*}^2 + \omega^2 - \mathcal{V}(r)]\psi(r) = 0. \tag{18}$$

The QNMs as the solution of the differential equation satisfy the following boundary conditions,

$$\psi \sim e^{-i\omega(t\mp r_*)}, \qquad r_* \to \pm \infty,$$
 (19)

and oscillate and decay at a complex frequency,

$$\omega = \omega_{\rm R} - i\omega_{\rm I}.\tag{20}$$

In the EYM spacetimes, the effective potentials of the perturbation field<sup>2</sup> take [23] the forms

$$\mathcal{V}(r) = \left(1 - \frac{M}{r^2} - \frac{2Q^2 \ln(r)}{r^2}\right) \left[\frac{4l(l+2) + 3}{4r^2} + \frac{9M - 12Q^2}{4r^4} + \frac{3Q^2 \ln(r)}{2r^4}\right], \qquad D = 5, \qquad (21)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The two effective potentials are defined at the semiclassical level, while the effective potential of Eq. (6) has its meaning at the classical level, and the former turns back [28] to the latter in the eikonal limit.



FIG. 3. The effective potential with respect to the radial coordinate in the five-dimensional EYM black hole (left panel) and in the sixdimensional EYM black hole (right panel) for the fixed charge and mass, Q = 0.1 and M = 1, but different values of multiple numbers.

$$\mathcal{V}(r) = \left(1 - \frac{M}{r^{D-3}} - \frac{(D-3)Q^2}{(D-5)r^2}\right) \\ \times \left[\frac{4l(l+D-3) + (D-2)(D-4)}{4r^2} + \frac{(D-2)^2M}{4r^{D-1}} - \frac{(D-2)(D-3)(D-8)Q^2}{4(D-5)r^4}\right], \qquad D > 5.$$
(22)

Now we analyze the behavior of the effective potentials for a changing multiple number l (also called the angular quantum number). We fix the gauge charge Q and the black hole mass M, and then plot Fig. 3 for the effective potentials with respect to the radial coordinate. We find that the effective potentials become large for a big multiple number in both the five- and six-dimensional cases. Moreover, we have calculated the expected QNM frequencies in our previous work [23] by using the improved WKB approximation [29,30]. As we shall see in the next section, the behaviors of the effective potentials and QNM frequencies for a changing multiple number l will influence the correspondence between QNMs and shadow radii in highdimensional EYM black holes.

## IV. CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN QNMs AND SHADOW RADII

In general, the shadow shape of a black hole depends on whether the black hole rotates or not. For a static and spherically symmetric black hole,<sup>3</sup> such as the EYM black holes we are dealing with, the shadow has the spherical symmetry and is described by a photon sphere. From the definition of a shadow radius, or directly deriving from Eq. (7), one can write [32] the shadow radius in terms of the radius of a photon sphere,

$$R_{\rm sh} = \frac{r}{\sqrt{f(r)}} \bigg|_{r=r_{\rm ps}^+}.$$
 (23)

As a result, we compute the shadow radii in the five- and six-dimensional EYM black holes, respectively, by substituting Eqs. (8), (12), (13), and (15) into Eq. (23),

$$R_{\rm sh} = \frac{\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2Q^2}(M+Q^2\Xi) - Q^2\right]}{\sqrt{1 - (M+2Q^2)\left[-\frac{1}{2Q^2}(M+Q^2\Xi) - Q^2\right]\exp\left[\frac{1}{Q^2}(M+Q^2\Xi) + 2Q^2\right]}}, \qquad D = 5,$$
 (24)

$$R_{\rm sh} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{N}^{1/3} + \frac{4Q^2}{\mathfrak{N}^{1/3}}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{M}{(\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{N}^{1/3} + \frac{4Q^2}{\mathfrak{N}^{1/3}})^3} - \frac{3Q^2}{(\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{N}^{1/3} + \frac{4Q^2}{\mathfrak{N}^{1/3}})^2}}, \qquad D = 6, \quad (25)$$

$$\Xi \equiv W_{-1} \left( -\frac{1}{2Q^2} \exp\left(\frac{Q^2 - 2M}{2Q^2}\right) \right), \qquad (26)$$

$$\Re \equiv 10M + 2\sqrt{25M^2 - 128Q^6}.$$
 (27)

For a spherically symmetric spacetime, the shadows can be plotted [33] intuitively via the celestial coordinates  $(\alpha, \beta)$  defined [20] by

where

$$\alpha \equiv -\xi \csc \theta_0, \tag{28}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>For the evolution of photon spheres in dynamical black hole spacetimes and the connection to shadows, please refer to Ref. [31].



FIG. 4. The profile of shadows cast by the five-dimensional EYM black hole (left panel) and the six-dimensional EYM black hole (right panel) for six different values of the gauge charge, Q = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6.

$$\beta \equiv \pm \sqrt{\eta + a^2 \cos^2 \theta_0 - \xi^2 \cot^2 \theta_0}, \qquad (29)$$

where  $\theta_0$  denotes the inclination angle of the observer, *a* denotes the black hole spin,  $\xi \equiv L/E$ ,  $\eta \equiv K/E^2$ , and *K* is the Carter constant. It is easy to verify that the celestial coordinates have a close connection with the shadow radius,

$$\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} = R_{\rm sh}.\tag{30}$$

Thus the shadows cast by the five- and six-dimensional EYM black holes can be shown in terms of the celestial coordinates in Fig. 4, where the mass parameter is set to be unit, M = 1.

It is clear from Fig. 4 that the shadow radii increase in the two black holes when the gauge charge increases and that the increments of the shadow radii also increase for an increasing charge but with the same interval, e.g.,  $\Delta Q = 0.1$ . Moreover, the shadow span in the six-dimensional EYM black hole is larger than that in the five-dimensional EYM black hole when the gauge charge is increasing from 0.1 to 0.6. The other property that is worth noting is that there are no reflecting points<sup>4</sup> at which the

shadow radius will shrink with the increasing of the gauge charge.

As revealed by the correspondence between QNMs and null geodesics, the real and imaginary parts of QNM frequencies in the eikonal limit can be determined [16] by the angular velocity  $\Omega$  and Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda$ ,

$$\omega = \Omega l - i\lambda \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right), \tag{31}$$

where *n* is called the overtone number, and the angular velocity and Lyapunov exponent can be derived from Eqs. (4)–(7), or directly be written [19] as the following forms at a photon sphere radius,

$$\Omega = \frac{\dot{\varphi}}{\dot{t}} = \frac{\sqrt{f(r)}}{r} \bigg|_{r=r_{\rm ps}^+},\tag{32}$$

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{V''(r)}{2t^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{f(r)[2f(r) - r^2 f''(r)]}{2r^2}} \bigg|_{r=r_{\rm ps}^+}.$$
 (33)

As a result, we can calculate the angular velocity and Lyapunov exponent by substituting Eqs. (8), (12), (13), and (15) into Eqs. (32) and (33) for the five- and six-dimensional EYM black holes, and then plot the  $\Omega - \lambda$  graph in Fig. 5 by choosing a very wide range of values of the gauge charge from 0.0 to 5.0 in order not to miss a reflecting point and a spiral-like shape, where the mass is set to be unit, M = 1.

It is quite obvious that the angular velocity and Lyapunov exponent of the null geodesics are decreasing monotonically with the increasing of the gauge charge from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The reflecting point exists [19] in the spacetime of black holes surrounded by the perfect fluid dark matter, where the metric function contains a logarithmic term. Here we emphasize that no such reflecting point exists in the five-dimensional EYM black hole although a logarithmic term appears in its metric function, see Eq. (8). This is not strange because the two models have obvious differences from each other. For the former, the reflecting point appears when the dark matter parameter increases, while for the latter, it does not appear when the gauge charge increases.



FIG. 5. The angular velocity versus the Lyapunov exponent in the five-dimensional EYM black hole (left panel) and the sixdimensional EYM black hole (right panel), where the black arrow denotes the direction of the increasing charge.

0.0 to 5.0. Considering the correspondence between QNMs and shadow radii given in Refs. [19,20], the shadow radius is inversely proportional to the angular velocity in the eikonal limit,  $R_{\rm sh} \propto \frac{1}{\Omega}$ ; we can deduce that the shadow radius does not shrink with the increasing of the gauge charge, that is, no reflecting points appear, which is clear from the monotonic behavior of the angular velocity in Fig. 5. In addition, the monotonic behavior of the Lyapunov exponent indicates that there are no spiral-like shapes<sup>5</sup> in high-dimensional EYM black holes, which implies that the high-dimensional EYM black holes have different phase transition behaviors from those of the four-dimensional RN black hole because the spiral-like shape on the  $\Omega - \lambda$  plane influences [14] thermodynamic phase transitions.

Given the radii of photon spheres, we can determine the QNM frequencies via the angular velocity and Lyapunov exponent by using Eqs. (31)–(33) together with Eqs. (8), (12), (13), and (15). However, we find for the EYM black holes that the real components of frequencies determined by the angular velocity are less than those by the improved WKB approximation for a small multiple number. The reason relies on the fact that the relation between QNMs and null geodesics, Eqs. (31)–(33), is valid only at a big multiple number, i.e., in the eikonal limit.

In order to reduce the deviation of real parts of QNMs, we appeal to a modified correspondence [22] between QNMs in the eikonal limit and shadow radii of black holes,

$$\omega_{\rm R} = R_{\rm sh}^{-1} \left( l + \frac{D-3}{2} \right),$$
 (34)

where the terms of equal and higher orders of  $l^{-1}$  have been omitted due to the limit  $l \gg 1$ . In fact, this modified relation was derived [22] with the help of the WKB method by the addition of the subleading term  $R_{\rm sh}^{-1}(D-3)/2$  to the leading one  $R_{\rm sh}^{-1}l$ , and verified to coincide with the WKB method at a big multiple number, say, for instance, from 10 to 10<sup>5</sup>, for a *D*-dimensional Tangherlini black hole and a four-dimensional spherically symmetric black hole surrounded by anisotropic fluids. We want to see if such a relation has good agreement with the improved WKB method at a small multiple number for the EYM black holes.

Now we turn to investigate the modified relation for high-dimensional EYM black holes, in particular, to the case of a small multiple number. We compute the QNM frequencies by using Eq. (34) and the imaginary part of Eq. (31), and simultaneously by using the improved WKB approximation. For the five- and six-dimensional EYM black holes, we plot the real part versus the imaginary part of QNM frequencies for different values of the multiple number and the overtone number in Fig. 6, from which we find that this relation, Eq. (34), is precise enough even if the multiple number is small.<sup>6</sup> Here we present two examples, one is the case of n = 0, l = 2, Q = 0.5, M = 1 for the five-dimensional EYM black hole, and the other example just takes a bigger overtone number, n = 1, but keeps the other parameters unchanged. We list the QNM frequencies obtained by the modified correspondence and the 13th order WKB method, respectively, and the relative deviation caused by the two different methods. For the first example, the real parts are 1.366248 and 1.363856, respectively, and the relative deviation is 0.175%; the imaginary parts are -0.281655 and -0.282719, respectively, and the relative deviation is 0.376%. Moreover, for the second example, the real parts are 1.366248 and 1.293261, respectively, and the relative deviation is 5.644%; the imaginary parts are -0.844966 and -0.866700, respectively, and the relative deviation is 2.508%. We can see that the relative deviations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>A recent work has shown [28] that the spiral-like shape exists only in the four-dimensional RN black hole, but does not in high-dimensional RN black holes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Note that the multiple number was taken to be much bigger than ours in Ref. [22] in order to keep good agreement with the WKB method for the two models there.



FIG. 6. The QNM frequencies of EYM black holes with M = 1 on the  $\omega_R - \omega_I$  plane for different values of the multiple number and the overtone number. The red dots are given by Eq. (34) and the imaginary part of Eq. (31), and the blue dots by the improved WKB method. The left diagram is devoted to the five-dimensional EYM black hole and the right to the six-dimensional one.

of both real and imaginary parts will be increasing when the overtone number is bigger than zero, as shown in Fig. 6.

In order to provide sufficient support to the validity of Eq. (34), we compute more QNM frequencies and their relative deviations and list the data in six tables by taking Q = 0.1, 0.2, ..., 0.6, l = 0, 1, ..., 10, 50, and M = 1 for the fundamental modes (n = 0). The reason to take such a range of Q lies in the consideration that we chose the same range when we investigated the shadows of high-dimensional EYM black holes, see Fig. 4, that is, we maintain the coincidence of the range of Q. Incidentally, the data shown in Fig. 6 mainly focus on the comparisons of QNM frequencies and their deviations to different overtone numbers.

Now we list the QNM frequencies and their relative deviations caused by the modified correspondence [Eq. (34) and the imaginary part of Eq. (31)] and the improved WKB approximation for the fundamental modes in Tables I–VI. We make a note that the QNM frequencies for the case of l = 0 and D = 6 cannot be determined in terms of the WKB method, see Tables I and II. The reason is that the calculations fail to converge to the requested accuracy for a small multiple number and a high dimension, for our case l = 0 and D = 6 in Tables I and II, see Refs. [23,34] for detailed discussions. We can see from the tables that the QNM frequencies computed by the former method are very close to those computed by the latter one. Especially for a small multiple number, see,  $1 \le l < 10$ , the

<i>D</i> = 5	QNM frequencies $\omega$		Relative deviations	
	Modified correspondence	13th WKB	Rew	Imω
l = 0	0.498265 - 0.350564i	0.530591 - 0.381297i	6.092%	8.060%
l = 1	0.996530 - 0.350564i	1.011766 - 0.359184i	1.506%	2.400%
l = 2	1.494795 - 0.350564i	1.504823 - 0.354418i	0.666%	1.087%
l = 3	1.993060 - 0.350564i	2.000538 - 0.352738i	0.374%	0.616%
l = 4	2.491325 - 0.350564i	2.497287 - 0.351955i	0.239%	0.395%
l = 5	2.989590 - 0.350564i	2.994549 - 0.351529i	0.166%	0.275%
l = 10	5.480916 - 0.350564i	5.483610 - 0.350850i	0.049%	0.082%
l = 50	25.411518 - 0.350564i	25.412098 - 0.350577i	0.002%	0.004%
D = 6	Modified correspondence	13th WKB	Rew	Imw
l = 0	0.844644 - 0.485058i			
l = 1	1.407740 - 0.485058i	1.426609 - 0.499470i	1.323%	2.885%
l = 2	1.970836 - 0.485058i	1.984219 - 0.492423i	0.674%	1.496%
l = 3	2.533932 - 0.485058i	2.544279 - 0.489478i	0.407%	0.903%
l = 4	3.097028 - 0.485058i	3.105451 - 0.487993i	0.271%	0.601%
l = 5	3.660123 - 0.485058i	3.667223 - 0.487148i	0.194%	0.429%
l = 10	6.475603 - 0.485058i	6.479581 – 0.485717 <i>i</i>	0.061%	0.136%
l = 50	28.999440 - 0.485058i	29.000324 - 0.485090i	0.003%	0.007%

TABLE I. Quasinormal mode frequencies of EYM black holes and the relative deviations caused by the modified correspondence and the improved WKB approximation, where Q = 0.1 and M = 1.

<i>D</i> = 5	QNM frequencies $\omega$		Relative deviations	
	Modified correspondence	13th WKB	Rew	Imω
l = 0	0.493035 - 0.341642i	0.520853 - 0.370485i	5.341%	7.785%
l = 1	0.986071 - 0.341642i	0.998915 - 0.349397i	1.286%	2.220%
l = 2	1.479106 - 0.341642i	1.487527 - 0.345118i	0.566%	1.007%
l = 3	1.972142 - 0.341642i	1.978402 - 0.343594i	0.316%	0.568%
l = 4	2.465177 - 0.341642i	2.470160 - 0.342887i	0.202%	0.363%
l = 5	2.958213 - 0.341642i	2.962352 - 0.342504i	0.140%	0.252%
l = 10	5.423390 - 0.341642i	5.425635 - 0.341897i	0.041%	0.075%
l = 50	25.144808 - 0.341642i	25.145291 - 0.341654i	0.002%	0.004%
D = 6	Modified correspondence	13th WKB	Rew	Ιmω
l = 0	0.812255 - 0.459507i			
l = 1	1.353758 - 0.459507i	1.370386 - 0.472319i	1.213%	2.713%
l = 2	1.895262 - 0.459507i	1.907037 - 0.466049i	0.617%	1.404%
l = 3	2.436765 - 0.459507i	2.445863 - 0.463432i	0.372%	0.847%
l = 4	2.978269 - 0.459507i	2.985673 - 0.462115 <i>i</i>	0.248%	0.564%
l = 5	3.519772 - 0.459507i	3.526012 - 0.461364i	0.177%	0.403%
l = 10	6.227289 - 0.459507i	6.230785 - 0.460092i	0.056%	0.127%
l = 50	27.887424 - 0.459507i	27.888201 - 0.459536i	0.003%	0.006%

TABLE II. Quasinormal mode frequencies of EYM black holes and the relative deviations caused by the modified correspondence and the improved WKB approximation, where Q = 0.2 and M = 1.

TABLE III. Quasinormal mode frequencies of EYM black holes and the relative deviations caused by the modified correspondence and the improved WKB approximation, where Q = 0.3 and M = 1.

	QNM frequencies $\omega$		Relative deviations	
D = 5	Modified correspondence	13th WKB	Rew	Imω
l = 0	0.484237 - 0.326938i	0.504477 – 0.351362 <i>i</i>	4.012%	6.951%
l = 1	0.968474 - 0.326938i	0.977465 - 0.333452i	0.920%	1.954%
l = 2	1.452711 - 0.326938i	1.458492 - 0.329802i	0.396%	0.868%
l = 3	1.936949 - 0.326938i	1.941209 - 0.328530i	0.219%	0.485%
l = 4	2.421186 - 0.326938 <i>i</i>	2.424561 - 0.327949i	0.139%	0.308%
l = 5	2.905423 - 0.326938i	2.908218 - 0.327636i	0.096%	0.213%
l = 10	5.326608 - 0.326938 <i>i</i>	5.328116 - 0.327144i	0.028%	0.063%
l = 50	24.696094 - 0.326938i	24.696417 - 0.326948i	0.001%	0.003%
D = 6	Modified correspondence	13th WKB	Rew	Ιmω
l = 0	0.764095 - 0.422875i	0.787939 – 0.451020 <i>i</i>	3.026%	6.240%
l = 1	1.273491 - 0.422875i	1.287106 - 0.433584i	1.058%	2.470%
l = 2	1.782888 - 0.422875i	1.792500 - 0.428329i	0.536%	1.273%
l = 3	2.292284 - 0.422875i	2.299709 - 0.426152i	0.323%	0.769%
l = 4	2.801681 - 0.422875i	2.807721 - 0.425052i	0.215%	0.512%
l = 5	3.311077 - 0.422875i	3.316166 – 0.424426 <i>i</i>	0.153%	0.365%
l = 10	5.858059 - 0.422875i	5.860910 - 0.423365i	0.049%	0.116%
l = 50	26.233918 - 0.422875i	26.234551 - 0.422899i	0.002%	0.006%

<i>D</i> = 5	QNM frequencies $\omega$		Relative deviations	
	Modified correspondence	13th WKB	Rew	Imw
l = 0	0.471750 - 0.306757i	0.482955 – 0.330156 <i>i</i>	2.320%	7.087%
l = 1	0.943499 - 0.306757i	0.947146 - 0.311584i	0.390%	1.549%
l = 2	1.415249 - 0.306757i	1.417409 - 0.308803i	0.152%	0.663%
l = 3	1.886998 - 0.306757i	1.888518 - 0.307872i	0.080%	0.362%
l = 4	2.358748 - 0.306757i	2.359919 - 0.307457i	0.050%	0.228%
l = 5	2.830497 - 0.306757i	2.831450 - 0.307237i	0.034%	0.156%
l = 10	5.189245 - 0.306757i	5.189743 - 0.306896 <i>i</i>	0.010%	0.045%
l = 50	24.059228 - 0.306757i	24.059334 - 0.306763i	0.000%	0.002%
D = 6	Modified correspondence	13th WKB	Rew	Imw
l = 0	0.706829 - 0.381442i	0.725290 - 0.404194i	2.545%	5.629%
l = 1	1.178049 - 0.381442i	1.188558 - 0.390026i	0.884%	2.201%
l = 2	1.649268 - 0.381442i	1.656669 - 0.385809i	0.447%	1.132%
l = 3	2.120488 - 0.381442i	2.126203 - 0.384051i	0.269%	0.679%
l = 4	2.591707 - 0.381442i	2.596348 - 0.383172i	0.179%	0.451%
l = 5	3.062927 - 0.381442i	3.066837 - 0.382670i	0.127%	0.321%
l = 10	5.419024 - 0.381442i	5.421214 - 0.381817 <i>i</i>	0.040%	0.098%
l = 50	24.267804 - 0.381442i	24.268290 - 0.381442i	0.002%	0.000%

TABLE IV. Quasinormal mode frequencies of EYM black holes and the relative deviations caused by the modified correspondence and the improved WKB approximation, where Q = 0.4 and M = 1.

TABLE V. Quasinormal mode frequencies of EYM black holes and the relative deviations caused by the modified correspondence and the improved WKB approximation, where Q = 0.5 and M = 1.

	QNM frequencies $\omega$		Relative deviations	
D = 5	Modified correspondence	13th WKB	Rew	Imw
l = 0	0.455416 – 0.281655 <i>i</i>	0.452094 - 0.295107i	0.735%	4.558%
l = 1	0.910832 - 0.281655i	0.907866 - 0.284435i	0.327%	0.944%
l = 2	1.366248 - 0.281655i	1.363856 - 0.282719i	0.175%	0.376%
l = 3	1.821665 - 0.281655i	1.819739 - 0.282202i	0.106%	0.194%
l = 4	2.277081 - 0.281655i	2.275482 - 0.281986i	0.070%	0.117%
l = 5	2.732497 - 0.281655i	2.731136 - 0.281878 <i>i</i>	0.050%	0.079%
l = 10	5.009578 - 0.281655i	5.008809 - 0.281718i	0.015%	0.022%
l = 50	23.226224 - 0.281655i	23.226056 - 0.281658i	0.001%	0.001%
D = 6	Modified correspondence	13th WKB	Rew	Imw
l = 0	0.646739 – 0.340336 <i>i</i>	0.660448 - 0.358480i	2.076%	5.061%
l = 1	1.077899 - 0.340336i	1.085679 - 0.347140i	0.717%	1.960%
l = 2	1.509058 - 0.340336i	1.514521 - 0.343802i	0.361%	1.008%
l = 3	1.940218 - 0.340336 <i>i</i>	1.944424 - 0.342417i	0.216%	0.608%
l = 4	2.371377 - 0.340336 <i>i</i>	2.374795 - 0.341722i	0.144%	0.406%
l = 5	2.802537 - 0.340336i	2.805415 - 0.341324i	0.103%	0.289%
l = 10	4.958334 - 0.340336i	4.959945 - 0.340649i	0.032%	0.092%
l = 50	22.204713 - 0.340336i	22.205071 - 0.340351i	0.002%	0.004%

D = 5	QNM frequencies $\omega$		Relative deviations	
	Modified correspondence	13th WKB	Rew	Imω
l = 0	0.435077 - 0.252648i	0.416501 - 0.258893i	4.460%	2.412%
l = 1	0.870155 - 0.252648i	0.859046 - 0.253097i	1.293%	0.177%
l = 2	1.305232 - 0.252648i	1.297374 - 0.252624i	0.606%	0.010%
l = 3	1.740310 - 0.252648i	1.734254 - 0.252572i	0.349%	0.030%
l = 4	2.175387 - 0.252648i	2.170474 - 0.252579i	0.226%	0.024%
l = 5	2.610465 - 0.252648i	2.606337 - 0.252591i	0.158%	0.023%
l = 10	4.785852 - 0.252648i	4.783569 - 0.252627i	0.048%	0.007%
l = 50	22.188951 - 0.252648i	22.188455 - 0.252647i	0.002%	0.000%
D = 6	Modified correspondence	13th WKB	Rew	Imω
l = 0	0.588483 - 0.302769i	0.598358 – 0.317238 <i>i</i>	1.650%	4.561%
l = 1	0.980805 - 0.302769i	0.986426 - 0.308174i	0.570%	1.754%
l = 2	1.373128 - 0.302769i	1.377058 - 0.305522i	0.285%	0.901%
l = 3	1.765450 - 0.302769i	1.768471 - 0.304425i	0.171%	0.544%
l = 4	2.157772 - 0.302769i	2.160225 - 0.303873i	0.114%	0.363%
l = 5	2.550094 - 0.302769i	2.552159 - 0.303557i	0.081%	0.260%
l = 10	4.511705 - 0.302769i	4.512860 - 0.303019i	0.026%	0.083%
l = 50	20.204590 - 0.302769i	20.204847 - 0.302782i	0.001%	0.004%

TABLE VI. Quasinormal mode frequencies of EYM black holes and the relative deviations caused by the modified correspondence and the improved WKB approximation, where Q = 0.6 and M = 1.

relative deviations of the real parts are less than 1.510%. As a consequence, the data calculated by the two methods have very good agreement even if the multiple number is small except for the cases of l = 0, D = 6, Q = 0.1 and l = 0, D = 6, Q = 0.2.

#### **V. CONCLUSIONS**

We have studied the null geodesics and the correspondence between QNMs in the eikonal limit and shadow radii for high-dimensional EYM black holes. For the fivedimensional case, two branches of the radius of a photon sphere exist, but only the one outside the event horizon satisfies the conditions of circular null geodesics. In general, the radii of photon spheres are mass independent when the gauge charge is large, which is same as the behavior of the horizon radii. In addition, the reflecting point of shadow radii and the spiral-like shape on the  $\Omega - \lambda$ plane do not appear in high-dimensional EYM spacetimes. In particular, for the modified correspondence between the real part of QNMs in the eikonal limit and the shadow radius, Eq. (34), we have verified its validity and have found that it has better agreement with the WKB method for a larger multiple number but a smaller overtone number in high-dimensional EYM black holes.

Finally, we emphasize that the modified correspondence, Eq. (34), has good enough agreement with the WKB method for the fundamental modes with a vanishing overtone number in high-dimensional Einstein-Yang-Mills spacetimes even if the multiple number is small. The significance of such a consequence is that we establish a deep connection between gravitational waves and shadows of black holes. As is known, the fundamental modes always dominate the waveform of gravitational waves because of their least damped feature in a detected ringdown signal. Although we have only discussed the QNMs of a scalar field perturbation in this paper, the QNMs embody the intrinsic property of black holes and they are determined by the parameters of black holes, such as mass and charge, and independent of the type of perturbation field. Our finding might be understood as an exploration of the underlying feature of the correspondence between gravitational waves and shadows of black holes.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for the helpful comments that improved this work greatly. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11675081.

- Ligo Scientific and Virgo Collaborations, Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 061102 (2016).
- [2] Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration, First M87 event horizon telescope results. IV. Imaging the central supermassive black hole, Astrophys. J. Lett. 875, L4 (2019).
- [3] S. H. Hendi and M. Momennia, Thermodynamic description and quasinormal modes of AdS black holes in Born-Infeld massive gravity with a non-Abelian hair, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2019) 207.
- [4] R. A. Konoplya, Quantum corrected black holes: Quasinormal modes, scattering, shadows, Phys. Lett. B 804, 135363 (2020).
- [5] R. A. Konoplya, Analytical representation for metrics of scalarized Einstein-Maxwell black holes and their shadows, Phys. Rev. D 100, 044015 (2019).
- [6] S. Yu and C. Gao, An exact black hole spacetime with scalar field and its shadow together with quasinormal modes, arXiv:2001.01137.
- [7] I. Sachs, Quasi-normal modes for logarithmic conformal field theory, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2008) 073.
- [8] C. Liu, T. Zhu, Q. Wu, K. Jusufi, M. Jamil, M. Azreg-Aïnou, and A. Wang, Shadow and quasinormal modes of a rotating loop quantum black hole, Phys. Rev. D 101, 084001 (2020).
- [9] A. Starinets, Quasinormal spectrum and the black hole membrane paradigm, Phys. Lett. B **670**, 442 (2009).
- [10] L. Motl and A. Neitzke, An analytical computation of asymptotic Schwarzschild quasinormal frequencies, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 6, 1135 (2003).
- [11] L. Motl and A. Neitzke, Asymptotic black hole quasinormal frequencies, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7**, 307 (2003).
- [12] L. Fidkowski, V. Hubeny, M. Kleban, and S. Shenker, The black hole singularity in AdS/CFT, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2004) 014.
- [13] G. Festuccia and H. Liu, Excursions beyond the horizon: Black hole singularities in Yang-Mills theories, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2006) 044.
- [14] J. Jing and Q. Pan, Quasinormal modes and second order thermodynamic phase transition for Reissner-Nordström black hole, Phys. Lett. B 660, 13 (2008).
- [15] X. He, B. Wang, S. Chen, R.-G. Cai, and C.-Y. Lin, Quasinormal modes in the background of charged Kaluza-Klein black hole with squashed horizons, Phys. Lett. B 665, 392 (2008).
- [16] V. Cardoso, A. S. Miranda, E. Berti, H. Witek, and V. T. Zanchin, Geodesic stability, Lyapunov exponents, and quasinormal modes, Phys. Rev. D 79, 064016 (2009).
- [17] I.Z. Stefanov, S.S. Yazadjiev, and G.G. Gyulchev, Connection between Black-Hole Quasinormal Modes and Lensing in the Strong Deflection Limit, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 251103 (2010).
- [18] R. A. Konoplya and Z. Stuchlík, Are eikonal quasinormal modes linked to the unstable circular null geodesics?, Phys. Lett. B 771, 597 (2017).

- [19] K. Jusufi, Quasinormal modes of black holes surrounded by dark matter and their connection with the shadow radius, Phys. Rev. D 101, 084055 (2020).
- [20] K. Jusufi, Connection between the shadow radius and quasinormal modes in rotating spacetimes, Phys. Rev. D 101, 124063 (2020).
- [21] S. Iyer and C. M. Will, Black-hole normal modes: A WKB approach. I. Foundations and application of a higher-order WKB analysis of potential-barrier scattering, Phys. Rev. D 35, 3621 (1987).
- [22] B. Cuadros-Melgar, R. D. B. Fontana, and J. de Oliveira, Analytical correspondence between shadow radius and black hole quasinormal frequencies, arXiv:2005.09761.
- [23] Y. Guo and Y.-G. Miao, Scalar quasinormal modes of black holes in Einstein-Yang-Mills gravity, Phys. Rev. D 102, 064049 (2020).
- [24] S. H. Mazharimousavi and M. Halilsoy, Einstein-Yang-Mills black hole solution in higher dimensions by the Wu-Yang ansatz, Phys. Lett. B 659, 471 (2008).
- [25] J. M. Bardeen, W. H. Press, and S. A. Teukolsky, Rotating black holes: Locally nonrotating frames, energy extraction, and scalar synchrotron radiation, Astrophys. J. 178, 347 (1972).
- [26] R. M. Corless, G. H. Gonnet, D. E. G. Hare, D. J. Jeffrey, and D. E. Knuth, On the Lambert W function, Adv. Comput. Math. 5, 329 (1996).
- [27] Y. Decanini, A. Folacci, and B. Raffaelli, Unstable circular null geodesics of static spherically symmetric black holes, Regge poles, and quasinormal frequencies, Phys. Rev. D 81, 104039 (2010).
- [28] S. W. Wei and Y. X. Liu, Null geodesics, quasinormal modes, and thermodynamic phase transition for charged black holes in asymptotically flat and dS spacetimes, arXiv: 1909.11911.
- [29] J. Matyjasek and M. Opala, Quasinormal modes of black holes: The improved semianalytic approach, Phys. Rev. D 96, 024011 (2017).
- [30] R. A. Konoplya, A. Zhidenko, and A. F. Zinhailo, Higher order WKB formula for quasinormal modes and grey-body factors: Recipes for quick and accurate calculations, Classical Quantum Gravity 36, 155002 (2019).
- [31] A. K. Mishra, S. Chakraborty, and S. Sarkar, Understanding photon sphere and black hole shadow in dynamically evolving spacetimes, Phys. Rev. D 99, 104080 (2019).
- [32] V. Perlick, O. Y. Tsupko, and G. S. Bisnovatyi-Kogan, Influence of a plasma on the shadow of a spherically symmetric black hole, Phys. Rev. D 92, 104031 (2015).
- [33] S. E. Vazquez and E. P. Esteban, Strong field gravitational lensing by a Kerr black hole, Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. B 119, 489 (2004).
- [34] R. A. Konoplya, Quasinormal behavior of the *D*-dimensional Schwarzschild black hole and the higher order WKB approach, Phys. Rev. D 68, 024018 (2003).