Closed form expressions for gravitational multipole moments of elementary solids

Julian Stirling[®]

Department of Physics, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath, BA2 7AY, United Kingdom

Stephan Schlamminger

National Institute of Standards and Technology, 100 Bureau Drive, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899, USA

(Received 3 November 2019; published 24 December 2019)

Perhaps the most powerful method for deriving the Newtonian gravitational interaction between two masses is the multipole expansion. Once inner multipoles are calculated for a particular shape, this shape can be rotated, translated, and even converted to an outer multipole with well-established methods. The most difficult stage of the multipole expansion is generating the initial inner multipole moments without resorting to three-dimensional numerical integration of complex functions. Previous work has produced expressions for the low-degree inner multipoles for certain elementary solids. This work goes further by presenting closed-form expressions for all degrees and orders. A combination of these solids, combined with the aforementioned multipole transformations, can be used to model the complex structures often used in precision gravitation experiments.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.100.124053](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.124053)

I. INTRODUCTION

In the field of precision gravitational measurements, the measurement and its associated analysis are often only half of the battle in producing a result. The other half comes from computing the theoretical Newtonian gravitational interaction for comparison. Computation of gravitational fields, forces, and torques can be accomplished by calculating sextuple integrals over the volumes of mass pairs, and summing for all pairs of source and test masses. Even with advanced methods to reduce these sextuple integrals to quadruple integrals [\[1,2\],](#page-6-0) for certain elementary solids, this is extremely computationally intensive, especially considering that for many measurements this needs to be entirely recalculated for multiple source mass positions. More efficient methods are available for systems with favorable symmetries [\[3,4\]](#page-6-1).

An elegant method to compute gravitational interactions is to expand the problem in terms of the regular solid harmonics, $r_i^l Y_{lm}(\theta_i, \phi_i)$ —or more precisely, its complex conjugate, $r_i^l Y_{lm}^*(\theta_i, \phi_i)$ —of the masses closest to the origin of the chosen coordinate system, and the irregular solid harmonics, $r_0^{-(l+1)} Y_{lm}(\theta_0, \phi_0)$, of the masses furthest from this origin, where $r_i := (r_i, \theta_i, \phi_i)$, and $r_o := (r_o, \theta_o, \phi_o)$ are vectors to positions inside the inner and outer masses, respectively. Triple integrals of $\rho(r_i) r_i^{\dagger} Y_{lm}^* (\theta_i, \phi_i)$ over the volumes of the inner masses are referred to as the inner multipoles, q_{lm} , where $\rho(r)$ is the mass density; whereas

triple integrals of $\rho(r_0) r_0^{-(l+1)} Y_{lm}(\theta_0, \phi_0)$ over the volumes of the outer masses are referred to as the outer multipoles, Q_{lm} . The convergence condition for this expansion is that $r_i < r_o$ for all positions integrated over. The gravitational potential energy of the system can be calculated as

$$
V = -4\pi G \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \frac{1}{2l+1} q_{lm} Q_{lm}.
$$
 (1)

At first glance, an infinite sum over pairs of triple integrals is not necessarily a significant advance over a brute-force calculation of the sextuple integrals. The power of the multipole expansion becomes apparent when considering complex experiments with multiple source and test masses. The multipole moments can be calculated for each individual mass just once and then used with all masses it interacts with—as other masses change no recalculation is needed. Also, multipole moments can easily undergo translations [\[5\]](#page-6-2) and rotations [\[6,7\]](#page-6-3). As such, when calculating the effect of a mass moving, very few new calculations are needed. Furthermore, outer multipoles can be computed from inner multipoles of the same shape [\[8\]](#page-6-4). Utilizing the multipole transformations, the only other calculations needed are the inner multipole moment of each mass at an arbitrary location, which is easy to calculate. Forces [\[9\]](#page-6-5) and torques [\[10\]](#page-6-6) can also be directly calculated from these multipoles.

Efficient calculation of inner multipole moments is, as such, of great value. Low-degree ($l \leq 5$) inner multipole

j.stirling@bath.ac.uk

moments have been calculated individually for each order (m) for a number of elementary solids [\[11\].](#page-6-7) For higher degrees, however, either numerical methods must be employed or each order must be calculated explicitly. In this work, we develop closed-form solutions for the inner multipole moments of a number of solids. These, combined with the multipole transformations, can be used to calculate gravitational interactions between complex apparatus to any required accuracy with relative ease.

II. CLOSED-FORM EXPRESSIONS FOR INNER MULTIPOLES OF HOMOGENEOUS SOLIDS

For calculating inner multipoles, it is helpful to write the regular solid harmonics in the cylindrical coordinate system. From Eq. (4.28) in Ref. [\[12\]](#page-6-8), the solid harmonics are given in Cartesian coordinates. It is trivial to convert this form into cylindrical coordinates:

$$
r^{l}Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi) = (-1)^{m} \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(l+m)!(l-m)!}{4\pi}} e^{im\phi}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{k} \frac{(-1)^{k}r_{c}^{2k+m}z^{l-2k-m}}{2^{2k+m}(m+k)!k!(l-m-2k)!}, \quad (2)
$$

where k is summed over all values where each factorial is non-negative. Here we are careful with our notation such that r and r_c are the radial distances in the spherical and cylindrical coordinate systems, respectively, ϕ is the azimuthal angle for both coordinate systems, θ is the spherical polar angle, and z is the vertical position.

For simplicity, we will calculate all closed forms for $m \geq 0$. The inner multipole moments for negative m can easily be calculated with the following identity:

A. Inner multipoles of a cylinder

From symmetry, we can see that the inner multipoles q_{lm} of a homogeneous cylinder of density ρ requires $m = 0$ due to rotational azimuthal symmetry and for l to be even from vertical symmetry. Using Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) and integrating over the volume of the cylinder with radius R and height H centered on the origin [see Figs. $1(a)$ and $1(f)$],

$$
q_{l0} = \rho \int_{V_c} r^l Y_{l0}^*(\theta, \phi) dV_c
$$

\n
$$
= \rho \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} l! \sum_{k=0}^{l/2} \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{2k} k! k! (l-2k)!}
$$

\n
$$
\times \int_{-H/2}^{H/2} \int_0^R \int_0^{2\pi} r_c^{2k} z^{l-2k} r_c d\phi dr_c dz
$$

\n
$$
= M \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} \frac{l!}{2^l} \sum_{k=0}^{l/2} \frac{(-1)^k R^{2k} H^{l-2k}}{k! (k+1)! (l-2k+1)!},
$$

\nfor l even, (4)

where M is the mass of the cylinder. This result is consistent with that derived by Lockerbie, Veryaskin, and Xu [\[13\]](#page-6-9) and has the useful form of being the mass of the object multiplied by a geometrical factor. For efficient programming, this equation can easily be written as a simple recursion relation:

$$
q_{l0} = M \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^{l/2} S(l,k), \qquad (5)
$$

where

$$
S(0,0) = 1,\t\t(6)
$$

 (3)

FIG. 1. (a)–(e) Cross sections of cylinder, annular section, isosceles triangular prism, cuboid, and N-sided regular polygonal prism, respectively. (f) Side view for all aforementioned prisms.

$$
S(l+2,0) = \frac{(l+1)H^2}{4(l+3)}S(l,0),\tag{7}
$$

$$
S(l, k+1) = -\frac{(l-2k+1)(l-2k)}{(k+1)(k+2)} \frac{R^2}{H^2} S(l, k). \quad (8)
$$

B. Inner multipoles of an annular section

A more generalized case for the cylinder is an annular section with inner radius R_i and outer radius R_o which extends over the azimuthal angular range from $\phi_c - \phi_h$ to $\phi_c + \phi_h$ [see Figs. [1\(b\)](#page-1-1) and [1\(f\)\]](#page-1-1). The z integral can be solved separately [Eq. [\(A 1](#page-5-0))]. The integral to solve is then

$$
\int_{R_{\rm i}}^{R_{\rm o}} \int_{\phi_{\rm c}-\phi_{\rm h}}^{\phi_{\rm c}+\phi_{\rm h}} e^{-im\phi} r_{\rm c}^{2k+m} r_{\rm c} d\phi dr_{\rm c} = \frac{2(R_{\rm o}^{2k+m+2} - R_{\rm i}^{2k+m+2})}{2k+m+2} \begin{cases} e^{-im\phi_{\rm c}} \frac{\sin(m\phi_{\rm h})}{m}, & \text{for } m \neq 0 \\ \phi_{\rm h}, & \text{for } m = 0 \end{cases} \tag{9}
$$

From Sec. [I](#page-0-2) of the Appendix, we know from vertical symmetry that $(l - m)$ must be even. We then combine the above result with Eq. [\(A2\)](#page-5-1) and the other terms for q_{lm} in front of the integral. To write the multipole as the mass multiplied by a geometric factor, we need to factor out the volume $\phi_h(R_o^2 - R_i^2)H$:

$$
q_{lm} = M \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(l+m)!(l-m)!}{4\pi}} e^{-im\phi_c} \sin c(m\phi_h) \times \sum_{k=0}^{(l-m)/2} \frac{(-1)^{k+m} H^{l-2k-m}}{2^{l-1} k! (m+k)!(l-m-2k+1)!(2k+m+2)} \times \left(\frac{R_0^{2k+m+2} - R_1^{2k+m+2}}{R_0^2 - R_1^2}\right), \text{ for } (l-m) \text{ even, and } m \ge 0,
$$
\n(10)

where we note that using the sinc function removes the need for separate cases for $m = 0$ and $m \neq 0$. This equation can be shown to be consistent with the results given in Adelberger et al. [\[11\]](#page-6-7).

C. Inner multipoles of an isosceles triangular prism

Here we define an isosceles triangle using the same geometry as the annular section, except with only one radius R , with $\phi_h < \frac{\pi}{2}$ [see Figs. [1\(c\)](#page-1-1) and [1\(f\)\]](#page-1-1). Using the solution for the z integral for a prism (Sec. [I](#page-0-2) of the Appendix), the remaining integrals to solve are

$$
\int_0^{\frac{R\cos\phi_h}{\cos(\phi-\phi_c)}} \int_{\phi_c-\phi_h}^{\phi_c+\phi_h} e^{-im\phi} r_c^{2k+m} r_c d\phi dr_c = \frac{(R\cos\phi_h)^{2k+m+2}}{2k+m+2} \int_{\phi_c-\phi_h}^{\phi_c+\phi_h} \frac{e^{-im\phi}}{\cos^{2k+m+2}(\phi-\phi_c)} d\phi, \tag{11}
$$

which is solved in Sec. [2](#page-5-2) of the Appendix. Factoring out the mass $M = \rho HR^2 \cos^2 \phi_h \tan \phi_h$,

$$
q_{lm} = M \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(l+m)!(l-m)!}{4\pi}} \frac{e^{-im\phi_c} (l-m)/2}{2^{l-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{(l-m)/2} \frac{(-1)^{k+m} H^{l-2k-m} (R\cos\phi_h)^{2k+m}}{(m+k)!k!(l-m-2k+1)!(2k+m+2)} \times \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (-1)^p {m \choose 2p} \sum_{j=0}^k {k \choose j} \frac{\tan^{2j+2p}\phi_h}{2j+2p+1}, \text{ for } (l-m)\text{even}, \text{ and } m \ge 0,
$$
\n(12)

where $\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ denotes rounding $\frac{m}{2}$ down to the nearest integer. Calling the base of the triangle $a = 2R \sin \phi_h$ and the shortest line to the base $d = R \cos \phi_h$, a more simple form is

$$
q_{lm} = M \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(l+m)!(l-m)!}{4\pi}} \frac{e^{-im\phi_c} \sum_{k=0}^{(l-m)/2} \frac{(-1)^{k+m} H^{l-2k-m} d^{2k+m}}{(m+k)!k!(l-m-2k+1)!(2k+m+2)}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (-1)^p {m \choose 2p} \sum_{j=0}^k {k \choose j} \frac{1}{2j+2p+1} {a \choose 2d}^{2j+2p}, \text{ for } (l-m)\text{even}, \text{ and } m \ge 0.
$$
 (13)

D. Inner multipoles of a cuboid

A cuboid can be described as the sum of two pairs of isosceles triangular prisms. Defining a cuboid of height (zaxis) H to be consistent with the above prisms, the other two sides a and b are defined such that when $\phi_c = 0$, a is parallel to the y-axis and b is parallel to the x-axis [see Figs. [1\(d\)](#page-1-1) and [1\(f\)\]](#page-1-1). By symmetry, we can see that each pair of isosceles triangles is offset by an angle π ; therefore, *must always be even for a nonzero multipole. As with* all prisms centered in z, $(l - m)$ must be even, and therefore l is also even. The inner multipoles for a cuboid are thus:

$$
q_{lm} = M \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(l+m)!(l-m)!}{4\pi}} (-1)^{m/2} e^{-im\phi_c} \times \sum_{k=0}^{(l-m)/2} \frac{(-1)^k H^{l-2k-m}}{(m+k)!k!2^{l+2k+m}(l-m-2k+1)!(2k+m+2)}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{p=0}^{m/2} (-1)^p {m \choose 2p} \sum_{j=0}^k {k \choose j} \frac{a^{2k+m-2j-2p} b^{2j+2p} + b^{2k+m-2j-2p} a^{2j+2p}}{2j+2p+1},
$$

for both *m* and *l* even, and $m \ge 0$. (14)

E. Inner multipoles of an N-sided regular polygonal prism

Consider an N -sided regular polygonal prism, with height H with its center of figure at the origin. The angle between the rightmost side (in the xy plane) and the y-axis is ϕ_c . The side length of the polygon is a [see Figs. [1\(e\)](#page-1-1) and [1\(f\)](#page-1-1)]. The inner multipole moments can easily be calculated by combining the results for N identical isosceles triangular prisms, each rotated by an angle $\frac{2\pi}{N}$ with respect to the last. By symmetry, the angular term for the N prisms add to N if m is a multiple of N, or else it vanishes; hence, the moment is simply [again nonzero if $(l - m)$ is even]

$$
q_{lm} = M \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(l+m)!(l-m)!}{4\pi}} e^{-im\phi_c} \times \sum_{k=0}^{(l-m)/2} \frac{(-1)^{k+m} H^{l-2k-m} a^{2k+m}}{(m+k)! k! 2^{l+2k+m-1} (l-m-2k+1)!(2k+m+2)}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (-1)^p {m \choose 2p} \sum_{j=0}^k {k \choose j} \frac{\tan^{2j+2p-2k-m} \left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right)}{2j+2p+1}, \text{ for } (l-m) \text{ even, and } m=0, N, 2N, \qquad (15)
$$

F. Inner multipoles of an azimuthal section of a cone

Consider a cone with a base centered at the origin with a radius R; the apex of the cone is on the z-axis with $z = P$. The cone is defined in the azimuthal angular range from $\phi_c - \phi_h$ to $\phi_c + \phi_h$ (see Fig. [2](#page-4-0)). The azimuthal integral for the inner multipoles was already solved in Sec. [II B](#page-2-0). The radial and z integrals are

$$
\int_0^P \int_0^{R-\frac{2R}{p}} r_c^{2k+m+1} z^{l-2k-m} dr_c dz = \frac{(R/P)^{2k+m+2}}{2k+m+2} \int_0^P (P-z)^{2k+m+2} z^{l-2k-m} dz \tag{16}
$$

$$
=\frac{(2k+m+1)!(l-2k-m)!}{(l+3)!}R^{2k+l+2}P^{l-2k-m+1}.
$$
\n(17)

We can therefore write the inner multipole moments as

$$
q_{lm} = 3M \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(l+m)!(l-m)!}{4\pi}} (l+3)! e^{-im\phi_c} \sin c(m\phi_h)
$$

$$
\times \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (l-m)/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{k+m} (2k+m+1)! R^{2k+m} P^{l-2k-m}}{2^{2k+m-1} (m+k)! k!}, \text{ for } m \ge 0.
$$
 (18)

FIG. 2. (a) Base of azimuthal section of a cone. (b) Side view of cone.

III. INNER MULTIPOLES OF AN INHOMOGENEOUS CYLINDER

A number of precision measurements of the universal constant of gravitation use cylindrical masses as the primary source and test masses. Density gradients in these masses have been measured and approximations have been used to calculate the effect on measurements [\[14,15\].](#page-6-10) Here we present a closed-form expression for a cylindrical mass with a linear density gradient. The density can be written as

$$
\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_0 + \rho_r r_c \sin(\phi + \phi_1) + \rho_z z,\tag{19}
$$

where ϕ_I is the direction of the radial gradient. The multipole from this cylinder can be divided into three calculations, with the first being the homogeneous cylinder, and the remaining two being the effect of the radial and vertical gradients described below.

A. Radial gradient

For a cylinder of height H and radius R centered on the origin, the radial inner multipole moment from inhomogeneous densities is calculated as

$$
q_{lm} = (-1)^m \rho_r \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(l+m)!(l-m)!}{4\pi}} \sum_{k} \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{2k+m}(m+k)!k!(l-m-2k)!}
$$

$$
\times \int_{-H/2}^{H/2} \int_0^R \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-im\phi} \sin(\phi + \phi_1) r_c^{2k+m+2} z^{l-2k-m} d\phi dr_c dz.
$$
 (20)

The radial portion of this integrates to zero for $m \neq \pm 1$. For $m = 1$, the integral is trivial:

$$
q_{l1} = i\pi \rho_r e^{i\phi_l} \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(l+1)!(l-1)!}{4\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^{(l-1)/2} \frac{(-1)^k R^{2k+4} H^{l-2k}}{2^l (k+1)! k! (l-2k)! (2k+4)},
$$
 for *l* odd, otherwise 0. (21)

B. Vertical gradient

ſ

In the case of the vertical gradient, the integral is also trivial, and by symmetry, only $m = 0$ terms are nonzero:

$$
q_{l0} = \rho_z \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)}{4\pi}} l! \sum_{k=0}^{l/2} \frac{(-1)^k R^{2k+2} H^{l-2k+2}}{2^{l+1}(k!)^2 (l-2k)!(2k+2)(l-2k+2)}, \quad \text{for } l \text{ odd, otherwise } 0.
$$
 (22)

IV. DISCUSSION

Care must be taken, however, when performing numerical calculations. First, many programming languages define $\sin c(x)$ as $\frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x}$ rather than $\frac{\sin(x)}{x}$. Also, for largedegree multipole moments, numerical rounding errors become significant as the sum over k has terms with alternating sign which individually can be many orders of magnitude larger than the final result. As a rule of thumb, we find that for $l \gtrsim 50$, quadruple-precision floats should be used for calculations requiring precision better than 1 part in 10^6 . Using quadruple-precision floating point operations, we have found results are still accurate beyond double precision for $l > 100$. This can be checked on an individual basis by comparing the ratio of the magnitude of the largest term in the sum over k and the sum itself to the numerical precision of the data type used. For example, we can write an inner multipole moment of an object as

$$
q_{lm} = A(\cdots) \sum_{k} (-1)^{k} B_{k}(\cdots), \qquad (23)
$$

where A and B are functions of the variables needed to describe the object. We can then estimate the relative error in our numerical calculations as

$$
\frac{\Delta q_{lm}}{q_{lm}} \sim \frac{\max(B_k(\cdots))}{\sum_k (-1)^k B_k(\cdots)} P_{B_k},\tag{24}
$$

FIG. 3. Top view of two overlapping holes which can be modeled as two cylindrical sections in the angular range without overlap, plus two isosceles triangular prisms.

where P_{B_k} is the numerical precision of the floating-point data type used to store B_k and its sum ($P_{B_k} \sim 10^{-16}$ for double precision and $P_{B_k} \sim 10^{-34}$ for quadruple precision). This estimate assumes all other sources of numerical error are negligible.

V. CONCLUSION

We have derived closed-form expressions for the gravitational inner multipole moments for a number of homogeneous elementary solids in terms of their mass multiplied by a geometrical factor. We have also derived expressions for the gravitational inner multipole moments of a cylinder with a linear density gradient. Using the translation and rotation equations for multipoles, a number of more complex but commonly occurring shapes can be modeled. For example, overlapping cylindrical holes can be modeled as two cylindrical sections in the angular range without overlap, plus two isosceles triangular prisms, all with negative mass (see Fig. [3](#page-5-3)); any irregular polygon prism can be modeled as a combination of isosceles triangular prisms; or a truncated cone can be modeled as one cone subtracted from another. The equations provided are relatively simple to code to allow multipole calculations of Newtonian gravitational interactions between complex structures to any desired degree.

APPENDIX: INTEGRALS

1. z integral for prisms

For prismatic solids, the z integral can be solved separately from the other two coordinates:

$$
f_{lmk}(H) := \frac{1}{2^{2k+m}(l-m-2k)!} \int_{-H/2}^{H/2} z^{l-2k-m} dz
$$

=
$$
\frac{[(\frac{H}{2})^{l-2k-m+1} - (\frac{-H}{2})^{l-2k-m+1}]}{2^{2k+m}(l-m-2k)!(l-2k-m+1)}.
$$
 (A1)

The integral vanishes if $(l - m)$ is odd, therefore

$$
f_{lmk}(H) = \frac{H^{l-2k-m+1}}{2^l(l-m-2k+1)!},
$$

for $(l+m)$ even, and zero otherwise. (A2)

2. Integral used for flat sides

To integrate the radial coordinate over a flat edge, the following integral must be solved:

$$
g_{km}(\phi_c, \phi_h) := \int_{\phi_c - \phi_h}^{\phi_c + \phi_h} \frac{e^{-im\phi}}{\cos^{2k + m + 2}(\phi - \phi_c)} d\phi.
$$
 (A3)

Substituting $\phi_i = \phi - \phi_c$ for symmetry, then

$$
e^{-im\phi_c} \int_{-\phi_h}^{\phi_h} \frac{e^{-im\phi_i}}{\cos^{2k+m+2}\phi_i} d\phi_i
$$

=
$$
e^{-im\phi_c} \int_{-\phi_h}^{\phi_h} \frac{\cos(m\phi_i)}{\cos^{2k+m+2}\phi_i} d\phi_i,
$$
 (A4)

where the imaginary part of the integral is odd and therefore evaluates to zero.

But for $m > 0$,

$$
\cos(m\phi_i) = \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (-1)^p {m \choose 2p} \cos^{m-2p} \phi_i \sin^{2p} \phi_i.
$$
 (A5)

Substituting this into Eq. [\(A4\)](#page-5-4) gives

$$
g_{km}(\phi_c, \phi_h)
$$

= $e^{-im\phi_c} \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (-1)^p {m \choose 2p} \int_{-\phi_h}^{\phi_h} \frac{\tan^{2p} \phi_i}{(\cos^2 \phi_i)^{k+1}} d\phi_i.$ (A6)

The integral in the sum can be rewritten as

$$
\int_{-\phi_h}^{\phi_h} \frac{(1+\tan^2\phi_i)^k \tan^{2p}\phi_i}{\cos^2\phi_i} \,d\phi_i,\tag{A7}
$$

using the identity $1 + \tan^2 \phi_i = \frac{1}{\cos^2 \phi_i}$. If we substitute $x = \tan \phi_i$, then

$$
\int_{-\tan\phi_h}^{\tan\phi_h} (1+x^2)^k x^{2p} dx = 2 \sum_{j=0}^k {k \choose j} \frac{\tan^{2j+2p+1}\phi_h}{2j+2p+1}, \quad (A8)
$$

and therefore by substituting Eq. [\(A8\)](#page-5-5) into Eq. [\(A6\)](#page-5-6), we conclude that

$$
\int_{\phi_c - \phi_h}^{\phi_c + \phi_h} \frac{e^{-im\phi}}{\cos^{2k+m+2}(\phi - \phi_c)} d\phi = 2e^{-im\phi_c} \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (-1)^p {m \choose 2p} \sum_{j=0}^k {k \choose j} \frac{\tan^{2j+2p+1} \phi_h}{2j+2p+1}, \quad \text{for } m \ge 0.
$$
 (A9)

- [1] Y. T. Chen and A. Cook, Gravitational Experiments in the Laboratory (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1993), Chap. 6.
- [2] J. Stirling, New J. Phys. **19**[, 073032 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/aa7c80)
- [3] H. S. Cohl and J. E. Tohline, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/308062) 527, 86 [\(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1086/308062)
- [4] J. P. Selvaggi, S. Salon, and M. V. K. Chari, [Classical](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/25/1/015013) [Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/25/1/015013) 25, 015013 (2008).
- [5] C. D'Urso and E. Adelberger, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.55.7970) 55, 7970 [\(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.55.7970)
- [6] J. D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics (John Wiley & Sons, Inc, New York, 1999), Chap. 9.
- [7] D. A. Varshalovich, A. N. Moskalev, and V. K. Khersonskii, Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum (World Scientific, New Jersey, 1988), Chap. 5.
- [8] C. Trenkel and C. C. Speake, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.107501) 60, 107501 [\(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.107501)
- [9] J. Stirling, Phys. Rev. D 95[, 124059 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.124059)
- [10] R. Newman, M. Bantel, E. Berg, and W. Cross, [Phil. Trans.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2014.0025) R. Soc. A 372[, 20140025 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2014.0025).
- [11] E. G. Adelberger, N. A. Collins, and C. D. Hoyle, [Classical](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/23/1/007) [Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/23/1/007) 23, 125 (2006).
- [12] W. J. Thompson, Angular Momentum (John Wiley & Sons, Inc, New York, 1994), Chap. 4.
- [13] N. A. Lockerbie, A. V. Veryaskin, and X. Xu, [Classical](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/10/11/024) [Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/10/11/024) 10, 2419 (1993).
- [14] T. Quinn, C. Speake, H. Parks, and R. Davis, [Phil. Trans. R.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2014.0032) Soc. A 372[, 20140032 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2014.0032).
- [15] H. V. Parks and J. E. Faller, [Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2014.0024) 372, [20140024 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2014.0024).