

This is demonstrated in Fig. 3. Note, however, that no shadow effects have been found in a similar experiment at SLAC,<sup>6</sup> in contradiction with the DESY experiment and with our theoretical expectation.

In order to estimate the shadow corrections to relations (1), we used

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_t(Vs) &= \sigma_t(\rho^0s) = \sigma_t(\pi N) = 27 \text{ mb}, \\ \sigma_t(K^+p) &= \sigma_t(K^+n) = 18 \text{ mb},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle r^{-2} \rangle_d = 0.3 \text{ fm}^{-2} \text{ (see Ref. 3).}$$

These values when substituted in (5) lead to 11% reduction of (1a) and 13% reduction of (1b) and

<sup>6</sup> Boyarski *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Letters **21**, 1767 (1968).

(1c). The theoretical results for the ratios of the cross sections on deuterium to hydrogen are compared with the experimental results in Figs. 1 and 2. As can be seen from these figures, such reductions can explain the experimental deviations from relations (1) at small  $-t$  values. For large momentum transfers, multiparticle exchange becomes more important and the exchange of "exotic quantum numbers" can be communicated by *multiple, nonexotic* particle exchange. We therefore conclude that the experimental results of Boyarski *et al.*<sup>6</sup> on photoproduction from deuterium do not provide definite evidence for  $t$ -channel exchange of exotic meson states. However, in order to have a consistent picture of deuteron reactions, similar shadow effects should be found also in their results for  $d\sigma/dt(\gamma d \rightarrow K^+\Lambda n)$  compared to  $d\sigma/dt(\sigma p \rightarrow K^+\Lambda)$  (see Fig. 1) and in their results for  $d\sigma/dt(\gamma d \rightarrow \pi^+n)$  compared to  $d\sigma/dt(\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+n)$ .

## Errata

**Theorem on  $K_{13}$  Form Factors**, FAYYAZUDDIN AND RIAZUDDIN [Phys. Rev. D **1**, 361 (1970)]. Equations (4), (5b), and (7) should contain a term  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\lambda$  which is of order  $\epsilon'$  and can be written as

$$O(\epsilon') [g_+(t)(p+k)_\lambda + g_-(t)(p-k)_\lambda].$$

Equation (9) therefore contains the additional factor  $O(\epsilon')(1/f_\pi)F'(t)$ , where  $F'(t) = g_+(t) + g_-(t)$ . Since  $m_\pi^2 = O(\epsilon')$ ,  $F'(m_K^2 - m_\pi^2)$  can be absorbed in the  $F$  of Eq. (10). Equation (10) therefore still holds and the proof of the theorem remains unaffected.

In Eqs. (6a) and (6b),  $V_\lambda^{6+i7}$  should be replaced by  $A_\lambda^{6+i7}$ .

**Representation Mixing in the Algebra of Vertex Strengths**, L. P. HORWITZ AND A. KANTOROVICH [Phys. Rev. **183**, 1300 (1969)]. (a) Equation (5.6) should read

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (6, 21) | S_0 | (6, 21) \rangle &= -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, \\ \langle (6, 21) | S_8 | (6, 21) \rangle &= -\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}.\end{aligned}\quad (5.6)$$

(b) The sentence following Eq. (6.14) should read: "Using  $M_\Sigma - M_\Lambda \simeq 78$  MeV and  $M_N - M_\Xi \simeq -375$  MeV, we obtain

$$D/F \simeq -0.3, \dots"$$

(c) The first sentence of the last paragraph on p. 1308 should read: "We first remark that  $\epsilon'$  is the size... ."