## Unitarity and different phases of the extended new massive gravity

Giorgi Tukhashvili<sup>®\*</sup>

Center for Cosmology and Particle Physics, Department of Physics, New York University, 726 Broadway, New York, New York 10003, USA

(Received 16 January 2020; accepted 26 May 2020; published 11 June 2020)

We study the new massive gravity extended by the curvature cubed invariant and the cosmological constant (C.C.) using the tree-level exchange amplitudes on a maximally symmetric space-time. We identify the parameter space, consisting of the ratio between C.C. and graviton mass squared and the relative coupling strength between curvature squared and cubed invariants, for which the massive spin-2 is a ghost. Different phases of this model are also discussed.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.101.124018

## I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

Higher curvature modifications of general relativity (GR) are important in order to understand the quantum nature of gravity. It has been long known that GR in 3 + 1 dimensions is perturbatively nonrenormalizable [1]. Adding terms that are quadratic in curvature solves this problem at the expense of introducing a massive scalar and a massive spin-2 ghost [2].

In 2 + 1-dimensional space-time, GR does not suffer the nonrenormalizability problem, simply because it does not propagate any degrees of freedom (d.o.f.) [3]. The massive spin-2 generated through higher curvature modifications can be healthy if one chooses the massless mode to be ghost. The wrong sign kinetic term of the massless spin-2 does not lead to any problems (not considering nonpositive central charges of the dual conformal field theories [4]) since in three dimensions (3D) this mode is a pure gauge, but in this case the unitarity is violated through the massive scalar. The conformal mode in GR is a ghost, but a closer look reveals that it is not propagating. Addition of higher curvature terms to the action modifies the dynamics of the conformal mode as well. In general, its equation of motion becomes of quartic order and a massive scalar gets generated. The kinetic terms of massive scalar and the massless spin-2 have the same sign, so if one chooses massless spin-2 to be a ghost, so has to be the massive scalar. In general, it seems that one cannot build a unitary theory in 2 + 1 dimensions by introducing higher curvature invariants.

Ten years ago, Bergshoef et al. found a curvature squared invariant that, linearly, is equivalent to Fierz-Pauli and does not violate unitarity [5]. Sinha found its curvature cubed extension [6] (see also [7]). Within this model, now referred as "extended new massive gravity (ENMG)", the conformal mode of the metric has the structure of a Galileon [8], so its equation of motion is of second order. As a consequence, the massive scalar is absent and one is free to choose the massless spin-2 to have the wrong sign kinetic term. The authors of [9] addressed the nonlinear unitarity for the curvature squared term and proved that the model was ghost free to all orders. In [10], it is argued that full ENMG is free of scalar ghosts. There are other works addressing the causality problem for this model [11,12]. The author of this paper is not familiar with any previous works done that addresses the complete unitarity of ENMG.

While writing this paper, I found out that some of my results coincide with [10], so I feel it is necessary to point out the differences. First of all, our common results agree with each other. The disjoint part is that they include the square of Cotton tensor, which makes the spectrum richer, but necessarily leads to ghosts and tachyons. My analysis is restricted to (2.1), but it is more detailed. Their analysis relies on the Lagrangian formalism, while I will be using the equations of motion and classical exchange amplitudes. I will also identify additional phases missed in [10].

This paper is structured as follows: In Sec. II, I will argue that a model containing any power of Ricci tensor can propagate a maximum of 3 degrees of freedom in 3D. Then I will argue that the extended new massive gravity defined by (2.1) propagates only 2 degrees of freedom. In Sec. III, I will do linear analysis of (2.1) on a maximally symmetric background and show that the model does indeed propagate only one massive spin-2, i.e., 2 d.o.f. In Sec. IV, different phases of (2.1) are discussed. I will argue that there exists a choice of parameters, within the limits of effective field theory (EFT), for which the massive spin-2 is a ghost.

gt783@nyu.edu

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

Conventions: The flat metric is mostly negative  $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1)$ . Ricci tensor is  $R_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\rho}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu} + \cdots$ . Except Sec. IV, the Planck mass will be set to one.

#### **II. COUNTING THE DEGREES OF FREEDOM**

In what follows, I will call the extended new massive gravity to the model defined by the following action:

$$S = \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \left[ R - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda + \frac{1}{m^2} \left( R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{8} R^2 \right) - \frac{1}{\kappa m^4} \left( R^{\rho}_{\lambda} R^{\sigma}_{\rho} R^{\lambda}_{\sigma} - \frac{9}{8} R R^{\rho}_{\lambda} R^{\lambda}_{\rho} + \frac{17}{64} R^3 \right) \right].$$
(2.1)

In the limit when  $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ , Minkowski space-time is a solution to the equations of motion. When linearized on this background, the spectrum consists of one massless and one massive (with mass = m) spin-2 modes. In this section, I will argue that this is the case for an arbitrary background.

A general diffeomorphism invariant action involving any degree of curvatures<sup>1</sup> can propagate a maximum of 3 degrees of freedom in 2 + 1 dimensions. In order to see this, let us decompose the metric in the following way:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\nabla}_{(\mu}A_{\nu)} + \bar{\nabla}_{\mu}\bar{\nabla}_{\nu}\varphi + e^{2\pi}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}.$$
 (2.2)

Here  $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$  is arbitrary solution to (2.1) and  $\bar{\nabla}$  is covariant derivative with respect to this background.  $h_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $A_{\mu}$ , and  $\varphi$ are tensor, vector, and scalar perturbations on this metric (linear response to matter). The last piece,  $\exp(2\pi)$ , is the conformal mode. Because of the diffeomorphism invariance of the action, neither  $A_{\mu}$  nor  $\varphi$  can be physical. The equations of motion, in general, involve quartic time derivatives; therefore, there are two spin-2 modes and one massive scalar. The massless spin-2 in 2 + 1 dimensions is a pure gauge, so we are left with 2 degrees of freedom associated with massive spin-2 and one d.o.f. coming from the massive conformal scalar.

The combination (2.1) is special in a sense that the conformal mode turns out to be a Galileon [8]. The equations of motion corresponding to the variation of  $\pi$  are of second order (with respect to  $\pi$ ) and the massive scalar disappears from the spectrum, so the model defined by (2.1) propagates only 2 degrees of freedom. In three dimensions, this is the unique combination with nontrivial conformal mode having this property, any higher order (>3) curvature invariant should either have a trivial conformal mode or will propagate 3 degrees of freedom and will not be unitary.<sup>2</sup>

The overall sign of the action in (2.1) guarantees that, when linearized around flat background ( $\Lambda = 0$ ), the kinetic term of the massive spin-2 has the right sign. In the following sections, I will positively answer the following question: is there a choice of parameters ( $\Lambda/m^2$ ;  $\kappa$ ), within the limits of EFT, on which the kinetic terms of spin-2 modes flip the sign?

## III. ANALYSIS OF THE LINEAR PERTURBATIONS

### A. A Toy Model for Linear Perturbations

Understanding the physical meaning of an expression with complex tensorial structure is not an easy task. The analysis gets even more complicated when calculations are done on a curved background. In the next section, while calculating exchange amplitudes, I will rely on the equations of motion and will avoid dealing with Lagrangians. In order to gain some intuition for the conclusions I am going to make, it is useful to first consider the following toy model of a scalar field:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\phi\partial^{2}\phi + \frac{1}{4}a\phi^{2}\partial^{2}\phi - \frac{1}{2}b(\partial^{2}\phi)^{2} - \frac{1}{2}c\phi(\partial^{2}\phi)^{2}.$$
(3.1)

Here *a*, *b*, *c* are dimensionfull constants. An arbitrary  $\phi = \phi_0 = \text{const}$  is a solution of (3.1). Let us introduce some source  $\rho$  and study the linear response on this background  $(\phi = \phi_0 + \chi)$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + a\phi_0) \chi \partial^2 \chi - \frac{1}{2} (b + c\phi_0) (\partial^2 \chi)^2 + \chi \rho.$$
(3.2)

Solution to this Lagrangian and the exchange amplitude between two sources are, respectively, given by

$$\chi = -\frac{1}{1+a\phi_0} \left[ \frac{1}{\partial^2} - \frac{1}{\partial^2 - \frac{1+a\phi_0}{b+c\phi_0}} \right] \rho, \qquad (3.3)$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \int d^3 x \tilde{\rho} \chi = -\frac{1}{1+a\phi_0}$$
$$\times \int d^3 x \left[ \tilde{\rho} \frac{1}{\partial^2} \rho - \tilde{\rho} \frac{1}{\partial^2 - \frac{1+a\phi_0}{b+c\phi_0}} \rho \right]. \quad (3.4)$$

Mediators are massless and massive scalars (see Fig. 1); one of them is necessarily a ghost. When  $a \neq 0$ , the amplitude has a peculiar overall factor due to the renormalization of the coupling strength on a nontrivial background. The matter of which mode is ghost depends on the sign of this factor. To see this more clearly, let us introduce a Lagrange multiplier and rewrite (3.2) in an equivalent form

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Terms involving the derivatives of curvatures are not considered.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In 2 + 1 dimensions, the square of Cotton tensor  $\sqrt{-g}C_{\mu\nu\rho}C^{\mu\nu\rho}$  has a trivial conformal mode. This term is sometimes considered together with (2.1) [10]; I will ignore it since it contains the derivatives of curvature.

$$- \tilde{\rho} \bigcirc \cdots \qquad \frac{1}{\partial^2} \frown \rho + \tilde{\rho} \bigcirc \cdots \qquad \frac{1}{\partial^2 + m^2} \frown \rho$$

FIG. 1. Diagrammatic representation of the exchange amplitude (3.4).

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + a\phi_0) \chi \partial^2 \chi - (1 + a\phi_0) \varphi \partial^2 \chi + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(1 + a\phi_0)^2}{b + c\phi_0} \varphi^2 + \chi \rho.$$
(3.5)

Making a field redefinition,  $\chi = \omega + \varphi$ , unmixes the two modes from each other,

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + a\phi_0) \omega \partial^2 \omega - \frac{1}{2} (1 + a\phi_0) \varphi \partial^2 \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(1 + a\phi_0)^2}{b + c\phi_0} \varphi^2 + \omega \rho + \varphi \rho.$$
(3.6)

From this expression, we see that the factor  $(1 + a\phi_0)$  does indeed decide which field should be a ghost. When  $(1 + a\phi_0) = 0$ , the model is infinitely strongly coupled.

Disclaimer: The model defined by (3.1) is an EFT with some cutoff. Whether the values of  $\phi_0$  for which  $(1 + a\phi_0)$ flips sign are within the range of EFT is another story. I will ignore this issue for the present case and will come back to it for ENMG in Sec. IV.

# B. Linearized GR on a maximally symmetric space-time

It is instructive to consider the linearized general relativity on a maximally symmetric background [13] before linearizing (2.1). The Einstein-Hilbert action and vacuum equations of motion are

$$S_{\rm EH} = -\int d^3x \sqrt{g} \left( R - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda \right) \to G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{6}\Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0.$$
(3.7)

Riemann and Ricci curvatures of a three-dimensional maximally symmetric space-time satisfy

$$\bar{R}_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} = \frac{\bar{R}}{6} (\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{g}_{\rho\sigma} - \bar{g}_{\mu\sigma}\bar{g}_{\rho\nu}), \qquad \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\bar{R}}{3}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}.$$
 (3.8)

From (3.7), we also have  $\bar{R} = \Lambda$ . Here  $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$  is the background metric and the expressions with a bar are defined with respect to it. On the next step, let us define the operator that will simplify future expressions

$$\bar{\Box} \equiv \bar{\Delta}_L + \frac{2}{3}\bar{R}.$$
(3.9)

 $\overline{\Delta}_L$  stands for the Lichnerowicz Laplacian [14] (up to a factor of -1) acting on scalar, vector, and tensor as

$$\bar{\Delta}_L a = \bar{\nabla}^2 a, \qquad \bar{\Delta}_L b_\mu \equiv \left(\bar{\nabla}^2 - \frac{1}{3}R\right) b_\mu,$$
$$\bar{\Delta}_L c_{\mu\nu} \equiv \bar{\nabla}^2 c_{\mu\nu} - \bar{R} c_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\bar{R}}{3} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} c_\rho^{\rho}. \qquad (3.10)$$

The covariant derivative  $\bar{\nabla}_{\mu}$  and the background metric  $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$  commute with  $\bar{\Box}$ . The linear response of spin-2 to matter on this background

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\mu}\bar{\nabla}_{\rho}h_{\nu}^{\rho} + \bar{\nabla}_{\nu}\bar{\nabla}_{\rho}h_{\mu}^{\rho} - \bar{\nabla}_{\mu}\bar{\nabla}_{\nu}h - \bar{\Box}h_{\mu\nu} = 2(T_{\mu\nu} - \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}T).$$
(3.11)

Fixing the gauge as

$$\bar{\nabla}^{\nu}h_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\nabla}_{\mu}h \qquad (3.12)$$

gives the following solution:

$$h_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\bar{\Box}} (T_{\mu\nu} - \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}T).$$
(3.13)

A covariantly conserved energy-momentum tensor is consistent with linear perturbations around a metric that is solution to the Einstein equation in vacuum, meaning

$$\mathcal{A} = \int d^3x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \tilde{T}^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu} = -\int d^3x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \tilde{T}^{\mu\nu} \frac{2}{\bar{\Box}} (T_{\mu\nu} - \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}T)$$
(3.14)

is a gauge invariant amplitude. Even though the pole is shifted, from the tensorial structure, it is easy to see that we are dealing with one massless spin-2 particle. Interested reader is invited to check [13] to see that  $\overline{\Box}$  does indeed have the right properties when acted on transverse and traceless tensors.

### C. Linearized ENMG

In this section, we discuss the linearized version of the extended new massive gravity (2.1) on a maximally symmetric space-time. We can write the equation of motion as

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{6}\Lambda g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{m^2}K_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{\kappa m^4}Q_{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad (3.15)$$

where  $K_{\mu\nu}$  and  $Q_{\mu\nu}$  are given in the Appendix A. To the lowest order, we assume the matter to be absent. Since we

are dealing with maximally symmetric space-time, the background satisfies a scalar equation

$$\bar{R}^3 + 8\kappa m^2 \bar{R}^2 + 192\kappa m^4 \bar{R} - 192\kappa m^4 \Lambda = 0.$$
 (3.16)

After fixing the gauge (3.12), the linear response to matter becomes

$$\gamma \left( \bar{\Box}^2 h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{\Box}^2 h - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\nabla}_{\mu} \bar{\nabla}_{\nu} \bar{\Box} h \right) + \beta m^2 \bar{\Box} h_{\mu\nu}$$
$$- \frac{1}{2} \alpha m^2 \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{\Box} h = -2m^2 T_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (3.17)$$

with

$$\alpha = 1 + \frac{\bar{R}}{12m^2} + \frac{\bar{R}^2}{64\kappa m^4}, \qquad \beta = 1 - \frac{\bar{R}}{12m^2} - \frac{\bar{R}^2}{192\kappa m^4},$$
  
$$\gamma = 1 + \frac{\bar{R}}{8\kappa m^2}.$$
 (3.18)

Note that the trace of (3.17) is of second order as a consequence of the conformal mode being a Galileon,

$$\alpha \bar{\Box} h = 4T. \tag{3.19}$$

Since the full theory is gauge invariant, lhs of Eq. (3.17) is consistent with covariantly conserved energy-momentum tensor. Solution to (3.17) and gauge invariant amplitude are, respectively,

$$h_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{\beta} \left\{ \frac{2}{\bar{\Box}} \left( T_{\mu\nu} - \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} T \right) - \frac{2}{\bar{\Box} + \frac{\beta}{\gamma} m^2} \left[ T_{\mu\nu} - \left( 1 - \frac{\beta}{2\alpha} \right) \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} T \right] - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \bar{\nabla}_{\mu} \bar{\nabla}_{\nu} \frac{1}{\bar{\Box} (\bar{\Box} + \frac{\beta}{\gamma} m^2)} T \right\}, \tag{3.20}$$

$$\mathcal{A} = -\int d^3x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \tilde{T}^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\beta} \int d^3x \sqrt{\bar{g}} \bigg\{ \tilde{T}^{\mu\nu} \frac{2}{\bar{\Box}} (T_{\mu\nu} - \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}T) - \tilde{T}^{\mu\nu} \frac{2}{\bar{\Box} + \frac{\beta}{\gamma} m^2} \bigg[ T_{\mu\nu} - \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2\alpha}\right) \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}T \bigg] \bigg\}.$$
(3.21)

From the last expression, we see that the spectrum consists of massless and massive spin-2 modes. As we saw in the toy model, the matter of which one is ghost and which one is particle depends on the sign of  $\beta$ .

#### **IV. DIFFERENT PHASES OF ENMG**

Let us discuss how ENMG behaves for the different choice of parameters  $m^2$ ,  $\Lambda$ , and  $\kappa$ . Since the massless mode does not propagate, we can ignore it and concentrate on the massive spin-2. Absence of tachyonic instabilities and dynamical ghosts requires  $\frac{\beta}{\gamma}m^2 > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ , respectively. First of all, let us focus on the case when  $\kappa \to \infty$ , i.e., new massive gravity amended by the C.C. For this case, the above conditions translate to [see Fig. 2(a)]

$$-6 \le \frac{\Lambda}{m^2} < 18. \tag{4.1}$$

Lower bound comes by demanding (3.16) to have real roots.  $\Lambda = -6m^2$  is special at this point  $\alpha = 0$ , and from (3.19) we see that so does *T*. Linear model acquires scale invariance and diffeomorphism transformations get enhanced by a Weyl piece [15],

$$h_{\mu\nu} \to h_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_{\mu}\xi_{\nu} + \nabla_{\nu}\xi_{\mu} + \pi \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}. \tag{4.2}$$

In the NMG limit,  $\gamma = 1$ . When C.C. is absent,  $\beta = 1$  or  $\beta = 3$  and around a maximally symmetric background, the model is free of dynamical ghosts and tachyonic instabilities ( $m^2 > 0$ ). As we can see from (4.1), this is not true for general  $\Lambda$ . Let us see what changes if we regard (2.1) as

an EFT. The lowest cutoff of this EFT is (after recovering the Planck mass)  $\Lambda_{5/2} = (\sqrt{M_p}m^2)^{2/5}$  [9].<sup>3</sup> Recovering the Planck mass does not affect (4.1). The values of  $\Lambda$  for which  $\beta < 0$  are within the EFT regime,  $\Lambda \ll \Lambda_{5/2}^2$ , as long as  $10^4m \ll M_p$ . The latter condition seems reasonable and is expected to hold; therefore, (2.1) with  $\kappa \to \infty$  can host a massive spin-2 ghost.

The phase diagram for finite  $\kappa$  is shown in Fig. 2(b). Here the red and orange regions are healthy (the kinetic term has the right sign, but it can be tachyonic), and for the blue and purple regions, we get ghosts. On the boundary between red and purple or orange and blue, the model is infinitely strongly coupled. Once again, there exist parameter choices within the EFT for which the massive spin-2 is a ghost, i.e., some parts of the blue and purple regions belong to EFT.

For  $\Lambda = -8\kappa m^2$  there exists a solution of (3.16) for which  $\gamma = 0$  and we get an exotic phase. The equation of motion (3.17) becomes second order and massive spin-2 disappears from the spectrum. From the identity

$$\alpha - \beta = \gamma \frac{\bar{R}}{6m^2},\tag{4.3}$$

it follows that, when  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $\alpha = \beta = (\kappa + 3)/3$ , further choosing  $\kappa = -3$ , complete lhs of (3.17) vanishes and all the linear dynamics is lost. In Appendix B, I will show that

 $<sup>{}^{3}\</sup>Lambda_{5/2}$  is the lowest scale of this model, it appears in the decoupling limit of "new massive gravity" [9] and defines the cutoff for cubic Galileon. Even lower cuttoff scale would be *m*, but in this case it makes no sense to talk about the massive spin-2.



FIG. 2. Left: the new massive gravity limit ( $\kappa \to \infty$ ). For the red region, solutions of (3.16) are real and corresponding  $\beta$ s are positive. For the purple region, solutions are real and one of the  $\beta$ s is negative. In the gray region, there are no maximally symmetric solutions. The green line corresponds to the enhanced symmetry phase (4.2). The units are arbitrary. Right: phases of the ENMG. For the red region, all solutions of (3.16) are real and corresponding  $\beta$ s are positive. For the purple region, all solutions are real and at least one of the  $\beta$ s is negative. For the orange region, one solution of (3.16) is real and corresponding  $\beta$  is positive. For the blue region, one solution is real and corresponding  $\beta$  is negative. This figure is produced by doing numerical analysis of (3.16). The discontinuity of thin blue region around  $\kappa = 0$  is a numerical artifact and it should be connected.

even though for  $\gamma = 0$  the massive mode is absent linearly, it reappears nonlinearly. Therefore,  $\gamma = 0$  phase is infinitely strongly coupled.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Gregory Gabadadze for guidance at all stages of this project and to David Pirtskhalava for discussions and comments. The project was supported by the NYU James Arthur Graduate Fellowship and by the NSF Grant No. PHY-1620039.

## **APPENDIX A: EQUATIONS OF MOTION**

The variation of the curvature squared and curvature cubed parts of (3.17) are, respectively,

$$K_{\mu\nu} = \nabla^2 R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} (g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^2 R + \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu R) - 4R_{\mu\rho} R^{\rho}_{\nu} + \frac{9}{4} R R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \left( 3R_{\rho\sigma} R^{\rho\sigma} - \frac{13}{8} R^2 \right), \tag{A1}$$

$$Q_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} \left( \frac{5}{2} R^{\rho}_{\lambda} R^{\sigma}_{\sigma} R^{\lambda}_{\sigma} - \frac{51}{16} R R^{\rho}_{\lambda} R^{\lambda}_{\rho} + \frac{127}{128} R^3 \right) + \frac{3}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^{\rho} \nabla^{\sigma} V_{\rho\sigma} + \frac{3}{2} \nabla^2 V_{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\rho} V^{\rho}_{\nu} - \frac{3}{2} \nabla_{\nu} \nabla_{\rho} V^{\rho}_{\mu} - \frac{9}{8} g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^2 \left( R^{\rho}_{\lambda} R^{\lambda}_{\rho} - \frac{17}{24} R^2 \right) + \frac{9}{8} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \left( R^{\rho}_{\lambda} R^{\lambda}_{\rho} - \frac{17}{24} R^2 \right) - 6 R^3_{\mu\nu} + 6 R R^2_{\mu\nu} + \frac{15}{8} R^{\rho}_{\lambda} R^{\lambda}_{\rho} R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{165}{64} R^2 R_{\mu\nu},$$
(A2)

where

$$V_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\nu}^2 - \frac{3}{4} R R_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\mu\nu}^{n+1} \equiv R_{\mu}^{\rho_1} R_{\rho_1}^{\rho_2} \cdots R_{\rho_n\nu}.$$
 (A3)

Linearized versions of the different parts of (3.15)

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{6}\Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\Theta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\bar{R}}{6}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}h + \frac{1}{6}(\Lambda - \bar{R})h_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{6}(\Lambda - \bar{R})\bar{g}_{\mu\nu},\tag{A4}$$

$$K_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \Phi_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\bar{R}}{6} (\bar{\nabla}_{\mu} \bar{\nabla}_{\nu} h + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{\nabla}_{\alpha} \bar{\nabla}_{\beta} h^{\alpha\beta}) \right] - \frac{\bar{R}}{24} \Theta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\bar{R}^2}{72} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} h - \frac{\bar{R}^2}{144} h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\bar{R}^2}{144} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{A5}$$

$$Q_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\bar{R}}{16} \left[ \Phi_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\bar{R}}{6} (\bar{\nabla}_{\mu} \bar{\nabla}_{\nu} h + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{\nabla}_{\alpha} \bar{\nabla}_{\beta} h^{\alpha\beta}) \right] + \frac{\bar{R}^2}{384} \Theta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\bar{R}^3}{384} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} h + \frac{\bar{R}^3}{1152} h_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\bar{R}^3}{1152} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{A6}$$

with

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu} \equiv \bar{\nabla}_{\mu}\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha}h^{\alpha}_{\nu} + \bar{\nabla}_{\nu}\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha}h^{\alpha}_{\mu} + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{\Box}h - \bar{\Box}h_{\mu\nu} - \bar{\nabla}_{\mu}\bar{\nabla}_{\nu}h - \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha}\bar{\nabla}_{\beta}h^{\alpha\beta},\tag{A7}$$

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu} \equiv \bar{\Box}^2 h_{\mu\nu} - \bar{\Box}\bar{\nabla}_{\mu}\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha}h^{\alpha}_{\nu} - \bar{\Box}\bar{\nabla}_{\nu}\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha}h^{\alpha}_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\Box}\bar{\nabla}_{\mu}\bar{\nabla}_{\nu}h + \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{\Box}\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha}\bar{\nabla}_{\beta}h^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\nabla}_{\mu}\bar{\nabla}_{\nu}\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha}\bar{\nabla}_{\beta}h^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{\Box}^2h.$$
(A8)

Using these, we can write (3.15) as

$$-\frac{\gamma}{m^2} \left[ \Phi_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\bar{R}}{6} (\bar{\nabla}_{\mu} \bar{\nabla}_{\nu} h + \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{\nabla}_{\alpha} \bar{\nabla}_{\beta} h^{\alpha\beta}) \right] + \beta \Theta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha \bar{R}}{3} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} h = 2T_{\mu\nu}. \tag{A9}$$

Here we used the background equation (3.16). After fixing the gauge (3.12), we recover (3.17). Setting  $\gamma = 0$ , (A9) reduces to linearized Einstein equation (3.11) with rescaled Planck mass.

#### **APPENDIX B: NONLINEAR CORRECTIONS**

In order to see why  $\gamma = 0$  phase is strongly coupled, we can study the next order corrections to the equations of motion. For my purposes, it is enough to study the  $\partial^2 h \partial^4 h$  interactions. This sector only appears in  $Q_{\mu\nu}$  and its presence is independent of the value of  $\gamma$ ,

$$Q_{\mu\nu} \supset \frac{3}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^{\rho} \nabla^{\sigma} V_{\rho\sigma} + \frac{3}{2} \nabla^{2} V_{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\rho} V_{\nu}^{\rho} - \frac{3}{2} \nabla_{\nu} \nabla_{\rho} V_{\mu}^{\rho} - \frac{9}{8} g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^{2} \left( R_{\lambda}^{\rho} R_{\rho}^{\lambda} - \frac{17}{24} R^{2} \right) + \frac{9}{8} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \left( R_{\lambda}^{\rho} R_{\rho}^{\lambda} - \frac{17}{24} R^{2} \right).$$
(B1)

Since we are only focusing on the  $\partial^2 h \partial^4 h$  interactions, we can set C.C. and the background curvature to zero  $\Lambda = \bar{R} = 0$ and linearize this expression around the Minkowski space-time. I will work in the transverse and traceless gauge  $\partial_{\nu} h^{\nu}_{\mu} = 0 = h$ . Relevant part of  $Q_{\mu\nu}$ ,

$$Q_{\mu\nu} \supset \frac{3}{8} \left( \partial^4 h_{\mu\rho} \partial^2 h_{\nu}^{\rho} + \partial^4 h_{\nu\rho} \partial^2 h_{\mu}^{\rho} - \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\rho} \partial^2 h_{\nu\lambda} \partial^2 h^{\rho\lambda} - \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\rho} \partial^2 h_{\mu\lambda} \partial^2 h^{\rho\lambda} - \frac{3}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^4 h_{\rho\sigma} \partial^2 h^{\rho\sigma} + \frac{3}{2} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \partial^2 h_{\rho\sigma} \partial^2 h^{\rho\sigma} \right).$$
(B2)

Equation for the next order corrections contains quartic derivatives. Even though when  $\gamma = 0$  the linear equations are of second order and as a consequence the massive mode disappears, and it will reappear in the next order perturbations. This shows that  $\gamma = 0$  phase is infinitely strongly coupled.

- G. 't Hooft and M. J. G. Veltman, One loop divergencies in the theory of gravitation, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare Phys. Theor. A 20, 69 (1974), http://www.numdam.org/item/ AIHPA\_1974\_20\_1\_69\_0/.
- [2] K. S. Stelle, Renormalization of higher derivative quantum gravity, Phys. Rev. D **16**, 953 (1977).
- [3] S. Deser, R. Jackiw, and G. 't Hooft, Three-dimensional Einstein gravity: Dynamics of flat space, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 152, 220 (1984).
- [4] E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm, and P. K. Townsend, More on massive 3D gravity, Phys. Rev. D 79, 124042 (2009).
- [5] E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm, and P. K. Townsend, Massive Gravity in Three Dimensions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 201301 (2009).
- [6] A. Sinha, On the new massive gravity and AdS/CFT, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2010) 061.
- [7] I. Gullu, T. C. Sisman, and B. Tekin, Born-Infeld extension of new massive gravity, Classical Quantum Gravity 27, 162001 (2010).
- [8] G. Gabadadze and G. Tukhashvili, Gravitational dressing of 3D conformal Galileon, Phys. Lett. B 793, 415 (2019).

- [9] C. de Rham, G. Gabadadze, D. Pirtskhalava, A. J. Tolley, and I. Yavin, Nonlinear dynamics of 3D massive gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2011) 028.
- [10] H. R. Afshar, E. A. Bergshoef, and W. Merbis, Extended massive gravity in three dimensions, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2014) 115.
- [11] M. Komada, Causality of 3D extended gravity theories, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 34, 1950122 (2019).
- [12] J. D. Edelstein, G. Giribet, C. Gomez, E. Kilicarslan, M. Leoni, and B. Tekin, Causality in 3D massive gravity theories, Phys. Rev. D 95, 104016 (2017).
- [13] M. Porrati, No van Dam-Veltman-Zakharov discontinuity in AdS space, Phys. Lett. B 498, 92 (2001).
- [14] A. Lichnerowicz, Propagateurs, commutateurs et anticommutateurs en rélativité générale, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 50, 145 (2018).
- [15] G. Gabadadze, G. Giribet, and A. Iglesias, *New Massive Gravity on de Sitter Space and Black Holes at the Special Point* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2015).