Standing waves in general relativity

Sebastian J. Szybka $\mathbf{Q}^{1,3,*}$ $\mathbf{Q}^{1,3,*}$ $\mathbf{Q}^{1,3,*}$ $\mathbf{Q}^{1,3,*}$ $\mathbf{Q}^{1,3,*}$ and Adam Cieślik $\mathbf{Q}^{2,3}$ $\mathbf{Q}^{2,3}$ $\mathbf{Q}^{2,3}$

¹Astronomical Observatory, Jagiellonian University, Orla 171, 30-244 Kraków, Poland ²Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Łojasiewicza 11, 30-348 Kraków, Poland
³Congrainus Center for Interdisciplinary Studies, Skurkowska 17, 31,016 Kraków, Poland 3 Copernicus Center for Interdisciplinary Studies, Sławkowska 17, 31-016 Kraków, Poland

(Received 2 July 2019; published 16 September 2019)

We propose a covariant definition of standing gravitational waves in general relativity.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.100.064025](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.064025)

I. INTRODUCTION

Musical instruments in order to produce a tone use a phenomenon of standing waves. This kind of behavior appears naturally for sound and electromagnetic waves. It may also, although very rarely, appear for water waves. Standing waves are well understood in linear theories (or approximations) where they are obtained as superposition of waves traveling in opposite directions. If nonlinearities are taken into account, the lack of superposition principle complicates studies.

Almost two decades ago Hans Stephani formulated a question [\[1\]:](#page-2-0) Are there standing gravitational wave solutions of vacuum Einstein's equations?¹ In order to answer this question one has first to define what gravitational standing waves are. Unfortunately, their definition cannot be easily generalized from linear theories to nonlinear gravitation. Stephani suggested to look for exact solutions such that [\[1\]](#page-2-0)

- (I) The constitutive parts of the metric functions should depend on the timelike coordinate only through a periodic factor, and they should also depend on spacelike coordinates.
- (II) The time average of some of the metric functions should vanish; in particular, the analogue of the Poynting vector (if there is any) should be divergencefree and the time average of the spatial components should be zero.

The conditions presented above are not covariantly formulated and, as shown by Stephani [\[1\],](#page-2-0) not fully satisfactory.

[*](#page-0-1) Corresponding author.

II. STANDING WAVES

In the search for a reliable criterion, we propose to define standing waves using Burnett's [\[11\]](#page-3-0) formulation of the Isaacson high frequency limit [\[12\]](#page-3-1) in the form generalized to nonvacuum spacetimes by Green and Wald [\[13\]](#page-3-2).

Definition 2.1. Let (M, g) be a spacetime satisfying vacuum Einstein's equations. We say that (M, g) contains standing gravitational wave if

- (i) it belongs to a one-parameter family of spacetimes $(M, q(\lambda))$ satisfying the Green-Wald assumptions [\[13\]](#page-3-2) [we denote the background spacetime with $(M, g^{(0)})$],
- (ii) the Ricci tensor of the background metric $g^{(0)}$ is of a Serge type [(11)1,1] (in Plebański notation $[2S_1 - S_2 - T]_{(111)}$) with the degenerate eigenvalue equal to zero and remaining eigenvalues 1, −1.

Remark.—In a Ricci principal orthonormal tetrad (x, y, z, η) of the Serge type $[(11)1, 1]$ (η is timelike) with the degenerate eigenvalue equal to zero and remaining eigenvalues 1, −1, the effective energy momentum tensor $t^{(0)}$ (as defined in [\[13\]](#page-3-2)) may be written as

$$
t^{(0)} = \rho(x^{\alpha})(\eta^{\flat} \otimes \eta^{\flat} + z^{\flat} \otimes z^{\flat}),
$$

where $\rho(x^{\alpha})$ is an energy density. An alternative form is

$$
t^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}\rho(x^{\alpha})(k^{\flat} + \otimes k^{\flat} + k^{\flat} - \otimes k^{\flat} -),
$$

where $k^{\flat}_{\pm} = \eta^{\flat} \pm z^{\flat}$. The vectors k_{\pm} are null (the Green-Wald theorems imply that $t^{(0)}$ is traceless) and oriented in opposite spatial directions. In other words, the effective energy-momentum tensor $t^{(0)}$ is a superposition of null dusts with equal amplitudes, but moving in opposite directions. The background spacetime $(M, g^{(0)})$ may be called effective standing wave spacetime. If the spacetime (M, g) satisfies nonvacuum Einstein equations, then our definition still holds provided that the effective energymomentum tensor contains contribution from the

sebastian.szybka@uj.edu.pl ¹

The same year the problem of gravitational standing waves was investigated by Sir Hermann Bondi in his last paper on general relativity [\[2\]](#page-2-1). Standing waves appear naturally in some quasistationary approximations in binary black holes inspirals an approach introduced by Detweiler [\[3\]](#page-2-2) and pursued by Price [\[4\]](#page-2-3) and others [5–[10\].](#page-2-4)

gravitational radiation $t^{(0)} = t_{GW}^{(0)} + t_{S}^{(0)}$, where $t_{GW}^{(0)}$ satisfies the condition (ii). Such a situation will be illustrated in the example below. Our definition of standing waves may be trivially extended to fields. In the example presented below, standing gravitational waves are accompanied by standing scalar waves.

III. EXAMPLE

The example² of the standing gravitational wave presented in the Stephani's paper [\[1\]](#page-2-0) is a special member of a one-parameter family of exact solutions studied by one of us in the context of the backreaction effect. This oneparameter family corresponds to elementary Einstein-Rosen waves coupled to a massless scalar field. It has been studied within the Green-Wald framework in the article [\[15\].](#page-3-3) Stephani showed [\[1\]](#page-2-0) that his example satisfies his definition of a "standing wave spacetime." Our definition of standing waves, if applied to any member of the one-parameter family studied in [\[15\]](#page-3-3) (including Stephani's example) leads to the same conclusion in a straightforward manner (both conditions (i), (ii) are satisfied). The effective energy-momentum tensor has the form

$$
t^{(0)} = \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{\pi \rho} (\mathrm{d}t \otimes \mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}\rho \otimes \mathrm{d}\rho)
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{2\pi \rho} [\alpha^2 (k^{\flat} + \otimes k^{\flat} + k^{\flat} - \otimes k^{\flat} -)
$$

+
$$
\beta^2 (k^{\flat} + \otimes k^{\flat} + k^{\flat} - \otimes k^{\flat} -)].
$$

where $k^b_{\pm} = dt \pm d\rho$ and α , β are constant. The parameter β controls an amplitude of the scalar field. If $\beta = 0$, then the one-parameter family of solutions studied in [\[15\]](#page-3-3) satisfies vacuum Einstein's equations. Therefore, the parameter α is related to the amplitude of the gravitational radiation. The effective energy-momentum tensor corresponds to the superposition of ingoing and outgoing gravitational radiation (and to the superposition of ingoing and outgoing waves of the scalar field). This remains true if the solution is rewritten as the three-torus Gowdy cosmology [\[15\]](#page-3-3).

The properties of the background metric confirm our interpretation of solutions studied in [\[15\].](#page-3-3) Although the background metric has a remarkably simple form, it has not been studied extensively in literature before (except the Morgan's article [\[16\]](#page-3-4) where it appears indirectly and the Kramer's article [\[17\]](#page-3-5) where spherically symmetric equivalent of this metric is derived)

$$
g^{(0)} = e^{\kappa \rho} \left(-dt \otimes dt + d\rho \otimes d\rho \right) + \rho^2 d\varphi \otimes d\varphi + dz \otimes dz, \quad (1)
$$

where $t, z \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, $\rho \in (0, +\infty)$, $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi] \mod 2\pi$ and $\kappa = 2 \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{\pi}$ is an auxiliary constant.

This solution has been discovered in [\[15\]](#page-3-3) as a limit of a regular one-parameter family of Einstein-Rosen waves coupled to a massless scalar field. The alternative procedure to derive it follows from generation technique described in [\[18\]](#page-3-6) (subsection 25.6.2). For spacetimes with an orthogonally transitive Abelian group G_2 acting on spacelike 2-surfaces, 3 pure radiation fields (null dust) may be associated with vacuum solution simply by multiplying the metric coefficient g_{uv} (assuming appropriate parameterization) by the factor $\exp[F_1(u) + F_2(u)]$ with arbitrary real functions $F_1(u)$, $F_2(v)$, where u and v are null coordinates. If $F_1 = -id$, $F_2 = id$, $u = t - \rho$, $v = t + \rho$ (*t* is a time coordinate and ρ is a spatial coordinate), then $F_1(u) + F_2(v) = 2\rho$. For this particular choice of F_1 and F_2 , a new null dust solution is stationary provided that an original vacuum spacetime was stationary. With the help of this procedure the background metric [\(1\)](#page-1-0) may be generated from the Minkowski spacetime in cylindrical coordinates.

The third way to derive the metric [\(1\)](#page-1-0) is to superpose outgoing and ingoing null dust Morgan solutions [\[16\].](#page-3-4) The Morgan solutions are cylindrically symmetric equivalents of Vaidya spacetimes. These solutions, similarly to generalized Einstein-Rosen waves, satisfy superposition principle in the sense that essential part of Einstein equations is linear. The superposition does not work at the level of metric functions, so it is not "complete."

In his paper [\[16\],](#page-3-4) Morgan studied superposed solutions. He stated incorrectly that such spacetime is regular everywhere. The Ricci scalar vanishes as expected, but the Kretschmann scalar blows up at the symmetry axis $\rho = 0$

$$
K=2\left(\frac{2\kappa}{\rho}\right)^2e^{-2\kappa\rho}.
$$

This blow up is unexpected because all the metric functions are regular everywhere (only the determinant det $(g^{(0)})$ vanishes at $\rho = 0$ which leads to a curvature singularity).

For the one-parameter family of solutions studied in the article [\[15\],](#page-3-3) the C-energy (cylindrical energy as defined by Thorne [\[19\]](#page-3-7)) is constant "on average" for a fixed ρ (at nodes it is strictly constant). Therefore, there is no energy transfer. For the background metric [\(1\),](#page-1-0) the C-energy is equal to $\frac{\kappa \rho}{4}$ and it does not depend on t.⁴

In summary, the background metric [\(1\)](#page-1-0) corresponds to a cylindrically symmetric spacetime with a central naked singularity accreting and radiating the same amount of gravitational and scalar waves. This spacetime provides

 2 Stephani [\[1\]](#page-2-0) refers to this solution as the Kramer solution [\[14\].](#page-3-8) Simple redefinitions in this metric lead to totally different physical interpretations of the corresponding spacetime [\[14\]](#page-3-8).

³In [\[18\]](#page-3-6) only S_2 is considered.
⁴The C-energy corresponds to the time-component of the Poynting vector as has been shown by Stephani $\overline{1}1$.

an effective description of cylindrical gravitational and scalar standing waves. It is stationary and of Petrov type D. The Weyl scalars are $\psi_0 = \psi_1 = \psi_3 = \psi_4 = 0$

$$
\psi_2 = \frac{1}{12\rho^2} e^{-\kappa \rho}.
$$

IV. STEPHANI'S SECOND EXAMPLE

Stephani illustrates a flaw in his criteria with the following example of the Gowdy universe

$$
g = e^{-2U} [e^{2k} (-dt \otimes dt + d\rho \otimes d\rho)
$$

+ $\sin^2 \rho \sin^2 t d\phi \otimes d\phi] + e^{2U} dz \otimes dz,$

where $t \in (0, \pi)$, $\rho, \phi, z \in [0, 2\pi] \text{mod} 2\pi$ and $U = c \cos \rho \cos t$, $2k = c^2 \sin^2 \rho \sin^2 t + \ln(\cos^2 \rho - \cos^2 t)$ with c being a constant. According to criterion (I), this universe is a standing wave solution. According to criterion (II), it is not.

The hypersurfaces $sin(t) = 0$ $(t = 0$ and $t = \pi)$ correspond to initial and final collapse singularities. Singularities at $sin(\rho) = 0$ are only apparent. A natural generalization of this solution to a one-parameter family of metrics is given by the following substitution $t \to t/\lambda$, $\rho \to \rho/\lambda$, $c \to c(\lambda)$, where $c(\lambda)$ is an arbitrary function. Now, for any $c(\lambda)$ the metric is not pointwise convergent to any background metric. Therefore, according to our criteria, there is no reason to believe that this oscillatory solution (contrary to the first example) is a standing wave. This fact support the hypothesis that our definition of standing waves resolved ambiguity in Stephani's criteria.

V. SUMMARY

In this paper, we have proposed to define standing gravitational waves in terms of their high frequency limit. We showed that our definition is "nonempty" by providing an example. Moreover, we presented an arguments supporting the claim that ambiguities in Stephani criteria are resolved in our covariant definition.

It follows from our definition that the effective description of standing gravitational or/and scalar waves may be provided by superposition of two null dusts of equal density moving in opposite directions. The huge class of solutions to Einstein equations³ with the Ricci tensor of the Serge type [(11)1,1] gains a new interesting physical interpretation—otherwise these solutions would be interpreted mainly as anisotropic perfect fluid solutions which is, of course, much less interesting from the physical standpoint.

An interesting open question is: does any effective standing waves spacetime $(M, g⁽⁰⁾)$ correspond to some standing wave spacetime (M, g) ? The results obtained under the assumption of the polarized $U(1)$ symmetry [\[28\]](#page-3-9) suggest that it may be the case: any generic local-intime small-data-polarized- $U(1)$ -symmetric solution to the Einstein-multiple null dust system can be achieved as a weak limit of vacuum solutions. The related question is: does any standing wave spacetime (M, g) , as defined by Stephani criterion (II) [\[1\],](#page-2-0) belong to a one-parameter family of spacetimes satisfying the Green-Wald assumptions [\[13\]](#page-3-2)? This question is open and needs further studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This publication was supported by the John Templeton Foundation Grant Conceptual Problems in Unification Theories (No. 60671). S. J. S. thanks his "musical friends" especially the ensemble The Summer Triangle. Standing waves created inside their instruments inspired this research.

- [1] H. Stephani, Some remarks on standing gravitational waves, [Gen. Relativ. Gravit.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022330218708) 35, 467 (2003).
- [2] H. Bondi, Gravitational waves in general relativity XVI. Standing waves, Proc. R. Soc. A 460[, 20031176 \(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2003.1176).
- [3] S. Detweiler, Periodic solutions of the Einstein equations for binary systems, Phys. Rev. D 50[, 4929 \(1994\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.50.4929)
- [4] R. H. Price, Binary inspiral: Finding the right approximation, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/21/3/017) 21, S281 (2004).
- [5] Z. Andrade, C. Beetle, A. Blinov, B. Bromley, L. Burko, M. Cranor, R. Owen, and R. H. Price, Periodic standing-wave approximation: Overview and three-dimensional scalar models, Phys. Rev. D 70[, 064001 \(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.064001).
- [6] C. Beetle, B. Bromley, and R. H. Price, Periodic standingwave approximation: Eigenspectral computations for linear gravity and nonlinear toy models, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.024013) 74, 024013 [\(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.024013)
- [7] C. Beetle, B. Bromley, N. Hernández, and R. H. Price, Periodic standing-wave approximation: Post-Minkowski computations, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.084016) 76, 084016 [\(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.084016)
- [8] B. Bromley, R. Owen, and R. H. Price, Periodic standingwave approximation: Nonlinear scalar fields, adapted coordinates, and the eigenspectral method, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.104017) 71, [104017 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.104017)

⁵The class which was not so well explored so far with notable exceptions in plane [\[20\]](#page-3-10), cylindrical [21–[23\],](#page-3-11) and spherical symmetry [\[17,23](#page-3-5)–27].

- [9] N. Hernandez and R. H. Price, Periodic standing-wave approximation: Computations in full general relativity, Phys. Rev. D 79[, 064008 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.064008).
- [10] I. Mandel, Geometry of a naked singularity created by standing waves near a Schwarzschild horizon, and its application to the binary black hole problem, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.084025) D 72[, 084025 \(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.084025).
- [11] G. A. Burnett, The high-frequency limit in general relativity, [J. Math. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.528594) 30, 90 (1989).
- [12] R. A. Isaacson, Gravitational radiation in the limit of high frequency. II. Nonlinear terms and the effective stress tensor, Phys. Rev. 166[, 1272 \(1968\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.166.1272)
- [13] S. R. Green and R. M. Wald, New framework for analyzing the effects of small scale inhomogeneities in cosmology, Phys. Rev. D 83[, 084020 \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.084020).
- [14] D. Kramer, Exact gravitational wave solution without diffraction, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/16/11/101) 16, L75 (1999).
- [15] S.J. Szybka and M.J. Wyrębowski, Backreaction for Einstein-Rosen waves coupled to a massless scalar field, Phys. Rev. D 94[, 024059 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.024059).
- [16] T. A. Morgan, Collapse of a null fluid, [Gen. Relativ. Gravit.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00759846) 4[, 273 \(1973\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00759846)
- [17] D. Kramer, Letter to the editor: The gravitational field of superposed light, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/15/4/002) 15, L31 [\(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/15/4/002)
- [18] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers, and E. Herlt, [Exact Solutions of Einstein](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511535185)'s Field [Equations: Second Edition](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511535185) (Cambridge University Press,

Cambridge, England, 2003), [https://doi.org/10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511535185) [CBO9780511535185.](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511535185)

- [19] K. S. Thorne, Energy of infinitely long, cylindrically symmetric systems in general relativity, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.138.B251) 138, B251 [\(1965\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.138.B251)
- [20] P. S. Letelier, Anisotropic fluids with two-perfect-fluid components, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.22.807) 22, 807 (1980).
- [21] D. Kramer, The gravitational field of two counter-propagating beams of light, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/15/10/003) 15, L73 (1998).
- [22] P.S. Letelier and A. Wang, Singularities formed by the focusing of cylindrical null fluids, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.5105) 49, 5105 [\(1994\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.5105)
- [23] [Exact Solutions and Scalar Fields in Gravity: Recent](https://doi.org/10.1007/B100333) [Developments](https://doi.org/10.1007/B100333), edited by A. Macías, J. L. Cervantes-Cota, and C. Lämmerzahl (Springer, Boston, MA, 2001), [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/B100333) [doi.org/10.1007/B100333.](https://doi.org/10.1007/B100333)
- [24] G. Date, On a static solution of Einstein equations with incoming and outgoing radiation, [Gen. Relativ. Gravit.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018864423958) 29, [953 \(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018864423958).
- [25] L. A. Gergely, Spherically symmetric static solution for colliding null dust, Phys. Rev. D 58[, 084030 \(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.58.084030)
- [26] P. S. Letelier and P. S. C. Alencar, Anisotropic fluids with multifluid components, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.34.343) 34, 343 (1986).
- [27] E. Poisson and W. Israel, Internal structure of black holes, Phys. Rev. D 41[, 1796 \(1990\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.41.1796)
- [28] C. Huneau and J. Luk, High-frequency backreaction for the Einstein equations under polarized $\mathbb{U}(1)$ -symmetry, [Duke](https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2018-0035) Math. J. 167[, 3315 \(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2018-0035).