Inflationary equilibrium configurations of scalar-tensor theories of gravity

Israel Quiros $\mathbf{Q},^{1,*}$ $\mathbf{Q},^{1,*}$ $\mathbf{Q},^{1,*}$ Tame Gonzalez, 1,* Roberto De Arcia $\mathbf{Q},^{2,*}$ Ricardo García-Salcedo, 3,* Ulises Nucamendi^{®, 4,5,6,[∥](#page-0-4)} and Joel F. Saavedra^{7[,¶](#page-0-5)}

 1 Departamento Ingeniería Civil, División de Ingeniería, Universidad de Guanajuato,

Guanajuato, C.P. 36000, México
²Departamento Astronomía, División de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad de Guanajuato,

Guanajuato, C.P. 36023, México
³CICATA Leggyia, Instituto Bolitániae Nacional, Giudad de ³CICATA-Legaria, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Ciudad de México, C.P. 11500, México
⁴Instituto de Eísica y Matemáticas, Universidad Michaegana de San Nicolás de Hidaleo, Edifici

Instituto de Física y Matemáticas, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, Edificio C-3, Ciudad Universitaria, C.P. 58040 Morelia, Michoacán, México

 5 Mesoamerican Centre for Theoretical Physics, Universidad Autónoma de Chiapas, Ciudad Universitaria,

Carretera Zapata Km. 4, Real del Bosque (Terán), 29040 Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Chiapas, México

 6 Departamento de Física, Cinvestav, Avenida Instituto Politécnico Nacional 2508,

San Pedro Zacatenco, 07360 Gustavo A. Madero, Ciudad de México, México

Instituto de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Casilla 4950, Valparaíso, Chile

(Received 22 March 2020; accepted 23 April 2020; published 13 May 2020)

In this paper, we investigate the asymptotic dynamics of inflationary cosmological models that are based in scalar-tensor theories of gravity. Our main aim is to explore the global structure of the phase space in the framework of single-field inflation models. For this purpose, we emphasize the adequate choice of the variables of the phase space. Our results indicate that, although single-field inflation is generic in the sense that the corresponding critical point in the phase space exists for a wide class of potentials, along given phase space orbits—representing potential cosmic histories—the occurrence of the inflationary stage is rather dependent on the initial conditions. We have been able to give quantitative estimates of the relative probability (RP) for initial conditions leading to slow-roll inflation. For the nonminimal-coupling model with the ϕ^2 potential, our rough estimates yield an almost vanishing relative probability: 10⁻¹³% \lesssim $RP \ll 10^{-8}\%$. These bonds are greatly improved in the scalar-tensor models, including the Brans-Dicke theory, where the relative probability $1\% \leq RP \leq 100\%$. Hence, slow-roll inflation is indeed a natural stage of the cosmic expansion in Brans-Dicke models of inflation. It is confirmed as well that the dynamics of vacuum Brans-Dicke theories with arbitrary potentials are nonchaotic.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.101.103518](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.103518)

I. INTRODUCTION

Because of their relative simplicity, scalar fields represent a fruitful arena to test several of the most relevant physical theories [\[1,2\].](#page-21-0) These can be found in the lowenergy limit of string theory, which is equivalent to Brans-Dicke (BD) theory [\[3,4\]](#page-21-1) with the dilaton playing the role of the BD scalar field, as well as in models designed to explain the primordial inflation $[5-13]$, where the inflationary stage is driven by a self-interacting scalar field called the inflaton. Scalar fields may appear also as mediators of fundamental interactions as in the scalar-tensor theories (STTs) of

gravity [\[14](#page-22-0)–16], where the gravitational interactions are mediated both by the metric and by a scalar field.

Of particular importance to understand several issues of standard cosmology, such as flatness, horizon, and monopole problems, is the inflationary paradigm [5–[13,17](#page-21-2)–22]. Single-field inflation models are based on the possibility that a given scalar field ϕ —the inflaton—slowly rolls down its self-interaction potential $V(\phi)$. If the slow roll occurs (starts to be precise) in a regime of very high potential energy $(V \gg 1)$, the resulting scenario is called chaotic inflation [\[22\]](#page-22-1). Multifield inflation models represent an interesting alternative where two or several scalar fields may interact to produce the required amount of inflation [\[23\]](#page-22-2). Hybrid inflation is, perhaps, the most successful model of the latter kind [\[24,25\].](#page-22-3)

No matter whether one deals with multifield or single-field inflation models, their common feature is the high complexity of the mathematical structure: Only through the slow-roll approximation may one retrieve some useful analytic

[^{*}](#page-0-6) iquiros@fisica.ugto.mx

[[†]](#page-0-6) tamegc72@gmail.com

[[‡]](#page-0-6) robertodearcia@gmail.com

[[§]](#page-0-6) rigarcias@ipn.mx

[[∥]](#page-0-7) unucamendi@gmail.com [¶](#page-0-7) joel.saavedra@ucv.cl

information on the inflationary dynamics. Otherwise, one has to rely either on the numeric investigation or on the application of the tools of the dynamical systems theory [\[26](#page-22-4)– [28\].](#page-22-4) The use of dynamical systems is especially useful when one deals with scalar-field cosmological models[\[29](#page-22-5)–37]. By means of the dynamical systems tools, one may obtain very useful information on the asymptotic dynamics of the mentioned cosmological models. The asymptotic dynamics is characterized by (i) attractor solutions to which the system evolves for a wide range of initial conditions, (ii) saddle equilibrium configurations that attract the phase space orbits in one direction but repel them in another direction, (iii) source critical points which may be pictured as past attractors, or (iv) limit cycles, among others.

At this point, we have to mention that there is some tension between the existence of true attractor behavior and the Liouville theorem [\[38\].](#page-22-6) This result is correct only for Hamiltonian systems where the state space or phase space is spanned by "canonically" conjugated variables.¹ Take, for instance, a self-interacting scalar field that is minimally coupled to gravity. The model is given by the action (throughout the paper, we use the units where $8\pi G = M_{\rm Pl}^{-2} = c = 1$

$$
S_{\rm MC} = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} \left[\frac{1}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - V(\phi) \right],
$$

where R is the curvature scalar, $(\partial \phi)^2 \equiv g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi$, and $V = V(\phi)$ is the self-interaction potential. In terms of the homogeneous and isotropic Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric parametrized in the following way (we consider the case with flat spatial sections)— $ds^2 =$ $-dt^2 + e^{2\alpha(t)} \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j$, where $\alpha(t)$ is the time-dependent scale factor—the above action can be written as S_{MC} = $\int d^3x dt \mathcal{L}_{MC}(\alpha, \dot{\alpha}, \phi, \dot{\phi})$, where the Lagrangian density

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{MC}} = e^{3\alpha} \left[-3\dot{\alpha}^2 + \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} - V(\phi) \right]
$$

and the overdot denotes derivative with respect to the cosmic time t. In this case, one may introduce the following canonically conjugated momenta:

$$
\pi_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{MC}}{\partial \dot{\alpha}} = -6e^{3\alpha}\dot{\alpha}, \qquad \pi_{\phi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{MC}}{\partial \dot{\phi}} = e^{3\alpha}\dot{\phi},
$$

and write the "Hamiltonian" for this system: $\mathcal{H}_{MC} =$ $e^{-3\alpha}[-\pi_\alpha^2 + 6\pi_\phi^2 + 12e^{6\alpha}V]/12$. The equations of motion are then written in the form of Hamilton's equations:

$$
\dot{\pi}_{\alpha} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{MC}}{\partial \alpha}, \qquad \dot{\alpha} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{MC}}{\partial \pi_{\alpha}},
$$

$$
\dot{\pi}_{\phi} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{MC}}{\partial \phi}, \qquad \dot{\phi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{MC}}{\partial \pi_{\phi}},
$$

or, written in detail,

$$
\dot{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{6}e^{-3\alpha}\pi_{\alpha}, \qquad \dot{\pi}_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{4}e^{-3\alpha}[-\pi_{\alpha}^{2} + 6\pi_{\phi}^{2}] - 3e^{3\alpha}V, \n\dot{\phi} = e^{-3\alpha}\pi_{\phi}, \qquad \dot{\pi}_{\phi} = -e^{3\alpha}V_{\phi},
$$
\n(1)

where $V_{\phi} \equiv \partial V/\partial \phi$. These four ordinary differential equations (ODEs) together with the Hamiltonian constraint $H_{MC} = 0$ amount to the well-known cosmological equations:

$$
3\dot{\alpha}^{2} = \frac{\dot{\phi}^{2}}{2} + V, \qquad \ddot{\alpha} = -\frac{\dot{\phi}^{2}}{2}, \quad \ddot{\phi} + 3\dot{\alpha}\dot{\phi} = -V_{\phi}.
$$
 (2)

The phase space variables α , ϕ , π_{α} , and π_{ϕ} are appealing, because these allow one to apply the Hamiltonian formalism to scalar-field cosmological models, so that one may endow the mathematical equations of the model with wellknown physical meaning. However, scalar-field cosmological models admit, in general, more than one plausible set of phase space coordinates. In the above example, for instance, we may as well introduce the following dimensionless variables²:

$$
x = \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6}\dot{a}}, \qquad y = \frac{V_{\phi}}{V}, \tag{3}
$$

which are the phase space variables of a certain twodimensional (2D) state space. In terms of these new variables, the cosmological equations [\(2\)](#page-1-0) are traded by the following system of two ODEs:

$$
\frac{dx}{d\alpha} = -\left(3x + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}y}\right)(1 - x^2), \qquad \frac{dy}{d\alpha} = \sqrt{6}xy^2(\Gamma - 1),\tag{4}
$$

where now the scale factor α plays the role of "timeordering" variable or, simply, the time in the new state space and $\Gamma \equiv V V_{\phi\phi}/V_{\phi}^2$. For a wide class of selfinteraction potentials, depending of the concrete functional form of the potential $V = V(\phi)$, the latter quantity either is a constant or can be written as a function of the phase space variable y: $\Gamma = \Gamma(y)$. It can be shown, in particular, that $Γ = 1$ for the exponential potential $V \propto \exp(\sigma \phi)$, while for the quadratic potential $(V \propto \phi^2) \Gamma = 1/2$ (for the quartic potential $V \propto \phi^4$, $\Gamma = 3/4$). For other potentials, it can be a function instead. For the symmetry-breaking potential $V = \lambda(\phi^2 - \mu^2)^2/4$, for instance,

¹We use the primes to mean that these are not really canonical variables.

Posice that, due to our choice of units where $8\pi G = M_{\text{Pl}}^{-2} = 1$, the scalar field is a dimensionless quantity. $c = 1$, the scalar field is a dimensionless quantity.

$$
\Gamma = \frac{4[3\phi_{\pm}^{2}(y) - \mu^{2}]}{\phi_{\pm}^{2}(y) - \mu^{2}}, \qquad \phi_{\pm}(y) = \frac{2}{y} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu^{2}y^{2}}{4}} \right).
$$

In Eq. [\(4\),](#page-1-1) we used the Friedmann constraint [first equation in (2) :

$$
\frac{V}{3\dot{\alpha}^2} = 1 - x^2,\tag{5}
$$

in order to eliminate the term with the potential from the equations.

Then we have—at least—two different dynamical systems that amount to different plausible phase space representations of the cosmological equations [\(2\)](#page-1-0): (i) a three-dimensional (3D) Hamiltonian dynamical system on the variables α , ϕ , and π_{ϕ} ,

$$
\dot{\alpha} = \mp \frac{e^{-3\alpha}}{6} \sqrt{6\pi_{\phi}^2 + 12e^{6\alpha}V}, \qquad \dot{\phi} = e^{-3\alpha}\pi_{\phi},
$$

$$
\dot{\pi}_{\phi} = -e^{3\alpha}V_{\phi}, \qquad (6)
$$

where the Hamiltonian constraint $\pi_{\alpha} = \pm \sqrt{6\pi_{\phi}^2 + 12e^{6\alpha}V}$ has been explicitly considered in order to eliminate the variable π_{α} , and (ii) the 2D non-Hamiltonian dynamical system (4) on the variables x and y. In addition to the different dimensionality, the structure of the phase space is different in both cases so that these are not equivalent. Actually, the only critical point of [\(6\)](#page-2-0),

$$
\dot{\alpha} = \dot{\phi} = \dot{\pi}_{\phi} = 0 \Rightarrow V = 0, \qquad V_{\phi} = 0,
$$

is the attractor point corresponding to a static universe $(\alpha = \alpha_0 = \text{const})$ with the scalar field sitting on an extremum of V where the potential vanishes. In other words, in this equilibrium configuration, the scalar field has vanishing energy, since both its kinetic energy $\propto \dot{\phi}^2$ and its potential energy density V vanish. Meanwhile, in the general case without specifying the functional form of the potential V , the dynamical system (4) has three critical points P_i : (x_i, y_i) that are associated with nontrivial cosmological evolution,³ $x' = y' = 0$: (i) the stiff-mater solutions solutions

$$
P_{\text{stiff}}: (\pm 1, 0) \Rightarrow 3\dot{\alpha}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 \Rightarrow \alpha(t) = \pm \frac{\phi(t)}{\sqrt{6}} + C_0,
$$

where C_0 is an arbitrary integration constant, and (ii) de Sitter expansion

$$
P_{\text{dS}}:(0,0) \Rightarrow \dot{\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{V_0}{3}} \Rightarrow \alpha(t) = \sqrt{\frac{V_0}{3}}t + C_0,
$$

where C_0 and V_0 are constants. This means that there is a clear difference between the above choices of the phase space variables. The dimensionality of the phase space, which is different for the above choices, plays a fundamental role [\[39\],](#page-22-7) in particular, in the search for chaotic behavior. Recall that, based on the Poincarè-Bendixson theorem [40–[43\],](#page-22-8) it can be concluded that chaos may arise only in phase spaces with a dimension higher than two. Hence, an adequate choice of the phase space variables is of particular importance in the discussion about possible chaotic behavior in scalar-field cosmological models [\[44](#page-22-9)–47].

Given that the choice of variables in [\(6\)](#page-2-0) leads to a trivial static space solution and that, besides, due to Liouville's theorem the true attractor character of the solution is unclear [\[38\]](#page-22-6), in the present paper, as in most papers on the study of scalar-field cosmological models [\[1,29](#page-21-0)–37], we choose variables of the phase space that do not lead to Hamiltonian dynamics, so that we do not have to care about Liouville's theorem. What one really should care about are those variables that (i) are dimensionless so that the results of the analysis do not depend on the chosen units, (ii) span the phase space with the lowest dimensionality, (iii) are able to cover the whole phase space, and (iv) are bounded. The latter requirements are very important when one aims at establishing a quantitative measure for determining the relative probability of initial conditions leading to a given critical point (or to its neighborhood). Actually, if the given variables cover the whole phase space and are bounded, then the phase space is finite, and one may compute its volume and/or of any of its subsets, so that a geometric probability can be established.

The aim of the present paper is twofold. On one hand, there is a widespread belief that on the basis of the dynamical systems analysis it may be concluded that inflation is a fairly general property of solutions of scalar-field models [\[26,27\]](#page-22-4). Such a conclusion may be correct if the inflationary behavior can be associated with attractor critical points in the phase space so that, no matter what initial conditions are chosen, the corresponding phase space orbits, representing plausible cosmological dynamics, are attracted toward the inflationary point. However, due to the unclear identification of those critical points that may be associated with inflationary behavior (see, for instance, the discussion in Ref. [\[27\]](#page-22-10)), it is interesting to revise the mentioned result. On the other hand, despite the widespread use of the dynamical systems tools in cosmology [\[1,26](#page-21-0)–37], there are few published studies where the inflationary dynamics are correlated with equilibrium points in some phase space and where a quantitative measure of the number of initial conditions leading to the inflationary critical points is discussed.

³For both choices of phase space variables, the mentioned equilibrium points do not exhaust the whole phase space structure, since there can be critical points that are located at infinities. However, for the purposes of the present discussion, it is not necessary to take into account the whole phase space structure.

In this regard, here we want to explore the global phase space dynamics of single-field scalar-tensor models of inflation, with an emphasis on the search for critical points that may be correlated with the inflationary dynamics. Then, on the basis of geometric probability, we shall establish a quantitative measure of the amount of initial conditions leading to the inflationary equilibrium points. This will allow us to give quantitative estimates of the relative probability of slow-roll inflation in the models.

Here, we shall focus in scalar-tensor theories of gravity that are based on the following action⁴:

$$
S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [FR - (\partial \phi)^2 - 2V + 2\mathcal{L}_m], \quad (7)
$$

where $F = F(\phi)$ is an arbitrary non-negative function of the scalar field, $V = V(\phi)$ is its self-interacting potential, and \mathcal{L}_m is the Lagrangian of the matter degrees of freedom other than the scalar field (radiation, baryons, cold dark matter, etc.). The equations of motion resulting from [\(7\)](#page-3-0) read

$$
FG_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}^{(m)} + \partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(\partial\phi)^{2} - g_{\mu\nu}V
$$

+ $F_{\phi\phi}[\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi - g_{\mu\nu}(\partial\phi)^{2}]$
+ $F_{\phi}(\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\phi - g_{\mu\nu}\nabla^{2}\phi),$

$$
\nabla^{2}\phi + \frac{F_{\phi}(1 + 3F_{\phi\phi})}{2F + 3F_{\phi}^{2}}(\partial\phi)^{2} = \frac{F_{\phi}T_{(m)}}{2F + 3F_{\phi}^{2}}
$$

+
$$
\frac{2FV_{\phi} - 4F_{\phi}V}{2F + 3F_{\phi}^{2}},
$$
(8)

where $T_{\mu\nu}^{(m)} = -2\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_m)/\delta g^{\mu\nu}$ is the stress-energy tensor of matter, $T_{(m)} = g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu}^{(m)}$ is its trace, and $\nabla^2 = g^{\mu\nu} \nabla \nabla$. Begides we are using the following note. $\nabla^2 \equiv g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu}$. Besides, we are using the following notation: $X_{\phi} \equiv \partial X/\partial \phi$, $X_{\phi\phi} \equiv \partial^2 X/\partial \phi^2$, etc.

We have organized the paper in the following way. In Sec. [II,](#page-3-1) we make a detailed revision of the dynamical systems investigation of FRW cosmological models of a massive scalar field. No matter how simple the model seems, the existing papers did not succeed in identifying any actual critical points that may be associated with inflationary dynamics. In this section, through the use of appropriate variables of the phase space, we identify the critical points that are correlated with slow-roll inflation. In

⁴Notice that, under the innocuous scalar-field redefinition $\phi \rightarrow \varphi$: $\phi = h(\varphi)$, the gravitational piece of the action [\(7\)](#page-3-0) can be recast into the alternative form

$$
S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [F(\varphi)R - \omega(\varphi)(\partial \varphi)^2 - 2V(\varphi)],
$$

where $\omega(\varphi) \equiv h^2(\varphi)$.

Sec. [III,](#page-8-0) we discuss the inflationary dynamics of FRW cosmological models that are based in scalar-tensor theories of gravity of the type [\(7\)](#page-3-0). We obtain generic critical points that are quite independent of the coupling function $F(\phi)$ and of the potential $V(\phi)$. Among these, we identify points that belong in a critical manifold that corresponds to the slow-roll inflation. Then we focus on particular coupling functions, such as the one for nonminimal-coupling (NMC) theories: $F = 1 - \epsilon \phi^2$, in Sec. [IV](#page-9-0) and for Brans-Dicke theory: $F = \epsilon \phi^2$, in Sec. [V.](#page-10-0) The results of the present study are discussed in detail in Sec. [VI](#page-17-0). In this section, we focus mainly on the question of how natural primordial inflation really is in regards to the required initial conditions. For this purpose, we define a rough quantitative measure that is based on geometric probability. We are able to do this thanks to the correct choice of phase space variables. The possibility of chaotic behavior of the scalar-field dynamics in the phase space is also briefly discussed. In Sec. [VII,](#page-21-3) concluding remarks are given.

II. DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS STUDY OF ϕ^2 INFLATION

In this section, we shall revise the dynamical systems study of FRW cosmological models with a minimally coupled massive scalar field also known as ϕ^2 inflation [\[26,27\]](#page-22-4). The action of the model is given by

$$
S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} [R - (\partial \phi)^2 - m^2 \phi^2],
$$
 (9)

where m is the mass of the scalar (inflaton) field. In a FRW spacetime with flat spatial sections, whose line element is given bv^5

$$
ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\delta_{ik}dx^i dx^k, \qquad (10)
$$

the equations of motion read

$$
H^{2} = \frac{1}{6}(\dot{\phi}^{2} + m^{2}\phi^{2}),
$$

\n
$$
\dot{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^{2},
$$

\n
$$
\ddot{\phi} = -3H\dot{\phi} - m^{2}\phi.
$$
\n(11)

The slow-roll conditions that are necessary for early inflation to occur amount to [20–[22\]](#page-22-11)

$$
|\ddot{\phi}| \ll H|\dot{\phi}|, \qquad \dot{\phi}^2 \ll V. \tag{12}
$$

⁵Here and for the rest of the paper, we use a different parametrization for the metric than the one in the introductory part.

From the dynamical systems perspective, this model have been studied for the first time in Ref. [\[26\],](#page-22-4) and since then similar qualitative studies have been performed [\[27\]](#page-22-10). In the pioneering work [\[26\]](#page-22-4), the authors chose a mix of dimensionful and dimensionless variables: $\bar{x} = \phi/3m$, $\bar{y} = \dot{\phi}/3$, and $\bar{z} = H/m$. Their analysis did not reveal inflationary attractor solutions but rather asymptotic inflationary behavior at $t \to -\infty$ that is associated with unstable critical points. In Ref. [\[27\]](#page-22-10), the following set of dimensionless variables:

$$
\hat{x} = \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6}H}, \qquad \hat{y} = \frac{m\phi}{\sqrt{6}H}, \qquad \hat{z} = \frac{m}{H}
$$

was used. The authors of this work took the projection of the phase space into the $\hat{x} \hat{y}$ plane as the meaningful space to look for inflationary behavior and regarded the variable \hat{z} as a "control parameter." In that paper, as in the former [\[26\]](#page-22-4), it was not possible to find actual critical points that could be associated with the inflationary dynamics.⁶ Despite the lack of success in the identification of equilibrium states in the phase space that could be associated with inflationary dynamics, the authors of Ref. [\[26\]](#page-22-4) came to the conclusion that the inflationary stage is a fairly general property of the massive scalar-field model.⁷ Here, we want to revise this result by correctly identifying critical points that represent slow-rolling inflationary behavior.

The first step is to identify appropriate variables of some state space. If we introduce the following dimensionless and bounded variables:

$$
x = \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6}H}, \qquad y = \frac{m\phi}{\sqrt{6}H}, \qquad z = \frac{H}{H+m}, \qquad (13)
$$

where $|x| \le 1$, $|y| \le 1$, and $0 \le z \le 1$ (we consider only expanding cosmologies so that $H \geq 0$, due to the Friedmann constraint

$$
x^{2} + y^{2} = 1 \Rightarrow y = \pm \sqrt{1 - x^{2}},
$$
 (14)

one of the above variables is not independent from the others.

The two-dimensional dynamical system corresponding to the cosmological equations [\(11\)](#page-3-2) is given by the following pair of ordinary differential equations on the independent variables x and z :

$$
x'_{\pm} = \sqrt{1 - x^2} \left[-3x\sqrt{1 - x^2} z \mp (1 - z) \right],
$$

\n
$$
z' = -3x^2 z^2 (1 - z),
$$
\n(15)

where the comma denotes derivative with respect to the time variable $\tau = mt + \ln a$ and the \pm signs account for two branches of the dynamical system. Our variables x and z are bounded so that the global asymptotic dynamics of the ϕ^2 -inflation model is contained within the rectangle: $\Psi = \{(x, z) | -1 \le x \le 1, 0 \le z \le 1\}.$ This means that we do not have to apply the procedure based on the projection of points at infinity onto the equator of the Poincarè sphere, as in Ref. [\[26\]](#page-22-4) (see also [\[33,53\]](#page-22-12)).

It will be useful to write the slow-roll conditions [\(12\)](#page-3-3) in terms of the phase space variables [\(13\)](#page-4-0):

$$
|\ddot{\phi}| \ll H|\dot{\phi}| \to 3H\dot{\phi} \simeq -m^2\phi \to z_{\pm} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{\sqrt{1-x^2} \mp 3x}
$$
\n(16)

and

$$
\dot{\phi}^2 \ll V \to 3H^2 \simeq \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 \to y^2 \simeq 1 \to x \simeq 0. \tag{17}
$$

The first of the slow-roll conditions above is represented by an epsilon neighborhood around the curves $z_+ = z_+(x)$, while the second one is depicted by the epsilon neighborhood of the point $x = 0$. Notice that both slow-roll conditions coincide in the epsilon neighborhood of the phase space point $(x, z) = (0, 1)$.

The critical points P_i : (x_i, z_i) of the positive branch of the dynamical system [\(15\)](#page-4-1)—the phase portrait drawn in the left-hand panel in Fig. [1](#page-5-0)—are

- (i) four stiff-matter equilibrium points: the past attractors $(\pm 1, 1)$, the saddle point (1,0), and the future attractor $(-1, 0)$.—The latter points are associated with a static universe $(H = 0)$, while the former ones correspond to $H \gg m$. All of these critical points correspond to stiff matter solutions, since $x = \pm 1 \Rightarrow 3H^2 = \dot{\phi}^2/2.$
the saddle point corre
- (ii) the saddle point corresponding to primordial de Sitter inflation, P_{dS} : (0, 1).—In this case $x \to 0 \Rightarrow \phi \approx \phi_0 = \text{const}, \text{ i.e.,}$

$$
H \approx H_0 = \frac{m\phi_0}{\sqrt{6}}.
$$

The condition $z = 1$ implies either the formal limit $m \to 0$ —massless scalar field—or $H \gg m$ which, in turn, may be associated with large values $\phi_0 \gg 1$. Therefore, the de Sitter equilibrium configuration P_{dS} is attained at large field values, far from the minimum of the potential.

The negative branch of the dynamical system (phase portrait drawn in the right-hand panel in Fig. [1\)](#page-5-0) has the

 6 The authors of Ref. [\[27\]](#page-22-10) themselves recognize that what they called scalar-field-dominated critical points were not fixed points in the strict sense, since these depended on the third phase space coordinate \hat{z} .

There are divided opinions on whether or not single-field inflation arises naturally [\[7,48](#page-22-13)–52].

FIG. 1. Phase portrait of the dynamical system [\(15\)](#page-4-1) for the positive and negative branches (left- and right-hand panels, respectively). The small circles in the corners of each phase rectangle enclose the critical points of the dynamical system, but for the diamond that encloses the saddle critical point P_{inf} ∶(0, 1), which is the one associated with primordial inflation. The thick dash-dot curves correspond to the slow-roll condition [\(16\):](#page-4-3) $z_{\pm} = \sqrt{1 - x^2}/(\sqrt{1 - x^2} \pm 3x)$. These join the inflationary saddle point P_{inf} with the future attractor: the stiff matter solution [points (-1, 0) in the left-hand panel and (1,0) i stiff matter solution [points $(-1, 0)$ in the left-hand panel and $(1,0)$ in the right-hand panel]. The slow-roll conditions [\(16\)](#page-4-3) and [\(17\)](#page-4-2) are interesting to the inflationary point. The thick solid circle that encloses th jointly satisfied in the neighborhood of the inflationary point. The thick solid circle that encloses the diamond represents a ρ ball around the slow-roll inflation point. The ball of radius ρ is hit by those orbits that stay enough time in the neighborhood of the de Sitter point to produce the required amount of inflation.

same equilibrium points. The only difference is in the stability properties of the points $(\pm 1, 0)$. In this case, $(-1, 0)$ is a saddle, while $(1,0)$ is the future attractor.

Unlike former works [\[26,27\]](#page-22-4), here we have been able to find the critical point P_{dS} that is associated with inflationary behavior. This equilibrium state is easily recognizable, since, as seen from Fig. [1](#page-5-0), the slow-roll conditions [\(17\)](#page-4-2)

$$
x \approx 0, \qquad z_{\pm} = \frac{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}{\sqrt{1 - x^2} \mp 3x} \approx 1
$$

are jointly met, precisely, at the inflationary de Sitter point $(0,1)$ —enclosed within the small diamond in the figure. From the phase portrait, it is seen, also, that all of the phase plane orbits emerge from either of the stiff-matter past attractors $(-1, 1)$ or $(1, 1)$. The fact that the inflationary equilibrium state is a saddle critical point instead of an attractor entails that (i) inflation in this model is not as generic as concluded, for instance, in Ref. [\[26\],](#page-22-4) and (ii) inflation is a transient stage of the cosmic evolution so that exit from inflation is a natural phenomenon. The fact that the attractor solution is the stiff-matter point $H = -\dot{\phi}/\sqrt{6}$, with $H \approx 0$, means that the end point of the expansion is a static universe. This is due of course to the expansion is a static universe. This is due, of course, to the fact that the present model is not designed to describe the late-time dynamics of our Universe. In Sec. [II B](#page-6-0), we shall modify the model by including a cosmological constant term, and we shall look for the corresponding modification of the late-time dynamics.

A. Comparison of our study with similar studies

It is seen that our variables [\(13\)](#page-4-0) do not differ too much from the variables of Ref. [\[27\]:](#page-22-10) $x = \hat{x}$, $y = \hat{y}$, and $z = 1/(1 + \hat{z})$. Then, why did the authors of that reference not find the correct critical point that is associated with the inflationary behavior? The major difference of our study is in the correct identification of the independent variables that span the physically meaningful 2D phase space: the variables x and z, instead of \hat{x} and \hat{y} that are actually dependent on each other due to the Friedmann constraint: $\hat{x}^2 + \hat{y}^2 = 1$. In terms of the variables \hat{x} , \hat{y} , and \hat{z} , the dynamical system corresponding to the cosmological equations (2) reads [Eqs. $(5a)$ – $(5c)$ in Ref. $[27]$]

$$
\hat{x}' = -3\hat{x}(1 - \hat{x}^2) - \hat{y}\hat{z},
$$

\n
$$
\hat{y}' = 3\hat{x}^2\hat{y} + \hat{x}\hat{z},
$$

\n
$$
\hat{z}' = 3\hat{x}^2\hat{z},
$$
\n(18)

where the comma is for derivative with respect to the number of e-foldings $N = \ln a$. Notice that if in the first and second equations above we substitute the Friedmann constraint $\hat{y} = \pm \sqrt{1 - \hat{x}^2}$, where

$$
\hat{y}' = -\frac{\hat{x}\hat{x}'}{\pm\sqrt{1-\hat{x}^2}},
$$

we obtain one and the same equation, so that only one of them is an independent ordinary differential equation. Let us choose the first one. Then we are left with the following 2D dynamical system:

$$
\hat{x}' = -3\hat{x}(1 - \hat{x}^2) \pm \hat{z}\sqrt{1 - \hat{x}^2}, \n\hat{z}' = 3\hat{x}^2\hat{z},
$$
\n(19)

where the \pm signs account for two possible branches as in Eq. [\(15\)](#page-4-1). This is the physically meaningful dynamical system, and the phase space to look for the critical points of [\(19\)](#page-5-1) is the semi-infinite plane $\hat{\Psi} = \{(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) | -1 \le \hat{x} \le \hat{z}\}$ $1, 0 \leq \hat{z} < \infty$. In this regard, it is not difficult to see that

the choice of the phase plane $\Psi_* = \{(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) | -1 \le \hat{x} \le$ $1, 0 \le \hat{y} \le 1, \hat{x}^2 + \hat{y}^2 = 1$ in Ref. [\[27\]](#page-22-10) as the physically meaningful region to look for critical points is an illusion, since, due to the Friedmann constraint, Ψ_* is not a phase plane but a unit circle on the plane $\hat{x} \hat{y}$. There are three critical points of the dynamical system [\(19\)](#page-5-1) in the phase plane $\hat{\Psi}$: (i) the stiff-matter source points $(\hat{x}, \hat{z}) = (\pm 1, 0)$ and (ii) the inflationary saddle point at the origin $(0,0)$. Two other stiff-matter critical points are located at $\hat{z} \to \infty$, so that the projection of points at infinity onto the equator of the Poincarè sphere is required in order to complement the investigation in the $\hat{x} \hat{z}$ plane. The critical point at the origin (0,0) in the \hat{x} , \hat{z} coordinates is equivalent to our P_{dS} : (0, 1) above in terms of x and z , so that it is, in fact, the inflationary critical point the authors of Refs. [\[26,27\]](#page-22-4) were searching for.

B. ϕ^2 inflation plus a cosmological constant

If in the action [\(9\)](#page-3-4) we add a Lagrangian piece corresponding to a cosmological constant term, 2Λ , then the dynamical system corresponding to [\(11\)](#page-3-2) is the following 3D system of autonomous ODEs:

$$
x' = -3x(1 - x^2)z - y(1 - z),
$$

\n
$$
y' = x(1 + 3xyz - z),
$$

\n
$$
z' = -3x^2z^2(1 - z),
$$
\n(20)

where, as above, the comma denotes derivative with respect to the number of e-foldings $N = \ln a$ and the constraint $x^{2} + y^{2} = 1$ has been replaced by the modified Friedmann constraint:

$$
\Omega_{\Lambda} = 1 - x^2 - y^2,\tag{21}
$$

with $\Omega_{\Lambda} = \Lambda/3H^2$ the dimensionless energy density of the cosmological constant ($\Omega_{\Lambda} \geq 0$).

The physically meaningful phase space to search for critical points of [\(20\)](#page-6-1) is the cylinder:

$$
\Psi_{\Lambda} = \{ (x, y, z) | -1 \le x \le 1, -1 \le y \le 1, x^2 + y^2 \le 1, 0 \le z \le 1 \}.
$$

The critical points P_i : (x_i, y_i, z_i) of [\(20\)](#page-6-1) in Ψ_{Λ} are listed below (see Fig. [2\)](#page-6-2).

(i) de Sitter attractor manifold \mathcal{P}_{dS} : $(0, 0, z)$, for which $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 1, q = -1$, and

$$
\lambda = \left\{ 0, -\frac{3}{2}z \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{5z^2 + 8z - 4} \right\}.
$$

The vanishing eigenvalue of the linearization matrix is associated with the eigenvector that is tangent to the manifold P_{dS} at each point. The nonvanishing (conjugated) eigenvalues are always negative. Actually, $\lambda = -3z - \sqrt{5z^2 + 8z - 4}$ is clearly neg-
ative: meanwhile the negativity of $\lambda = -3z +$ ative; meanwhile, the negativity of $\lambda_+ = -3z + \sqrt{5z^2 + 8z - 4}$ is achieved whenever $(z - 1)^2 > 0$, $\sqrt{5z^2 + 8z - 4}$ is achieved whenever $(z - 1)^2 > 0$,
which is always satisfied which is always satisfied.

- (ii) Stiff-matter solutions P_{sm}^{\pm} ; ($\pm 1, 0, 1$), with $q = 2$,
 $\lambda = \{6, 3, 3\}$ represent isolated source critical $\lambda = \{6, 3, 3\}$, represent isolated source critical points (past attractors).
- (iii) Slow-roll inflation solution P_{inf} : $(0, 1, 1) \Rightarrow$
 $Q_1 = 0$ with $q = -1$, $\lambda = \{0, 0, 3\}$. Given that $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0$, with $q = -1$, $\lambda = \{0, 0, 3\}$. Given that two of the eigenvalues of the linearization matrix two of the eigenvalues of the linearization matrix are vanishing, nothing can be said about the stability

FIG. 2. Phase portrait of the dynamical system [\(20\).](#page-6-1) The different views from the directions $(\theta, \varphi, \psi) = (-130, 75, -5)$ and ð−45; ⁷⁵; [−]5Þ are shown. The small circles enclose the critical points of the dynamical system but for the inflationary saddle point P_{inf} : (0, 1, 1), which is enclosed by the diamond. The dash-dot straight line \mathcal{P}_{ds} : (0, 0, z) represents the de Sitter attractor manifold. The gridded surface corresponds to the slow-roll condition [\(16\):](#page-4-3) $z = \sqrt{1 - x^2}/(\sqrt{1 - x^2} - 3x)$. The region in the phase portrait where the slow-roll conditions (16) and (17) are jointly satisfied corresponds to a small neighbor slow-roll conditions [\(16\)](#page-4-3) and [\(17\)](#page-4-2) are jointly satisfied corresponds to a small neighborhood of the inflationary point P_{inf} lying on the gridded surface.

of this critical point. The numeric computations

show that this is, in fact, an isolated saddle point. Then, as expected, the addition of a cosmological term modified the late-time dynamics by replacing the stiffmatter (quasistatic) attractor in the classical vacuum case with the de Sitter attractor associated with the energy density of the quantum vacuum, i.e., the cosmological constant Λ. In this case, two inflationary stages coexist together: the slow-roll (primordial) inflation stage associated with the saddle de Sitter point P_{inf} and the late-time inflation states that belong in the attractor manifold P_{dS} .

C. Arbitrary potentials

For arbitrary potentials, the cosmological equations read

$$
3H^2 = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} + V(\phi),
$$

\n
$$
\dot{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2,
$$

\n
$$
\ddot{\phi} = -3H\dot{\phi} - V_{\phi}.
$$
\n(22)

In this case, it is convenient to introduce the following phase space variables:

$$
x = \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6}H}, y = \frac{\sqrt{V}}{\sqrt{3}H}, v = \frac{V_{\phi}}{V}.
$$
 (23)

In terms of these variables, the Friedmann constraint looks the same as above: $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, so that only one of these variables is an independent phase space variable. We choose x. The cosmological equations (22) are traded by the following 2D dynamical system:

$$
x' = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(1 - x^2)(\sqrt{6}x + v),
$$

\n
$$
v' = \sqrt{6}axv^2,
$$
\n(24)

where $\alpha = \Gamma_V - 1$ with $\Gamma_V = V V_{\phi\phi} / V_{\phi}^2$. The slow-roll conditions [\(12\)](#page-3-3) amount to

$$
\left| \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \right| \ll 1 \Rightarrow 3x^2 \ll 1 \Rightarrow x^2 \approx 0,
$$

$$
\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{H\dot{\phi}} \ll 1 \Rightarrow v \approx -\frac{\sqrt{6}x}{1 - x^2} \to 0.
$$
 (25)

These conditions are jointly satisfied at the origin $(x, v) = (0, 0)$, where $\phi \rightarrow \phi_0$ —a constant—and $v \rightarrow 0$, i.e., $V_{\phi}/V \rightarrow 0$. The corresponding critical point P_{inf} : (0, 0) is the one to be associated with the slow-rolling inflationary dynamics: $H = H_0 = \sqrt{V/3}$. The bond $v \approx 0$ restricts the kind of notentials that drive the inflationary restricts the kind of potentials that drive the inflationary stage. The exponential potential $V = V_0 \exp(\sigma \phi)$, for instance, does not lead to slow-roll inflation, since, in this case,

$$
v = \frac{V_{\phi}}{V} = \sigma,
$$

so that the critical point P_{inf} exists only if $\sigma \to 0$, i.e., for the constant potential or at large field values for the exponential potential with a very flat tail.

In general, the slow-roll inflationary critical point P_{inf} exists for potentials with extrema such that the potential is nonvanishing (at least) at one of the extrema and/or at very large field values far from the extrema. Take, for instance, the symmetry-breaking type of potential

$$
V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{4} (\phi^2 - \mu^2)^2,
$$

where λ is a dimensionless constant and μ is the mass parameter. In this case,

$$
v = \frac{4\phi}{\phi^2 - \mu^2},
$$

so that $v \to 0$ implies either that $\phi = 0$, where the potential is a local maximum, $V_{\text{max}} = V(0) = \lambda \mu^4/4$, or that the critical point is approached at very large field values $\phi \gg \mu$, where

$$
V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{4} (\phi^2 - \mu^2)^2 \rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4.
$$

Another very interesting choice corresponds to the powerlaw type of potentials: $V(\phi) = V_0 \phi^{2n}$, where *n* is a real number. In this case,

$$
v = \frac{2n}{\phi} \to 0,
$$

so that slow-roll inflation occurs at very large field values $\phi \gg 2n$. Hence, in general, the critical point associated with slow-roll inflation is approached if initial conditions are given at very large field values, so that what we have is a chaotic inflationary scenario.

We want to conclude this section by noting that the quadratic potential, that is usually associated with the massive scalar model, is not the only one that leads to slow-roll inflation in the framework of minimally coupled scalar-field models. As a matter of fact, any potential of the power-law type (positive power) leads to the existence of the slow-roll inflationary critical point P_{inf} . The same is true for the symmetry-breaking type of potential. The distinctive feature in these cases is that the slow-roll inflation occurs at large field values so that P_{inf} can be associated with chaotic inflation. In other words, in the framework of single-field inflationary models where the scalar field is minimally coupled, chaotic inflation is quite generic in the sense that it is attained for a large class of potentials.

III. SCALAR-TENSOR THEORIES OF GRAVITY IN THE PHASE SPACE

In terms of the FRW metric, the motion equations [\(8\)](#page-3-5) for scalar-tensor theories can be written in the following way:

$$
3FH^{2} = \frac{\dot{\phi}^{2}}{2} + V - 3F_{\phi}H\dot{\phi} + \rho_{m}, -2F\dot{H}
$$

\n
$$
= (1 + F_{\phi\phi})\dot{\phi}^{2} + F_{\phi}(\ddot{\phi} - H\dot{\phi}) + p_{m} + \rho_{m},
$$

\n
$$
\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{F_{\phi}(1 + 3F_{\phi\phi})}{2F + 3F_{\phi}^{2}}\dot{\phi}^{2}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{4F_{\phi}V - 2FV_{\phi}}{2F + 3F_{\phi}^{2}} + \frac{F_{\phi}(\rho_{m} - 3p_{m})}{2F + 3F_{\phi}^{2}},
$$
\n(26)

where the overdot means derivative with respect to the cosmic time t, while ρ_m and p_m are the energy density and barotropic pressure of matter, respectively. The following slow-roll parameters can be defined as [\[54\]](#page-22-14)

$$
\xi_1 := -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}, \qquad \xi_2 := \frac{\ddot{\phi}}{H\dot{\phi}}, \qquad \xi_3 := \frac{F_{\phi}\dot{\phi}}{2FH}, \qquad \xi_4 := \frac{E_{\phi}\dot{\phi}}{2EH},
$$
\n(27)

with $\xi_i \ll 1$ and $\dot{\xi}_i \approx 0$ during inflation. Here, the quantity E is defined as $E = (2F + 3F_{\phi}^2)/2$.

In what follows, we shall focus on the search for inflationary behavior; therefore, we shall omit the matter contribution.

A. Dynamical system

As has been explained above, in general, one can trade the very complex system of second-order equations [\(26\)](#page-8-1) by a system of autonomous ordinary differential equations through choosing adequate variables of some state space. Let us start by choosing the following phase space variables:

$$
x \equiv \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6FH}}, \qquad y \equiv \frac{F_{\phi}}{\sqrt{F}}, \qquad z \equiv \frac{V_{\phi}\sqrt{F}}{V}.
$$
 (28)

In terms of these variables, the Friedmann equation in [\(26\)](#page-8-1) can be written as the following constraint:

$$
\bar{\Omega}_V = \frac{V}{3H^2F} = 1 + \sqrt{6}xy - x^2.
$$
 (29)

This constraint can be used to remove terms with the potential V from further equations.

Equations [\(26\)](#page-8-1) can be traded by the following dynamical system on the variables x , y , and z :

$$
x' = \frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6FH^2}} - \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}x^2y - x\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2},
$$

\n
$$
y' = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}xy^2(2\Gamma_F - 1),
$$

\n
$$
z' = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}xz[y + 2z(\Gamma_V - 1)],
$$
\n(30)

where the prime denotes derivative with respect to the number of *e*-foldings $N = \ln a$, $\Gamma_X \equiv XX_{\phi\phi}/X_{\phi}^2$, and

$$
\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6F}H^2} = \frac{-3x[2 + \sqrt{6}xy(1 + y^2\Gamma_F) - y^2] + \sqrt{6}(2y - z\bar{\Omega}_V)}{2 + 3y^2},
$$

$$
\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = \frac{\sqrt{6}x[4y - \sqrt{6}x(1 + y^2\Gamma_F)] - 3y(2y - z\bar{\Omega}_V)}{2 + 3y^2}.
$$
(31)

While deriving Eqs. [\(30\)](#page-8-2) and [\(31\)](#page-8-3), we have taken into account the constraint [\(29\)](#page-8-4).

B. Generic critical points

In general, the functions $V(\phi)$ and $F(\phi)$ must be known in order to find the critical points of the 3D dynamical system [\(30\).](#page-8-2) However, there are three equilibrium configurations that can be found quite independent of both $V(\phi)$ and $F(\phi)$. The corresponding critical points are the following ones.⁸

(i) Source points, P_{stiff} : $(\pm 1, 0, 0)$.—These correspond to stiff-matter solutions, since for these critical points $\overline{\Omega}_V = V/3H^2F \to 0 \Rightarrow 3FH^2 \gg V$, and

$$
x = \pm 1 \Rightarrow 3FH^2 = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} \gg V.
$$

(ii) Inflationary manifold, \mathcal{P}_{dS} : $(0, z/2, z)$.—Points in this manifold correspond to the de Sitter solution, since, by substituting $x = 0$ and $y = z/2$ in the second equation in [\(31\)](#page-8-3) and taking into account that $x = 0 \Rightarrow \overline{\Omega}_V = 1$, it follows that $\dot{H}/H^2 = 0 \Rightarrow$ $H = H_0$. For points in P_{dS} , we obtain, besides, that

$$
\frac{V_{\phi}}{V} = 2\frac{F_{\phi}}{F} \Rightarrow V(\phi) = V_0 F^2(\phi). \tag{32}
$$

This means that for the coupling function $F = \epsilon \phi^2$ the de Sitter solution exists, in particular, for potentials that at large field values $\phi \gg 1/\sqrt{\epsilon}$

⁸Since neither $F(\phi)$ nor $V(\phi)$ are known, we cannot say verting about the stability of the given critical points. anything about the stability of the given critical points.

asymptote to the quartic potential $V \propto \phi^4$. Meanwhile, for $F = 1 - \epsilon \phi^2$ it exists for the symmetrybreaking type of potential⁹:

$$
V \propto (\phi^2 - \phi_0^2)^2, \qquad \phi_0 = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon}.
$$

The stiff-matter equilibrium configurations above exist but for the cases when $y^2(2\Gamma_F - 1)$ has no zeros. In this case, the only surviving critical points are those in the inflationary manifold P_{dS} (see below).

We want to underline that the above conclusions are valid only in general terms. When more specific scenarios are considered, other possibilities arise, including new equilibrium configurations not included above and/or other potentials that can lead to de Sitter expansion. In the next sections, we shall illustrate this statement by considering specific functional forms for the coupling function that are frequently encountered in the bibliography.

IV. NONMINIMAL-COUPLING THEORIES

Nonminimal-coupling theories are given by the following choice of the coupling function:

$$
F(\phi) = 1 - \epsilon \phi^2 \Rightarrow \Gamma_F = -\frac{1 - \epsilon \phi^2}{2\epsilon \phi^2} = -\frac{2\epsilon}{y^2},
$$
 (33)

where, in order to have attractive gravity, $|\phi| \leq 1/\sqrt{\epsilon}$.
When $\epsilon = 1/6$ it is known as the conformal counting When $\epsilon = 1/6$, it is known as the conformal coupling theory. Meanwhile, when $\epsilon = 0$, we deal with the minimalcoupling theories where the scalar field has no gravitational effects beyond those of a matter source.

For the above choice of the coupling function, it is verified that

$$
y^2(2\Gamma_F - 1) = -(4\epsilon + y^2) \neq 0
$$

has no zeros. The dynamical system corresponding to the vacuum NMC theory reads

$$
x' = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}x^2y - x\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} + \frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6FH^2}},
$$

\n
$$
y' = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}x(y^2 + 4\epsilon),
$$

\n
$$
z' = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}xz(2\alpha z + y),
$$
\n(34)

where the phase space variables are defined in [\(28\)](#page-8-5) and $\alpha \equiv \Gamma_V - 1$. Besides,

$$
\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = \frac{\sqrt{6}x[4y - \sqrt{6}(1 - 2\epsilon)x] - 3y(2y - z\bar{\Omega}_V)}{2 + 3y^2},
$$

$$
\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6FH^2}} = \frac{-3x[2 + \sqrt{6}(1 - 2\epsilon)xy - y^2] + \sqrt{6}(2y - z\bar{\Omega}_V)}{2 + 3y^2},
$$
(35)

where $\overline{\Omega}_V$ is given by [\(29\):](#page-8-4) $\overline{\Omega}_V = 1 + \sqrt{6xy - x^2}$.
A crude inspection of the dynamical system (34)

A crude inspection of the dynamical system [\(34\)](#page-9-1) shows that, as expected, the only equilibrium configurations belong in the inflationary de Sitter manifold¹⁰:

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{dS}}\colon \left(0,\frac{z}{2},z\right),\
$$

whose critical points are attractors, as shown by the numeric investigation. Since at the de Sitter point

$$
y = \frac{z}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{V_{\phi}}{V} = 2\frac{F_{\phi}}{F},
$$

these configurations exist, in particular, for the "symmetrybreaking" type of potential

$$
V = \frac{\lambda}{4} (\phi^2 - \phi_0^2)^2, -\phi_0 \le \phi \le \phi_0,
$$

where $\phi_0 \equiv 1/\sqrt{\epsilon}$ and $\lambda \equiv 4V_0 \epsilon^2$. In this case, the equilibrium configuration is not associated with the minima of the symmetry-breaking potential, since at the minima, which in the present case coincide with the end points of the allowed ϕ interval: $\phi = \pm \phi_0$, the self-interaction potential vanishes $V = 0$, which is not compatible with the de Sitter condition: $\overline{\Omega}_V = 1 \Rightarrow 3H^2F = V \propto F^2 \Rightarrow$ $3H^2 \propto F$, as long as at the minima of the potential the coupling function vanishes as well, $F = 0$. This leads, in turn, to $H = 0$; i.e., we get a static universe instead of de Sitter expansion.

Given that for the de Sitter attractor $x = 0 \ (\Rightarrow \phi = 0)$ const), which means that $\overline{\Omega}_V = 1$, a look at the dynamical system [\(34\)](#page-9-1) shows that the equilibrium point exists for arbitrary potentials as long as the condition

$$
y = \frac{z}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{V_{\phi}}{V} = 2\frac{F_{\phi}}{F} = \frac{4\epsilon\phi}{\epsilon\phi^2 - 1}
$$
 (36)

is fulfilled. Although integration in quadratures of [\(36\)](#page-9-2) straightforwardly leads to the symmetry-breaking type

⁹We underline that, although integration in quadratures of the condition [\(32\)](#page-8-6) leads to a specific type of potentials, other potentials may as well fulfill this condition. In these cases, [\(32\)](#page-8-6) determines the values of the scalar field that are to be associated with the de Sitter attractor. Below, we shall come back to this issue again.

¹⁰As a matter of fact, there can be other critical points at infinity, but, in order to find them, one needs a complementary study.

of potential, this is not the only type of potential that can be associated with the de Sitter critical point. Take, for instance, the well-known exponential potential $V =$ $V_0 \exp(\sigma \phi)$. In this case, fulfillment of the condition [\(36\)](#page-9-2) is possible when

$$
\phi_{\pm}^* = \frac{2}{\sigma} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{4\epsilon}} \right).
$$

For very small values of the nonminimal coupling ϵ , as dictated by the physical evidence, the slow-roll de Sitter attractor is approached at large field values: $\phi_{\pm}^* \approx \pm 1/\sqrt{\epsilon}$, similar to the minimal-counting case discussed above. Yet similar to the minimal-coupling case discussed above. Yet another example is given by the power-law potentials $V = V_0 \phi^{2n}$, where *n* is a real number. In this case, [\(36\)](#page-9-2) entails that

$$
\phi_{\pm}^* = \pm \sqrt{\frac{n}{(n-2)\epsilon}},
$$

which is again a large quantity if ϵ is small enough. Notice that, in the particular case of the quartic potential, fulfill-ment of the condition [\(36\)](#page-9-2) requires that $\epsilon \phi^2 \gg 1$, which is physically dismissed, since we expect gravity to be attractive. Hence, in the framework of NMC single-inflation models, the quartic potential cannot drive the slow-roll inflation.

Below, we shall investigate the physically outstanding case of the Brans-Dicke (BD) theory. In this case, a certain simplification of the dynamics is achieved so that we can perform an exhaustive study of the phase space global dynamics.

V. INFLATIONARY DYNAMICS OF VACUUM BRANS-DICKE THEORY

In this section, we study the scalar-tensor gravity that is specified by the following choice of the function $F = F(\phi)$ in [\(26\)](#page-8-1):

$$
F(\phi) = \epsilon \phi^2, \tag{37}
$$

where ϵ is a dimensionless coupling constant. It can be easily checked that, under the simultaneous replacement [\[14\]](#page-22-0)

$$
\phi \to \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \phi^2, \qquad \omega_{\rm BD} \to \frac{1}{4\epsilon}, \tag{38}
$$

the theory given by the choice [\(37\)](#page-10-1) maps into the Jordan frame (JF) BD theory:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{BD}}^{\phi^2} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \phi^2 R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 \to \mathcal{L}_{\text{BD}}^{\text{JF}} = \phi R - \frac{\omega_{\text{BD}}}{\phi} (\partial \phi)^2, \quad (39)
$$

so that the choice [\(37\)](#page-10-1) corresponds to choosing the Brans-Dicke theory [\[4\]](#page-21-4). The equations of motion [\(26\)](#page-8-1) are greatly simplified in this case:

$$
H^{2} = \frac{1}{6\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}\right)^{2} - 2\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}H + \frac{V}{3\varepsilon\phi^{2}} + \frac{\rho_{m}}{3\varepsilon\phi^{2}},
$$

$$
\dot{H} = -\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}\right)^{2} + 4H\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi} - \frac{4V - \phi V_{\phi}}{(1 + 6\varepsilon)\phi^{2}} - \frac{p_{m} + (1 + 8\varepsilon)\rho_{m}}{2\varepsilon(1 + 6\varepsilon)\phi^{2}},
$$

$$
\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\phi} + 3H\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi} + \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}\right)^{2} = \frac{4V - \phi V_{\phi}}{(1 + 6\varepsilon)\phi^{2}} + \frac{\rho_{m} - 3p_{m}}{(1 + 6\varepsilon)\phi^{2}}.
$$
(40)

A. Comparison of the goals of the present approach with those of similar studies

Before we continue with the investigation of the asymptotic dynamics of BD theory [\(40\)](#page-10-2), we want to mention that a similar study has been performed before, among others, in Refs. [\[55,56\]](#page-22-15). In Ref. [\[55\],](#page-22-15) the dynamics of the Brans-Dicke theory in the Jordan frame was investigated. Cosmological models that are based in the following JFBD Lagrangian density:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{BD}}^{\text{JF}} = \phi R - \frac{\omega_{\text{BD}}}{\phi} (\partial \phi)^2 - 2V, \tag{41}
$$

were considered with the inclusion of the matter Lagrangian. The work [\[55\]](#page-22-15) was focused in the search for global attractor dynamics representing a de Sitter state; however, the authors were not interested in the whole global dynamics, since not all of the existing critical points were found. As a matter of fact, at least one of the variables of the phase space chosen in Ref. [\[55\]:](#page-22-15)

$$
x^* = \frac{\dot{\phi}}{H\phi}, \qquad y^* = \sqrt{\frac{V}{3\phi}} \frac{1}{H}, \qquad \lambda^* = -\phi \frac{V_\phi}{V},
$$

the variable λ^* to be specific, is unbounded so that, in order to find the critical points at λ^* infinity, a complementary study—not performed in the mentioned reference—is required. According to the results of Ref. [\[55\],](#page-22-15) there are values of the BD coupling parameter ω_{BD} for which a global attractor in the phase space representing the de Sitter stage exists.

The investigation of Ref. [\[55\]](#page-22-15) was revisited in Ref. [\[56\]](#page-22-16), where it was concluded that in the JFBD the de Sitter solution is found only for the quadratic potential. The authors of Ref. [\[56\]](#page-22-16) considered the BD theory in the dilatonic frame (also string frame) that is given by the Lagrangian density

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{BD}}^{\text{dil}} = e^{\varphi} [R - \omega_{\text{BD}} (\partial \varphi)^2] - 2V. \tag{42}
$$

Under the replacement $\phi = e^{\varphi}$, the latter Lagrangian is transformed into the JFBD Lagrangian density [\(41\)](#page-10-3) above. In this work, the authors considered the exponential selfinteraction potential and its combinations, such as the cosh and sinh potentials (the trivial case with the constant potential was also considered). In this regard, we should notice that, since the dilatonic BD theory was the considered framework, then in terms of the usual JFBD theory field variables [\(41\)](#page-10-3), the exponential and its combinations, cosh and sinh potentials, all amount to the power-law potential and its combinations:

$$
e^{k\varphi} = \phi^k
$$
, $\cosh(k\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi^k + \phi^{-k})$, etc.

In the present paper, we shall explore the BD theory in the formulation given by the Lagrangian density:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{BD}}^{\phi^2} = \frac{\epsilon \phi^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - V,\tag{43}
$$

that, as shown, is equivalent to the JFBD Lagrangian [\(41\)](#page-10-3). Besides, we consider the exponential potential $V \propto$ $\exp(\sigma\phi)$, which under the above replacements transforms into the exponential in the JFBD theory: $\exp(\sigma \phi) \rightarrow$ $\exp(\sigma \sqrt{8\omega_{BD}\phi})$, and also the symmetry-breaking potential $V \propto (b^2 - \mu^2)^2$, which transforms into $V \propto (b - \mu^2)^2$ $V \propto (\phi^2 - \mu^2)^2$, which transforms into $V \propto (\phi - \mu^2)^2$ $8\omega_{BD}$ ², in the JFBD formulation. None of these potentials have been considered before in similar studies. Because of its role in the study of the inflationary dynamics, we also dedicate a separate subsection to discussing the role of the power-law potentials $V \propto \phi^{2n}$.

The investigation in this section differs from the ones in Refs. [\[55,56\],](#page-22-15) in the following aspects: (i) here, we use a different framework for the BD theory that is evident from [\(43\)](#page-11-0), (ii) but for the power-law potential here we consider self-interaction potentials that were not explored in Ref. [\[56\]](#page-22-16) and also make general statements that are independent of the type of potential as in Ref. [\[55\]](#page-22-15), and (iii) we use a different set of phase space variables than the ones used in the mentioned references, which allows us to expose the whole global dynamics of the phase space of the Brans-Dicke theory. Besides, we complement the study with the numeric investigation as well.

B. The dynamical system

The cosmological equations [\(40\)](#page-10-2) can be written in terms of the following phase space variables:

$$
x \equiv \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6\epsilon}\phi H}, \qquad z \equiv \sqrt{\epsilon} \frac{\phi V_{\phi}}{V}, \qquad w \equiv \frac{\sqrt{\rho_m}}{\sqrt{3\epsilon}\phi H}. \quad (44)
$$

In the present case, since $F = \epsilon \phi^2$, y in [\(28\)](#page-8-5) is not a variable of the phase space but is a real number: $y = 2\sqrt{\epsilon}$. The Friedmann equation in [\(40\)](#page-10-2) can be written as the following Friedmann constraint relating the kinetic and potential energy densities of the scalar field with the matter energy density:

$$
\bar{\Omega}_V^w \equiv \frac{V}{3\epsilon \phi^2 H^2} = 1 + 2\sqrt{6\epsilon}x - x^2 - w^2. \tag{45}
$$

The latter equation allows us to remove terms with the potential V from the subsequent equations. We have that

$$
\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\phi H^2} = -3\sqrt{6\epsilon}x - 6\epsilon x^2
$$

$$
+ \frac{3\sqrt{\epsilon}[\sqrt{\epsilon}(4 - 3\gamma_m)w^2 + (4\sqrt{\epsilon} - z)\bar{\Omega}_V^w]}{1 + 6\epsilon}, \qquad (46)
$$

which can be written in the following alternative form:

$$
\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\dot{\phi}H} = -3 - \sqrt{6\epsilon}x + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}(4 - 3\gamma_m)w^2 + (4\sqrt{\epsilon} - z)\bar{\Omega}_V^w}{(1 + 6\epsilon)x}
$$
\n(47)

and

$$
\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = 4\sqrt{6\epsilon}x - 3x^2 - \frac{3[(\gamma_m + 8\epsilon)w^2 + 2\sqrt{\epsilon}(4\sqrt{\epsilon} - z)\bar{\Omega}_V^w]}{2(1 + 6\epsilon)},
$$
\n(48)

where we have assumed the relationship $p_m = (\gamma_m - 1)\rho_m$ between the pressure and the energy density of the matter fluid (γ_m is the barotropic index of the fluid).

The motion equations [\(40\)](#page-10-2) can be traded by the following 3D dynamical system:

$$
x' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\phi H^2}\right) - \sqrt{6\varepsilon}x^2 - x\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2},
$$

\n
$$
z' = \sqrt{6}xz(\alpha z + \sqrt{\varepsilon}),
$$

\n
$$
w' = -w\left(\frac{3\gamma_m}{2} + \sqrt{6\varepsilon}x + \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\right),
$$
\n(49)

where, as before, the prime means derivative with respect to the time variable $N = \ln a$ and $\alpha \equiv \Gamma_V - 1$. In the above equations, $\ddot{\phi}/\phi H^2$ and \dot{H}/H^2 should be substituted from Eqs. [\(46\)](#page-11-1) and [\(48\)](#page-11-2), respectively. While deriving the third equation in [\(49\)](#page-11-3), we have taken into account the continuity equation for pressureless matter: $\dot{\rho}_m = -3\gamma_m H \rho_m$.

Below, for simplicity, we shall omit the matter component; i.e., in the above equations we set $w = 0$. In this case, the dynamical system [\(49\)](#page-11-3) simplifies to the following 2D dynamical system:

$$
x' = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\overline{\Omega}_V^0 \left[-\sqrt{6}x + \frac{(1 + \sqrt{6\epsilon}x)(4\sqrt{\epsilon} - z)}{1 + 6\epsilon} \right],
$$

\n
$$
z' = \sqrt{6}xz(\alpha z + \sqrt{\epsilon}),
$$
\n(50)

where

$$
\bar{\Omega}_V^0 \equiv \bar{\Omega}_V^{w=0} = 1 + 2\sqrt{6\varepsilon}x - x^2. \tag{51}
$$

Since $\overline{\Omega}_V^0 \ge 0$ is a non-negative quantity, from [\(51\)](#page-12-0) it follows that the variable x is a bounded variable: $a_-\leq x\leq a_+$, where $a_\pm=\sqrt{6\epsilon}\pm\sqrt{6\epsilon+1}$.
The slow-roll conditions (27) amount to

The slow-roll conditions [\(27\)](#page-8-7) amount to given trajectories $z = z(x)$ in the phase plane xz. We have, in particular, that

$$
\left|\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\right| \ll 1 \Rightarrow z_* = 4\sqrt{\epsilon} - \frac{(1+6\epsilon)x(4\sqrt{6\epsilon} - 3x)}{3\sqrt{\epsilon}(1+2\sqrt{6\epsilon}x - x^2)},\tag{52}
$$

$$
\left| \frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\dot{\phi}H} \right| \ll 1 \Rightarrow z_{**} = 4\sqrt{\epsilon} - \frac{(1 + 6\epsilon)x(\sqrt{6} + 2\sqrt{\epsilon}x)}{1 + 2\sqrt{6\epsilon}x - x^2}.
$$
 (53)

Here, we shall not specify the type of potential by considering arbitrary $\alpha = \alpha(x, z)$, but in order to perform the numeric investigation we shall explore very simple particular cases when α is either a known function of the phase space variables or a constant parameter. We shall consider, in particular, two well-known potentials of cosmological interest: the exponential potential (EXP)

$$
V(\phi) = V_0 \exp(\sigma \phi) \Rightarrow \Gamma_V = 1 \Rightarrow \alpha = 0, \quad (54)
$$

where σ is a free parameter, and the symmetry-breaking potential (SBP)

$$
V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{4} (\phi^2 - \mu^2)^2 \Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{2\sqrt{\epsilon} - z}{2z},
$$
 (55)

where μ and λ are free constants. In consequence, for the EXP the second equation in [\(50\)](#page-11-4) can be written as

$$
z' = \sqrt{6\epsilon}xz,\tag{56}
$$

while for the SBP we have that

$$
z' = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} xz(4\sqrt{\epsilon} - z). \tag{57}
$$

C. Bounded variables: Finite phase space

The problem with the dynamical system [\(50\)](#page-11-4) is that, although x is a bounded variable: $a_-\leq x \leq a_+$, the phase plane $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is infinite, in general, since z is unbounded. In consequence, one or several critical points of the dynamical system may be located at z infinities. In such a case, it is recommendable to work in a different set of variables. An approach frequently used is to keep working with the unbounded variables and then to complement the study with the procedure based on the projection onto the equator of the Poincarè sphere—also known as Poincarè compactification—in order to bring the points at infinity into a finite region [\[33,53\].](#page-22-12) This was the approach followed, for instance, in the early paper [\[26\].](#page-22-4) However, there are other possible approaches as, for instance, the one exposed in Ref. [\[57\],](#page-22-17) where a regular dynamical system on a bounded state space is obtained by introducing polar coordinates. A similar procedure was proposed in Ref. [\[28\]](#page-22-18).

Here, we follow a different approach that is applicable to any unbounded variables. The main idea is to introduce bounded variables $|X_i^{\pm}| \le 1$ that are related with the original unbounded ones $-\infty < \bar{Y} < \infty$ in the following original unbounded ones, $-\infty < \bar{X}_i < \infty$, in the following way [\[58\]](#page-22-19):

$$
\begin{aligned} X_i^+ &= \frac{\bar{X}_i}{1 + \bar{X}_i}, \qquad 0 \le \bar{X}_i < \infty | X_i^- = \frac{\bar{X}_i}{1 - \bar{X}_i}, \\ -\infty < \bar{X}_i \le 0. \end{aligned}
$$

As seen, our approach operates at the cost of introducing several sets of bounded variables, since, in general, one single set of them is not enough to cover the whole phase space. In the present case, we use two sets of variables: $(x, v₊)$ and $(x, v₋)$, where, in place of z we introduce the new variables

$$
v_{+} \equiv \frac{z}{1+z}
$$
 $(z \ge 0),$ $v_{-} \equiv \frac{z}{1-z}$ $(z \le 0).$ (58)

The set of variables $a_-\leq x\leq a_+$, $0\leq v_+\leq 1$, covers the "upper" half of the phase plane:

$$
\Psi^+(x, z) = \{(x, z) | a_- \le x \le a_+, 0 \le z < \infty \} \to \Psi^+(x, v_+) \n= \{(x, v_+) | a_- \le x \le a_+, 0 \le v_+ \le 1 \},
$$
\n(59)

while a second set x, $v_$, where $-1 \le v_$ ≤ 0, covers the lower half of the phase plane:

$$
\Psi^{-}(x,z) = \{(x,z) | a_{-} \le x \le a_{+}, -\infty < z \le 0 \} \to \Psi^{-}(x,v_{-})
$$

= \{(x,v_{-}) | a_{-} \le x \le a_{+}, -1 \le v_{-} \le 0 \}. (60)

The whole phase plane is then the union $\Psi^{\text{wh}}(x, u) =$ $\Psi^+(x, v_+) \cup \Psi^-(x, v_-)$, where $u = v_+ \cup v_-$.

In terms of the bounded variables [\(58\),](#page-12-1) we have that

$$
\left(\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\right)_{\pm} = 4\sqrt{6\epsilon}x - 3x^2
$$

$$
-\frac{3\sqrt{\epsilon}(1+2\sqrt{6\epsilon}x-x^2)[4\sqrt{\epsilon} \mp (4\sqrt{\epsilon} \pm 1)v_{\pm}]}{(1+6\epsilon)(1 \mp v_{\pm})},
$$

$$
(61)
$$

while the dynamical system (50) is written as

$$
\frac{dx}{dT_{\pm}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}(1 + 2\sqrt{6\epsilon}x - x^2)}{\sqrt{2}(1 + 6\epsilon)} \left\{-\sqrt{6}(1 + 6\epsilon)x(1 \mp v_{\pm})\right. \\
\left. + (1 + \sqrt{6\epsilon}x)[4\sqrt{\epsilon} \mp (4\sqrt{\epsilon} \pm 1)v_{\pm}]\right\}, \\
\frac{dv_{\pm}}{dT_{\pm}} = \sqrt{6}xv_{+}(1 \mp v_{\pm})[(\alpha \mp \sqrt{\epsilon})v_{\pm} + \sqrt{\epsilon}], \tag{62}
$$

where we have introduced the time variables $dT_{\pm} =$ $dN/(1 \mp v_+)$, with $N = \ln a$ as in [\(30\)](#page-8-2) and [\(50\)](#page-11-4) above. In the subindexes \pm and \mp in [\(61\)](#page-13-0) and [\(62\),](#page-13-1) the upper sign is for the upper half of the phase space while the lower sign is for the lower half. For the EXP [\(54\)](#page-12-2), the second equation in (62) reads¹¹

$$
\frac{dv_{\pm}}{dT_{\pm}} = \sqrt{6\varepsilon}xv_{\pm}(1 \mp v_{\pm})^2,\tag{63}
$$

while for the SBP (55) we have that

$$
\frac{dv_{\pm}}{dT_{\pm}} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} v_{\pm} (1 \mp v_{\pm}) [4\sqrt{\epsilon} \mp (4\sqrt{\epsilon} \pm 1)v_{\pm}]. \quad (64)
$$

For the power-law potential $V \propto \phi^{2n}$ (*n* is a real number), $v_{\pm} = v_0^{\pm}$ are constants, so that the second equation in [\(62\)](#page-13-1)
is an identity and the dynamical system simplifies to a is an identity and the dynamical system simplifies to a single autonomous ordinary differential equation. This case will be studied in the next subsection.

The slow-roll conditions [\(27\)](#page-8-7) amount to

$$
\left| \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi H} \right| \ll 1 \Rightarrow x \approx 0,
$$

\n
$$
\left| \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \right| \ll 1 \Rightarrow v_{\pm}^* \approx \frac{z_*}{1 \pm z_*},
$$

\n
$$
\left| \frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\dot{\phi} H} \right| \ll 1 \Rightarrow v_{\pm}^{**} \approx \frac{z_{**}}{1 \pm z_{**}},
$$
\n(65)

where z_* and z_{**} are given by Eqs. [\(52\)](#page-12-4) and [\(53\)](#page-12-5), respectively. By looking at Fig. [3](#page-14-0), one may notice that the curve $\lambda(x) = v_{+}^{**} \cup v_{-}^{**}$ approaches to the separatrix in $\Psi^{\text{wh}}(x, u)$ —at least—along of the heteroclinic orbit joining the de Sitter critical point P_{dS} with the scaling saddle point $P_{\rm sc}$ (see below).

The critical points P_i : (x_i, u_i) of the dynamical system [\(62\)](#page-13-1) in $\Psi^{\text{wh}}(x, u)$ are the following ones (see Fig. [3\)](#page-14-0):

(i) Stiff-matter solutions,

$$
P_{\text{stiff}}^{\pm}:(a_{\pm},0),
$$

where $a_{\pm} = \sqrt{6\epsilon} \pm \sqrt{6\epsilon + 1}$. The point P_{stiff}^{\pm} is a saddle critical point. In source point, while P_{stiff}^- is a saddle critical point. In this case,

$$
x = a_{\pm} \Rightarrow \phi H = \frac{\dot{\phi}}{a_{\pm}\sqrt{6\varepsilon}},
$$

$$
\frac{V}{3\varepsilon\phi^2H^2} \to 0 \Rightarrow \phi H \gg \sqrt{V/3\varepsilon}.
$$

Hence, $\dot{\phi}/\sqrt{2} \gg a_{\pm} \sqrt{V}$. For the symmetry-
preaking potential (55) due to the condition breaking potential [\(55\)](#page-12-3), due to the condition

$$
v_{\pm} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\phi V_{\phi}}{V} \to 0,
$$

these solutions are associated with the maximum of the potential. Actually, in this case,

$$
\frac{\phi V_{\phi}}{V} = \frac{4\phi^2}{\phi^2 - \mu^2} \to 0 \Rightarrow \phi \to 0.
$$

Since at the minimum $V = \lambda \mu^4/4$, then $\dot{\phi} \gg$ $a_{\pm} \sqrt{\lambda/2} \mu^2$.
For the F

For the EXP [\(54\)](#page-12-2), the condition that $\phi V_{\phi}/V \to 0$ implies that these critical points are approached at very small field values: $\phi \ll 1/\sigma$. For the power-law potential $V \propto \phi^{2n}$, since $\phi V_{\phi}/V = 2n$, the stiffmatter solutions P_{stiff}^{\pm} do not exist.

(ii) Special stiff-matter solutions,

$$
P_{\text{s-sdiff}}^{\pm} : \left(a_{\pm}, \frac{4\sqrt{\epsilon}}{4\sqrt{\epsilon}+1} \right),
$$

which exist only for potentials that asymptotically approach to the quartic potential such as, for instance, the SBP. Actually, in this case,

$$
z = 4\sqrt{\epsilon} \Rightarrow \frac{\phi V_{\phi}}{V} = 4 \Rightarrow V \propto \phi^4,
$$

and, since at this point $V = 0$, it is necessarily linked with the minimum of the quartic potential. The point $P_{\text{s-stiff}}^-$ is a source point, while $P_{\text{s-stiff}}^+$ is a saddle instead.

For other potentials, the point does not exist. Take, for instance, the power-law potential $V =$ $V_0 \phi^{2n}$. We get that $z = 4\sqrt{\epsilon} \Rightarrow 2n = 4$, so that only for $n = 2$ —the quartic potential—the critical point for $n = 2$ —the quartic potential—the critical point

 11 Compare with Eqs. [\(56\)](#page-12-6) for the exponential potential and [\(57\)](#page-12-7) for the symmetry-breaking potential.

FIG. 3. Phase portrait of the dynamical system [\(62\)](#page-13-1) for the exponential potential [\(54\)](#page-12-2)—left-hand panel—and for the symmetry-breaking potential [\(55\)](#page-12-3)—right-hand panel. We have arbitrarily set $\epsilon = 0.1$. The small circles enclose the critical points of the dynamical system but for the slow-roll de Sitter critical point, P_{dS} : $(0, 4\sqrt{\epsilon}/(4\sqrt{\epsilon}+1))$, that is enclosed within the small diamond. The thin dashed
curve corresponds to the slow-roll condition $|\dot{H}/H^2| \ll 1$; meanwhile curve corresponds to the slow-roll condition $|\dot{H}/H^2| \ll 1$; meanwhile, the thick dash-dot curve corresponds to the slow-roll condition $|\ddot{\phi}/\phi H| \ll 1$. All of the slow-roll conditions, including $\dot{\phi}/\phi H \ll 1 \Rightarrow x \approx 0$, are jointly satisfied at the local de Sitter attractor (diamond).

exists. Meanwhile, for the exponential potential $V = V_0 \exp(\sigma \phi)$, we have that

$$
z = 4\sqrt{\epsilon} \Rightarrow \sigma\phi = 4 \Rightarrow V = V_0 e^4 \neq 0;
$$

i.e., the condition $V = 0$ is never fulfilled. (iii) Slow-roll de Sitter solution,

$$
P_{\text{dS}}: \left(0, \frac{4\sqrt{\epsilon}}{4\sqrt{\epsilon}+1}\right).
$$

It can be either a local attractor or a saddle point. From Fig. [3](#page-14-0), it is seen that for the EXP the de Sitter point is always a local attractor. Meanwhile, for the SBP the slow-roll inflationary solution can be either a local attractor for initial conditions obeying $0 \le$ $u \leq 4\sqrt{\epsilon}/(4\sqrt{\epsilon}+1)$ or a saddle point for initial
conditions fulfilling $4\sqrt{\epsilon}/(4\sqrt{\epsilon}+1) < u < 1$ For conditions fulfilling $4\sqrt{\epsilon}/(4\sqrt{\epsilon}+1) \le u \le 1$. For initial conditions in the lower half of the phase plane initial conditions in the lower half of the phase plane $(u < 0)$, the de Sitter critical point may not be approached.

Given that $x = 0 \Rightarrow \phi = \phi_0$ and, at the same time,

$$
\frac{V}{3\epsilon\phi^2H^2} = 1, \frac{\phi V_{\phi}}{V} = 4 \Rightarrow V \propto \phi^4,
$$

for the BD theory the de Sitter critical point P_{dS} exists, in particular, for potentials that asymptotically approach to the quartic potential. We want to underline that, as has been discussed in Sec. [IV](#page-9-0) for the NMC theory, for the BD theory the de Sitter point also exists for other potentials beyond the quartic one. Take, for instance, the EXP: $V = V_0 \exp(\sigma \phi)$. In this case, the condition $z =$ $4\sqrt{\epsilon}$ means that $\sigma\phi = 4$. Hence, for the EXP, at the de Sitter point de Sitter point

$$
H = \frac{\sigma}{4} \sqrt{\frac{V_0}{3\epsilon}} e^2.
$$

Another example can be the symmetry-breaking potential [\(55\)](#page-12-3). For this potential, the de Sitter solution is approached at constant $\phi_0 \gg \mu \Rightarrow V \propto$ ϕ_0^4 , and the Friedmann constraint leads to¹²

$$
H^{2} = \frac{\lambda \phi_{0}^{2}}{12\epsilon} \Rightarrow H = H_{0} = \frac{\phi_{0}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3\epsilon}}.
$$

Hence, as in the minimally coupled and NMC theories, for the SBP the de Sitter equilibrium configuration is attained at large field values $\phi_0 \gg \mu$.

(iv) Scaling between the kinetic and potential energy densities (saddle point),

 12 Throughout the paper, we consider expanding cosmologies exclusively, so that we take into account only non-negative $H \geq 0$.

$$
P_{\rm sc} : \left(\frac{2\sqrt{6\epsilon}}{3(2\epsilon+1)}, 0\right).
$$

For this equilibrium configuration, we get that both

$$
\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6\epsilon\phi}H} = \frac{2\sqrt{6\epsilon}}{3(2\epsilon+1)}, \quad \frac{V}{3\epsilon\phi^2H^2} = \frac{60\epsilon^2 + 28\epsilon + 3}{3(2\epsilon+1)^2}
$$

are constants, so that the kinetic and the potential energies are related by a constant:

$$
\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2V} = \frac{8\epsilon}{60\epsilon^2 + 28\epsilon + 3}
$$

:

The Hubble parameter evolves like

$$
H = \frac{2\epsilon + 1}{4\epsilon(t - t_0)}, \qquad t_0 = \frac{2\epsilon + 1}{4\epsilon}C_0,
$$

where C_0 is an integration constant. Given that at $P_{\rm sc}$, $\phi V_{\phi}/V \rightarrow 0$, the scaling solution does not exist for the power-law potentials.

(v) Generic stiff-matter solutions,

$$
P_{\text{g-stiff},\pm}^{\pm}:(a_{\pm},\pm 1),
$$

for which $\phi V_{\phi}/V \to \infty$. For the SBP [\(55\)](#page-12-3), these solutions are associated with the minima of the potential, since

$$
\frac{\phi V_{\phi}}{V} = \frac{4\phi^2}{\phi^2 - \mu^2} \to \infty \Rightarrow \phi \to \pm \mu.
$$

Meanwhile, for the exponential potential [\(54\)](#page-12-2), since

$$
\frac{\phi V_{\phi}}{V} = \sigma \phi \to \infty,
$$

the points $P_{\text{g-sdiff},\pm}^{\pm}$ are asymptotically approached a
very large values of the fields $4 \gg 1/\pi$. For the very large values of the field: $\phi \gg 1/\sigma$. For the power-law potential, these solutions do not exist.

The point $P_{\text{gsdiff},+}^+$; $(a_+, 1)$ is a saddle for the EXP
d.e. source gritical point for the SPP; meanwhile and a source critical point for the SBP; meanwhile, $P_{\text{g-stiff},+}^{\text{f}}$; $(a_-, 1)$ is a saddle for the EXP and a local
attractor for the SDP. The points P_{f}^{f} attractor for the SBP. The points $P_{\text{g-sdiff},-}^{+}:(a_+, -1)$
and P_{-}^{-} $((a_-, 1)$ are a local attractor and a and $P_{\text{g-stiff},-}^{\text{f}}$;(a₋,-1) are a local attractor and a source critical point, respectively, for both potentials.

As seen, but for the special stiff-matter solutions $P_{s\text{-stiff}}^{\pm}$ that are found only for the SBP potential [\(55\),](#page-12-3) the remaining critical points are common to both potentials [\(54\)](#page-12-2) and [\(55\)](#page-12-3). Notice, in particular, that the de Sitter solution arises for any potential that leads to α being a function of the phase space variable z: $\alpha = \alpha(z)$, including the cases when α is a constant. This can be seen by a simple inspection of [\(50\)](#page-11-4) or, in terms of the compact variables,

$$
v'_{\pm} = \sqrt{6x}v_{\pm}[(\alpha \mp \sqrt{\epsilon})v_{\pm} + \sqrt{\epsilon}];
$$

i.e., the de Sitter critical point $(x = 0)$ exists for any $\alpha = \alpha(v_+)$. We recall, however, that not every potential admits writing $\alpha = \Gamma_V - 1$ as a function of v_+ (or of z).

D. Power-law potential

Given its singular properties, here we dedicate a particular space to study the power-law potential in the BD theory. For this potential we have that

$$
V = V_0 \phi^{2n} \Rightarrow V_\phi = 2nV\phi^{-1},\tag{66}
$$

where *n* is a free constant, so that $z = 2n\sqrt{\epsilon}$ is not a variable. For the vacuum case, the dynamical system (50) variable. For the vacuum case, the dynamical system [\(50\)](#page-11-4) reduces to a single ordinary differential equation:

$$
x' = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \left\{ \frac{[2(n+1)\epsilon - 1]\sqrt{6}x + 2(2-n)\sqrt{\epsilon}}{1 + 6\epsilon} \right\} \bar{\Omega}_V, \quad (67)
$$

where, according to [\(45\)](#page-11-5),

$$
\bar{\Omega}_V \equiv \frac{V}{3\epsilon\phi^2 H^2} = 1 + 2\sqrt{6\epsilon}x - x^2.
$$

As seen from [\(67\)](#page-15-0), only for the quartic potential ($n = 2$) does the de Sitter critical point exist. This potential corresponds to the quadratic potential in the formulation of BD theory given by the Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{BD} in [\(39\)](#page-10-4).

In order to check the role of the de Sitter critical point in the case of the quartic potential $V = V_0 \phi^4$, it is instructive to add a cosmological constant term Λ into the cosmological equations. This corresponds to setting $\gamma_m = 0$ and $z = 4\sqrt{\epsilon}$ in Eqs. [\(45\)](#page-11-5)–[\(49\).](#page-11-3) We end up with the following plane-autonomous dynamical system: plane-autonomous dynamical system:

$$
x' = -3x(1 + 2\sqrt{6\varepsilon}x - x^2 - w^2) + \frac{[2\sqrt{6\varepsilon} - 3(1 + 2\varepsilon)x]w^2}{1 + 6\varepsilon},
$$

$$
w' = -w\left(5\sqrt{6\varepsilon}x - 3x^2 - \frac{12\varepsilon w^2}{1 + 6\varepsilon}\right),
$$
 (68)

where according to [\(44\)](#page-11-6) the variable $w = \sqrt{\Lambda}/\sqrt{3\epsilon\phi}H$ and,
as before, the prime denotes derivative with respect to the as before, the prime denotes derivative with respect to the time variable $N = \ln a$. The critical points of [\(68\)](#page-15-1) are located within the disk:

$$
\Psi = \{(x, w)|a_{-} \le x \le a_{+}, (x - \sqrt{6\epsilon})^2 + w^2 \le 1 + 6\epsilon\},\
$$

$$
a_{\pm} = \sqrt{6\epsilon} \pm \sqrt{6\epsilon + 1}.
$$
 (69)

The slow-roll conditions [\(27\)](#page-8-7) correspond to the following curves:

FIG. 4. Phase portrait of the dynamical system [\(68\)](#page-15-1) for the quartic potential $V \propto \phi^4$ for two different values of the free parameter: $\epsilon = 0.1$ —left hand panel—and $\epsilon = 1$ —right hand panel. The small circles enclose the critical points of the dynamical system but for the de Sitter attractor, P_{dS} ∶ $(0, 0)$, that is enclosed within the small diamond. The thin dashed curve corresponds to the slow roll condition $|\dot{H}/H^2| \ll 1$, meanwhile the thick dash-dot curve corresponds to the slow-roll condition $|\dot{\phi}/\phi H| \ll 1$.

$$
\left| \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \right| \ll 1 \Rightarrow w_{\pm}^* \approx \pm \sqrt{\frac{1 + 6\epsilon}{12\epsilon} x (4\sqrt{6\epsilon} - 3x)},
$$

$$
\left| \frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\dot{\phi}H} \right| \ll 1 \Rightarrow w_{\pm}^{**} \approx \pm \sqrt{\frac{1 + 6\epsilon}{2\sqrt{6\epsilon}} x (3 + \sqrt{6\epsilon}x)}.
$$
 (70)

From Fig. [4](#page-16-0), it is seen that the curve $\lambda = \lambda(x) =$ $\{w_{+}^{**} \cup w_{-}^{**}\}\$ (thick dash-dot curve) coincides with the separatrices in Ψ separatrices in Ψ.

Below, we list the critical points of [\(68\)](#page-15-1) and make a few comments on their properties.

(i) de Sitter attractor P_{dS} : (0,0). At this equilibrium configuration, we have that

$$
\{x = 0(\phi = \phi_0), w = 0\} \Rightarrow \overline{\Omega}_V^w = 1 \Rightarrow H = H_0
$$

$$
= \phi_0^2 \sqrt{\frac{V_0}{3\varepsilon}}.
$$

(ii) Stiff-mater solutions P_{stiff}^{\pm} : $(a_{\pm}, 0)$, for which

$$
\frac{\dot{\phi}}{a_{\pm}\sqrt{6\epsilon}} = \phi H \gg V.
$$

These are source critical points in Ψ. (iii) Scaling solutions

$$
P_{\text{sc},*}^{\pm}:\left(\frac{2\sqrt{6\epsilon}}{3(1+2\epsilon)},\pm\frac{\sqrt{180e^2+84e+9}}{3(1+2\epsilon)}\right),\right
$$

which are saddle points in the phase disk. These represent scaling of the type: $\dot{\phi}/\sqrt{2\Lambda}$ = const, so that $\phi(t) \propto t$. Notice that this scaling solution has nothing to do with the scaling point $P_{\rm sc}$ discussed above, since $P_{\text{sc},*}^{\pm}$, representing scaling between the kinatic energy of the scalar field and the energy kinetic energy of the scalar field and the energy density of vacuum Λ, cannot be found for the dynamical system [\(62\),](#page-13-1) since in that case the cosmological constant was not considered.

An interesting property of the global dynamics of the BD theory in the form [\(43\),](#page-11-0) with the quartic potential $V =$ $V_0\phi^4$ and a cosmological constant Λ, is that, unlike in the minimal-coupling case where the slow-roll inflation is a saddle critical point and the Λ-de Sitter solution is the global attractor, in the present case there is not any critical point that can be associated with Λ -dominated energy density and the slow-roll inflation persists as the global attractor. This may be due to the fact that the dynamics of the scalar field is determined not by the potential V but by an effective potential W_{eff} such that

$$
\frac{dW_{\text{eff}}}{d\varphi} = \frac{4}{1 + 6\epsilon} \left[\varphi \frac{dV}{d\varphi} - 2(V + \Lambda) \right],
$$

where we have introduced the scalar-field variable $\varphi = \varphi^2$. Actually, after the latter replacement of the scalar field the BDKG equation—third equation in [\(40\)](#page-10-2)—can be written in minimal-coupling form:

$$
\ddot{\varphi} + 3H\dot{\varphi} = -\frac{4}{1 + 6\epsilon} \left(\varphi \frac{dV}{d\varphi} - 2V \right). \tag{71}
$$

Then we introduce the effective potential W_{eff} such that

$$
\frac{dW_{\text{eff}}}{d\varphi} = \frac{4}{1 + 6\epsilon} \left(\varphi \frac{dV}{d\varphi} - 2V \right) \Rightarrow W_{\text{eff}}
$$

$$
= \frac{4}{1 + 6\epsilon} \int \left(\varphi \frac{dV}{d\varphi} - 2V \right) d\varphi + W_0, \quad (72)
$$

where W_0 is an integration constant. It is chosen so that the effective potential is always a non-negative quantity. For the exponential potential $V = V_0 \exp(\sigma \phi) =$ $V_0 \exp(\sigma \sqrt{\varphi})$, we get that

$$
W_{\text{eff}} = \frac{4V_0 e^{\sigma\sqrt{\varphi}}}{\sigma^2 (1 + 6\epsilon)} (\sigma^2 \varphi - 6\sigma\sqrt{\varphi} + 6) + W_0.
$$

The minimum of this effective potential is at $\phi = \sqrt{\varphi} =$
4/g where W^{min} = $\frac{9V}{24}$ ($\frac{4}{\sqrt{6}}$ ($\frac{1}{2}$) + W_i so that we $4/\sigma$, where $W_{\text{eff}}^{\text{min}} = -8V_0e^4/\sigma^2(1+6\epsilon) + W_0$, so that we set $W = 8V_0e^4/\sigma^2(1+6\epsilon)$. In terms of the original set $W_0 = 8V_0e^4/\sigma^2(1+6\epsilon)$. In terms of the original variable ϕ , the resulting effective potential reads

$$
W_{\text{eff}} = W_{\text{eff}}(\phi)
$$

= $\frac{4V_0 e^{\sigma \phi}}{\sigma^2 (1 + 6\epsilon)} (\sigma^2 \phi^2 - 6\sigma \phi + 6) + \frac{8V_0 e^4}{\sigma^2 (1 + 6\epsilon)}.$ (73)

Hence, for the exponential potential, the de Sitter attractor is associated with the minimum of this effective potential at $\phi = 4/\sigma$.

The addition of a cosmological constant does not appreciably modify the main properties of the effective potential. In the present case, we are interested in the quartic potential $V = V_0 \phi^4$, so that integration in quadratures of the equation above for this potential yields

$$
W_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{8V_0\Lambda\varphi}{1+6\epsilon} = -\frac{8V_0\Lambda\phi^2}{1+6\epsilon}.
$$

As seen, the effective potential is a maximum at the same value $\phi = 0$ at which the quartic potential is a minimum. This is why the stiff-matter solutions—the ones associated with the maximum of the effective potential—represent source points in the phase space, while solutions with larger values of the field are preferred.

VI. DISCUSSION

There is an ongoing discussion in the bibliography on how natural primordial inflation really is in the framework of single-field inflation models [48–[52\].](#page-22-20) In this regard, in Ref. [\[52\]](#page-22-21) an interesting statement is made that "local dynamical systems analysis seems to show that the initial conditions for inflation do not have to be finely tuned." Perhaps the author refers to the kind of results discussed in Refs. [\[26,27\]](#page-22-4) and/or in Ref. [\[38\]](#page-22-6), even if these references were not included in Ref. [\[52\].](#page-22-21) The statement is based on the known (also misleading) result that, although the inflationary slow-roll trajectory is not a global attractor, it is a local attractor in initial condition space.

Our results challenge the above statements, at least in regard to minimal-coupling models such as the massive scalar or ϕ^2 -inflation model [\(9\)](#page-3-4). In this paper, we have demonstrated that single-field slow-roll inflation is quite a generic stage of the expansion in the sense that the corresponding critical point exists for a large class of potentials (this includes power-law potentials with any non-negative power) and a variety of couplings of the scalar field to the curvature. Nevertheless, at least for minimalcoupling theories, ϕ^2 inflation is not as general a property of single-field inflation models as thought, since it is rather dependent on the initial conditions.

Below, we shall discuss the relative probability of inflation through identifying a rough quantitative measure for estimating the relative amount of initial data leading to slow-roll inflation. Our measure will be based in geometric probability. Imagine the phase space has a finite volume. Picking a specific point in the phase volume amounts to choosing a specific initial condition which, in turn, selects a specific orbit in the phase space. The question is, which is the probability that a given initial condition leads the related orbit to approach close enough to a given critical point? The answer is trivial if the critical point were a global attractor. In this case, every possible initial condition, i.e., any point in the volume, lies on an orbit that ends up in the global attractor. Given that the geometric probability is defined as the ratio of the volume containing successful initial conditions V_{success} to the volume of the whole phase space V_{whole} :

$$
RP = \frac{V_{\text{success}}}{V_{\text{whole}}} \times 100\%,\tag{74}
$$

the geometric probability of the global attractor is unity, i.e., $RP = 100\%$. Meanwhile, if the critical point were a local attractor, not every chosen initial condition leads to the attractor. In such a case, if one were able to determine the volume of that subset of the phase space containing all of the possible initial conditions that lead to the local attractor, then one would be able to give an estimate of the relative (geometric) probability by taking the ratio of the volume containing successful initial conditions to the volume of the whole phase space as in [\(74\)](#page-17-1). Perhaps the more difficult task is to determine the relative probability of a saddle critical point. In this case, one has to determine first how close to the critical point given orbits have to approach to meet appropriate physical criteria. In other words, one has to determine first a ρ ball around of the saddle critical point, where the radius ρ of the ball is determined through the physical criteria. Then, if one were able to determine the volume of the subset of the phase space containing all of the possible initial conditions that pick up orbits that hit the ρ ball, one would be able to compute a relative probability by taking the ratio of that volume to the volume of the whole phase space, just as in [\(74\).](#page-17-1)

Notice that the present relative probability can be implemented only if the phase space is finite, i.e., if adequate variables of the phase space are chosen. This is the case for the minimally coupled ϕ^2 -inflation model in Sec. [II](#page-3-1) and for BD models of inflation with arbitrary potentials in Sec. [V.](#page-10-0) In these cases, the phase space is 2D so that the geometrical probability is the ratio of areas instead of volumes.

A. Toward an estimation of the relative probability of inflation

We start our analysis with the minimal-coupling ϕ^2 inflation model (see Sec. [II](#page-3-1)). The phase portrait corre-sponding to this case is given in Fig. [1](#page-5-0) (see also Fig. [5](#page-18-0), where only the positive branch of the dynamical system is considered). Notice that in this case the slow-roll heteroclinic trajectory (thick dash-dot curves in Fig. [1](#page-5-0) and in the left-hand panel in Fig. [5](#page-18-0))

$$
|\ddot{\phi}| \ll H|\dot{\phi}| \to 3H\dot{\phi} \simeq -m^2\phi \to z_{\pm} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{\sqrt{1-x^2} \mp 3x},
$$

where we use the variables (13) , is not actually an orbit of the phase space representing a potential cosmic history. Besides, there is yet another slow-roll condition [\(17\):](#page-4-2)

$$
\dot{\phi}^2 \ll V \to 3H^2 \simeq \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 \to y^2 \simeq 1 \to x \simeq 0,
$$

that is to be fulfilled if primordial inflation is expected to take place. Both slow-roll conditions above coincide in the neighborhood of the saddle point P_{inf} : (0, 1), the one enclosed by the diamond in the figures. This neighborhood is represented by the ρ ball around of the slow-roll inflationary critical point P_{inf} (thick circle enclosing the diamond in the figure). It is defined as a ball of radius $\rho \ll 1$ (not to scale in the figure) around P_{inf} , so that orbits that hit the ρ ball stay in the neighborhood of the inflationary point for enough time as to produce the $N \approx 60$ e-foldings of inflation required by the observational evidence. The radius of the ball determines the size of the set of initial conditions giving rise to the correct amount of inflation.

As explained above, in order to give a quantitative estimate of the amount of initial conditions that lead to slow-roll inflation, here we choose geometric probability. In Fig. [5,](#page-18-0) we illustrate how the geometric probability may be computed in order to obtain an order of magnitude estimate. We draw a triangle with vertexes at the source critical points $(-1, 1)$, $(1,1)$ and with the third vertex at $(0, 1 - |\delta z|)$, where $|\delta z| = \rho$ coincides with the radius of the ρ ball around of the saddle inflationary point P_{inf} . It is seen from the figure (left hand panel) that a part of several of the orbits that hit the ρ ball, i.e., a small amount of initial data leading to the inflationary critical point, falls outside of this triangle. But, as we shall see, this does not affect the estimates. The area of the triangle equals $|\delta z|$, while the area of the whole phase plane is 2 (see the right-hand panel in Fig. [5\)](#page-18-0). Hence, the geometric probability is $|\delta z|/2$, and the relative probability of the initial conditions for slow-roll inflation [\(74\)](#page-17-1) RP $\approx |\delta z|/2 \times 100\%$. In the figure, the value $|\delta z| = 0.2$ was arbitrarily chosen, so that 10% is the relative percent of initial data that lead to slow-roll inflation in this unphysical situation. Notice that, if in place of the chosen triangle in order to compute the geometric probability, we choose a strip of height $|\delta z| = \rho$ (in which case there is not a loss of successful initial conditions, quite the contrary), we would have $RP \approx \rho \times 100\%$, so that the relative probability of inflation is doubled. Yet, since the radius of the ball $\rho \ll 1$, this increase in the relative probability is negligible.

FIG. 5. Toward estimating the relative amount of initial data leading to slow-roll inflation in the minimal-coupling ϕ^2 -inflation model in Sec. [II](#page-3-1). In the left-hand panel, a strip of the positive branch phase portrait in Fig. [1,](#page-5-0) containing the de Sitter saddle point (diamond) and the ρ ball around it, is shown. A triangle with vertices at $(-1, 1)$, $(0, 1 - |\delta z|) = (0, 0.8)$, and at (1,1) has been drawn. Notice that any initial condition in the triangle picks up an orbit that hits the ρ ball around of the slow-roll inflation saddle point. In the right-hand panel, the whole phase rectangle with the triangle in it (dotted area) is shown. The phase space orbits and the curves corresponding to the slowroll conditions have been conveniently removed. Looking at the right-hand panel, it is seen that the geometric probability may be defined as the ratio of the area of the triangle (dotted area) to the area enclosed by the whole phase rectangle. Given that the area of the triangle is $|\delta z| \times 2/2 = |\delta z|$ (the width of the rectangle is 2) and that the area of the whole phase rectangle equals 2 (the height of the phase rectangle is unity), the ratio equals $|\delta z|/2$. In the figure, for definiteness we have arbitrarily set $|\delta z| = 0.2$; however, this quantity is to be estimated from physical considerations. In this example, 10% of the initial data leads to slow-roll inflation. Although there is a small amount of initial data leading to inflation that falls outside of the defined triangle (see the orbits that hit the ρ ball but do not lie completely within the triangle), nevertheless this does not affect the estimates.

In order to give physically motivated estimates, let us to write [see the definition of the variable ζ in [\(13\)\]](#page-4-0)

$$
\delta z = \frac{m\delta H}{(H+m)^2} = \frac{m\dot{H}}{(H+m)^2}\delta t.
$$

This equation can be put into a simpler form if we take into account the motion equations [\(11\)](#page-3-2) in the slow-roll approximation:

$$
H^{2} = \frac{4\pi}{3M_{\text{Pl}}^{2}} m^{2} \phi^{2}, \quad \dot{H} = -\frac{m^{2}}{3}, \quad \dot{\phi} = -\frac{m^{2}}{3H} \phi, \quad (75)
$$

where we returned to standard units $(1 \rightarrow M_{\rm Pl}^2/8\pi)$. We obtain

$$
\delta z = -\frac{m^3 \delta t}{3(H+m)^2},
$$

or, if we take into account typical initial conditions for inflation at very large field values [\[7\]](#page-22-13),

$$
\frac{M_{\rm Pl}}{\sqrt{12\pi}} \ll \phi \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{m},\tag{76}
$$

and $H \lesssim M_{\text{Pl}}$ [\[50\],](#page-22-22) then

$$
\delta z \approx -\frac{m^3}{3M_{\rm Pl}^2} \delta t. \tag{77}
$$

Besides,

$$
\dot{\phi} = -\frac{m^2}{3H}\phi = -\frac{mM_{\rm Pl}}{\sqrt{12\pi}} \Rightarrow \phi(t) = \phi_0 - \frac{mM_{\rm Pl}}{\sqrt{12\pi}}t.
$$

If we substitute $\phi(t)$ from this last equation into the Friedmann equation in [\(75\)](#page-19-0), we get that [\[9\]](#page-22-23)

$$
H = \pm \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} \frac{m}{M_{\text{Pl}}} \left(\phi_0 - \frac{mM_{\text{Pl}}}{\sqrt{12\pi}} t \right) \Rightarrow a(t)
$$

= $a_0 \exp \left[\pm \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} \frac{m\phi_0}{M_{\text{Pl}}} t \left(1 - \frac{mM_{\text{Pl}}}{2\sqrt{12\pi}\phi_0} t \right) \right].$ (78)

For sufficiently small $t \ll 2\sqrt{12\pi}\phi_0/mM_{\text{Pl}}$, the above evolution law represents de Sitter expansion: $a(t) \approx$ $a_0 \exp(Ht)$ with $H = H_0 \approx \sqrt{4\pi/3} m \phi_0 / M_{\text{Pl}}$. Hence, since
the initial value ϕ_2 must obey the bonds (76), the typical the initial value ϕ_0 must obey the bonds [\(76\)](#page-19-1), the typical time at which the Universe considerably expands,

$$
\delta t \sim H_0^{-1} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \frac{M_{\rm Pl}}{m \phi_0} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{M_{\rm Pl}} \lesssim \delta t \ll \frac{3}{m},
$$

so that we get the following estimated bonds:

$$
\frac{m^3}{3M_{\rm Pl}^3} \lesssim |\delta z| \ll \frac{m^2}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} \Rightarrow 10^{-15} \lesssim |\delta z| \ll 10^{-10};\qquad(79)
$$

i.e., $10^{-13}\% \leq RP \ll 10^{-8}\%$ are the bonds for the relative probability of initial conditions that lead to slow-roll inflation in this model. This result puts the minimally coupled (single-field) ϕ^2 inflation in serious travels as a competitive model for primordial inflation and contradicts previous claims on the great generality of inflationary regimes in this model [\[26\].](#page-22-4)

Let us check now other models such as the BD theory with the exponential and with the symmetry-breaking type of potentials (see the phase portraits in Fig. [3](#page-14-0) and see also Fig. [6](#page-20-0), where the curves representing the slow-roll conditions, as well as the orbits in the lower half of the phase plane, have been conveniently removed). For these cases, the phase plane is the rectangle

$$
\Psi_{\rm BD} = \{(x, u) | a_{-} \le x \le a_{+}, -1 \le u \le 1\}, \quad (80)
$$

where $a_{\pm} = \sqrt{6\epsilon} \pm \sqrt{6\epsilon + 1}$ and $u = v_+ \cup v_-$ with v_{\pm}
defined in (58). The area of this rectangle is defined in [\(58\)](#page-12-1). The area of this rectangle is Area $(\Psi_{BD}) = \Delta u \times \Delta x = 2 \times \sqrt{6\epsilon + 1}$, where $\Delta u = 2$ is
the beight and $\Delta x = \sqrt{6\epsilon + 1}$ is the width of the rectangle the height and $\Delta x = \sqrt{6\epsilon + 1}$ is the width of the rectangle.
Take first the BD model with the exponential potential. In Take first the BD model with the exponential potential. In this case, as seen from the left-hand panel in Fig. [3](#page-14-0) (and in Fig. [6](#page-20-0)), the slow-roll de Sitter critical point is a local attractor in the upper half of the phase rectangle, while in the lower half it is the generic stiff-matter critical point $P_{\text{g-stiff},\cdot}^{+}:(a_{+},-1)$ the local attractor. This means that any
initial condition in the upper helf of the rectangle ineutralian initial condition in the upper half of the rectangle inevitably leads to the de Sitter local attractor, while any initial condition given in the lower half leads to the stiff-matter solution. Hence, the area of the upper rectangle (gridded area in the left-hand panel in Fig. [6](#page-20-0)) is given by Area $(\Psi_{\text{BD}}^{\uparrow}) = 1 \times \sqrt{6\epsilon + 1}$, so that the relative probability

$$
RP = \frac{\text{Area}(\Psi_{BD}^{\uparrow})}{\text{Area}(\Psi_{BD})} \times 100\% = 50\%.
$$
 (81)

For the BD theory with the symmetry-breaking potential, the situation is a bit more complex. In this case, as seen from Figs. [3](#page-14-0) and [6](#page-20-0) (right-hand panel), the slow-rolling de Sitter critical point (enclosed by the diamond) is a local attractor only for orbits that lie in the region $\Psi_{BD}^* =$ duractor only for orbits that he in the region $F_{BD} =$
{(x, u)|a_ ≤ x ≤ a₊, 0 ≤ u ≤ 4 $\sqrt{\epsilon}/(4\sqrt{\epsilon}+1)$ } (gridded
strip in the right-hand panel in Fig. 6). For orbits in the strip in the right-hand panel in Fig. [6\)](#page-20-0). For orbits in the region above this strip, the de Sitter solution is a saddle point. Then one has to apply the same approach followed in the minimally coupled ϕ^2 -inflation model. One defines a ρ ball around the saddle point, and then one estimates its radius. The results do not differ too much from the ones in the minimally coupled ϕ^2 -inflation case, and the relative probability is almost vanishing, so that, in order to estimate the relative amount of initial data leading to slow-roll inflation for the symmetry-breaking potential, it is enough to consider that all of the successful initial conditions are in

FIG. 6. Toward estimating the relative amount of initial data leading to slow-roll inflation in the BD theory with exponential potential —left-hand panel—and with symmetry-breaking potential—right-hand panel. Both panels coincide with the corresponding ones in Fig. [3,](#page-14-0) where the phase portraits were drawn for $\epsilon = 0.1$. In the present drawings, the curves corresponding to the slow-roll conditions as well as the phase space orbits in the lower half of the phase plane have been conveniently removed. The gridded rectangular domains correspond to the regions of the phase space where the initial conditions lead to the slow-roll de Sitter local attractor (the diamond) in each case.

the strip Ψ_{BD}^* (gridded rectangular region in the right-hand panel in Fig. [6](#page-20-0)). The area of this strip

Area(
$$
\Psi_{BD}^*
$$
) = $\frac{4\sqrt{\epsilon}}{4\sqrt{\epsilon}+1} \times \sqrt{6\epsilon+1}$,

where $4\sqrt{\epsilon}/(4\sqrt{\epsilon}+1)$ is the height of the strip, so that the relative probability of slow-roll inflation is given by relative probability of slow-roll inflation is given by

$$
RP = \frac{\text{Area}(\Psi_{BD}^*)}{\text{Area}(\Psi_{BD})} \times 100\% = \frac{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}{4\sqrt{\epsilon} + 1} \times 100\%.
$$
 (82)

Recall that Area $(\Psi_{BD}) = 2 \times \sqrt{6\epsilon + 1}$. Hence, the relative probability of inflation depends on the value of the probability of inflation depends on the value of the coupling parameter. For the BD theory with a noninteracting scalar field, i.e., with a vanishing self-interaction potential, the bounds from Solar System experiments yield that $\epsilon \lesssim 10^{-5}$, so that RP ~ 1%. However, for a selfinteracting BD scalar field, if we take into account the chameleon effect [\[59](#page-22-24)–61], the coupling constant can be of the order of unity $\epsilon \approx 1$ [\[62\],](#page-22-25) which leads to RP ~ 40%.

For the quartic potential (Fig. [4](#page-16-0)), since the de Sitter solution is a global attractor, the relative probability of inflation is $RP = 100\%$. Notice that in this case the slow-roll inflation critical point may not be associated with primordial inflation but rather with the present stage of the cosmic expansion of the Universe. Actually, in this case if we add matter with energy density ρ_m and pressure p_m , instead of a cosmological constant, before the slow-roll de Sitter attractor is approached, given phase space orbits evolve in the vicinity of the saddle scaling point $P_{sc,*}^{\pm}$, where $\dot{\phi}^2/\rho_m = \text{const.}$
Besides even if we add a cosmological constant the end Besides, even if we add a cosmological constant, the end point of the cosmic evolution is always the slow-roll inflationary solution instead of the de Sitter evolution one might associate with the cosmological constant.

The above analysis leads us to conclude that nonminimal coupling, in particular, of the kind one finds in the BD theory, appreciably improves the relative probability of inflation in comparison with minimal-coupling models such as ϕ^2 inflation, thus rendering inflation a natural outcome of the cosmological expansion in scalar-tensor theories of gravity.

B. Nonchaotic dynamics of Brans-Dicke cosmological models

There has been a debate on whether chaos arises in the phase space of scalar-tensor cosmological models [\[44](#page-22-9)– [47,63,64\]](#page-22-9). The possibility of chaos in the dynamical systems corresponding to scalar-tensor cosmological models has been established numerically [\[44\]](#page-22-9) and also semianalytically through a perturbative approach [\[45\]](#page-22-26). In these papers, the dynamical system was written in the form of a Hamiltonian system. In Refs. [\[63,64\]](#page-22-27), the occurrence of chaos has been challenged through a general dynamical system approach to classical self-consistent scalar-field cosmology in the framework of spatially flat FRW spacetimes, for arbitrary potentials and arbitrary nonminimal coupling. In Ref. [\[47\]](#page-22-28), the nonoccurrence of chaos in scalar-field cosmologies with arbitrary couplings and potentials has been confirmed through using the conformal transformations approach. Here, we shall confirm this conclusion for the Brans-Dicke theory with arbitrary potentials for the flat FRW cosmologies.

It is a well-known fact that, according to the Poincare-Bendixson theorem [\[41,42\]](#page-22-29), strange attractors do not arise in 2D dynamical systems, so that the corresponding dynamics do not undergo chaos. This has been, precisely, the basis of the demonstration in Refs. [\[63,64\]](#page-22-27) of the absence of chaos in flat FRW scalar-tensor cosmological models. According to the present study, this result is confirmed for vacuum Brans-Dicke theory. Actually, as shown in Sec. [V,](#page-10-0) the BD equations of motion for vacuum [see [\(40\)](#page-10-2)]:

$$
H^{2} = \frac{1}{6\epsilon} \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}\right)^{2} - 2\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}H + \frac{V}{3\epsilon\phi^{2}},
$$

$$
\dot{H} = -\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}\right)^{2} + 4H\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi} - \frac{4V - \phi V_{\phi}}{(1 + 6\epsilon)\phi^{2}},
$$

$$
\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\phi} + 3H\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi} + \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}\right)^{2} = \frac{4V - \phi V_{\phi}}{(1 + 6\epsilon)\phi^{2}},
$$

may be traded by the 2D dimensional system [\(50\):](#page-11-4)

$$
x' = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(1 + 2\sqrt{6\epsilon}x - x^2) \left[-\sqrt{6}x + \frac{(1 + \sqrt{6\epsilon}x)(4\sqrt{\epsilon} - z)}{1 + 6\epsilon} \right],
$$

$$
z' = \sqrt{6}xz(\alpha z + \sqrt{\epsilon}),
$$

on the state space variables:

$$
x \equiv \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\sqrt{6\varepsilon\phi}H}, \qquad z \equiv \sqrt{\varepsilon}\frac{\phi V_{\phi}}{V}.
$$

In the above dynamical system, $\alpha = \Gamma_V - 1$ contains the information on the functional form of the potential. For a wide class of potentials, α is either a number or a function of the variable z . For instance, for the exponential potential $\alpha = 0$, while for the power-law potential $V \propto \phi^{2n}$, $\alpha = -1/n$. For the symmetry-breaking potential [\(55\),](#page-12-3)

$$
\alpha = \alpha(z) = \frac{2\sqrt{\epsilon} - z}{2z},
$$

etc. Hence, in those cases there is no chaos in the asymptotic dynamics of the flat FRW BD cosmological models.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have done a quite general study of the phase space dynamics of single-field scalar-tensor cosmological models with arbitrary couplings and self-interaction potentials. In order to gain more insight, we have specialized to NMC and BD theories. In the latter case, a detailed study of the phase space dynamics has exposed the global dynamics of the vacuum for a wide variety of potentials and, also, the global dynamics of BD theory with a cosmological constant for the power-law type of potentials. Special attention has been paid to the choice of the variables of the state space so that the global dynamics could be exposed. We have complemented the study with numeric calculations for specific potentials that made it possible to draw the corresponding phase portraits.

What is more relevant, we have been able to give rough quantitative estimates of the relative probability of slow-roll inflation in several models, including the ϕ^2 -inflation model and Brans-Dicke models with the exponential and with the symmetry-breaking potential, respectively. Our results indicate that the nonminimal coupling of the scalar field to the curvature in the STTs appreciably improves the bounds on the allowed initial dataset, pointing to the naturalness of slow inflation in regards to the allowed space of initial conditions. This is to be contrasted with the very strong bounds on minimal-coupling theories, where the relative probability of slow-roll inflation is almost vanishing. This conclusion has been possible thanks to our choice of measure that enabled us to give quantitative estimates. In forthcoming work, we want to perform a similar study for multifield models of inflation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank SNI-CONACyT for continuous support of their research activity. U. N. acknowledges Programa para el Desarrollo Profesional Docente - Secretaría de Educación Pública (PRODEP-SEP) and Coordinación de la Investigación Científica - Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo (CIC-UMSNH) for financial support of his contribution to the present research. R. D. A. also acknowledges CONACyT for the postdoc Grant No. 350411 under which part of this work was performed. The work of R. G.-S. was partially supported by SIP20200666, Comisión de Operación y Fomento de Actividades Académicas del Instituto Politécnico Nacional (COFAA-IPN), and Estímulos al Desempeño de los Investigadores del Instituto Politécnico Nacional (EDI-IPN) grants.

- [1] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami, and S. Tsujikawa, [Int. J. Mod.](https://doi.org/10.1142/S021827180600942X) Phys. D 15[, 1753 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1142/S021827180600942X)
- [3] J. E. Lidsey, D. Wands, and E. J. Copeland, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(00)00064-8) 337, [343 \(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(00)00064-8).
- [2] K. Bamba, S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, [Astrophys. Space Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10509-012-1181-8) 342, 155 (2012).
- [4] C. Brans and R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. 124[, 925 \(1961\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.124.925).
- [5] A. D. Linde, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)91219-9) 108, 389 (1982).
- [6] A. D. Linde, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(83)90837-7) 129, 177 (1983).
- [7] A. D. Linde, *[Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(85)90923-2)* **162**, 281 (1985).
- [8] A. D. Linde, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/47/8/002) 47, 925 (1984); [Prog. Theor.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.163.295) [Phys. Suppl.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.163.295) 163, 295 (2006).
- [9] A. Linde, Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology (CRC Press, 1990), Vol. 5.
- [10] A. H. Guth, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.23.347)* **23**, 347 (1981).
- [11] A. Albrecht and P.J. Steinhardt, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.1220) 48, 1220 [\(1982\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.1220)
- [12] L. Kofman, A. D. Linde, and A. A. Starobinsky, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.3195) Lett. 73[, 3195 \(1994\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.3195); Phys. Rev. D 56[, 3258 \(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.56.3258).
- [13] F. S. Accetta, D. J. Zoller, and M. S. Turner, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.31.3046) 31[, 3046 \(1985\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.31.3046).
- [14] Y. Fujii and K. Maeda, The Scalar-Tensor Theory of Gravitation (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2003).
- [15] V. Faraoni, Cosmology in Scalar-Tensor Gravity (Kluwer Academic, Netherlands, 2004).
- [16] I. Quiros, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. D](https://doi.org/10.1142/S021827181930012X) 28, 1930012 (2019).
- [17] P. A. R. Ade et al. (Planck Collaboration), [Astron. As](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201525898)trophys. 594[, A20 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201525898)
- [18] D. H. Lyth and A. Riotto, *[Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(98)00128-8)* **314**, 1 (1999).
- [19] N. Bartolo, E. Komatsu, S. Matarrese, and A. Riotto, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2004.08.022) Rep. 402[, 103 \(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2004.08.022).
- [20] A. R. Liddle, P. Parsons, and J. D. Barrow, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.50.7222) 50, [7222 \(1994\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.50.7222).
- [21] J.D. Barrow, Phys. Rev. D **51**[, 2729 \(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.51.2729)
- [22] J. E. Lidsey, A. R. Liddle, E. W. Kolb, E. J. Copeland, T. Barreiro, and M. Abney, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.69.373) 69, 373 (1997).
- [23] A. R. Liddle, A. Mazumdar, and F. E. Schunck, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.58.061301) D 58[, 061301 \(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.58.061301).
- [24] A. D. Linde, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.748) **49**, 748 (1994).
- [25] S. Clesse, C. Ringeval, and J. Rocher, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.123534) 80, [123534 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.123534)
- [26] V. A. Belinsky, I. M. Khalatnikov, L. P. Grishchuk, and Ya. B. Zeldovich, Phys. Lett. 155B[, 232 \(1985\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(85)90644-6)
- [27] L. A. Urena-Lopez and M. J. Reyes-Ibarra, [Int. J. Mod.](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271809014674) Phys. D 18[, 621 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271809014674)
- [28] L. A. Urena-Lopez, Phys. Rev. D 94[, 063532 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.063532).
- [29] J. Wainwright and G. F. R. Ellis, Dynamical Systems in Cosmology (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1997).
- [30] A.A. Coley, Dynamical Systems and Cosmology (Dordrecht-Kluwer, Netherlands, 2003).
- [31] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle, and D. Wands, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.57.4686) 57[, 4686 \(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.57.4686).
- [32] V. Faraoni and C. S. Protheroe, [Gen. Relativ. Gravit.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-012-1462-0) 45, 103 [\(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-012-1462-0)
- [33] S. Bahamonde, C. G. Bohmer, S. Carloni, E. J. Copeland, W. Fang, and N. Tamanini, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2018.09.001) 775–777, 1 (2018).
- [34] R. García-Salcedo, T. Gonzalez, F. A. Horta-Rangel, I. Quiros, and D. Sanchez-Guzmán, [Eur. J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0143-0807/36/2/025008) 36, [025008 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0143-0807/36/2/025008)
- [35] A. Cid, G. Leon, and Y. Leyva, [J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2016/02/027) [02 \(2016\) 027.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2016/02/027)
- [36] C. R. Fadragas, G. Leon, and E. N. Saridakis, [Classical](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/31/7/075018) [Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/31/7/075018) 31, 075018 (2014).
- [37] A. Giacomini, G. Leon, A. Paliathanasis, and S. Pan, [Eur.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7730-3) Phys. J. C 80[, 184 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7730-3)
- [38] G. N. Remmen and S. M. Carroll, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.083518) 88, 083518 [\(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.083518)
- [39] W. Fang, H. Tu, J. Huang, and C. Shu, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4340-1) 76, [492 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4340-1).
- [40] E.A. Coddington and N. Levinson, *Theory of Ordinary* Differential Equations (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955).
- [41] S. H. Kellert, In the Wake of Chaos: Unpredictable Order in Dynamical Systems (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1993).
- [42] M. W. Hirsch, S. Smale, and R. L. Devaney, Differential Equations, Dynamical Systems, and an Introduction to Chaos (Elsevier, New York, 2004).
- [43] G. Teschl, Ordinary Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012).
- [44] E. Calzetta and C. El Hasi, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/10/9/022) 10, [1825 \(1993\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/10/9/022).
- [45] L. Bombelli, F. Lombardo, and M. Castagnino, [J. Math.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.532612) Phys. (N.Y.) 39[, 6040 \(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.532612).
- [46] M. A. Castagnino, H. Giacomini, and L. Lara, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.044003) 63[, 044003 \(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.044003)
- [47] V. Faraoni, M. N. Jensen, and S. A. Theuerkauf, [Classical](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/23/12/016) [Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/23/12/016) 23, 4215 (2006).
- [48] A. Ijjas, P. J. Steinhardt, and A. Loeb, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.05.023) 723, 261 [\(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.05.023)
- [49] A. H. Guth, D. I. Kaiser, and Y. Nomura, *[Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.03.020)* **733**, [112 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.03.020).
- [50] A. D. Linde, [Les Houches Lect. Notes](https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198728856.003.0006) 100, 231 (2015).
- [51] A. Ijjas, P. J. Steinhardt, and A. Loeb, *[Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.07.012)* **736**, 142 [\(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.07.012)
- [52] R. Brandenberger, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. D](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271817400028) 26, 1740002 (2017).
- [53] R. Lazkoz, G. Leon, and I. Quiros, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.03.060) **649**, 103 [\(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.03.060)
- [54] C. Gonzalez and R. Herrera, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5218-6) 77, 648 (2017).
- [55] O. Hrycyna and M. Szydlowski, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.064018) 88, 064018 [\(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.064018)
- [56] R. García-Salcedo, T. González, and I. Quiros, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.124056) 92[, 124056 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.124056)
- [57] A. Alho, C. Uggla, and J. Wainwright, [J. Cosmol. Astropart.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2019/09/045) [Phys. 09 \(2019\) 045.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2019/09/045)
- [58] I. Quiros, T. Gonzalez, U. Nucamendi, R. García-Salcedo, F. A. Horta-Rangel, and J. Saavedra, [Classical Quantum](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aaae24) Gravity 35[, 075005 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aaae24)
- [59] J. Khoury and A. Weltman, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.171104) 93, 171104 [\(2004\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.171104) Phys. Rev. D 69[, 044026 \(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.044026).
- [60] P. Brax, C. van de Bruck, A. C. Davis, J. Khoury, and A. Weltman, Phys. Rev. D 70[, 123518 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.123518)
- [61] D. F. Mota and D. J. Shaw, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.151102) 97, 151102 [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.151102).
- [62] I. Quiros, R. García-Salcedo, T. Gonzalez, and F. A. Horta-Rangel, Phys. Rev. D 92[, 044055 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.044055)
- [63] E. Gunzig, L. Brenig, A. Figueiredo, and T. M. Rocha, [Mod. Phys. Lett. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732300001961) 15, 1363 (2000).
- [64] E. Gunzig, V. Faraoni, A. Figueiredo, T. M. Rocha, and L. Brenig, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/17/8/304) 17, 1783 (2000).