Dark matter stability from Dirac neutrinos in scotogenic 3-3-1-1 theory

Julio Leite^{1,2,*} América Morales,^{3,†} José W. F. Valle,^{1,‡} and Carlos A. Vaquera-Araujo^{4,3,§}

¹AHEP Group, Institut de Física Corpuscular—C.S.I.C./Universitat de València, Parc Científic de

Paterna. C/ Catedrático José Beltrán, 2 E-46980 Paterna (Valencia)—SPAIN

²Centro de Ciências Naturais e Humanas, Universidade Federal do ABC,

09210-580 Santo André-SP, Brasil

³Departamento de Física, DCI, Campus León, Universidad de Guanajuato, Loma del Bosque 103,

Lomas del Campestre, C.P. 37150 León, Guanajuato, México

⁴Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Avenida Insurgentes Sur 1582. Colonia Crédito Constructor, Del. Benito Juárez, C.P. 03940 Ciudad de México, México

(Received 9 May 2020; accepted 10 July 2020; published 23 July 2020)

We propose the simplest TeV-scale scotogenic extension of the original 3-3-1 theory, where dark matter stability is linked to the Dirac nature of neutrinos, which results from an unbroken B - L gauge symmetry. The new gauge bosons get masses through the interplay of spontaneous symmetry breaking à la Higgs and the Stueckelberg mechanism.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.102.015022

I. INTRODUCTION

Despite its amazing phenomenological success, almost no one thinks of the standard model as the final theory, so many are its drawbacks. Amongst these, the issues of neutrino mass, dark matter, the number of families, and the strong CP problem stand out as important items in the wish list of theorists. Here, we propose a standard model extension where these appear closely interconnected. To do this, we build up upon a minimal gauge extension of the original Singer-Valle-Schechter (SVS) 3-3-1 model [1]. This was the first electroweak extension of the standard model in which the existence of three families of quarks and leptons is closely related to anomaly cancellation. Indeed, in this $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ theory, one assumes that leptons transform as $SU(3)_L$ antitriplets, while two families of left-handed quarks transform as triplets, and the last one is an antitriplet. This choice comes from anomaly cancellation and once adopted, leads to the prediction of three families of quarks and leptons [1–4]. In order to make the construction as minimal as possible, we also adopt the choice made in [5] of identifying the third component of the leptons as a "right-handed" neutrino, so that neutrinos are of a Dirac nature and their masses are generated at tree-level. However this early formulation is not compatible with the current neutrino oscillation data [6], as it predicts one massless and two mass-degenerate neutrinos. Besides, an unaesthetic feature of this construction is that lepton number symmetry emerges in SVS as a combination of a gauge symmetry and a global one.

In what follows, we explore a simple scheme with a viable neutrino spectrum and realize the scotogenic dark matter paradigm [7], which postulates that neutrino masses arise through the radiative exchange of a "dark matter" sector. The idea of relating dark matter stability to the Diracness of neutrinos has been proposed in [8], employing residual discrete symmetries arising from the partial breaking of a global B - L symmetry [8–14]. An alternative proposal to link Dirac neutrino masses and dark matter stability is through a fully conserved global B - L symmetry. This idea has been pursued in the context of bound-state dark matter [15], in which the radiative generation of Dirac neutrino masses is mediated by the exchange of bound-state-dark-matter *constituents*.

In this paper, we choose a different route, namely, a scenario where dark matter stability is interconnected to the Diracness of neutrinos in the framework of a dynamical theory with gauged lepton number. In order to achieve this, we build upon a minimally extended class of SVS theories developed within the framework of the $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X \otimes U(1)_N$ gauge symmetry [16–20]. The extra Abelian $U(1)_N$ group allows a consistent embedding of B - L as a fully dynamical gauge symmetry. We propose a simple 3-3-1-1 extension of the original SVS theory,

^{*}julio.leite@ific.uv.es

america@fisica.ugto.mx

^{*}valle@ific.uv.es

[§]vaquera@fisica.ugto.mx

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

where neutrino masses arise "scotogenically" (i.e., through the exchange of "dark" particles) at the one-loop level. The unbroken B - L symmetry acts as the protecting symmetry responsible for both neutrino Dirac masses and stabilization of dark matter. Such a conserved gauge symmetry is shown to be fully consistent, as we adopt the Stueckelberg mechanism to provide a mass to the associated gauge field while keeping the symmetry intact. Our present construction follows the path of the simple Stueckelberg [21] U(1)extension of the standard model proposed in Ref. [22]. However, this is achieved within a richer framework that provides not only a dynamical realization of the proposal that dark matter stability and Diracness are closely interrelated, but also touches other standard model shortcomings such as the number of families and the strong CP problem. In particular, the existence of three families of quarks and leptons is linked to anomaly cancellation. Our present model also provides an example of "predestined" dark matter [23], in the sense that the specific quantum numbers of the new fermion and scalar multiplets automatically ensure the existence of a stable dark matter candidate, without the ad hoc imposition of any additional symmetry.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we define the proposed model in terms of its field content and symmetries. The scalar sector is studied in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, we derive the extended electroweak vector boson spectrum taking into account contributions coming from both the spontaneous symmetry and Stueckelberg mechanisms. The charged fermion spectrum is presented in Sec. V, the scotogenic neutrino masses are calculated in Sec. VI, and in Sec. VII, we study the case for a complex dark matter scalar candidate. Finally, the conclusions are presented in Sec. VIII.

II. THE MODEL

In the present model, not only the Abelian electromagnetic symmetry $U(1)_Q$ but also the $U(1)_{B-L}$ symmetry emerges as a conserved residual subgroup of the $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X \otimes U(1)_N$ gauge symmetry or 3-3-1-1 for short. In 3-3-1-1 models, the electric charge operator can be generically written as

$$Q = T^3 + \beta T^8 + X, \tag{1}$$

while the B - L generator is expressed as

$$B - L = \beta' T^8 + N, \tag{2}$$

with T^a (a = 1, ..., 8), X and N as the respective generators of SU(3)_L, U(1)_X, and U(1)_N [24]. The choices of the constants β and β' define different versions of the model, and for the SVS model, we have $\beta = 1/\sqrt{3}$ and $\beta' = 4/\sqrt{3}$. This specific choice ensures the B - L assignment in the SVS model with its original field content is anomaly

TABLE I. Field content and symmetry transformations.

Field	3-3-1-1 rep	Components	B - L	$U(1)_{PQ}$
$Q_{\alpha L}$	$(3, 3, 0, -\frac{1}{3})$	$((u_{\alpha L}, d_{\alpha L}), D_{\alpha L})^T$	$(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -\frac{5}{3})^T$	1
Q_{3L}	$(3, 3^*, \frac{1}{3}, 1)$	$((b_L, -t_L), U_{3L})^T$	$(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{7}{3})^T$	1
u_{aR}	$(3,1,\frac{2}{3},\frac{1}{3})$	u_{aR}	$\frac{1}{3}$	4
U_{3R}	$(3,1,\frac{2}{3},\frac{7}{3})$	U_{3R}	$\frac{7}{3}$	4
d_{aR}	$(3, 1, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$	d_{aR}	$\frac{1}{3}$	-2
$D_{\alpha R}$	$(3, 1, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{5}{3})$	$D_{\alpha R}$	$-\frac{5}{3}$	-2
ψ_{aL}	$(1, 3^*, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3})$	$((e_{aL}, -\nu_{aL}), \nu_{aR}^c)^T$	$(-1, -1, +1)^T$	-3
e_{aR}	(1, 1, -1, -1)	e_{aR}	-1	-6
S_{aR}	(1, 1, 0, 0)	S_{aR}	0	0
Φ_1	$(1, 3^*, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$	$((\phi_1^0, -\phi_1^+), \tilde{\phi}_1^+)^T$	$(0, 0, 2)^T$	3
Φ_2	$(1, 3^*, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$	$((\phi_2^-, -\phi_2^0), \tilde{\phi}_2^0)^T$	$(0, 0, 2)^T$	-3
Φ_3	$(1, 3^*, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{4}{3})$	$((\phi_3^-, -\phi_3^0), \tilde{\phi}_3^0)^T$	$(-2, -2, 0)^T$	-3
Φ_4	$(1, 3^*, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3})$	$((\phi_4^-,-\phi_4^0),\tilde{\phi}_4^0)^T$	$(-1, -1, 1)^T$	-3

free and can be promptly promoted to local. On the other hand, other β' values would require new fermions to cancel the B - L anomalies¹; see, *e.g.*, Refs. [16]. Here, we stick to the SVS choice given in Table I. This gives all the quantum number assignments for the fields contained in our model. In addition to the fields present in the original SVS model, we have included three two-component Majorana fermion singlets S_R^a , a = 1, 2, 3, and one scalar antitriplet Φ_4 . Notice that the Majorana fermions are full gauge singlets and hence, carry no anomaly. The global $U(1)_{PO}$ symmetry forbids the term $\overline{(\psi_L^a)^c} \Phi_1 \psi_L^b$, which appears in Ref. [5] and leads to tree-level Dirac neutrino masses. However, as it will be discussed in Sec. III, this global symmetry is softly broken in the scalar sector, by the trilinear $\Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_3$ coupling. As we will see, this avoids the disastrous presence of a visible axion field. Notice also that, since B-L remains unbroken, the matter parity subgroup, generated by the matter parity $M_P = (-1)^{3(B-L)+2s}$, where s is the field's spin, is also fully preserved. Under M_P , all the fields in the original SVS model transform trivially, whereas the new fields transform as $(S_{aR}, \Phi_4) \xrightarrow{M_P} - (S_{aR}, \Phi_4)$. Therefore, the lightest among the M_P -odd fields is stable, and, if electrically neutral, it can play the role of dark matter.

III. SCALAR SECTOR

Our model contains four triplet scalars, three of them are Higgs-like, even under matter parity, while Φ_4 is "dark" or M_P odd. The resulting scalar potential is given by

¹Explicit calculation of anomaly coefficients for generic β and β' can be found in Ref. [24].

$$V_{\Phi} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left[\mu_i^2 \Phi_i^{\dagger} \Phi_i + \lambda_i (\Phi_i^{\dagger} \Phi_i)^2 \right] + \sum_{i < j} \left[\lambda_{ij} (\Phi_i^{\dagger} \Phi_i) (\Phi_j^{\dagger} \Phi_j) + \tilde{\lambda}_{ij} (\Phi_i^{\dagger} \Phi_j) (\Phi_j^{\dagger} \Phi_i) \right] + \left(-\frac{\mu_{\phi}}{\sqrt{2}} \Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_3 + \frac{\lambda'}{2} \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_4 \Phi_3^{\dagger} \Phi_4 + \text{H.c.} \right),$$
(3)

where the cubic term characterized by μ_{ϕ} breaks the U(1)_{PQ} symmetry softly.

The scalar multiplets are decomposed as

$$\Phi_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v_{1}+s_{1}+ia_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\phi_{1}^{+} \\ \tilde{\phi}_{1}^{+} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Phi_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{2}^{-} \\ \frac{v_{2}-s_{2}-ia_{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \tilde{\phi}_{2}^{0} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Phi_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{3}^{-} \\ -\phi_{3}^{0} \\ \frac{w+s_{3}+ia_{3}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Phi_{4} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{3}^{-} \\ -\phi_{4}^{0} \\ \tilde{\phi}_{4}^{0} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

where $v_1/\sqrt{2}$, $v_2/\sqrt{2}$, and $w/\sqrt{2}$ represent vacuum expectation values (vevs), with $w^2 \gg v_1^2 + v_2^2 \equiv v_{EW}^2$. Notice that, with the assumed vev alignment, the B - L symmetry remains conserved, and the minimization of the potential leads to the tadpole equations,

$$v_1(2\mu_1^2 + 2\lambda_1 v_1^2 + \lambda_{12} v_2^2 + \lambda_{13} w^2) - v_2 w \mu_{\phi} = 0,$$

$$v_2(2\mu_2^2 + 2\lambda_2 v_2^2 + \lambda_{12} v_1^2 + \lambda_{23} w^2) - v_1 w \mu_{\phi} = 0,$$

$$w(2\mu_3^2 + 2\lambda_3 w^2 + \lambda_{13} v_1^2 + \lambda_{23} v_2^2) - v_1 v_2 \mu_{\phi} = 0,$$
(5)

which can be simultaneously solved for μ_1^2 , μ_2^2 , and μ_3^2 . In the following subsections, we present the physical states of the scalar sector and their respective masses.

A. CP-even scalars

After spontaneous symmetry breaking, the *CP*-even components of the fields that acquire a vev mix according to the following squared mass matrix, written in the basis (s_1, s_2, s_3) :

$$M_{s_{1},s_{2},s_{3}}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2v_{1}^{2}\lambda_{1} + \frac{v_{2}w\mu_{\phi}}{2v_{1}} & -v_{1}v_{2}\lambda_{12} + \frac{w\mu_{\phi}}{2} & v_{1}w\lambda_{13} - \frac{v_{2}\mu_{\phi}}{2} \\ -v_{1}v_{2}\lambda_{12} + \frac{w\mu_{\phi}}{2} & 2v_{2}^{2}\lambda_{2} + \frac{v_{1}w\mu_{\phi}}{2v_{2}} & -v_{2}w\lambda_{23} + \frac{v_{1}\mu_{\phi}}{2} \\ v_{1}w\lambda_{13} - \frac{v_{2}\mu_{\phi}}{2} & -v_{2}w\lambda_{23} + \frac{v_{1}\mu_{\phi}}{2} & 2w^{2}\lambda_{3} + \frac{v_{1}v_{2}\mu_{\phi}}{2w} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(6)

Diagonalization yields three physical mass-eigenstate scalars. Assuming for simplicity, the hierarchy μ_{ϕ} , $w \gg v_1$, v_2 , the lightest one can be identified with the standard model Higgs boson discovered at the LHC,

$$h \approx \frac{v_2 s_2 - v_1 s_1}{\sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2}}.$$
(7)

Its squared mass is given as

$$m_h^2 \approx \left(2\lambda_1 - \frac{\lambda_{13}^2}{2\lambda_3}\right)v_1^2 + v_2^2 \left(2\lambda_{12} - \frac{\lambda_{13}\lambda_{23}}{\lambda_3} - \frac{\mu_{\phi}^2}{\lambda_3w^2}\right) + \left(2\lambda_2 - \frac{\lambda_{23}^2}{2\lambda_3}\right)\frac{v_2^4}{v_1^2} + \frac{\mu_{\phi}(\lambda_{13}v_1^2v_2 + \lambda_{23}v_2^3)}{\lambda_3v_1w},\tag{8}$$

where all parameters, other than μ_{ϕ} and w, lie at the electroweak scale. The remaining scalars are heavy and can be approximately identified as

$$H_1 \approx \frac{v_2 s_1 + v_1 s_2}{\sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2}}, \qquad m_{H_1}^2 \approx \frac{(v_1^2 + v_2^2) w \mu_{\phi}}{2 v_1 v_2},$$

$$H_2 \approx s_3, \qquad m_{H_2}^2 \approx 2 w^2 \lambda_3.$$

$$(9)$$

B. CP-odd scalars

Similar to the *CP*-even scalars, the *CP*-odd components also mix through the squared mass matrix,

$$M_{a_1,a_2,a_3}^2 = \frac{\mu_{\phi}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v_2 w}{v_1} & -w & v_2\\ -w & \frac{v_1 w}{v_2} & -v_1\\ v_2 & -v_1 & \frac{v_1 v_2}{w} \end{pmatrix},$$
(10)

in the basis (a_1, a_2, a_3) . Upon diagonalization, we find two Nambu-Goldstone bosons that can be identified as

$$G_1 = \frac{v_1 a_1 + v_2 a_2}{\sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2}}, \qquad G_2 = \frac{-v_1 a_1 + w a_3}{\sqrt{v_1^2 + w^2}}, \qquad (11)$$

and one physical pseudoscalar,

$$A_1' = \frac{v_2 w a_1 - v_1 w a_2 + v_1 v_2 a_3}{\sqrt{v_1^2 v_2^2 + v_1^2 w^2 + v_2^2 w^2}},$$
(12)

with a squared mass,

$$m_{A_1'}^2 = \frac{\mu_{\phi}(v_1^2 v_2^2 + v_1^2 w^2 + v_2^2 w^2)}{2v_1 v_2 w}.$$
 (13)

The importance of the U(1)_{PQ} soft-breaking term characterized by μ_{ϕ} can be better understood by looking at the equations above and Table I. In the limit $\mu_{\phi} \rightarrow 0$, U(1)_{PQ} becomes a classical global symmetry of the model, whose spontaneous breaking by the vevs of the scalar fields would imply the existence of a massless Nambu-Goldstone boson, namely, the pseudoscalar defined in Eq. (12). However, the Peccei-Quinn-like symmetry has an associated $[SU(3)_C]^2U(1)_{PQ}$ anomaly. Therefore, instead of a massless field, we would have a pseudo-Goldstone boson, an axion field getting its mass via nonperturbative effects. The existence of such a "low-scale" axion (w = 10 TeV), à *la* Weinberg-Wilczek [25,26], is ruled out phenomenologically, as noted in Ref. [27]. Alternative 3-3-1 proposals including gauge singlet scalars with nonvanishing U(1)_{PQ} charges have been considered [28,29]. This way one can make the axion invisible and thus, viable by introducing a large U(1)_{PQ} breaking scale. Here, we do not follow this path. Instead, we avoid the presence of the visible axion simply by softly breaking U(1)_{PQ} via the trilinear $\Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_3$ term, instead of adding more scalars. Apart from minimality, this also ensures that tree-level neutrino masses are absent.

C. Complex neutral scalars

The complex neutral scalars that do not acquire vevs can be grouped in pairs according to their B - L charges, as follows. First notice that, since B - L is conserved, only fields with the same B - L charges can mix. Since the fields $\tilde{\phi}_2^0$ and ϕ_3^0 carry opposite B - L charges, we define a B - L = 2 basis as $(\tilde{\phi}_2^0, \phi_3^{0*})$. In this basis, we can write down the following squared mass matrix:

$$M_{\tilde{\phi}_{2}^{0},\phi_{3}^{0}}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} w(w\tilde{\lambda}_{23} + \frac{v_{1}\mu_{\phi}}{v_{2}}) & -v_{1}\mu_{\phi} - v_{2}w\tilde{\lambda}_{23} \\ -v_{1}\mu_{\phi} - v_{2}w\tilde{\lambda}_{23} & v_{2}(v_{2}\tilde{\lambda}_{23} + \frac{v_{1}\mu_{\phi}}{w}) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(14)

Upon diagonalization, we find a massless complex scalar, shown in the next section to be absorbed à *la Goldstone* by the gauge sector,

$$G_3 = \frac{v_2 \tilde{\phi}_2^0 + w \phi_3^{0*}}{\sqrt{v_2^2 + w^2}},\tag{15}$$

and a heavy complex scalar field,

$$\varphi = \frac{-w\tilde{\phi}_2^0 + v_2\phi_3^{0*}}{\sqrt{v_2^2 + w^2}}, \qquad m_{\varphi}^2 = \frac{(v_2^2 + w^2)(\tilde{\lambda}_{23}v_2w + v_1\mu_{\phi})}{2v_2w}$$
(16)

Likewise, coming to the remaining fields, these can be grouped in a basis with B - L = 1 as $(\tilde{\phi}_4^0, \phi_4^{0*})$. The corresponding squared mass matrix is

$$M_{\tilde{\phi}_{4}^{0},\phi_{4}^{0}}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} v_{1}^{2}\lambda_{14} + v_{2}^{2}\lambda_{24} + w^{2}(\lambda_{34} + \tilde{\lambda}_{34}) + 2\mu_{4}^{2} & -\frac{1}{2}\lambda' v_{2}w \\ -\frac{1}{2}\lambda' v_{2}w & v_{1}^{2}\lambda_{14} + v_{2}^{2}(\lambda_{24} + \tilde{\lambda}_{24}) + w^{2}\lambda_{34} + 2\mu_{4}^{2} \end{pmatrix},$$
(17)

that can be diagonalized as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_4^0 \\ \phi_4^{0*} \end{pmatrix},$$
(18)

with

$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{v_2 w \lambda'}{v_2^2 \tilde{\lambda}_{24} - w^2 \tilde{\lambda}_{34}}.$$
 (19)

The physical neutral fields η_1 and η_2 defined above have squared masses,

$$m_{\eta_1,\eta_2}^2 = \frac{1}{4} [4\mu_4^2 + 2\lambda_{14}v_1^2 + v_2^2(2\lambda_{24} + \tilde{\lambda}_{24}) + w^2(2\lambda_{34} + \tilde{\lambda}_{34}) \mp \mathcal{F}\sqrt{\lambda'^2 v_2^2 w^2 + (\tilde{\lambda}_{24}v_2^2 - \tilde{\lambda}_{34}w^2)^2}],$$
(20)

where $\mathcal{F} = \operatorname{sign}(\tilde{\lambda}_{24}v_2^2 - \tilde{\lambda}_{34}w^2).$

D. Charged scalars

Again, for the charged scalars too, mixing takes place amongst those with the same B - L charges, and they can be separated into three groups.

The basis $(\phi_1^{\pm}, \phi_2^{\pm})$ puts together the charged fields with B - L = 0, which mix according to the squared mass matrix,

$$M_{\phi_1^{\pm},\phi_2^{\pm}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} v_2(\frac{w\mu_{\phi}}{v_1} + \tilde{\lambda}_{12}v_2) & -\mu_{\phi}w - \tilde{\lambda}_{12}v_1v_2 \\ -\mu_{\phi}w - \tilde{\lambda}_{12}v_1v_2 & v_1(\frac{w\mu_{\phi}}{v_2} + \tilde{\lambda}_{12}v_1) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(21)

Upon diagonalization, we find a (complex) "Goldstone" boson,

$$G_4^{\pm} = \frac{v_1 \phi_1^{\pm} + v_2 \phi_2^{\pm}}{\sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2}},\tag{22}$$

and a massive electrically charged physical scalar,

$$H_{1}^{\pm} = \frac{-v_{2}\phi_{1}^{\pm} + v_{1}\phi_{2}^{\pm}}{\sqrt{v_{1}^{2} + v_{2}^{2}}},$$

$$m_{H_{1}^{\pm}}^{2} = \frac{(v_{1}^{2} + v_{2}^{2})(w\mu_{\phi} + v_{1}v_{2}\tilde{\lambda}_{12})}{2v_{1}v_{2}}.$$
 (23)

The charged scalars with $B - L = \pm 2$ are characterized by the following squared mass matrix, written in the basis $(\tilde{\phi}_1^{\pm}, \phi_3^{\pm})$:

$$M_{\tilde{\phi}_{1}^{\pm},\phi_{3}^{\pm}}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} w(w\tilde{\lambda}_{13} + \frac{v_{2}\mu_{\phi}}{v_{1}}) & v_{1}w\tilde{\lambda}_{13} + v_{2}\mu_{\phi} \\ v_{1}w\tilde{\lambda}_{13} + v_{2}\mu_{\phi} & v_{1}(v_{1}\tilde{\lambda}_{13} + \frac{v_{2}\mu_{\phi}}{w}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (24)$$

from which one can identify another pair of charged Goldstones,

$$G_5^{\pm} = \frac{-v_1 \tilde{\phi}_1^{\pm} + w \phi_3^{\pm}}{\sqrt{v_1^2 + w^2}},\tag{25}$$

and the heavy charged states,

$$H_{2}^{\pm} = \frac{w\tilde{\phi}_{1}^{\pm} + v_{1}\phi_{3}^{\pm}}{\sqrt{v_{1}^{2} + w^{2}}},$$

$$m_{H_{2}^{\pm}}^{2} = \frac{(v_{1}^{2} + w^{2})(v_{2}\mu_{\phi} + v_{1}w\tilde{\lambda}_{13})}{2v_{1}w}.$$
 (26)

Finally, the only charged scalar with B - L = 1, ϕ_4^+ , remains unmixed after spontaneous symmetry breaking and gets the squared mass,

$$m_{\phi_4^{\pm}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[v_1^2 (\lambda_{14} + \tilde{\lambda}_{14}) + v_2^2 \lambda_{24} + w^2 \lambda_{34} + 2\mu_4^2 \right].$$
(27)

IV. GAUGE SECTOR

In this section, we study the vector boson spectrum of the extended electroweak sector which contains ten gauge fields. After spontaneous symmetry breaking, gauge boson masses are generated, as usual, through the terms $\mathcal{L} \supset (D_{\mu} \Phi_i)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu} \Phi^i)$, where the covariant derivative acting on the scalar antitriplets is defined as

$$D_{\mu}\Phi_{i} = \left[\partial_{\mu} + ig_{L}\frac{\lambda^{a}}{2}W_{\mu}^{a} - ig_{X}XB_{\mu} - ig_{N}NC_{\mu}\right]$$
$$\Phi_{i} = \left(\partial_{\mu} + i\frac{g_{L}}{2}\mathcal{P}_{\mu}\right)\Phi_{i},$$
(28)

where W^a_{μ} are the gauge fields of SU(3)_L, λ^a are the Gell-Mann matrices, B_{μ} is the gauge field of U(1)_X, and C_{μ} is the gauge field of U(1)_N and

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} W^{3} + \frac{W^{8}}{\sqrt{3}} - 2(t_{X}XB + t_{N}NC) & \sqrt{2}W^{-} & \sqrt{2}W'^{-} \\ \sqrt{2}W^{+} & -W^{3} + \frac{W^{8}}{\sqrt{3}} - 2(t_{X}XB + t_{N}NC) & \sqrt{2}X^{0*} \\ \sqrt{2}W'^{+} & \sqrt{2}X^{0} & -2(\frac{W^{8}}{\sqrt{3}} + t_{X}XB + t_{N}NC) \end{pmatrix}_{\mu}, \quad (29)$$

with

$$W^{\pm}_{\mu} = \frac{W^{1}_{\mu} \mp iW^{2}_{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad W^{\prime\pm}_{\mu} = \frac{W^{4}_{\mu} \mp iW^{5}_{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad X^{0(*)}_{\mu} = \frac{W^{6}_{\mu} \mp iW^{7}_{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$
(30)

In addition, we assume another source for gauge boson masses through the Stueckelberg mechanism for the Abelian $U(1)_N$ symmetry [21]. The masses and states of the ten electroweak gauge bosons are discussed below.

A. Neutral gauge bosons and Stueckelberg mechanism

After spontaneous symmetry breaking, the two gauge bosons of the Abelian symmetries, B_{μ} and C_{μ} , and the two fields associated with the diagonal generators of $SU(3)_L$, W^3_{μ} and W^8_{μ} , mix among themselves. Assuming the kinetic mixing between the gauge bosons B_{μ} and C_{μ} can be neglected,² the relevant terms contributing to the neutral boson masses, written in the basis $\mathcal{B}^T_{\mu} = (W^3_{\mu}, W^8_{\mu}, B_{\mu}, C_{\mu})$, are

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}^T_{\mu} \mathcal{M}^2_0 \mathcal{B}^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} (mC^{\mu} - \partial^{\mu} \sigma)^2 + \mathcal{L}_{gf}^{St}.$$
 (31)

Here, M_0^2 is the squared mass matrix coming from the Higgs mechanism, *m* is the Stueckelberg mass of the C^{μ} gauge field, and σ is the scalar Stueckelberg compensator that renders the second term in Eq. (31) invariant under the gauge transformations,

$$C^{\mu} \to C^{\mu} + \partial^{\mu}\Omega(x),$$

 $\sigma \to \sigma + m\Omega(x),$ (32)

with an arbitrary spacetime function $\Omega(x)$. The gauge fixing term \mathcal{L}_{gf}^{St} can be chosen as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm gf}^{\rm St} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} \left\{ \partial^{\mu} C_{\mu} + \xi \left[m\sigma - \frac{2}{3} g_N (\sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2} G_1 + 2\sqrt{v_1^2 + w^2} G_2) \right] \right\}^2, \tag{33}$$

ensuring (up to a total derivative) that the gauge field C^{μ} decouples from the gradients $\partial^{\mu}\sigma$, $\partial^{\mu}G_1$, and $\partial^{\mu}G_2$. Notice that after gauge fixing, the Lagrangian is still invariant under a restricted set of gauge functions $\Omega(x)$, subject to the same equation of motion as σ , *i.e.*, $(\partial^2 + \xi m^2)\Omega = (\partial^2 + \xi m^2)\sigma = 0$. This dynamical restriction guarantees the propagation of 3 degrees of freedom for the massive vector field C_{μ} . Moreover, \mathcal{L}_{gf}^{St} introduces a mixing between the scalars σ , G_1 , and G_2 .

After implementing the Stueckelberg mechanism outlined above, the squared-mass matrix of the neutral gauge bosons becomes

$$M^{2} = \frac{g_{L}^{2}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}) & \frac{v_{1}^{2}-v_{2}^{2}}{2\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{3}(2v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2})t_{X} & \frac{2}{3}(v_{2}^{2}-v_{1}^{2})t_{N} \\ \frac{v_{1}^{2}-v_{2}^{2}}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{6}(v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}+4w^{2}) & \frac{(v_{2}^{2}-2v_{1}^{2}-2w^{2})t_{X}}{3\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{2(v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}+4w^{2})t_{N}}{3\sqrt{3}} \\ -\frac{1}{3}(2v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2})t_{X} & \frac{(v_{2}^{2}-2v_{1}^{2}-2w^{2})t_{X}}{3\sqrt{3}} & \frac{2}{9}(4v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}+w^{2})t_{X}^{2} & \frac{4}{9}(2v_{1}^{2}-v_{2}^{2}+2w^{2})t_{N}t_{X} \\ \frac{2}{3}(v_{2}^{2}-v_{1}^{2})t_{N} & -\frac{2(v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}+4w^{2})t_{N}}{3\sqrt{3}} & \frac{4}{9}(2v_{1}^{2}-v_{2}^{2}+2w^{2})t_{N}t_{X} & \frac{2}{g_{L}^{2}}m^{2}+\frac{8}{9}(v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}+4w^{2})t_{N}^{2} \end{pmatrix},$$
(34)

with $t_X = g_X/g_L$ and $t_N = g_N/g_L$. In order to diagonalize M^2 , several changes of basis will be required. In this analysis, we follow the procedure described in [33].

We first identify the photon field A_{μ} . The transformation matrix to the basis $(A_{\mu}, Z_{1\mu}, Z'_{1\mu}, C_{\mu})$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{\mu} \\ Z_{1\mu} \\ Z'_{1\mu} \\ C_{\mu} \end{pmatrix} = U_{1} \begin{pmatrix} W_{\mu}^{3} \\ W_{\mu}^{8} \\ B_{\mu} \\ C_{\mu} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad U_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}t_{x}}{\sqrt{4t_{x}^{2}+3}} & \frac{t_{x}}{\sqrt{4t_{x}^{2}+3}} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{4t_{x}^{2}+3}} & 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{t_{x}^{2}+3}{4t_{x}^{2}+3}} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}t_{x}^{2}}{\sqrt{(t_{x}^{2}+3)(4t_{x}^{2}+3)}} & -\frac{3t_{x}}{\sqrt{(t_{x}^{2}+3)(4t_{x}^{2}+3)}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{t_{x}^{2}+3}} & -\frac{t_{x}}{\sqrt{t_{x}^{2}+3}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (35)$$

such that

$$M^{\prime 2} = U_1 M^2 U_1^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_s^{\prime 2} \end{pmatrix},$$
(36)

²The effects of nonvanishing kinetic mixings have been discussed in Refs. [30-32].

with

$$M_{s}^{\prime 2} = \frac{g_{L}^{2}}{2} \times \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2})(4t_{x}^{2}+3)}{2(t_{x}^{2}+3)} & \frac{\sqrt{4t_{x}^{2}+3}[v_{1}^{2}(4t_{x}^{2}+3)+v_{2}^{2}(2t_{x}^{2}-3)]}{6(t_{x}^{2}+3)} & -\frac{2}{3}(v_{1}^{2}-v_{2}^{2})t_{N}\left(\frac{4t_{x}^{2}+3}{t_{x}^{2}+3}\right)^{1/2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{4t_{x}^{2}+3}[v_{1}^{2}(4t_{x}^{2}+3)+v_{2}^{2}(2t_{x}^{2}-3)]}{6(t_{x}^{2}+3)} & \frac{v_{1}^{2}(3+4t_{x}^{2})^{2}+v_{2}^{2}(3-t_{x}^{2})^{2}+4w^{2}(3+t_{x}^{2})^{2}}{18(t_{x}^{2}+3)} & -\frac{2t_{N}[2(2v_{1}^{2}-v_{2}^{2}+2w^{2})t_{x}^{2}+3(v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}+4w^{2})]}{9\sqrt{t_{x}^{2}+3}} \\ -\frac{2}{3}(v_{1}^{2}-v_{2}^{2})t_{N}\left(\frac{4t_{x}^{2}+3}{t_{x}^{2}+3}\right)^{1/2} & -\frac{2t_{N}[2(2v_{1}^{2}-v_{2}^{2}+2w^{2})t_{x}^{2}+3(v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}+4w^{2})]}{9\sqrt{t_{x}^{2}+3}} & \frac{2m^{2}}{g_{L}^{2}}+\frac{8}{9}(v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}+4w^{2})t_{N}^{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(37)

Therefore, the photon is identified as

$$A_{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4t_X^2 + 3}} (\sqrt{3}t_X W_{\mu}^3 + t_X W_{\mu}^8 + \sqrt{3}B_{\mu}).$$
(38)

For the second diagonalization to the basis $(A_{\mu}, Z_{\mu}, Z'_{2\mu}, C'_{\mu})$, we use a "seesaw approximation," [34]

$$U_{2} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \varepsilon_{1} & \varepsilon_{2} \\ 0 & -\varepsilon_{1} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\varepsilon_{2} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(39)

where ε_1 and ε_1 are the two components of a small vector given by

$$\varepsilon \equiv -(m_{Z_1Z'_1}^2, m_{Z_1C}^2) \begin{pmatrix} m_{Z'_1}^2 & m_{Z'_1C}^2 \\ m_{Z'_1C}^2 & m_C^2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1},$$

$$\varepsilon_1 = -\frac{\sqrt{4t_X^2 + 3} \{2t_X^2 [8g_L^2 t_N^2 (w^2 v_1^2 + v_2^2 w^2 + v_1^2 v_2^2) + 3m^2 (2v_1^2 + v_2^2)] + 9m^2 (v_1^2 - v_2^2)\}}{4t_X^4 [4g_L^2 t_N^2 (w^2 v_1^2 + v_2^2 w^2 + v_1^2 v_2^2) + m^2 (4v_1^2 + v_2^2 + w^2)] + 3m^2 [4t_X^2 (2v_1^2 - v_2^2 + 2w^2) + 3(v_1^2 + v_2^2 + 4w^2)]},$$

$$\varepsilon_2 = -\frac{4g_L^2 t_N t_X^2 \sqrt{t_X^2 + 3} \sqrt{4t_X^2 + 3} (v_1^2 (v_2^2 + w^2) + v_2^2 w^2)}{4t_X^4 \{4g_L^2 t_N^2 [w^2 (v_1^2 + v_2^2) + v_1^2 v_2^2] + m^2 (4v_1^2 + v_2^2 + w^2)\} + 3m^2 [4t_X^2 (2v_1^2 - v_2^2 + 2w^2) + 3(v_1^2 + v_2^2 + 4w^2)]},$$

$$(40)$$

which are suppressed by the hierarchy $v_1, v_2 \ll w, m$. Then, after the second diagonalization, we have

$$M''^{2} = U_{2}M'^{2}U_{2}^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_{Z}^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M''^{2}_{s} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (41)$$

with

$$\begin{split} m_Z^2 &\approx m_{Z_1}^2 + 2(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \begin{pmatrix} m_{Z_1 Z_1'}^2 \\ m_{Z_1 C}^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\approx \frac{g_L^2(v_1^2 + v_2^2)(4t_X^2 + 3)}{4(t_X^2 + 3)}, \end{split} \tag{42}$$

which can be identified with the squared mass of the physical electroweak Z_{μ} boson and

$$M_s''^2 \approx \begin{pmatrix} m_{Z_2'}^2 & m_{Z_2'C'}^2 \\ m_{Z_2'C'}^2 & m_{C'}^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (43)

Finally, we can diagonalize $M_s''^2$ to the $(A_\mu, Z_\mu, Z'_\mu, Z''_\mu)$ basis through

$$U_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_{\zeta} & s_{\zeta} \\ 0 & 0 & -s_{\zeta} & c_{\zeta} \end{pmatrix},$$
(44)

and the diagonal squared mass matrix for the physical gauge bosons becomes

$$M''^2 = U_3 M''^2 U_3^T, (45)$$

where the mixing angle is given by

$$\tan 2\zeta \approx \frac{8w^2 g_L^2 t_N \sqrt{t_X^2 + 3}}{w^2 g_L^2 (16t_N^2 - t_X^2 - 3) + 9m^2}, \qquad (46)$$

and the diagonal entries can be identified as the squared masses for the physical Z' and Z'' bosons,

$$m_{Z',Z''}^2 = \frac{1}{18} \{ w^2 g_L^2 (16t_N^2 + t_X^2 + 3) + 9m^2 \mp \mathcal{G}\sqrt{[w^2 g_L^2 (16t_N^2 - t_X^2 - 3) + 9m^2]^2 + 64w^4 g_L^4 t_N^2 (t_X^2 + 3)} \},$$
(47)

with $\mathcal{G} = \text{sign}[w^2 g_L^2 (16t_N^2 - t_X^2 - 3) + 9m^2].$

B. Complex neutral gauge bosons

The complex gauge boson X^0_{μ} , with B - L = 2, does not mix with the other neutral vector fields. After spontaneous symmetry breaking, X^0_{μ} , whose associated would-be Goldstone boson is G_3 in Eq. (15), gets the following mass term:

$$m_{X^0}^2 = \frac{g_L^2}{4}(v_2^2 + w^2).$$
 (48)

C. Charged gauge bosons

The charged gauge bosons present in the model, W^{\pm}_{μ} and W'^{\pm}_{μ} , become massive after electroweak symmetry breaking but do not mix due to their different B - L charges.

The first mass eigenstate is identified with the charged standard model electroweak W boson, whose would-be Goldstone bosons given by G_4^{\pm} , and has the squared mass,

$$m_W^2 = \frac{g_L^2}{4} (v_1^2 + v_2^2). \tag{49}$$

Finally, the other charged gauge boson is heavy and eats up the complex would-be Goldstone boson G_5^{\pm} in order to acquire the squared mass,

$$m_{W'}^2 = \frac{g_L^2}{4} (v_1^2 + w^2).$$
 (50)

To sum up we note that, despite the conservation of B - L, all of the gauge bosons acquire adequate masses through the interplay of the standard Higgs mechanism with the Stueckelberg mechanism, leaving only the photon massless, as in the Standard Model. In particular, we would like to reinforce the importance of the Stueckelberg mechanism which provides the B - L gauge boson with a mass, while keeping the associated symmetry fully preserved. As mentioned above, the conservation of the B - L symmetry, not affected by the Stueckelberg mechanism, is what ensures two appealing features of our model, namely, the Dirac nature of neutrinos and the stability of dark matter.

V. CHARGED FERMIONS

The Yukawa interactions invariant under all the defining symmetries of the model are

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk}} = y^e_{ab} \overline{e^a_R} \Phi^{\dagger}_1 \psi^b_L + y^S_{ab} \overline{S^a_R} \Phi^{\dagger}_4 \psi^b_L + \frac{M^s_{ab}}{2} \overline{(S^a_R)^c} S^b_R + y^u_{aa} \overline{u^a_R} \Phi^T_1 Q^a_L + y^u_{a3} \overline{u^a_R} \Phi^{\dagger}_2 Q^3_L + y^d_{a3} \overline{d^a_R} \Phi^{\dagger}_1 Q^3_L + y^d_{aa} \overline{d^a_R} \Phi^T_2 Q^a_L + y^U_{33} \overline{U^3_R} \Phi^{\dagger}_3 Q^3_L + y^D_{ab} \overline{D^a_R} \Phi^T_3 Q^b_L + \text{H.c.}$$
(51)

After spontaneous symmetry breaking, the above interactions lead to the following mass matrices for the fermions:

(i) Charged leptons,

$$M^{e}_{ab} = y^{e}_{ab} \frac{v_1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$
 (52)

(ii) Up-type quarks, basis (u, c, t, U_3) ,

$$M_{u} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_{1}y_{11}^{u} & v_{1}y_{12}^{u} & -v_{2}y_{13}^{u} & 0\\ v_{1}y_{21}^{u} & v_{1}y_{22}^{u} & -v_{2}y_{23}^{u} & 0\\ v_{1}y_{31}^{u} & v_{1}y_{32}^{u} & -v_{2}y_{33}^{u} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & wy_{33}^{U} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (53)

(iii) Down-type quarks, basis (d, s, b, D_1, D_2) ,

$$M_{d} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v_{2}y_{11}^{d} & v_{2}y_{12}^{d} & v_{1}y_{13}^{d} & 0 & 0\\ v_{2}y_{21}^{d} & v_{2}y_{22}^{d} & v_{1}y_{23}^{d} & 0 & 0\\ v_{2}y_{31}^{d} & v_{2}y_{32}^{d} & v_{1}y_{33}^{d} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & wy_{11}^{D} & wy_{12}^{D}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & wy_{12}^{D} & wy_{22}^{D} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(54)

Realistic quark masses can be easily obtained from the above mass matrices, as the standard model and exotic quarks remain unmixed by virtue of the unusual B - L charges of the exotic sector. This is reflected by the block-diagonal form of the above matrices, which also implies the unitarity of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix describing quark mixing.

Notice that, from the above Yukawa interactions, neutrinos remain massless at the tree level.

VI. SCOTOGENIC NEUTRINO MASSES

As previously shown, in the present model the gauged B - L symmetry remains unbroken and so does the matter parity M_P . Furthermore, the U(1)_{PQ} symmetry, only broken softly in the scalar sector, forbids the appearance of



FIG. 1. One-loop scotogenic Dirac neutrino mass generation mechanism.

a tree-level neutrino-mass-giving Yukawa term. However, the Yukawa interactions in Eq. (51) allow the emergence of a calculable one-loop contribution to the neutrino masses via the diagram in Fig. 1.

Assuming that the Majorana mass of the fermion singlets S_R is already diagonal $M^S = \text{diag}(M_1, M_2, M_3)$, the neutrino mass matrix generated by the scotogenic loop in the basis $(\nu_L, (\nu_R)^c)$ reads

$$M_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_{\nu} \\ m_{\nu}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{55}$$

with neutrino Dirac masses,

$$(m_{\nu})_{ab} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{M_{k} y_{ka}^{S} y_{kb}^{S} \sin 2\theta}{32\pi^{2}} \\ \times \left[\frac{m_{\eta_{1}}^{2}}{m_{\eta_{1}}^{2} - M_{k}^{2}} \ln \frac{m_{\eta_{1}}^{2}}{M_{k}^{2}} - \frac{m_{\eta_{2}}^{2}}{m_{\eta_{2}}^{2} - M_{k}^{2}} \ln \frac{m_{\eta_{2}}^{2}}{M_{k}^{2}} \right].$$
(56)

Notice that from Eq. (19), if the relevant quartic couplings are of the same order, the angle θ is already suppressed, of



FIG. 2. The direct detection and relic abundance constraints on the dark matter mass m_{η_1} . Each blue point corresponds to a solution $(\lambda, \theta, m_{\eta_1}, m_{\eta_2})$ with the correct relic abundance $\Omega h^2 = 0.120$. See the text for details. The red shaded region is ruled out by direct detection experiments, XENON1T [35] and LUX [36]. Notice that the stronger constraint below 1 TeV comes from XENON1T and above 1 TeV from LUX.

 $\mathcal{O}(v_2/w)$. Besides, the internal fields in the loop are odd under M_P , while the standard model fields are even. Thus, the lightest M_P -odd field is automatically stable and, if it is electrically neutral, can be identified as a dark matter candidate. In our model, the stable dark matter candidate will be the lightest field among the complex scalars η_i and Majorana fermions S_{aR} .

VII. DARK MATTER

In order to illustrate the viability of our model as a theory of dark matter, we study a simplified scenario in which all the non-SM fields are heavy and decouple, except for the complex scalars η_1 and η_2 . In this case, only the Higgs and the Z-boson portals are available. In general, the region of the parameters compatible with the observed relic abundance and direct dark matter detection experiments is very constrained for a complex scalar, unless coannihilation takes place due to η_1 and η_2 being almost degenerate [37]. Besides, consistency with direct detection experiments requires the coupling between the complex dark matter candidate and the Z boson to be very small. This can be easily achieved in our model since the mixing angle θ in Eq. (19) is naturally of $\mathcal{O}(v_2/w^{-1})$. We assume that η_1 is our dark matter candidate, composed mostly by the $SU(2)_L$ singlet $ilde{\phi}_4^0$, and couples to the Z boson only through its suppressed mixing with η_2 .

From our previous analysis of the scalar spectrum, the condition $m_{\eta_1} < m_{\eta_2}$ in Eq. (20) translates to $\tilde{\lambda}_{24}v_2^2 - \tilde{\lambda}_{34}w^2 > 0$, easily achieved by a natural negative value of $\tilde{\lambda}_{34}$. Defining

$$\mu_{S}^{2} \equiv \frac{1}{2} [w^{2} (\lambda_{34} + \tilde{\lambda}_{34}) + 2\mu_{4}^{2}],$$

$$\mu_{D}^{2} \equiv \frac{1}{2} [v_{2}^{2} \tilde{\lambda}_{24} + w^{2} \lambda_{34} + 2\mu_{4}^{2}],$$
 (57)

in Eq. (17), one can eliminate these scales and $v_2w\lambda'$ in terms of the physical masses m_{η_1}, m_{η_2} , and the mixing angle θ as

$$v_{2}w\lambda' = 2(m_{\eta_{2}}^{2} - m_{\eta_{1}}^{2})\sin 2\theta,$$

$$\mu_{S}^{2} = m_{\eta_{2}}^{2}\sin^{2}\theta + m_{\eta_{1}}^{2}\cos^{2}\theta - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{14}v_{1}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{24}v_{2}^{2},$$

$$\mu_{D}^{2} = m_{\eta_{1}}^{2}\sin^{2}\theta + m_{\eta_{2}}^{2}\cos^{2}\theta - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{14}v_{1}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{24}v_{2}^{2}.$$
 (58)

We have studied the relic abundance and direct detection constraints for this scenario, setting for simplicity $v_1 = v_2 = v_{\rm EW}/\sqrt{2}$ and $\lambda_{14} = \lambda_{24} = \lambda$ with vanishing nonrelevant couplings. In our analysis, we have varied randomly the relevant parameters in the ranges $0 < |\lambda| < 1$, $0 < |\theta| < 0.01$, $0 < m_{\eta_1} < 10^4$ GeV and $m_{\eta_1} < m_{\eta_2} < 1.1m_{\eta_1}$. The results are shown in Fig. 2, where each blue point corresponds to a solution $(\lambda, \theta, m_{\eta_1}, m_{\eta_2})$ in parameter space complying with the correct relic abundance $\Omega h^2 =$ 0.120 [38]. One can see that the model contains plenty of parameter combinations well below the current direct detection bounds, but also within the sensitivity of the current experiments, like Xenon1T.

Before ending this section, we wish to remark that Fig. 2 is plotted for a simplified scenario in which only the Higgs and Z-boson portals are available. This need not be the case. In our model, the allowed parameter space can be considerably richer due to the presence of Majorana fermions, like S_{aR} , providing new channels for dark matter annihilation. Similarly, more parameter combinations become available when the vector bosons Z' and Z'', whose masses are given in Eq. (47), are active in mediating dark matter annihilation processes, instead of simply decoupled, as assumed in the above example. A dedicated study lies outside the scope of this paper.

VIII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have proposed a simple scotogenic extension of the original Singer-Valle-Schechter 3-3-1 model in which neutrinos are Dirac fermions as a result of a conserved B - L gauge symmetry. In such minimal SVS gauge extension of the standard model neutrino masses arise through the radiative exchange of the simplest scotogenic "dark" sector, as indicated by the diagram in Fig. 1. Conservation of B - L gauge symmetry in the $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X \otimes U(1)_N$ theory ensures the stability of dark matter, linked to the Dirac nature of neutrinos. By combining the Higgs and the Stueckelberg mechanisms, one ensures that all neutral gauge bosons acquire adequate nonzero masses. Our present construction bears similarities with that in Ref. [22], but within a richer theoretical framework. Indeed, the present one also touches other standard model shortcomings, such as the existence of three families, which emerges just from anomaly cancellation. Stable dark matter is "predestined" [23], in the sense that the imposition of additional symmetries is not required. We have given a detailed study of the basic structure of the theory. For example, we noted that due to our quantum numbers we have block-diagonal quark mass matrices, Eqs. (53) and (54), implying the unitarity of the CKM matrix describing quark mixing. This implies that the new neutral gauge bosons can have flavor-changing interactions at the tree level, as in the SVS model. These arise from the underlying structure of the neutral current dictated by the anomaly cancellation. As a result, in addition to direct searches through dilepton studies at the LHC, heavy neutral gauge bosons induce mass differences in neutral meson systems. These can lead to observable phenomena if they lie within the few TeV scale. For example, for $v_1 \sim$ v_2 GeV if one takes $m \to \infty$, $w \sim 10^4$ GeV as a benchmark, one finds that the B - L Stueckelberg gauge boson decouples, leaving adequate masses for the other new intermediate gauge bosons, around 4 TeV, consistent with current limits from flavor changing neutral current and searches at the LHC run 2 at 13 TeV [39]. Likewise, one can check that the scalar masses expected, e.g., from Eqs. (9) and (13), are also phenomenologically viable. The same happens for finite values of the Stueckelberg gauge boson mass parameter: in this case, one also obtains gauge boson mass values in agreement with current limits. We expect, however, that they can lie within the sensitivities expected, for example, at the High Luminosity-LHC, LHCb as well as upcoming B factories.

Concerning the dark matter content of our model, in Sec. VII, we have analyzed the case for a complex dark matter scalar candidate. For definiteness, we took a simple scenario where only the Higgs and Z-boson portals are available. We have shown that, even in this simplified scenario, there are parameter combinations that accommodate the correct dark matter relic abundance in agreement with direct detection constraints. The viable parameter space is expected to be substantially widened when other channels for dark matter annihilation are taken into account, *e.g.*, those mediated by the vector bosons Z' and Z''.

Last, but not least, we stress that, in contrast to previous 3-3-1-1 models, here neutrinos get radiative scotogenic Dirac masses, rather than Majorana masses from the conventional seesaw mechanism. A discovery of neutrino-less double beta decay would therefore invalidate our present construction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work supported by the Spanish Grants No. FPA2017-85216-P (AEI/FEDER, UE), No. PROMETEO/2018/165 (Generalitat Valenciana), and the Spanish Red Consolider MultiDark No. FPA2017-90566-REDC. J. L. acknowledges financial support under Grant No. 2019/04195-7, São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP). A. M. acknowledges support by CONACyT. CAV-A is supported by the Mexican Cátedras CONACYT Projects No. 749 and No. SNI 58928. Numerical work performed in GuaCAL (Guanajuato Computational Astroparticle Lab). The relic abundance and direct detection constraints are calculated using the MicroOmegas package [40].

- [1] M. Singer, J. W. F. Valle, and J. Schechter, Canonical neutral current predictions from the weak electromagnetic gauge group $SU(3) \times u(1)$, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 738 (1980).
- [2] F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, An $SU(3) \times U(1)$ model for electroweak interactions, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 410 (1992).
- [3] P. Frampton, Chiral Dilepton Model and the Flavor Question, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2889 (1992).
- [4] R. Foot, H. N. Long, and T. A. Tran, $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_N$ and $SU(4)_L \otimes U(1)_N$ gauge models with right-handed neutrinos, Phys. Rev. D **50**, R34 (1994).
- [5] J. W. F. Valle and M. Singer, Lepton number violation with quasi-Dirac neutrinos, Phys. Rev. D 28, 540 (1983).
- [6] P. de Salas, D. V. Forero, C. A. Ternes, M. Tórtola, and J. W. F. Valle, Status of neutrino oscillations 2018: 3σ hint for normal mass ordering and improved *CP* sensitivity, Phys. Lett. B **782**, 633 (2018).
- [7] E. Ma, Verifiable radiative seesaw mechanism of neutrino mass and dark matter, Phys. Rev. D 73, 077301 (2006).
- [8] S. Centelles Chuliá, E. Ma, R. Srivastava, and J. W. F. Valle, Dirac neutrinos and dark matter stability from lepton quarticity, Phys. Lett. B 767, 209 (2017).
- [9] S. Centelles Chuliá, R. Srivastava, and J. W. F. Valle, Generalized Bottom-Tau unification, neutrino oscillations and dark matter: Predictions from a lepton quarticity flavor approach, Phys. Lett. B 773, 26 (2017).
- [10] M. Hirsch, R. Srivastava, and J. W. F. Valle, Can one ever prove that neutrinos are Dirac particles?, Phys. Lett. B 781, 302 (2018).
- [11] S. Centelles Chuliá, R. Srivastava, and J. W. F. Valle, Seesaw Dirac neutrino mass through dimension-six operators, Phys. Rev. D 98, 035009 (2018).
- [12] S. Centelles Chuliá, R. Srivastava, and J. W. F. Valle, Seesaw roadmap to neutrino mass and dark matter, Phys. Lett. B 781, 122 (2018).
- [13] C. Bonilla, S. Centelles-Chuliá, R. Cepedello, E. Peinado, and R. Srivastava, Dark matter stability and Dirac neutrinos using only Standard Model symmetries, Phys. Rev. D 101, 033011 (2020).
- [14] E. Ma, Leptonic source of dark matter and radiative majorana or Dirac neutrino mass, arXiv:1912.11950.
- [15] M. Reig, D. Restrepo, J. W. F. Valle, and O. Zapata, Boundstate dark matter and Dirac neutrino masses, Phys. Rev. D 97, 115032 (2018).
- [16] P. Dong, H. Hung, and T. Tham, 3-3-1-1 model for dark matter, Phys. Rev. D 87, 115003 (2013).
- [17] A. Alves, G. Arcadi, P. V. Dong, L. Duarte, F. S. Queiroz, and J. W. F. Valle, Matter-parity as a residual gauge symmetry: Probing a theory of cosmological dark matter, Phys. Lett. B 772, 825 (2017).
- [18] P. Van Dong, D. T. Huong, D. A. Camargo, F. S. Queiroz, and J. W. F. Valle, Asymmetric dark matter, inflation and leptogenesis from *B – L* symmetry breaking, Phys. Rev. D 99, 055040 (2019).
- [19] S. K. Kang, O. Popov, R. Srivastava, J. W. F. Valle, and C. A. Vaquera-Araujo, Scotogenic dark matter stability from gauged matter parity, Phys. Lett. B **798**, 135013 (2019).
- [20] J. Leite, O. Popov, R. Srivastava, and J. W. F. Valle, A theory for scotogenic dark matter stabilised by residual gauge symmetry, Phys. Lett. B 802, 135254 (2019).

- [21] H. Ruegg and M. Ruiz-Altaba, The Stueckelberg field, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 19, 3265 (2004).
- [22] J. Leite, A. Morales, J. W. Valle, and C. A. Vaquera-Araujo, Scotogenic dark matter and Dirac neutrinos from unbroken gauged *B – L* symmetry, Phys. Lett. B 807, 135537 (2020).
- [23] E. Ma, Predestined dark matter in gauge extensions of the standard model, Lett. High Energy Phys. 1, 1 (2018).
- [24] P. Dong, Unifying the electroweak and B-L interactions, Phys. Rev. D 92, 055026 (2015).
- [25] S. Weinberg, A New Light Boson?, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 223 (1978).
- [26] F. Wilczek, Problem of Strong P and T Invariance in the Presence of Instantons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 279 (1978).
- [27] P.B. Pal, The Strong *CP* question in $SU(3)(C) \times SU(3)(L) \times U(1)(N)$ models, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 1659 (1995).
- [28] A. G. Dias, C. A. de S. Pires, and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, Discrete symmetries, invisible axion and lepton number symmetry in an economic 3 3 1 model, Phys. Rev. D 68, 115009 (2003).
- [29] A. Dias, J. Leite, D. Lopes, and C. Nishi, Fermion mass hierarchy and double seesaw mechanism in a 3-3-1 model with an axion, Phys. Rev. D 98, 115017 (2018).
- [30] B. Holdom, Two U(1)'s and epsilon charge shifts, Phys. Lett. B 166, 196 (1986).
- [31] D. Feldman, Z. Liu, and P. Nath, The Stueckelberg Z-prime extension with Kinetic mixing and Milli-charged dark matter from the hidden sector, Phys. Rev. D 75, 115001 (2007).
- [32] M. Williams, C. Burgess, A. Maharana, and F. Quevedo, New constraints (and motivations) for Abelian gauge bosons in the MeV-TeV mass range, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2011) 106.
- [33] P. V. Dong, D. T. Huong, F. S. Queiroz, and N. T. Thuy, Phenomenology of the 3-3-1-1 model, Phys. Rev. D 90, 075021 (2014).
- [34] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, Neutrino decay and spontaneous violation of lepton number, Phys. Rev. D 25, 774 (1982).
- [35] E. Aprile *et al.* (XENON Collaboration), Dark Matter Search Results from a One Ton-Year Exposure of XENON1T, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 111302 (2018).
- [36] D. Akerib *et al.* (LUX Collaboration), Results from a Search for Dark Matter in the Complete LUX Exposure, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 021303 (2017).
- [37] M. Kakizaki, A. Santa, and O. Seto, Phenomenological signatures of mixed complex scalar WIMP dark matter, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 32, 1750038 (2017).
- [38] N. Aghanim *et al.* (Planck Collaboration), Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters, arXiv:1807 .06209.
- [39] F. S. Queiroz, C. Siqueira, and J. W. F. Valle, Constraining flavor changing interactions from LHC Run-2 dilepton bounds with vector mediators, Phys. Lett. B 763, 269 (2016).
- [40] G. Bélanger, F. Boudjema, A. Goudelis, A. Pukhov, and B. Zaldivar, micrOMEGAs5.0: Freeze-in, Comput. Phys. Commun. 231, 173 (2018).