

Relationship between the isospin-even πN s -wave scattering length and the $J = 0$ $N\bar{N} \rightarrow \pi\pi$ helicity amplitude

G. N. Epstein*

School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia 3052

(Received 25 March 1974)

Using dispersion relations we derive a simple relationship between the isospin even πN s -wave scattering length and the $N\bar{N} \rightarrow \pi\pi$ helicity amplitudes f_+^0 . We note the use of the result in evaluating the intermediate-range nucleon-nucleon potential.

The fact that the isospin-even πN s -wave scattering length (a^+) is very small has been a source of fascination for particle theorists for some time now. In 1965, Adler¹ provided a neat explanation for the effect by using soft-pion techniques to show that

$$A^+(0, 0) \simeq g^2/m,$$

where $A^+(\nu, t)$ is the usual isospin-even πN amplitude,² m is the nucleon mass, and $g^2/4\pi = 14.4$. Thus there was shown to be a large cancellation between the A^+ and B^+ amplitudes at low energy and hence a small scattering length.

A curiously similar situation arises when an evaluation of the $J=0$, $N\bar{N} \rightarrow \pi\pi$ helicity amplitude³ $f_+^0(t)$ is attempted. In particular it is found that $f_+^0(0)$ is hard to determine accurately due to the cancellation of two large terms.⁴ In view of this it is tempting to suggest a relation between $f_+^0(0)$ and a^+ . We show here that indeed this is the case.

We begin with the dispersion relation given by

$$\text{Re} f_+^0(0) = \frac{m^2}{4\pi} A^+(0, 0) - \frac{mg^2}{4\pi} + \frac{g^2}{16\pi m} + \frac{m^2}{4\pi^2} \int_1^\infty \frac{dw}{w} \sigma^+(w)(w^2 - 1)^{1/2} \left(-2 + w \ln \frac{w+1}{w-1} \right), \quad (5)$$

where we have used the optical theorem

$$\text{Im} C^+(\nu, 0) = k\sigma^+,$$

with k = pion lab momentum, w = pion lab energy, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^+ &= \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_- + \sigma_+), \\ \sigma^- &= \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_- - \sigma_+), \\ \sigma_- &= \sigma(\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- p), \\ \sigma_+ &= \sigma(\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\text{Re} f_+^0(0) = -\frac{g^2}{8\pi m} + \frac{m^2}{4\pi} \text{Re} C^+(1, 0) + \frac{m^2}{4\pi^2} \int_1^\infty dw \sigma^+(w)(w^2 - 1)^{1/2} \left(\ln \frac{w+1}{w-1} - \frac{2w}{w-1} \right), \quad (9)$$

which, if we recall that

Höhler and Strauss,² i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re} C^+(\nu, t) &= C_N^+(\nu, t) + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_1^\infty \frac{d\nu'}{\nu'} \text{Im} A^+(\nu', t) \\ &\quad + \frac{2\nu^2}{\pi} \int_1^\infty \frac{d\nu'}{\nu'} \frac{\text{Im} C^+(\nu', t)}{(\nu')^2 - \nu^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where we have used the usual notation² together with the definition

$$C_N^+(\nu, t) = -\frac{g^2}{m} \frac{\nu^2}{(\nu^2 - \nu_B^2)(1 - t/4m^2)}. \quad (2)$$

Now we recall that

$$\text{Re} f_+^0(0) = \frac{m^2}{8\pi} \int_{-1}^1 d\nu \text{Re} C^+(\nu, 0). \quad (3)$$

Using (1) and recalling that

$$A^+(0, 0) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_1^\infty \frac{d\nu'}{\nu'} \text{Im} A^+(\nu', 0), \quad (4)$$

we find that

Now we look back at the Höhler-Strauss relation and set $\nu = 1$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re} C^+(1, 0) &= C_N^+(1, 0) + A^+(0, 0) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_1^\infty \frac{d\nu'}{\nu'} \frac{\sigma^+(\nu')}{[(\nu')^2 - 1]^{1/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We use this to eliminate $A^+(0, 0)$ from (5) and obtain

$$\operatorname{Re}C^+(1, 0) = \frac{4\pi}{3m} (m+1)(a_1 + 2a_2) \quad (10)$$

(see Höhler and Strauss)² gives us the relation

$$\operatorname{Re}f_+^0(0) = \frac{g^2}{8\pi m} + m(m+1)a^+ + \frac{m^2}{4\pi^2} \int_1^\infty dw \sigma^+(w)(w^2-1)^{1/2} \left(\ln \frac{w+1}{w-1} - \frac{2w}{w^2-1} \right). \quad (11)$$

Not only is this an interesting relation, but it also promises to be of some practical importance. Thus if $f_+^0(0)$ is known then a^+ may be determined with good accuracy, due to the factor $m(m+1)$. Alternatively one may proceed to evaluate $f_+^0(0)$ from current experimental data on a^+ and σ^+ . Using the πN cross-section data quoted in Ref. 5 together with the value

$$a^+ = 0.00 \pm 0.04, \quad (12)$$

we find that

$$\operatorname{Re}f_+^0(0) = -2.4 \pm 1.0, \quad (13)$$

where most of the error is due to the a^+ uncertainty. This value of $\operatorname{Re}f_+^0(0)$ can now be used in dispersion-relation calculations⁶ of $f_+^0(t)$ in the range $4 < t < 40$. As is well known, the amplitude f_+^0 in this range plays an important role in calculations of the intermediate-range nucleon-nucleon potential.⁷

*Present address: Theoretical Physics Department, 12 Parks Road, Oxford, England.

¹S. L. Adler, Phys. Rev. **137**, B1022 (1965).

²For notation see G. Höhler and R. Strauss, Z. Phys. **232**, 205 (1970); and Ref. 3.

³W. R. Frazer and J. R. Fulco, Phys. Rev. **117**, 1603 (1960).

⁴P. Menotti, Nuovo Cimento **23**, 931 (1962).

⁵G. Höhler, G. Ebel, and J. Giesecke, Z. Phys. **180**, 430 (1964).

⁶G. N. Epstein and B. H. J. McKellar, Nuovo Cimento Lett. **8**, 177 (1973); Phys. Rev. D **10**, 2169 (1974).

⁷G. N. Epstein and B. H. J. McKellar, Nuovo Cimento Lett. **5**, 807 (1972); Phys. Rev. D **10**, 1005 (1974).